

$\{\phi\} P \{\psi\}$

loop-free

$\text{trans}(V, V')$

$$\phi \wedge \text{trans}(V, V') \Rightarrow \psi'$$

e.g. $\{x > 0\}$

① $y := x;$

② $z := x + y;$

$\{z > 0\}$

$\{\phi\} P_1 \{\psi\} \quad \{\psi\} P_2 \{\chi\}$

$\{\phi\} P_1 ; P_2 \{\chi\}$

unknown formula
 ψ

$\{x > 0\} y := x \{r(x, y, z)\}$

$\{r(x, y, z)\} z := x + y \{z > 0\}$

interpretation
of $r(x, y, z)$

$$\textcircled{1} \{x > 0\} \quad y := x \quad \boxed{\{x > 0 \wedge y > 0\}}$$

$$\textcircled{2} \boxed{\{x > 0 \wedge y > 0\}} \quad z := x + y \quad \{z > 0\}$$

$$C_1 \triangleq \forall v, v'. \quad x > 0 \wedge \text{enc}(y := x) \Rightarrow r(x', y', z')$$

$$\begin{aligned} & y' = x \\ & \wedge x' = x \\ & \wedge z' = z \end{aligned}$$

uninterpreted.

$$C_2 \triangleq \forall v, v'. \quad r(x, y, z) \wedge \text{enc}(z := x + y) \Rightarrow z' > 0$$

Is $C_1 \wedge C_2$ satisfiable?

$$m \models C_1 \wedge C_2$$

↑
an interpretation of the relation "r"

Horn clauses (or constrained Horn clauses)

$$r_1(\vec{v}_1) \wedge r_2(\vec{v}_2) \wedge \dots \wedge r_{n-1}(\vec{v}_{n-1}) \wedge \varphi \Rightarrow H_C$$

unknown
relations

standard
interpreted
formula
enc(...)

either
relation
or
interpreted
formula

BODY

HEAD

$$C = \{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n\}$$

C is satisfiable if there is
an interpretation of r_i that makes
the clauses VALID

Loops

$P := P_{pre} ; \text{while } b \text{ do } P_{body}$

loop-free

prove that $\{\emptyset\} P \{\psi\}$

① the invariant is true when we finish P_{pre}

$$C_1 \triangleq (\emptyset \wedge \text{enc}(P_{pre})) \Rightarrow I(V')$$

Initiation

② the invariant must remain true as the loop executes

$$C_2 \triangleq (I(V) \wedge b \wedge \text{enc}(P_{body})) \Rightarrow I(V')$$

consecution

③ when the loop exits, postcondition is satisfied

$$C_3 \triangleq (I(V) \wedge \neg b) \Rightarrow \psi$$

safety

$\{x \geq 0 \wedge y > 0\}$

① $r := x$

② $q := 0$

③ while $r \geq y$ b

④ $r := r - y$

⑤ $q := q + 1$

⑥ skip

$\{x = y * q + r \wedge 0 \leq r < y\}$

} P_{pre}

} P_{body}

$$C_1 \triangleq x \geq 0 \wedge y > 0 \wedge \underline{enc(P_{pre})} \Rightarrow I(x', y', r', q')$$

$$C_2 \triangleq I(x, y, r, q) \wedge r \geq y \wedge \underline{enc(P_{body})} \Rightarrow I(x', y', r', q')$$

$$C_3 \triangleq I(x, y, r, q) \wedge \underbrace{r < y}_{\neg b} \Rightarrow \left(x = y * q + r \wedge 0 \leq r < y \right)$$

Every statement P will have a line number $L(P)$

$L_1(P)$ the line number of the next stmt

$L_2(P)$ the other possible line number

$$\text{encH}(x := a) = I_i(V) \wedge \text{enc}(x := a) \Rightarrow I_j(V')$$

$$L(x := a) = i \quad L_1(x := a) = j$$

$$\{I_i(V)\} x := a \{I_j(V')\}$$

$$\text{encH}(\text{if } b \text{ then } P_1 \text{ else } P_2) =$$


$$I_i(V) \wedge b \Rightarrow I_j(V)$$

$$I_i(V) \wedge \neg b \Rightarrow I_k(V)$$

$$L(P) = i, \quad L_1(P) = j, \quad L_2(P) = k$$

$$\text{encH}(\text{while } b \text{ do } P) =$$

$$I_i(V) \wedge b \Rightarrow I_j(V)$$

$$I_i(V) \wedge \neg b \Rightarrow I_k(V)$$

$$\{\phi\} \dots \{\psi\}$$

$$\phi \Rightarrow I_1(V)$$

$$I_{\text{ex}}(V) \Rightarrow \psi$$

E.g. $\{x > 0\}$

① if $x > 5$

② $x = x - 1$

③ else
 $x = x + 1$

④ skip;

$\{x > 0\}$

① $I_1(x) \wedge x > 5 \Rightarrow I_2(x)$ then
 $I_1(x) \wedge x \leq 5 \Rightarrow I_3(x)$ else

② $I_2(x) \wedge x' = x - 1 \Rightarrow I_4(x')$

③ $I_3(x) \wedge x' = x + 1 \Rightarrow I_4(x')$

$x > 0 \Rightarrow I_1(x)$

$I_4(x) \Rightarrow x > 0$

mc(p): // McCarthy 91

if $p > 100$

$r := p - 10$

else

$p_1 := p + 11$

$p_2 := mc(p_1)$

$r := mc(p_2)$

$\{ \text{true} \} r := mc(p) \{ r \geq 91 \}$

treat $mc(p, r)$ as a relation in FOL

$p > 100 \wedge r = p - 10 \Rightarrow mc(p, r)$

$p \leq 100 \wedge p_1 = p + 11 \wedge mc(p_1, p_2) \wedge mc(p_2, r) \Rightarrow mc(p, r)$

Encode $mc(p, r)$

$\text{true} \wedge mc(p, r) \Rightarrow r \geq 91$

$\underbrace{\hspace{1cm}}_{\text{pre}}$

$\underbrace{\hspace{1cm}}_{\text{post}}$