

# Individual Project: Final Project Submission

Instructions   My Assignment

## Canonicalization & Equivalence Check of XMLs **100/100 points (100%)**

Submitted on December 1, 2018

### QUESTION 1

**100 POINTS**

Please upload your assignment as a zip file.

#### **Shrashti Singhal- Final Assignment- Canonicalization**

🔗 Shrashti Singhal- Final Assignment- Canonicalization

Note: \*Write up for the project is a PDF. It contains embedded files in itself. \*Memo is a PDF file. \*File folder: All the files can also be accessed from this folder, if required.

### **RUBRIC**

Leave comment directly to students in the PDF file.

[See More Feedback](#)

Evidence of in-depth examination of data files (accurate, validating DTDs and file descriptions)



**Myrna Morales**

Nice work on the data profiles.

Evidence of understanding of canonicalization (successful canonicalization)



**Myrna Morales**

Full marks; however, do know that your canonicalized files without DTDs are not correct. They may validate, but the only thing being checked is the internal consistency of the document's syntax. I could easily add random elements and still get the documents to validate and get their checksums to match. The whole purpose of employing XML technology is to exploit the compliance restraints put into place by related technologies like DTDs.

Clarity, accuracy, and documentation of the canonicalization process



**Myrna Morales**

Good job on the step by step process of canonicalization. The comprehensive discussions on reproducibility, goals of curation and the recommendations demonstrate a good understanding.

Convincing and clear argument for data curation and related topics, written in an appropriate tone for the audience



**Myrna Morales**

Great work on the memo. Your memo shows understanding of how data curation relates to data science, and about the importance of provenance and metadata to data workflows.

Overall quality analysis and discussion



**Myrna Morales**

Great work!

