



TAU CETI [TAU] BEP 20

0x3ED1be864a7D08a3e3e72B28c567DEd1E5eE70c7







Table of Contents

Table of Contents	1
Disclaimer	2
Overview	3
Creation/Audit Date	3
Verified Socials	3
Contract Functions Analysis	4
Contract Safety and Weakness	6
Detected Vulnerability Description	10
Contract Flow Graph	12
Inheritance Graph	13
Contract Desciptions	14
Audit Scope	20



Global Disclaimer

This document serves as a disclaimer for the crypto smart contract audit conducted by Skeleton Ecosystem. The purpose of the audit was to review the codebase of the smart contracts for potential vulnerabilities and issues. It is important to note the following:

Limited Scope: The audit is based on the code and information available up to the audit completion date. It does not cover external factors, system interactions, or changes made after the audit. The audit itself can not guarantee 100% safaty and can not detect common scam methods like farming and developer sell-out.

No Guarantee of Security: While we have taken reasonable steps to identify vulnerabilities, it is impossible to guarantee the complete absence of security risks or issues. The audit report provides an assessment of the contract's security as of the audit date.

Continued Development: Smart contracts and blockchain technology are evolving fields. Updates, forks, or changes to the contract postaudit may introduce new risks that were not present during the audit.

Third-party Code: If the smart contract relies on third-party libraries or code, those components were not thoroughly audited unless explicitly stated. Security of these dependencies is the responsibility of their respective developers.

Non-Exhaustive Testing: The audit involved automated analysis, manual review, and testing under controlled conditions. It is possible that certain vulnerabilities or issues may not have been identified.

Risk Evaluation: The audit report includes a risk assessment for identified vulnerabilities. It is recommended that the development team carefully reviews and addresses these risks to mitigate potential exploits.

Not Financial Advice: This audit report is not intended as financial or investment advice. Decisions regarding the use, deployment, or investment in the smart contract should be made based on a comprehensive assessment of the associated risks.

By accessing and using this audit report, you acknowledge and agree to the limitations outlined above. Skeleton Ecosystem and its auditors shall not be held liable for any direct or indirect damages resulting from the use of the audit report or the smart contract itself.

Please consult with legal, technical, and financial professionals before making any decisions related to the smart contract.



Overview

Contract Name	Tau Ceti
Ticker/Simbol	TAU
Blockchain	Binance Smart Chain BEP20
Contract Address	0x3ED1be864a7D08a3e3e72B28c567DEd1E5eE70c7
Creator Address	0x7715592be525a8cE67Ec14c2b13Bf50c9Ee10Ba4
Current Owner Address	0xFAc9364dDcD8995A4b6531Ac117E3304A2B6e186
Contract Explorer	https://bscscan.com/token/0x3ED1be864a7D08a3e3e72 B28c567DEd1E5eE70c7#code
Compiler Version	v0.8.19+commit.7dd6d404
License	None
Optimisation	Yes with 200 Runs
Total Supply	10,000,000 TAU
Decimals	18

Creation/Audit

Contract Deployed	19 Sept 2023
Audit Created	25 Sept 2023
Audit Update	V 1.0

Verified Socials

Website	https://tau-ceti.info/
Telegram	https://t.me/TauCetiGlobal
Twitter (X)	https://x.com/TauCetiGlobal






Contract Function Analysis

Pass Attention Item Alsky Item





Contract Verified	~	The contract source code is uploaded to blockchain explorer and is open source, so everybody can read it.
Contract Ownership	A	0xFAc9364dDcD8995A4b6531Ac117E3304A2B6e186
Buy Tax	5 %	Shows the taxes for purchase transactions. Above 10% may be considered a high tax rate. More than 50% tax rate means may not be tradable.
Sell Tax	5 %	Shows the taxes for sell transactions. Above 10% may be considered a high tax rate. More than 50% tax rate means may not be tradable.
Honeypot Analyse	✓	Holder is able to buy and sell. If honeypot: The contract blocks sell transfer from holder wallet. Multiple events may cause honeypot. Trading disabled, extremely high tax
Liqudity Status		No Liqudity added yet. Presale phase!
Trading Disable Functions	>	No Trading suspendable function found. If a suspendable code is included, the token maybe neither be bought or sold (honeypot risk). If contract is renounced this function can't be used
Set Fees	٨	Fee Setting function found.
function	25% max	The contract owner may contain the authority to modify the transaction tax. If the transaction tax is increased to more than 49%, the tokens may not be able to be traded (honeypot risk). Max fee setting option: 25%
Proxy Contract	✓	Not a proxy contract!
Mint Function	>	No Mint Function detected Mint function is transparent or non-existent. Hidden mint functions may increase the amount of tokens in circulation and effect the price of the token. Owner can mint new tokens and sell.




Balance Modifier	✓	No Balance Modifier function found.
Function		If there is a function for this, the contract owner can have the authority to modify the balance of tokens at other addresses. For example revoke the bought tokens from the holders wallet. Common form of scam: You buy the token, but it's disappearing from your wallet.
Blacklist	✓	No blacklist function found
Function		If there is a blacklist, some addresses may not be able to trade normally. Example: you buy the token and right after your Wallet getting blacklisted. Like so you will be unable to sell. Honeypot Risk.
Whitelist Function	A	Whitelist function found
		If there is a function for this Developer can set zero fee or no max wallet size for adresses (for example team wallets can trade without fee. Can cause farming)
Hidden	✓	No authorised hidden owner found.
Owner Analysis		For contract with a hidden owner, developer can still manipulate the contract even if the ownership has been abandoned. Fake renounce.
Retrieve Ownership	✓	No functions found which can retrieve ownership of the contract.
Function		If this function exists, it is possible for the project owner to regain ownership even after relinquishing it. Also known as fake renounce.
Self	✓	No Self Destruct function found.
Destruct Function		If this function exists and is triggered, the contract will be destroyed, all functions will be unavailable, and all related assets will be erased.
Specific	✓	No Specific Tax Changing Functions found.
Tax Changing Function		If it exists, the contract owner may set a very outrageous tax rate for assigned address to block it from trading. Can assign all wallets at once!
Trading Cooldown Function	>	No Trading Cooldown Function found. If there is a trading cooldown function, the user will not be able to sell the token within a certain time or block after buying. Like a temporary honeypot.
Max	✓	No Max Transaction and Holding Modify function found.
Transaction and Holding Modify Function		If there is a function for this, the maximum trading amount or maximum position can be modified. Can cause honeypot
Transaction	✓	No Transaction Limiter Function Found.
Limiting Function		The number of overall token transactions may be limited (honeypot risk)



Contract Security

Total Findings: 8



- **High Severity Issues:** High possibility to cause problems, need to be resolved.
- Medium Severity Issue: Will likely cause problems, recommended to resolve.
- Low Severity Issues: Won't cause problems, but for improvement purposes could be adjusted.
- Informational Severity Issues: Not harmful in any way, information for the developer team.



Contract Security List of Found Issues

- High severity Issues: (0)
- Medium severity issues: (0)
- Low severity issues: (5)
 - Numeric Notation Best Practices
 - Use of Floating Pragma
 - Numeric Notation Best Practices
 - Missing Events
 - Approve of front running attack
- Informational severity issues: (2)
 - Hard Coded Address
 - Public Functions Should be Declared External



Contract Weakness Classisication

THE SMART CONTRACT WEAKNESS CLASSIFICATION REGISTRY (SWC REGISTRY) IS AN IMPLEMENTATION OF THE WEAKNESS CLASSIFICATION SCHEME PROPOSED IN EIP-1470. IT IS LOOSELY ALIGNED TO THE TERMINOLOGIES AND STRUCTURE USED IN THE COMMON WEAKNESS ENUMERATION (CWE) WHILE OVERLAYING A WIDE RANGE OF WEAKNESS VARIANTS THAT ARE SPECIFIC TO SMART CONTRACTS.

ID	Description	AI	Manual	Result
SWC-100	Function Default Visibility	Passed	Passed	Passed
SWC-101	Integer Overflow and Underflow	Passed	Passed	Passed
SWC-102	Outdated Compiler Version	Low	Passed	Passed
SWC-103	Floating Pragma	Low	Passed	Passed
SWC-104	Unchecked Call Return Value	Passed	Passed	Passed
SWC-105	Unprotected Ether Withdrawal	Passed	Passed	Passed
SWC-106	Unprotected SELFDESTRUCT Instruction	Passed	Passed	Passed
SWC-107	Reentrancy	Passed	Passed	Passed
SWC-108	State Variable Default Visibility	Passed	Passed	Passed
SWC-109	Uninitialized Storage Pointer	Passed	Passed	Passed
SWC-110	Assert Violation	Passed	Passed	Passed
SWC-111	Use of Deprecated Solidity Functions	Passed	Passed	Passed
SWC-112	Delegatecall to Untrusted Callee	Passed	Passed	Passed
SWC-113	DoS with Failed Call	Passed	Passed	Passed
SWC-114	Transaction Order Dependence	Passed	Passed	Passed
SWC-115	Authorization through tx.origin	Passed	Passed	Passed
SWC-116	Block values as a proxy for time	Passed	Passed	Passed
SWC-117	Signature Malleability	Passed	Passed	Passed
SWC-118	Incorrect Constructor Name	Passed	Passed	Passed
SWC-119	Shadowing State Variables	Passed	Passed	Passed
SWC-120	Weak Sources of Randomness from Chain Attributes	Passed	Passed	Passed





SWC-121	Missing Protection against Signature Replay Attacks	Passed	Passed	Passed
SWC-122	Lack of Proper Signature Verification	Passed	Passed	Passed
SWC-123	Requirement Violation	Passed	Passed	Passed
SWC-124	Write to Arbitrary Storage Location	Passed	Passed	Passed
SWC-125	Incorrect Inheritance Order	Passed	Passed	Passed
SWC-126	Insufficient Gas Griefing	Passed	Passed	Passed
SWC-127	Arbitrary Jump with Function Type Variable	Passed	Passed	Passed
SWC-128	DoS With Block Gas Limit	Passed	Passed	Passed
SWC-129	Typographical Error	Passed	Passed	Passed
SWC-130	Right-To-Left-Override control character (U+202E)	Passed	Passed	Passed
SWC-131	Presence of unused variables	Passed	Passed	Passed
SWC-132	Unexpected Ether balance	Passed	Passed	Passed
SWC-133	Hash Collisions With Multiple Variable Length Arguments	Passed	Passed	Passed
SWC-134	Message call with hardcoded gas amount	Passed	Passed	Passed
SWC-135	Code With No Effects	Passed	Passed	Passed
SWC-136	Unencrypted Private Data On-Chain	Passed	Passed	Passed



Detected High and Medium Severity Vulnerability Description.

No High - Medium Severity Issue!



Approve of front running attack (2 Items)

Item: 1	Location:	erc20.sol Line 136-140	Severity:	Low
---------	-----------	------------------------	-----------	-----

Function	The approve() method overrides current allowance		
i diletion	regardless of whether the spender already used it or		
	not, so there is no way to increase or decrease		
	allowance by a certain value atomically unless the token		
	owner is a smart contract, not an account.		
	This can be abused by a token receiver when they try to		
	withdraw certain tokens from the sender's account.		
	Meanwhile, if the sender decides to change the amount		
	and sends another approve transaction, the receiver can		
	notice this transaction before it's mined and can		
	extract tokens from both the transactions, therefore,		
	ending up with tokens from both the transactions. This		
	is a front-running attack affecting the ERC20		
	Approve function.		
	The function approve can be front-run by abusing		
	the _approve function.		
Remedation	 Introduce mechanisms that limit the maximum 		
	acceptable gas price for transactions. This can		
	help prevent front-runners from drastically		
	increasing the gas fees to prioritize their		
	transactions.		
	2. Use transaction taxes to prevent against front-run		
	attack		

```
function approve(address spender, uint256 amount) public virtual override returns (bool) {

address owner = _msgSender();

approve(owner, spender, amount);

return true;

140
```



Item: 2	Location:	erc20.sol Line 324-330	Severity:	Low
---------	-----------	------------------------	-----------	-----

Function	The _spendAllowance() method overrides current allowance
	regardless of whether the spender already used it or
	not, so there is no way to increase or decrease
	allowance by a certain value atomically unless the token
	owner is a smart contract, not an account.
	This can be abused by a token receiver when they try to
	withdraw certain tokens from the sender's account.
	Meanwhile, if the sender decides to change the amount
	and sends another approve transaction, the receiver can
	notice this transaction before it's mined and can
	extract tokens from both the transactions, therefore,
	ending up with tokens from both the transactions. This
	is a front-running attack affecting the ERC20
	Approve function.
	The function _spendAllowance can be front-run by abusing
	the _approve function.
Remedation	 Introduce mechanisms that limit the maximum
	acceptable gas price for transactions. This can
	help prevent front-runners from drastically
	increasing the gas fees to prioritize their
	transactions.
	er unsuccions.
	2
	2. Use transaction taxes to prevent against front-run
	attack

```
function _spendAllowance(address owner, address spender, uint256 amount) internal virtual {

uint256 currentAllowance = allowance(owner, spender);

if (currentAllowance != type(uint256).max) {

require(currentAllowance >= amount, "ERC20: insufficient allowance");


unchecked {

approve(owner, spender, currentAllowance - amount);

approve(owner,
```




Contract Flow Graph





Inheritance Graph





Contract Functions

Contract	Туре	Bases		
	Function Name	Visibility	Mutability	Modifiers
ERC20	Implementation	Context, IERC20, IERC20Metadata		
		Public !		NO!
	name	Public !		NO.
	symbol	Public !		NO.
	decimals	Public !		NO!
	totalSupply	Public !		NO!
	balanceOf	Public !		NO.
	transfer	Public !		NO.
	allowance	Public !		NO.
	approve	Public !		NO!
	transferFrom	Public !		NO!
	increaseAllowanc e	Public !	•	NO!
	decreaseAllowan ce	Public !		NO!
	_transfer	Internal 🔒		
	_mint	Internal 🔒		
	_burn	Internal 🔒		
	_approve	Internal 🔒		
	_spendAllowanc e	Internal 🔒		
	_beforeTokenTra nsfer	Internal 🔒		
	_afterTokenTrans fer	Internal 🔒	•	
ERC20	Implementation	Context, IERC20, IERC20Metadata		



		Public !		NO!
	name	Public !	_	NO!
	symbol	Public !		NO!
	decimals	Public !		NO.
	totalSupply	Public !		NO.
	balanceOf	Public !		NO.
	transfer	Public !		NO!
	allowance	Public !		NO!
	approve	Public !		NO!
	transferFrom	Public !		NO!
	increaseAllowanc e	Public !		NO!
	decreaseAllowan ce	Public !		NO!
	_transfer	Internal 🔒		
	_mint	Internal 🔒		
	_burn	Internal 🔒		
	_approve	Internal 🔒		
	_spendAllowanc e	Internal 🔒		
	_beforeTokenTra nsfer	Internal 🔒		
	_afterTokenTrans fer	Internal 🔒		
ERC20Burnable	Implementation	Context, ERC20		
	burn	Public !		NO!
	burnFrom	Public !		NO!
Ownable	Implementation	Context		
		Public !		NO!
	owner	Public !		NO!
	_checkOwner	Internal 🔒		
	renounceOwners hip	Public !		onlyOwner
	transferOwnershi p	Public !		onlyOwner
	_transferOwners hip	Internal 🔒		



Intataltaniala	local and anti-time			
Initializable	Implementation			
IUniswapV2Fact ory	Interface			
	feeTo	External !		NO!
	feeToSetter	External !		NO!
	getPair	External !		NO!
	allPairs	External !		NO!
	allPairsLength	External !		NO!
	createPair	External !		NO!
	setFeeTo	External !		NO!
	setFeeToSetter	External !	•	NO!
IUniswapV2Pair	Interface			
	name	External !		NO!
	symbol	External !		NO!
	decimals	External !		NO!
	totalSupply	External !		NO.
	balanceOf	External !		NO!
	allowance	External !		NO!
	approve	External !		NO!
	transfer	External !		NO!
	transferFrom	External !		NO!
	DOMAIN_SEPAR ATOR	External !		NO!
	PERMIT_TYPEHA SH	External !		NO!
	nonces	External !		NO!
	permit	External !		NO!
	MINIMUM_LIQUI DITY	External !		NO!
	factory	External !		NO!
	token0	External !		NO!
	token1	External !		NO!
	getReserves	External !		NO!
	price0Cumulativ eLast	External !		NO!
	price1Cumulativ eLast	External !		NO!



	kLast	External !		NO!
	mint	External		NO!
	burn	External		NO!
	swap	External		NO!
	skim	External		NO!
	sync	External		NO!
	initialize	External !		NO!
IUniswapV2Rou ter01	Interface			
	factory	External !		NO!
	WETH	External !		NO!
	addLiquidity	External !		NO!
	addLiquidityETH	External !	<u>u d</u>	NO!
	removeLiquidity	External !	•	NO!
	removeLiquidityE TH	External !		NO!
	removeLiquidity WithPermit	External !	•	NO!
	removeLiquidityE THWithPermit	External !		NO!
	swapExactToken sForTokens	External !		NO!
	swapTokensForE xactTokens	External !		NO!
	swapExactETHFo rTokens	External !	<u>Up</u>	NO!
	swapTokensForE xactETH	External !	•	NO!
	swapExactToken sForETH	External !	•	NO!
	swapETHForExac tTokens	External !	g p	NO!
	quote	External !		NO!
	getAmountOut	External !		NO!
	getAmountIn	External !		NO!



	getAmountsOut	External !		NO!
	get Amounts In	External !		NO!
IUniswapV2Rou ter02	Interface	IUniswapV2Rout er01		
	removeLiquidityE THSupportingFe eOnTransferToke ns	External !	•	NO!
	removeLiquidityE THWithPermitSu pportingFeeOnTr ansferTokens	External !		NO!
	swapExactToken sForTokensSupp ortingFeeOnTran sferTokens	External <mark>!</mark>	•	NO!
	swapExactETHFo rTokensSupporti ngFeeOnTransfer Tokens	External <mark>!</mark>	o p	NO!
	swapExactToken sForETHSupporti ngFeeOnTransfer Tokens	External !		NO!
Context	Implementation			
	_msgSender	Internal 🔒		
	_msgData	Internal 🔒		
IERC20	Interface			
	totalSupply	External !		NO!
	balanceOf	External !		NO!
	transfer	External !		NO!
	allowance	External !		NO!



	approve	External !	NO!
	transferFrom	External !	NO!
IERC20Metadat a	Interface	IERC20	
	name	External !	NO!
	symbol	External !	NO!
	decimals	External !	NO!



Source:

File Name SHA-1 Hash

 $c: \label{lem:condition} \textbf{c:} \label{lem:c:sol} 162 fa 0 d 42 a d 300 e a 3 f 1 f 4 b f 6 b 5 7 1 4 d 0 6 4 0 7 6 1 f 6 0$



Audit Scope

Audit Method.

Our smart contract audit is an extensive methodical examination and analysis of the smart contract's code that is used to interact with the blockchain. Goal: discover errors, issues and security vulnaribilities in the code. Findings getting reported and improvements getting suggested.

Automatic and Manual Review

We are using automated tools to scan functions and weeknesses of the contract. Transfers, integer over-undeflow checks such as all CWE events.

Tools we use:

Visual Studio Code CWE SWC Solidity Scan SVD

In manual code review our auditor looking at source code and performing line by line examination. This method helps to clarify developer's coding decisions and business logic.

Skeleton Ecosystem

https://skeletonecosystem.com

https://github.com/SkeletonEcosystem/Audits

