

# CSCI 567 Assignment 3

## Fall 2016

Snehal Adsule  
2080872073  
adsule@usc.edu

October 17, 2016

### 1 Problem 1

#### 1.1 1 (a)

Closed Form Given that  $\hat{\beta}_\lambda = \operatorname{argmin}_\beta \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - x_i^T \beta)^2 + \lambda \|\beta\|_2^2 \right\}$   
Differentiating wrt  $\beta$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\delta \hat{\beta}_\lambda}{\delta \beta} &= \frac{2}{n} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - x_i^T \beta) (-x_i^T) + \lambda \beta \right\} = 0 \\ \Rightarrow \frac{2}{n} \{-X^T Y + X^T \beta X + \lambda \beta\} &= 0 \\ \Rightarrow \beta (X^T X + \lambda) &= Y X^T \\ \Rightarrow \hat{\beta} &= (X^T X + \lambda)^{-1} X^T Y \end{aligned}$$

using  $Y = X\beta^* + \epsilon$

$$\hat{\beta} = (X^T X + \lambda)^{-1} X^T (X\beta^* + \epsilon)$$

The gaussian distribution for the noise is,  $\epsilon \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$ .

Using affine transformation distribution of  $y$  can be written as

$$\text{Thus, } \hat{\beta} = (X^T X + \lambda)^{-1} X^T (X\beta^* + \epsilon)$$

$$\text{And, } Y \sim N(X\beta^*, \sigma^2 I)$$

$$\Rightarrow \hat{\beta}_\lambda = ((X^T X + \lambda)^{-1} X^T X \beta^*, (X^T X + \lambda)^{-1} X^T X (X^T X + \lambda I)^{-1})$$

## 1.2 1 (b)

Bias Term

$$\begin{aligned} & E[x^T \hat{\beta}_\lambda] - x^T \beta^* \\ &= x^T (E[\hat{\beta}_\lambda] - \beta^*) = x^T ((X^T X + \lambda I)^{-1} X^T X \beta^* - \beta^*) \\ &= x^T ((X^T X + \lambda I)^{-1} X^T X - I) \beta^* \end{aligned}$$

next

## 1.3 1 (c)

Variance Term

$$\begin{aligned} E[(x^T (\beta_\lambda - E[\beta_\lambda]))^2] &= x^T (X^T X + \lambda I)^{-1} X^T X (X X^T + \lambda I)^{-1} x \\ &= \|X (X X^T + \lambda I)^{-1} x\|_2^2 \end{aligned}$$

## 1.4 1 (d)

We can observe that, with Part b. and Part c. of the bias and variance tradeoff if  $\lambda$  increases, the bias term also increases while the variance term decreases. And when  $\lambda$  is small, the bias term is expected to be smaller and the variance term will be larger, comparatively.

# 2 Kernel Construction

## 2.1 2. (a)

To prove that,  $k_3(x, x') = a_1 k_1(x, x') + a_2 k_2(x, x')$  where  $a_1, a_2 \geq 0$   
Since  $k_1(x, x')$  is positive definite,  $\forall y \in \mathbf{R}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} y^T K^{(1)} y &\geq 0 \\ \text{where } K_{ij}^{(1)} &= k_1(x_i, x'_j) \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} y^T K^{(2)} y &\geq 0 \\ \text{where } K_{ij}^{(2)} &= k_2(x_i, x'_j) \end{aligned}$$

Adding, the above two equations, we get

$$\begin{aligned} y^T (K^{(1)} + K^{(2)}) y &\geq 0 \quad \forall y \in \mathbf{R} \implies \\ y^T K^{(3)} y &\geq 0 \quad \forall y \in \mathbf{R} \\ \text{where } K_{ij}^{(3)} &= k_3(x_i, x'_j) \end{aligned}$$

## 2.2 2. (b)

To prove ,  $k_4(x, x') = f(x)f(x')$   $K_{ij}^{(4)} = k_4(x_i, x_j) = f(x_i)f(x_j)$

Since  $f(x)$  is a real valued function, consider  $K^{(4)}$

$$K^{(4)} = \begin{bmatrix} f(x_1)f(x'_1) & f(x_1)f(x'_2) & \cdots & f(x_1)f(x'_n) \\ \vdots & & & \\ f(x_n)f(x'_1) & f(x_n)f(x'_2) & \cdots & f(x_n)f(x'_n) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$K^{(4)} = F(\vec{x})_{n \times 1} F(\vec{x})_{1 \times n}^T$$

where

$$F(x)_{1 \times n}^T = \begin{pmatrix} f(x_1) \\ f(x_2) \\ \vdots f(x_n) \end{pmatrix}$$

Therefore,  $y^T K^{(4)} y = y^T F(x) F(x)^T y = y^T F(x) (y^T F(x))^T = \|y^T F(x)\|_2^2 \geq 0$

We can say ,  $k_2(., .)$  is a valid kernel function!.

## 2.3 2. (c)

To prove that  $k_5(x, x') = k_1(x, x')k_2(x, x')$   $K^{(5)} = K^{(1)} \circ K^{(2)}$  where  $\circ$  denotes the Hadamard product. Using the Schur product for  $K^{(1)}, K^{(2)}$  we can prove this.

Since,  $k_1$  and  $k_2$  are valid kernel function  $\exists v_i w_j$  the eigen vectors of matrix  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  defines such that:

$$K^{(1)} = \sum_i \lambda_i v_i v_i^T \text{ and } K^{(2)} = \sum_j \mu_j w_j w_j^T$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} K^{(5)} &= K^{(1)} \circ K^{(2)} \\ &= \sum_i \lambda_i v_i v_i^T \circ \sum_j \mu_j w_j w_j^T \\ &= \sum_{i,j} \lambda_i \mu_j (v_i v_i^T) \circ w_j w_j^T \\ &= \sum_{i,j} \lambda_i \mu_j (v_i \circ w_j) (v_j \circ w_j)^T \\ &\geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{As, } (v_i \circ w_j) (v_j \circ w_j)^T = \|v_i w_j\|_2^2 \geq 0$$

## 3 Kernel Regression

### 3.1 3.a

Given that ,  $\min_w (\sum_i (y_i - w^T x_i)^2 + \lambda \|w\|_2^2)$

We can think of it as vector and rewrite is as ,

$$\min_w (\|y - w^T X\|_2^2 + \lambda \|w\|_2^2)$$

$$\begin{aligned} f(w) &= \min_w (\|y - Xw\|_2^2 + \lambda \|w\|_2^2) \\ &= (y - Xw)^T (y - Xw) + \lambda w^T w \\ &= (y^T - w^T X^T)(y - Xw) + \lambda w^T w \\ &= y^T y - y^T Xw - w^T X^T y + w^T X^T Xw + \lambda w^T w \\ &= y^T y - (X^T y)^T w - w^T X^T y + w^T X^T Xw + \lambda w^T w \\ \frac{\partial f(w)}{\partial w} &= -X^T y - X^T y + 2\lambda w + (X^T Xw + (X X^T w)) = 0 \\ &= 2\lambda w + 2X^T Xw - 2X^T y = 0 \\ w(\lambda I_D + X^T X) &= X^T y \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow w^* = (X^T Xw + \lambda I_D)^{-1} X^T y, \text{ where } I_D \text{ denotes } D \times D \text{ identity matrix}$$

### 3.2 3.b

After applying the non linear feature mapping , the solution should be similar  $\min_w (\|y - w^T \Phi\|_2^2 + \lambda \|w\|_2^2)$

$$\Rightarrow w = (\Phi^T \Phi + \lambda I_D)^{-1} \Phi^T y$$

Using the identity:

$$(P^{-1} + B^T R^{-1} B)^{-1} B^T R^{-1} = P B^T (B P B^T + R)^{-1}$$

and assuming matrix inversion is valid

$$\begin{aligned} ((\lambda I_D + \Phi^T \Phi)^{-1}) \Phi^T y &= \Phi^T (\Phi \Phi^T + \lambda I_N)^{-1} y \\ w^* &= \Phi^T (\Phi \Phi^T + \lambda I_N)^{-1} y \end{aligned}$$

### 3.3 3.c

$$\hat{y} = w^{*T} \Phi(x)$$

can be written as

$$\hat{y} = (\Phi^T (\Phi \Phi^T + \lambda I_N)^{-1} y)^T \Phi(x) = y^T ((\Phi \Phi^T + \lambda I_N)^{-1})^T \Phi^T \Phi(x)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{y} &= y^T ((\Phi\Phi^T + \lambda I_N)^{-1})^T \Phi^T \Phi(x) \\
&= y^T ((\Phi\Phi^T + \lambda I_N)^T)^{-1} \Phi^T \Phi(x), \text{ Using } (A^{-1})^T = (A^T)^{-1} \\
&= y^T ((\Phi^T \Phi + \lambda I_N))^{-1} \Phi^T \Phi(x) \\
&= y^T (K + \lambda I_N)^{-1} \kappa(x)
\end{aligned}$$

Where  $K_{ij} = \Phi_i^T \Phi_j$  and  $\kappa(x) = \phi^T \phi^T(x)$  (given)

### 3.4 3.d

We can say that kernel ridge regression is  $O(n^3)$  for  $n$  data points, considering the multiplication and inversion of matrices. However, linear regression can be presented as quadratic programming and hence is  $O(n^2)$ . Kernel  $N \times N$  compared to  $D \times D$  (for ridge regression without kernel) as in Part (b). In cases where  $d < n$  this leads to an extra operations for computing  $K$ .