BINARY P-ADIC INTEGERS IN COLLATZ SEQUENCES

• First Last and First Last

ABSTRACT. The Collatz conjecture is a number theoretical problem, which has puzzled countless researchers using myriad approaches. We describe an approach from the perspective of 2-adic (binary) algebra.

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 37P99. Key words and phrases. 2-adic numbers, binary residue system.

Fundamentals short and sweet

unit An element a of a ring R is called a "unit" (an invertible element) if there exist an element b such that ab = 1 [1, p. 24]. Units are elements with inverses with respect to multiplication in the ring. Let F be a field, then an element a of F is a non-unit iff a = 0. The sum of any two non-units in F is again a non-unit in F.

unitary A unitary ring is a ring with a multiplicative identity 1 (which differs from the additive identity $1 \neq 0$) such that 1a = a = a1 for all elements a of the ring.

Ideal Let $(R, +, \cdot)$ be a commutative unitary ring. Then the subset $I \subseteq R$ is called an ideal of R if (I, +) is a commutative group and if $xI \subseteq I$ for all $x \in R$, see [2, p. 66-67].

quot. Using an ideal of a ring $I \subseteq R$, we may define an equivalence relation \sim on R by $a \sim b$ iff a-b is in I [3, p. 69]. The equivalence class of a in R is given by $[a] = a + I := \{a + r | r \in I\}$ for $r \in R$ and referred to as "residue class of a modulo I", see [4, p. 120], [3, p. 70]. The set of all these equivalence classes becomes the quotient ring (residue class ring) modulo the ideal I, denoted by R/I.

compl. Let $I \subseteq R$ be an ideal and [a] the residue classes of a modulo I, which means residue that a+I=b+I when $a\equiv b \mod I$ or respectively $a-b\in I$ [3, p. 70]. R system is the disjoint union of the different residue classes a modulo I. A subset $M\subseteq R$, which contains exactly one element from each of these residue classes, is called a complete residue system of R modulo I, see [3, p. 70].

[a]_n The residue class (also termed congruence class) of the integers for a modulus n is the set $[a]_n = \{a + kn | k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ and sometimes denoted by \bar{a}_n or by $a + n\mathbb{Z}$, see [2, p. 15], [4, p. 120], [5, p. 25].

 $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ The set of all residue classes $[a]_n$ is called the ring of integers modulo n and denoted by $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} = \{[a]_n | a \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ and trivially $\mathbb{Z}/0\mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Z}$ and for all $n \neq 0$ we have $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} = \{[0], [1], \dots, [n-1]\}$, see [2, p. 15], [5, p. 25].

direct If R_1, R_2, \ldots, R_n are rings, the cartesian product $R_1 \times R_2 \times \ldots \times R_n$ forms the prod. set of all ordered n-tuples (r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_n) , where $r_i \in R_i$. The addition and multiplication of these n-tuples is defined "coordinatewise" by components. The resulting ring is called a "direct product" of the original rings R_i [2, p. 51], [6, p. 169].

princip. A "principle ideal" is an ideal in a ring R which is generated by a single ideal element a of R through multiplication by every element of R. There are some rings in which every ideal is a principle ideal, so-called "principle ideal rings" [2, p. 68].

max. A proper Ideal M of a ring R is called "maximal ideal" of R if there is no other proper ideal N of R properly containing M [6, p. 247], [1, p. 37]. A Note on "proper containment": If R is any set, then R is the improper subset of R. Any other subset $N \neq R$ is a proper subset of R and denoted by $N \subset R$ or $N \subsetneq R$ [6, p. 2].

prime Let a and b are two elements of R and P a proper ideal such that their product ab is an element of P. P is called a prime ideal if at least one of a and b belongs to P, in other words from $ab \in P$ and $a \notin P$ always follows $b \in P$ [1, p. 9].

max. A proper prime ideal P is said to be a "maximal prime ideal" of the ring prime R, if there is no other proper prime ideal containing P [1, p. 23]. ideal

local A commutative ring R is called a local ring if it has a unique maximal ideal ring M [7, p. 522].

Noeth. A ring R is called "Noetherian" when in R the maximal condition for ring ideals is satisfied, in other words if every ideal I of R is finitely generated, that is, if we can find a finite set a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n of elements, such that $I = Ra_1 + Ra_2 + \ldots + Ra_n$ [1, p. 19, 101].

semi- A semi-local ring is a Noetherian ring which has only a finite number of maximal ideals [1, p. 107].

A zero sequence is a sequence, which converges towards 0 [8, p. 154]. Given seq. the context of ideal theory, let R be a ring and I an ideal. In the ring $R^{\mathbb{N}} = \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} R$, which is the repeated direct product of R with itself, a sequence $(x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is called a zero sequence if for every $s \in \mathbb{N}$ there exist a $N \in \mathbb{N}$ (depending on s) such that $x_n \in I^s$ for all n > N.

Cauchy A sequence $(x_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ in \mathbb{Q} or \mathbb{R} is a Cauchy sequence if for any $\epsilon > 0$ there seq. in exists a positive integer N such that $|x_n - x_m| < \epsilon$ for all $n, m \geq N$, \mathbb{Q} , \mathbb{R} see [8, p. 153], [9, p. 24], [15, p. 10].

Cauchy Let $(x_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of elements in $R^{\mathbb{N}}$, the repeated direct product of seq. in a ring with itself, and I an ideal in R. This sequence is a Cauchy sequence a ring if for every $s \in \mathbb{N}$ there exist a $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x_n - x_m \in I^s$ for all n, m > N.

Cauchy Let $(x_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of elements in a local ring R and M is the maximal seq. in ideal of R. This sequence is a Cauchy sequence if, given any $s\in\mathbb{N}$, we can alocal always find an integer N such that $x_n-x_m\in M^s$ whenever n>m>N, ring see [1, p. 63, 85]. It is a Cauchy sequence iff $x_n-x_{n-1}\to 0$ as $n\to\infty$ [1, p. 85].

compl. Let R be a ring, I an indeal, I_{ZS} the ideal of all zero sequences in $R^{\mathbb{N}}$, and of a S_{CS} the subring of $R^{\mathbb{N}}$ containing all Cauchy sequences. The quotient ring ring $\hat{R}_I := S_{CS}/I_{ZS}$ is called the completion of R with respect to I. S_{CS}/I_{ZS} is the residue class ring of S_{CS} modulo I.

concor. Let R, S be local rings. If a sequence of elements of S is a Cauchy sequence ext. in S iff it is a Cauchy sequence in R, then we say that R is a "concordant extension" of S [1, p. 87]. When R, S are semi-local rings $R \subseteq S$, R is said to be a "concordant extension" of S if a sequence (s_n) of elements in S is regular in S iff (s_n) is regular in R [10].

compl. Let S be a local ring. A local ring R will be called a completion of S if of a R is a concordant extension of S and R is complete and if every element of R is the limit of a sequence of elements of S. Each local ring has a ring completion [1, p. 92].

compl. A local ring R is called "complete" if every Cauchy sequence composed of local elements of R has a limit in R [1, p. 85], [11, p. 184]. ring

p-adic Fix a prime number p in \mathbb{Z} . The p-adic valuation of a nonzero integer val. for $n = r \cdot p^{v_p(n)}$ is the highest exponent $v_p(n)$ such that $p^{v_p(n)}$ divides n (we say $p^{v_p(n)}$ divides n "exactly"). Hence p and r are coprime. If n, p are coprime then $v_p(n) = 0$, and by convention $v_p(0) = \infty$, see [12].

p-adic valuation can be extended to the field of rational numbers. Let val. for $x = n \cdot s^{-1}$ be a rational number, then $v_p(x) = v_p(n) - v_p(s)$. Any nonzero \mathbb{Q} rational number x can be uniquely represented as $x = rp^{v_p(x)}s^{-1}$, where $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}, s > 0$, and $\gcd(r, s) = \gcd(r, p) = \gcd(s, p) = 1$, see [8, p. 154], [13].

p-adic norm Let x be any number in \mathbb{Q} , for which we already know that it can be written as $x = rp^{v_p(x)}s^{-1}$, where p is a prime number, s > 0 and r are integers not divisible by p. The p-adic norm of x is defined by $|x|_p = p^{-v_p(x)}$ for $x \neq 0$, and $|0|_p = 0$, see [12], [8, p. 154], [14].

p-adic Let $x, y \in \mathbb{Q}$. The p-adic distance between x and y is defined by $d_p(x, y) = \text{dist.}$ $|x - y|_p$, see [8, p. 155].

The field \mathbb{Q}_p of p-adic numbers is the set of equivalence classes of Cauchy sequences [15, p. 10]. The elements of \mathbb{Q}_p , the so-called p-adic numbers, are eqivalence classes of Cauchy sequences $(a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ in \mathbb{Q} with respect to the equivalence relation $(a_n) \sim (b_n)$ if $(a_n - b_n)$ is a p-adic zero sequence, see [8, p. 159]. Furthermore \mathbb{Q}_p is the completion of \mathbb{Q} with respect to the p-adic distance d_p [8, p. 159].

The ring \mathbb{Z}_p of p-adic integers is the completion of \mathbb{Z} with respect to the p-adic norm. That is, \mathbb{Z}_p is the set of all equivalence classes of Cauchy sequences (a_n) where (a_n) and (b_n) are equivalent if $\lim_{n\to\infty} |a_n - b_n|_p = 0$, see [16]. \mathbb{Z}_p is a local ring whose maximal ideal is the principal ideal $p\mathbb{Z}_p = \{x \in \mathbb{Q}_p : |x|_p < 1\}$, see [17, p. 74].

For k=1, let's say that $\frac{v_1\beta}{2^{\alpha}}=\frac{v_1+\delta}{2^{\alpha}}=1$ it is clear that an overflow is provoked by δ the accumulation of "+1", and it occurs before this δ reaches v_1 since v_1 is already larger than half of its next power of 2 (the one it will overflow to). So it is clear that $\delta < v_1$ and therefore $\beta < 2$

For k=3, however, the multiplication by 3 make it possible that δ grows larger than v_1 Let's say $\frac{3^n v_1 \beta}{2^{\alpha}} = \frac{3^n v_1 + \delta}{2^{\alpha}} = 1$

Imagine we are at an intermediate step $v_i = 17$ (10001) and we already have some "+1 accumulation" $\delta = 13$ (1101). $\delta < v_i$, the sum (30 or 11110) still bellow overflow point (power of 2 just above 17 which is 32) and in the case of k = 1, δ would grow up to overflow with the guarantee it will stay smaller tan v_1 since the overflow point don't move.

With the k=3 case, the overflow point can move higher than the next power of 2 above 17 (due to multiplication by 3). If you multiply by 3, you get $v_{i+1}=51$ (110011) and $\delta=39$ (100111), but as you can see, the overflow point is not above the main term anymore (the sum is already larger than that power of 2), and does not prevent δ to grow larger than the v_i 's with accumulated "+1". In which case you can end up with $\beta>2$ and therefore 3.8 would not be true anymore.

Ok, we proove our formula for alpha inductively.

$$\hat{\alpha}(n) = \lfloor n \cdot \log_2 3 + \log_2 v_1 \rfloor + 1$$

For the base case n = 1 we have an always true statement:

$$\hat{\alpha}(1) = \lfloor \log_2 3 + \log_2 v_1 \rfloor + 1$$

Now we need to show that an arbitary n induces an always a true statement for n+1:

$$\hat{\alpha}(n+1) = |(n+1) \cdot \log_2 3 + \log_2 v_1| + 1$$

In order to show that this statement is true, we set

$$\frac{2^{\hat{\alpha}(n+1)}}{2^{\hat{\alpha}(n)}} = \frac{2^{\lfloor (n+1) \cdot \log_2 3 + \log_2 v_1 \rfloor}}{2^{\lfloor n \cdot \log_2 3 + \log_2 v_1 \rfloor}} = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } n \text{ even} \\ 4 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

This finally means that $\hat{\alpha}(n+1) = 2 * \hat{\alpha}(n)$ for all even n and $\hat{\alpha}(n+1) = 4 * \hat{\alpha}(n)$ for all odd n.

References

- [1] D. G. Northcott. *Ideal Theory*, volume 42 of *Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics and Mathematical Physics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom, 1953.
- [2] J. Wolfart. Einführung in die Zahlentheorie und Algebra. Vieweg+Teubner, Wiesbaden, Germany, 2 edition, 2011.
- [3] R. Schulze-Pillot. Einführung in Algebra und Zahlentheorie. Springer, Berlin, Germany, 3 edition, 2015.
- [4] M. Schubert. Mathematik für Informatiker. Vieweg+Teubner, Wiesbaden, Germany, 2009.
- [5] S. Müller-Stach and J. Piontkowski. Elementare und algebraische Zahlentheorie. Vieweg+Teubner, Wiesbaden, Germany, 2 edition, 2011.

- [6] J. B. Fraleigh. A First Course in Abstract Algebra. Pearson, Harlow, United Kingdom, 7 edition, 2014.
- [7] J. J. Rotman. A First Course in Abstract Algebra. Prentice Hall, Upper Saddle River, NJ, 3 edition, 2005.
- [8] A. Schmidt. Einführung in die algebraische Zahlentheorie. Springer, Berlin, Germany, 2007.
- [9] N. J. Higham. The Princeton Companion to Applied Mathematics. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2015.
- [10] E. H. Batho. Some remarks on non-commutative extensions of local rings. *Nagoya Mathematical Journal*, 14.
- [11] G. Kemper. A Course in Commutative Algebra. Springer, Heidelberg, Germany, 2011.
- [12] T. C. Herwig. The p-adic completion of q and hensel's lemma. Technical report, Department of Mathematics, University of Chicago, 2011.
- [13] E. W. Weisstein. "p-adic number." from mathworld-a wolfram web resource.
- [14] E. W. Weisstein. "p-adic norm." from mathworld—a wolfram web resource.
- [15] N. Koblitz. p-adic Numbers, p-adic Analysis, and Zeta-Functions. Springer, New York, NY, 2 edition, 1984.
- [16] A. Gupta. The p-adic integers, analytically and algebraically. Technical report, Department of Mathematics, University of Chicago, 2018.
- [17] F. Q. Gouvêa. p-adic Numbers. Springer, Cham, Switzerland, 3 edition, 2020.

FIRST LASTNAME, GRADUATE SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, XYZ UNIVERSITY, CITY, ADRESSZUSATZ, ZIP, GERMANY

Email address: first.last@university.de

FIRST LASTNAME, GRADUATE SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, XYZ UNIVERSITY, CITY, ADRESSZUSATZ, ZIP, GERMANY

Email address: first.last@university.de