


THE ROLE OF ENGEL EXPANSIONS IN COLLATZ SEQUENCES

 First Last and First Last

ABSTRACT. The Collatz conjecture is a number theoretical problem, which has puzzled countless researchers using myriad approaches. Presently, there are scarcely investigations to treat the problem from the angle of the question "which are the corner cases the Collatz Sequences?". We pursue this question and to this end examine ascending continued fractions – the so called Engel expansions. We demonstrate that Engel expansions form worst case sequences $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n, v_{n+1}$ maximizing v_{n+1} and maximizing the product $(1 + 1/3v_1)(1 + 1/3v_2) \cdots (1 + 1/3v_n)(1 + 1/3v_{n+1})$

1. INTRODUCTION

The Collatz conjecture is a well-known number theory problem and is the subject of numerous publications. An overview is provided by Lagarias [1]. Therefore, our description of the topic will be brief. The mathematician Lothar Collatz introduced a function $g : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ as follows:

$$(1) \quad g(x) = \begin{cases} 3x + 1 & 2 \nmid x \\ x/2 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

In the following, we only consider compressed Collatz sequences that solely contain the odd members, such as described by Bruckman [2], who used the more convenient function that opts out all even integers:

$$(2) \quad f(x) = (3x + 1) \cdot 2^{-\alpha(x)}, \text{ where } 2^{\alpha(x)} \parallel (3x + 1)$$

Note that $\alpha(x)$ is the largest possible exponent for which $2^{\alpha(x)}$ exactly divides $3x + 1$. Especially for prime powers, one often says p^α *divides* the integer x *exactly*, denoted as $p^\alpha \parallel x$, if p^α is the greatest power of the prime p that divides x .

A (compressed) Collatz sequence $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n, v_{n+1}$ allowed at most one division by 2 between two successive members. Dividing only once between two successive members,

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 37P99.

Key words and phrases. Engel Expansions, Collatz Sequences.

maximizes v_{n+1} . Such a sequence forms the following ascending continued fraction (cf. also [3, p. 11]):

$$(3) \quad v_{n+1} = \frac{3 \frac{3v_1 + 1}{2} + 1}{2} \dots = \frac{3^n v_1 + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} 3^i 2^{n-1-i}}{2^n} = \frac{3^n(v_1 + 1) - 2^n}{2^n}$$

Example 1.1. A concrete example for such a sequence is $v_1 = 31$, $v_2 = 47$, $v_3 = 71$, $v_4 = 107$, $v_5 = 161$. And, to follow that example, we can calculate v_5 in a straightforward way:

$$v_5 = v_{n+1} = \frac{3^4(31 + 1) - 2^4}{2^4} = 161$$

Besides, by choosing a starting number $v_1 = 2^{n+1} - 1$, we are able to infinitely generate sequences each forming an ascending continued fraction. As per equation 3 the last member in this sequence is the odd number $v_{n+1} = 3^n \cdot 2 - 1$.

Remark 1.2. Ascending variants of a continued fraction, such as used in equation 3, shall not be confused with continued fractions as treated in [4], [5], [6]. Ascending continued fractions used in our case correspond to the so-called "Engel Expansions" [7].

As illustrated below, we can formulate the ascending continued fractions in a generalized fashion, whereas the analogy to 3 is given by $b_1 = b_2 = b_3 = b_4 = 2$ and $a_1 = 3^0$, $a_2 = 3^1$, $a_3 = 3^2$ and $a_4 = 3^3 + 3^4 v_1$:

$$\frac{a_1 + \frac{a_2 + \frac{a_3 + \frac{a_4}{b_4}}{b_3}}{b_2}}{b_1} \dots = \frac{a_1}{b_1} + \frac{a_2}{b_1 b_2} + \frac{a_3}{b_1 b_2 b_3} + \frac{a_4}{b_1 b_2 b_3 b_4} + \dots$$

The generalized form of equation 3 may be used to compute any of the above-named ascending continued fraction that has $a_i = k^{i-1}$, $b_i = b$ for $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a_n = k^{n-1} + k^n v_1$:

$$(4) \quad v_{n+1} = \frac{k^n(kv_1 - bv_1 + 1) - b^n}{b^n(k - b)}$$

2. INCLUDE MORE DIVISIONS BY TWO INTO AN ENGEL EXPANSION

For calculating the largest possible v_{n+1} , we considered so far Engel expansions which contain only n division by two within a Collatz sequence of $n + 1$ members. In the following we include m additional divisions by two and thus a total of $m + n$ divisions.

Let us take a look at two corner cases:

- the one where we do the additional m divisions by 2 at the end and
- the one where we do these additional divisions at the very beginning.

The first case is our starting point to examine how the swapping a division by two affects the node v_{n+1} . For this, let us compare the Engel expansion where we divide by 2^m afterwards with one where we divide by 2 in the penultimate step and by 2^{m-1} in last step. One can immediately recognize the following inequality with a mere look:

$$\frac{1 + \frac{3 + \frac{3^2 + \frac{3^3 + 3^4 v_1}{2}}{2}}{2}}{2 \cdot 2^m} < \frac{1 + \frac{3 + \frac{3^2 + \frac{3^3 + 3^4 v_1}{2}}{2}}{2 \cdot \mathbf{2}}}{2 \cdot 2^{m-1}}$$

To put it simply, in the expansion on the right side of the above-shown inequality we perform one division by two a little bit earlier as we do it in the expansion on the left side of the expansion. Almost all summands of both expansions cancel out each other:

$$\frac{1}{2 \cdot 2^m} + \frac{3}{\cancel{2^2 \cdot 2^m}} + \frac{3^2}{2^3 \cdot 2^m} + \frac{3^3 + 3^4 v_1}{2^4 \cdot 2^m} < \frac{1}{2 \cdot 2^{m-1}} + \frac{3}{\cancel{2^2 \cdot \mathbf{2} \cdot 2^{m-1}}} + \frac{3^2}{2^3 \cdot \mathbf{2} \cdot 2^{m-1}} + \frac{3^3 + 3^4 v_1}{2^4 \cdot \mathbf{2} \cdot 2^{m-1}}$$

The second case deals with Engel expansions where we perform that additional m divisions by two as early as possible. The resulting value decreases, when we make a division by two later:

$$\frac{1 + \frac{3 + \frac{3^2 + \frac{3^3 + 3^4 v_1}{2 \cdot 2^{m-1}}}{2 \cdot \mathbf{2}}}{2}}{2} < \frac{1 + \frac{3 + \frac{3^2 + \frac{3^3 + 3^4 v_1}{2 \cdot 2^m}}{2}}{2}}{2}$$

Also here almost all summands of both Engel expansions, they cancel each other out:

$$\frac{1}{2} + \frac{3}{2^2} + \frac{3^2}{2^3 \cdot \mathbf{2}} + \frac{3^3 + 3^4 v_1}{2^4 \cdot \mathbf{2} \cdot 2^{m-1}} < \frac{1}{2} + \frac{3}{2^2} + \frac{3^2}{2^3} + \frac{3^3 + 3^4 v_1}{2^4 \cdot 2^m}$$

While the first case minimizes the value of the node v_{n+1} , the second case maximizes it. The difference between the maximum and the minimum is given by the following equation:

$$\frac{3^{n-1} \left(\frac{3v_1+1}{2 \cdot 2^m} + 1 \right) - 2^{n-1}}{2^{n-1}} - \frac{3^n (v_1 + 1) - 2^n}{2^{n+m}} = \left(\frac{3^{n-1}}{2^{n-1}} - 1 \right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^m} \right)$$

This has the consequence that for a given sequence consisting of $n + 1$ members, between which a total of $n + m$ divisions have taken place, the permutation of these divisions has a very limited effect on the node v_{n+1} as described by theorem 2.1.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n, v_{n+1}$ be a sequence in which a total of $n + m$ divisions by two took place. No matter how these divisions are permuted, i.e. performed sooner or later, the last member v_{n+1} can differ at most by the following product:*

$$\left(\frac{3^{n-1}}{2^{n-1}} - 1 \right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^m} \right)$$

3. THE PRODUCT OF RECIPROCATED COLLATZ MEMBERS INCREMENTED BY ONE

Let us take a closer look at the product $(1+1/3v_1)(1+1/3v_2) \cdots (1+1/3v_n)(1+1/3v_{n+1})$ that we mentioned at the beginning and use the ascending continued fractions for examining it. The exciting question is: Does this product have a limit value even in the case where between successive Collatz sequence members v_i and v_{i+1} a division by two has been performed only once? Using the Engel expansion (that sequence maximizing v_{n+1}) for calculating this product, we obtain a product which is limited, or to be more specific, we obtain a product that cannot exceed the value $4/3$:

$$(5) \quad \prod_{i=1}^n \left(1 + \frac{1}{3v_i} \right) = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{3^i(v_1 + 1) - 2^i}{3^i(v_1 + 1) - 3 \cdot 2^{i-1}} = \frac{1}{v_1} - \frac{1}{v_1} \left(\frac{2}{3} \right)^n + 1$$

The largest possible value of this product can be received by setting $v_1 = n = 1$. In this case the product returns $1 - 2/3 + 1$. Inserting any odd value greater than one into v_1 leads to a smaller product, no matter how large you choose n . When setting $v_1 = 3$ the product converges (for n to infinity) from below towards $4/3$. The larger you choose v_1 , the smaller becomes the product.

4. INCLUDE ADDITIONAL DIVISIONS INTO THE PRODUCT

How does this product looks like if we include the additional m divisions into the Engel expansion as per section 2? To answer this question, we consider the sequence $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n, v_{n+1}$ and we set $v_2 = 3^{v_1+1}/2 \cdot 2^m$. Then reusing the continued fraction given by equation 3, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (6) \quad v_{n+1} &= \frac{\frac{3 \frac{3v_1+1}{2 \cdot 2^m} + 1}{2} + 1}{2} \dots = \frac{\frac{3 \frac{3v_2+1}{2} + 1}{2} + 1}{2} \dots = \frac{3^{n-1}(v_2+1) - 2^{n-1}}{2^{n-1}} \\
 &= \frac{3^{n-1}(\frac{3v_1+1}{2 \cdot 2^m} + 1) - 2^{n-1}}{2^{n-1}} = \frac{3^n v_1 + 3^{n-1} + 3^{n-1} 2^{m+1}}{2^{m+n}} - 1
 \end{aligned}$$

The product will be calculated by using equation 5:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (7) \quad \prod_{i=1}^n \left(1 + \frac{1}{3v_i}\right) &= \left(1 + \frac{1}{3v_1}\right) \cdot \prod_{i=2}^n \left(1 + \frac{1}{3v_i}\right) \\
 &= \left(1 + \frac{1}{3v_1}\right) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \left(1 + \frac{1}{3v_{i+1}}\right) \\
 &= \left(1 + \frac{1}{3v_1}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{1}{v_2} - \frac{1}{v_2} \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{n-1} + 1\right)
 \end{aligned}$$

Finally substituting $v_2 = 3^{v_1+1}/2 \cdot 2^m$ into equation 7 leads to the simplified formula of the product:

$$(8) \quad \prod_{i=1}^n \left(1 + \frac{1}{3v_i}\right) = \left(1 + \frac{1}{3v_1}\right) \cdot \frac{1 - \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{n-1} + v_2}{v_2} = \frac{1 + 2^{m+1}}{3v_1} - \frac{2^m}{v_1} \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^n + 1$$

Example 4.1. An example provides the sequence $v_1 = 661, v_2 = 31, v_3 = 47, v_4 = 71, v_5 = 107$. When we input $v_1 = 661$ with $m = 5$ and $n = 4$ into equation 6 we retrieve the value of v_5 :

$$v_5 = v_{n+1} = \frac{3^4 \cdot 661 + 3^3 + 3^3 \cdot 2^6}{2^9} - 1 = 107$$

In this sequence five ($m = 5$) additional divisions by two took place in the first step using $v_1 = 661$:

$$\frac{3 \cdot 661 - 1}{2 \cdot 2^5} = v_2 = 31$$

Let us now verify the formula for the product by taking this particular example. To this end we input $v_1 = 661$ together with $m = 5$ and $n = 4$ into equation 8:

$$\begin{aligned} \left(1 + \frac{1}{3 \cdot 661}\right) \left(1 + \frac{1}{3 \cdot 31}\right) \left(1 + \frac{1}{3 \cdot 47}\right) \left(1 + \frac{1}{3 \cdot 71}\right) &= \frac{1 + 2^6}{3 \cdot 661} - \frac{2^5}{661} \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^4 + 1 \\ &= 1.023215853 \end{aligned}$$

5. CONDITION FOR A LIMITED GROWTH OF THE ENGEL EXPANSION

Let us look now into the question of what condition must be met to prevent a greater growth than a decline in Collatz sequences. Specifically we consider an Engel expansion comprising $n + 1$ sequence members that include m additional divisions by two at the beginning. The last member v_{n+1} in such a sequence can be calculated by formula 6. In order to restrict the growth of this sequence, we require that the last member has to be smaller than the first one. For this we define the condition $v_{n+1} < v_1$:

$$\frac{3^n v_1 + 3^{n-1} + 3^{n-1} 2^{m+1}}{2^{m+n}} - 1 < v_1$$

Reshaping this inequality leads to the following condition:

$$(9) \quad \frac{3^{n-1} (2^{m+1} - 2)}{2^{m+n} - 3^n} - 1 < v_1$$

6. ENGEL EXPANSIONS MAXIMIZE THE PRODUCT

The question which sequence maximizes the target node v_{n+1} ties into the question: Which sequence maximizes the product? The product formula that do not depend from all vertices v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n depends only from 2^α , from the starting node v_1 and the target node v_{n+1} :

$$\prod_{i=1}^n \left(1 + \frac{1}{k v_i}\right) = \frac{2^{\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n} v_{n+1}}{k^n v_1}$$

In order to maximize this product, one needs to maximize the target node v_{n+1} , which exactly the Engel expansion does. Hence, for a given v_1 , the Engel expansion is the worst case sequence maximizing the product of reciprocated Collatz members incremented by one $(1 + 1/3v_1)(1 + 1/3v_2) \cdots (1 + 1/3v_n)(1 + 1/3v_{n+1})$.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. C. Lagarias. *The Ultimate Challenge: The $3x+1$ Problem*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2010.
- [2] P. S. Bruckman. Retracted article: A proof of the collatz conjecture. *International Journal of Mathematical Education in Science and Technology*, 39(3):403–407, 2008.
- [3] T.M.M. Laarhoven. The $3n + 1$ conjecture, 7 2009.
- [4] C. G. Moore. *Introduction to Continued Fractions*. National Council of Teachers of Mathematics, 1964.
- [5] D. Hensley. *Continued Fractions*. World Scientific Publishing, 2006.
- [6] J. Borwe, A. van der Poorten, J. Shallit, and W. Zudlin. *Neverending Fractions: An Introduction to Continued Fractions*. Cambridge University Press, 2014.
- [7] C. Kraaikamp and J. Wu. On a new continued fraction expansion with non-decreasing partial quotients. *Monatshefte für Mathematik*, 143:285–298, 2004.

FIRST LASTNAME, GRADUATE SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, XYZ UNIVERSITY, CITY, ADRESSZUSATZ,
ZIP, GERMANY

Email address: `first.last@university.de`

FIRST LASTNAME, GRADUATE SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, XYZ UNIVERSITY, CITY, ADRESSZUSATZ,
ZIP, GERMANY

Email address: `first.last@university.de`