

LAST WEEK: GREEN'S FUNCTIONS ARE "INVERSES"  
OF DIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS  
LINEAR TRANSF. ON FUNK SPACE

MON (W/IAN): EXAMPLES IN PRACTICE

§4.5  
of Stone & Goldbart

↑ USED RESULT FROM Q ANALYSIS,  
WE WILL REVIEW NEXT WEEK

↓

TODAY: SAME STORY, SLIGHTLY MORE FORMAL.

IN QM: OBSERVABLES ARE HERMITIAN

SELF ADJOINT:  $\hat{Q}^\dagger = \hat{Q}$   
 $\hat{Q}^\dagger = \hat{Q}^T$

THIS MAKES SENSE; HERMITIAN OPERATORS

1. HAVE IR EIGENVALUES
2.  $\leftrightarrow$  DIAGONALIZABLE
3.  $\leftrightarrow$  COMPLETE BASIS OF EIGENVECTORS

WHAT IS THE ANALOG IN FUNCTION SPACE?

general linear differential operator in 1D

$$L = P_n(x) \left( \frac{d}{dx} \right)^n + P_{(n-1)}(x) \left( \frac{d}{dx} \right)^{n-1} + \dots + P_0(x)$$

\* given inner product w/ WEIGHT  $w(x)$

$$\langle f | g \rangle_w = \int_D w f^* g dx$$

↑  
DOMAIN D

"formal diff. operator"

(not yet thinking about function space on which it acts - eg BOUNDARIES)

CLAIM:  $\exists$  ANOTHER FORMAL OPERATOR  $L^\dagger$  s.t.  
 FOR SUFFICIENTLY DIFFERENTIABLE  $f, g$   
 w/ "nice"

$$w[f^* L g - g(L^\dagger f)^*] = \frac{d}{dx} Q[f, g]$$

FORMAL ADJOINT  
of  $L$

some bilinear  
functional

WHY IS THIS USEFUL OR IMPORTANT?

IF WE INTEGRATE BOTH SIDES:

$$\langle f | L g \rangle - \langle L^\dagger f | g \rangle = Q[f, g] \Big|_{\partial D}$$

EVALUATED @  
BOUNDARIES OF  $D$

SO IF  $D = [a, b]$  AND  $Q|_b - Q|_a = 0$ ,  
 THEN THE FORMAL ADJOINT IS REALLY THE  
 ADJOINT / HERMITIAN CONJUGATE IN THE  
 FINITE DIM / QM SENSE:

$$\langle f | L g \rangle = \langle L^\dagger f | g \rangle$$

THIS IS A FANCY WAY OF SAYING:

ADJOINT: integrate by parts.

eg. consider  $L = c \frac{d}{dx}$   
 $\uparrow$  constant

on a function space with  $w=1$   
 $\dagger$  boundaries  $[a, b]$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle f | Lg \rangle &= \int_a^b dx f^* \left( c \frac{d}{dx} g \right) \\
 &= - \int_a^b dx \frac{d}{dx} (c f^*) g + c f^* g \Big|_a^b \\
 &= \underbrace{\int_a^b dx \left( -c^* \frac{d}{dx} f \right)^* g}_{\langle (-c^* \frac{d}{dx}) f | g \rangle} + \underbrace{c f^* g \Big|_a^b}_{\substack{\int_a^b \frac{d}{dx} (c f^* g) dx \\ \text{BOUNDARY TERM, } Q.}}
 \end{aligned}$$

$\underbrace{\langle (-c^* \frac{d}{dx}) f | g \rangle}_{\equiv L^\dagger}$

IS  $L$  SELF ADJOINT?

$$c \frac{d}{dx} \stackrel{?}{=} -c^* \frac{d}{dx}$$

only if  $c \propto i$

why?  $c^* = -c$   
 WHERE MINUS  
 SIGN CAME FROM  
 INTEGRATION BY  
 PARTS

OF COURSE, WE RECOGNIZE  $-i \frac{d}{dx}$  AS MOMENTUM  $\hat{p}$ !

why this sign?  
 convention of which way  
 the momentum is defined  
 $e^{i\omega t - ikx}$

ANOTHER EXAMPLE

$$L = P_2 \left( \frac{d}{dx} \right)^2 + P_1 \frac{d}{dx} + P_0$$

$$W = 1$$

↑                      ↑                      ↑  
 POLYNOMIAL COEFFICIENTS, ASSUME REAL  $P_i^* = P_i$

$$\langle f | Lg \rangle = \int_a^b dx \, f^* (P_2 g'' + P_1 g' + P_0 g)$$

$$- \int_a^b dx \, [(P_2 f)']^* g' + (\text{BNDY})$$

$$+ \int_a^b dx \, [(P_2 f)']^* g + (\text{BNDY})$$

$$- \int_a^b dx \, [(P_1 f)']^* g + (\text{BNDY})$$

$$= \int_a^b dx \, [(P_2 f)'' - (P_1 f)' + P_2 f]^* g + (\text{BNDY})$$

$$\langle L^+ f | g \rangle$$

HW?

→  
 SG 09  
 (4.25)

CLAIM :  $L^+ = \left( \frac{d}{dx} \right)^2 P_2 - \left( \frac{d}{dx} \right) P_1 + P_0$

↑

means:

$$\left[ -\frac{d}{dx} P_1 \right] f = -\frac{d}{dx} (P_1 f)$$

$$L^\dagger = P_2 \left( \frac{d}{dx} \right)^2 + (2P_2' - P_1) \frac{d}{dx} + (P_2'' - P_1' + P_0)$$

WHAT REQUIREMENTS ON  $P_i$  s.t.  $L$  IS SELF-ADJOINT?

$$L = L^\dagger \longrightarrow P_2 = P_2$$

$$2P_2' - P_1 = P_1 \Rightarrow \boxed{P_2' = P_1}$$

$$\underbrace{P_2'' - P_1' + P_0}_{=0} = P_0 \Rightarrow \boxed{P_2'' = P_1'}$$

↑  
1st & second deriv.  
match.

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{\boxed{P_1 = P_2'}}$$

$$\boxed{L = \frac{d}{dx} \left( P_2 \frac{d}{dx} \right) + P_0} \longleftarrow \boxed{\text{STURM-LIOUVILLE } \mathcal{L}}$$

$$= P_2 \left( \frac{d}{dx} \right)^2 + \underbrace{\left( \frac{d}{dx} P_2 \right)}_{P_1} \frac{d}{dx} + P_0$$

Why does this deserve a name?

↳ general 2<sup>nd</sup> O DIFF OP ON 1D SPACE  
THAT IS SELF-ADJOINT.

↑ observable

EIGENVALUES: want: nke, R eigenvalues  
complete set of EIGENVEC.

OUR FAVORITE CONCRETE EXAMPLE

$$D = [0, 1]$$

$$W = 1$$

$$L = -(\hbar/dx)^2$$

↑  
w/ DIRICHLET  
B/C ON BOTH

↑  
MUCH OF YOUR PHYSICS  
LIFE: VARIANTS OF THIS

$$\langle f | g \rangle = \int_0^1 f^* g \, dx$$

$$\langle f | Lg \rangle - \langle Lf | g \rangle = [f'^* g - f^* g']_0^1$$

= 0 by DIRICHLET.

LET  $V_n$  be EIGENFUNCTION OF  $L$  w/ EIG. VAL  $\lambda_n$

↑  
 $\sqrt{2} \sin n\pi x$   
↑  
ORTHO!

↑  
 $n^2 \pi^2$   
↑  
IR!

in general,

$$\langle V_i | L V_j \rangle = \int dx \, V_i^* L V_j = \lambda_j \int dx \, V_i^* V_j$$

$$\langle V_j | L V_i \rangle = \lambda_i \int dx \, V_j^* V_i$$

↑  
IF  $L$  HERMITIAN:

$$\langle V_i | L V_j \rangle = \langle V_j | L V_i \rangle^*$$

$$\langle V_j | L V_i \rangle - \langle V_i | L V_j \rangle^* = 0 = (\lambda_i - \lambda_j^*) \underbrace{\int dx \, V_j^* V_i}_{\text{"}\delta_{ij}\text{"}}$$

$\Rightarrow \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$

eg: THIS DOESN'T WORK AUTOMATICALLY  
 SAME FUNCTION SPACE

↑  
 $[0, 1]$ , DIRICHLET,  $w=1$

$$\boxed{L = -i d/dx}$$

our familiar momentum op.

↑  
 HERMITIAN, WE SHOWED THIS.

EIGENFUNCTIONS:  $LU = \lambda U$

↑  
 $\Rightarrow U \sim e^{i\lambda x}$

BUT  $\boxed{e^{i\lambda x} \neq 0}$  — incompatible w/ B.C.

so in order to define ADJOINT / HERMITIAN,  
 need to provide BOUNDARIES.

BOILS DOWN TO THE BOUNDARY TERMS

↳ see Stone & Goldbart 4.2.3 ADJOINT B.C.

OPERATOR ON FINE SPACE COMES w/ B.C

as we saw in the matrix  
 representations

# IN FINITE DIM UN ALG

$T: V \rightarrow V$  w/ inner product  $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle$

$\exists w$  s.t.  $\langle w | v \rangle = \langle u | Tv \rangle$  ?

IF SO:  $u$  is in DOMAIN OF  $T^+$   
AND  $T^+u = w$ .

in finite dim  $V$ , there is always such a  $w$ .

in infinite dim, cannot always do this, for  
FINITE LENGTH ELEMENTS.

no  $\delta$ -functions, which would  
help w/ b/c.

then need to make sure BOUNDARY TERM VANISHES  
 $\Rightarrow$  PART OF DEF OF  $L$

eg:  $L = -i\frac{d}{dx}$  DOMAIN:  $f, Tf \in L^2[0,1]$   
 $\underbrace{f(1) = 0}_{\text{one b/c.}}$

$$\langle u | Lv \rangle = -i[u^*(1)v(1) - u^*(0)v(0)] + \int_0^1 dx (-i\frac{d}{dx}u)^* v$$

$\begin{matrix} \swarrow \\ \text{b/c } v \text{ in DOMAIN} \\ \text{OF } L \end{matrix}$

$\uparrow$   
can be  
anything

$\Rightarrow$  thus b/c on this  
MUST BE  $\Rightarrow$

$L^+ = -i\frac{d}{dx}$  DOMAIN:  $f, Lf \in L^2[0,1]$

$$\boxed{f(0) = 0}$$

ADJOINT B/C



By comparison

$$L = -i \frac{d}{dx} \quad \text{DOMAIN: } f, Lf \in L^2[0,1]$$

$$\boxed{f(0) = f(1) = 0}$$

(our old operator  $R$ )

then 
$$\int_0^1 dx u^* (-i \frac{d}{dx} v) = \underbrace{-i [u^* v]_0^1}_{=0} + \int_0^1 dx (-i \frac{d}{dx} u)^* v$$

thus no B/c on  $L^+$

$$L^+ = -i \frac{d}{dx} \quad \text{DOMAIN: } f, Lf \in L^2[0,1]$$

$$\boxed{\text{w/ NO B/C}}$$

$$\text{DOMAIN}(L^+) \neq \text{DOMAIN}(L)$$

↳ not "truly" self-adjoint.

How to make momentum self-adjoint?

$$\int_0^1 dx u^* (-i \frac{d}{dx} v) - \int_0^1 (-i \frac{d}{dx} u)^* v = -i \underbrace{[u^* v]_0^1}_{=0}$$

want:  $=0$   
for SAME B/c on  $u, v$

$$\Leftrightarrow \boxed{\frac{u^*(1)}{u^*(0)} = \frac{v(0)}{v(1)}}$$

w/  $u, v$  unrelated.

$$\hookrightarrow \frac{f(0)}{f(1)} = K$$

BOTH SIDES: constant,  $K$   
equality:  $K^* = K^{-1}$

most general result:

$$\boxed{f(0)/f(1) = e^{i\theta}}$$

← same for  $u$  &  $v$

$$f(0) = f(1) e^{i\theta}$$

twist

(twisted BC)

for  $\theta = 0 \leftrightarrow$  PERIODIC BC

(OF COURSE! THAT'S WHERE OUR PLANE WAVES MAKE SENSE)

$$\boxed{L = -i \frac{d}{dx}}$$

$$D[L] = \left\{ f, Lf \in L^2(0,1), \right. \\ \left. f(0) = f(1) e^{i\theta} \right\}$$

↑  
DOMAIN

w/ these: EIGENFUNK:  $e^{i(2\pi n + \theta)}$

all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

$$\lambda : 2\pi n + \theta$$

$\mathbb{R}$

✓