

LAST TIME: Self-Adjointness / Hermiticity of differential operators

THAT WAS A STAND-ALONE LECTURE

- HERMITICITY $\rightarrow L \rightarrow L^\dagger$ formally
BY INTEG. BY PARTS
 PROPER HERMITICITY: BOUNDARY CONDITIONS, TOO

- what this buys
 WE WILL PRIMARILY CARE ABOUT HERMITIAN DIFFERENTIAL OPS

- $\rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ eigenvalues
- \rightarrow complete set of eigenfunctions

\uparrow CAN INSERT $11 = |e_i\rangle\langle e_i|$
 \downarrow

$$\delta(x-y) = \sum_n e(y)^* e(x)$$

aha! A δ -function.

THIS IS USEFUL FOR CONSTRUCTING GREEN'S FUNCTIONS.

RECALL: for some operator L , \leftarrow sometimes I write @
 G , is the INVERSE:

$$L G = \delta$$

\uparrow
 $G(x, y)$

why? INHOMOGENOUS DIFF. EQ.

$$L\psi = S$$

\uparrow DYNAMICS \uparrow SOURCE
 \nwarrow PHYSICAL STATE

$$\psi = \int dy G(x, y) s(y)$$

$$L = \sum_n P_n(x) \left(\frac{d}{dx} \right)^n$$

\uparrow SOURCE POSITION
 \uparrow STATE POSITION

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{so: } L\psi &= \int dy LG(x, y) s(y) \\
 &= \int dy \delta(x-y) s(y) \\
 &= s(x) \quad \checkmark
 \end{aligned}$$

We haven't yet done a systematic study of solving for $G(x, y)$.

↳ OUR PLAN: Φ analysis, then use \int next wk.
RESIDUE THEOREM.

BIRD'S EYE VIEW

A FEW STRATEGIES

1. CONTOUR INTEGRAL

↳ FOURIER TRANSFORM CONVERTS DIFFERENTIAL EQ. INTO AN ALGEBRAIC ONE W/ AN INTEGRAL

$$L_x \int e^{ikx} \tilde{G}(k) dk = \int dk e^{ikx}$$

acts on e^{ikx}

eg $\left(\frac{d}{dx}\right)^2 \rightarrow -k^2$

then solve for $\tilde{G}(k)$.

BUT: Need to Fourier transform BACK to position space
... requires doing a tricky integral.

eg if $L_x = \left(\frac{d}{dx}\right)^2 + \omega^2$

$$\int (+k^2 + \omega^2) e^{ikx} \tilde{G}(k) dk = \int dk e^{ikx}$$

$$\tilde{G}(k) = \frac{1}{k^2 + \omega^2}$$

$$\boxed{G(x) = \int dk \frac{1}{k^2 + \omega^2}}$$

2. PROJECT ONTO EIGENBASIS

$$\sum_n e_n^*(y) e_n(x) = \delta(x-y)$$

$$L_x e_n(x) = \lambda_n$$

$$\text{then: } L_x \underbrace{\sum_n \left(\frac{e_n^*(y) e_n(x)}{\lambda_n} \right)}_{G(x,y)} = \delta(x-y)$$

3. PIECEWISE SOLUTION

if you can solve the homogeneous equation $L_x \psi = 0$,

$$\text{then } L_x G = \delta(x-y)$$

\uparrow fix y

CAN BE BROKEN INTO

$$G = \begin{cases} G^>(x) & \text{for } x > y \\ G^<(x) & \text{for } x < y \end{cases}$$

w/ matching conditions @ $x=y$
from integrating over $(y-\epsilon, y+\epsilon)$.

HOMEWORK 3: PROBLEM 1.

CLAIM: THE PAULI MATRICES SPAN A VECTOR SPACE.

simpler case:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$|e_1\rangle \quad |e_2\rangle \quad |e_3\rangle$$

W

A SILLY BASIS ... COULD HAVE USED

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

in fact, at this level: there is no difference.

$$\vec{V} = v^1 |e_1\rangle + v^2 |e_2\rangle + v^3 |e_3\rangle$$

eg if $v^i = (2, 3, 1)$

$$\vec{V} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 3 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

in general:

$$\vec{V} = \begin{pmatrix} v^1 & v^2 \\ v^3 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

IS THIS A VECTOR SPACE?

$$\vec{V} + \vec{W} = \begin{pmatrix} v^1 + w^1 & v^2 + w^2 \\ v^3 + w^3 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

"

$$= (v^1 + w^1) |e_1\rangle + (v^2 + w^2) |e_2\rangle + (v^3 + w^3) |e_3\rangle$$

→ vector space

THIS SILLY BASIS SPANS THE SPACE OF
 2×2 MATRICES WITH BOTTOM RIGHT COMPONENT = 0.
 THE PAULI MATRICES SPAN THE SPACE OF TRACELESS
 2×2 HERMITIAN MATRICES.

$$\vec{V} = V^a |\sigma^a\rangle \quad \leftarrow \text{I'LL USE } \sigma \text{ INSTEAD OF } T = \frac{1}{2}\sigma \text{ FOR SIMPLICITY}$$

$$= V^1 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + V^2 \begin{pmatrix} i & -i \\ i & -i \end{pmatrix} + V^3 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} V^3 & V^1 - iV^2 \\ V^1 + iV^2 & -V^3 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\uparrow \equiv \begin{pmatrix} V^1 \\ V^2 \\ V^3 \end{pmatrix} \quad \leftarrow \text{COLUMN VECTOR CONTAINS SAME INFO}$$

AT THIS POINT, NO SIGNIFICANCE TO BASIS!
 COULD HAVE USED

$$\begin{aligned} |e_1\rangle &= \text{APPLE} \\ |e_2\rangle &= \text{BANANA} \\ |e_3\rangle &= \text{CHERRY} \end{aligned}$$

NEXT: WE DEFINE A CLASS OF LINEAR TRANSFORMATIONS

HOW DO WE DEFINE?

↳ HOW THE TRANSFORMATION
 ACTS ON VECTORS

↳ SUFFICIENT TO KNOW HOW
 IT ACTS ON BASIS VECTORS

"mind blowing" part: the PAULI MATRICES are also the transformations!

RECALL: A LINEAR TRANSFORMATION ("MATRIX"), L

- takes in a vector
- spits out a vector

for us, vectors are: $\vec{V} = v^1 |\sigma^1\rangle + v^2 |\sigma^2\rangle + v^3 |\sigma^3\rangle$

PROPOSAL: $L_w = [w^a \sigma^a, \cdot]$

↑
feed me a vector

$$\begin{aligned} \text{eg } L_w \vec{V} &= [w^a \sigma^a, v^b \sigma^b] \\ &= w^a v^b [\sigma^a, \sigma^b] \\ &= w^a v^b \underbrace{2i \epsilon^{abc}}_{\text{some list of 3 numbers}} \sigma^c \\ &= \underbrace{2i w^a v^b \epsilon^{abc}}_{\rightarrow \equiv x^c} \sigma^c \end{aligned}$$

$$\equiv x^c |\sigma^c\rangle \leftarrow \text{spits out a vector}$$

in the problem:

$$\sigma^a |\sigma^b\rangle = |L\sigma^a, \sigma^b\rangle$$

\uparrow \uparrow
 OPERATOR VECTOR

Pauli matrices can
act on Pauli matrices
to yield Pauli matrices.

like MADUBS

is this a sum of
PAULI MATRICES?

yes

$i\epsilon^{abc} \sigma^c$

\uparrow

WOULD BE BAD
IF THIS WERE
NOT EXPRESSABLE
IN OUR $|\sigma^a\rangle$ BASIS

$$\begin{aligned} \text{eg. } \sigma^1 |\sigma^2\rangle &= |2i\sigma^3\rangle \\ &= 2i |\sigma^3\rangle \end{aligned}$$

what about $L = (2\sigma^1 + 3\sigma^2)$, $L|\sigma^2\rangle$?

$$2\sigma^1 |\sigma^2\rangle + 3\sigma^2 |\sigma^2\rangle$$

$$2 \times 2i |\sigma^3\rangle + 3 \underbrace{|\sigma^2, \sigma^2\rangle}_{=0} = \boxed{4i |\sigma^3\rangle}$$

so: $A = A^a \sigma^a$, A LINEAR TRANSFORMATION
ACTING ON THE SPACE
OF 2×2 , TRACELESS
HERMITIAN MATRICES

↑
A is also a member
of the vector space
... but this is irrelevant!

QUESTION: WRITE A AS 3×3 MATRIX
ACTING ON $| \sigma^a \rangle$ BASIS.

SIMPLEX EXAMPLE

$$\begin{pmatrix} ? & ? \\ ? & ? \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a \\ c \end{pmatrix}$$

↑
BASIS
VECTOR

$$\Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} ? & ? \\ ? & ? \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \textcircled{a} & ? \\ \textcircled{c} & ? \end{pmatrix}$$

↑

these elements MEAN
"WHAT MULTIPLIES \hat{e}_1 TO
GIVE A CONTRIBUTION TO
THE OUTPUT \hat{e}_1 ."
→ SIMILAR FOR \hat{e}_2

$$\begin{pmatrix} ? & ? \\ ? & ? \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} b \\ d \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} ? & ? \\ ? & ? \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} ? & \textcircled{b} \\ ? & \textcircled{d} \end{pmatrix}$$

acts on \rightarrow	$ \sigma^1\rangle$	$ \sigma^2\rangle$	$ \sigma^3\rangle$
σ^1	0	$2i\sigma^3$	$-2i\sigma^2$
σ^2	$-2i\sigma^3$	0	$2i\sigma^1$
σ^3	$2i\sigma^2$	$-2i\sigma^1$	0

$$A^1 \sigma^1 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = A^1 \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

\uparrow
 $|\sigma^1\rangle$

$$A^2 \sigma^2 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = A^2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ -2i \end{pmatrix}$$

$\underbrace{\hspace{10em}}$
 $-A^2 \cdot 2i |\sigma^3\rangle$

$$A^3 \sigma^3 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = A^3 \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 2i \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

\uparrow $A^3 \cdot 2i |\sigma^2\rangle$

$$\underbrace{A^q \sigma^q}_A \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 2iA^3 \\ -2iA^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\hookrightarrow A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \cdot & \cdot \\ 2iA^3 & \cdot & \cdot \\ -2iA^2 & \cdot & \cdot \end{pmatrix}$$

make sure you understand this!

this component: WHICH PAULI MATRIX,
WHEN ACTING ON σ^1 (1st col)
GIVES SOMETHING $\propto \sigma^2$ (2nd row)?

WE CAN FILL IN THE REST FROM THE TABLE

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -2iA^3 & 2iA^2 \\ 2iA^3 & 0 & -2iA^1 \\ -2iA^2 & 2iA^1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

↑ COMPARE THIS TO, eg.

eg. (3.5.54) of Sakurai

So: GIVEN $\underbrace{A^1 \sigma^1 + \dots + A^3 \sigma^3}_{\text{TRANSFORMATION}}$

ACTS ON $\underbrace{V^a |\sigma^a\rangle}_{\text{VECTOR}}$

$$\text{AS } V^a | [A^b \sigma^b, \sigma^a] \rangle$$

$$= V^a A^b | [\sigma^b, \sigma^a] \rangle$$

$$= \underbrace{V^a A^b \times 2i \epsilon^{abc}}_{\text{sum over } a, b} | \sigma^c \rangle$$

sum over a, b

note antisymmetry in a, b

I CAN REPRESENT THIS AS
A MATRIX

$$\textcircled{A} \leftarrow 3 \times 3$$

ACTING ON $\begin{pmatrix} V^1 \\ V^2 \\ V^3 \end{pmatrix}$

} SO REDUCE THIS TO THE
USUAL FORM OF A LINEAR
TRANSFORMATION AS A MATRIX.

incorrect in question: σ^3 is not diagonal

↳ BUT WE CAN DIAGONALIZE IT.

$$\sigma^3 = \left(\begin{array}{cc|c} 0 & -2i & \\ 2i & 0 & \\ \hline & & 0 \end{array} \right)$$

$$= 2i \left(\begin{array}{cc|c} 0 & -1 & \\ 1 & 0 & \\ \hline & & 0 \end{array} \right)$$

45° ROTATION

TRICK: USE 2x2 MATRIX "BASIS"

$$\sigma^{\pm} = \frac{1}{2} (\sigma^1 \pm i\sigma^2)$$

$$\boxed{[\sigma^3, \sigma^{\pm}] = \pm \sigma^{\pm}}$$

↑
CHARGES

FOR NEXT WEEK

0

FOR NEXT WEEK

Please review the following topics

- COMPLEX #'s
- CAUCHY - RIEMANN EQ
 \leadsto "ANALYTIC"

- \mathbb{C} functions as maps

- \mathbb{C} logarithm

- SINGULARITIES
 LAURENT SERIES

- CAUCHY'S THEOREM

$$\oint_{\partial D} f(z) dz = 0$$

\leftarrow for f ANALYTIC IN D

- CAUCHY'S INTEGRAL FORMULA
 \leadsto RESIDUE THEOREM

$$\oint_{\partial D} f(z) dz = 2\pi i \operatorname{Res}(f @ z_0)$$

\nearrow
simple
pole

\leadsto not Green's func. Applik:
DISPERSION, CAUSALITY

BYRON \leadsto FULLER: CH. 6 [6.1, 6.3-6.4, 6.7, 6.8]
BOAS: CH. 14, ALL OF IT

MATTHEWS \leadsto WALKER: 3-3; APP. A

STONE \leadsto GOLDBART: CH. 17

APPEL: CH. 4.1-4.5, 4.6

CAHILL: CH. 5.1-5.14

\leftarrow GOOD TEXT