Population

The entire group we are concerned with. **Z-Score**

A representative subset of a population. of a population.

Quantitative Data

Numerical data. Nominal (discrete or continuous), or ordinal (ordered).

Qualitative Data

Categorical data, e.g. colour, model.

Measures of Centrality

Mean (arithmetic mean/average)

Given n observations x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i$$

Sample: \overline{x} . Population: μ .

Median (middle value)

The mean can be misleading when the data is skewed. When arranged from smallest to largest:

$$\mathrm{median} = \begin{cases} x^{\left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right)} & n \text{ odd} \\ \frac{x^{\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)} + x^{\left(\frac{n}{2}+1\right)}}{2} & n \text{ even} \end{cases}$$

Mode (most common value)

Measures of Dispersion

Variation in a set of observations.

Range

Difference between max and min value.

Variance

Average squared deviations from mean. Sample variance:

$$s^2=\frac{1}{n-1}\sum_{i=1}^n(x_i-\overline{x})^2.$$

Population varian

$$\sigma^2 = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (x_i - \mu)^2.$$

$$\mathrm{Var}\left(X\right) = \sigma^2 = \mathrm{E}\left(X^2\right) - \mathrm{E}\left(X\right)^2$$

Standard Deviation

Population SD: $\sigma = \sqrt{\sigma^2}$. Sample standard deviation: $s = \sqrt{s^2}$. Chebyshev's Theorem

Given n observations, at least

$$1 - \frac{1}{l^2}$$

of them are within $k\sigma$ of μ , where k > 1.

Empirical Rule

For unimodal and symmetric data

- 68% of data falls within σ of μ
- 95\% of data falls within 2σ of μ
- 99% of data falls within 3σ of μ

Approx. range $\approx 4s$ s.t. $s = \frac{\text{range}}{4}$.

Skew

Asymmetry of the distribution.

- Positive (right-skewed) "tail" of Central Limit Theorem distribution is **longer on the right** For a sample of size $n \ge 30$,
- Negative (left-skewed) "tail" of distribution is longer on the left

Measures of Rank

Compare relative rank between members

$$Z = \frac{x - \mu}{\sigma} \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{x - \overline{x}}{s}$$

Quantiles

For a set of n observations, x_q is the q-th quantile, if q% of the observations are less If x follows a binomial distribution, then than x_q . IQR: $x_{75} - x_{25}$.

Covariance

Measure of the linear correlation between variables.

variables.
$$s_{xy} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(x_i - \overline{x}\right) \left(y_i - \overline{y}\right)}{n-1}.$$
 When $x = y, \, s_{xy} = s^2.$

- $s_{xy} > 0$: As x increases, y also
- $s_{xy} < 0$: As x increases, y decreases.

Correlation Coefficient

$$-1 \leq r_{xy} = \frac{s_{xy}}{s_x s_y} \leq 1$$

No linear relationship if $r_{xy}=0, \ \mathrm{but}$ Maximum Likelihood Estimation not necessarily no relationship.

Events and Probability

Multiplication Rule

For independent events A and B

$$Pr(AB) = Pr(A) Pr(B).$$

For dependent events A and B

$$Pr(AB) = Pr(A | B) Pr(B)$$

Addition Rule

For independent A and B

$$\Pr\left(A \cup B\right) = \Pr\left(A\right) + \Pr\left(B\right) - \Pr\left(AB\right)$$

De Morgan's Laws

$$\overline{A \cup B} = \overline{A} \ \overline{B}$$
$$\overline{AB} = \overline{A} \cup \overline{B}.$$

Bayes' Theorem

$$\Pr(A \mid B) = \frac{\Pr(B \mid A) \Pr(A)}{\Pr(B)}$$

Law of Total Probability

$$\Pr(A) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \Pr(A \mid B_i) \Pr(B_i)$$

Probability Mass Function

$$\Pr\left(X=x\right) = p_x$$

Probability Density Function

$$\Pr\left(x_{1} \leq X \leq x_{2}\right) = \int_{x_{1}}^{x_{2}} f\left(x\right) \mathrm{d}x$$

Cumulative Distribution Function

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}F\left(x\right)}{\mathrm{d}x} = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x} \int_{-\infty}^{x} f\left(u\right) \mathrm{d}u = f\left(x\right)$$

$$\frac{\sqrt{n}(\overline{x} - \mu)}{\sigma} \xrightarrow{p} N(0, 1)$$

Standard Error

$$SE(\overline{x}) = \frac{\sigma^2}{n}$$

Sample Proportion

For a sample of size n if x members have a particular characteristic:

$$\hat{p} = \frac{x}{n}$$
.

E(x) = np and Var(x) = np(1-p).

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{E}\left(\hat{p}\right) &= p \\ \mathbf{SE}\left(\hat{p}\right) &= \sqrt{\frac{p\left(1-p\right)}{n}}. \end{split}$$

For np > 5 and n(1-p) > 5.

Large Sample Estimation

Moments

$$\mu_{n}=\mathrm{E}\left(X^{n}\right)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}x^{n}f\left(x\right)\mathrm{d}x$$

• $s_{xy} \approx 0$: No relationship between x for PDF f(x), and $\mu_1 = E(X)$ and $\operatorname{Var}(X) = \mu_2 - \mu_1^2$. For samples:

$$m_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^n$$

where $\overline{x} = m_1$ and $s^2 = m_2 - m_1^2$.

$$\mathcal{L}\left(\theta\,|\,\boldsymbol{x}\right) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} f\left(x_{i}\right),\: \hat{\theta} = \operatorname*{arg\,max}_{\theta} \mathcal{L}.$$

$$\ell\left(\theta\,|\,\boldsymbol{x}\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{n}\log\left(f\left(x_{i}\right)\right),\; \hat{\theta} = \arg\max_{\theta}\ell.$$

$$\operatorname{Bias}\left(\hat{\theta}\right) = \operatorname{E}\left(\hat{\theta}\right) - \theta$$

$$E(\hat{\theta}) = \theta \quad (\hat{\theta} \text{ unbiased})$$

Given
$$\hat{\theta}_1$$
 and $\hat{\theta}_2$, choose $\hat{\theta}_1$ over $\hat{\theta}_2$ if $\operatorname{Var}(\hat{\theta}_1) < \operatorname{Var}(\hat{\theta}_2)$

Mean square error

The estimators of $\theta = E(X)$ are selected to minimise mean square error:

$$MSE(\hat{\theta}) = E((\hat{\theta} - \theta)^{2})$$
$$= Bias(\hat{\theta})^{2} + Var(\hat{\theta}).$$

Confidence Intervals

For a **confidence level** of $(1 - \alpha)$ %.

$$CI_{1-\alpha} = \hat{\theta} \pm Z_{\alpha/2} \operatorname{SE}(\hat{\theta})$$

Hypothesis Testing

Define a falsifiable null hypothesis H_0 and reject if the test statistic T(x) lies in the rejection region $R = \neg CI_{1-\alpha}$. R satisfies: $Pr(T(\boldsymbol{x} \in R)) = \alpha$.

Small Sample Inference

When n < 30:

$$T\left(oldsymbol{x}
ight) \sim t_{
u,lpha/2}$$

where the degrees of freedom $\nu = n - 1$.

$$E(X) = 0$$

$$\mathrm{Var}\left(X\right) = \frac{\nu}{\nu - 2}$$

Population Mean

$$CI_{1-\alpha} = \overline{x} \pm Z_{\alpha/2} \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}$$

$$T(\boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{\overline{x} - \mu_0}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}}$$

for small samples use $t_{\nu,\alpha/2}$.

Population Proportion

$$\begin{split} CI_{1-\alpha} &= \hat{p} \pm Z_{\alpha/2} \sqrt{\frac{\hat{p}\left(1-\hat{p}\right)}{n}} \\ T\left(\boldsymbol{x}\right) &= \frac{\sqrt{n}\left(\hat{p}-p_{0}\right)}{\sqrt{p_{0}\left(1-p_{0}\right)}}. \end{split}$$

 $n\hat{p} > 5 \text{ and } n(1-\hat{p}) > 5.$

Paired Differences

For two dependent samples,

$$\overline{d} = \overline{x}_1 - \overline{x}_2.$$

$$T(\boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{\overline{d} - d_0}{s_d / \sqrt{n}}$$

Difference of Population Means

$$CI_{1-\alpha} = \overline{x}_1 - \overline{x}_2 \pm Z_{\alpha/2} \sqrt{\frac{s_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{s_2^2}{n_2}}.$$

$$T\left(\boldsymbol{x}\right) = \frac{\left(\overline{x}_{1} - \overline{x}_{2}\right) - \Delta_{0}}{\sqrt{\frac{s_{1}^{2}}{n_{1}} + \frac{s_{2}^{2}}{n_{2}}}}$$

$$\begin{split} \text{for } n_1, \, n_2 \geq 30. \\ T\left(\boldsymbol{x}\right) &= \frac{\left(\overline{x}_1 - \overline{x}_2\right) - \Delta_0}{\sqrt{\frac{s_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{s_2^2}{n_2}}}. \end{split}$$
 where $\Delta_0 = \mu_1 - \mu_2$ is the hypothesised difference between the two population means.

For small independent samples,

$$T\left(\boldsymbol{x}\right) = \frac{\overline{x}_1 - \overline{x}_2}{\sqrt{s^2 \left(\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2}\right)}}.$$

If $s_1^2 \neq s_2^2$ use

$$s^2 \to s_p^2 = \frac{(n_1 - 1) \, s_1^2 + (n_2 - 1) \, s_2^2}{\nu}.$$
 where $\nu = n_1 + n_2 - 2$ for the two-sample

t-test. If $\frac{\max(s_1^2, s_2^2)}{\min(s_1^2, s_2^2)} > 3$, samples vary greatly, use:

$$T\left(\boldsymbol{x}\right) = \frac{\overline{x}_{1} - \overline{x}_{2}}{\sqrt{\frac{s_{1}^{2}}{n_{1}} + \frac{s_{2}^{2}}{n_{2}}}}$$

$$\nu = \left| \frac{\left(\frac{s_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{s_2^2}{n_2}\right)^2}{\frac{\left(s_1^2/n_1\right)^2}{n_1 - 1} + \frac{\left(s_2^2/n_2\right)^2}{n_2 - 1}} \right|$$

Difference of Population Proportions

$$\begin{split} CI_{1-\alpha} &= \hat{p}_1 - \hat{p}_2 \\ &\pm Z_{\alpha/2} \sqrt{\frac{\hat{p}_1(1-\hat{p}_1)}{n_1} + \frac{\hat{p}_2(1-\hat{p}_2)}{n_2}}. \end{split}$$

 $n_i\hat{p}_i > 5$, and $n_i\left(1-\hat{p}_i\right) > 5$ for i=1,2. When the hypothesised difference is zero

$$p_0 = \frac{x_1 + x_2}{n_1 + n_2} = \frac{\hat{p}_1 n_1 + \hat{p}_2 n_2}{n_1 + n_2}.$$
 so that $p_0 = p_1 = p_2$.

$$T\left(\boldsymbol{x}\right) = \frac{(\hat{p}_{1} - \hat{p}_{2})}{\sqrt{p_{0}\left(1 - p_{0}\right)\left(\frac{1}{n_{1}} + \frac{1}{n_{2}}\right)}}$$

When the hypothesised difference is not

$$\begin{split} 0, \text{ i.e., } p_1 - p_2 &= \Delta_0; \\ T\left(\pmb{x} \right) &= \frac{(\hat{p}_1 - \hat{p}_2) - \Delta_0}{\sqrt{\frac{\hat{p}_1(1 - \hat{p}_1)}{n_1} + \frac{\hat{p}_2(1 - \hat{p}_2)}{n_2}}}. \end{split}$$

The test can only be used to reject the null hypothesis. When the strength against the null hypothesis is weak, we cannot assume that the null hypothesis is true, rather, the test is inconclusive and that there is no statistical significance.

Power

$$\begin{split} \operatorname{Power} &= 1 - \beta \\ &= 1 - \operatorname{Pr}\left(\left| T\left(\boldsymbol{x} \right) \right| \leq Z_{\alpha/2} \left| \right. \theta = \theta^* \right) \\ &= \operatorname{Pr}\left(\left| T\left(\boldsymbol{x} \right) \right| \geq Z_{\alpha/2} \left| \right. \theta = \theta^* \right). \end{split}$$

For the true value θ^* of θ (not θ_0).

As n increases, the β decreases, and the power increases. n can therefore be selected to achieve a desired true value of θ and a desired power.

P-Values

Rather than constructing R based on α , measure the strength of evidence against H_0 using

$$\alpha = \Pr\left(Z \geq T\left(\boldsymbol{x}\right)\right).$$

The strength of evidence against H_0 increases as the p-value decreases.

ANOVA

Analyse effects of various factors that have more than two levels.

- Experimental unit object whose response is measured, called the dependent variable.
- Factor independent variable controlled/varied in experiment. The levels are the values that the factor can take.
- **Treatment** specific combination of factor levels applied to an experimental unit.
- $\bullet\,$ Response variable measured for each experimental unit.

The F-statistic F_{test} ("F" in ANOVA table) is the ratio of the mean squares for the treatment and error sources of variation, or the ratio of the variation between treatments to the variation within treatments. Reject H_0 if MSXX \gg MSE, (XX accounted for more of the total variance than the error). $F_{\text{test}} \gg F_{\nu_1, \nu_2, \alpha}$, $\Pr\left(F < F_{\nu_1, \nu_2, \alpha}\right) = 1 - \alpha$.

One-Way ANOVA

by y_{ij} .

$$y_{ij} = \mu_i + \epsilon_{ij}$$

for mean treatment effect μ_i and n=IJexperimental units. Use the hypothesis: The null hypotheses are:

$$H_0: \mu_i = \mu_j \quad \text{for all} \quad i \neq j$$

$$H_A:$$
 at least one μ_i is different

Tukey's Honest Significant Difference or Test

Tests the hypothesis that the mean of each treatment is equal to the mean of the other treatments.

$$H_0: \mu_i = \mu_j \quad \text{for all} \quad i \neq j$$
 where we can reject individual pairs of treatment means if the p -value is less than the significance level.

Two-way ANOVA with Blocking

replication j of treatment i be denoted due to factors other than the treatment between factors are of interest. factors. Uses I treatments and J > I blocks with I subjects to isolate where α_i is the mean effect of the first block-to-block variability.

$$y_{ij} = \alpha_i + \beta_j + \epsilon_{ij}$$

for α_i and β_j the mean treatment effect and block effect, respectively.

$$H_0: \alpha_i = \alpha_j \quad \text{for all} \quad i \neq j$$

 H_A : at least one α_i is different

$$H_0: \beta_i = \beta_j \quad \text{for all} \quad i \neq j$$

 H_A : at least one β_i is different

Two-Way ANOVA with Interaction

Let the outcome of an experiment for When variation in the responses arise When both factors, and interactions

$$y_{ijk} = \alpha_i + \beta_j + \left(\alpha\beta\right)_{ij} + \epsilon_{ijk}$$
 where α_i is the mean effect of the first factor, β_j is the mean effect of the second factor and $\left(\alpha\beta\right)_{ij}$ is the mean effect of the

Factor A has I levels and factor B has J levels, and each of these factors is replicated K times. The null hypotheses

interaction between the two factors.

$$H_0: \alpha_i = \alpha_j \quad \text{for all} \quad i \neq j$$

 $H_A:$ at least one α_i is different

 $H_0: \beta_i = \beta_i$ for all $i \neq j$

 $H_A:$ at least one β_i is different

 H_0 : No interaction between A and B H_A : A and B interact.

y and a predictor x:

$$y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i + \epsilon_i$$
 where ϵ_i is the residual,

$$\begin{split} \epsilon_i &\overset{\text{iid}}{\sim} \mathcal{N}\left(0,\,\sigma^2\right).\\ & \div y_i \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i,\,\sigma^2\right). \end{split}$$

Coefficients

$$\begin{split} \hat{\beta}_0 &= \overline{y} - \hat{\beta}_1 \overline{x} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\beta_0, \, s_{\hat{\beta}_0}^2\right) \\ \hat{\beta}_1 &= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \overline{x})(y_i - \overline{y})}{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \overline{x})^2} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\beta_1, \, s_{\hat{\beta}_1}^2\right) \\ s^2 &= \frac{1}{n-2} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(y_i - \hat{\beta}_0 - \hat{\beta}_1 x_i\right)^2 \\ s^2 &= \frac{\text{SSE}}{n-2} \text{ from ANOVA.} \\ s_{\hat{\beta}_0}^2 &= \frac{s^2 \overline{x}^2}{n \sum_{i=1}^n \left(x_i - \overline{x}\right)^2} \\ s_{\hat{\beta}_1}^2 &= \frac{s^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n \left(x_i - \overline{x}\right)^2} \end{split}$$

Hypothesis Testir

Confidence intervals:

$$\hat{\beta}_0 \pm t_{\alpha/2, n-2} s_{\hat{\beta}_0}$$

$$\hat{\beta}_1 \pm t_{\alpha/2, n-2} s_{\hat{\beta}_1}$$

where

$$\Pr\left(t < t_{\alpha/2, n-2}\right) = 1 - \alpha/2.$$

there is indeed a linear relationship between the predictor and response variables:

$$\begin{split} H_0: \beta_1 &= 0 \\ H_A: \beta_1 \neq 0 \end{split}$$

with test statistic

$$t_{\hat{\beta}_1} = \frac{\hat{\beta}_1 - \cancel{\beta_1}^0}{s_{\hat{\beta}_1}} \sim t_{n-2}$$

Assumptions

1. The parameter estimates $\hat{\beta}_0$ and $\hat{\beta}_1$ are unbiased, i.e., the expected value

Linear Regression of $\epsilon_i = y_i - \hat{\beta}_0 - \hat{\beta}_1 x_i$ is zero. the connectionship between a response variable 2. The residuals ϵ_i are independent, i.e., of y_i is $\operatorname{Corr}\left(\epsilon_{i},\ \epsilon_{j}\right)=0\ \text{for}\ i\neq j.$

3. The residuals follow a Gaussian distribution, i.e., $\epsilon_i \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$.

Diagnostics to test assumptions:

- 1. Using a histogram of the residuals, we can check whether the residuals are unimodal and thus normally x^* gives $\underline{y}^* = \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 x^*$, so that:
- the residuals lie on a straight line.
- 3. Using a plot of the residuals, we can check whether the residuals are independent, i.e., there are no patterns in the residuals and their variance is roughly equal or constant (they lie randomly around 0). This is known as homoscedasticity.

ANOVA on Linear Regression

How much variation in y is explained by the model. The null hypothesis is that the model explains more variation in y than the sample mean \overline{y} , with the alternative explaining less. For 1 independent variable, $F \equiv t^2$, and the null hypothesis is simply $H_0: \beta_1 = 0$.

Coefficient of Determination R^2

The null hypothesis for β_1 tests whether Proportion of the total variation in y that test in detecting treatment effects. All is explained by the model.

$$R^2 = \frac{\text{SSR}}{\text{SST}} = 1 - \frac{\text{SSE}}{\text{SST}}$$

 R^2 is subjective: $R^2 \approx 1$ is good but may indicate "over-fitting" in the model, small values may also be acceptable.

Estimation and Prediction

Given the estimate
$$\hat{y}_i = \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 x_i$$
:
$$s_{\hat{y}_i} = \sqrt{s^2 \left(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{\left(x_i - \overline{x}\right)^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n \left(x_i - \overline{x}\right)^2}\right)}$$

the confidence interval for the true value

$$\hat{y}_i \pm t_{n-2,1-\alpha/2} s_{\hat{y}_i}$$

based on the sampling distribution

$$\frac{s_i - \mathbf{E}\left(y_i\right)}{s_{\hat{y}_i}} \sim t_{n-2}.$$

A predicted value y^* for an unobserved

distributed.

2. Using a
$$q$$
- q plot, we can check whether the residuals lie on a straight line.

$$s_{y^*} = \sqrt{s^2 \left(1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{(x^* - \overline{x})^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \overline{x})^2}\right)}$$

which gives the prediction interval

$$y^* \pm t_{n-2,1-\alpha/2} s_{y^*}$$
.

We are most confident when x is near \overline{x} (both bands are narrowest near \overline{x}), with the prediction interval being wider than the confidence interval. Caution should be used when extrapolating outside the data domain.

ANCOVA

Allows for the inclusion of continuous covariates that should be accounted for, but could not be controlled for. The ANOVA model is extended to

 $y_{ij} = \alpha_i + \epsilon_{ij} \to \alpha_i + \beta \left(x_{ij} - \overline{x} \right) + \epsilon_{ij}.$ where the ANCOVA model accounts for covariate values x_{ij} .

These terms increase the power of the constraints of linear regression regarding the independence, homogeneity, and normality of residuals also apply to the covariate effects in the model.

This model also assumes that there is homogeneity in regression slopes, or β is approximately equal for all levels of treatment. This can be confirmed visually, or by fitting an interaction term between the treatment and covariates and testing if the interaction term is **not** significant (p > 0.05), indicating that it is the same for all treatments.

Categorical Data Analysis

Data are counts of members in each category, and we are interested in the relationships between categories.

2×2 Contingency Tables

because row/column sums are fixed, they we draw a sample of size n_1 is given by are not independent.

Hypergeometric Distribution

is n:

$$\Pr\left(X=k\right) = \frac{\binom{K}{k}\binom{N-K}{n-k}}{\binom{N}{n}}.$$

Using the example table below, the (two-sided multiplied by 2) is less than 2 factors with 2 categories, where cells probability of drawing k_{11} successes 0.05. are the counts of observations in each from the population of size N without Chi-Squared Distribution category. Counts are random variables replacement, where the population and have sampling distributions, but contains a total of K_1 successes, and

$$\Pr\left(X=k_{11}\right) = \frac{\binom{K_{1}}{k_{11}}\binom{N-K_{1}}{n_{1}-k_{11}}}{\binom{N}{n_{1}}}. \qquad \text{with } Z_{i} \sim \operatorname{N}\left(0,\,1\right) \text{ and } \nu=n-1.$$

$$\operatorname{Test of Homogeneity}$$

Probability of drawing k successes We can construct a null hypothesis that Tests whether the distribution of items from a population of size N without tests whether the two factors have an across categories is the same for different replacement, where the population equal probability of being associated factors. contains K successes, and the draw size with a category, then we can use the Using the table below, calculate the the probability of observing the data as given the null hypothesis, and reject the null hypothesis if the probability

$$X = \sum_{i=1}^{n} Z_i^2 \sim \chi_{\nu}^2$$

hypergeometric distribution to calculate expected counts for each cell in the table

$$E_{ij} = \frac{n_{i.} n_{.j}}{n}.$$

The null hypothesis is that distribution of items across categories $(\pi_i$ and $\pi_j)$ are fixed. is the same for all factors,

$$H_0: \pi_{ij} = \pi_i \forall i, j$$

$$\pi_i \approx \hat{\pi}_i = \frac{n_i}{n}.$$

Assume $\pi_{ij} \sim \text{Poisson}$ then the Z-score Z_{ij} is given by

$$Z_{ij} = \frac{\pi_{ij} - E_{ij}}{\sqrt{E_{ij}}} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(0,\;1\right).$$
 The χ^2 statistic is given by

$$X^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{I} \sum_{j=1}^{J} \frac{\left(\pi_{ij} - E_{ij}\right)^2}{E_{ij}} \sim \chi_{\nu}^2$$

for $\nu = (I-1)(J-1)$ degrees of freedom. We can therefore reject the null hypothesis if

$$X^2 > \chi^2_{\nu, 1-\alpha}$$
.

This test assumes that row/column sums (1) or failure (0).

Test of Independence

Tests whether the distribution of items H_1 : at least one π_{ij} is different from π_i across categories is independent of the factors:

The categories and factors are $H_0:$ independent

at least one category is not $H_A: \frac{\text{at least one}}{\text{independent of a factor.}}$

We can reject the null hypothesis if $X^2 > \chi^2_{\nu, 1-\alpha}$.

This test assumes only the total
$$n$$
 is Outcome X within some interval, where

fixed.

Uniform Distribution

Single trial X in a set of equally likely elements.

Bernoulli (Binary) Distribution

Boolean-valued outcome X, i.e., success Memoryless Property

Binomial Distribution

Number of successes X for n independent

Geometric Distribution

Number of trials N up to and including the first success.

Poisson Distribution

Number of events N which occur over a fixed interval of time: $\lambda = \eta t$.

Uniform Distribution

the probability of an outcome in one interval is the same as all other intervals of the same length.

Exponential Distribution

Time T between events with rate η .

$$\Pr\left(T > s + t \mid T > t\right) = \Pr\left(T > s\right)$$

Equality	Difference	R
$\theta = \theta_0$	$\theta_1-\theta_2=0$	$\left T\left(oldsymbol{x} ight) ight >Z_{lpha/2}$
$\theta \leq \theta_0$	$\theta_1 - \theta_2 \le 0$	$T(\boldsymbol{x}) > Z_{\alpha}$
$\theta \ge \theta_0$	$\theta_1 - \theta_2 \ge 0$	$T\left(\boldsymbol{x}\right) <-Z_{\alpha }$

Table 1: Rejection regions for hypothesis testing.

$$\begin{array}{c|cccc} \textbf{Decision} & H_0 \ \textbf{true} & H_0 \ \textbf{false} \\ \hline \textbf{Reject} & \alpha \ (\text{Type I error}) & 1-\beta \ (\text{Power}) \\ \textbf{Fail} & 1-\alpha & \beta \ (\text{Type II error}) \\ \end{array}$$

Table 2: Probability of rows given columns.

	•	_		_
$\Pr\left(Z \geq Z_{\alpha}\right)$	$\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right) = \frac{\alpha}{2},$	$\Pr\left(Z \geq Z\right)$	$rac{1}{\alpha} = \Pr\left(Z\right)$	$\leq -Z_{\alpha}) = \alpha$

Distribution	Restrictions	\mathbf{PMF}	CDF	$\mathrm{E}\left(X\right)$	$\mathrm{Var}\left(X ight)$
$X \sim \text{Uniform}(a, b)$	$x \in \{a, \dots, b\}$	$\frac{1}{b-a+1}$.	$\frac{x-a+1}{b-a+1}$	$\frac{a+b}{2}$	$\frac{(b-a+1)^2-1}{12}$
$X \sim \text{Bernoulli}\left(p\right)$	$p \in [0,1], x \in \{0,1\}$	$p^x \left(1-p\right)^{1-x}$	1-p	p	$p\left(1-p\right)$
$X \sim \text{Binomial}(n, p)$	$x \in \{0, \dots, n\}$	$\binom{n}{x}p^x\left(1-p\right)^{n-x}$	$\sum_{u=0}^{x} \binom{n}{u} p^u \left(1-p\right)^{n-u}$	np	$np\left(1-p\right)$
$N \sim \operatorname{Geometric}\left(p\right)$	$n \ge 1$	$\left(1-p\right)^{n-1}p$	$1-\left(1-p\right)^n$	$\frac{1}{n}$	$\frac{1-p}{p^2}$
$N \sim \text{Poisson}\left(\lambda\right)$	$n \ge 0$	$rac{\lambda^n e^{-\lambda}}{n!}$	$e^{-\lambda} \sum_{u=0}^{n} \frac{\lambda^u}{u!}$	$\stackrel{\scriptstyle P}{\lambda}$	$\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle{P}}{\lambda}$

Table 3: Discrete probability distributions.

Distribution	Restrictions	PDF	CDF	$\mathrm{E}\left(X\right)$	$\mathrm{Var}\left(X ight)$
$X \sim \text{Uniform}(a, b)$ $T \sim \text{Exp}(\eta)$	a < x < b $t > 0$	$\eta e^{\frac{1}{b-a}}$	$1 - e^{\frac{x-a}{b-a}}$	$rac{a+b}{2} \ 1/\eta$	$rac{\left(b-a ight)^2}{12} \ 1/\eta$
$X \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\mu, \ \sigma^2\right)$	_	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}}e^{-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}}$	$\frac{1}{2}\left(1+\operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{x-\mu}{\sigma\sqrt{2}}\right)\right)$	μ	σ^2

Table 4: Continuous probability distributions.

	Factor 1	Factor 2	Total Successes (K)
Category 1	k_{11}	k_{12}	K_1
Category 2	k_{21}	k_{22}	K_2
Total Draws (n)	n_1	n_2	N

Table 5: Example 2×2 contingency table.

	Factor 1	•••	Factor J	Total Successes $(n_{i.})$
Category 1	π_{11}		π_{1J}	$n_{1.}$
:	:	٠.	:	:
Category I	π_{I1}	•••	π_{IJ}	$n_{}$
Total Draws $n_{.j}$	$n_{.1}$	•••	$n_{.J}$	$n_{}$

Table 6: Example $I \times J$ contingency table.