

Smart Contract Security Audit

# TECH AUDIT

KALORIES





TECH AUDIT received the application for a smart contract security audit of KALORIES on June 8, 2022. The following are the details and results of this smart contract security audit:

Token Name: KALORIES

Contract address: 0x90425209214C405f7CaDEfda312DfB5C27872b93

Link Address:

<https://bscscan.com/token/0x90425209214c405f7cadefda312dfb5c27872b93>

The audit items and results:

(Other unknown security vulnerabilities are not included in the audit responsibility scope)

Audit Result: Passed

Ownership: Not renounced

(The contract contains ownership functionality and ownership is not renounced which allows the creator or current owner to modify contract behavior)

(Owner privileges are outlined on page 9 of the audit report)

KYC Verification: Verified (1 person)

Audit Number: BAR20110062022

Audit Date: June 10, 2022

Audit Team: TECH AUDIT

<https://www.tech-audit.org/>

# Table of Content

Introduction.....	4
Auditing Approach and Methodologies applied .....	4
Audit Details.....	4
Audit Goals .....	5
Security .....	5
Sound Architecture .....	5
Code Correctness and Quality.....	5
Security.....	5
High level severity issues.....	5
Medium level severity issues .....	5
Low level severity issues .....	6
Manual Audit .....	7
Critical level severity issues.....	7
High level severity issues.....	7
Medium level severity issues .....	7
Low level severity issues .....	7
Automated Audit .....	8
Remix Compiler Warnings.....	8
Owner privileges.....	9
Disclaimer .....	10
Summary .....	11

# Introduction

This Audit Report mainly focuses on the overall security of KALORIES Smart Contract. With this report, we have tried to ensure the reliability and correctness of their smart contract by complete and rigorous assessment of their system's architecture and the smart contract codebase.

## Auditing Approach and Methodologies applied

The TECH AUDIT team has performed rigorous testing of the project starting with analyzing the code design patterns in which we reviewed the smart contract architecture to ensure it is structured and safe use of third-party smart contracts and libraries.

Our team then performed a formal line by line inspection of the Smart Contract to find any potential issue like race conditions, transaction-ordering dependence, timestamp dependence, and denial of service attacks.

In the Unit testing Phase, we coded/conducted custom unit tests written for each function in the contract to verify that each function works as expected.

In Automated Testing, we tested the Smart Contract with our in-house developed tools to identify vulnerabilities and security flaws.

The code was tested in collaboration of our multiple team members and this included -

- Testing the functionality of the Smart Contract to determine proper logic has been followed throughout the whole process.
- Analyzing the complexity of the code in depth and detailed, manual review of the code, line-by-line.
- Deploying the code on testnet using multiple clients to run live tests.
- Analyzing failure preparations to check how the Smart Contract performs in case of any bugs and vulnerabilities.
- Checking whether all the libraries used in the code are on the latest version.
- Analyzing the security of the on-chain data.

## Audit Details

Project Name: KALORIES  
Website: <https://kalories.finance>  
Platform: Binance Smart Chain  
Type of Token: BEP20

Languages: Solidity (Smart contract)  
Platforms and Tools: Remix IDE, Truffle, Truffle Team, Ganache, Solhint, VScode, Mythril, Contract Library

# Audit Goals

The focus of the audit was to verify that the Smart Contract System is secure, resilient and working according to the specifications. The audit activities can be grouped in the following three categories:

## Security

Identifying security related issues within each contract and the system of contract.

## Sound Architecture

Evaluation of the architecture of this system through the lens of established smart contract best practices and general software best practices.

## Code Correctness and Quality

A full review of the contract source code. The primary areas of focus include:

- Accuracy
- Readability
- Sections of code with high complexity
- Quantity and quality of test coverage

## Issue Categories

Every issue in this report was assigned a severity level from the following:

### High level severity issues

Issues on this level are critical to the smart contract's performance/functionality and should be fixed before moving to a live environment.

### Medium level severity issues

Issues on this level could potentially bring problems and should eventually be fixed.

### Low level severity issues

Issues on this level are minor details and warnings that can remain unfixed but would be better fixed at some point in the future.

Number of issues per severity

Critical	High	Medium	Low	Note
0	0	0	0	0

## Issues Checking Status

№	Issue description.	Checking status
1	Compiler warnings.	Passed
2	Race conditions and Reentrancy. Cross-function race conditions.	Passed
3	Possible delays in data delivery.	Passed
4	Oracle calls.	Passed
5	Front running.	Passed
6	Timestamp dependence.	Passed
7	Integer Overflow and Underflow.	Passed
8	DoS with Revert.	Passed
9	DoS with block gas limit.	Passed
10	Methods execution permissions.	Passed
11	Economy model.	Passed
12	The impact of the exchange rate on the logic.	Passed
13	Private user data leaks.	Passed
14	Malicious Event log.	Passed
15	Scoping and Declarations.	Passed
16	Uninitialized storage pointers.	Passed
17	Arithmetic accuracy.	Passed
18	Design Logic.	Passed
19	Cross-function race conditions.	Passed
20	Safe Zeppelin module.	Passed
21	Fallback function security.	Passed

## Manual Audit:

For this section the code was tested/read line by line by our developers. We also used Remix IDE's JavaScript VM and Kovan networks to test the contract functionality.

### Critical Severity Issues

No critical severity issues found.

### High Severity Issues

No high severity issues found.

### Medium Severity Issues

No medium severity issues found.

### Low Severity Issues

No low severity issues found.



## Automated Audit

### Remix Compiler Warnings

It throws warnings by Solidity's compiler. If it encounters any errors the contract cannot be compiled and deployed. No issues found.



## Owner privileges

No	Issue description.	Checking status
1	<p>Contract owner can't modify fees over 20%</p> <pre>function setBuyFees(uint256 _rewardFee, uint256 _marketingFee, uint256 _liquidityFee) external onlyOwner{     rewardFee = _rewardFee;     marketingFee = _marketingFee;     liquidityFee = _liquidityFee;     buyTotalFees = rewardFee.add(liquidityFee).add(marketingFee);     require(buyTotalFees &lt;= 20, "total tax must be less than or equal to 20%"); }  function setSellFees(uint256 _rewardFee, uint256 _marketingFee, uint256 liquidityFee) external onlyOwner{     sellRewardFee = _rewardFee;     sellMarketingFee = _marketingFee;     sellLiquidityFee = _liquidityFee;     sellTotalFees = sellRewardFee.add(sellMarketingFee).add(sellLiquidityFee);     require(sellTotalFees &lt;= 20, "total tax must be less than or equal to 20%"); }</pre>	Checked
2	Contract owner can't disable trading	Checked
3	Contract owner can't exclude addresses from transactions	Checked
4	<p>Contract owner can exclude addresses from fees</p> <pre>function excludeFromFee(address account) public onlyOwner {     isExcludedFromFee[account] = true; }</pre>	Checked
5	<p>Contract owner can change swap settings</p> <pre>function setNumTokensSellToAddToLiquidity(uint256 amount) external onlyOwner {     numTokensSellToAddToLiquidity = amount * 10 ** decimals(); }</pre>	Checked
6	Contract owner can't change tx amount below 0.1% of total supply	Checked
7	<p>Contract owner can renounce ownership</p> <pre>function renounceOwnership() public virtual onlyOwner {     emit OwnershipTransferred(_owner, address(0));     _owner = address(0); }</pre>	Checked
8	<p>Contract owner can transfer ownership</p> <pre>function transferOwnership(address newOwner) public virtual onlyOwner {     require(newOwner != address(0), "Ownable: new owner is the zero address");     emit OwnershipTransferred(_owner, newOwner);     _owner = newOwner; }</pre>	Checked
9	Contract owner can't mint tokens	Checked
10	<p>Contract owner can set minimum tokens required to swap</p> <pre>function setSwapTokensAtAmount(uint256 _newLimit) external onlyOwner{     swapTokensAtAmount = _newLimit; }</pre>	Checked

# Disclaimer

This is a limited report on our findings based on our analysis, in accordance with good industry practice as at the date of this report, in relation to cybersecurity vulnerabilities and issues in the framework and algorithms based on smart contracts, the details of which are set out in this report. In order to get a full view of our analysis, it is crucial for you to read the full report. While we have done our best in conducting our analysis and producing this report, it is important to note that you should not rely on this report and cannot claim against us on the basis of what it says or doesn't say, or how we produced it, and it is important for you to conduct your own independent investigations before making any decisions. We go into more detail on this in the below disclaimer below – please make sure to read it in full.

DISCLAIMER: By reading this report or any part of it, you agree to the terms of this disclaimer. If you do not agree to the terms, then please immediately cease reading this report, and delete and destroy any and all copies of this report downloaded and/or printed by you. This report is provided for information purposes only and on a non-reliance basis, and does not constitute investment advice. No one shall have any right to rely on the report or its contents, and TechAudit and its affiliates (including holding companies, shareholders, subsidiaries, employees, directors, officers and other representatives) (TechAudit) owe no duty of care towards you or any other person, nor does TechAudit make any warranty or representation to any person on the accuracy or completeness of the report. The report is provided "as is", without any conditions, warranties or other terms of any kind except as set out in this disclaimer, and TechAudit hereby excludes all representations, warranties, conditions and other terms (including, without limitation, the warranties implied by law of satisfactory quality, fitness for purpose and the use of reasonable care and skill) which, but for this clause, might have effect in relation to the report. Except and only to the extent that it is prohibited by law, TechAudit hereby excludes all liability and responsibility, and neither you nor any other person shall have any claim against TechAudit, for any amount or kind of loss or damage that may result to you or any other person (including without limitation, any direct, indirect, special, punitive, consequential or pure economic loss or damages, or any loss of income, profits, goodwill, data, contracts, use of money, or business interruption, and whether in delict, tort (including without limitation negligence), contract, breach of statutory duty, misrepresentation (whether innocent or negligent) or otherwise under any claim of any nature whatsoever in any jurisdiction) in any way arising from or connected with this report and the use, inability to use or the results of use of this report, and any reliance on this report.

The analysis of the security is purely based on the smart contracts alone. No applications or operations were reviewed for security. No product code has been reviewed.

## Summary

Smart contracts do not contain any high severity issues.

### Note:

Please check the disclaimer above and note, the audit makes no statements or warranties on business model, investment attractiveness or code sustainability. The report is provided for the only contract mentioned in the report

