Commutator width of the Grigorchul group

Thorsten Groth

November 16, 2016

Abstract

Let G be the Grigorchuk group. In [LMU13] it was shown that the commutator width of G is finite but not explicit bound was given. In the present paper we show that in fact each element of the derived subgroup $g \in G'$ is a product of two commutators. This means that all equations of the form $[x_1, x_2][x_3, x_4]g = 1$ are solvable for $g \in G'$. The computer algebra system [GAP14] is used to derive a series of equations with increasing genus.

Contents

1	Inti	roduction	1
2	Equ	Equations	
	2.1	Quadratic equations	2
	2.2	Normal form of quadratic equations	3
	2.3	Constrainted equations	4
3	Grigorchuks Group		
	3.1	Good Pairs	7
	3.2	Main proposition	8
	3.3	Product of 3 commutators	12
	3.4	Product of 2 commutators	13
\mathbf{R}	efere	ences	14

1 Introduction

Theorem 1.1. The Grigorchuk group G has commutator width 2.

2 Equations

In this section some standard notations similar to the ones introduced in [JE81] are established. X is a set of *variables*. As it should always be infinite countable it can be assumed to be equal to \mathbb{N} . G is some arbitrary group and F_X denotes the free group on the generating set X.

A G-equation E is an element of the group $F_X * G$ regarded as reduced word. A G-homomorphism from $F_X * G$ to H * G is a homomorphism which is the identity on G. Define:

 $\operatorname{Var}: F_X * G \to \mathbb{P}(X), E \mapsto \operatorname{Var}(E), \quad x \in \operatorname{Var}(E) \text{ iff the symbol } x \text{ occurs in } w$

An evaluation is a G-endomorphism $e \colon F_X * G \to G$. A solution of an equation E is an evaluation s with s(E) = 1. If a solution exists the equation is called solvable.

The set of elements $x \in X$ such that $s(x) \neq 1$ is called the *support* of the solution. Often the support of a solution for an equation E is assumed to be minimal and thus a subset of $F_{\text{Var}(E)}$. As the solution is uniquely described by the image of X the data of a minimal solution is equivalent to a map $\text{Var}(E) \to G$. The question of whether an equation E is solvable will be referred to as the *diophantine* problem of E. Any homomorphism $\varphi \colon G \to H$ extends to a homomorphism $\varphi^* \colon F_X \ast G \to F_X \ast H$ by extending it as the identity on F_X .

Definition 2.1. Two equations $E, F \in F_X * G$ are equivalent if there is a G-automorphism φ which maps E to F.

Lemma 2.2. Let φ be a G-homomorphism and E an equation. If $\varphi(E)$ is solvable, then so is E.

Proof. Let s be the solution of $\varphi(w)$. Write E as $E = \prod_{i=1}^m g_i x_i$ where $g_i \in G$ and $x_i \in X$. Define the evaluation s' by $x \mapsto s(\varphi(x))$. Then

$$s'(E) = \prod_{i=1}^{m} g_i s'(x_i) = \prod_{i=1}^{m} g_i s(\varphi(x_i)) = s\left(\prod_{i=1}^{m} g_i \varphi(x_i)\right) = s(\varphi(E)) = 1.$$

So s' is a solution for E.

Corollary 2.3. The diophantine problem is the same for equivalent equations.

2.1 Quadratic equations

A G-equation E is called quadratic if each $x \in Var(E)$ occurs exactly twice in E regarded as reduced word.

It is called *oriented* if for each variable $x \in Var(E)$ the number of occurrences with positive and with negative sign coincide. Otherwise the word is called *unoriented*.

Lemma 2.4. Being oriented or not is invariant under G-automorphisms.

Proof. Let φ be some G-homomorphism. Fix some $x \in X$. Let $n_{+,y}$ be the number of positive occurrences of x in $\varphi(y)$ and $n_{-,y}$ accordingly. If E is an oriented word then

$$\sum_{y \in \operatorname{Var}(E)} n_{+,y} = \sum_{y \in \operatorname{Var}(E)} n_{-,y^{-1}} = \sum_{y \in \operatorname{Var}(E)} n_{-,y} \ .$$

So $\varphi(E)$ is oriented too.

2.2Normal form of quadratic equations

Definition 2.5. For $x_i, y_i, z_i \in F$ and $c_i \in G$ the following two kind of equations are called in *normal form*:

$$O_{n,m}: [x_1, y_1][x_2, y_2] \cdots [x_n, y_n] c_1^{z_1} \cdots c_{m-1}^{z_{m-1}} c_m$$

$$U_{n,m}: x_1^2 x_2^2 \cdots x_n^2 c_1^{z_1} \cdots c_{m-1}^{z_{m-1}} c_m .$$

$$(1)$$

$$U_{n,m}: \qquad x_1^2 x_2^2 \cdots x_n^2 c_1^{z_1} \cdots c_{m-1}^{z_{m-1}} c_m \ . \tag{2}$$

The form $O_{n,m}$ is called the oriented case and $U_{n,m}$ for n > 0 the unoriented. The parameter n is referred to as *genus* of the normal form of an equation.

We are going to prove the following theorem:

Theorem 2.6 ([JE81]). Each quadratic equation $E \in F_X * G$ is equivalent to an equation in normal form and the isomorphism can be effectively computed.

Proof. The proof goes through an induction on the number of variables. Starting with the oriented case: If the reduced equation E has no variables then it is already in normal form $O_{0,1}$. If there is a variable $x \in X$ occurring in E then it does also appear with opposite sign. So the equation has the form $E = ux^{-1}vxw$ or can be brought to this form by applying the automorphism $x \mapsto x^{-1}$. Choose $x \in X$ in a way such that Var(v) is minimal.

We're distinguish between multiple cases:

Case 1.0 $v \in G$. The word uw has less variables then E and can thus be brought into normal form $N \in O_{r,s}$ by G-isomorphism φ . If N ends with a variable we can use the G-isomorphism $\varphi \circ (x \mapsto xw^{-1})$ to map E to the equation $Nv^x \in O_{r,s+1}$.

> If N ends with a group constant b, N = Mb we can use the isomorphism $\varphi \circ (x \mapsto xbw^{-1})$ to map E to the equation $Mv^xb \in O_{r,s+1}$.

Case 1.1 $v \in X \cup X^{-1}$. For simplicity let us assume that $v \in X$. In the other case we can apply $v \mapsto v^{-1}$. Now there are two possibilities: Either $v^{-1} \in u$ or $v^{-1} \in w$. In the first case $E = u_1 v^{-1} u_2 x^{-1} vxw$ then the isomorphism $x \mapsto x^{u_1}u_2, v \mapsto v^{u_1}$ results in the equation $[v, x]u_1u_2w$. In the second case $E = ux^{-1}vxw_1v^{-1}w_2$ is transformed to $[x,v]uw_1w_2$ by the isomorphism $x \mapsto x^{uw_1}w_1^{-1}, v \mapsto v^{-uw_1}$. In both cases u_1u_2w , resp. uw_1w_2 are of less variable and so composition with the corresponding isomorphism results the normal form.

Case 2 Length(v) > 1. Then v is a word consisting of elements $X \cup X^{-1}$ with each symbol occurring at most once as v was chosen with minimal variable set, and some elements of G. If v starts with a constant $b \in G$ we can use the homomorphism $x \mapsto bx$ to achieve that v starts with a variable $y \in X$ by eventually using $y \mapsto y^{-1}$. Like in case 1.1 there are two possibilities either y^{-1} is part of u or part of w. In the first place $E = u_1 y^{-1} u_2 x^{-1} y v_1 x w$ we can use the isomorphism $x \mapsto x^{u_1 v_1} u_2$, $y \mapsto y^{u_1 v_1} v_1^{-1}$ to obtain $[y, x] u_1 v_1 u_2 w$. In the second take the isomorphism

$$x \mapsto x^{uw_1v_1}v_1^{-1}w_1^{-1}, \qquad y \mapsto y^{-uw_1v_1}v_1^{-1}$$

to get $[x, y]uw_1v_1w_2$. In both cases the second subword has again less variable and can be brought into normal form by induction.

Therefore each oriented equation can be brought to normal form by G-isomorphisms. For the unoriented case decompose the equation into E = uxvxw with again v with a minimal number of variables. The shorter word $uv^{-1}w$ is equivalent by φ to a normal form N by induction.

The G-isomorphism $\varphi \circ (x \mapsto x^u v^{-1})$ maps E to $x^2 N$. If $N \in U_{r,s} \cup O_{0,t}$ for some r, s, t, nothing else is to do. Otherwise N = [y, z]M. Then the homomorphism

$$x \mapsto xyz, y \mapsto z^{-1}y^{-1}x^{-1}yzxyz, z \mapsto z^{-1}y^{-1}x^{-1}z$$

maps x^2N to $x^2y^2z^2M$. This homomorphism is indeed an isomorphism as

$$x\mapsto x^2y^{-1}x^{-1}, \hspace{1cm} y\mapsto xyx^{-1}z^{-1}x^{-1}, \hspace{1cm} z\mapsto xz$$

is an inverse homomorphism. If M is still not in $O_{0,s}$ this procedure can be repeated with z instead of x.

For an quadratic equation E we denote by $\mathfrak{nf}(E) := \mathfrak{nf}_E(E)$ the image of the such constructed isomorphism \mathfrak{nf}_E of E.

From now on we will consider oriented equations $O_{(n,1)}$. For this we will use the abbreviation

$$R_n(x_1,\ldots,x_{2n}) = \prod_{i=1}^n [x_{2i-1},x_{2i}]$$

and often write $R_n = R_n(x_1, \dots, x_{2n})$ if the x_i are the first generators of F_X .

2.3 Constrainted equations

Definition 2.7 ([LMU13]). Given an equation $E \in F_X * G$, a group H, a homomorphism $\pi \colon G \to H$ and a homomorphism $\gamma \colon F_X \to H$ then the pair (E, γ) is called a *constrainted* equation and γ a constraint for the equation E on H.

A solution for (E, γ) is a solution s for E with the additional property, that $s(x)^{\pi} = \gamma(x)$ for all $x \in F_X$.

3 Grigorchuks Group

Let T_n be an infinite regular rooted n-ary tree. The group $\operatorname{Aut}(T_n)$ consists of all root preserving graph automorphisms of the tree T_n . Note that T_n is isomorphic to any n-ary subtree and therefore $\operatorname{Aut}(T_n) \simeq \operatorname{Aut}(T) \wr S_n$ where S_n is the symmetric group of n symbols.

A self similar subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}(T_n)$ is a group G with an embedding $G \hookrightarrow G \wr P$ where $P < S_n$. For the sake of an easy notation we will identify elements with the image of these embedding and will write $g = \langle g_1, \ldots, g_n \rangle \pi$ for elements $g \in G$. Furthermore we will call the g_i states of the element g and write $g@i := g_i$

The Grigorchuk 2-group is a finitely generated self-similar group with finite state generators:

$$a = \langle 1, 1 \rangle (1, 2), \quad b = \langle a, c \rangle, \quad c = \langle a, d \rangle, \quad d = \langle 1, b \rangle.$$

Some useful identities are

- $a^2 = b^2 = c^2 = d^2 = 1$
- $b^a = \langle\!\langle c, a \rangle\!\rangle, c^a = \langle\!\langle d, a \rangle\!\rangle, d^a = \langle\!\langle b, 1 \rangle\!\rangle$
- $(ad)^4 = (ac)^8 = (ab)^{16} = 1$.

Lemma 3.1. The Grigorchuk group is regular branched with branching subgroup $K := \langle (ab)^2, (bada)^2, (abad)^2 \rangle$. The Quotient Q := G/K is of order 16.

Lemma 3.2 ([LMU13]). Given $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and any homomorphism $\gamma \colon F_X \to Q$ with supp $(\gamma) \subset \langle x_1, \dots, x_{2n} \rangle$ there is an element $\varphi \in \operatorname{Stab}(R_n) < \operatorname{Aut}(F_X)$ such that supp $(\gamma \circ \varphi) \in \langle x_1, \dots, x_5 \rangle$.

Remark. This is now implemented in GAP: For this see the attached gap file and the function ReduceConstraint which can be called with one argument being either a group homomorphism from a free group to Q or a list of elements of Q. The group Q can be accessed by BranchStructure(GrigorchukGroup).group which comes with the GAP package FR [Bar14].

Lemma 3.3. Identify the group of homomorphisms $\{\gamma \colon F_X \to Q \mid \operatorname{supp}(\gamma) \subset \langle x_1, \dots x_6 \rangle \}$ with Q^6 . Then

$$\left| Q^6 \middle/_{\operatorname{Stab}(R_3)} \right| = 90.$$

Proof. This is shown by a GAP calculation. The group $Stab(R_n)$ is the mapping class group of the surface group of the oriented surface of genus n and

can be generated by the following automorphisms of F_{2n} :

$$\varphi_{i}: \qquad x_{i} \mapsto x_{i-1}x_{i} \qquad \text{for } i = 2, 4, \dots, 2n$$

$$\varphi_{i}: \qquad x_{i} \mapsto x_{i+1}x_{i} \qquad \text{for } i = 1, 3, \dots, 2n-1$$

$$\psi_{i}: \qquad x_{i} \mapsto x_{i+1}x_{i+2}^{-1}x_{i},$$

$$x_{i+1} \mapsto x_{i+1}x_{i+2}^{-1}x_{i+1}x_{i+2}x_{i+1}^{-1},$$

$$x_{i+2} \mapsto x_{i+1}x_{i+2}^{-1}x_{i+2}x_{i+2}x_{i+1}^{-1},$$

$$x_{i+3} \mapsto x_{i+1}x_{i+2}^{-1}x_{i+3} \qquad \text{for } i = 1, 3, \dots, 2n-3$$

Now the GAP included standard orbit enumeration algorithm OrbitsDomain can be used to to compute the orbit and verify its size.

To check this the function verifyLemma90orbits from the file verify.g can be used. With argument true it uses the precomputed orbits and just checks their length. Otherwise it recomputes the orbits which can take up to 24 h and about 7.5 GB. of ram.

Definition 3.4. Fix some representative system \mathfrak{R} of the above 90 orbits and for $\gamma \colon F_X \to Q$ with finite support denote by φ_{γ} the G-homomorphism in $\operatorname{Stab}(R_{\mathbb{N}})$ such that $\gamma \circ \varphi_{\gamma} \in \mathfrak{R}$.

The element $\gamma \circ \varphi_{\gamma}$ will be called reduced constraint.

Lemma 3.5. The solvability of a constrainted equation $(R_n g, \gamma)$ is equivalent to the solvability of $(R_n g, \gamma \circ \varphi_{\gamma})$.

Proof. If s is a solution for $(R_n g, \gamma)$ then $s \circ \varphi_{\gamma}$ is a solution for $(R_n g, \gamma \circ \varphi_{\gamma})$. \square

Definition 3.6 ([BGS03]). A branch structure of a group $G \hookrightarrow G \wr P$ consists of

- a branching subgroup $K \triangleleft G$ of finite index.
- the corresponding quotient Q = G/K and the factor homomorphism $\pi: G \to Q$.
- A group $Q_1 \subset Q \wr P$ such that $\langle q_1, q_2 \rangle \sigma \in Q_1$ if and only if $\langle g_1, g_2 \rangle \sigma \in G$ for all $g_i \in \pi^{-1}(q_i)$.
- A map $\omega: Q_1 \to Q$ with the following property. If $g = \langle \langle g_1, g_2 \rangle \rangle \sigma \in G$ then $\omega(\langle \langle \pi(g_1), \pi(g_2) \rangle \rangle \sigma) = \pi(g)$.

Lemma 3.7. The Grigorchuk group has a branch structure.

Proof. This can be seen in [BGS03]. In GAP the branch structure can be computed by the method BranchStructure(GrigorchukGroup) which is included in the FR package.

Theorem 3.8 ([LMU13]). The Grigorchuk group has finite commutator width. That is there exists an $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $g \in G'$ the equation $R_N g$ is solvable.

Remark. This is not true for all constrainted equations: For example

$$(R_n(ab)^2, (\gamma \colon x_i \mapsto 1 \ \forall i))$$

is not solvable for any n because otherwise it would be $ac, ca \in G'$ which is impossible since ac has activity.

3.1 Good Pairs

The previous remark motivates the following definition.

Definition 3.9. Given $g \in G'$ and $\gamma \in \mathfrak{R}$. The tuple (g, γ) is called a *good pair* if there exists an n such that $(R_n g, \gamma)$ is solvable.

Lemma 3.10. Denote by

$$\tau: G \to G/K'$$
 and $\varpi: G/K' \to G/K'/K/K' \simeq G/K$

the natural projections.

The pair (g, γ) is a good pair if and only if there is a solution $s: F_X \to G/K'$ for $R_3 q^{\tau}$ with $s(x_i) \in \varpi^{-1}(\gamma(x_i))$.

Proof. If (g, γ) is a good pair and s a solution for $R_n g, \gamma$ then $s(x_i) \in K$ for $i \geq 6$, so $s(R_n) = s(R_3) \cdot k'$ for some $k' \in K'$. Therefore there is a solution $\tau \circ s$ for $R_3 g^{\tau}$ with $s(x_i) = \gamma(x_i)$.

On the other hand if there is a solution $s: F_X \to G/K'$ for R_3g^{τ} with for each $s(x_i) \in \varpi^{-1}(\gamma(x_i))$ then for $g_i \in \tau^{-1}(s(x_i))$ there is some $k' \in K'$ such that $R_n(x_1, \ldots, x_6)gk' = 1$ and so (g, γ) is a good pair.

The previous lemma shows that the question if (g, γ) is a good pair depends only on the image $q = g^{\tau}$ in G/K'. So (q, γ) will be called a good pair if (g, γ) is a good pair for one (and hence all) preimages of q under τ .

Corollary 3.11. The following are equivalent:

- a) K is of finite commutator width.
- b) There is a $N \in \mathbb{N}$ uniform for all good pairs $(g, \gamma), g \in G', \gamma \in \mathfrak{R}$ such that $(R_N g, \gamma)$ is solvable.

Proof. First the easy direction: If $k \in K'$ then (k, 1) is a good pair. So $(R_n k, 1)$ is solvable in G for an $n \leq N$ but the constraints ensures that it is solvable in K. Therefore the commutator width of K is at most N.

If (g, γ) is a good pair there is an $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and a solution s for $R_m g, \gamma$. As $s(x_i)^{\pi} = 1$ for all $i \geq 6$ there is some $k \in K'$ such that s is a solution for $R_3 k g, \gamma$. By a) there is an N such that all $k \in K'$ can be written as product of N commutators of elements of K and therefore there is a solution for $(R_{N+3}g, \gamma)$.

This motivates to study K' and G/K' further.

Lemma 3.12. Denote by $k_1 := (ab)^2, k_2 := \langle \! \langle 1, k_1 \rangle \! \rangle = (abad)^2$ and $k_3 := \langle \! \langle k_1, 1 \rangle \! \rangle = (bada)^2$ then

$$G' = \langle k_1, k_2, k_3, (ad)^2 \rangle,$$

$$K = \langle k_1, k_2, k_3 \rangle,$$

$$K \times K = \{ \langle k, k' \rangle \mid k, k' \in K \}$$

$$= \langle k_2, k_3, k_2 k_1^{-1} k_2^{-1} k_1, (k_2 k_1^{-1} k_2^{-1} k_1)^a, k_2 k_1 k_2 k_1^{-1}, (k_2 k_1 k_2 k_1^{-1})^a \rangle,$$

$$K' = \langle [k_1, k_2] \rangle^G$$

$$= \langle (dacabaca)^2 (baca)^4, ((ca)^2 baca)^2, (dacabaca)^2 c(acab)^3 acad,$$

$$((ac)^3 ab)^2, bacadacab(ac)^2 (acab)^3, (acadacab)^2 (acab)^4 \rangle^{1,a}.$$

Furthermore we have this chain of indices:

$$[G:G'] = 8, \quad [G':K] = 2, \quad [K:K \times K] = 4, \quad [K \times K:K'] = 16.$$

Proof. This is shown in [BGS03] and can be verified using the GAP standard methods NormalClosure and Index. \Box

3.2 Main proposition

Definition 3.13. We define the activity of an element $q \in Q$ as the activity of an arbitrary element of $\pi^{-1}(q)$. This is well defined as K < Stab(1). Consider a constraint $\gamma \colon F_X \to Q$. Define $Act(\gamma) := x \mapsto Act(\gamma(x))$. Denote by \mathfrak{R}_{act} the reduced constraints which have a nontrivial activity.

Lemma 3.14. For each $q \in G'/K'$ there is $\gamma \in \mathfrak{R}_{act}$ such that (q, γ) is a good pair.

Proof. This is a finite problem which can be checked in GAP with the function verifyLemmaExistGoodGammas. If this function is called with the argument true then it uses the pre-computed list of good pairs. Otherwise it computes the list of good pairs first, which will take about 1 min.

Proposition 3.15. For each good pair (q, γ) with $q \in G'/K'$ and $\gamma \in \mathfrak{R}_{act}$ there is a pair (γ', x) , with $\gamma' \in \mathfrak{R}_{act}$ and

$$x \in \{1,a,b,c,d,ab,ad,ba\}$$

such that for all g with $g^{\tau} = q$ the following holds

- $((q@2)^x \cdot q@1, \gamma')$ is a good pair.
- The solvability of $(R_{2n-1}(g@2)^x \cdot g@1, \gamma')$ implies the solvability of (R_ng, γ) .

This pair (γ', x) can be effectively computed.

For fixed $g \in G'/K'$ and $\gamma \in \mathfrak{R}_{act}$ such that (g,γ) is a good pair denote by $(g_k,\gamma_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ the following sequence of pairs: First set $(g_1,\gamma_1)=(g,\gamma)$ then define (g_k,γ_k) recursively by applying Proposition 3.15 to the pair (g_{k-1},γ_{k-1}) and fix a pair (γ',x) with the described properties. Then set $\gamma_k:=\gamma'$ and $g_k:=(g_{k-1}@2)^x\cdot g_{k-1}@1$.

Proof. We will define for each good pair (q, γ) a set $\Gamma^q(\gamma) \subset \mathfrak{R}_{act} \times Q$ where the elements fulfill the asked properties by replacing Q by a fixed transversal in G containing the set $S = \{1, a, b, c, d, ab, ad, ba\}$. Then GAP is used to show that for all choices $q \in G'/K'$, $\gamma \in \mathfrak{R}_{act}$ the constructed sets are never empty.

Take the branching structure (K, Q, π, Q_1, ω) of the Grigorchuk group as before and denote by rep: $Q \to S$ the map such that $\operatorname{rep}(q)^{\pi} = q$.

Denote $x_i \in X$ such that $\operatorname{supp}(\gamma) \subset \langle x_1, \dots, x_6 \rangle$ and choose $y_1, \dots, y_{12} \in X \setminus \{x_1, \dots, x_6\}$.

$$\Gamma_1(\gamma) = \{ \gamma' : \langle y_1, \dots, y_{12} \rangle \to Q \mid \langle \gamma'(y_{2i-1}), \gamma'(y_{2i}) \rangle \in w^{-1}(\gamma(x_i)) \}$$

Let $F_1 = \langle g \rangle$, $F_2 = \langle g_1, g_2 \rangle$ be free groups. Now define a homomorphism

$$\Phi_{\gamma} \colon F_X * F_1 \to (F_X * F_2) \wr C_2$$

$$g \mapsto \langle \langle g_1, g_2 \rangle \rangle,$$

$$x_i \mapsto \langle \langle x_i^{(1)}, x_i^{(2)} \rangle \rangle \mathcal{A}\mathbf{ct}(\gamma(x_i)).$$

Take $q_1, q_2 \in Q, n \geq 3 \in \mathbb{N}$ arbitrary and define

$$\Gamma_2^{q_1,q_2,n}(\gamma) = \left\{ \gamma' \in \Gamma_1(\gamma) \middle| \substack{\pi \colon F_2 \to Q \\ g_1 \mapsto q_1 \\ g_2 \mapsto q_2}, (\gamma' * \pi)^2(\Phi_\gamma(R_n g)) = \langle 1, 1 \rangle \right\}.$$

Denote by $v, w = v_n, w_n$ the elements such that $\Phi_{\gamma}(R_n g) = \langle v, w \rangle \langle g_1, g_2 \rangle$. By the following Lemma 3.16 there is $x_0 \in X \cup X^{-1}$ such that $v = v_1 x_0 v_2$ and $w = w_1 x_0^{-1} w_2$. Then the homomorphism

$$l_{x_0} \colon F_X * F_2 \to F_X * F_2, x_i \mapsto \begin{cases} x_i & \text{if } x_i \neq x_0 \\ w_2 g_2 w_1 & \text{if } x_i = x_0 \end{cases}$$

maps $vg_1 \mapsto v_1w_2g_2w_1v_1g_1$ and $wg_2 \mapsto 1$. For $\gamma' \in \Gamma_2^{q_1,q_2,n}(\gamma)$ it is $(\gamma'*\pi)(x_0) = (\gamma'*\pi)(w_2g_2w_1)$ so with $X' = X \setminus x_0$ there is no loss of information if we consider $\gamma'|_{F_{X'}}$ instead of γ' . From section 2.2 remember the normalization automorphism $\mathfrak{nf}_{\gamma,n,x_0} := \mathfrak{nf}_{v_1w_2g_2w_1v_1g_1} : F_{X'} * F_2 \to F_{X'} * F_2$ and note that $\mathfrak{nf}_{\gamma,n,x_0}(l_x(v)) = R_{2n-1}g_2^{x_{4n-1}}g_1$. This leads to the following definition.

$$\Gamma_3^{q_1,q_2,n,x_0}(\gamma) = \left\{ (\gamma''|_{X \setminus \{x_{4n-1}\}}, \gamma''(x_{4n-1})) \ \middle| \gamma'' = \gamma'|_{X'} \circ \mathfrak{nf}_{\gamma,n,x_0}, \gamma' \in \Gamma_2^{q_1,q_2,n} \right\}.$$

A solution for the constrainted equation

$$(R_{2n-1}(g@2)^{\text{rep}(y)}(g@1), \gamma''') \text{ for } (\gamma''', y) \in \Gamma_3^{(g@1)^{\pi}, (g@2)^{\pi}, n, x_0}$$

can be extended by sending $x_{4n-1} \mapsto \operatorname{rep}(y)$ to a solution s' of the equation $(R_{2n-1}g@2^{x_{4n-1}}g@1,\gamma'')$. The map $s' \circ \mathfrak{nf}_{\gamma,n,x_0}^{-1}$ is a solution for the constrainted equation $(v_1w_2g_2w_1v_1g_1,\gamma'|_{X'})$. Which can be extended by the mapping $x_0 \mapsto w_2(g@2)w_1$ to a solution s of $(\Phi_{\gamma}(R_ng),\gamma')$. By definition of ω it is $t_i := \langle\!\langle s(y_{2i-1}), s(y_{2i})\rangle\!\rangle \mathcal{A}\operatorname{ct}(\gamma(x_i)) \in G$ for all i. So the mapping $x_i \mapsto t_i$ is a solution for (R_ng,γ) .

The map $\Gamma_3^{q_1,q_2,n,x_0}$ does not depend on the value of n: Choose fitting v,w such that $\Phi_{\gamma}(R_3g) = \langle v,w \rangle \langle g_1,g_2 \rangle$ then $\Phi_{\gamma}(R_ng) = \langle v,w \rangle \langle R_{n-3}g_1,R'_{n-3}g_2 \rangle$ then after applying the homomorphism l_{x_0} the word which needs to be normalized is $v_1w_2R_{n-3}g_2w_1v_1R'_{n-3}g_1$. The automorphisms

$$\psi_1 \colon F_X * F_2 \to F_X * F_2, \qquad \psi_2 \colon F_X * F_2 \to F_X * F_2$$

$$y \mapsto y^{g_1^{-1}}, \qquad y \mapsto y^{g_2^{x_{11}}g_1}, \quad \text{for } y \in \text{Var}(R'_{n-3})$$

$$z \mapsto z^{(g_2w_1v_1g_1)^{-1}} \qquad z \mapsto z^{g_2^{x_{11}}g_1} \qquad \text{for } z \in \text{Var}(R_{n-3})$$

$$x \mapsto x \qquad \qquad \text{for all other generators}$$

have the property that $\mathfrak{nf}_{v_1w_2R_{n-3}g_2w_1v_1R'_{n-3}g_1} = \psi_2 \circ \mathfrak{nf}_{v_1w_2g_2w_1v_1g_1} \circ \psi_1$ and $\gamma' \circ \psi_i = \gamma'$. The map $\Gamma_3^{q_1,q_2,n,x_0}$ does depend on x_0 , therefore we take the union of all of them and define

$$\Gamma_4^{q_1,q_2}(\gamma) := \left\{ (\gamma''' = \gamma'' \circ \varphi_{\gamma''}, y) \, \middle| \, (\gamma'',y) \in \bigcup_{x_0 \in \operatorname{Var}(v) \cap \operatorname{Var}(w)} \Gamma_3^{q_1,q_2,n,x_0}(\gamma) \right\}.$$

Note now that $q_1, q_2 \in Q$ are determined by $q \in G'/K'$ in the sense that there is a map $\bar{@}i: G'/K' \to Q$ such that if $g^{\tau} = q$ and $g_i = g@i$ then $q_i = q@i$ (Lemma 3.19). So we can write $\Gamma_4^{q_1,q_2}$ as Γ_4^q instead and finally define

$$\Gamma^q(\gamma) := \{ \gamma' \in \Gamma^q_4(\gamma) \mid \operatorname{Act}(\gamma') \neq 1 \}.$$

As a next step we want to make sure that for all preimages g of q under τ there are good pairs among the resulting pairs $((g@2)^{\text{Rep}(y)} \cdot g@1, \gamma''')$.

Define for $h \in G$ maps $p_h \colon G \to G$ by $g \mapsto \left((g@2)^h \cdot g@1, \gamma''' \right)$ this maps are in general not homomorphisms but by Lemma 3.17 we see that for $g \in G'$ that $p_h(g) \in G'$ for all $h \in G$ thus there is a chance that these elements form good pairs with the correct choices of γ .

We can show even better: For each fixed $q \in G'/K'$ and fixed $\gamma \in \mathfrak{R}_{act}$ there is $(\gamma', x) \in \Gamma^q(\gamma)$ such that for all g such that $g^{\tau} = q$ the element $(p_{\text{rep}(x)}(g), \gamma')$ is a good pair.

For this purpose we need to reduce this to a finite number of checks. By Lemma 3.18 we can define the map $\bar{p}_h \colon G'/K' \to G'/(K \times K)$ and the natural homomorphism

$$\varpi' \colon G'/K' \to (G'/K')/(K \times K/K') \simeq G'/K \times K$$

and now only need to show that there is a $(\gamma', x) \in \Gamma^q(\gamma)$ such that all preimages of $\bar{p}_{\text{rep}(x)}(q)$ under ϖ' form good pairs with γ' . In formulas what needs to be checked is:

$$\forall q \in G'/K' \ \forall \gamma \in \mathfrak{R}_{act} \exists (\gamma', x) \in \Gamma^q(\gamma) \forall r \in \varpi'^{-1}(\bar{p}_{rep(x)}) : \mathcal{G}(q, \gamma) \Rightarrow \mathcal{G}(r, \gamma').$$

Here \mathcal{G} is the predicate of being a good pair. This last formula quantifies only over finite sets and is implemented in GAP and can be verified there by calling verifyPropExistsSuccessor.

Lemma 3.16. If γ is a constraint with nontrivial activity, and $\Phi_{\gamma}(R_n g) = \langle w_1, w_2 \rangle$ then $\operatorname{Var}(w_1) \cap \operatorname{Var}(w_2) \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. Let x be generator of F_X with non vanishing constraint activity. Then R_n contains either a factor [x,y] or [y,x] for another generator y. Assume without loss of generality the first case. Let further be $\Phi_{\gamma}(x) = \langle\!\langle x_1, x_2 \rangle\!\rangle (1,2)$ and $\Phi_{\gamma}(y) = \langle\!\langle y_1, y_2 \rangle\!\rangle \sigma$. Then $\Phi_{\gamma}(R_n g)$ contains a factor

$$[\langle\!\langle x_1, x_2 \rangle\!\rangle (1, 2), \langle\!\langle y_1, y_2 \rangle\!\rangle \sigma] = \begin{cases} \langle\!\langle x_2^{-1} y_2^{-1} x_2 y_1, x_1^{-1} y_1^{-1} x_1 y_2 \rangle\!\rangle & \text{if } \sigma = \mathbb{1} \\ \langle\!\langle x_2^{-1} y_1^{-1} x_1 y_2, x_1^{-1} y_2^{-1} x_2 y_1 \rangle\!\rangle & \text{if } \sigma = (1, 2). \end{cases}$$

So in both cases $y_1, y_2 \in Var(w_1) \cap Var(w_2)$.

Lemma 3.17. Let $h \in G$ and $p_h \colon G \to G$ be the map $g \mapsto ((g@2)^h \cdot g@1, \gamma''')$. It holds that $p_h(G') \subset G'$ for all $h \in G$ and $p_1(K) \subset K$.

Proof. Denote first by $p := p_1$ then each element $g \in G'$ is a word in generators $w((ab)^2, (abad)^2, (bada)^2, (ad)^2)$. The generators have the following form:

$$(ab)^2 = \langle\!\langle ca, ac \rangle\!\rangle, (abad)^2 = \langle\!\langle 1, (ab)^2 \rangle\!\rangle, (bada)^2 = \langle\!\langle (ab)^2, 1 \rangle\!\rangle, (ad)^2 = \langle\!\langle b, b \rangle\!\rangle.$$

Therefore it is

$$p(g) = w(ac, (ab)^2, 1, b) \cdot w(ca, 1, (ab)^2, b)$$

$$\equiv w(ac, 1, 1, 1) \cdot w(ca, 1, 1, 1) \cdot w(1, 1, 1, b)^2 \equiv 1 \mod G'.$$

For $h \in G$ it is $p_h(g) = [h, (g@2)^{-1}]p(g)$ and therefore $p_h(g) \in G'$ for all $g \in G'$. An element $g \in K$ is a word $w((ab)^2, (abad)^2, (bada)^2,)$ and therefore

$$p(g) = w(ac, (ab)^2, 1) \cdot w(ca, 1, (ab)^2)$$

 $\equiv w(ac, 1, 1) \cdot w(ca, 1, 1) \equiv 1 \mod K.$

Lemma 3.18. The map

$$\bar{p}_h \colon \frac{G'}{K'} \to \frac{G'}{K \times K}$$

$$gK' \mapsto (g@2)^h \cdot g@1) K \times K$$

is well defined.

Proof. It's easy to verify by GAP that $k@i \in K \times K$ for i = 1, 2 and $k \in K'$ using Lemma 3.12. For check this call the function verifyLemmaStatesOfKPinKxK of the attached GAP file. Then for $k \in K'$ it is

$$p_h(gk) = ((gk)@2)^h \cdot (gk)@1 = (g@2)^h \cdot (k@2)^h \cdot g@1 \cdot k@1 \in (g@2)^h \cdot g@1K \times K.$$

Lemma 3.19. The maps $@i: G \to G, g \mapsto g@i$ induce well defined maps $@i: G/K' \to G/K$

Proof. As before it is
$$k'@i \in K \times K < K$$
.

3.3 Product of 3 commutators

We will prove that every element $g \in G'$ is a product of three commutators by proving that all sequences (g_k, γ_k) as defined after Proposition 3.15 are finite. For this purpose remember the map $p_x \colon g \mapsto (g@2)^x g@1$ from the proof of Proposition 3.15. We will show that for each $g \in G'$ the sequence of sets

$$Suc_1^g = \{g\}, \ Suc_n^g = \{p_x(h) \mid h \in Suc_{n-1}^g, x \in S\}$$

stagnates in a finite set.

In [Bar98] there is a choice of weights on generators which result in a length on G with good properties.

Lemma 3.20 ([Bar98]). Let $\eta \approx 0.811$ be the real root of $x^3 + x^2 + x - 2$ and set the weights

$$\omega(a) = 1 - \eta^3 \qquad \qquad \omega(c) = 1 - \eta^2$$

$$\omega(b) = \eta^3 \qquad \qquad \omega(d) = 1 - \eta$$

then

$$\eta(\omega(b) + \omega(a)) = \omega(c) + \omega(a)$$
$$\eta(\omega(c) + \omega(a)) = \omega(d) + \omega(a)$$
$$\eta(\omega(d) + \omega(a)) = \omega(b).$$

The next lemma is a small variation of a lemma in [Bar98].

Lemma 3.21. Denote by ∂_{ω} the length on G induced by the weight ω . Then $\partial_{\omega}(p_x(g)) \leq \delta \partial_{\omega}(g)$ for all $x \in S, g \in G$ with $\partial_{\omega}(g) > C$ some constant $C \in \mathbb{N}, \delta < 1$.

Corollary 3.22. The sequences of sets

$$Suc_1^g = \{g\}, \ Suc_n^g = \{p_x(h) \mid h \in Suc_{n-1}^g, x \in S\}$$

stagnates at a finite step for all $g \in G$.

Proof of Lemma.([Bar98]). Each element $g \in G$ can be written in a word of minimal length of the form $g = a^{\varepsilon}x_1ax_2a\dots x_na^{\delta}$ where $x_i \in \{b, c, d\}$ and $\varepsilon, \delta \in \{0, 1\}$. Denote by n_b, n_c, n_d the number of occurrences of b, c, d accordingly. Then

$$\partial_{\omega}(g) = (n - 1 + \varepsilon + \delta)\omega(a) + n_{b}\omega(b) + n_{c}\omega(c) + n_{d}\omega(d)$$

$$\partial_{\omega}(p_{x}(g)) \leq (n_{b} + n_{c})\omega(a) + n_{b}\omega(c) + n_{c}\omega(d) + n_{d}\omega(b) + 2\partial_{\omega}(x)$$

$$= \eta \left((n_{b} + n_{c} + n_{d})\omega(a) + n_{b}\omega(b) + n_{c}\omega(c) + n_{d}\omega(d) \right) + 2\partial_{\omega}(x)$$

$$= \eta(\partial_{\omega}(g) + (1 - \varepsilon - \delta)\omega(a)) + 2\partial_{\omega}(x)$$

$$\leq \eta(\partial_{\omega}(g) + \omega(a)) + 2(\omega(a) + \omega(b))$$

$$= \eta(\partial_{\omega}(g) + \omega(a)) + 2.$$

Thus the length of $p_x(g)$ growths with a linear factor smaller 1 in terms of the length of g. Therefore the claim holds. For instance one could take $\delta = 0.86$ and C = 50 or $\delta = 0.96$ and C = 16.

This completes the proof of the following proposition

Proposition 3.23. If (g, γ) is a good pair with active constraint γ and with $\operatorname{supp}(\gamma) \subset \{x_1, \ldots, x_{2n}\}$ then the equation $R_n(x_1, \ldots, x_{2n})g, \gamma$ is solvable.

Corollary 3.24. The Grigorchuk group G has commutator width at most 3.

Proof. This is a direct consequence of the proposition and Lemma 3.14.

Corollary 3.25. K has commutator width 3.

Proof. To show that K has commutator width 3 it is sufficient, to show that the constrainted equations $(R_3g, 1)$ have solutions for all $g \in K'$. Since 1 has trivial activity one cannot directly apply Proposition 3.23. But one can check that all pairs $(h, \gamma_1), (f, \gamma_2)$ such that $g = \langle h, f \rangle$ and $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 = (1, 1, 1, 1, (bad)^{\pi}, 1), \gamma_2 = (1, 1, 1, 1, 1, (ca)^{\pi})$ are good pairs with active constraints and hence the equations $(R_3g, 1)$ have solutions for all $g \in K'$. This check is implemented in the GAP function verifyCorollaryFiniteCWK.

3.4 Product of 2 commutators

Denote by \mathfrak{R}^4_{act} those active constraints $\gamma \in \mathfrak{R}_{act}$ with $\gamma(x_i) = 1$ for $i \geq 4$. If it were true that for each $q \in G'/K'$ there is a $\gamma \in \mathfrak{R}^4_{act}$ with (q, γ) then it would follow immediately that each $g \in G'$ is a product of two commutators. But unfortunately this isn't the case.

In fact there are 8 elements of $G'\!/\!K'$ which are no good pairs for active $\gamma \in \mathfrak{R}^4_{act}$. In the GAP file the can be accessed by $G'\!/\!K'$ is generated by the following elements $q_1 = ((ab)^2)^{\tau}, q_2 = ((bada)^2)^{\tau}, q_3 = ((abad)^2)^{\tau}, q_4 = ((ad)^2)^{\tau}$.

Fortunately there are inactive γ 's with support inside the first 4 coordinates such that all descendant problems are in none of these problematic cases.

For instance the table below shows all problematic $q \in G'/K'$ and a choice of γ such that (q, γ) is a good pair and $(g@i)^{\tau}, \gamma_i)$ are good pairs with active constraints for i = 1, 2 and all g such that $g^{\tau} = q$. Furthermore the solvability of $(R_2g@i, \gamma_i)$ implies the solvability of (R_2g, γ) and the latter is solvable by the previous section.

```
(1, 1, b^{\pi}, dada^{\pi}, 1, 1)
                                                                      \overline{(1,bad^{\pi},a^{\pi},ad^{\pi},1,1)}
                                                                                                                   (1, ca^{\pi}, c^{\pi}, da^{\pi}, 1, 1)
       q_2q_1^2
 q_2^{-1}q_4q_1^{-2}q_4
                           (1, 1, b^{\pi}, dada^{\pi}, 1, 1)
                                                                      (1, bad^{\pi}, a^{\pi}, ad^{\pi}, 1, 1)
                                                                                                                 (1, ca^{\pi}, c^{\pi}, da^{\pi}, 1, 1)
                            (1,1,c^{\pi},b^{\pi},1,1)
                                                                       (1, 1, a,^{\pi} bada^{\pi}, 1, 1)
                                                                                                                    (1, 1, d^{\pi}, dad^{\pi}, 1, 1)
       q_3q_1^2
q_{2}^{q_{3}q_{1}} (q_{1}^{-1}q_{4})^{2}
q_{1}^{-2}q_{2}
q_{1}^{-2}q_{2}^{-1}
q_{1}^{-2}q_{3}
q_{1}^{-2}q_{3}^{-1}
                              (1,1,c^{\pi},b^{\pi},1,1)
                                                                       (1, 1, a^{\pi}, bada^{\pi}, 1, 1)
                                                                                                                    (1, 1, d^{\pi}, dad^{\pi}, 1, 1)
                            (1, 1, b, dada^{\pi}, 1, 1)
                                                                      (1, bad^{\pi}, a^{\pi}, ad^{\pi}, 1, 1)
                                                                                                                   (1, ca^{\pi}, c^{\pi}, da^{\pi}, 1, 1)
                           (1, 1, b^{\pi}, dada^{\pi}, 1, 1)
                                                                      (1, bad^{\pi}, a^{\pi}, ad^{\pi}, 1, 1)
                                                                                                                   (1, ca^{\pi}, c^{\pi}, da^{\pi}, 1, 1)
                                                                                                                    (1, 1, d^{\pi}, dad^{\pi}, 1, 1)
                              (1,1,c^{\pi},b^{\pi},1,1)
                                                                       (1, 1, a^{\pi}, bada^{\pi}, 1, 1)
                               (1,1,c^{\pi},b^{\pi},1,1)
                                                                       (1, 1, a^{\pi}, bada^{\pi}, 1, 1)
                                                                                                                    (1, 1, d^{\pi}, dad^{\pi}, 1, 1)
```

Corollary 3.26. All elements $g \in G'$ are products of two commutators.

References

- [Bar98] Laurent Bartholdi, The growth of grigorchuk's torsion group, IMRN **20** (1998), 1049–1054.
- [Bar14] Laurent Bartholdi, FR, computations with functionally recursive groups, Version 2.1.1, Jan 2014, GAP package.
- [BGS03] Laurent Bartholdi, Rostislav I. Grigorchuk, and Zoran Sunik, *Branch groups*, Handbook of algebra **3** (2003), 989–1112.
- [GAP14] The GAP Group, GAP Groups, Algorithms, and Programming, Version 4.7.5, 2014.
- [JE81] Leo P Comerford Jr. and Charles C Edmunds, Quadratic equations over free groups and free products, Journal of Algebra **68** (1981), no. 2, 276 297.
- [LMU13] Igor Lysenok, Alexei Miasnikov, and Alexander Ushakov, Quadratic equations in the Grigorchuk group, 1304.5579.