

Example 1

X_1, \dots, X_n i.i.d $X_i \sim f(x_i; \theta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left\{-\frac{(x_i - \theta)^2}{2}\right\}$, $x_i \in \mathbb{R}$.

We verify

$$H_0: \theta = 0,$$

$$H_1: \theta = 1.$$

We have

$$\frac{L(1, x)}{L(0, x)} = \frac{\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^n \exp\left(-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - 1)^2}{2}\right)}{\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^n \exp\left(-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i)^2}{2}\right)} = \exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i - \frac{n}{2}\right) > k_1.$$

Thus

$$\sum_{i=1}^n x_i - \frac{n}{2} > \log k_1 \Leftrightarrow \sum_{i=1}^n x_i > \log k_1 + \frac{n}{2} = k.$$

Thereby, the critical region of the UMP test has the form

$$C = \{x : \sum_{i=1}^n x_i > k\},$$

while the constant k satisfies the condition $P_0(X \in C) = \alpha$.

So, $P_0\left(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i > k\right) = \alpha$. Since, under H_0 , $\sum_{i=1}^n X_i \sim N(n, n)$, we have $P_0\left(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i > k\right) = P_0\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i - n}{\sqrt{n}} > \frac{k-n}{\sqrt{n}}\right) =$

$1 - \Phi\left(\frac{k-n}{\sqrt{n}}\right) = \alpha$. As a result, $\frac{k-n}{\sqrt{n}} = \Phi^{-1}(1-\alpha)$ and $k = \sqrt{n} \Phi^{-1}(1-\alpha)$.

On the other hand, under H_1 , $\sum_{i=1}^n X_i \sim N(n, n)$, and

$$\gamma(\theta_1) = \gamma(1) = P_1\left(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i > k\right) = P_1\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i - n}{\sqrt{n}} > \frac{k-n}{\sqrt{n}}\right) =$$

$$1 - \Phi\left(\frac{k-n}{\sqrt{n}}\right) = 1 - \Phi\left(\Phi^{-1}(1-\alpha) - \sqrt{n}\right).$$

3. The UMP for composite type alternative

Example 1

X_1, \dots, X_n i.i.d., $X_i \sim N(0, \theta)$, $\theta > 0$.

We test

$$H_0: \theta = \theta_0,$$

$$A: \theta > \theta_0.$$

We find the UMP α -level test.

We have

$$L(\theta) = L(\theta, \underline{x}) = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi\theta}\right)^n \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2\theta} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2\right\}.$$

Let $\theta_1 > \theta_0$, and $k_1 > 0$. Then,

$$\frac{L(\theta_1)}{L(\theta_0)} \geq k_1 \Leftrightarrow \left(\frac{\theta_0}{\theta_1}\right)^n \exp\left\{-\frac{\theta_1 - \theta_0}{2\theta_0\theta_1} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2\right\} \geq k_1 \Leftrightarrow$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 \geq k.$$

The critical region has a form

$$C = \left\{ (x_1, \dots, x_n) : \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 \geq k \right\}$$

and corresponds to the UMP test of the problem

$$H_0: \theta = \theta_0,$$

$$H_1: \theta = \theta_1$$

where the constant k satisfies the condition $P_0\left(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2 \geq k\right) = \alpha$.

Since $\sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2 / \theta \sim \chi_n^2$, we have

$$P_0\left(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2 \geq k\right) = P_0\left(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2 / \theta_0 \geq \frac{k}{\theta_0}\right) = 1 - F_{\chi_{(n)}^2}\left(\frac{k}{\theta_0}\right).$$

As a result, $\frac{k}{\theta_0} = q_{\chi_{(n)}^2}(0.95)$.

Since the above holds for any $\theta_1 > \theta_0$,
the UMP test in the problem (H_0 vs H_1) has the form D_C .

Example 2

X_1, \dots, X_n i.i.d., $X_i \sim N(\theta, 1)$

We verify

$$H_0: \theta = \theta_0$$

$$H_1: \theta \neq \theta_0$$

It will be shown that there is no UMP test in the above problem. We have $\Theta = \mathbb{R}$. Let $\theta_1 \in \Theta$ and $\theta_1 \neq \theta_0$. Consider

$$\frac{L(\theta_1)}{L(\theta_0)} = \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \theta_1)^2\right]}{\left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \theta_0)^2\right]} \geq k.$$

Equivalently,

$$\exp\left[(\theta_1 - \theta_0) \sum_{i=1}^n x_i - \frac{n}{2} (\theta_1^2 - \theta_0^2)\right] \geq k$$

or

$$(\theta_1 - \theta_0) \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \geq \frac{n}{2} (\theta_1^2 - \theta_0^2) + \log k,$$

so,

$$\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \geq \frac{n}{2} (\theta_1^2 + \theta_0^2) + \frac{\log k}{\theta_1 - \theta_0} \quad \text{provided that } \theta_1 > \theta_0$$

$$\text{or} \quad \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \leq \frac{n}{2} (\theta_1 + \theta_0) + \frac{\log k}{\theta_1 - \theta_0} \quad \text{provided that } \theta_1 > \theta_0.$$

The first expression defines the critical region of the UMP test in the problem of testing $H_0: \theta = \theta_0$ against $H_1^+: \theta = \theta_1$ provided that $\theta_1 > \theta_0$, while

the second expression defines the critical region of the UMP test ...

$$H_0: \theta = \theta_0 \quad \text{vs} \quad H_1^-: \theta = \theta_1 \quad \text{in} \quad \theta_1 < \theta_0.$$

The UMP test does not exist because these regions are different.

Remark 1

Under the assumptions of Example 2, there are UMP tests in the problems

$$H_0: \theta = \theta_0,$$

$$H_1^+: \theta > \theta_0,$$

and

$$H_0: \theta = \theta_0,$$

$$H_1^-: \theta < \theta_0.$$

Let x_1, \dots, x_n be a sample from $f(x_i, \theta), \theta \in \mathbb{H}$, and $y = u(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ a sufficient statistic for θ .

Factorization theorem implies

$L(\theta, x) = k_1[u(x), \theta] \cdot k_2(x)$, where $k(x)$ does not depend on θ . Thereby, for $\theta_0, \theta_1 \in \mathbb{H}$

$$\frac{L(\theta_1, x)}{L(\theta_0, x)} = \frac{k_1[u(x), \theta_1]}{k_1[u(x), \theta_0]}$$

depends on x_1, \dots, x_n only by $u(x)$.

Corollary 1

The UMP test is a function of a sufficient statistic.

Definition 1

It is said that the family of distributions $\{L(\theta, x); \theta \in \mathbb{H}\}$ has monotone likelihood ratio with respect to a statistic $Y = u(X)$ if for all $\theta_0, \theta_1 \in \mathbb{H}$ such that $\theta_0 < \theta_1$ the ratio

$$\frac{L(\theta_1, x)}{L(\theta_0, x)}$$

is a nondecreasing function of $y = u(x)$.

Theorem 1 (Kartin-Rubin) (continuous version)

Consider the testing problem

$$H_0: \theta \leq \theta_0,$$

$$H_1: \theta > \theta_0.$$

If the family of distributions $\{L(\theta, \underline{x}): \theta \in \Theta\}$ has monotone likelihood ratio with respect to a statistic $Y = u(\underline{x})$, then, in the problem (H_0, H_1) , there is UMP test with the critical region

$$C = \{\underline{x} : u(\underline{x}) > k\},$$

where the constant satisfies the condition $P_{\theta_0}(Y > k) = P_{\theta_0}(u(\underline{x}) > k) = \alpha$.

Example 3

X_1, \dots, X_n i.i.d $X_i \sim b(1, p)$, $p = \theta$, $0 < \theta < 1$. Let $\theta_1 > \theta_0$.

and consider the ratio

$$\frac{L(\theta_1, \underline{x})}{L(\theta_0, \underline{x})} = \frac{\theta_1^{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i} (1-\theta_1)^{n-\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}}{\theta_0^{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i} (1-\theta_0)^{n-\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}} = \left[\frac{\theta_1 (1-\theta_0)}{\theta_0 (1-\theta_1)} \right]^{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i} \left(\frac{1-\theta_1}{1-\theta_0} \right)^{n-\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}$$

which is an increasing function of $y = u(\underline{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$.

As a result, the family of distributions $\{L(\theta, \underline{x}): \theta \in (0, 1)\}$ has monotone likelihood ratio with respect to $Y = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$.

Consider the testing problem

$$H_0: \theta \leq \theta_0$$

against $H_1: \theta > \theta_0$.

The test D_C , where $C = \{\underline{x} : \sum_{i=1}^n x_i > k\}$, is the UMP test in the problem (H_0, H_1) at the significance level α if $P_{\theta_0}(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i > k) = \alpha$.

Let X_1, \dots, X_n be the sample from $f(x, \theta), \theta \in \Theta$, (38)

where $f(x, \theta) = \exp[\rho(\theta)K(x) + S(x) + g(\theta)]$, $x \in S$, while S is the support of X_1 independent from θ .

Assume that $\rho(\theta)$ is an increasing function of θ .

Then, for $\theta_1 > \theta_0$, the ratio

$$\frac{L(\theta_1)}{L(\theta_0)} = \exp\left[\rho(\theta_1) - \rho(\theta_0)\right] \sum_{i=1}^n K(x_i) + n[g(\theta_1) - g(\theta_0)]$$

is a nondecreasing function of $Y = \sum_{i=1}^n K(X_i)$.

Thus, in the testing problem

$$H_0: \theta \leq \theta_0,$$

$$H_1: \theta > \theta_0,$$

there exists the UMP test of the form $\bar{\Delta}_C$,
where $C = \{x : \sum_{i=1}^n K(x_i) \geq k\}$ while it satisfies
the condition $\alpha = P_{\theta_0}(X \in C)$

4. Likelihood Ratio Tests

Let X_1, \dots, X_n be a sample with $f(x, \theta), \theta \in \Theta$.

We test

$$H_0: \theta = \theta_0 \text{ against } H_1: \theta = \theta_1,$$

where θ_0 is a fixed constant.

Let $\hat{\theta}$ be MLE of the parameter θ .

Def 1

The test with critical region $C = \{\Lambda \leq c\}$, where

$$\Lambda = \frac{L(\theta_0)}{L(\hat{\theta})} = \frac{\sup_{\theta \in \Theta_0} L(\theta)}{\sup_{\theta \in \Theta} L(\theta)}$$