

We reject  $H_0$ , at the asymptotic significance level 44

when

$$-2\log \Lambda \geq q_{\chi^2(1)}(1-\alpha).$$

Since  $I(\theta) = 1$ ,  $\chi^2_{\text{L}} = \left\{ \sqrt{n} (\hat{\theta} - \theta_0) \right\}^2$ .

Furthermore,

$$\frac{\partial \log f(x_i, \theta)}{\partial \theta} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} [\log \frac{1}{2} - |x_i - \theta|] = \text{sgn}(x_i - \theta)$$

Finally,

$$\chi^2_R = \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n \text{sgn}(x_i - \theta_0) \right\}^2.$$

### 5. Likelihood Ratio Tests: multidimensional case

Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be a sample from  $f(x_i, \theta) = \theta = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_p) \in \mathbb{H}$

The likelihood function has a form

$$L(\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i, \theta),$$

the log-likelihood

$$l(\theta) = \log L(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^n \log f(x_i, \theta).$$

Let  $\theta_0$  be the true value of the parameter  $\theta$ .

Impose (additional) regularity conditions

(R6) There is an open subset  $\mathbb{H}_0 \subseteq \mathbb{H}$ , such that

$\theta_0 \in \mathbb{H}_0$  and all third partial derivatives of  $f(x, \theta)$  exist for all  $\theta \in \mathbb{H}_0$ .

(R7)  $E_{\theta_0} \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_j} \log f(x, \theta) \right] = 0$  for  $j = 1, \dots, p$ .

$$\begin{aligned} I_{jk}(\theta) &= \text{Cov} \left( \frac{\partial \log f(x, \theta)}{\partial \theta_j}, \frac{\partial \log f(x, \theta)}{\partial \theta_k} \right) = \\ &= -E_{\theta_0} \left[ -\frac{\partial^2 \log f(x, \theta)}{\partial \theta_j \partial \theta_k} \right] \text{ for } j, k = 1, \dots, p. \end{aligned}$$

(R8) For all  $\underline{\theta} \in \mathbb{H}_0$ ,

$$I(\underline{\theta}) = \left[ I_{jk}(\underline{\theta}) \right]_{j,k=1}^p$$

is positive definite.

(R9) There exist function functions  $M_{jkl}(x)$ , such that

$$\left| \frac{\partial^3 \log f(x, \underline{\theta})}{\partial \theta_j \partial \theta_k \partial \theta_l} \right| \leq M_{jkl}(x) \quad \text{for all } \underline{\theta} \in \mathbb{H}.$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}_{\underline{\theta}_0} [M_{jkl}(X)] < +\infty \quad \text{for all } j,k,l=1,\dots,p.$$

### Definition 1

The quantity

$$\hat{\underline{\theta}} = \underset{\underline{\theta} \in \mathbb{H}}{\operatorname{argmax}} L(\underline{\theta})$$

is called the maximum likelihood estimator of the parameter  $\underline{\theta}$ .

### Remark 1

If  $\hat{\underline{\theta}}$  is the MLE of  $\underline{\theta}$ , then  $g(\hat{\underline{\theta}})$  is the MLE of  $g(\underline{\theta})$ .

### Example 1

$X_1, \dots, X_n$  i.i.d  $X_i \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ . Let  $\underline{\theta} = (\mu, \sigma^2)$

and  $\mathbb{H} = \mathbb{R} \times (0, +\infty)$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} L(\underline{\theta}) = L(\mu, \sigma^2) &= \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i, \mu, \sigma^2) = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \exp \left\{ -\frac{(x_i - \mu)^2}{2\sigma^2} \right\} \\ &= \left( \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2} \right)^{\frac{n}{2}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)^2 \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\ell(\underline{\theta}) = \log L(\underline{\theta}) = -\frac{n}{2} \log(2\pi) - \frac{1}{2} \log \sigma^2 - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)^2.$$

Moreover,

$$\frac{\partial \ell(\mu, \sigma^2)}{\partial \mu} = \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} 2 \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)}{\sigma^2} = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial \ell(\mu, \sigma^2)}{\partial \sigma^2} = -\frac{n}{2\sigma^4} + \frac{1}{2\sigma^6} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)^2 = 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow -\frac{n}{2\sigma^4} \left[ \sigma^2 - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)^2 \right] = 0$$

$$\hat{\mu} = \bar{x}, \hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2.$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \ell(\mu, \sigma^2)}{\partial \mu^2} = -\frac{n}{\sigma^2} \Big|_{\sigma=\hat{\sigma}} = -\frac{n}{\hat{\sigma}^2}$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \ell(\mu, \sigma^2)}{\partial \mu \partial \sigma^2} = -\frac{1}{\sigma^4} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu) = \frac{\partial^2 \ell(\mu, \sigma^2)}{\partial \sigma^2 \partial \mu} \Big|_{\substack{\mu=\hat{\mu} \\ \sigma^2=\hat{\sigma}^2}} = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \ell(\mu, \sigma^2)}{\partial^2 \sigma^4} = \frac{n}{2\hat{\sigma}^4} - \frac{1}{\hat{\sigma}^6} n \hat{\sigma}^2 = \Big|_{\substack{\mu=\hat{\mu} \\ \sigma^2=\hat{\sigma}^2}}$$

$$= \frac{n}{2\hat{\sigma}^4} - \frac{1}{\hat{\sigma}^6} n \hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{n}{2\hat{\sigma}^4} - \frac{n}{\hat{\sigma}^4} = -\frac{n}{2\hat{\sigma}^4}$$

To sum up,

$$\frac{\partial^2 \ell(\mu, \sigma^2)}{\partial \cdot \partial \cdot} = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{n}{\hat{\sigma}^2} & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{n}{2\hat{\sigma}^4} \end{bmatrix} \quad -\frac{n}{\hat{\sigma}^2} < 0 \text{ &} \det \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 \end{vmatrix} > 0$$

"  $\frac{n^2}{2\hat{\sigma}^6}$

Indeed,  ~~$\hat{\mu} = \bar{x}$~~

$$\hat{\Theta} = (\hat{\mu}, \hat{\sigma}^2) = (\bar{x}, \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2)$$

is the MLE of  $\underline{\Theta} = (\mu, \sigma^2)$ .

Definition 2

$$\text{Let } \nabla \log f(x, \underline{\theta}) = \left( \frac{\partial \log f(x, \underline{\theta})}{\partial \theta_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial \log f(x, \underline{\theta})}{\partial \theta_p} \right)'$$

The matrix of the form

$$\underline{I}(\underline{\theta}) = \text{Cov}(\nabla \log f(x, \underline{\theta}))$$

is called the Fisher information matrix for the parameter  $\underline{\theta}$ . Obviously,  $\underline{I}(\underline{\theta}) = [I_{j,k}]_{j,k=1}^p$ , where

$$I_{j,k} = \text{cov} \left( \frac{\partial \log f(x, \underline{\theta})}{\partial \theta_j}, \frac{\partial \log f(x, \underline{\theta})}{\partial \theta_k} \right), j, k = 1, \dots, p.$$

Under the assumptions (R0 - R9),

$$0 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_j} f(x, \underline{\theta}) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_j} \log f(x, \underline{\theta}) \right] f(x, \underline{\theta}) dx = \\ = \mathbb{E} \left[ \frac{\partial \log f(x, \underline{\theta})}{\partial \theta_j} \right] / \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_k}$$

$$0 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[ \frac{\partial^2 \log f(x, \underline{\theta})}{\partial \theta_j \partial \theta_n} \right] f(x, \underline{\theta}) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_j} \log f(x, \underline{\theta}) \cdot \frac{\partial \log f(x, \underline{\theta})}{\partial \theta_n} f(x, \underline{\theta}) dx.$$

Thus

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \frac{\partial \log f(x, \underline{\theta})}{\partial \theta_j} \frac{\partial \log f(x, \underline{\theta})}{\partial \theta_n} \right] = - \mathbb{E} \left[ \frac{\partial^2 \log f(x, \underline{\theta})}{\partial \theta_j \partial \theta_n} \right] = I_{j,n}.$$

Since  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are independent

$$\text{Cov}(\nabla \log f(x, \underline{\theta})) = \text{Cov}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \nabla \log f(x_i, \underline{\theta})\right) = \sum_{i=1}^n \text{Cov}(\nabla \log f(x_i, \underline{\theta})) = n \underline{I}(\underline{\theta}).$$

Remark 2

If  $\gamma = u(X_1, \dots, X_n)$  is an unbiased estimate of the parameter  $\theta_j$ ,

$$\text{Var}(\gamma) \geq \frac{1}{n} [\underline{I}(\underline{\theta})]_{jj}.$$

Example 2 (Fisher information matrix under normality)

We have  $f(x_1, \mu, \sigma) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \exp\left\{-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right\}$ ,  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\sigma > 0$ .

Let  $\underline{\theta} = (\mu, \sigma)$ . We have

$$\log f(x_1, \mu, \sigma) = -\frac{1}{2} \log 2\pi - \log \sigma - \frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}$$

$$\frac{\partial \log f(x_1, \mu, \sigma)}{\partial \mu} = \frac{x-\mu}{\sigma^2}$$

$$\frac{\partial \log f(x_1, \mu, \sigma)}{\partial \sigma} = -\frac{1}{\sigma} + \frac{(x-\mu)^2}{\sigma^3}$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \log f(x_1, \mu, \sigma)}{\partial \mu^2} = -\frac{1}{\sigma^2}$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \log f(x_1, \mu, \sigma)}{\partial \sigma^2} = \frac{1}{\sigma^2} - \frac{3(x-\mu)^2}{\sigma^4}$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \log f(x_1, \mu, \sigma)}{\partial \mu \partial \sigma} = -\frac{2(x-\mu)}{\sigma^3}$$

$$I_{11}(\mu, \sigma) = \frac{1}{\sigma^2}$$

$$I_{12}(\mu, \sigma) = -\mathbb{E}\left[-\frac{2(x-\mu)}{\sigma^3}\right] = 0 = I_{21}(\mu, \sigma)$$

$$I_{22}(\mu, \sigma) = -\mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{\sigma^2} - \frac{3(x-\mu)^2}{\sigma^4}\right] = -\frac{1}{\sigma^2} + \frac{3}{\sigma^4} \mathbb{E}[X-\mu]^2 = \frac{2}{\sigma^2}$$

To sum up,

$$I(\mu, \sigma) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sigma^2} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{2}{\sigma^2} \end{bmatrix}$$

Theorem 1

Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be a sample with  $f(x|\underline{\theta})$ ,  $\underline{\theta} \in \mathbb{H}$ .

Impose the regularity conditions (R0)-(R9). We have,

(i) the likelihood equation

$$\frac{\partial l(\underline{\theta})}{\partial \underline{\theta}} = \underline{0}$$

has a solution  $\hat{\underline{\theta}}_n$  such that  $\hat{\underline{\theta}}_n \xrightarrow{P} \underline{\theta}$ ,

(ii) for any sequence  $\{\hat{\underline{\theta}}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  satisfying (i),

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\underline{\theta}}_n - \underline{\theta}) \xrightarrow{D} N_p(\underline{0}, \underline{I}^{-1}(\underline{\theta})).$$

Corollary 1

Under the assumptions of theorem 1. Let  $\{\hat{\underline{\theta}}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of consistent solutions of the equation (i). Then,  $\hat{\underline{\theta}}_n$  is asymptotically efficient, i.e., for  $j=1, \dots, p$ ,

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta}_{n,j} - \theta_j) \xrightarrow{D} N(0, I_{jj}^{-1}(\underline{\theta})).$$

Let  $g: \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq p$  be a function such that the kxp matrix of partial derivatives

$$\underline{B} = \left[ \frac{\partial g_i}{\partial \theta_j} \right], i=1, \dots, k; j=1, \dots, p$$

has continuous elements which does not vanish in a neighbourhood of  $\underline{\theta}$ . Let  $\hat{\underline{z}} = g(\hat{\underline{\theta}})$ . Then  $\hat{\underline{z}}$  is the MLE of  $\underline{z} = g(\underline{\theta})$ .

Furthermore,

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\underline{z}} - \underline{z}) \xrightarrow{D} N_k(\underline{0}, \underline{B} \underline{I}^{-1}(\underline{\theta}) \underline{B}').$$

Hence,

$$\underline{I}(\underline{z}) = (\underline{B} \underline{I}^{-1}(\underline{\theta}) \underline{B}')^{-1}$$

is the Fisher information matrix for  $\underline{z}$  provided that it exists.