

Example 5

$X_1, \dots, X_n$  i.i.d.  $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$

$$\mathbb{I}(\mu, \sigma^2) = \text{diag} \{ \sigma^{-2}, 2\sigma^{-2} \}$$

Let  $g(\mu, \sigma^2) = \sigma^2$ . Then,  $\underline{B} = [0, 2G]$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{I}(\sigma^2) &= \left\{ [0, 2G] \left[ \begin{matrix} \frac{1}{\sigma^2} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{2}{\sigma^2} \end{matrix} \right] \left[ \begin{matrix} 0 \\ 2G \end{matrix} \right] \right\}^{-1} = \left( [0, 2G] \left[ \begin{matrix} \frac{1}{\sigma^2} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{2}{\sigma^2} \end{matrix} \right] \left[ \begin{matrix} 0 \\ 2G \end{matrix} \right] \right)^{-1} = \\ &\quad \left( [0, G^2] \left[ \begin{matrix} 0 \\ 2G \end{matrix} \right] \right)^{-1} = (2G^4)^{-1} = \frac{1}{2G^4}. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be a sample with  $f(x_i | \underline{\theta})$ ,  $\underline{\theta} \in \mathbb{H} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^p$ ,  $p > 1$ .

Let  $\mathbb{H} = \mathbb{H}_0 \cup \mathbb{H}_1$ ,  $\mathbb{H}_0 \cap \mathbb{H}_1 = \emptyset$ . Assume that  $\dim \mathbb{H}_0 = p-q$ ,  $1 \leq q \leq p$ .

Thereby, we actually test the hypothesis on a  $q$ -dimensional vector of parameters. Namely,

$$H_0: \underline{\theta} \in \mathbb{H}_0$$

$$\text{against } H_1: \underline{\theta} \in \mathbb{H}_1.$$

The LRT statistic has a form

$$\Lambda = \frac{\sup_{\underline{\theta} \in \mathbb{H}_0} L(\underline{\theta})}{\sup_{\substack{\underline{\theta} \in \mathbb{H}} \underline{\theta} \neq \mathbb{H}_0} L(\underline{\theta})} = \frac{\sup_{\underline{\theta} \in \mathbb{H}_0} L(\underline{\theta})}{L(\hat{\underline{\theta}})} = \frac{L(\hat{\underline{\theta}}_0)}{L(\hat{\underline{\theta}})},$$

where  $\hat{\underline{\theta}}$  is the MLE of  $\underline{\theta}$ , while  $\hat{\underline{\theta}}_0$  is the MLE of  $\underline{\theta}$  under the null model. We reject  $H_0$  in favour of  $H_1$

when

$$\Lambda \leq c_1$$

where  $\sup_{\underline{\theta} \in \mathbb{H}_0} P_{\underline{\theta}} (\Lambda \leq c) = \alpha$  for  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ .

# Example 1 (LRT for the mean under normal model)

(51)

Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be a sample from  $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ ,  $\sigma^2$  unknown, distributed. We test

$$H_0: \mu = \mu_0 \text{ against } H_1: \mu \neq \mu_0,$$

where  $\mu_0$  is known.

Let  $\mathcal{H} = \{(\mu, \sigma^2) : \mu \in \mathbb{R}, \sigma^2 > 0\}$ . Obviously,  $\mathcal{H}_0 = \{(\mu_0, \sigma^2) : \sigma^2 > 0\}$

Recall that  $\hat{\Theta} = (\hat{\mu}, \hat{\sigma}^2) = (\bar{X}, S_n^2)$ , where  $\bar{X} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ ,  $S_n^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2$ .

Furthermore,  $\hat{\Theta}_0 = (\mu_0, \hat{\sigma}_0^2)$ , where  $\hat{\sigma}_0^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \mu_0)^2$ .

We have

$$\Lambda = \frac{L(\hat{\Theta}_0)}{L(\hat{\Theta})} = \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}} \left(\frac{1}{\hat{\sigma}_0^2}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}} \exp\left\{-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \mu_0)^2}{2\hat{\sigma}_0^2}\right\}}{\left(\frac{1}{2\pi}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}} \left(\frac{1}{\hat{\sigma}^2}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}} \exp\left\{-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2}{2\hat{\sigma}^2}\right\}}$$

$$= \left(\frac{\hat{\sigma}_0^2}{\hat{\sigma}^2}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}} \frac{\exp\left\{-\frac{n}{2}\right\}}{\exp\left\{-\frac{n}{2}\right\}} = \left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \mu_0)^2}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}}.$$

Recall that  $\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \mu_0)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2 + n(\bar{X} - \mu_0)^2$ .

Then

$$\Lambda \leq c \Leftrightarrow \Lambda^{-\frac{2}{n}} \geq c^{-\frac{2}{n}} = c' \Leftrightarrow$$

$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \mu_0)^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2 + n(\bar{X} - \mu_0)^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2} = 1 + \frac{n(\bar{X} - \mu_0)^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2} \geq c' \Leftrightarrow$$

$$\left( \frac{\sqrt{n}(\bar{X} - \mu_0)}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2}} \right)^2 \geq (c'-1)(n-1) = c'' \Leftrightarrow |\bar{T}|^2 \geq c'' \Leftrightarrow |\bar{T}| \geq \sqrt{c''} = c'''.$$

Under  $H_0$ , the statistic  $T$  has the t-Student distribution, (52)  
 with  $n-1$  degrees of freedom. Taking  $c''' = \varphi_{t(n-1)}(1-\frac{\alpha}{2})$ ,  
 we obtain the  $\alpha$ -size test.

### Remark 1

If  $\sigma^2$  is known, the LRT statistic has the form

$$U = \frac{\sqrt{n}(\bar{X} - \mu_0)}{\sigma}$$

Under  $H_0$ ,  $U \sim N(0,1)$ . We reject  $H_0$  when  $|U| > \Phi^{-1}(1-\frac{\alpha}{2})$ .

### Remark 2

Let  $X_1, \dots, X_m$  be a sample from  $N(\mu_1, \sigma_1^2)$  distribution,  
 and  $Y_1, \dots, Y_n$  be an independent from  $X_i$ 's sample from  
 $N(\mu_2, \sigma_2^2)$  distribution. We test

$$H_0: \sigma_1^2 = \sigma_2^2,$$

$$H_1: \sigma_1^2 \neq \sigma_2^2.$$

(i) If  $\mu_1, \mu_2$  are not known, the LRT statistic has the form

$$F = \frac{\frac{1}{m-1} \sum_{i=1}^m (X_i - \bar{X})^2}{\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^n (Y_j - \bar{Y})^2}.$$

Under  $H_0$ ,  $F \sim F(m-1, n-1)$ . We reject  $H_0$  when

$$F < q_{F(m-1, n-1)}(\frac{\alpha}{2}) \quad \text{or} \quad F > q_{F(m-1, n-1)}(1-\frac{\alpha}{2}).$$

(ii) If  $\mu_1, \mu_2$  are known, the LRT statistic has the form

$$F = \frac{\frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m (X_i - \mu_1)^2}{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n (Y_j - \mu_2)^2}.$$

Under  $H_0$ ,  $F \sim F_{(m,n)}$ . We reject  $H_0$  when

(53)

$$F < q_{F(m,n)} \left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right) \text{ or } F > q_{F(m,n)} \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\right).$$

### Remark 3

Let  $X_1, \dots, X_m$  be a sample from  $N(\mu_1, \sigma^2)$  distribution.

Let  $Y_1, \dots, Y_n$  be  $\sim \cdots \sim N(\mu_2, \sigma^2)$ .

Both The samples are independent, while  $\sigma^2$  is unknown.

We verify

$$H_0: \mu_1 = \mu_2$$

$$H_1: \mu_1 \neq \mu_2.$$

The LRT statistic has the form

$$T = \sqrt{\frac{m+n}{m+n-2}} \frac{\bar{X} - \bar{Y}}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{m+n-2} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^m (X_i - \bar{X})^2 + \sum_{j=1}^n (Y_j - \bar{Y})^2 \right]}}.$$

Under  $H_0$ , the  $T$  statistic has <sup>the</sup> t-Student distribution with  $m+n-2$  degrees of freedom. We reject  $H_0$

when

$$|T| > q_{t(m+n-2)} \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\right).$$

# I Inferences about Normal Models

## 1. Quadratic Forms

### Defn. 1

A homogeneous polynomial of degree 2 in  $n$  variables is called a quadratic form in those variables. If both the variables and the coefficients are real, the form is called a real quadratic form.

### Example 1

$X_1^2 + X_1X_2 + X_2^2$  is a quadratic form in the two variables  $X_1$  and  $X_2$ .

$X_1^2 + X_2^2 + X_3^2 - 2X_1X_2$  is a quadratic form in the three variables  $X_1, X_2$  and  $X_3$ .

$(X_1-1)^2 + (X_2-2)^2 = X_1^2 + X_2^2 - 2X_1 - 4X_2 + 5$  is not quadratic form

in  $X_1$  and  $X_2$ , although it is a quadratic form in the variables  $X_1-1$  and  $X_2-2$ .

$$(n-1)S^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \left( X_i - \frac{X_1 + \dots + X_n}{n} \right)^2 =$$

$$\frac{n-1}{n} (X_1^2 + X_2^2 + \dots + X_n^2) - \frac{2}{n} (X_1X_2 + \dots + X_1X_n + \dots + X_{n-1}X_n)$$

is a quadratic form in the  $n$  variables  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$ .

### Theorem 1

Let  $Q = Q_1 + Q_2 + \dots + Q_{n-1} + Q_n$ , where  $Q_1, Q_2, \dots, Q_n$  are  $k+1$  random variables that are real quadratic forms in  $n$  independent random variables which are normally distributed with common mean and variance  $\mu^{=0}$  and  $\sigma^2$ , respectively.

Let  $Q/\sigma^2, Q_1/\sigma^2, \dots, Q_{n-1}/\sigma^2$  have chi-square distributions with degrees of freedom  $r_1, r_2, \dots, r_{n-1}$ , respectively. Let  $Q_n$  be

nonnegative. Then:

- a)  $Q_{11}, \dots, Q_k$  are independent, and hence
- b)  $Q_k / \sigma^2$  has a chi-square distribution with  $r - (r_1 + \dots + r_{k-1}) = r_k$  degrees of freedom.

Proof (later)

### Example 2

Let the random variable  $X$  have a distribution that is  $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ . Let  $a, b$  denote positive integers greater than 1 and let  $n = ab$ . Consider a random sample of size  $n$  from this normal distribution

$$X_{11}, X_{12}, \dots, X_{1j}, \dots, X_{1b}$$

$$X_{21}, X_{22}, \dots, X_{2j}, \dots, X_{2b}$$

:

$$X_{i1}, X_{i2}, \dots, X_{ij}, \dots, X_{ib}$$

:

$$X_{a1}, X_{a2}, \dots, X_{aj}, \dots, X_{ab}.$$

We now define  $a+b+1$  statistics. They are

$$\bar{X}_{..} = \frac{X_{11} + \dots + X_{1b} + \dots + X_{a1} + \dots + X_{ab}}{ab} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^a \sum_{j=1}^b X_{ij}}{ab},$$

$$\bar{X}_{i..} = \dots = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^b X_{ij}}{b}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, a,$$

and

$$\bar{X}_{..j} = \dots = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^a X_{ij}}{a}, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, b.$$

Consider the variance  $S^2$  of the random sample of size  $n=ab$ .  
We have the algebraic identity

25.02.14  
(3)

$$\begin{aligned} (ab-1)S^2 &= \sum_{i=1}^a \sum_{j=1}^b (x_{ij} - \bar{x}_{..})^2 \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^a \sum_{j=1}^b [(x_{ij} - \bar{x}_{i.}) + (\bar{x}_{i.} - \bar{x}_{..})]^2 \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^a \sum_{j=1}^b (x_{ij} - \bar{x}_{i.})^2 + \sum_{i=1}^a \sum_{j=1}^b (\bar{x}_{i.} - \bar{x}_{..})^2 \\ &\quad + 2 \sum_{i=1}^a \sum_{j=1}^b (x_{ij} - \bar{x}_{i.})(\bar{x}_{i.} - \bar{x}_{..}). \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore,

$$2 \sum_{i=1}^a [(\bar{x}_{i.} - \bar{x}_{..}) \sum_{j=1}^b (x_{ij} - \bar{x}_{i.})] = 2 \sum_{i=1}^a [(\bar{x}_{i.} - \bar{x}_{..})(b\bar{x}_{i.} - b\bar{x}_{..})] = 0,$$

and

$$\sum_{i=1}^a \sum_{j=1}^b (\bar{x}_{i.} - \bar{x}_{..})^2 = b \sum_{i=1}^a (\bar{x}_{i.} - \bar{x}_{..})^2.$$

Thus

$$(ab-1)S^2 = \sum_{i=1}^a \sum_{j=1}^b (x_{ij} - \bar{x}_{i.})^2 + b \sum_{i=1}^a (\bar{x}_{i.} - \bar{x}_{..})^2,$$

or, for brevity,

$$Q = Q_1 + Q_2.$$

Since  $X_{ij} \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ , we have  $(ab-1)S^2/\sigma^2 = Q/\sigma^2 \sim \chi^2(ab-1)$ .

For each fixed value of  $i$ ,  $\sum_{j=1}^b (x_{ij} - \bar{x}_{i.})^2 / \sigma^2 \sim \chi^2(b-1)$  and

$\sum_{j=1}^b (x_{1j} - \bar{x}_{1.})^2 / \sigma^2, \dots, \sum_{j=1}^b (x_{aj} - \bar{x}_{a.})^2 / \sigma^2$  are independent. Therefore,

$$\sum_{i=1}^a \left[ \sum_{j=1}^b (x_{ij} - \bar{x}_{i.})^2 / \sigma^2 \right] = Q_1 / \sigma^2 \sim \chi^2(a[b-1]). \text{ Now } Q_2 = b \sum_{i=1}^a (\bar{x}_{i.} - \bar{x}_{..})^2 / \sigma^2.$$

Theorem implies that  $Q_1$  and  $Q_2$  are independent and  $\frac{Q_2}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi^2 \left( \frac{(a-1)(b-1)}{a-1} \right) = \chi^2(a-1)$ .

Similarly, replacing  $X_{ij} - \bar{X}_{..}$  by  $(X_{ij} - \bar{X}_{.j}) + (\bar{X}_{.j} - \bar{X}_{..})$ , 25.02.14  
④

In  $(ab-1)S^2$ ,

we obtain get

$$(ab-1)S^2 = \sum_{j=1}^b \sum_{i=1}^a (X_{ij} - \bar{X}_{.j})^2 + a \sum_{j=1}^b (\bar{X}_{.j} - \bar{X}_{..})^2,$$

or, for brevity,  
short

$$Q = Q_3 + Q_4.$$

As a result  $Q_3/\sigma^2 \sim \chi^2(b(a-1))$  and  $Q_4/\sigma^2 \sim \chi^2(b-1)$  and are independent.

In like manner, in  $(ab-1)S^2$ , replacing

$X_{ij} - \bar{X}_{..}$  by  $(\bar{X}_{i.} - \bar{X}_{..}) + (\bar{X}_{.j} - \bar{X}_{..}) + (X_{ij} - \bar{X}_{i.} - \bar{X}_{.j} + \bar{X}_{..})$ , we get obtain

$$(ab-1)S^2 = b \sum_{i=1}^a (\bar{X}_{i.} - \bar{X}_{..})^2 + a \sum_{j=1}^b (\bar{X}_{.j} - \bar{X}_{..})^2 + \sum_{j=1}^b \sum_{i=1}^a (X_{ij} - \bar{X}_{i.} - \bar{X}_{.j} + \bar{X}_{..})^2,$$

or, for clarity,

$$Q = Q_2 + Q_4 + Q_5.$$

Moreover,

$$\frac{Q_2}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi^2(ab-1), \quad \frac{Q_4}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi^2(a-1), \quad \frac{Q_5}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi^2(b-1).$$

Since  $Q_5 > 0$ , the theorem asserts that,  $Q_2, Q_4$ , and  $Q_5$  are independent and that  $\frac{Q_5}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi^2([a-1][b-1])$ .

Finally,

$$\frac{\frac{Q_4}{\sigma^2(b-1)}}{\frac{Q_3}{\sigma^2 b(a-1)}} \sim F(b-1, a-1), \text{ and}$$

$$\frac{\frac{Q_4}{\sigma^2(b-1)}}{\frac{Q_5}{\sigma^2(a-1)(b-1)}} \sim F(b-1, [a-1][b-1]).$$