

Flexible stochastic differential equation estimation with smoothSDE

Théo Michelot

2021-05-06

The R package `smoothSDE` implements several widely-used stochastic differential equation (SDE) models (including Brownian motion with drift and the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process). It provides functions for parameter estimation, model visualisation, uncertainty estimation, and residual analysis.

The package can be used to fit *varying-coefficient* SDEs, i.e., with time-varying parameters. The SDE parameters can be specified using flexible model formulas, including linear or non-linear dependencies on covariates, and random effects. In this vignette, we briefly describe some of the functionalities of the package, and illustrate its use using simulated and real-data examples.

1 Summary of SDEs implemented in smoothSDE

The main model formulations currently implemented in the package are Brownian motion, Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process, and continuous-time correlated random walk. Brownian motion and the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process have a wide range of applications (e.g., ecology, finance), and the continuous-time correlated random walk is a popular model of animal movement described by Johnson et al. (2008). The package supports multivariate isotropic processes, i.e., with the same set of parameters describing the dynamics in each dimension. The SDEs and their parameters are summarised in the following table, and we describe the models in more details in the next few subsections.

Model	Name	Parameters		Link functions
Brownian motion	“BM”	drift	$\mu \in (-\infty, +\infty)$	identity
		diffusion	$\sigma > 0$	log
Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process	“OU”	mean	$\mu \in (-\infty, +\infty)$	identity
		time scale	$\tau > 0$	log
		variance	$\kappa > 0$	log
Continuous-time correlated RW	“CTCRW”	mean	$\mu \in (-\infty, +\infty)$	identity
		reversion	$\beta > 0$	log
		diffusion	$\sigma > 0$	log

1.1 Brownian motion

Brownian motion (with drift) is the process (Z_t) defined as the solution to

$$dZ_t = \mu dt + \sigma dW_t,$$

where $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ is the drift parameter (expected direction of change), and $\sigma > 0$ is the diffusion parameter (variability).

The approximate transition density of this model is

$$Z_{t_1} | \{Z_{t_0} = z_0\} \sim N \left[z_0 + \mu_{t_0} \Delta, \sigma_{t_0}^2 \Delta \right],$$

where $\Delta = t_1 - t_0$ is the time interval.

Figure 1 shows simulated trajectories from 1-dimensional and 2-dimensional Brownian motion (with constant parameters).




Figure 1: Illustration of 1d and 2d (isotropic) Brownian motion.

1.2 Ornstein-Uhlenbeck

The Ornstein-Uhlenbeck (OU) process is usually described as the solution to

$$dZ_t = \beta(\mu - Z_t) dt + \sigma dt,$$

where $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ is the long-term mean, $\beta > 0$ is the strength of reversion to the mean, and $\sigma > 0$ is the diffusion parameter.

In our experience, the parameterisation shown above suffers from identifiability issues. In `smoothSDE`, the varying-coefficient OU process is therefore specified as the solution to

$$dZ_t = \frac{1}{\tau}(\mu - Z_t) dt + \sqrt{\frac{2\kappa}{\tau}} dt,$$

where μ has the same interpretation as before, $\tau > 0$ measures the time scale of autocorrelation of the process, and $\kappa > 0$ is the long-term variance of the process. The following formulas can be used to go from one parameterisation to the other:

$$\begin{cases} \beta = \frac{1}{\tau} \\ \sigma = \sqrt{\frac{2\kappa}{\tau}} \end{cases} \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} \tau = \frac{1}{\beta} \\ \kappa = \frac{\sigma^2}{2\beta} \end{cases}$$

The approximate transition density of the varying-coefficient OU process is

$$Z_{t_1} | \{Z_{t_0} = z_0\} \sim N \left[e^{-\Delta/\tau} z_0 + (1 - e^{-\Delta/\tau})\mu, \kappa(1 - e^{-2\Delta/\tau}) \right].$$

From this, we see that the stationary distribution of the process (obtained when $\Delta \rightarrow \infty$) is

$$Z_t \sim N(\mu, \kappa).$$

Simulations from the standard OU process (with constant parameters) are shown in Figure 2 for illustration. The process oscillates around its long-term mean.

1.3 Continuous-time correlated random walk

The continuous-time correlated random walk (CTCRW), described by Johnson et al. (2008), is the process (Z_t) solution to

$$\begin{cases} dZ_t = V_t dt \\ dV_t = \beta(\mu - V_t) dt + \sigma dW_t. \end{cases}$$

In animal movement analyses, Z_t usually denotes the location of the animal at time t , and V_t is its velocity. The velocity V_t is modelled with an OU process to capture autocorrelation in




Figure 2: Illustration of 1d and 2d (isotropic) Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process. The mean of the process is shown as a horizontal dotted line in the 1-d example, and as a red point in the 2-d example.

speed and direction of movement, and the location Z_t is its integral, i.e. $Z_t = \int_{s=0}^t V_s ds$. The parameters of the velocity process are μ , the mean velocity (often fixed to zero), $\beta > 0$, the strength of reversion to the mean, and $\sigma > 0$, the variability of the velocity.

Because it is based on an OU process, the CTCRW model can also have identifiability issues for the parameters β and σ . In **smoothSDE**, we use the following parameterisation, suggested by Gurarie and Ovaskainen (2011):

$$\begin{cases} \tau = \frac{1}{\beta} \\ \nu = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}\sigma}{2\sqrt{\beta}} \end{cases}$$

where $\tau > 0$ is the time scale of autocorrelation of the velocity process, and $\nu > 0$ is the mean speed of the CTCRW process. These parameters have a clear interpretation; in particular, in animal tracking studies, they can be linked to the speed and sinuosity of movement, often used to learn about behaviour. (See, for example, the elephant example in Section 3.1 of Michelot et al. (2021).)

Simulated examples of the CTCRW process are shown in Figure 3. Compared to Brownian motion, realisations of the CTCRW are smooth and display persistence in the direction and speed of change.




Figure 3: Illustration of 1d and 2d (isotropic) continuous-time correlated random walk.

2 Varying-coefficient SDEs

The package `smoothSDE` implements varying-coefficient SDEs, as described by Michelot et al. (2021). The general idea is to allow for dependence of the SDE parameters on covariates through non-parametric and random effects. Consider varying-coefficient Brownian motion as an example; it is defined as the solution to

$$dZ_t = \mu_t dt + \sigma_t dW_t,$$

where the parameters μ_t and σ_t are specified as

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_t &= \beta_0^{(\mu)} + f_1^{(\mu)}(x_{1t}) + f_2^{(\mu)}(x_{2t}) + \dots \\ \log(\sigma_t) &= \beta_0^{(\sigma)} + f_1^{(\sigma)}(x_{1t}) + f_2^{(\sigma)}(x_{2t}) + \dots\end{aligned}$$

where, for each parameter, β_0 is an intercept parameter, and f_j can be a linear, non-linear, or random effect of a covariate x_{jt} .

The same idea can be used for other SDEs, such as the OU and CTCRW processes. For a more extensive description of varying-coefficient SDEs, including details about model fitting, please refer to Michelot et al. (2021).

3 Workflow

The package is built around the R6 class `SDE`, which encapsulates the model specification (including formulas for SDE parameters) and the data for a varying-coefficient SDE. The typical workflow to create an `SDE` object and fit the model is as follows.

1. Choose the type of SDE; options are “BM” (Brownian motion), “OU” (Ornstein-Uhlenbeck), “CTCRW” (continuous-time correlated random walk).
2. Define model formulas for the parameters of the SDE. The formulas can include terms from standard R expressions, as well as smooth terms and random effects from the `mgcv` package (Wood (2017)).
3. Create a data frame with columns for the response variable, for the covariates, for time series ID (if several time series are provided), and for time.
4. Create an SDE model object, using the `SDE$new` constructor function. Its main arguments are `formulas` (list of formulas), `data` (data frame), `type` (character string for SDE type), and `response` (character string for response name).
5. Fit model using the `fit` method.
6. Get parameter estimates using `par` method, uncertainty estimates using `CI_pointwise` or `CI_simultaneous` methods, or visualise results using `plot_par` method.

For more information about the syntax for `smoothSDE` functions, consult the documentation (`?SDE`), or have a look at the example analyses presented in the following sections.

4 Example: Brownian motion with drift


We illustrate some of the functionalities of the package using simulated data for a varying-coefficient Brownian motion with drift. We first simulate a process with constant drift (`mu`) and time-varying diffusion parameter (`sigma`) over 1000 time steps.

```
n <- 1000
times <- 1:n
mu <- rep(0.1, n)
sigma <- exp(cos(2*pi*times/500))
dZ <- rnorm(n - 1, mean = mu[-n], sd = sigma[-n])
Z <- cumsum(c(0, dZ))
```

We define a data frame with columns `ID` (identifier for time series segment), `Z` (process of interest), and `time` (times of observation).

```
data <- data.frame(ID = 1,
                  Z = Z,
                  time = times)
```

```
ggplot(data, aes(time, Z)) + geom_line()
```



In this example, we are interested in estimating the smooth relationship between the diffusion parameter and time. We specify this dependence using a list of R formulas with one entry for each SDE parameter. Here, the diffusion parameter σ is modelled with a thin-plate regression spline basis with 10 knots, using the `mgcv` syntax.


```
formulas <- list(mu = ~1,  
                 sigma = ~ s(time, k = 10, bs = "ts"))
```

We create an SDE object that encapsulates the formulas, the data, the type of model (here, Brownian motion), and the name of the response variable (here, Z). Then, we can fit the model using the method `fit`.

```
bm <- SDE$new(formulas = formulas,  
              data = data,  
              type = "BM",  
              response = "Z")  
  
bm$fit()
```

Once the model is fitted, we can visualise the relationship between the SDE parameters and the covariates with `plot_par`. The option `n_post` can be used to visualise uncertainty with posterior samples.

```
bm$plot_par("time")
```




The smoothing splines captured the oscillating patterns in the diffusion parameter, and the drift parameter was slightly underestimated. We can also evaluate the SDE parameters on a grid of covariate values, to compare directly to the true parameters.

```
# Get point estimates and CIs for sigma over time
bm_par <- bm$par(t = "all")
bm_CI <- bm$CI_pointwise()

# Data frame for point estimates
bm_par_df <- data.frame(time = times,
                        sigma = c(sigma, bm_par[, "sigma"]),
                        type = rep(c("true", "estimated"), each = n))

# Data frame for CIs
bm_ci_df <- data.frame(time = times,
                        low = bm_CI$low[, "sigma"],
                        upp = bm_CI$upp[, "sigma"])


ggplot(bm_par_df, aes(time, sigma, col = type)) +
  scale_color_manual(values = c("firebrick", "royalblue"), name = "") +
  geom_line(size = 1) +
  geom_line(aes(time, low), data = bm_ci_df, col = "firebrick", lty = 2) +
  geom_line(aes(time, upp), data = bm_ci_df, col = "firebrick", lty = 2)
```

We can simulate from the fitted model with the method `simulate` (only available for Brownian motion and Ornstein-Uhlenbeck models for now), for example to assess whether the model captures relevant features of the observed data.

```
# Pass 'data' to use observed covariates in simulation
sim <- bm$simulate(data = data)

ggplot(sim, aes(time, Z)) + geom_line()
```



Similarly to the observed time series, the simulated process alternates between periods of low variability (e.g., around $t = 250$ and $t = 750$) and periods of high variability (e.g., around $t = 0$, $t = 500$ and $t = 1000$).

5 Example: Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process


We present a second simulated example, based on a varying-coefficient Ornstein-Uhlenbeck (OU) process. We generate 1000 observations from a 2-dimensional isotropic OU process with constant mean (`mu`), constant mean reversion (`beta`), and time-varying diffusion (`sigma`). In this example, the parameters are selected such that the diffusion (and therefore the variance of the process around its mean) decreases in time.

```
# Mean parameter (centre of attraction)
mu <- matrix(rep(c(5, -5), each = n), ncol = 2)
# Time scale of autocorrelation
tau <- rep(2, n)
# Time-varying variance parameter
kappa <- plogis(-(times-500)/100)

# Simulate OU process over 1000 time steps
Z <- mu
for(i in 2:n) {
  mean <- exp(-1/tau[i-1]) * Z[i-1,] + (1 - exp(-1/tau[i-1])) * mu[i-1,]
  sd <- sqrt(kappa[i-1]) * sqrt(1 - exp(-2 / tau[i-1]))
  Z[i,] <- rnorm(2, mean, sd)
}

# Create data frame for smoothSDE
data <- data.frame(ID = 1,
                   Z1 = Z[,1],
                   Z2 = Z[,2],
                   time = times)

# Plot simulated data, coloured by time
ggplot(data, aes(Z1, Z2, col = time)) +
  geom_path() +
  coord_equal() +
  scale_color_viridis_c()
```



Like in the previous example, we specify the model for the OU parameters with a list of formulas. There are four parameters: `mu1` (mean in first dimension), `mu2` (mean in second dimension), `tau` (time scale of autocorrelation) and `kappa` (variance). In this example, we assume that the mean parameter is known, and we therefore use the option `fixpar = c("mu1", "mu2")` to indicate that it should not be estimated, and we add the argument `par0` to give the known mean parameter (and initial values for the other parameters). We pass a vector of length 2 for `response`, to indicate that we want to model the two variables with a 2-dimensional isotropic OU process.

```
formulas <- list(mu1 = ~1,
                 mu2 = ~1,
                 tau = ~1,
                 kappa = ~s(time, k = 10, bs = "ts"))

par0 <- c(mu1 = 5, mu2 = -5, tau = 1, kappa = 3)


ou <- SDE$new(formulas = formulas,
              data = data,
              type = "OU",
              response = c("Z1", "Z2"),
              par0 = par0,
```

```
fixpar = c("mu1", "mu2"))

ou$fit()
```

We can visualise the results to check that the estimated parameters correctly captured the true parameters used in the simulation.

```
# Plot tau and kappa against time
ou$plot_par("time", par_names = c("tau", "kappa"))
```




```
# Point estimates and CIs for kappa over time
ou_par <- ou$par(t = "all")
ou_CI <- ou$CI_pointwise()

# Data frame for point estimates
ou_par_df <- data.frame(time = times,
                        kappa = c(kappa, ou_par[, "kappa"]),
                        type = rep(c("true", "estimated"), each = n))

# Data frame for CIs
ou_ci_df <- data.frame(time = times,
                        low = ou_CI$low[, "kappa"],
                        upp = ou_CI$upp[, "kappa"])

ggplot(ou_par_df, aes(time, kappa, col = type)) +
  scale_color_manual(values = c("firebrick", "royalblue"), name = "") +
  geom_line(size = 1) +
  geom_line(aes(time, low), data = ou_ci_df, col = "firebrick", lty = 2) +
```

```
geom_line(aes(time, upp), data = ou_ci_df, col = "firebrick", lty = 2)
```



The decreasing variance parameter seems to be captured well by the model.

6 Example: elephant movement analysis

The following code can be used to fit the varying-coefficient CTCRW model to elephant GPS data from Wall et al. (2014), as described in Section 3.1 of Michelot et al. (2021).

We first download the data from the Movebank data repository, and keep the relevant rows and columns. Note that the CTCRW model requires projected Easting-Northing locations (rather than longitude-latitude), so that's what we are working with here.

```
# Load data and keep relevant columns
URL <- paste0("https://www.datarepository.movebank.org/bitstream/handle/",
              "10255/move.373/Elliptical%20Time-Density%20Model%20%28Wall%",
              "20et%20al.%202014%29%20African%20Elephant%20Dataset%20%",
              "28Source-Save%20the%20Elephants%29.csv")
raw <- read.csv(url(URL))
keep_cols <- c(11, 13, 14, 17, 4, 5, 6)
raw_cols <- raw[, keep_cols]
colnames(raw_cols) <- c("ID", "x", "y", "date", "lon", "lat", "temp")

# Only keep five months to eliminate seasonal effects
track <- subset(raw_cols, ID == unique(ID)[1])
dates <- as.POSIXlt(track$date, tz = "GMT")
times <- as.numeric(dates - min(dates))/3600
keep_rows <- which(dates > as.POSIXct("2009-05-01 00:00:00") &
```

```

        dates <- as.POSIXct("2009-09-30 23:59:59"))
track <- track[keep_rows,]
dates <- dates[keep_rows]
times <- times[keep_rows]

# Convert to km
track$x <- track$x/1000
track$y <- track$y/1000

```

We create a data frame that includes columns for

- time series identifier `ID`. This is required when fitting the model to several time series, treated as independent realisations of the same underlying process. Here, we only have one time series, so all rows have the same `ID`.
- responses `x` and `y`. Here, there are two response variables because we are fitting an isotropic CTCRW model to the two-dimensional observations (Easting and Northing).
- covariate `temp`. We will later estimate the effect of this covariate on the parameters of the elephant's velocity process.
- `time`. This should be a numeric column for time, used to compute time intervals between observations.

```

data <- data.frame(ID = 1,
                   x = track$x,
                   y = track$y,
                   temp = track$temp,
                   time = times)

```

```
head(data)
```

	ID	x	y	temp	time
1	1	572.3427	1675.424	33	9703
2	1	572.5443	1675.392	32	9704
3	1	572.6159	1675.339	31	9705
4	1	572.7745	1675.101	31	9706
5	1	572.8844	1675.065	31	9707
6	1	573.6659	1674.322	30	9708

In this analysis, we want to look into the effects of external temperature on the elephant's

movement. We specify this by expressing the parameters of the CTCRW model (time scale τ and mean speed ν) as smooth functions of the temperature. For these smooth terms, we use the syntax from the R package `mgcv`; here defining cubic regression splines with 10 basis functions and with shrinkage. See the `mgcv` documentation for more details.

```
formulas <- list(mu1 = ~1, mu2 = ~1,  
                tau = ~ s(temp, k = 10, bs = "cs"),  
                nu = ~ s(temp, k = 10, bs = "cs"))
```

Finally, we use the constructor of the SDE class to create an object for this model, passing as input the formulas, data, type of model, and name of response variables. We pass a vector of initial parameter values, from which the numerical optimisation of the likelihood will start for model fitting, and we use the argument `fixpar` to indicate that the mean velocity (i.e., parameters μ_1 and μ_2) should be fixed to zero rather than estimated.


```
par0 <- c(mu1 = 0, mu2 = 0, tau = 1, nu = 1)  
  
my_sde <- SDE$new(formulas = formulas,  
                  data = data,  
                  type = "CTCRW",  
                  response = c("x", "y"),  
                  fixpar = c("mu1", "mu2"))
```

Once the model has been created, the parameters can be estimated using the method `fit`:

```
my_sde$fit()
```

Finally, the relationship between the SDE parameters and the covariates can be plotted with the method `plot_par`. The function can also generate posterior samples for the estimated relationship, to visualise uncertainty. Here, we plot the CTCRW parameters as function of temperature, with 100 posterior samples:

```
my_sde$plot_par("temp", par_names = c("tau", "nu"))
```



As described by Michelot et al. (2021), these results suggest that this elephant tended to move less at high temperatures, with a big drop in speed over 40 degrees Celsius. Estimates and point-wise confidence intervals of the SDE parameters can also be computed for given covariate values, using the `predict_par` method.

```
# Data frame with covariate values for prediction
new_data <- data.frame(temp = c(20, 30, 40))

# Get estimates and CIs
par <- my_sde$par(t = "all", new_data = new_data)
CI <- my_sde$CI_pointwise(new_data = new_data)

# Estimates and 95% CIs for each temperature value given as input
par
```

	mu1	mu2	tau	nu
[1,]	0	0	0.8130797	1.0787907
[2,]	0	0	1.1264850	0.9645502
[3,]	0	0	0.9009055	0.8593142

```
CI
```

```
$low
```

	mu1	mu2	tau	nu
[1,]	0	0	0.5108776	0.6792012
[2,]	0	0	0.9894077	0.9288248
[3,]	0	0	0.7545904	0.7822233

```
$upp
```


	mu1	mu2	tau	nu
[1,]	0	0	1.292910	1.690674
[2,]	0	0	1.273395	1.003561
[3,]	0	0	1.071725	0.946208

References

- Gurarie, Eliezer, and Otso Ovaskainen. 2011. “Characteristic Spatial and Temporal Scales Unify Models of Animal Movement.” *The American Naturalist* 178 (1): 113–23.
- Johnson, Devin S, Joshua M London, Mary-Anne Lea, and John W Durban. 2008. “Continuous-Time Correlated Random Walk Model for Animal Telemetry Data.” *Ecology* 89 (5): 1208–15.
- Michelot, Théo, Richard Glennie, Catriona Harris, and Len Thomas. 2021. “Varying-Coefficient Stochastic Differential Equations with Applications in Ecology.” *Journal of Agricultural, Biological and Environmental Statistics*.
- Wall, Jake, George Wittemyer, Valerie LeMay, Iain Douglas-Hamilton, and Brian Klinkenberg. 2014. “Elliptical Time-Density Model to Estimate Wildlife Utilization Distributions.” *Methods in Ecology and Evolution* 5 (8): 780–90.
- Wood, Simon N. 2017. *Generalized Additive Models: An Introduction with R*. CRC press.