Homework 1 Solutions

1. Problem 1

Google "Monty Hall Problem" for solutions.

2. Problem 2

We have:

$$R(\alpha_1|\mathbf{x}) = \lambda_{11}P(\omega_1|\mathbf{x}) + \lambda_{12}P(\omega_2|\mathbf{x})$$

$$R(\alpha_2|\mathbf{x}) = \lambda_{21}P(\omega_1|\mathbf{x}) + \lambda_{22}P(\omega_2|\mathbf{x})$$

Thus we decide ω_1 if

$$(\lambda_{21} - \lambda_{11})P(\mathbf{x}|\omega_1)P(\omega_1) > (\lambda_{12} - \lambda_{22})P(\mathbf{x}|\omega_2)P(\omega_2)$$

Otherwise, decide ω_2 .

Because $\lambda_{12} = 2$, $\lambda_{21} = 1$, and $\lambda_{11} = \lambda_{22} = 0$,

Then if we decide ω_1 , We get:

$$P(\mathbf{x}|\omega_1)P(\omega_1) > 2P(\mathbf{x}|\omega_2)P(\omega_2)$$

$$\Rightarrow ln(P(\mathbf{x}|\omega_1)) + ln(P(\omega_1)) > ln2 + ln(P(\mathbf{x})|\omega_2) + ln(P(\omega_2))$$

$$\Rightarrow -\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_1)\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_1) - \frac{d}{2}ln(2\pi) - \frac{1}{2}ln|\boldsymbol{\Sigma}| + ln(P(\omega_1))$$

$$> ln2 - \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_2)\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_2) - \frac{d}{2}ln(2\pi) - \frac{1}{2}ln|\boldsymbol{\Sigma}| + ln(P(\omega_2))$$

$$\Rightarrow -\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_1)\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_1) + ln(P(\omega_1)) > ln2 - \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_2)\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_2) + ln(P(\omega_2))$$

otherwise Decide ω_2 .

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{Let } g_1(\mathbf{x}) = -\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_1)\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_1) + ln(P(\omega_1)), \\ \text{Let } g_2(\mathbf{x}) = ln2 - \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_2)\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_2) + ln(P(\omega_2)). \end{array}$$

the decision boundary is

$$g_{1}(\mathbf{x}) = g_{2}(\mathbf{x})$$

$$\Rightarrow g_{1}(\mathbf{x}) - g_{2}(\mathbf{x}) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow -\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{1})^{t} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{1}) + \ln P(\omega_{1}) + \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{2})^{t} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{2}) + \ln P(\omega_{2}) = \ln 2$$

$$\Rightarrow -\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x}^{t} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{1}^{t} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^{t} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{1} + \boldsymbol{\mu}_{1}^{t} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{1}) + \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x}^{t} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{2}^{t} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^{t} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{2} + \boldsymbol{\mu}_{2}^{t} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{2})$$

$$+ \ln \frac{P(\omega_{1})}{P(\omega_{2})} = \ln 2$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{2}(2\boldsymbol{\mu}_{1}^{t} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{1} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{1}) + \frac{1}{2}(-2\boldsymbol{\mu}_{1}^{t} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \mathbf{x} + \boldsymbol{\mu}_{1} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{1}) + \ln \frac{P(\omega_{1})}{P(\omega_{2})} = \ln 2$$

$$\Rightarrow (\boldsymbol{\mu}_{1}^{t} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{2}^{t} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}) \mathbf{x} - \frac{1}{2}(\boldsymbol{\mu}_{1} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{1} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{2} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{2}) + \ln \frac{P(\omega_{1})}{P(\omega_{2})} = \ln 2$$

$$(1)$$

Let $\mathbf{w} = \Sigma^{-1}(\boldsymbol{\mu}_1 - \boldsymbol{\mu}_2)$, and $\mathbf{x}_0 = \frac{1}{2}(\boldsymbol{\mu}_1 + \boldsymbol{\mu}_2) - \frac{\ln[P(\omega_1)/P(\omega_2)]}{(\boldsymbol{\mu}_1 - \boldsymbol{\mu}_2)^t \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}(\boldsymbol{\mu}_1 - \boldsymbol{\mu}_2)} \cdot (\boldsymbol{\mu}_1 - \boldsymbol{\mu}_2)$. Then,

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{w}^t \mathbf{x} &= (\boldsymbol{\mu}_1^t \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_2^t \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}) \mathbf{x} \\ \mathbf{w}^t \mathbf{x}_0 &= (\boldsymbol{\mu}_1^t \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_2^t \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}) \cdot \left[\frac{1}{2} (\boldsymbol{\mu}_1 + \boldsymbol{\mu}_2) - \frac{ln[P(\omega_1)/P(\omega_2)]}{(\boldsymbol{\mu}_1 - \boldsymbol{\mu}_2)^t \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} (\boldsymbol{\mu}_1 - \boldsymbol{\mu}_2)} \cdot (\boldsymbol{\mu}_1 - \boldsymbol{\mu}_2) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{\mu}_1^t \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu}_1 - \frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{\mu}_2^t \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu}_2 - ln \frac{P(\omega_1)}{P(\omega_2)} \end{split}$$

Thus,

$$\mathbf{w}^{t}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{w}^{t}\mathbf{x}_{0} = (\boldsymbol{\mu}_{1}^{t}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{2}^{t}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1})\mathbf{x} - \frac{1}{2}(\boldsymbol{\mu}_{1}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}\boldsymbol{\mu}_{1} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{2}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}\boldsymbol{\mu}_{2}) + ln\frac{P(\omega_{1})}{P(\omega_{2})} = (\mathbf{1}) = ln2$$

Therefore the decision boundary is:

$$\mathbf{w}^t(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0) = ln2$$

With:

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{w} &= \Sigma^{-1}(\boldsymbol{\mu}_1 - \boldsymbol{\mu}_2); \\ \mathbf{x}_0 &= \frac{1}{2}(\boldsymbol{\mu}_1 + \boldsymbol{\mu}_2) - \frac{ln[P(\omega_1)/P(\omega_2)]}{(\boldsymbol{\mu}_1 - \boldsymbol{\mu}_2)^t \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}(\boldsymbol{\mu}_1 - \boldsymbol{\mu}_2)} \cdot (\boldsymbol{\mu}_1 - \boldsymbol{\mu}_2) \end{split}$$

3. Problem 3

a)

$$g_i(x) = P(x|\omega_i)P(\omega_i)$$

$$g_1(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{-x+1}{2} \cdot 0.5 = \frac{-x+4}{4}, & x \in [-1,1] \\ 0, & otherwise \end{cases}$$

$$g_2(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{x+1}{2} \cdot 0.5 = \frac{x+4}{4}, & x \in [-1,1] \\ 0, & otherwise \end{cases}$$

The decision boundary is $g_1(x) = g_2(x)$

$$\begin{array}{l} \Longrightarrow \frac{-x+1}{4} = \frac{x+1}{4}, \ x \in [-1,1] \\ \Longrightarrow -x = x \\ \Longrightarrow \boxed{\text{decision boundary is } x = 0} \end{array}$$

Since $g_1(x) > g_2(x)$ when $x \in [-1, 0)$, decide ω_1 for $x \in [-1, 0)$, and ω_2 otherwise.

$$P(error) = \int_{R_2} P(x|\omega_1)P(\omega_1)dx + \int_{R_1} P(x|\omega_2)P(\omega_2)dx$$

$$= \int_0^1 \frac{-x+1}{4}dx + \int_{-1}^0 \frac{x+1}{4}dx$$

$$= \frac{1}{4}(-\frac{x^2}{2} + x)\Big|_0^1 + \frac{1}{4}(-\frac{x^2}{2} + x)\Big|_{-1}^0$$

$$= \frac{1}{4}(-\frac{1}{2} + 1) + \frac{1}{4}(-\frac{1}{2} + 1)$$

$$= \frac{1}{4}$$

Therefore, the Bayes Error $=\frac{1}{4}$

b)

$$g_{i}(x) = P(x|\omega_{i})P(\omega_{i})$$

$$g_{1}(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{-x+1}{2} \cdot 0.7 = \frac{-7x+7}{20}, & x \in [-1,1] \\ 0, & otherwise \end{cases}$$

$$g_{2}(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{x+1}{2} \cdot 0.3 = \frac{3x+3}{20}, & x \in [-1,1] \\ 0, & otherwise \end{cases}$$

The decision boundary is $g_1(x) = g_2(x)$

The decision boundary is
$$g_1(x) = g$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{-7x+7}{20} = \frac{3x+3}{20}, x \in [-1,1]$$

$$\Rightarrow 10x = 4$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{ decision boundary is } x = \frac{2}{5}$$

Since $g_1(x) > g_2(x)$ when $x \in [-1, \frac{2}{5})$, decide ω_1 for $x \in [-1, \frac{2}{5})$, and ω_2 otherwise.

$$P(error) = \int_{R_2} P(x|\omega_1)P(\omega_1)dx + \int_{R_1} P(x|\omega_2)P(\omega_2)dx$$

$$= \int_{0.4}^1 \frac{-7x + 7}{20}dx + \int_{-1}^{0.4} \frac{3x + 3}{20}dx$$

$$= \frac{7}{20}(-\frac{x^2}{2} + x)\Big|_{0.4}^1 + \frac{3}{20}(-\frac{x^2}{2} + x)\Big|_{-1}^{0.4}$$

$$= 0.21$$

Therefore, the Bayes Error = 0.21

c)

Decide ω_1 if

$$(\lambda_{21} - \lambda_{11})P(x|\omega_1)P(\omega_1) > (\lambda_{12} - \lambda_{22})P(x|\omega_2)P(\omega_2)$$

Otherwise, decide ω_2 .

Thus,

$$\begin{split} g_1(x) &= (\lambda_{21} - \lambda_{11}) P(x|\omega_1) P(\omega_1) \\ &= P(x|\omega_1) P(\omega_1) \\ &= \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{-x+1}{2} \cdot 0.5 = \frac{-x+1}{4}, \ x \in [-1,1] \\ 0, \ otherwise \end{array} \right. \\ g_2(x) &= (\lambda_{12} - \lambda_{22}) P(x|\omega_2) P(\omega_2) \\ &= 2 P(x|\omega_2) P(\omega_2) \\ &= \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{x+1}{2} \ x \in [-1,1] \\ 0, \ otherwise \end{array} \right. \end{split}$$

Ther

Then
$$g_1(x) = g_2(x) \implies \frac{-x+1}{4} = \frac{x+1}{2}$$

$$\implies -x+1 = 2x+2 \implies 3x = -1$$

$$\implies \text{ The decision boundary is } x = -\frac{1}{3}$$

Since $g_1(x)>g_2(x)$ when $x\in[-1,-\frac{1}{3})$, decide ω_1 for $x\in[-1,-\frac{1}{3})$, and ω_2 otherwise.

Then,

$$\begin{split} R &= \int R(\alpha(x)|x)P(x)dx \\ &= \int_{R_1} R(\alpha_1|x)P(x)dx + \int_{R_2} R(\alpha_2|x)P(x)dx \\ &= \int_{R_1} \lambda_{12}P(\omega_2|x)P(x)dx + \int_{R_2} \lambda_{21}P(\omega_1|x)P(x)dx \\ &= \int_{R_1} \lambda_{12}P(x|\omega_2)P(\omega_2)dx + \int_{R_2} \lambda_{21}P(x|\omega_1)P(\omega_1)dx \\ &= \int_{-1}^{-\frac{1}{3}} 2\cdot (\frac{x+1}{4})dx + \int_{-\frac{1}{3}}^{1} (\frac{x+1}{4})dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(\frac{x^2}{2}+x)\Big|_{-1}^{-\frac{1}{3}} + \frac{1}{4}(-\frac{x^2}{2}+x)\Big|_{-\frac{1}{3}}^{1} \\ &= \frac{1}{3} \end{split}$$

Thus the Bayes Risk = 0.33

4. Problem 4

$$P(w_0|x_1) = P(w_0, x_1)/P(x_1)$$

$$= \sum_{Y,Z} P(x_1, Y, Z, w_0)/P(x_1)$$

$$= \sum_{Y,Z} P(x_1)P(Y|x_1)P(Z|Y)P(w_0|Z)/P(x_1)$$

$$= P(y_0|x_1)P(z_0|y_0)P(w_0|z_0)$$

$$+ P(y_1|x_1)P(z_0|y_1)P(w_0|z_0)$$

$$+ P(y_0|x_1)P(z_1|y_0)P(w_0|z_1)$$

$$+ P(y_1|x_1)P(z_1|y_1)P(w_0|z_1)$$

$$= (1 - 0.4)(1 - 0.6)(1 - 0.3)$$

$$+ (0.4)(1 - 0.25)(1 - 0.3)$$

$$+ (1 - 0.4)(0.6)(1 - 0.45)$$

$$+ (0.4)(0.25)(1 - 0.45)$$

$$= \boxed{0.631}$$

b)

$$P(x_0|w_1) = P(x_0, w_1)/P(w_1)$$

$$= \frac{\sum_{Y,Z} P(x_0)P(Y|x_0)P(Z|Y)P(w_1|Z)}{\sum_{X,Y,Z} P(X)P(Y|X)P(Z|Y)P(w_1|Z)}$$

$$= \frac{\sum_{Y,Z} P(x_0)P(Y|x_0)P(Z|Y)P(w_1|Z)}{\sum_{Y,Z} P(x_0)P(Y|x_0)P(Z|Y)P(w_1|Z) + \sum_{Y,Z} P(x_1)P(Y|x_1)P(Z|Y)P(w_1|Z)}$$

$$= \boxed{0.403}$$

Q5.	True-or	-False:	For a t	wo-class	classif	ication pro	oblem u	sing the min	nimum-e	error-rate ru	ıle, i	n general
the	decision	bounda	ry can	take any	form.	However	, if the	underlying	class-co	onditionals	are	Gaussian
den	sities, the	en the de	ecision	boundar	y is line	ear (hyperj	olanes).					

[] True [X] False

Brief explanation of your answer: whether the decision boundary is linear depends on the covariance matrices of the two classes. For example, in the three cases discussed in the lecture notes, only the first two cases lead to linear decision boundaries.