Poker Hand

by ToF

November 5, 2011

λλλ

1 We have a problem...

What is this: "8♥"?	A String.
Yes. What does it represent?	An eight of hearts, or 8♥.
What does "7♣ 6♦ 9♠" represent?	Some others cards: 7♣, 6♦, and 9♠.
Right. And what does "A♥ K ♥Q♥ J♥ T♥" represent?	It represents victory: it's a royal flush.
What is the best we can do with the following: $4 + 2 + K + 9 + 3 + 6 + ?$	A flush.
And with 9♣ A♥ K♠ K♦ 9♦ 3♣ 6♦?	Two pairs.
Correct. And with A♣ Q♣ K♠ K♦ 9♦ 3♣?	Nothing, because there are less than seven cards.
And with 9♥ 5♠?	Nothing, for the same reason.
That's right. What about K♣ 9♠ K♠ K♦ 9♦ 3♣ 6♦?	It's a full house. Say, why are you showing me all these cards?
Because we have a problem, and I wanted to be sure you know the basics about <i>Poker</i> .	Show me what the problem is.

We have to write a program wich, given this input:

```
K* 9* K* K* 9* 3* 6*
9* A* K* K* 9* 3* 6*
A* Q* K* K* 9* 3*
9* 5*
4* 2* K* K* 9* 3* 6*
7* T* K* K* 9*
```

These are the cards of some players in a game of *Texas Hold'em*. Right?

- rightwould output this:	I see.
<pre>K* 9* K* K* 9* 3* 6* Full House (winner) 9* A* K* K* 9* 3* 6* Two Pair A* Q* K* K* 9* 3* 9* 5* 4* 2* K* K* 9* 3* 6* Flush</pre>	
7♠ T♠ K♠ K♦ 9♦	
What do you see?	Some lines are just left as they are. Some lines are marked with the ranking of the best possible hand given the cards on the line. The line with the best ranking is marked as the winner.
Do you think we can solve the problem?	Yes, provided we have the good tools.
What is the value of: filter even [4,8,0,7]?	[4,8,0]
What is the value of: subsequences "abc"?	["","a","b","ab","c","ac","bc","abc"]
And of the expression: maximum [4,8,0,7]?	8
What about: zip [3,5,2] "abc"?	[(3,' a') ,(5,' b') ,(2,' c')]
and the expression: zipWith (*) [3,5,2] [4,9,7]?	[12, 45, 14]
What is the value of: words "time flies like an arrow"?	["time"," flies "," like ","an","arrow"]
What is the value of: compare "time" "arrow"?	GT, because "time" > "arrow"
What is the value of: comparing length "time" "arrow"?	LT, because (length "time") < (length "arrow)"
Do you want to solve the problem?	Let's make some tea first.

2 DEALING WITH CARDS

2 Dealing with Cards

What is something simple we could begin to solve?	Comparing cards.
How do we proceed?	Write a failing test.
Ok. Let compare a 6* and a 6*. These two cards should be considered equals in value. module Tests where import Test. HUnit main = runTestTT \$ TestList	■ The result is failure: expected: EQ but got: LT But it's not a big matter, since we're comparing Strings when we should compare Cards.
What is a Card?	It's a new data type.
How do I create values of this type?	Pretend you have a function from String to Card.
Ok. I'll just call that function <i>card</i> :	Error, as expected. Let me just write the function.
main = runTestTT \$ TestList [compare (card "6*") (card "6*") ~?= EQ]	module PokerHand where
What now?	card :: String → Card

■ This results in two errors:

The type signature for 'card' lacks an accompanying binding

Not in scope: type constructor or class 'Card'

Can you write provide the missing parts?

■ OK, this is the Card type:

```
data Card = C
```

It has just a single value, C. And we implement the function

```
card :: String → Card card _ = C
```

which is just producing the single value.

Now we have another error:

No instance for (Ord Card) arising from a use of 'compare'

Possible fix: add an instance declaration for (Ord Card)

Should we make the suggested fix?

Sure:

```
data Card = C deriving (Ord)

card :: String → Card

card _ = C
```

■ Now we have this:

No instance for (Eq Card) arising from a use of 'compare'
Possible fix: add an instance declaration for (Eq Card)

■ Again, let's do what the compiler suggests

```
data Card = C deriving (Ord,Eq)

card :: String → Card

card _ = C
```

And the test passes.

Of course, this is just a *fake* implementation of the function *card*.

Then write another test.

Here you go:

```
main = runTestTT $ TestList

[compare (card "6*") (card "6*") ~?= EQ

,compare (card "6*") (card "5*") ~?= GT]
```

How do we make it pass?

■ We have to compare the rank values of the cards, so we should store this value in the Card type:

```
data Card = C Value deriving (Ord,Eq)
type Value = Int

card :: String → Card
card _ = C 0
```

- Of course, the test now fails, as we must calculate the real value instead of returning zero. Let's think..
- Just make the test pass. I don't like having to think on a red bar.
- Let's play "fake it 'til you make it" then:

```
card :: String \rightarrow Card card ['6', _] = C 6 card ['5', _] = C 5
```

- Now it's obvious.
- Indeed, just convert from Char to Int, using the ord function. Do it.
- Ok!

```
module PokerHand
where
import Char

data Card = C Value deriving (Ord,Eq)
type Value = Int

card :: String \rightarrow Card
card [c,_] = C $ (ord c) - (ord '0')
```

Done.

2 DEALING WITH CARDS

Done? I think I have a new test to write. But first I'll do some refactoring, too.

```
main = runTestTT $ TestList

[compare (card "6*") (card "6*") ~?= EQ

,compare (card "6*") (card "5*") ~?= GT]
```

You know about comparing right?

Yes, and so does *GHCI*:

```
comparing :: (Ord a) => (b \rightarrow a) \rightarrow b \rightarrow b \rightarrow Ordering --Defined in Data.Ord
```

comparing takes a function from a type *b* to an ordered type *a*, two values of type *b* and gives the comparison using the given function.

Yes, so I can compare Strings using the card function:

```
import Data.Ord (comparing)

main = runTestTT $ TestList
[comparing card "6*" "6*" ~?= EQ
,comparing card "6*" "5*" ~?= GT]
```

■ Nice!

Now for my new test:

```
main = runTestTT $ TestList
[comparing card "6*" "6*" ~?= EQ
,comparing card "6*" "5*" ~?= GT
,comparing card "T*" "J*" ~?= LT]
```

■ We're expecting LT but get GT. Can you make it pass?

Sure:

```
card :: String \rightarrow Card

card ['J',_] = C 11

card ['T',_] = C 10

card [c,_] = C $ (ord c) - (ord '0')
```

■ We just have to add special cases.

Good. Here's a new one:

```
main = runTestTT $ TestList

[comparing card "6*" "6*" ~?= EQ
,comparing card "6*" "5*" ~?= GT
,comparing card "T*" "J*" ~?= LT
,comparing card "K*" "A*" ~?= LT]
```

Ok.

```
card :: String → Card

card [' A',_] = C 14

card [' K',_] = C 13

card [' J',_] = C 11

card [' T',_] = C 10

card [c,_] = C $ (ord c) - (ord '0')
```

■ That's easy: give each card its value.

We forgot the Queen value:

```
main = runTestTT $ TestList
[comparing card "6*" "6*" ~?= EQ
,comparing card "6*" "5*" ~?= GT
,comparing card "T*" "J*" ~?= LT
,comparing card "K*" "A*" ~?= LT
,comparing card "Q*" "K*" ~?= LT
```

Can you add it?

■ Sure:

```
card :: String → Card

card ['A',_] = C 14

card ['K',_] = C 13

card ['Q',_] = C 12

card ['J',_] = C 11

card ['T',_] = C 10

card [c,_] = C $ (ord c) - (ord '0')
```

■ And we are done with card values.

We are, but these tests are a bit heavy. Can you think of a way to avoid repeating all these comparisons?

Yes: we could test the sorting of a deck.

```
module Tests
where
import Test. HUnit
import PokerHand
import Data. List (sort)

ud = map card ["A*","2*","T*","K*","9*","Q*","J*"]
sd = map card ["2*","9*","T*","J*","Q*","K*","A*"]

main = runTestTT $ TestList
[sort ud ~?= sd]
```

Yes, but we have a new problem.

Is that what you mean?

■ Indeed:

No instance for (Show Card) arising from a use of $`\sim?='$

Possible fix: add an instance declaration for (Show Card)

Should we follow the suggestion?

No. I don't think the Card type should derive the Show class just for testing reasons.

Then should we get back to the previous version of our tests?

I have a better idea: instead of comparing lists of Cards we can compare lists of Strings.

■ Comparing the Strings? Ok:

```
ud = ["A*","2*","T*","K*","9*","Q*","J*"]
sd = ["2*","9*","T*","J*","Q*","K*","A*"]

main = runTestTT $ TestList
    [sort ud ~?= sd]
```

■ Of course: we don't use Cards any more! We should compare the Strings using the *card* function. The function

```
sortBy :: (a \rightarrow a \rightarrow \text{Ordering}) \rightarrow [a] \rightarrow [a]
```

allows us to do that.

■ But now the test fails:

```
expected: ["2*","9*","T*","J*","Q*","K*","A*"]
but got: ["2*","9*","A*","J*","K*","Q*","T*"]
```

Do you see why?

You mean like this:

Yes!

2 DEALING WITH CARDS

I wonder what would the test show if it failed. Let's falsify it:

I just changed the first value of the unsorted desk.

■ Here is what the message says:

```
expected: ["2*","9*","T*","J*","Q*","K*","A*"] but got: ["2*","3*","9*","T*","J*","Q*","K*"]
```

The test properly outputs the results as a list of Strings. You can un-falsify the test now.

Yes.

Oh, and using words for the definition of our decks would make the code prettier.

You are right. So this is the test code:

```
module Tests
where
import Test. HUnit
import PokerHand
import Data.Ord (comparing)
import Data.List (sortBy)

ud = words "A* 2* T* K* 9* Q* J*"
sd = words "2* 9* T* J* Q* K* A*"

main = runTestTT $ TestList
[sortBy (comparing card) ud ~?= sd]
```

■ And this is the tested code:

```
module PokerHand where import Char data Card = C Value deriving (Ord,Eq) type Value = Int card :: String \rightarrow Card card ['A',_] = C 14 card ['K',_] = C 13 card ['Q',_] = C 12 card ['J',_] = C 11 card ['T',_] = C 10 card [c,_] = C $ (ord c) - (ord '0')
```

Are we done with comparing Cards?

Not yet, but it's time for a break.

3 Looking for a Flush

What is the next task with regard to card comparison?

We need to compare suits so that we can find a *flush*.

Ok I'll write a test:

Let's write a function flush

```
flush :: [Card] → Bool
flush _ = True
```

Done.

I see. Still the fake it 'til you make it approach.

This is the simplest thing that makes the test pass.

Ok. Here is another test:

■ I don't think so.

Can you make it pass?

What is missing?

The Card type doesn't include suits.

How can we change that?

Add a failing test on getting Suits from Cards.

Ok, then I'll replace my last test with this one:

Can you make this one pass?

First we need a *suit* function:

```
type Suit = Char

suit :: Card → Suit
suit _ = '♣'
```

■ Now the test is failing.

What else is needed?

■ We must store the suit into to the Card type:

```
data Card = C Value Suit deriving (Ord,Eq)
```

And then we have to capture the suit in the *card* function:

```
card :: String \rightarrow Card

card ['A',s] = C 14 s

card ['K',s] = C 13 s

card ['Q',s] = C 12 s

card ['J',s] = C 11 s

card ['T',s] = C 10 s

card [c,s] = C ((ord c) - (ord '0')) s
```

■ The code in the *card* function is a bit tedious, don't you think?

■ I'll refactor it when the bar is green. I still have to remove the *fake* on *suit*:

```
\begin{array}{ccc} suit & :: & \mathsf{Card} \to \mathsf{Suit} \\ suit & (\mathsf{C} \_ s) = s \end{array}
```

■ And now we can get Suits from Cards.

Good. Refactor the code, now.

■ Allright. First I can discard the *suit* function by declaring labels:

```
data Card = C { value :: Value, suit :: Suit }
deriving (Ord,Eq)
```

Then I can separate concerns in the card function:

```
card :: String \rightarrow Card

card [v,s] = C (toValue v) s

where

toValue 'A' = 14

toValue 'K' = 13

toValue 'Q' = 12

toValue 'J' = 11

toValue 'T' = 10

toValue c = ((ord c) - (ord '0'))
```

Done.

Here it is:

Do you see how to make it pass?

```
■ Sure:
```

```
flush :: [Card] \rightarrow Bool
flush (c:_) = suit c == '\clubsuit'
```

■ As you see, it's a *fake*.

In that case, I'll add a new test:

Ok. I think I can take a more general approach:

```
flush :: [Card] \rightarrow Bool
flush (c:cs) = all (\x \rightarrow suit x == suit c) cs
```

Of course, we're assuming that the *flush* function will always consume non-empty lists.

Ok. This are the tests so far:

```
module Tests
where
import Test. HUnit
import PokerHand
import Data.Ord (comparing)
import Data.List (sort,sortBy)
ud = words "A* 2* T* K* 9* Q* J*"
sd = words "2* 9* T* J* Q* K* A*"
main = runTestTT $ TestList
      [sortBy (comparing card) ud ~?= sd
       , map suit (cards "A♣ A♦ A♥ A♠") ~?= ['♣','♦','♥
            ','♠']
       , flush (cards "A♣ T♣ 3♣ 4♣ 2♣") ~?= True
       , flush (cards "A T * 3 * 4 * 2 * ") ~?= False
       , flush (cards "A♠ T♠ 3♠ 4♠ 2♠") ~?= True]
   where cards = map card . words
```

And this is the tested code:

```
module PokerHand
where
import Char
data Card = C { value :: Value, suit :: Suit }
            deriving (Ord, Eq)
type Value = Int
type Suit = Char
card :: String → Card
card[v,s] = C(toValuev) s
   where
      to Value 'A' = 14
      to Value 'K' = 13
      to Value 'Q' = 12
      to Value 'J' = 11
      to Value 'T' = 10
      toValue \ c = ((ord \ c) - (ord \ '0'))
flush :: [Card] \rightarrow Bool
flush (c:cs) = all (x \rightarrow suit x == suit c) cs
```

Are we done with comparing cards?

I think so. Let's have lunch.

4 "PAIR" PROGRAMMING 12

4 "Pair" Programming

Now that we have suitable tools to compare cards, what should we do?

Compare hands.

How do we form a Hand?

We'll write a function:

```
type Hand = [Card]
hand :: String → Hand
```

Good. But we should write a test before writing code.

Go on.

What is the simplest hand comparison we could write a test for?

Let's try comparing simple "High Cards" hands.

Ok. Here is a new test:

This last test is a bit long.

```
main = runTestTT $ TestList

[sortBy (comparing card) ud ~?= sd
,map suit (cards "A* A* A* A* A*") ~?= ['*','*',' *
','*']
,flush (cards "A* T* 3* 4* 2*") ~?= True
,flush (cards "A* T* 3* 4* 2*") ~?= False
,flush (cards "A* T* 3* 4* 2*") ~?= True
,comparing hand "6* 4* A* 3* K*" "8* J* 7* 5*
6*" ~?= GT]
where cards = map card . words
```

Ok, let's rephrase it this way:

```
\begin{aligned} \textit{main} &= \textit{runTestTT} \$ \textit{TestList} \\ & [\textit{sortBy} (\textit{comparing } \textit{card}) \textit{ud} \sim ?= \textit{sd} \\ & , \textit{map } \textit{suit} (\textit{cards} \text{ "A} \& \text{A} \& \text{A} \& \text{A} ) \sim ?= [\text{`$.','$.','$.'}] \\ & , \textit{flush} (\textit{cards} \text{ "A} \& \text{T} \& \text{3} \& \text{4} \& \text{2} \& \text{"}) \sim ?= \textit{True} \\ & , \textit{flush} (\textit{cards} \text{ "A} \& \text{T} \& \text{3} \& \text{4} \& \text{2} \& \text{"}) \sim ?= \textit{False} \\ & , \textit{flush} (\textit{cards} \text{ "A} \& \text{T} \& \text{3} \& \text{4} \& \text{2} \& \text{"}) \sim ?= \textit{True} \\ & , \text{"6} \& \text{4} \& \text{A} \& \text{3} \& \text{K} \& \text{"} `\textit{beat'} "8 \lor \text{J} \lor \text{7} \& \text{5} \lor \text{6} \& \text{"}] \\ & \text{where } \textit{cards} = \text{map } \textit{card} . \text{ words} \\ & \textit{beat } \textit{h} \textit{g} = \text{comparing } \textit{hand } \textit{h} \textit{g} \sim ?= \textit{GT} \end{aligned}
```

■ OK. We need to create the *hand* function. But first I will borrow your *cards* utility function.

Sure, take it to your side.

Thanks

```
cards :: String → [Cards]
cards = map card . words
```

In fact forming a hand is just making Cards from Strings and sorting them:

```
hand :: String → Hand
hand = sort . cards
```

■ Except we get LT instead of GT.

Of course: we're sorting in the wrong order. How can we change the sorting order?

We can use sortBy and a give it the proper comparison function.

Given what *GHCI* tells us about sort, sortBy and compare:

```
:type sort
sort :: (Ord a) => [a] -> [a]
:type sortBy
sortBy :: (a -> a -> Ordering) -> [a] -> [a]
:type compare
compare :: (Ord a) => a -> a -> Ordering
```

By flip ping its arguments. flip f a b is equivalent to f b a. Thus:

```
hand :: String \rightarrow Hand
hand = sortBy (flip compare) . cards
```

will do the trick.

Ok. What is the next hand that can beat a *High Card*?

We know that sortBy compare is equivalent to sort. How can we reverse the result given by compare?

A Pair.

Then I'll write this test:

```
,"5\forall 2\Rightarrow 4\Rightarrow 3\forall 2\forall" 'beat' "A\forall K\forall Q\Rightarrow J\Rightarrow 9\forall"] where beat h g = comparing hand h g \sim?= GT
```

Meaning: the lowest *Pair* should beat the highest *High Card*.

■ The test fails. We have to detect that the hand is a pair, and use that information to trump the usual card comparison.

How do we do that?

■ We declare that, within the Hand type, a *Pair* is always greater than a *High Card*.

How do we order values within a type?

■ We declare it as an algebraic type, saying we either have a HighCard followed by a list of Cards, or a Pair:

```
data Hand = HighCard [Card]
| Pair
| deriving (Ord,Eq)
```

Of course, now the implementation of *hand* doesn't yield a correct Hand value.

The compiler says:

Couldn't match expected type 'Hand'
 against inferred type '[Card]'
In the expression:
 sortBy (flip compare) . cards
In the definition of 'hand':
 hand = sortBy (flip compare) . cards

Can you arrange this?

Yes. Let's begin by forcing the function to a HighCard value:

```
hand :: String → Hand
hand = HighCard . sortBy (flip compare) . cards
```

■ and we're back with a failing test instead of a compiler error.

Can you *fake* the correct construction that would make the test pass?

Yes. Let's just insert a special case:

```
hand :: String → Hand
hand "5♥ 2♦ 4♦ 3♥ 2♥" = Pair
hand s = HighCard $ sortBy (flip compare) $ cards s
```

■ And the test is passing, because given the declaration of Hand, Pair is a higher Hand value thant HighCard.

Now we need to triangulate, so I'm adding a new test about a *Pair* beating a *High Card*:

```
,"5♥ 2♦ 3♥ 4♦ 2♥" 'beat' "A♥ K♥ Q♦ J♦ 9♥"
,"5♥ 4♦ 3♥ 2♦ 3♣" 'beat' "A♥ K♥ Q♦ J♦ 9♥"]
```

■ I'll aggravate my *fake* with a new pattern:

```
hand :: String \rightarrow Hand
hand "5\checkmark 2\checkmark 3\checkmark 4\checkmark 2\checkmark" = Pair
hand "5\checkmark 4\checkmark 3\checkmark 2\checkmark 3\checkmark" = Pair
hand s = HighCard $ sortBy (flip compare) $ cards s
```

And now we have to think.

How can we get rid of these *fake* implementations?

By writing a function from String to Bool that detects a *Pair*.

If you had this function, what would the *hand* function look like?

It would look like this:

```
hand :: String → Hand
hand s | hasAPair s = Pair
hand s = HighCard $ sortBy (flip compare) $ cards s
```

■ The code is broken, now.

Can you write the function hasAPair?

Yes:

```
hasAPair :: String → Bool
hasAPair "5♥ 2♦ 3♥ 4♦ 2♥" = True
hasAPair "5♥ 4♦ 3♥ 2♦ 3♣" = True
hasAPair _ = False
```

Done.

There's a bit of noise in these patterns. Do we really need to deal with Strings?

■ No, we can match patterns on the card Values:

```
hand:: String → Hand
hand s | hasAPair $ map value $ cards s = Pair
hand s = HighCard $ sortBy (flip compare) $ cards s

hasAPair:: [Value] → Bool
hasAPair [5,2,3,4,2] = True
hasAPair [5,4,3,2,3] = True
hasAPair _ = False
```

Would it help if we sorted the values?

■ That would clarify the patterns, so let's do it:

```
hand :: String \rightarrow Hand
hand s \mid hasAPair \$ sort \$ map value \$ cards s = Pair
hand <math>s = HighCard \$ sortBy (flip compare) \$ cards s
hasAPair :: [Value] \rightarrow Bool
hasAPair [2,2,3,4,5] = True
hasAPair [2,3,3,4,5] = True
hasAPair _ = False
```

Do you see something common between the first two patterns of *hasAPair*?

Apart from the fact they both end with 3,4,5], no.

Can you group the values after sorting them?

■ Ok. We have to change the signature for the function.

```
hand :: String → Hand
hand s | hasAPair $ group $ sort $ map value $ cards s =
Pair
hand s = HighCard $ sortBy (flip compare) $ cards s

hasAPair :: [[ Value]] → Bool
hasAPair [[2,2],[3],[4],[5]] = True
hasAPair [[2],[3,3],[4],[5]] = True
hasAPair _ = False
```

Oh. Now I see something.

What do you see?

Each list contains four groups. So that would be a way to detect any *Pair*!

How would write the function, then?

Like this:

```
hasAPair :: [[Value]] → Bool
hasAPair gs = length gs = 4
```

■ The code is still quite messy, though.

How can we refactor?

First, factorize parts of the expression, like cards s

```
hand :: String → Hand
hand s | hasAPair $ group $ sort $ map value $ cs = Pair
hand s = HighCard $ sortBy (flip compare) $ cs
where cs = cards s
```

Oops. That doesn't work

The compiler says:

Not in scope: 'cs'

Your cs variable should be declared for the first pattern

Ok. Let's go back to green.

```
hand :: String → Hand
hand s | hasAPair $ group $ sort $ map value $ cs = Pair
where cs = cards s
hand s = HighCard $ sortBy (flip compare) $ cs
where cs = cards s
```

Now we can continue to refactor.

How can you write only one pattern in this function?

■ By using an if:

```
hand:: String → Hand
hand s = if hasAPair $ group $ sort $ map value $ cs
then Pair
else HighCard $ sortBy (flip compare) $ cs
where cs = cards s
```

Now, add legibility.

■ Let's have more auxiliary functions, and bring hasAPair where it belongs:

```
hand :: String → Hand
hand s = if hasAPair (groups cs) then Pair
else HighCard $ sortBy (flip compare) $ cs
where cs = cards s
groups = group . sort . map value
hasAPair gs = length gs == 4
```

In this function, we sort the cards twice. Would the grouping still work if it used sortBy (flip compare) instead of sort?

Let's ask the code:

```
hand :: String → Hand
hand s = if hasAPair (groups cs) then Pair
else HighCard $ sortBy (flip compare) $ cs
where cs = cards s
groups = group . sortBy (flip compare) .
map value
hasAPair gs = length gs == 4
```

■ Yes, the criteria of having four groups still holds, whatever the order in which sort the cards.

So we can factorize the sorting.

■ Right. Now cs represent the sorted cards:

```
hand :: String → Hand
hand s = if hasAPair (groups cs) then Pair
else HighCard cs
where cs = sortBy (flip compare) $ cards s
groups = group . map value
hasAPair gs = length gs == 4
```

■ But, this code is still too long.

Maybe we can get rid of hasAPair

Let's try:

```
hand :: String \rightarrow Hand
hand s = case length $ groups cs of
4 \rightarrow Pair
5 \rightarrow HighCard cs
where cs = sortBy (flip compare) $ cards s
groups = group . map value
```

■ Right.

And harmonize variable names, like gs instead of groups...

■ You mean like this:

```
hand :: String \rightarrow Hand
hand s = case length gs of
4 \rightarrow Pair
5 \rightarrow HighCard cs
where cs = sortBy (flip compare) $ cards s
gs = group $ map value $ cs
```

■ Yeah, that's a bit clearer.

Can you add symmetry? Using groupBy instead of group and map.

Sure:

```
hand :: String \rightarrow Hand
hand s = case length gs of
4 \rightarrow Pair
5 \rightarrow HighCard cs
where cs = sortBy (flip compare) $ cards s
gs = groupBy (same value) cs
same f a b = f a == f b
```

■ That's even clearer.

Hey, that *same* function is interesting. Do you see where we met a case for it before?

No.

Look at the flush function.

Here it is:

```
flush :: [Card] \rightarrow Bool
flush (c:cs) = all (\x \rightarrow suit x == suit c) cs
```

Can you use something similar to the function *same* here?

Let's try:

```
same :: (Eq a) => (t \rightarrow a) \rightarrow t \rightarrow t \rightarrow Bool
same f a b = f a == f b

flush :: [Card] \rightarrow Bool
flush (c:cs) = all (\x \rightarrow same suit c x) cs
```

■ You are right.

Simplify, then!

Ok.

```
flush :: [Card] \rightarrow Bool
flush (c:cs) = all (same suit c) cs
hand :: String \rightarrow Hand
hand s = case length gs of
4 \rightarrow Pair
5 \rightarrow HighCard cs
where cs = sortBy (flip compare) $ cards s
gs = groupBy (same value) cs
```

Ok. Here's is the test code:

```
module Tests
where
import Test. HUnit
import PokerHand
import Data.Ord (comparing)
import Data.List (sort,sortBy)
ud = words "A* 2* T* K* 9* Q* J*"
sd = words "2* 9* T* J* Q* K* A*"
main = runTestTT $ TestList
      [sortBy (comparing card) ud ~?= sd
       , map suit (cards "A♣ A♦ A♥ A♠") ~?= ['♣','♦','♥
            ','♠']
       , flush (cards "A♣ T♣ 3♣ 4♣ 2♣") ~?= True
       , flush (cards "A * T * 3 * 4 * 2 * ") ~?= False
       , flush (cards "A♠ T♠ 3♠ 4♠ 2♠") ~?= True
      ,"6♣ 4♦ A♣ 3♠ K♠" 'beat' "8♥ J♥ 7♦ 5♥ 6♣"
       ,"5♥ 2♦ 3♥ 4♦ 2♥" 'beat' "A♥ K♥ Q♦ J♦ 9♥"
       ,"5♥ 4♦ 3♥ 2♦ 3♣" 'beat' "A♥ K♥ Q♦ J♦ 9♥"]
   where beat h g = \text{comparing } hand h g \sim ?= GT
```

■ And this is the tested code:

```
module PokerHand
where
import Char
import Data.List
data Card = C { value :: Value, suit :: Suit }
     deriving (Ord, Eq)
type Value = Int
type Suit = Char
data Hand = HighCard [Card] | Pair deriving (Ord, Eq)
card :: String → Card
card[v,s] = C(toValue v) s
    where
      toValue 'A' = 14
      to Value 'K' = 13
      to Value 'Q' = 12
      to Value 'J' = 11
      to Value 'T' = 10
      toValue \ c = ((ord \ c) - (ord \ '0'))
flush :: [Card] \rightarrow Bool
flush(c:cs) = all(same suit c) cs
same :: (Eq a) => (t \rightarrow a) \rightarrow t \rightarrow t \rightarrow Bool
same f a b = f a == f b
hand :: String → Hand
hand s = case length gs of
           4 \rightarrow Pair
           5 → HighCard cs
       where cs = \text{sortBy} (flip compare) \$ cards s
             gs = groupBy (same value) cs
cards :: String \rightarrow [Card]
cards = map card . words
```

What should we do now?

Have some rest!

5 Grouping Cards

So far, our hand comparisons are correct as long as we compare *High Cards* hands or compare a *High Card* to a *Pair*. What's the next step?

Comparing Pairs.

Ok. Here's a test:

```
,"5\forall 4\leftrightarrow 3\forall 2\leftrightarrow 3\Rightarrow" 'beat' "5\forall 2\leftrightarrow 3\forall 4\leftrightarrow 2\Rightarrow"] where beat h g = comparing hand h g \sim?= GT
```

The hand on the left should win, since a pair of 3 beats a pair of 2s. But the test fails, we get EQ instead of GT.

■ We can solve this by storing cards along with the Pair value in the Hand type:

```
data Hand = HighCard [Card]
| Pair [Card]
| deriving (Ord,Eq)
```

And we must complete the hand function, too.

Yes:

```
hand :: String \rightarrow Hand
hand s = \text{case length } gs of
4 \rightarrow \text{Pair } cs
5 \rightarrow \text{HighCard } cs
where cs = \text{sortBy (flip compare) } $cards s
gs = \text{groupBy (same value) } cs
```

■ And now the test passes.

We have a problem, though. Can you see it?

Not yet.

Look at the hand function.

What is the value of cs when s equals "5♥ 4♦ 3♥ 2♦ 3♣"?

That's [5, 4, 3, 3, 2].

And what would be the value of cs if s was equal to " $5 \checkmark 2 \land 3 \checkmark 7 \land 2 \checkmark$ "?

[7,5,3,2,2]. Ouch.

Let's write a new test:

```
,"5♥ 4♦ 3♥ 3♣ 2♥" 'beat' "7♦ 5♥ 3♦ 2♠ 2♦"]
```

and sure enough the test is failing.

■ I see. The value of the pair should beat the value of the remaining cards.

Do you know how to solve this?

No.

What is the simplest possible thing that would make the tests pass?

Using the *fake it* strategy. We can arrange the cards according to their place in the groups list.

Well, do this, then.

I want to refactor the code, first.

Ok I'm removing my last test

■ Thanks. Here's my refactoring:

```
hand :: String \rightarrow Hand
hand s = case \ gs \ of
[\_,\_,\_,\_] \rightarrow Pair \ cs
[\_,\_,\_,\_] \rightarrow HighCard \ cs
where \ cs = sortBy \ (flip \ compare) \ same \ value) \ cs
gs = groupBy \ (same \ value) \ cs
```

■ Everything is still working fine.

What's the use of these patterns?

■ Describing the two cases of *Pair* that we have so far:

```
hand :: String \rightarrow Hand
hand s = case \ gs of 
 [[a],[b],[c],[d,e]] \rightarrow Pair cs
 [[a],[b],[c,d],[e]] \rightarrow Pair cs
 [_,_,_,_] \rightarrow HighCard cs
 where cs = sortBy (flip compare) $ cards s
 gs = groupBy (same value) cs
```

■ Please put your last test back in the code.

Here it is:

```
,"5♥ 4♦ 3♥ 3♣ 2♥" 'beat' "7♦ 5♥ 3♦ 2♠ 2♦"]
```

Still failing.

■ The a,b,c,d,e variables will be used to rearrange the Pair value.

```
hand :: String \rightarrow Hand
hand s = case \ gs of

[[a],[b],[c],[d,e]] \rightarrow \text{Pair} \ [d,e,a,b,c]
[[a],[b],[c,d],[e]] \rightarrow \text{Pair} \ [c,d,a,b,e]
[\_,\_,\_,\_] \rightarrow \text{HighCard} \ cs
\text{where} \ cs = \text{sortBy} \ (\text{flip} \ \text{compare}) \ \$ \ \text{cards} \ s
gs = \text{groupBy} \ (\text{same} \ \text{value}) \ cs
```

■ And now the pairs are correctly compared.

Ok. What if we have pairs on the highest values? It wouldn't match our two patterns.

I told you it was a *fake*. In fact, comparing pairs would always work if we had only one pattern for pairs: [[a,b],[c],[d],[e]]

How can we ensure we always have this pattern for pairs?

By sorting the groups by size, in reverse order:

```
hand :: String \rightarrow Hand
hand s = case \ gs of 
 [[a],[b],[c],[d,e]] \rightarrow Pair \ [d,e,a,b,c]
 [[a],[b],[c,d],[e]] \rightarrow Pair \ [c,d,a,b,e]
 [\_,\_,\_,\_] \rightarrow HighCard \ cs
 where cs = sortBy \ (flip \ compare) \ cards \ s
 gs = sortBy \ (flip \ groupSize) \ groupBy \ (same \ value) \ cs
 groupSize = comparing \ length
```

■ That's what the sortBy (flip groupSize) does. But we're still in red.

Yes, we now have non-exhaustive patterns in our three last tests.

■ Let's replace the previous pair patterns with the only remaining possible one:

```
hand :: String \rightarrow Hand
hand s = case \ gs of 
 [[a,b],[c],[d],[e]] \rightarrow Pair \ [a,b,c,d,e]
[\_,\_,\_,\_] \rightarrow HighCard \ cs
where cs = sortBy \ (flip \ compare) \ cards \ s
gs = sortBy \ (flip \ groupSize) \ groupBy \ (same \ value) \ cs
groupSize = comparing \ length
```

■ And we're back to green.

How can we make this code more legible?

We can put some symmetry into the patterns:

```
hand :: String → Hand
hand s = case \ gs of

[[a,b],[c],[d],[e]] → Pair [a,b,c,d,e]

[[a],[b],[c],[d],[e]] → HighCard [a,b,c,d,e]

where cs = sortBy (flip compare) $ cards $

gs = sortBy (flip groupSize) $ groupBy (same value) cs

groupSize = comparing length
```

The function is quite long; can you split it into two parts, one for grouping cards, one for finding the ranking?

Sure:

Done.

Then, write a clearer version of hand.

Here we go:

Done.

The sortBy (flip (..)) construct is a bit complicated. Can you make the code more legible?

Yes.

■ For Cards, compare and comparing *value* are equivalent, so we can use the latter form for symmetry.

The function we use for ranking is quite powerful. How easily do you think it could handle new rankings?

Write a new test, and we will see.

Allright. Here's a test saying that the lowest *Tow Pairs* can beat the highest possible *Pair*.

```
,"2♦ 2♣ 3♣ 3♠ 4♥" 'beat" "A♥ A♠ K♣ Q♦ J♠"]
```

This test is in error with the following message:

non-exhaustive pattern in function ranking Can you make it pass?

Let's begin by adding the pattern for *Three of a Kind*:

```
ranking :: [[Card]] \rightarrow Hand

ranking [[a,b],[c,d],[e]] = TwoPairs [a,b,c,d,e]

ranking [[a,b],[c],[d],[e]] = Pair [a,b,c,d,e]

ranking [[a],[b],[c],[d],[e]] = HighCard [a,b,c,d,e]
```

■ We're not done yet.

Here's what the error message says:

Not in scope: data constructor 'TwoPairs'

Let's insert the constructor into the Hand type:

```
data Hand = HighCard [Card]
| Pair [Card]
| TwoPairs [Card]
| deriving (Ord,Eq)
```

■ And now we can detect and compare *Two Pairs* hands.

Good. Now, here's a test saying that the lowest *Three* of a Kind can beat the highest possible *Two Pairs*.

```
,"2♦ 2$ 2$ 3♥ 4$" 'beat' "A♥ A$ K$ K$ J$"]
```

The fails with a message similar to the previous one:

non-exhaustive pattern in function ranking

Let's add the pattern for *Three of a Kind*:

```
\begin{array}{lll} \textit{ranking} & :: & [[\mathsf{Card}]] \to \mathsf{Hand} \\ \textit{ranking} & [[a,b,c],[d],[e]] & = \mathsf{ThreeOfAKind} & [a,b,c,d,e] \\ \textit{ranking} & [[a,b],[c,d],[e]] & = \mathsf{TwoPairs} & [a,b,c,d,e] \\ \textit{ranking} & [[a,b],[c],[d],[e]] & = \mathsf{Pair} & [a,b,c,d,e] \\ \textit{ranking} & [[a],[b],[c],[d],[e]] & = \mathsf{HighCard} & [a,b,c,d,e] \\ \end{array}
```

And you should have a new message.

Indeed:

Not in scope: data constructor 'ThreeOfAKind'

Here I go:

■ And now we can also detect and compare *Three of a Kind* hands.

Great. What other ranking can we add that would use different group patterns?

Let's go for Full House and Four of a Kind.

What about Straight and Flush?

There's no new grouping involved in those. We can add them later.

Ok. Here's a test:

```
,"2+ 2+ 2♥ 2+ 3+" 'beat' "A♥ A+ A+ K♥ K+"]
```

It states that the lowest *Four of a Kind* beats the highest *Full House*.

Let's begin with adding the constructors:

```
data Hand = HighCard [Card]

| Pair [Card]

| TwoPairs [Card]

| ThreeOfAKind [Card]

| FullHouse [Card]

| FourOfAKind [Card]

deriving (Ord,Eq)
```

Good.

■ Then we add the group patterns:

```
\begin{array}{lll} \textit{ranking} & :: & [[\texttt{Card}]] \rightarrow \texttt{Hand} \\ \textit{ranking} & [[a,b,c,d],[e]] & = & \texttt{FourOfAKind} \ [a,b,c,d,e] \\ \textit{ranking} & [[a,b,c],[d],[e]] & = & \texttt{FullHouse} \ [a,b,c,d,e] \\ \textit{ranking} & [[a,b],[c,d],[e]] & = & \texttt{TwoPairs} \ [a,b,c,d,e] \\ \textit{ranking} & [[a,b],[c],[d],[e]] & = & \texttt{Pair} \ [a,b,c,d,e] \\ \textit{ranking} & [[a],[b],[c],[d],[e]] & = & \texttt{HighCard} \ [a,b,c,d,e] \\ \end{array}
```

■ And it's done.

Great. Here's the test code so far:

```
module Tests
where
import Test. HUnit
import PokerHand
import Data.Ord (comparing)
import Data.List (sort,sortBy)
ud = words "A* 2* T* K* 9* Q* J*"
sd = words "2* 9* T* J* Q* K* A*"
main = runTestTT $ TestList
       [sortBy (comparing card) ud ~?= sd
       , map suit (cards "A♣ A♦ A♥ A♠") ~?= ['♣','♦','♥
             ',' ♠ ']
       , flush (cards "A♣ T♣ 3♣ 4♣ 2♣") ~?= True
       , flush (cards "A♠ T♣ 3♣ 4♣ 2♣") ~?= False
       , flush (cards "A♠ T♠ 3♠ 4♠ 2♠") ~?= True
       ,"6. 4 ♦ A . 3 ♦ K • " 'beat' "8 ♥ J ♥ 7 ♦ 5 ♥ 6 • "
       ,"5♥ 2♦ 3♥ 4♦ 2♥" 'beat' "A♥ K♥ Q♦ J♦ 9♥"
       ,"5♥ 4♦ 3♥ 2♦ 3♣" 'beat' "A♥ K♥ Q♦ J♦ 9♥"
       ,"5♥ 4♦ 3♥ 3♣ 2♥" 'beat' "7♦ 5♥ 3♦ 2♠ 2♦"
       ,"2♦ 2$ 3$ 3$ 4♥" 'beat' "A♥ A$ K$ Q♦ J$"
       ,"2♦ 2♣ 2♠ 3♥ 4♦" 'beat' "A♥ A♠ K♣ K♦ J♠"
,"2♦ 2♠ 2♥ 2♣ 3♦" 'beat' "A♥ A♦ A♠ K♥ K♠"]
    where beat h g = \text{comparing } hand h g \sim ?= GT
```

And here's the tested code:

```
module PokerHand
where
import Char
import Data.Ord
import Data.List
data Card = C { value :: Value, suit :: Suit }
             deriving (Ord, Eq)
type Value = Int
type Suit = Char
data Hand = HighCard [Card]
          | Pair
                      [Card]
          | TwoPairs [Card]
          | ThreeOfAKind [Card]
          | FullHouse [Card]
          | FourOfAKind [Card]
             deriving (Ord, Eq)
\textit{card} :: \ \textbf{String} \ \rightarrow \textbf{Card}
card[v,s] = C(toValuev) s
    where
      to Value 'A' = 14
      to Value 'K' = 13
      to Value 'Q' = 12
      to Value 'J' = 11
      to Value 'T' = 10
      toValue \ c = ((ord \ c) - (ord \ '0'))
same :: (Eq a) => (t \rightarrow a) \rightarrow t \rightarrow t \rightarrow Bool
same fab = fa == fb
flush :: [Card] → Bool
flush (c:cs) = all (same suit c) cs
hand :: String → Hand
hand = ranking.
       rSortBy (comparing length) .
       groupBy (same value).
       rSortBy (comparing value) . cards
rSortBy :: (Ord a) => (a \rightarrow a \rightarrow \text{Ordering}) \rightarrow [a] \rightarrow [a]
rSortBy f = sortBy (flip f)
ranking :: [[Card]] → Hand
ranking [[ a,b,c,d ],[ e]]
                                = FourOfAKind [a,b,c,d,e]
ranking [[ a,b,c ],[ d,e]]
                                = FullHouse [a,b,c,d,e]
ranking [[ a,b,c ],[ d ],[ e]]
                                = ThreeOfAKind [a,b,c,d,e]
                                = TwoPairs [a,b,c,d,e]
ranking [[ a,b ],[ c,d ],[ e]]
ranking [[a,b],[c],[d],[e]] = Pair
                                           [a,b,c,d,e]
ranking [[a],[b],[c],[d],[e]] = HighCard [a,b,c,d,e]
cards :: String → [Card]
cards = map card . words
```

6 Straight & Flush

Do you know how to recognize a Straight?

Agreed. At least three rankings are missing.
The Straight, the Flush, and the Straight Flush.
It's another name for the highest Straight Flush.
5 ♦ 4 ♣ 3 ♦ 2 ♥ 1 ♠. This is a special case, though, because the ace is not the highest value in that hand.
■ We'll use the same routine as before. First, describe the new Hand value:
data Hand = HighCard [Card] Pair [Card] TwoPairs [Card] ThreeOfAKind [Card] Straight [Card] FullHouse [Card] FourOfAKind [Card] deriving (Ord,Eq)

■ Yes: it's like a HighCard, meaning that every value

is distinct, but the values are in sequence, meaning that the highest value minus the lowest should equal 4. I'll

add this criteria as guard.

Go on.

```
ranking :: [[Card]] \rightarrow Hand

ranking [[a,b,c,d],[e]] = FourOfAKind [a,b,c,d,e]

ranking [[a,b,c],[d],[e]] = ThreeOfAKind [a,b,c,d,e]

ranking [[a,b],[c,d],[e]] = TwoPairs [a,b,c,d,e]

ranking [[a,b],[c],[d],[e]] = Pair [a,b,c,d,e]

ranking [[a],[b],[c],[d],[e]] = Value a - value e == 4 = Straight [a,b,c,d,e]

ranking [[a],[b],[c],[d],[e]] = HighCard [a,b,c,d,e]
```

And now the test is passing.

Good. What about the special case where the ace is the lowest? I'll add the test:

```
,"5♦ 4♦ 3$ 2♦ A♥" 'beat' "A$ A♥ A♦ K$ Q$"]
```

■ The test fails. Can you make it pass?

■ Yes, we just have to add the same pattern with a new guard for the case where the highest card is an ace and the next one is a five:

```
ranking :: [[Card]] → Hand
ranking [[ a,b,c,d ],[ e]]
                               = FourOfAKind [a,b,c,d,e]
ranking [[ a,b,c ],[ d,e]]
                               = FullHouse [a,b,c,d,e]
ranking [[a,b,c],[d],[e]]
                               = ThreeOfAKind [a,b,c,d,e]
ranking [[ a,b ],[ c,d ],[ e]]
                               = TwoPairs [a,b,c,d,e]
ranking [[a,b],[c],[d],[e]]
                               = Pair
                                          [a,b,c,d,e]
ranking [[a],[b],[c],[d],[e]]
    | value\ a - value\ e == 4 = Straight\ [a,b,c,d,e]
ranking [[a],[b],[c],[d],[e]]
    | value a == 14 \&\& value b == 5 = Straight [b,c,d,e,]
         a]
ranking [[a],[b],[c],[d],[e]] = HighCard [a,b,c,d,e]
```

■ Note that I order the cards in the value differently, so that the ace is at the last position when we print it.

Ok. Now for the *Flush*. Here is a new test:

```
,"6♥ 4♥ 3♥ 2♥ A♥" 'beat' "A♠ K♣ Q♥ J♠ T♦"]
```

■ The lowest *Flush* should beat the highest *Straight*.

First, create the value:

```
data Hand = HighCard [Card]
| Pair [Card]
| TwoPairs [Card]
| ThreeOfAKind [Card]
| Straight [Card]
| Flush [Card]
| FullHouse [Card]
| FourOfAKind [Card]
| deriving (Ord,Eq)
```

We already have a function to detect a Flush.

■ Yes, I'll just use it within a pattern similar to a *High Card*:

```
ranking :: [[Card]] → Hand
                                 = FourOfAKind [a,b,c,d,e]
ranking [[ a,b,c,d ],[ e]]
                                 = FullHouse [a,b,c,d,e]
ranking [[ a,b,c ],[ d,e]]
ranking [[ a,b,c ],[ d ],[ e ]]
                                 = ThreeOfAKind [a,b,c,d,e]
ranking [[ a,b ],[ c,d ],[ e]]
                                 = TwoPairs [a,b,c,d,e]
                                 = Pair
ranking [[ a,b ],[ c ],[ d ],[ e ]]
                                             [a,b,c,d,e]
ranking [[ a ],[ b ],[ c ],[ d ],[ e]]
    | value\ a - value\ e == 4 = Straight\ [a,b,c,d,e]
ranking [[ a ],[ b ],[ c ],[ d ],[ e]]
    | value\ a == 14 \&\&\ value\ b == 5 = Straight\ [b,c,d,e,]
ranking [[ a ],[ b ],[ c ],[ d ],[ e ]]
    | flush [a,b,c,d,e] = Flush [a,b,c,d,e]
ranking [[a],[b],[c],[d],[e]] = HighCard [a,b,c,d,e]
```

And we are done with *Flush*.

We now have the *Straight Flush* case. Do you know how to handle it?

Yes. Write a test.

Here it is.

```
,"5♥ 4♥ 3♥ 2♥ A♥" 'beat' "A♦ A♦ A♥ A♠ K♥"]
```

■ I started with the lowest *Straight Flush*.

function flush yields True?

Ok. I'll create the value, same as usual:

```
data Hand = HighCard [Card]

| Pair [Card]

| TwoPairs [Card]

| ThreeOfAKind [Card]

| Straight [Card]

| Flush [Card]

| FullHouse [Card]

| FourOfAKind [Card]

| StraightFlush [Card]

deriving (Ord,Eq)
```

■ Then I'll add the case:

```
ranking :: [[Card]] → Hand
ranking [[ a,b,c,d ],[ e]]
                               = FourOfAKind [a,b,c,d,e]
ranking [[ a,b,c ],[ d,e]]
                               = FullHouse [a,b,c,d,e]
ranking [[ a,b,c ],[ d ],[ e]]
                               = ThreeOfAKind [a,b,c,d,e]
ranking [[ a,b ],[ c,d ],[ e]]
                               = TwoPairs [a,b,c,d,e]
                               = Pair
ranking [[a,b],[c],[d],[e]]
                                          [a,b,c,d,e]
ranking [[a],[b],[c],[d],[e]]
    | value\ a - value\ e == 4 = Straight\ [a,b,c,d,e]
ranking [[a],[b],[c],[d],[e]]
    | value a == 14 \&\& value b == 5 \&\& flush [a,b,c,d,e]
          = StraightFlush [b,c,d,e,a]
ranking [[a],[b],[c],[d],[e]]
    | value a == 14 \&\& value b == 5 = Straight [b,c,d,e,]
ranking [[a],[b],[c],[d],[e]]
    | flush [a,b,c,d,e] = Flush [a,b,c,d,e]
ranking [[a],[b],[c],[d],[e]] = HighCard [a,b,c,d,e]
```

■ It works, but it's ugly.

value in a flush.

```
Yes. How could you avoid repeating yourself?
                                                            I don't know.
If we add the case for a general Straight Flush, it will
                                                            I know.
make things worse.
Do you notice something specific about uses of the
                                                            It occurs for only one group pattern: [[a],[b],[c],[d],[
flush function?
                                                            e]].
What Hand values this group pattern produce?
                                                            HighCard or Straight.
And what should it produce when the function flush
                                                            Flush or StraightFlush.
yields True for the cards in the groups?
What should be produced for other groups when the
                                                            That's not possible. There's no two cards of the same
```

Can you draw a table?

initial hand	flush	result
HighCard	True	Flush
Straight	True	StraightFlush
other	True	impossible
HighCard	False	HighCard
Straight	False	Straight
other	False	unchanged

Can you transform this table into a function?

```
Yes:
```

```
promoteFlush :: Hand \rightarrow Hand
promoteFlush (HighCard cs) | flush cs = Flush cs
promoteFlush (Straight cs) | flush cs = StraightFlush cs
promoteFlush h = h
```

if that's what you mean.

That's what I mean. Can you use it in the *hand* function now?

Yes:

```
hand :: String → Hand
hand = promoteFlush . ranking .
rSortBy (comparing length) .
groupBy (same value) .
rSortBy (comparing value) . cards
```

■ The code is still working.

Now we can get rid of the flush tests in the *ranking* function.

You are right:

```
ranking :: [[Card]] \rightarrow Hand
ranking [[ a,b,c,d ],[ e]]
                                = FourOfAKind [a,b,c,d,e]
ranking [[ a,b,c ],[ d,e]]
                               = FullHouse [a,b,c,d,e]
ranking [[ a,b,c ],[ d ],[ e ]]
                               = ThreeOfAKind [a,b,c,d,e]
ranking [[ a,b ],[ c,d ],[ e]]
                               = TwoPairs [a,b,c,d,e]
ranking [[a,b],[c],[d],[e]]
                               = Pair
                                           [a,b,c,d,e]
ranking [[a],[b],[c],[d],[e]]
    | value a - value e == 4 = Straight [a,b,c,d,e]
ranking [[a],[b],[c],[d],[e]]
    | value a == 14 \&\& value b == 5 = Straight [b,c,d,e,]
ranking [[a],[b],[c],[d],[e]] = HighCard [a,b,c,d,e]
```

■ Nicely done.

And this should also work for the general case of *Straight Flush*, as this test will show:

```
I agree.
```

```
,"6♥ 5♥ 4♥ 3♥ 2♥" 'beat' "A♦ A♠ A♥ A♠ K♥"]
```

■ Already passing! I think we should keep it, thought.

Can you draw another decision table for detecting the Straight hand?

TT	• .	
Here	1t	10.
Here	11	15.

initial hand	a-e == 4	a==14 && b==5	result
HighCard	True	False	Straight [a,b,c,d,e]
HighCard	False	True	Straight [b,c,d,e,a]
other	True	False	unchanged
other	False	True	unchanged

Can you design a function from this table?

```
promoteStraight :: Hand → Hand

promoteStraight (HighCard [a,b,c,d,e])

| value a - value e == 4 = Straight [a,b,c,d,e]

promoteStraight (HighCard [a,b,c,d,e])

| value a == 14 \&\& value b == 5 = Straight [b,c,d,e,a]

promoteStraight h = h
```

Done.

Then use it in the hand function?

```
hand :: String → Hand
hand = promoteFlush . promoteStraight . ranking .
rSortBy (comparing length) .
groupBy (same value) .
rSortBy (comparing value) . cards
```

Done.

Then simplify the ranking function.

```
\begin{array}{lll} \textit{ranking} & :: & [[\texttt{Card}]] \rightarrow \texttt{Hand} \\ \textit{ranking} & [[a,b,c,d],[e]] & = & \texttt{FourOfAKind} \ [a,b,c,d,e] \\ \textit{ranking} & [[a,b,c],[d],[e]] & = & \texttt{FullHouse} \ [a,b,c,d,e] \\ \textit{ranking} & [[a,b],[c,d],[e]] & = & \texttt{TwoPairs} \ [a,b,c,d,e] \\ \textit{ranking} & [[a,b],[c],[d],[e]] & = & \texttt{Pair} \ [a,b,c,d,e] \\ \textit{ranking} & [[a],[b],[c],[d],[e]] & = & \texttt{HighCard} \ [a,b,c,d,e] \\ \end{array}
```

Done.

How could we make the hand function more legible?

Maybe by stating a step per line:

```
hand:: String → Hand
hand = promoteFlush
. promoteStraight
. ranking
. rSortBy (comparing length)
. groupBy (same value)
. rSortBy (comparing value)
. cards
```

Like this.

Maybe writing the steps in reverse order would read more naturally?

I'm not sure if we can do that.

We can if we reverse the (.) function. GHCI shows us how to do:

```
> :t (.)
(.) :: (b -> c) -> (a -> b) -> a -> c
> :t (flip (.))
(flip (.)) :: (a -> b) -> (b -> c) -> a -> c
```

We need a new operator, then:

```
(>>.) :: (a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow (b \rightarrow c) \rightarrow (a \rightarrow c)
(>>.) = flip (.)
```

That's right.

■ And we can apply it to hand:

```
hand :: String → Hand
hand = cards
>> rSortBy (comparing value)
>> groupBy (same value)
>> rSortBy (comparing length)
>> ranking
>> promoteStraight
>> promoteFlush
```

■ Now the code is clearer.

Yes. Here's the test code:

```
module Tests
where
import Test. HUnit
import PokerHand
import Data.Ord (comparing)
import Data.List (sort,sortBy)
ud = words "A * 2 * T * K * 9 * Q * J * "
sd = words "2* 9* T* J* Q* K* A*'
main = runTestTT $ TestList
      [sortBy (comparing card) ud ~?= sd
      , map suit (cards "A♣ A♦ A♥ A♠") ~?= ['♣','♦','♥
           ','♠']
      , flush (cards "A♣ T♣ 3♣ 4♣ 2♣") ~?= True
      , flush (cards "A♠ T♠ 3♠ 4♠ 2♠") ~?= True
      ,"6♣ 4♦ A♣ 3♠ K♠" 'beat' "8♥ J♥ 7♦ 5♥ 6♣"
       ,"5♥ 2♦ 3♥ 4♦ 2♥" 'beat' "A♥ K♥ Q♦ J♦ 9♥"
      ,"5♥ 4♦ 3♥ 2♦ 3♣" 'beat' "A♥ K♥ Q♦ J♦ 9♥"
       ,"5♥ 4♦ 3♥ 3♣ 2♥" 'beat' "7♦ 5♥ 3♦ 2♠ 2♦"
      ,"2♦ 2* 3* 3* 4♥" 'beat' "A♥ A* K* Q♦ J*"
      ,"2♦ 2♣ 2♠ 3♥ 4♦" 'beat' "A♥ A♠ K♣ K♦ J♠"
       ,"2♦ 2♦ 2♥ 2♣ 3♦" 'beat' "A♥ A♦ A♠ K♥ K♠"
      ,"6♦ 5♦ 4$ 3♦ 2♥" 'beat' "A$ A♥ A♦ K$ Q$"
      ,"5♦ 4♦ 3$ 2♦ A♥" 'beat' "A$ A♥ A♦ K$ Q$"
      ,"6♥ 4♥ 3♥ 2♥ A♥" 'beat' "A♦ K♣ Q♥ J♠ T♦"
      ,"5♥ 4♥ 3♥ 2♥ A♥" 'beat' "A♦ A♠ A♥ A♠ K♥"
      ,"6♥ 5♥ 4♥ 3♥ 2♥" 'beat' "A♦ A♦ A♥ A♠ K♥"]
   where beat h g = \text{comparing } hand h g \sim ?= GT
```

And this is the tested code:

```
module PokerHand
where
import Char
import Data.Ord
import Data.List
data Card = C { value :: Value, suit :: Suit }
            deriving (Ord, Eq)
type Value = Int
type Suit = Char
data Hand = HighCard [Card]
          | Pair
                     [Card]
          | TwoPairs [Card]
          | ThreeOfAKind [Card]
            Straight [Card]
            Flush [Card]
            FullHouse [Card]
            FourOfAKind [Card]
          | StraightFlush [Card]
            deriving (Ord, Eq)
card :: String → Card
card[v,s] = C(toValuev) s
    where
      to Value 'A' = 14
      to Value 'K' = 13
     to Value 'Q' = 12
     toValue 'J' = 11
      to Value 'T' = 10
      toValue \ c = ((ord \ c) - (ord \ '0'))
same :: (Eq a) => (t \rightarrow a) \rightarrow t \rightarrow t \rightarrow Bool
same f a b = f a == f b
flush :: [Card] → Bool
flush (c:cs) = all (same suit c) cs
```

```
rSortBy :: (Ord a) => (a \rightarrow a \rightarrow \text{Ordering}) \rightarrow [a] \rightarrow [a]
rSortBy f = sortBy (flip f)
(>>.) :: (a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow (b \rightarrow c) \rightarrow (a \rightarrow c)
(>>.) = flip (.)
hand :: String → Hand
hand =
            cards
       >>. rSortBy (comparing value)
       >>. groupBy (same value)
       >>. rSortBy (comparing length)
       >>. ranking
       >>. promoteStraight
       >>. promoteFlush
ranking :: [[Card]] \rightarrow Hand
ranking [[ a,b,c,d ],[ e]]
                                 = FourOfAKind [a,b,c,d,e]
                                 = FullHouse [a,b,c,d,e]
ranking [[ a,b,c ],[ d,e]]
ranking [[ a,b,c ],[ d ],[ e ]]
                                 = ThreeOfAKind [a,b,c,d,e]
ranking [[ a,b ],[ c,d ],[ e]]
                                 = TwoPairs [a,b,c,d,e]
ranking [[a,b],[c],[d],[e]] = Pair
                                              [a,b,c,d,e]
ranking [[a],[b],[c],[d],[e]] = HighCard [a,b,c,d,e]
cards :: String \rightarrow [Card]
cards = map card . words
\textit{promoteStraight} :: \; \mathsf{Hand} \to \mathsf{Hand}
promoteStraight (HighCard [a,b,c,d,e])
    | value a - value e == 4 = Straight [a,b,c,d,e]
promoteStraight (HighCard [a,b,c,d,e])
    | value a == 14 \&\& value b == 5 = Straight [b,c,d,e]
          ,a]
promoteStraight h = h
promoteFlush :: Hand \rightarrow Hand
promoteFlush (HighCard cs) | flush cs = Flush cs
promoteFlush (Straight cs) | flush cs = StraightFlush cs
promoteFlush h = h
```

We have a done a lot of work! What would you like to do now?

Let's take a walk.

7 Printing

What should we work on, now ?	Let's do something that is easy, for a change.		
What about printing the rankings ?	That will be short and sweet.		
Do you remember what the program is expected to print?	Not much.		
Here's an example: K♣ 9♠ K♠ K♦ 9♦ 3♣ 6♦ Full House (winner) 9♣ A♥ K♠ K♦ 9♦ 3♣ 6♦ Two Pair	I see. We need to print: • the line of cards we have in input		
A♣ Q♣ K♠ K♦ 9♦ 3♣ 9♥ 5♠	• the ranking of the hand found in the line		
4	• the mention "(winner)" along with the best ranking		
Let's take care of your second item: showing the ranking.	Ok.		
Here's a test:	Easy:		
showRanking (hand "6. 4. A. 3. K. ") ~?= "High Card"	showRanking :: Hand → String showRanking _ = "High Card"		
	■ And the test passes.		

Here's another test, then:

```
showRanking (hand "5♥ 2♦ 3♥ 4♦ 2♥") ~?= "Pair"

showRanking :: Hand → String
showRanking (Pair _) = "Pair"
showRanking _ = "High Card"
```

■ Done. That's easy.

Yes, easy, and tedious. Could we skip the testing part on that feature?

Not if we abide by the rule #1 of TTD.

Which is?

You are not allowed to write any production code unless it is to make a failing unit test pass.

But I don't want to create all these hands just so that we can test the label given to the ranking.

Then just test the label given to the ranking.

You mean I should write my tests like this:

```
, showRanking HighCard ~?= "High Card"
, showRanking Pair ~?= "Pair"
```

No, that's not right. You can't use these data constructor without a list of Cards. But an empty list should do the trick.

It doesn't sound right, though. Look at the message: Couldn't match expected type 'Hand' against inferred type '[Card] -> Hand'

Let's try:

```
, showRanking (HighCard []) ~?= "High Card"
, showRanking (Pair []) ~?= "Pair"
```

Yes, that's better.

In that case, I'd rather create a single test for all ranking labels:

```
map showRanking [HighCard [ ],
Pair [ ],
TwoPairs [ ],
ThreeOfAKind [ ],
Straight [ ],
Flush [ ],
FullHouse [ ],
FourOfAKind [ ],
StraightFlush [ ]] ~?=
["High Card","Pair","Two Pairs","Three of a Kind",
"Straight","Flush","Full House",
"Four of a Kind","Straight Flush"]
```

Ok. Here the function showRanking:

```
showRanking :: Hand → String
showRanking (HighCard) = "High Card"
showRanking (Pair _) = "Pair"
showRanking (TwoPairs _) = "Two Pairs"
showRanking (ThreeOfAKind _) = "Three of a Kind"
showRanking (Straight _) = "Straight"
showRanking (Flush _) = "Flush"
showRanking (FullHouse _) = "Full House"
showRanking (FourOfAKind _) = "Four of a Kind"
showRanking (StraightFlush _) = "Straight Flush"
```

■ And your big test is passing. But this is not quite satisfying.

Agreed. The test is not as expressive as it should be. What we want to express is that, for example: the keyword FourOfAKind should be displayed as "Four of a Kind".

Then you can change the tests.

Allright.

```
TestList [show HighCard ~?= "High Card",
show Pair ~?= "Pair",
show TwoPairs ~?= "Two Pairs",
show ThreeOfAKind ~?= "Three of a Kind",
show Straight ~?= "Straight",
show Flush ~?= "Flush",
show FullHouse ~?= "Full House",
show FourOfAKind ~?= "Four of a Kind",
show StraightFlush ~?= "Straight Flush"]
```

■ Data constructor like *HigCard* or Pair are really functions. And we cannot make a function Showable.

■ This provokes an error:

```
No instance for (Show ([Card] -> Hand))
```

What should we do then?

Create a data type for these values:

```
data Ranking = HighCard
| Pair
| TwoPairs
| ThreeOfAKind
| Straight
| Flush
| FullHouse
| FourOfAKind
| StraightFlush
deriving (Ord,Eq)
```

■ This is only the first step.

Indeed. Now we have *multiple declarations* errors: each value is declared in both Hand type and Ranking type.

We don't need any more to have them in the Hand type.

```
data Hand = H Ranking [Card]
deriving (Ord,Eq)
```

■ Now creating a Hand is done with the data constructor H, followed by a Ranking and a list of Cards.

Of course this is only the second step.

The ranking function is broken:

```
Couldn't match expected type '[Card] -> Hand' against inferred type 'Ranking'
In the expression: FourOfAKind [a, b, c, d, ....]
```

■ To fix this, we need to use H, the new data constructor:

```
ranking :: [[Card]] \rightarrow Hand

ranking [[a,b,c,d],[e]] = H FourOfAKind [a,b,c,d,e]

ranking [[a,b,c],[d,e]] = H FullHouse [a,b,c,d,e]

ranking [[a,b,c],[d],[e]]= H ThreeOfAKind [a,b,c,d,e]

ranking [[a,b],[c,d],[e]]= H TwoPairs [a,b,c,d,e]

ranking [[a,b],[c],[d],[e]] = H Pair [a,b,c,d,e]

ranking [[a],[b],[c],[d],[e]]= H HighCard [a,b,c,d,e]
```

We have the same error in functions promoteStraight and promoteFlush.

■ We'll apply the same fix:

```
promoteStraight :: Hand → Hand
promoteStraight (H HighCard [a,b,c,d,e])
| value a - value e == 4 = H Straight [a,b,c,d,e]
promoteStraight (H HighCard [a,b,c,d,e])
| value a == 14 && value b == 5 = H Straight [b,c,d,e,a]
promoteStraight h = h

promoteFlush :: Hand → Hand
promoteFlush (H HighCard cs) | flush cs = H Flush cs
promoteFlush (H Straight cs) | flush cs = H
StraightFlush cs
promoteFlush h = h
```

Yes:

```
Couldn't match expected type 'Hand'
   against inferred type 'Ranking'
In the pattern: HighCard _
In the definition of 'showRanking':
   showRanking (HighCard _) = "High Card"
```

Yes, *showRanking* is not correct any more. First we have to declare Ranking to be an instance of the class Show. Then we have to override the show function for Ranking values.

```
instance (Show) Ranking
   where
     show HighCard
                       = "High Card"
                       = "Pair"
     show Pair
                       = "Two Pairs"
     show TwoPairs
     show ThreeOfAKind = "Three of a Kind"
     show Straight
                       = "Straight"
     show Flush
                       = "Flush"
     show FullHouse = "Full House"
     show FourOfAKind = "Four of a Kind"
     show StraightFlush = "Straight Flush"
```

■ And we're done.

Now that the tests are passing, we should refactor the code.

You are right. Let's begin with the ranking function:

```
ranking :: [[Card]] \rightarrow Hand
ranking [[a,b,c,d],[e]] = H FourOfAKind [a,b,c,d,e]
ranking [[a,b,c],[d,e]] = H FullHouse [a,b,c,d,e]
ranking [[a,b,c],[d],[e]] = H ThreeOfAKind [a,b,c,d,e]
ranking [[a,b],[c,d],[e]] = H TwoPairs [a,b,c,d,e]
ranking [[a,b],[c],[d],[e]] = H Pair [a,b,c,d,e]
ranking [[a],[b],[c],[d],[e]] = H HighCard [a,b,c,d,e]
```

We should change its name, because a function called *ranking* should be about extracting the Ranking value from a Hand.

I agree.

What would be a good name for a function that ranks a list of cards?

That would be rank:

```
hand :: String → Hand
hand =
           cards
      >>. rSortBy (comparing value)
      >>. groupBy (same value)
      >>. rSortBy (comparing length)
      >>. rank
      >>. promoteStraight
      >>. promoteFlush
rank :: [[Card]] → Hand
rank [[ a,b,c,d ],[ e]]
                           = H FourOfAKind [a,b,c,d,e]
rank [[ a,b,c ],[ d,e]]
                           = H FullHouse [a,b,c,d,e]
                           = H ThreeOfAKind [a,b,c,d,e]
rank [[ a,b,c ],[ d ],[ e ]]
                           = H TwoPairs [a,b,c,d,e]
rank [[a,b],[c,d],[e]]
                          = H Pair
rank [[a,b],[c],[d],[e]]
                                        [a,b,c,d,e]
rank [[a],[b],[c],[d],[e]] = H HighCard [a,b,c,d,e]
```

There.

Something is bothering me: the rank is not DRY.

Yes. Since we list the cards along with every Ranking value, we can do that once in the main body of the function, and calculate the ranking in an auxiliary function. Thus we are separating concerns.

```
rank :: [[Card]] \rightarrow Hand
rank gs = H (calcRank gs) (concat gs)
   where calcRank [[_,_,_,],_] = FourOfAKind
         calcRank [[_,_,_],_]
                                 = FullHouse
         calcRank[[\_,\_,],\_,\_] = ThreeOfAKind
         calcRank [[_,_],[_,_], = TwoPairs
         calcRank [[_,_],_,_,] = Pair
         calcRank [_,_,_,_]
                                 = HighCard
```

■ As you probably know, concat concatenates several lists into one.

Ok. Here's the test code:

```
module Tests
where
import Test. HUnit
import PokerHand
import Data.Ord (comparing)
import Data.List (sort,sortBy)
ud = words "A* 2* T* K* 9* Q* J*"
sd = words "2* 9* T* J* Q* K* A*"
main = runTestTT $ TestList
      [sortBy (comparing card) ud ~?= sd
       , map suit (cards "A♣ A♦ A♥ A♠") ~?= ['♣','♦','♥
            ',' ♠']
       , flush (cards "A * T * 3 * 4 * 2 *") ~?= True
       , flush (cards "A♠ T♣ 3♣ 4♣ 2♣") ~?= False
       , flush (cards "A♠ T♠ 3♠ 4♠ 2♠") ~?= True
       ,"6♣ 4♦ A♣ 3♠ K♠" 'beat' "8♥ J♥ 7♦ 5♥ 6♣"
       "5♥ 2♦ 3♥ 4♦ 2♥" 'beat' "A♥ K♥ Q♦ J♦ 9♥"
       "5♥ 4♦ 3♥ 2♦ 3♣" 'beat' "A♥ K♥ Q♦ J♦ 9♥"
       "5♥ 4♦ 3♥ 3♣ 2♥" 'beat' "7♦ 5♥ 3♦ 2♠ 2♦"
       ,"2♦ 2& 3& 3♦ 4♥" 'beat' "A♥ A♠ K& Q♦ J♠"
        "2♦ 2$ 2$ 3♥ 4♦" 'beat' "A♥ A$ K$ K♦ J$"
       ,"2♦ 2♦ 2♥ 2♣ 3♦" 'beat' "A♥ A♦ A♠ K♥ K♠"
       ,"6♦ 5♦ 4$ 3♦ 2♥" 'beat' "A$ A♥ A♦ K$ Q$"
       "5♦ 4♦ 3♣ 2♦ A♥" 'beat' "A♣ A♥ A♦ K♣ Q♠"
       "6♥ 4♥ 3♥ 2♥ A♥" 'beat' "A♠ K♣ Q♥ J♠ T♦"
       ,"5♥ 4♥ 3♥ 2♥ A♥" 'beat' "A♦ A♠ A♥ A♠ K♥"
       ,"6♥ 5♥ 4♥ 3♥ 2♥" 'beat' "A♦ A♦ A♥ A♦ K♥"
       , TestList [show HighCard ~?= "",
                 show Pair ~?= "Pair",
                 show TwoPairs ~?= "Two Pairs",
                 show ThreeOfAKind ~?= "Three of a
                      Kind",
                 show Straight ~?= "Straight",
                 show Flush ~?= "Flush",
                 show FullHouse ~?= "Full House",
                 show FourOfAKind ~?= "Four of a Kind
                 show StraightFlush ~?= "Straight Flush
   where beat h g = \text{comparing } hand h g \sim ?= GT
```

And here's the tested code:

```
module PokerHand
where
import Char
import Data.Ord
import Data.List
data Card = C { value :: Value, suit :: Suit }
           deriving (Ord, Eq)
type Value = Int
type Suit = Char
data Hand = H Ranking [Card]
           deriving (Ord, Eq)
data Ranking = HighCard
            | Pair
            | TwoPairs
            | ThreeOfAKind
            | Straight
            | Flush
            | FullHouse
            | FourOfAKind
            | StraightFlush
           deriving (Ord, Eq)
instance (Show) Ranking
   where
     show Pair
                       = "Pair"
     show TwoPairs
                      = "Two Pairs"
     show ThreeOfAKind = "Three of a Kind"
     show Straight
                      = "Straight"
                       = "Flush"
     show Flush
     show FullHouse = "Full House"
     show FourOfAKind = "Four of a Kind"
     show StraightFlush = "Straight Flush"
     show _ = ""
```

```
card :: String → Card
card[v,s] = C(toValuev) s
      to Value 'A' = 14
      to Value 'K' = 13
      to Value 'Q' = 12
      to Value 'J' = 11
      to Value 'T' = 10
      toValue \ c = ((ord \ c) - (ord \ '0'))
same :: (Eq a) => (t \rightarrow a) \rightarrow t \rightarrow t \rightarrow Bool
same fab = fa == fb
flush :: [Card] → Bool
flush(c:cs) = all(same suit c) cs
rSortBy :: (Ord a) => (a \rightarrow a \rightarrow Ordering) \rightarrow [a] \rightarrow [a]
rSortBy f = sortBy (flip f)
(>>.) :: (a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow (b \rightarrow c) \rightarrow (a \rightarrow c)
(>>.) = flip (.)
hand :: String → Hand
hand =
           cards
       >>. rSortBy (comparing value)
       >>. groupBy (same value)
       >>. rSortBy (comparing length)
       >>. rank
       >>. promoteStraight
       >>. promoteFlush
rank :: [[Card]] \rightarrow Hand
rank gs = H (calcRank gs) (concat gs)
    where calcRank[[\_,\_,\_],\_] = FourOfAKind
          calcRank [[\_,\_,\_],\_] = FullHouse

calcRank [[\_,\_,\_],\_] = ThreeOfAKind
           calcRank[[\_,\_],[\_,\_],\_] = TwoPairs
           calcRank [[_,_],_,_,_] = Pair
           calcRank [_,_,_,_]
                                      = HighCard
cards :: String \rightarrow [Card]
cards = map \ card \ . \ words
promoteStraight :: Hand → Hand
promoteStraight (H r [a,b,c,d,e])
    | value a - value e == 4 =
        H Straight [a,b,c,d,e]
promoteStraight (H HighCard [a,b,c,d,e])
    | value a == 14 && value b == 5 =
        H Straight [b,c,d,e,a]
promoteStraight h = h
promoteFlush :: Hand \rightarrow Hand
promoteFlush (H HighCard cs)
    | flush cs = H Flush cs
promoteFlush (H Straight cs)
    | flush cs = H StraightFlush cs
promoteFlush h = h
```