Poker Hand

by ToF

October 30, 2011

λλλ

1 We have a problem...

What is this: "8♥"?	A String.
Yes. What does it represent?	An eight of hearts, or 8♥.
What does "7* 6* 9*" represent?	Some others cards: 7♣, 6♦, and 9♠.
Right. And what does "A♥ K ♥Q♥ J♥ T♥" represent?	It represents victory: it's a royal flush.
What is the best we can do with the following: 4♦ 2♦ K♠ K♦ 9♦ 3♣ 6♦?	A flush.
And with 9♣ A♥ K♦ K♦ 9♦ 3 ♣ 6♦ ?	Two pairs.
Correct. And with A♣ Q♣ K♠ K♦ 9♦ 3♣?	Nothing, because there are less than seven cards.
And with 9♥ 5♠?	Nothing, for the same reason.
That's right. What about K♣ 9♠ K♠ K♦ 9♦ 3♣ 6♦?	It's a full house. Say, why are you showing me all these cards?
Because we have a problem, and I wanted to be sure you know the basics about <i>Poker</i> .	Show me what the problem is.

```
We have to write a program wich, given this input:
```

```
K* 9* K* K* 9* 3* 6*
9* A* K* K* 9* 3* 6*
A* Q* K* K* 9* 3*
9* 5*
4* 2* K* K* 9* 3* 6*
```

These are the cards of some players in a game of *Texas Hold'em*. Right?

4	
- rightwould output this: K* 9* K* K* 9* 3* 6* Full House (winner) 9* A* K* K* 9* 3* 6* Two Pair A* Q* K* K* 9* 3* 9* 5* 4* 2* K* K* 9* 3* 6* Flush 7* T* K* K* 9*	I see.
What do you see?	Some lines are just left as they are. Some lines are marked with the ranking of the best possible hand given the cards on the line. The line with the best ranking is marked as the winner.
Do you think we can solve the problem?	Yes, provided we have the good tools.
What is the value of: filter even [4,8,0,7]?	[4,8,0]
What is the value of: subsequences "abc"?	["","a","b","ab","c","ac","bc","abc"]
And of the expression: maximum [4,8,0,7]?	8
What about: zip [3,5,2] "abc"?	[(3,'a'),(5,'b'),(2,'c')]
and the expression: zipWith (*) [3,5,2] [4,9,7]?	[12, 45, 14]
What is the value of: words "time flies like an arrow"?	["time","flies","like","an","arrow"]
What is the value of: compare "time" "arrow"?	GT, because "time"> "arrow"
What is the value of: comparing length "time" "arrow"?	LT, because (length "time") < (length "arrow)"
Do you want to solve the problem?	Let's make some tea first.

2 Dealing with Cards

What is something simple we could begin to solve?	Comparing cards.
How do we proceed?	Write a failing test.
Ok. Let compare a 6* and a 6*. These two cards should be considered equals in value. module Tests where import Test.HUnit main = runTestTT \$ TestList [compare "6*" "6*" ~?= EQ] What is the result?	■ The result is failure: expected: EQ but got: LT But it's not a big matter, since we're comparing Strings when we should compare Cards.
What is a Card?	It's a new data type.
How do I create values of this type?	Pretend you have a function from String to Card.
Ok. I'll just call that function <i>card</i> :	■ Error, as expected. Let me just write the function.
main = runTestTT \$ TestList [compare (card "6*") (card "6*") ~?= EQ]	module PokerHand where card :: String → Card
What now?	

■ This results in two errors:

OK, this is the Card type:

```
data Card = C
```

The type signature for 'card' lacks an accompanying binding

Not in scope: type constructor or class 'Card'

It has just a single value, C. And we implement the function

```
card :: String → Card
card_{-} = C
```

Can you write provide the missing parts?

which is just producing the single value.

Now we have another error:

No instance for (Ord Card) arising from a use of 'compare' Possible fix: add an instance declaration

for (Ord Card)

Should we make the suggested fix?

■ Sure:

```
data Card = C deriving (Ord)
```

```
card :: String → Card
card_= C
```

■ Now we have this:

No instance for (Eq Card) arising from a use of 'compare' Possible fix: add an instance declaration

for (Eq Card)

■ Again, let's do what the compiler suggests

```
data Card = C deriving (Ord, Eq)
\textit{card} :: \textbf{String} \to \textbf{Card}
card_{-} = C
```

And the test passes.

Of course, this is just a fake implementation of the function card.

Then write another test.

Here you go:

main = runTestTT \$ TestList [compare (card "6♣") (card "6♠") ~?= EQ ,compare (card "6♣") (card "5♠") ~?= GT] ■ We have to compare the rank values of the cards, so we should store this value in the Card type:

```
data Card = C Value deriving (Ord, Eq)
type Value = Int
card :: String → Card
card_{-} = C 0
```

How do we make it pass?

- Of course, the test now fails, as we must calculate the real value instead of returning zero. Let's think..
- Just make the test pass. I don't like having to think on a red bar.
- Let's play "fake it 'til you make it" then:

```
card :: String → Card
card ['6', ] = C6
card['5',] = C5
```

■ Now it's obvious.

2 DEALING WITH CARDS

6

■ Indeed, just convert from Char to Int, using the ord function. Do it.

Ok!

```
module PokerHand where import Char data Card = C Value deriving (Ord,Eq) type Value = Int card :: String \rightarrow Card card [c,] = C $ (ord c) - (ord '0')
```

Done.

Done? I think I have a new test to write. But first I'll do some refactoring, too.

```
main = runTestTT $ TestList
[compare (card "6*") (card "6*") ~?= EQ
,compare (card "6*") (card "5*") ~?= GT]
```

You know about comparing right?

Yes, so I can compare Strings using the card function:

```
import Data.Ord (comparing)

main = runTestTT $ TestList
[comparing card "6*" "6*" ~?= EQ
,comparing card "6*" "5*" ~?= GT]
```

Yes, and so does GHCI:

```
comparing :: (Ord a)=>(b \rightarrow a)\rightarrow b \rightarrow b \rightarrow Ordering —Defined in Data.Ord
```

comparing takes a function from a type *b* to an ordered type *a*, two values of type *b* and gives the comparison using the given function.

■ Nice!

Now for my new test:

```
main = runTestTT $ TestList
[comparing card "6*" "6*" ~?= EQ
,comparing card "6*" "5*" ~?= GT
,comparing card "T*" "J*" ~?= LT]
```

■ We're expecting LT but get GT. Can you make it pass?

■ Sure:

```
card :: String \rightarrow Card

card ['J,_] = C 11

card ['T,_] = C 10

card [c,_] = C $ (ord c) - (ord '0')
```

■ We just have to add special cases.

Good. Here's a new one:

```
main = runTestTT $ TestList
[comparing card "6*" "6*" ~?= EQ
,comparing card "6*" "5*" ~?= GT
,comparing card "T*" "J*" ~?= LT
,comparing card "K*" "A*" ~?= LT]
```

Ok.

```
card:: String \rightarrow Card

card ['A',_] = C 14

card ['K',_] = C 13

card ['J,_] = C 11

card ['T,_] = C 10

card [c,_] = C $ (ord c) - (ord '0')
```

■ That's easy: give each card its value.

We forgot the Queen value:

```
main = runTestTT $ TestList

[comparing card "6*" "6*" ~?= EQ
,comparing card "6*" "5*" ~?= GT
,comparing card "T*" "J*" ~?= LT
,comparing card "K*" "A*" ~?= LT
,comparing card "Q*" "K*" ~?= LT
```

Sure:

```
card :: String → Card

card ['A',_] = C 14

card ['K',_] = C 13

card ['Q',_] = C 12

card ['J',_] = C 11

card ['T',_] = C 10

card [c,_] = C $ (ord c) - (ord '0')
```

Can you add it?

We are, but these tests are a bit heavy. Can you think of a way to avoid repeating all these comparisons?

Yes: we could test the sorting of a deck.

■ And we are done with card values.

Yes, but we have a new problem.

Is that what you mean?

■ Indeed:

No instance for (Show Card) arising from a use of '~?='

Possible fix: add an instance declaration for (Show Card)

Should we follow the suggestion?

No. I don't think the Card type should derive the Show class just for testing reasons.

Then should we get back to the previous version of our tests?

I have a better idea: instead of comparing lists of Cards we can compare lists of Strings.

■ Comparing the Strings? Ok:

```
ud = ["A*","2*","T*","K*","9*","Q*","J*"]
sd = ["2*","9*","T*","J*","Q*","K*","A*"]
main = runTestTT $ TestList
[sort ud ~?= sd]
```

■ But now the test fails:

```
expected: ["2*","9*","T*","J*","Q*","K*","A*"]
but got: ["2*","9*","A*","J*","K*","Q*","T*"]
```

Do you see why?

■ Of course: we don't use Cards any more! We should compare the Strings using the *card* function. The function

```
sortBy :: (a \rightarrow a \rightarrow \text{Ordering}) \rightarrow [a] \rightarrow [a]
```

allows us to do that.

You mean like this:

Yes!

I wonder what would the test show if it failed. Let's falsify it:

I just changed the first value of the unsorted desk.

■ Here is what the message says:

```
expected: ["2*","9*","T*","J*","Q*","K*","A*"] but got: ["2*","3*","9*","T*","J*","Q*","K*"]
```

The test properly outputs the results as a list of Strings. You can un-falsify the test now.

Yes.

Oh, and using words for the definition of our decks would make the code prettier.

You are right. So this is the test code:

```
module Tests
where
import Test.HUnit
import PokerHand
import Data.Ord (comparing)
import Data.List (sortBy)

ud = words "A* 2* T* K* 9* Q* J*"
sd = words "2* 9* T* J* Q* K* A*"

main = runTestTT $ TestList
[sortBy (comparing card) ud ~?= sd]
```

■ And this is the tested code:

```
module PokerHand where import Char

data Card = C Value deriving (Ord,Eq) type Value = Int

card :: String \rightarrow Card
card ['A', ] = C 14
card ['K', ] = C 13
card ['Q', ] = C 12
card ['J', ] = C 11
card ['T', ] = C 10
card [c, ] = C $ (ord c) - (ord '0')
```

Are we done with comparing Cards?

Not yet, but it's time for a break.

3 Looking for a Flush

What is the next task with regard to card comparison?

We need to compare suits so that we can find a *flush*.

Ok I'll write a test:

Let's write a function flush

```
flush :: [Card] → Bool
flush _ = True
```

Done.

I see. Still the fake it 'til you make it approach.

This is the simplest thing that makes the test pass.

Ok. Here is another test:

```
main = runTestTT $ TestList

[sortBy (comparing card) ud ~?= sd
,flush (cards "A* T* 3* 4* 2*") ~?= True
,flush (cards "A* T* 3* 4* 2*") ~?= False ]
where cards = map card . words
```

■ I don't think so.

Can you make it pass?

What is missing?

The Card type doesn't include suits.

How can we change that ?

Add a failing test on getting Suits from Cards.

Ok, then I'll replace my last test with this one:

Can you make this one pass?

First we need a *suit* function:

```
type Suit = Char

suit :: Card → Suit
suit _ = '♣'
```

■ Now the test is failing.

What else is needed?

■ We must store the suit into to the Card type:

```
data Card = C Value Suit deriving (Ord,Eq)
```

And then we have to capture the suit in the *card* function:

```
card :: String \rightarrow Card
card ['A',s] = C 14 s
card ['K',s] = C 13 s
card ['O',s] = C 12 s
card ['J',s] = C 11 s
card ['T',s] = C 10 s
card [c,s] = C ((ord c) - (ord '0')) s
```

■ The code in the *card* function is a bit tedious, don't you think?

■ I'll refactor it when the bar is green. I still have to remove the *fake* on *suit*:

```
suit :: Card \rightarrow Suit

suit (C_s) = s
```

■ And now we can get Suits from Cards.

Good. Refactor the code, now.

■ Allright. First I can discard the *suit* function by declaring labels:

```
data Card = C { value :: Value, suit :: Suit }
deriving (Ord,Eq)
```

Then I can separate concerns in the card function:

```
card :: String \rightarrow Card
card [v,s] = C (toValue v) s

where

toValue 'A' = 14

toValue 'K' = 13

toValue 'Q' = 12

toValue 'J' = 11

toValue 'T = 10

toValue c = ((ord c) - (ord '0'))
```

Done.

Can I add my test on flush now?

Yes.

Here it is:

```
\label{eq:main} \begin{split} \textit{main} &= \textit{runTestTT} \ \$ \ \textit{TestList} \\ &= [\textit{sortBy} \ (\textit{comparing} \ \textit{card}) \ \textit{ud} \ \sim?= \textit{sd} \\ &\quad , \textit{flush} \ (\textit{cards} \ "A \clubsuit \ T \clubsuit \ 3 \clubsuit \ 4 \clubsuit \ 2 \clubsuit") \ \sim?= \ True \\ &\quad , \textit{map} \ \textit{suit} \ (\textit{cards} \ "A \clubsuit \ A \spadesuit \ A \clubsuit") \ \sim?= \ ['\clubsuit',' \spadesuit',' \clubsuit',' \clubsuit'] \\ &\quad , \textit{flush} \ (\textit{cards} \ "A \spadesuit \ T \clubsuit \ 3 \clubsuit \ 4 \clubsuit \ 2 \clubsuit") \ \sim?= \ False] \\ &\quad \  \text{where} \ \textit{cards} = \ \text{map} \ \textit{card} \ . \ \text{words} \end{split}
```

■ Sure:

```
flush :: [Card] \rightarrow Bool
flush (c:_) = suit c == '*
```

Do you see how to make it pass?

■ As you see, it's a *fake*.

In that case, I'll add a new test:

```
main = runTestTT $ TestList
[sortBy (comparing card) ud ~?= sd
,flush (cards "A♣ T♣ 3♣ 4♣ 2♣") ~?= True
,map suit (cards "A♣ A♦ A♥ A♠") ~?= ['♣','♠','♣','♠']
,flush (cards "A♠ T♣ 3♣ 4♣ 2♣") ~?= False
,flush (cards "A♠ T♣ 3♠ 4♠ 2♠") ~?= True]
where cards = map card . words
```

■ Ok. I think I can take a more general approach:

```
flush :: [Card] \rightarrow Bool
flush (c:cs) = all (\x \rightarrow suit x == suit c) cs
```

Of course, we're assuming that the *flush* function will always consume non-empty lists.

Ok. This are the tests so far:

```
module Tests
where
import Test.HUnit
import PokerHand
import Data.Ord (comparing)
import Data.List (sort,sortBy)

ud = words "A* 2* T* K* 9* Q* J*"
sd = words "2* 9* T* J* Q* K* A*"

main = runTestTT $ TestList

[sortBy (comparing card) ud ~?= sd
,map suit (cards "A* A* A* A*) ~?= ['*,'*,'*,'*']
,flush (cards "A* T* 3* 4* 2*") ~?= True
,flush (cards "A* T* 3* 4* 2*") ~?= False
,flush (cards "A* T* 3* 4* 2*") ~?= True]
where cards = map card . words
```

And this is the tested code:

```
module PokerHand
where
import Char
data Card = C { value :: Value, suit :: Suit }
             deriving (Ord, Eq)
type Value = Int
type Suit = Char
card :: String → Card
card[v,s] = C(toValue v) s
    where
      to Value 'A' = 14
      to Value 'K' = 13
      to Value 'Q' = 12
      toValue'J' = 11
      to Value 'T' = 10
      toValue\ c = ((ord\ c) - (ord\ '0'))
flush :: [Card] → Bool
flush (c:cs) = all (x \rightarrow suit x == suit c) cs
```

Are we done with comparing cards?

I think so. Let's have lunch.

4 "PAIR" PROGRAMMING 12

4 "Pair" Programming

Now that we have suitable tools to compare cards, what should we do?

Compare hands.

How do we form a Hand?

We'll write a function:

type Hand = [Card]
hand :: String → Hand

Good. But we should write a test before writing code.

Go on.

What is the simplest hand comparison we could write a test for?

Let's try comparing simple "High Cards" hands.

Ok. Here is a new test:

This last test is a bit long.

```
main = runTestTT $ TestList
        [sortBy (comparing card) ud ~?= sd
        ,map suit (cards "A♣ A♠ A♠ A♠") ~?= ['♣','♠','♥','♠']
        ,flush (cards "A♣ T♣ 3♣ 4♣ 2♣") ~?= True
        ,flush (cards "A♠ T♣ 3♣ 4♣ 2♣") ~?= False
        ,flush (cards "A♠ T♠ 3♣ 4♣ 2♠") ~?= True
        ,comparing hand "6♣ 4♠ A♣ 3♠ K♠" "8♠ J♥ 7♠ 5♥ 6
        ♣" ~?= GT]
    where cards = map card . words
```

Ok, let's rephrase it this way:

■ OK. We need to create the *hand* function. But first I will borrow your *cards* utility function.

Sure, take it to your side.

Thanks

```
cards :: String \rightarrow [Cards]

cards = map \ card \ . \ words
```

In fact forming a hand is just making Cards from Strings and sorting them:

```
hand :: String → Hand
hand = sort . cards
```

■ Except we get LT instead of GT.

Of course: we're sorting in the wrong order. How can we change the sorting order?

We can use sortBy and a give it the proper comparison function.

Given what *GHCI* tells us about sort, sortBy and compare:

```
compare:
:type sort
sort :: (Ord a) => [a] -> [a]
:type sortBy
```

sortBy :: (a -> a -> Ordering) -> [a] -> [a] :type compare

compare :: (Ord a) => a -> a -> Ordering

We know that sortBy compare is equivalent to sort. How can we reverse the result given by compare?

By flipping its arguments. flip f a b is equivalent to f b a. Thus:

```
hand :: String → Hand
hand = sortBy (flip compare) . cards
```

will do the trick.

Ok. What is the next hand that can beat a High Card?

A Pair.

Then I'll write this test:

```
,"5 \checkmark 2 \land 4 \land 3 \lor 2 \lor" 'beat' "A \lor K \lor Q \land J \land 9 \lor"] where beat h g = comparing hand h g  \sim ?= GT
```

Meaning: the lowest *Pair* should beat the highest *High Card*.

■ The test fails. We have to detect that the hand is a pair, and use that information to trump the usual card comparison.

How do we do that?

■ We declare that, within the Hand type, a *Pair* is always greater than a *High Card*.

How do we order values within a type?

■ We declare it as an algebraic type, saying we either have a *HighCard* followed by a list of Cards, or a *Pair*:

```
data Hand = HighCard [Card]
| Pair
deriving (Ord,Eq)
```

Of course, now the implementation of *hand* doesn't yield a correct Hand value.

The compiler says:

Couldn't match expected type 'Hand'
 against inferred type '[Card]'
In the expression:
 sortBy (flip compare) . cards
In the definition of 'hand':
 hand = sortBy (flip compare) . cards

Can you arrange this?

Yes. Let's begin by forcing the function to a *HighCard* value:

```
hand :: String → Hand
hand = HighCard . sortBy (flip compare) . cards
```

■ and we're back with a failing test instead of a compiler error.

Can you *fake* the correct construction that would make the test pass?

Yes. Let's just insert a special case:

```
hand :: String \rightarrow Hand
hand "5\checkmark 2\checkmark 4\checkmark 3\checkmark 2\checkmark" = Pair
hand s = HighCard $ sortBy (flip compare) $ cards s
```

■ And the test is passing, because given the declaration of Hand, *Pair* is a higher Hand value thant *HighCard*.

Now we need to triangulate, so I'm adding a new test about a *Pair* beating a *High Card*:

```
,"5♥ 2♦ 3♥ 4♦ 2♥" 'beat' "A♥ K♥ Q♦ J♦ T♥"
,"5♥ 4♦ 3♥ 2♦ 3&" 'beat' "A♥ K♥ Q♦ J♦ T♥"
```

■ I'll aggravate my *fake* with a new pattern:

```
hand :: String \rightarrow Hand
hand "5\checkmark 2\checkmark 3\checkmark 4\checkmark 2\checkmark" = Pair
hand "5\checkmark 4\checkmark 3\checkmark 2\checkmark 3\checkmark" = Pair
hand s = HighCard $ sortBy (flip compare) $ cards s
```

And now we have to think.

How can we get rid of these fake implementations?

By writing a function from String to Bool that detects a *Pair*.

If you had this function, what would the *hand* function look like?

It would look like this:

```
hand :: String → Hand
hand s | hasAPair s = Pair
hand s = HighCard $ sortBy (flip compare) $ cards s
```

■ The code is broken, now.

Can you write the function hasAPair?

Yes:

```
hasAPair :: String → Bool
hasAPair "5♥ 2♦ 3♥ 4♦ 2♥" = True
hasAPair "5♥ 4♦ 3♥ 2♦ 3♣" = True
hasAPair _ = False
```

Done.

There's a bit of noise in these patterns. Do we really need to deal with Strings?

■ No, we can match patterns on the card Values:

```
hand :: String \rightarrow Hand
hand s | hasAPair $ map value $ cards s = Pair
hand s = HighCard $ sortBy (flip compare) $ cards s
hasAPair :: [Value] \rightarrow Bool
hasAPair [5,2,3,4,2] = True
hasAPair [5,4,3,2,3] = True
hasAPair _ = False
```

Would it help if we sorted the values?

■ That would clarify the patterns, so let's do it:

```
hand :: String \rightarrow Hand
hand s | hasAPair $ sort $ map value $ cards s = Pair
hand s = HighCard $ sortBy (flip compare) $ cards s
hasAPair :: [Value] \rightarrow Bool
hasAPair [2,2,3,4,5] = True
hasAPair [2,3,3,4,5] = True
hasAPair _ = False
```

Do you see something common between the first two patterns of *hasAPair*?

Apart from the fact they both end with 3,4,5], no.

Can you group the values after sorting them?

■ Ok. We have to change the signature for the function.

```
hand :: String → Hand
hand s | hasAPair $ group $ sort $ map value $ cards s =
    Pair
hand s = HighCard $ sortBy (flip compare) $ cards s

hasAPair :: [[Value]] → Bool
hasAPair [[2,2],[3],[4],[5]] = True
hasAPair _ = False
```

■ Oh. Now I see something.

What do you see?

Each list contains four groups. So that would be a way to detect any *Pair*!

How would write the function, then?

Like this:

```
hasAPair :: [[Value]] → Bool
hasAPair gs = length gs == 4
```

■ The code is still quite messy, though.

How can we refactor?

First, factorize parts of the expression, like cards s

```
hand :: String → Hand
hand s | hasAPair $ group $ sort $ map value $ cs = Pair
hand s = HighCard $ sortBy (flip compare) $ cs
where cs = cards s
```

Oops. That doesn't work

The compiler says:

Ok. Let's go back to green.

```
Not in scope: 'cs'
```

hand :: String → Hand
hand s | hasAPair \$ group \$ sort \$ map value \$ cs = Pair
where cs = cards s
hand s = HighCard \$ sortBy (flip compare) \$ cs
where cs = cards s

Your cs variable should be declared for the first pattern too.

Now we can continue to refactor.

How can you write only one pattern in this function?

■ By using an if:

```
hand :: String → Hand
hand s = if hasAPair $ group $ sort $ map value $ cs then
Pair
else HighCard $ sortBy (flip compare) $ cs
where cs = cards s
```

Now, add legibility.

■ Let's have more auxiliary functions, and bring hasAPair where it belongs:

```
hand :: String \rightarrow Hand
hand s = if hasAPair (groups cs) then Pair
else HighCard \$ sortBy (flip compare) \$ cs
where cs = cards s
groups = group . sort . map value
hasAPair gs = length gs == 4
```

In this function, we sort the cards twice. Would the grouping still work if it used sortBy (flip compare) instead of sort?

Let's ask the code:

■ Yes, the criteria of having four groups still holds, whatever the order in which sort the cards.

So we can factorize the sorting.

■ Right. Now cs represent the sorted cards:

```
hand :: String → Hand
hand s = if hasAPair (groups cs) then Pair
else HighCard cs
where cs = sortBy (flip compare) $ cards s
groups = group . map value
hasAPair gs = length gs == 4
```

■ But, this code is still too long.

Maybe we can get rid of hasAPair

Let's try:

```
hand :: String \rightarrow Hand
hand s = case length $ groups cs of
4 \rightarrow Pair
5 \rightarrow HighCard \ cs
where cs = sortBy (flip compare) $ cards s
groups = group . map value
```

■ Right.

And harmonize variable names, like gs instead of groups...

■ You mean like this:

```
hand :: String \rightarrow Hand
hand s = case length gs of
4 \rightarrow Pair
5 \rightarrow HighCard cs
where cs = sortBy (flip compare) $ cards s
gs = group $ map value $ cs
```

■ Yeah, that's a bit clearer.

Can you add symmetry? Using groupBy instead of group and map.

Sure:

```
hand :: String \rightarrow Hand
hand s = case length gs of
4 \rightarrow Pair
5 \rightarrow HighCard\ cs
where cs = sortBy (flip compare) $ cards s
gs = groupBy (same value) cs
same\ f\ a\ b = f\ a == f\ b
```

■ That's even clearer.

Hey, that *same* function is interesting. Do you see where we met a case for it before?

No.

Look at the *flush* function.

Here it is:

```
flush :: [Card] \rightarrow Bool
flush (c:cs) = all (\x \rightarrow suit x == suit c) cs
```

Can you use something similar to the function *same* here?

Let's try:

```
same :: (Eq a) => (t \to a) \to t \to t \to Bool
same f a b = f a == f b

flush :: [Card] \to Bool
flush (c:cs) = all (\x \to same suit c x) cs
```

■ You are right.

Simplify, then!

Ok.

```
flush :: [Card] → Bool

flush (c:cs) = all (same suit c) cs

hand :: String → Hand

hand s = case length gs of

4 \rightarrow Pair

5 \rightarrow HighCard cs

where cs = sortBy (flip compare) $ cards s

gs = groupBy (same value) cs
```

Ok. Here's is the test code:

```
■ And this is the tested code: first the type declarations:
```

```
module Tests
where
import Test.HUnit
import PokerHand
import Data.Ord (comparing)
import Data.List (sort,sortBy)
ud = words "A * 2 * T * K * 9 * Q * J * "
sd = words "2* 9* T* J* Q* K* A*"
main = runTestTT $ TestList
       [sortBy (comparing card) ud ~?= sd
       ,map suit (cards "A♣ A♦ A♥ A♠") ~?= ['♣','♦','♥','♠']
       ,flush (cards "A* T* 3* 4* 2*") ~?= True
       ,flush (cards "A♠ T♣ 3♣ 4♣ 2♣") ~?= False
       ,flush (cards "A♠ T♠ 3♠ 4♠ 2♠") ~?= True
       ,"6♣ 4♦ A♣ 3♠ K♠" 'beat' "8♥ J♥ 7♦ 5♥ 6♣"
       ,"5♥ 2♦ 3♥ 4♦ 2♥" 'beat' "A♥ K♥ Q♦ J♦ T♥"
       ,"5♥ 4♦ 3♥ 2♦ 3♣" 'beat' "A♥ K♥ Q♦ J♦ T♥"]
    where beat h g = \text{comparing } hand h g \sim ?= GT
```

```
module PokerHand
where
import Char
import Data.List

data Card = C { value :: Value, suit :: Suit }
deriving (Ord,Eq)
type Value = Int
type Suit = Char

data Hand = HighCard [Card] | Pair deriving (Ord,Eq)
```

What about the functions?

Here they are:

```
\textit{card} :: \textbf{String} \to \textbf{Card}
card[v,s] = C(toValue v) s
    where
       toValue'A' = 14
       to Value 'K' = 13
       toValue 'Q' = 12
       toValue 'J' = 11
       toValue'T = 10
       toValue\ c = ((ord\ c) - (ord\ '0'))
\textit{flush} :: [\textbf{Card}] \to \textbf{Bool}
flush(c:cs) = all(same suit c) cs
same :: (Eq a) => (t \rightarrow a) \rightarrow t \rightarrow t \rightarrow Bool
same f a b = f a == f b
hand :: String → Hand
hand s = case length gs of
             4 \rightarrow Pair
             5 \rightarrow HighCard\ cs
        where cs = \text{sortBy} (flip compare) \$ cards s
                gs = groupBy (same value) cs
cards :: String \rightarrow [Card]
cards = map card . words
```

What should we do now?

Have some rest!

5 Grouping Cards

So far, our hand comparisons are correct as long as we compare *High Cards* hands or compare a *High Card* to a *Pair*. What's the next step?

Comparing Pairs.

Ok. Here's a test:

```
,"5\forall 4\Rightarrow 3\forall 2\Rightarrow 3\Rightarrow" 'beat' "5\forall 2\Rightarrow 3\forall 4\Rightarrow 2\forall"] where beat h g = comparing hand h g \sim?= GT
```

The hand on the left should win, since a pair of 3 beats a pair of 2s. But the test fails, we get EQ instead of GT.

■ We can solve this by storing cards along with the *Pair* value in the Hand type:

```
data Hand = HighCard [Card]
| Pair [Card]
| deriving (Ord,Eq)
```

And we must complete the hand function, too.

Yes:

```
hand :: String \rightarrow Hand
hand s = case length gs of
4 \rightarrow Pair \ cs
5 \rightarrow HighCard \ cs
where cs = sortBy (flip compare) $ cards s
gs = groupBy (same value) cs
```

■ And now the test passes.

We have a problem, though. Can you see it?

Not yet.

Look at the hand function.

What is the value of *cs* when *s* equals "5♥4♦3♥2♦3♣"?

That's [5, 4, 3, 3, 2].

And what would be the value of cs if s was equal to [7,5,3,2,2]. Ouch. "5**♥**2**♦**3**♥**7**♦**2**♦**"? Let's write a new test: ■ I see. The value of the pair should beat the value of the remaining cards. ,"5♥ 4♦ 3♥ 3♣ 2♥" 'beat' "7♦ 5♥ 3♦ 2♠ 2♦"] and sure enough the test is failing. Do you know how to solve this? No. What is the simplest possible thing that would make Using the fake it strategy. We can arrange the cards the tests pass? according to their place in the groups list. Well, do this, then. I want to refactor the code, first. Ok I'm removing my last test ■ Thanks. Here's my refactoring: hand :: String → Hand hand s =case gs of $[_,_,_] \rightarrow \textit{Pair cs}$ $[_,_,_,_] \to \textit{HighCard cs}$ where cs = sortBy (flip compare) \$ cards s gs = groupBy (same value) cs ■ Everything is still working fine. What's the use of these patterns? ■ Describing the two cases of *Pair* that we have so far: hand :: String → Hand hand s =case gs of $[[a],[b],[c],[d,e]] \rightarrow Pair cs$ $[[a],[b],[c,d],[e]] \rightarrow \textit{Pair cs}$ $[_,_,_,_] \to \textit{HighCard cs}$ where $cs = \text{sortBy (flip compare) } \$ \ cards \ s$ gs = groupBy (same value) cs ■ Please put your last test back in the code. Here it is: ■ The a,b,c,d,e variables will be used to rearrange the Pair value. hand :: String → Hand hand s =case gs of ,"5♥ 4♦ 3♥ 3♣ 2♥" 'beat' "7♦ 5♥ 3♦ 2♠ 2♦"] $[[a],[b],[c],[d,e]] \rightarrow Pair[d,e,a,b,c]$ $[[a],[b],[c,d],[e]] \rightarrow Pair[c,d,a,b,e]$ $[_,_,_,_] \rightarrow HighCard cs$ where cs = sortBy (flip compare) \$ cards s gs = groupBy (same value) cs

■ And now the pairs are correctly compared.

■ Still failing.

Ok. What if we have pairs on the highest values? It wouldn't match our two patterns.

I told you it was a *fake*. In fact, comparing pairs would always work if we had only one pattern for pairs: [[a,b],[c],[d],[e]]

How can we ensure we always have this pattern for pairs?

By sorting the groups by size, in reverse order:

```
hand :: String \rightarrow Hand
hand s = case \ gs \ of

[[a],[b],[c],[d,e]] \rightarrow Pair \ [d,e,a,b,c]
[[a],[b],[c,d],[e]] \rightarrow Pair \ [c,d,a,b,e]
[\_,\_,\_,\_] \rightarrow HighCard \ cs
where cs = sortBy \ (flip \ compare) \ \ cards \ s
gs = sortBy \ (flip \ groupSize) \ \ \ groupBy \ (same \ value) \ cs
groupSize = comparing \ length
```

■ That's what the sortBy (flip groupSize) does. But we're still in red.

Yes, we now have non-exhaustive patterns in our three last tests.

■ Let's replace the previous pair patterns with the only remaining possible one:

```
hand :: String \rightarrow Hand
hand s = case \ gs \ of 
[[a,b],[c],[d],[e]] \rightarrow Pair \ [a,b,c,d,e]
[\_,\_,\_,\_] \rightarrow HighCard \ cs
where cs = sortBy \ (flip \ compare) \ cards \ s
gs = sortBy \ (flip \ groupSize) \ groupBy \ (
same \ value) \ cs
groupSize = comparing \ length
```

And we're back to green.

How can we make this code more legible?

We can put some symmetry into the patterns:

The function is quite long; can you split it into two parts, one for grouping cards, one for finding the ranking? ■ Sure:

```
hand :: String → Hand
hand s = ranking gs
where cs = sortBy (flip compare) $ cards s
gs = sortBy (flip groupSize) $ groupBy (same
value) cs
groupSize = comparing length

ranking :: [[Card]] → Hand
ranking [[a,b],[c],[d],[e]] = Pair [a,b,c,d,e]
ranking [[a],[b],[c],[d],[e]] = HighCard [a,b,c,d,e]
```

Done.

Then, write a clearer version of hand.

Here we go:

```
hand :: String → Hand
hand = ranking .
sortBy (flip (comparing length)) .
groupBy (same value) .
sortBy (flip compare) .
cards

ranking :: [[Card]] → Hand
ranking [[a,b],[c],[d],[e]] = Pair [a,b,c,d,e]
ranking [[a],[b],[c],[d],[e]] = HighCard [a,b,c,d,e]
```

Done.

The sortBy (flip (..)) construct is a bit complicated. Can you make the code more legible?

Yes.

```
\begin{aligned} & \textit{hand} :: \mathsf{String} \to \mathsf{Hand} \\ & \textit{hand} = \textit{ranking} . \\ & \textit{rSortBy} \ (\mathsf{comparing} \ \mathsf{length}) \ . \\ & \textit{groupBy} \ (\textit{same value}) \ . \\ & \textit{rSortBy} \ (\mathsf{comparing} \ \textit{value}) \ . \ \textit{cards} \end{aligned}& \textit{rSortBy} :: (\mathsf{Ord} \ a) \Rightarrow (a \to a \to \mathsf{Ordering}) \to [a] \to [a] \\ & \textit{rSortBy} \ f = \mathsf{sortBy} \ (\mathsf{flip} \ f) \end{aligned}
```

■ For Cards, compare and comparing *value* are equivalent, so we can use the latter form for symmetry.

The function we use for ranking is quite powerful. How easily do you think it could handle new rankings?

Write a new test, and we will see.

Allright. Here's a test saying that the lowest *Three of a Kind* can beat the highest possible *Pair*.

```
■ Let's begin by adding the pattern for Three of a Kind:
```

```
,"2+ 2* 2* 3♥ 4+" 'beat "A♥ A* K* Q+ J*"]
```

This test is in error with the following message:

non-exhaustive pattern in function ranking Can you make it pass?

```
ranking :: [[Card]] \rightarrow Hand
ranking [[a,b,c],[d],[e]] = ThreeOfAKind [a,b,c,d,e]
ranking [[a,b],[c],[d],[e]] = Pair [a,b,c,d,e]
ranking [[a],[b],[c],[d],[e]] = HighCard [a,b,c,d,e]
```

■ We're not done yet.

Here's what the error message says:

Let's insert the constructor into the Hand type:

```
data Hand = HighCard [Card]
| Pair [Card]
| ThreeOfAKind [Card]
| deriving (Ord,Eq)
```

Not in scope: data constructor 'ThreeOfAKind'

And now we can detect and compare *Three of a Kind* hands.

Great. What other ranking can we add that would use different group patterns?

Let's go for Full House and Four of a Kind.

What about Straight and Flush?

There's no new grouping involved in those. We can add them later.

Ok. Here's a test:

■ Let's begin with adding the constructors:

```
data Hand = HighCard [Card]
| Pair [Card]
| ThreeOfAKind [Card]
| FullHouse [Card]
| FourOfAKind [Card]
deriving (Ord,Eq)
```

,"2♦ 2♦ 2♥ 2♣ 3♦" 'beat' "A♥ A♦ A♠ K♥ K♠"]

■ Then we add the group patterns:

```
ranking :: [[Card]] \rightarrow Hand

ranking [[a,b,c,d],[e]] = FourOfAKind [a,b,c,d,e]

ranking [[a,b,c],[d,e]] = FullHouse [a,b,c,d,e]

ranking [[a,b,c],[d],[e]] = ThreeOfAKind [a,b,c,d,e]

ranking [[a,b],[c],[d],[e]] = Pair [a,b,c,d,e]

ranking [[a],[b],[c],[d],[e]] = HighCard [a,b,c,d,e]
```

It states that the lowest *Four of a Kind* beats the highest *Full House*.

■ And it's done.

Great. Here's the test code so far:

And here's the tested code:

```
module Tests
where
import Test.HUnit
import PokerHand
import Data.Ord (comparing)
import Data.List (sort,sortBy)
ud = words "A* 2* T* K* 9* Q* J*"
sd = words "2* 9* T* J* Q* K* A*"
main = runTestTT $ TestList
       [sortBy (comparing card) ud ~?= sd
       ,map suit (cards "A♣ A♦ A♥ A♠") ~?= ['♣','♦','♥','♠']
       ,flush (cards "A♣ T♣ 3♣ 4♣ 2♣") ~?= True
       ,flush (cards "A T * 3 * 4 * 2 *") ~?= False
       ,flush (cards "A♠ T♠ 3♠ 4♠ 2♠") ~?= True
       ,"6. 4. A. 3. K. 'beat' "8♥ J♥ 7. 5♥ 6."
       ,"5♥ 2♦ 3♥ 4♦ 2♥" 'beat' "A♥ K♥ Q♦ J♦ T♥"
       ,"5♥ 4♦ 3♥ 2♦ 3*" 'beat' "A♥ K♥ Q♦ J♦ T♥"
       ,"5♥ 4♦ 3♥ 3♣ 2♥" 'beat' "7♦ 5♥ 3♦ 2♠ 2♦"
       ,"2♦ 24 24 3♥ 4+" 'beat' "A♥ A4 K4 Q+ J4"
       ,"2+ 2+ 2+ 2+ 3+" 'beat' "A+ A+ A+ K+ K+"]
   where beat h g = \text{comparing } hand h g \sim ?= GT
```

```
module PokerHand
where
import Char
import Data.Ord
import Data.List
data Card = C { value :: Value, suit :: Suit }
            deriving (Ord, Eq)
type Value = Int
type Suit = Char
data Hand = HighCard [Card]
          | Pair [Card]
           ThreeOfAKind [Card]
          | FullHouse [Card]
          | FourOfAKind [Card]
            deriving (Ord, Eq)
card :: String → Card
card[v,s] = C(toValue v) s
    where
      toValue 'A' = 14
      to Value 'K' = 13
      toValue'Q' = 12
      to Value 'J' = 11
      to Value 'T' = 10
      toValue\ c = ((ord\ c) - (ord\ '0'))
```

```
same :: (Eq a) => (t \rightarrow a) \rightarrow t \rightarrow t \rightarrow Bool
same f a b = f a == f b
flush :: [Card] \rightarrow Bool
flush(c:cs) = all(same suit c) cs
hand :: String → Hand
hand = ranking.
        rSortBy (comparing length).
        groupBy (same value).
        rSortBy (comparing value) . cards
rSortBy :: (Ord a) => (a \rightarrow a \rightarrow \text{Ordering}) \rightarrow [a] \rightarrow [a]
rSortBy f = sortBy (flip f)
ranking :: [[Card]] \rightarrow Hand
ranking[[a,b,c,d],[e]] = FourOfAKind[a,b,c,d,e]
ranking [[a,b,c],[d,e]] = FullHouse [a,b,c,d,e]
ranking[[a,b,c],[d],[e]] = ThreeOfAKind[a,b,c,d,e]
ranking [[a,b],[c],[d],[e]] = Pair [a,b,c,d,e]
ranking[[a],[b],[c],[d],[e]] = HighCard[a,b,c,d,e]
\textit{cards} :: \mathsf{String} \to [\mathsf{Card}]
cards = map card . words
```

Now is a good time to pause.

I'll have a croque monsieur with salad.