



**FACULTY
OF MATHEMATICS
AND PHYSICS**
Charles University

BACHELOR THESIS

Tomáš Husák

**Client-side execution of PHP
applications compiled to .NET**

Department of Software Engineering

Supervisor of the bachelor thesis: RNDr. Filip Zavoral, Ph.D.

Study programme: Computer Science (B1801)

Study branch: ISDI (1801R049)

Prague 2021

I declare that I carried out this bachelor thesis independently, and only with the cited sources, literature and other professional sources. It has not been used to obtain another or the same degree.

I understand that my work relates to the rights and obligations under the Act No. 121/2000 Sb., the Copyright Act, as amended, in particular the fact that the Charles University has the right to conclude a license agreement on the use of this work as a school work pursuant to Section 60 subsection 1 of the Copyright Act.

In date
Author's signature

Dedication.

Title: Client-side execution of PHP applications compiled to .NET

Author: Tomáš Husák

Department: Department of Software Engineering

Supervisor: RNDr. Filip Zavoral, Ph.D., Department of Software Engineering

Abstract:

Write an abstract.

Keywords: PHP .NET Blazor Peachpie

Contents

| | |
|-------------------------------------|-----------|
| Introduction | 2 |
| 1 Existing technologies | 4 |
| 1.1 PHP | 4 |
| 1.2 Javascript | 6 |
| 1.3 WebAssembly | 8 |
| 1.4 PHP in Browser | 8 |
| 1.5 C# and .NET 5 | 9 |
| 1.6 Blazor | 10 |
| 1.7 Peachpie | 15 |
| 2 Problem analysis | 16 |
| 2.1 Use Cases | 16 |
| 2.2 Requirements | 18 |
| 2.3 Architecture analysis | 19 |
| 3 Solution | 22 |
| 3.1 PhpComponent | 22 |
| 3.2 PhpScriptProvider | 23 |
| 3.3 Server | 23 |
| 4 Examples | 24 |
| 5 Benchmarks | 25 |
| Conclusion | 26 |
| Bibliography | 27 |
| List of Figures | 28 |
| List of Tables | 29 |
| List of Abbreviations | 30 |
| A Attachments | 31 |
| A.1 First Attachment | 31 |

Introduction

We can say a web application runs on two sides, that we call a server and client. The sides communicate with each other by Internet Protocols, where Hypertext Transfer Protocol (HTTP) is a fundamental communication standard. A user uses a web browser for requesting a server, which sends a response containing desired data back. The data can represent a web page or attachment like a file or raw data. A browser is responsible for interpreting and rendering a web page described by HyperText Markup Language (HTML). The Cascading Style Sheets (CSS) language accompanies HTML by enriching the web page with broad graphical content.

A server task is to process, collect and serve data requested by a client. The most popular language for server-side scripting is currently PHP.

Add a statistic for the popularity of PHP

The combination of CSS and HTML is sometimes sufficient for a web page. However, a modern web application needs to manipulate a web page structure depending on user behavior more sophisticatedly than the languages offer. This type of application utilizes a browser as an execution environment to change the web page structure, react to the events, save an application state, and control browser behavior. The scripting language Javascript became a browser standard for writing a client code inside most browsers like Google Chrome, Safari, Opera, and Mozilla.

Although Javascript is a powerful language, it is not appropriate for all scenarios and users. The reason can be dynamic typing or just a user practice with other languages. Despite the urge to write a client-side code in a different language, many technologies like Silverlight, which runs C# code in a browser, or Adobe Flash Player with Actionscript were deprecated due to insufficient support across the browsers. WebAssembly (WASM) was developed to offer a portable binary-code format for executing programs inside a browser [1] in 2015. WASM targets to enable secure and high-performance web applications. The advantage of WebAssembly is a being compilation target for many programming languages. Browsers support interoperability between WASM and Javascript to utilize both language advantages. Since December 2019, when World Wide Web Consortium (W3C) has begun recommending WebAssembly, it is easy to migrate other languages to the browsers supporting this recommendation.

Many projects use the WASM as a target of compilation. For example, the project PHP in browser [2] enables running a PHP script inside our browser using predefined Javascript API or standard HTML tag. Another project is an open-source framework Blazor [3] developed by Microsoft. It provides runtime, libraries, and interoperability with Javascript for creating dynamic web pages using C#.

Add a statistic for popularity of .NET

The .NET and PHP popularity led to the creation of the Peachpie compiler. Peachpie [4] compiles PHP to .NET and enables interoperability between the languages. Peachpie is usually used to connect a frontend written in PHP with a backend written in C# to utilize both aspects of languages on a server side.

Peachpie allows applying PHP to Blazor. Although Blazor can straightfor-

wardly reference compiled PHP by Peachpie, the collaboration between the code and Blazor seems complicated. Methods of how to utilize PHP scripts as a part of a Blazor website are not clear. This thesis targets to identify use-cases that will make use of the integration between Peachpie and Blazor and suggests a solution, which creates a library to execute and render compiled PHP scripts in a browser. Blazor is used as an execution environment for these scripts. The solution tries to achieve two goals. The first goal is to implement the support for using compiled PHP scripts with Blazor because no existing library supports the integration. The second goal is to enable web development on a client-side with PHP.

The integration between Peachpie and Blazor can yield to following benefits. A community of PHP developers is significant. Thus, many PHP libraries apply to work with client's data, pdf, graphics and offer handy tools. The possibility to migrate the language PHP together with its conventions to a browser will impact developing dynamic web applications due to the PHP community and the libraries. It can join PHP and C# developers to collaborate with their programming languages using a minimum knowledge of the integration. Another interesting functionality of this idea is a full C#, PHP, and JavaScript interop which offers more options for developers and future extensions.

The first chapter addresses the analysis of related work, alongside descriptions of the technologies used in the integration. The second chapter analyses running PHP on the client-side and other problems related to used technologies. The third gives a detailed problem's solution. There are examples that demonstrate how to use all aspects of the created solution in chapter 4. In chapter 5, we can see benchmarks that explore the limits of the implementation and compare them with the already existing project. And the last chapter relates to a conclusion of this solution.

1. Existing technologies

In this chapter, we will give a short overview of web application functionality. We will explore server-side scripting using PHP and client-side scripting using Javascript in order to obtain observations of user conventions for interaction with web applications. Afterward, we will introduce WASM, followed by the existing project enabling to execute PHP in a browser. We will give short information about the .NET platform and C# language. In the last sections, we will introduce Blazor and Peachpie.

1.1 PHP

The basic principle of obtaining a web page is a request-response protocol, where a client sends a request for the web page using an HTTP protocol and receives a response with requested data. The protocol uses a dedicated message format for communication. Statelessness is a typical characteristic, meaning that a server has to retain information about clients and add additional information to the messages in order to distinguish between the clients.

Since the server contains business logic, a browser has to send necessary data for required actions by an HTTP message. The data are usually encoded as a part of Uniform Resource Locator (URL) or in the HTTP message body. HTML presents a tag `<form>` that enables interaction with the web application by a web form. Figure 1.1 contains an example of the tag. `<form>` can contain other tags, which are displayed as various types of fields. A user fills these fields, and the browser sends the data as a new request to the server. We can specify how the data will be encoded. `get` method is one of the basic ways. It encodes the data as a pair of keys and its values to the query part of the URL. There is an example of URL `http://www.example.com/index.php?par1=hello&par2=world`. A query part begins with a question mark. We can see parameter keys `par1` and `par2` containing values `hello` and `world`. Another method is called `post`, which encodes it in the request body, which does not appear in the URL.

Although PHP [5] was originally designed for user page templating on a server side, it has been adjusted gradually to enable writing application logic. PHP is an interpreted language maintained by The PHP Group.

We will describe the language by using figure 1.1 as an example. The script includes a header, which adds a proper beginning of an HTML document. Then, it prints a `post` content together with a file content whenever the file `file` was obtained. There is a form enabling to send information about a name and attach a file to the message. A browser sends the message to the server via `post` method when the form is submitted. The request is handled by `index.php` defined in `action`. In the end, the script includes a proper ending of the document by `footer.php`.

As we can see, the PHP code interleaves the HTML code, which has appeared to be a helpful method for data binding. We call the feature HTML interleaving, which allows inserting PHP code in `<?php ... ?>` tag. These fragments do not have to form individual independent blocks of code closed in curly brackets, as the example demonstrates by using the `foreach` cycle. An interpreter executes


```

<?php
    include("header.php");
?>

<h1>Superglobal POST</h1>
<?php
    foreach($_POST as $key => $value) { ?>
        <p><?php echo $key; ?> => <?php echo $value; ?></p>
    <?php } ?>

<h1>File content:</h1>
<p>
<?php
    if($_FILES["file"])
    {
        echo file_get_contents($_FILES["file"]["tmp_name"]);
    }
?>
</p>

<form action="/index.php" method="post">
    <label for="name">Name:</label>
    <input type="text" id="name" name="name"><br>
    <label for="file">File:</label>
    <input type="file" id="file" name="file"><br>
    <input type="submit" value="Submit">
</form>

<?php
    include("footer.php");
?>

```

Figure 1.1: An example of PHP code in file index.php.

a script from top to bottom. Everything outside the PHP tag is copied into the body of the request.

We do not see any specification of type next to variables. This is because the type system is dynamic. A variable represents just a reference to the heap. Its type is determined during runtime.

PHP has superglobals [6], which are built-in variables accessible from all scopes of the script. Following superglobals are relevant to the thesis. The `$_GET` variable stores parsed query part of the URL. The `$_POST` variable stores variables which are sent by post method. The `$_FILES` variable contains information about uploaded files sent by a client. The uploaded file is saved as a temporary file, and standard reading operations can obtain the content. This is demonstrated in the previously mentioned example by `file_get_contents` function.

Maybe add information about `SESSION`.

The nature of the request-response semantic usually results in a one-way pass of the application. After dealing with a request, the script is terminated, meaning that the request is sent, and variables are disposed. One of the well-known design patterns relating to PHP is the Front controller. Usually, the main script invokes other parts of the program, based on the request, to deal with it and send the response back. The idea of this pattern can be shown in figure 1.1. In the beginning, we delegate header rendering to `header.php` script. Then we render the body and include `footer.php`, which cares about the proper ending of the HTML page.

We can divide code in several ways. Global functions are the most notable characteristic of PHP despite wide-spread object-oriented programming. They are defined in the global scope and accessible from anywhere. The next option is an object inspired by object-oriented programming. We can include a PHP code from other scripts. They can be recursively included during runtime, where variables remain across the inclusion. Scripts can be composed into a package, which another code can reuse.

1.2 Javascript

A client-side code needs to control the rendered page and access a web interface providing additional services, which is usually accessible via Javascript, in order to interact with a user. We will start with a description of loading Javascript in a browser. We will introduce a page representation in a browser alongside page events. In the end, we will present Javascript as a scripting language for the creation of a responsive web page.

We can image a web page structure as an tree. Its nodes are tags or text fragments, and its edges connect nodes with their children. One representation of this tree is Document Object Model (DOM). Each node is represented by an object with special parameters relating to HTML and CSS. The nodes can contain other nodes representing their children. Afterwards, there is a document node representing the whole document together with its root node.

The process of generating a web page follows several steps. A browser parses the HTML page line by line. If a script occurs, the browser starts to execute the code, which can access already parsed tags. The order of processing is important

```

<!DOCTYPE html>
<html>
  <head>
  </head>
  <body>
    <button id="alert">Click to alert</button>
    <script>
      var handler = function (arg) {
        var timer = new Promise((resolve) => {
          setTimeout(resolve, 1000);
        });

        timer.then(() => window.alert("Hello world.));
      };

      var button = window.document.getElementById("alert");
      button.addEventListener("click", handler);
    </script>
  </body>
</html>

```

Figure 1.2: A Javascript code.

for manipulation with an HTML structure. This limitation can be solved by web events mentioned later, but it is a convention to add scripts to the end of the body part after all HTML tags are parsed.

Events are the most common method of how to react to changing a web page state. Every event can have some handlers(listeners). Whenever an event occurs, it calls all its listeners. There are many event types, but we will mention the ones that are important for us. HTML tags are the most common entities which can have some events. For example, a button has an **onclick** event which triggers when a client clicks on the button as we can see in figure 1.2. Other events can represent a state of a page like **onload** which fires when the whole HTML document is parsed.

A browser provides more APIs valuable for the application, like fetching extra data from a server or local storage. These APIs are mentioned as Web API [7].

ECMAScript (ES) is a Javascript standard recommending across browsers. We can see later an abbreviation ES2015 which determines the ECMAScript version. Javascript is a high-level language usually executed by a browser's dedicated Javascript engine. Browsers run scripts in a sandbox to prevent potential threats of harmful code. However, it can also be run on a desktop by Node.js, a Javascript runtime running outside a browser. Figure 1.2 will be used to show the language in the simple scenario. The page contains a button that invokes an alert with a second delay when a client clicks on it.

At first glance, we can see the type system is dynamic, which is similar to PHP. **window** is an essential global variable, which is an object representing the browser window of the running script. The window object consists of all defined global variables. It also contains a document property, which is an API for manipulating

the DOM tree. We can see the usage of the document property in the example. Javascript object is often used as a wrapper of Web APIs.

Functions are first-class citizens in Javascript. We can treat them as common variables. Javascript supports an event-driven style that helps to react to events conveniently. There is a handler assigned to the click event in figure 1.2.

Maybe add a section about async functions. Compare it with PHP

Javascript is single-threaded, but allows effective synchronous execution. This can be achieved by **Promise**, which is a structure representing an unfinished process. We can separate a large task into smaller ones in order to offer the processing time for other parts of the application. These processes can be chained. Although the structure can give an illusion of multi-threading, it uses the scheduler for planning the next task executed by the main thread after the previous task is completed or an event triggered it. The single thread is critical for blocking operations like time demanding computations which causes thread freezing.

Worker object represents a web worker [11], provided by a browser, enabling to run the script in the background. The worker limitation is communication with UI thread only by handling message events. Messages have to be serialized and deserialized.

Javascript can organize a code by function and objects similar to PHP. A module can gather a larger collection of code. Global entities of the code can be exported to another script. These exports make an API of the module. The module advantage is to define the API and to hide the internal code, which is not relevant for the user.

1.3 WebAssembly

WASM [9] is a new code format that can be run in current browsers. It has a compact byte format, and its performance is near to a native code. WASM is designed to be a compiling target of popular low-level languages like C or C++ due to its memory model. It results in the possibility to run other languages in a browser because its runtime is often written in C or C++. Browsers enable to run Javascript alongside WebAssembly, and even more, their code can call each other. WASM code is secure as same as a code written in Javascript because of the sandbox.

Thread [10] support is currently discussed nowadays, and it will probably be added in future browser versions. After all, new versions of Google Chrome experiments with proper multi-threading support. A replacement of multi-threading can be Web workers mentioned in Javascript section.

Despite supporting to run WASM in a browser, the browser cannot load it as a standard ES2015 module yet. WebAssembly JavaScript API was created in order to be able to load a WebAssembly to a browser using JavaScript.

1.4 PHP in Browser

The project [2] aims to use compiled PHP interpreter into WebAssembly, which allows evaluating a PHP code. The page has to import a specialized module

```
<script type = "text/php">
    <?php vrzno_run('alert', ['Hello, world!']);
</script>
```

Figure 1.3: An example of PHP script block.

php-wasm. A PHP code is evaluated by using JavaScript API or writing a specialized script block as we can see in figure 1.3. PHP can afterward interact with JavaScript using a specialized API. In the figure, the code calls Javascript **alert** function with the parameter.

At first glance, that might be a good enough solution, but several parts can be problematic due to PHP semantics. The solution does not offer additional support for using PHP scripts on the client side. For example, superglobals are unused due to a missing server. This issue is reasonable because this is the server task, but we cannot get information about a query part or handling forms without writing a JavaScript code. The next problem relates to how a script can navigate to another script without an additional support code, JavaScript.

1.5 C# and .NET 5

We will introduce the Common Language Infrastructure (abbreviated CLI) [18] before diving into .NET, which is an overused name for several technologies. CLI is a specification describing executable code and runtime for running it on different architectures. CLI contains descriptions of a type system, rules, and the virtual machine (runtime), which executes specified Common Intermediate Language (abbreviated CIL) by translating it to a machine code. The virtual machine is named CLR (Common Language Runtime). CIL's advantage is a compilation target of languages like C#, F#, and VisualBasic, which gives us great interoperability. .NET Framework, .NET 5, and Mono are implementations of CLI. These implementations are usually uniformly called .NET.

.NET 5 [17] is the latest version of .NET Core, which is a cross-platform successor to .NET Framework. From now on, we will refer .NET 5 as .NET, since it should be the only supported framework in the future. .NET is an open-source project primarily developed by Microsoft. It consists of runtime for executing CIL and many libraries that can represent whole frameworks like ASP.NET, which aims to web development. A large collection of code is usually compiled into an assembly containing the code and additional metadata. An assembly can represent either a library or an executable program.

Mono aims at cross platform execution of CIL. Recently, they started to support compilation [12] into WebAssembly. This support allows executing CIL inside browsers. The compilation has two modes. The first one is compilation Mono runtime with all using assemblies. The second one only compile Mono runtime, which then can execute .dll files without further compilation of them into WebAssembly. A consequence of these compilations into WebAssembly is enabling to call Javascript and WebAPI from C#.

.NET Standard represents API specifications of .NET libraries across different implementations. .NET Standard offers to specify minimum requirements for the

code.

C# is a high-level language using strong typing and a garbage collector. It has a multi-paradigm, but its common characteristic is the objected-oriented style. These features cause that C# is a good language for a huge project which needs discipline from developers to hold the code understandable and manageable.

1.6 Blazor

Blazor is a part of the open-source ASP.NET Core framework. Blazor allows creating client-side web applications written in C# language. Blazor framework offers two hosting models [13] which have different approaches to creating web applications. The first one is referred to as Blazor Server App and represents a server-side web application using specific communication between a client for better functionality. The thesis uses the second model, which Microsoft refers to as Blazor WebAssembly App, enabling to move business logic to a client-side without using Javascript.

From now on, we will use Blazor App to refer Blazor WebAssembly App. The application can be hosted by a standalone project representing a standard ASP.NET Core web server. The hosting consists of serving application .dlls and static files like HTML, CSS. The division enables a choice of a place for the implementation of business logic. Thus, we can move the majority of business logic to the client and use the server for connection to a database or, we can use the client only for rendering the page. When we chose the template, there are two main projects to describe.

As we can see in figure 1.4, there is a server, which serves the Blazor App to a client. The project contains a standard builder of a host using a StartUp class,



Figure 1.4: Server and WebAssembly App projects.

```

@page "/example"
@inject HttpClient Http

<h1>Example</h1>
@if (!loaded)
{
    <p>Loading...</p>
}
else
{
    <p>Ticks: @ticks</p>
}

@code {
    private bool loaded = false;
    private int ticks = 0;

    protected override async Task OnInitializedAsync() {
        ticks = await Http.GetFromJsonAsync<int>("ticks.json");
        loaded = true;
    }
}

```

Figure 1.5: Example of Razor page.

which configures an HTTP request pipeline processing requests in `Startup.cs` file. A middleware is a segment of an HTTP request pipeline, which cares about some functionality related to request processing. The pipeline contains a middleware providing the Blazor files.

We will describe the second project (Blazor App) in figure 1.4 to explain basic entities and their interaction with each other. We will start with a new format Razor to get familiar with it. Razor is a markup language interleaving HTML with C#. Razor uses special sign at with keywords to identify C# code in HTML. Razor compilation results in a pure C# code representing the web page fragment. We can see an example of Razor in figure 1.5.

Although the format is self-explaining, we describe the keywords. The first line begins with a `page` keyword determining a part of the page URL. The next keyword is `inject`, representing a `HttpClient` service injection. An `if` keyword is an control structure interleaved by an HTML code. A `code` keyword contains a regular C# code, which can be used in the whole `razor`. file.

A Razor file is compiled into a C# dedicated class. The class inherits from `ComponentBase` or implements `IComponent`, which provides necessary methods for rendering the page. Components can be arbitrarily put together in order to form the desired page. We can see the generated component from figure 1.5 in figure 1.6.

We can assign the Razor keywords to parts of the code in the figure. `page` keyword stands for `Route` attribute. `inject` keyword stands for parameter attribute. The parameter is assigned by a dispatcher, during the component initialization.

```

[Route("/example")]
public class Index : ComponentBase {
    private bool loaded = false;
    private int ticks = 0;

    [Inject] private HttpClient Http { get; set; }

    protected override void BuildRenderTree(
        RenderTreeBuilder __builder) {
        __builder.AddMarkupContent(0, "<h1>Example</h1>");
        if (!loaded)
        {
            __builder.AddMarkupContent(1, "<p>Loading...</p>");
            return;
        }
        __builder.OpenElement(2, "p");
        __builder.AddContent(3, "Ticks: ");
        __builder.AddContent(4, ticks);
        __builder.CloseElement();
    }

    protected override async Task OnInitializedAsync() {
        ticks = await Http.GetFromJsonAsync<int>("ticks.json");
        loaded = true;
    }
}

```

Figure 1.6: Razor page generated to the C# class.

`code` keyword is a part of class content. Another markup is transformed into calling a specialized method in the `BuildRenderTree` function, which describes the page content for rendering.

A component has several stages, which can be used for initialization or action. Virtual methods of `ComponentBase` represent these stages. We can see the `OnInitializedAsync` method, which is invoked after setting the component parameters.

We should mention asynchronous processing because it helps render a page with long-loading content. Blazor allows using `Tasks` and `async` methods, separating the code into smaller tasks planned by a scheduler. Blocking operations in Blazor are projected into UI because it is single-threaded due to Javascript and WASM.

We return to the project description. Folders `Pages` and `Shared` contains parts of Blazor pages written in Razor. `_Imports.razor` contains namespaces, which are automatically included in others `.razor` files. The next folder is `wwwroot`, containing static data of the application. We can see `index.html`, which cares about loading parts of the Blazor application to the browser. We will call all static files in `wwwroot`, additional Javascript scripts and WASM runtime Static Web Assets.


```
<body>
  <div id="app">Loading...</div>
  <div id="blazor-error-ui">
    An unhandled error has occurred.
    ...
  </div>
  <script src="_framework/blazor.webassembly.js"></script>
</body>
```

Figure 1.7: index.html

We will describe the loading of Blazor into the browser to fully understand the interaction between Blazor and the browser. We have the server, the Blazor App, and other optional user's defined projects. When we start the server and tries to navigate the web application, the following process is done. The server maps the navigation to `index.html` and sends it back.

The `index.html` contains a script initializing Blazor as we can see in figure 1.7. The first step is to load all resources, which are defined in a separate file. Blazor cuts all unnecessary `.dll` files to reduce the size. For this reason, all `.dll` files have to be used in the Blazor App code in order to be contained in the file. These resources comprise Mono runtime compiled into WASM, additional supporting scripts, and all `.dll` files containing the whole application (Blazor App with referenced libraries). The supporting scripts initiate the runtime and execute it. The runtime includes the `.dll` into the application and calls the `Main` method in `Program.cs` defined in Blazor App project. We can see the process in figure 1.8.

`Main` method uses `WebAssemblyHostBuilder` to set the application. It defines services, which will be able through the dispatcher. It sets a root component, which will be rendered as the first. The host is run. Afterward, the application provides the dispatcher, cares about rendering, and communicates with the runtime to offer interop with Javascript.

`App.razor` is the last file for clarification. It is the root component in default. It contains a specialized component, `Router`, enabling to navigate the pages.

In the end, we will describe page navigation and rendering and handling events. The navigation [14] can be triggered by an anchor, form, or filling up the URL bar. The URL bar is handled separately by a browser. JavaScript can influence the remainings elements by adding a listener to their events. Blazor App handles only an anchor by default. After clicking on an anchor, a navigation event is fired. One of the handlers is a javascript function, which invokes C# method through Mono WASM and prevents a browser navigation, when Blazor App handles it. The method represents a navigation handler in Blazor App. A user can add listeners to the handler, but the Router implements default behavior for navigating. Router finds out all components which implement an `IComponent` interface and tries to render the page according to path matching `RouteAttribute` of a component whenever the navigation is triggered. It creates an instance of the class and fills in its parameters. Router calls `BuildRenderTree`, which enables running the rendering process. Previous created intences of components are disposed. The navigation can be redirected to the server if there is no match.

1.7 Peachpie

Peachpie [16] is a modern compiler based on Roslyn and Phalanger project. It allows compiling PHP scripts into a .NET assembly, which can be executed alongside standard .NET libraries. All information about the scripts are saved in the assembly. We will describe the basics.

Because the languages have a different type system, Peachpie brings dedicated types for representing PHP variables in .NET. Some of these types are `PhpValue` representing a standard PHP variable, `PhpArray`, or `PhpAlias` which is a reference to `PhpValue`.

Another abstraction is the `Context` class. We can imagine `Context` as a state of the script while it runs. `Context` consists of superglobals, global variables, declared functions, declared and included scripts. It also manages an input and output, where we can choose a resource. `Context` can also be considered as a configuration of the incoming script's execution. All information about a request can be arranged to mock every situation on the server-side. The possibility of saving `Context` and using it later is a significant advantage. We can use the class API to obtain information about compiled scripts.

Because some PHP extensions are written in C or C++, Peachpie implements them using .NET libraries, which can add additional functions providing an extra nonstandard functionality such as an interaction with a browser.

The main advantage of the compiler is the great interoperability between PHP and .NET. An option to work with C# objects, attributes, and calling methods will become crucial for achieving advanced interaction between Blazor and PHP.

The compiler successfully compiled well-known web frameworks like WordPress or Laravel. Thus many companies use it for combining the existing frameworks with a C# backend.

However, there are limitations following from differences in the languages and the stage of development. Availability of PHP extensions depends on binding these functions to C# code which gives equivalent results. The .NET libraries can be executed in an independent environment. However, the code can have performance issues in WebAssembly. The previously mentioned interoperability has limits as well. Csharp constructs like structs and asynchronous methods are undefined in PHP.

V dobe psaní práce tedy byly nějaké komplikace

2. Problem analysis

We will divide the analysis into two sections. The first section will define the requirements, which the proposed solution will solve. Four use cases will describe these requirements, and they will aim at using the integration in different ways. The second section will observe available architectures in view of used technologies, and it will describe the solution's architecture.

2.1 Use Cases

We will start by considering our stakeholders. We can say there are two types of future users. The first type is a PHP programmer who has no experience with Blazor. We will call him the programmer A. The programmer creates standard websites using PHP. The programmer's usage level of PHP is similar to what we could see in the PHP section. One day the programmer wants to contribute to a website powered by Blazor. He has a great idea of adding a new feature, which can be implemented by a few PHP scripts, which use some libraries. Unfortunately, he has never seen the technology, and he does not want to learn the whole framework. His point of interest is to use a solution helping him to inject his scripts into the website. The solution should provide an effortless manual on how to inject them. The manual should support most of the PHP conventions, which we can saw in the PHP section.

The second type is an enthusiastic PHP programmer who has some experience with Blazor. We will call him the programmer B. He does not defend himself before exploring new technology to utilize all their aspects. Again, there is an existing Blazor project, and he wants to add a new feature to it. The PHP language offers the best way to implement it, but the feature is so render demanding that he wants to make use of a clever diff algorithm to make it more effective. His point of interest is to use a solution offering him to collaborate with Blazor by PHP.

These descriptions should help us determine following use cases, which are realistic to both potential users. The first use case relates to programmer A. We can say he has a PHP website, which contains some information about his company. The website consists of pages containing images and references interconnect them. Some pages are adjustable by specifying a query part of the URL, and they include other scripts to add some basic layout. One day the website becomes famous, and the programmer wants to migrate the website to a client side in order to save server resources. The migration should download most of the website to a browser. Afterward, the following navigations between scripts and a script execution should be maintained on the client side. Even more, the programmer doesn't want to adjust the website too much for the client side, and he wishes for a simple solution that is understandable by a novice.

The second use case aims at programmer A, who has a little experience with Blazor. There is an existing Blazor website, and he has an idea of a brilliant feature adding new functionality written in PHP. The programmer wants to save the other developer time and also implement a UI. Unfortunately, the feature needs to interact with a user, meaning that filling additional data, clicking, loading,

and saving files. He is lazy to learn Javascript or interoperability between PHP and Blazor. Thus, he needs a solution, which offers interaction with a user and uses standard PHP conventions mentioned earlier.

The third use case relates to programmer B. He wants to create a real-time web game similar to Asteroids written in PHP. The game is render demanding due to redrawing the scenes. So he decides to target on a client side and utilizes Web API for changing the DOM tree. This approach should prevent network latency by loading the game in the beginning. After that, the game will be independent of the network connection due to running the game and saving the game state by a browser. Because he has previous experience with Blazor infrastructure, he will appreciate applying it to run this game on a client side. Thus, he needs some representation of Blazor in PHP, which he will use for interacting with a browser.

We can see an illustration of the fourth use case in figure 2.1. A goal of the use case is to allow collaboration between PHP and Blazor programmers, where a difference of languages is not a barrier. We can image two teams creating a web application. They agreed on developing a client-side web application, where both teams aim at different parts of the website. For example, one team wants to create a fun zone where a user can play some web games and the second team wants to create some online documentation about these games. Because Blazor targets client-side web applications, they want to utilize Blazor. Unfortunately, these teams use a different favorite language, where the first team likes PHP and the second team likes C#. Even more, these teams want to arbitrarily choose what part they want to develop. They need some environment where the PHP team can code alongside the Blazor team, and they can focus on an arbitrary part of the web application. We can see the intention in figure 2.1 where each team can create a part aiming to render demanding applications, website infrastructure, and additional features. Also, the PHP team consists of programmers having different skills with Blazor, so the environment should reflect it.




Figure 2.1: The fourth use case describing the combination of the rest.

2.2 Requirements

We will define requirements based on mentioned use cases. The question is what things should be maintained by the solution to make PHP scripts a beneficial part of a Blazor website.

The solution should provide two ways how to use it because we have two types of users. The first way aims at straightforward integration, where the user should know the only necessary knowledge about Blazor. That means minimal work with the platform, where he just reference his scripts. The programmer is used to utilize PHP conventions introduced earlier. Thus, the solution should render a page written in PHP transparently without knowing about the integration, meaning to draw script output as HTML document in a browser. Another important thing is information about navigation. The scripts should be executed every time when they are navigated, and make a decision based on a URL part. It aims at a simulation of the server, allowing to use of superglobals for obtaining the information. We should adopt forms to a client side, meaning that the simulated server handles the submit and execute the script again to pretend the request sending. Supporting forms consists of providing submitted fields like text or files to the script via superglobals.

The second way aims at advanced interaction with Blazor, where the solution allows PHP to utilize Blazor infrastructure. It results in full control over the rendering. Thus, the solution should provide an interface for the Blazor environment in a PHP code. The interface should make the rendering with `RenderTreeBuilder` easier. Interoperability between Javascript and C# appears to be helpful in some situations. Thus, the same thing could be true between PHP and Javascript, and the solution should maintain it.

The scripts, intended as a part of Blazor, should be injectable in many parts of a web application. It means an opportunity of adding a subset of a website written in PHP to an existing Blazor website. For example, we have a page enabling us to display a user graph defined in a file. The page is written in PHP and uses a form to submit the file. We want to inject the page into a Blazor website as a normal page or page fragment.

2.3 Architecture analysis

Blazor App is used as a cornerstone for our application. Blazor provides the C# migration to a browser and afterward interop with Javascript. Peachpie transforms PHP scripts into the assembly. The assembly can be used in Blazor App without any limitation. Peachpie's libraries can be referenced from Blazor due to the compatibility of their target frameworks.

Where to compile the scripts is the first question. They can be regarded as static resources of the Blazor App and loaded after the Blazor's initialization. Afterward, the Peachpie can compile them and execute them. This approach allows us to add a PHP script during the runtime. The second option is to compile the scripts ahead of time and reference the assembly from Blazor App. It saves the compilation time during the runtime. A disadvantage could be the larger size of the initial response. The solution selects the second option. It benefits from the compilation check on the server-side. We suppose that the

benefit is more helpful than the smaller size of the initial response. The assembly contains the same information about the scripts, and Peachpie is intended for a static compilation.

We have to figure out how to attach a PHP code, which is compiled into the assembly, to the Blazor App. Although, we can now call functions written in PHP from Blazor. We want to create an abstraction over the Blazor environment in order to simplify the interface. The abstraction should offer a representation of PHP scripts in Blazor. It should allow an option for accessing the Blazor interface for advanced features. It should be compatible with the Blazor environment in order to allowing a smooth collaboration between the abstraction and the Blazor pages. A Blazor page consists of components. We can achieve collaboration by utilizing the component to represent PHP scripts. We can benefit from a component's architecture. Componets can be arbitrarily put together, which offers to place our PHP section in the desired place in the Razor code. Even more, we can replace the Router with the component representing PHP scripts. Afterward, scripts will care about the whole Blazor website's content. The component provides a sufficient Blazor interface for rendering control and interaction with a browser. We can illustrate the options of usage in figure 2.2.




Figure 2.2: The component representing a PHP script.

We can think about how to represent PHP scripts as components. There can be one type of component, which will provide the abstraction for all the PHP code in scenarios. A problem with this approach is that the scenarios claim different levels of abstraction. The third scenario wants to use the component for offering the Blazor interface accessible from PHP code. The offer should contain identical or similar options, which are given in a C# code. The second scenario wants to use the component as an adjustable provider. The provider finds and executes PHP scripts. Its purpose is to keep the user away from knowing about the detailed structure of Blazor and the integration. Another important thing is a provider's role in a Blazor App. The provider can behave either as the Router or as a navigatable component, which enables the navigation of PHP scripts.

The conflict yields to create more types of components. These types will provide the abstraction for the particular scenarios. The solution will reach two types of components. The first one wants to bring Blazor to PHP in order to utilize the whole environment. The second one aims to present transparent executing of standard PHP script without strangeness of connection between Blazor and PHP.

We will focus on the first component. We will call the component `PhpComponent` due to the effort of moving the component concept to PHP. `PhpComponent` aims to the third scenario. Despite language's differences, we can utilize the common concept of classes and inheritance. `Peachpie` allows inheriting C# class in a PHP code. This feature results in full support of component interface without creating new structures for managing component's behavior from PHP. We can inherit `ComponentBase` class in PHP and use its methods in the same way as C# class. The inheritance offers the required interface for creating effective rendering in scenario 3. There are also subproblems with the differences. The current `Peachpie` version does not support some C# specifics fully. The reason can be a hard or impossible representation of C# entities in PHP. It should be developed some PHP support for making the usage of the interface easier. The support will replace the missing usage of the interface.

We will call the second type of component `PhpScriptProvider` expressing an environment for executing standard PHP scripts. `PhpScriptProvider` aggregates the requirements of the rest scenarios by a single component. Although, the provider has more than one purpose. The main idea of serving a PHP code is the same. The provider should be able to navigate and execute PHP scripts. Because the rest scenarios try to hide the integration, the provider should support the following features. It should pretend a server's behavior. The behavior contains rendering everything, which is outside the PHP section or written by `echo`. Superglobals are often used for obtaining additional information given by the user. An ability to fill `$_GET` variable with the URL's query part should be presented. It should change a standard Form functionality to saving the Form's information into superglobals and execute the script again. Loading and saving files submitted by Form is essential for avoiding using Javascript. There is an interesting thing about saving the script's context to the next execution. These abilities are the same for the rest scenarios. We will describe the provider's modes. These modes are intended to solve the rest scenarios.

The first mode relates to the first scenario. It enables to set the provider as a root component. It handles all navigation events, determines the script's name, finds it, and executes the script. `PhpComponents` can also be a navigation's target.

The second mode relates to the second scenario. It enables the provider's insertion into a Razor page. Afterward, the provider executes the specified script.

The third mode relates to the last scenario. It enables to navigate the set of scripts with respect to URL. The navigation is generally maintained by the default Router. The component only provides navigation to scripts.

We can see that two different components are rational ways how to separate the problems and offer an understandable difference between the components.

3. Solution

describes misto relate

aim at

The section describes the complete solution to solve the scenarios. We will start with an overview of the solution’s parts. Then, we will give a detailed description of each part.




Figure 3.1: The solution’s infrastructure.

Figure 3.1 illustrates the composition of projects forming a Blazor website, which will use PHP scripts. There are four projects on the server-side. We can see the user’s defined PHP scripts in the Web scripts project. Peachpie will compile the project to the .NET assembly. The next project is a library containing an API for including PHP scripts to the website. There are `PhpComponent` and `PhpScriptProvider`, mentioned earlier, together with additional code support necessary for the correct functionality. There is the Blazor App project, which becomes the environment for running PHP scripts in a browser. The server serves these projects. The server has to provide additional static web assets contained in Web scripts and The library projects. Dot lines represent references between projects. We can see the library provides functionality to the server, Blazor App, and the scripts. Blazor App injects the scripts using the components. We can see an instance of the Blazor App on the client-side. Arrows indicate an interaction between the sides.

The first part will aim at `PhpComponent`. It will introduce the implementation problems connected to creating render demanding applications, and it will present the proposed solution. The second part will talk about `PhpScriptProvider`. It will suggest a convenient way how to include the scripts into a browser, and it will present the component’s design. The last part of the description will relate to the server’s settings.

3.1 PhpComponent

Problem struct creation in BuildRenderTree

PhpComponent structure

Additional API for tag, attributes, + rendering + timer

Javascript interop

3.2 PhpScriptProvider

Division into functionalities (Router, ScriptProvider, Script)

Common things (Script finding, rendering, context, Context save, Using forms, files, parsing url)

Router navigating

ScriptProvider navigating

Script navigating

3.3 Server

serving web static assets

4. Examples

Scenario 1,2,3,4

5. Benchmarks

Rendering speed(Asteroids first version vs. the current)

Problem with gd library

Conclusion

Bibliography

- Webassembly. URL <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/WebAssembly>.
- Threads. URL <https://developers.google.com/web/updates/2018/10/wasm-threads>.
- Web workers. URL https://developer.mozilla.org/en-US/docs/Web/API/Web_Workers_API/Using_web_workers.
- Compilation. URL <https://www.mono-project.com/news/2017/08/09/hello-webassembly/>.
- Hosting models. URL <https://docs.microsoft.com/en-us/aspnet/core/blazor/hosting-models?view=aspnetcore-5.0>.
- Navigation. URL <https://chrissainty.com/an-in-depth-look-at-routing-in-blazor/>.
- Running blazor. URL <https://daveaglick.com/posts/blazor-razor-webassembly-and-mono>.
- Peachpie. URL <https://docs.peachpie.io>.
- .net core. URL https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/.NET_Core.
- Cli. URL https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Common_Language_Infrastructure.
- The project php in browser. URL https://github.com/oraoto/pib?fbclid=IwAR3KZKXWCC3t1gQf886PF3GT_Hc8pmfCMI1-43gdQEdE5wYgvpv070bRwXqI.
- Blazor's homepage. URL <https://dotnet.microsoft.com/apps/aspnet/web-apps/blazor>.
- Peachpie's homapage. URL <https://docs.peachpie.io>.
- Php. URL <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/PHP>.
- Php manual. URL <https://www.php.net/manual/en/>.
- Webapi. URL https://developer.mozilla.org/en-US/docs/Learn/JavaScript/Client-side_web_APIs/Introduction.
- Webassebmly. URL <https://developer.mozilla.org/en-US/docs/WebAssembly/Concepts>.

List of Figures

| | | |
|-----|---|----|
| 1.1 | An example of PHP code in file index.php. | 5 |
| 1.2 | A Javascript code. | 7 |
| 1.3 | An example of PHP script block. | 9 |
| 1.4 | Server and WebAssembly App projects. | 10 |
| 1.5 | Example of Razor page. | 11 |
| 1.6 | Razor page generated to the C# class. | 12 |
| 1.7 | index.html | 13 |
| 1.8 | Running a Blazor WebAssembly App on client-side. | 14 |
| 2.1 | The fourth use case describing the combination of the rest. | 17 |
| 2.2 | The component representing a PHP script. | 20 |
| 3.1 | The solution's infrastructure. | 22 |

List of Tables

List of Abbreviations

HTTP Hypertext Transfer Protocol

HTML HyperText Markup Language

CSS Cascading Style Sheets

WASM WebAssembly

W3C World Wide Web Consortium

URL Uniform Resource Locator

DOM Document Object Model

ES ECMAScript

A. Attachments

A.1 First Attachment