

# Agile Trajectory Generation for Tensile Perching with Aerial Robots

Yanbin Liang, Yuxuan  
Liu, and Shuang Tang

Department of Mechanical  
Engineering, Tsinghua  
University, Beijing 100084,  
China

liangyb18@mails.tsinghua.edu.cn,  
liuyx18@mails.tsinghua.edu.cn,  
tangshuang@mails.tsinghua.edu.cn

Abstract—This paper presents an agile trajectory  
generation method for tensile perching with aerial robots.  
The method is based on a novel trajectory optimization  
framework that can generate agile trajectories for tensile  
perching with aerial robots.

Index Terms—Agile trajectory generation, tensile  
perching, aerial robots.

perching, agile trajectory generation, tensile perching,  
aerial robots.

perching, agile trajectory generation, tensile perching,  
aerial robots.

perching, agile trajectory generation, tensile perching,  
aerial robots.

perching, agile trajectory generation, tensile perching,  
aerial robots.

perching, agile trajectory generation, tensile perching,  
aerial robots.

# Project Aim

- Design and Implement a Framework capable of Learning Agile Perching Trajectories from a limited number of non-expert demonstrations.
  - Aim to generate a set of complete trajectories that are feasible for a drone to complete.
  - Learning can utilise a small number of demonstrations.
  - The agent need to improve upon the demonstrations:
    - This is based on energy efficiency and "smoothness" or non-volatility of trajecteries.
    - Robustness to poor demonstration datasets.
  - Compare to previously created trajectories for "smoothness", feasibility and energy efficiency.

# Evaluation

- Compare with previous demonstration algorithms
- Compare with previously generated trajectories for part 1 of the manoeuvre.  
Based on:
  - Speed
  - Smoothness of trajectories
  - Compare based on performance of demonstration data set (30%, 50%, 80%)
  - Learning Speed
- Successful completion of manoeuvre

# Experiments

- Demonstration Data will need to be collected.
- Based on lab opportunity - training could either be a mix of real and simulation or purely simulation.
- Final evaluation - hopefully fully displaying the manoeuvre.

# Timeline

- Demonstration Data Collection (10th March) (1/6 Weeks)
- Tether/Drone Dynamics Model (25th Feb) (1/4 Weeks)
- Learning from Demonstrations Model (21st April)
- Energy Efficiency (5th May)

# Progress Update

(For 1pm meeting)

- More clearly defined the project aim and plan.
  - Internally I've had an interim report deadline - featuring background work, project plan, project evaluation - I can send out a copy of this after the meeting.
- Experimented with physics simulators - Video
- Started exploring some of the algorithms discussed in background research (NACfD, DQfD)

# Progress Update

(For 2pm meeting)

- Catching up on other modules after the interim report deadline.

From my aim for last week:

- Setup code repository
  - Done
- Bring in algorithms that have been looked at in background work (DQfD, NACfD, SAC, DQN)
  - Brought in as submodules in repo.
  - Started to reproduce the work (simulation experiments) (DQfD)

# Progress Update

(For 2pm meeting)

From my aim for last week:

- Start investigating realistic simulators for tether dynamics (Box2D, PyBullet).
  - PyBullet - Video - set up a simple version.
- Work out how to start getting a demonstration data set.
  - TODO



# Plans Until Next

- Mainly focus on the tether dynamics model
  - More realistic incorporating some physics into the model as a first stage of training.
  - A way to improve the model based on demonstration/flight data.

# Questions

## Collecting Demonstration Data

- How can we arrange doing this?
- And any suggestions on timelines/when?