

# Financial Econometrics

## Chapter 6: Capital Asset Pricing Model

In Choi

Sogang University

- Chapter 5 of Campbell, Lo and MacKinlay (1997)
- Chapters 5 and 20 of Cochrane (2001)
- Chapters 5 and 8 of Cuthbertson and Nitzsche (2004)
- Fama E.F. and K.R. French (2004): The Capital Asset Pricing Model: Theory and Evidence, *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 18, pp. 25–46.

# What does CAPM imply?

- The expected return of an asset must be linearly related to the covariance of its return with the return of the market portfolio.
- CAPM quantifies risk and the reward for bearing it.

# What is CAPM?

- CAPM was developed by Markowitz (1959), Sharpe (1964; Journal of Finance) and Lintner (1965; Review of Economics and Statistics).
- CAPM is a single-period model.
- The Sharpe-Linter CAPM

$$\begin{aligned} E(R_i) &= R_f + \beta_{im}(E(R_m) - R_f) \\ \beta_{im} &= \frac{\text{Cov}(R_i, R_m)}{\text{Var}(R_m)} \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

$R_i$  : return on asset  $i$

$R_m$  : return on the market portfolio

$R_f$  : return on risk-free asset

# What is CAPM?

- Let  $Z_i = R_i - R_f$  and  $Z_m = R_m - R_f$ . Then, if the risk-free rate is nonstochastic, (1) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} E(Z_i) &= \beta_{im} E(Z_m) \\ \beta_{im} &= \frac{\text{Cov}(Z_i, Z_m)}{\text{Var}(Z_m)}. \end{aligned}$$

In order to test for CAPM, regress  $Z_i$  on  $Z_m$  and see if

- (i) the intercept is zero
- (ii)  $\beta$  completely captures the cross-sectional variation of expected excess returns
- (iii) the market risk premium is positive.

# What is CAPM?

- Betas for individual stocks are calculated by using the time series regression model

$$Z_{it} = \alpha_{im} + \beta_{im}Z_{mt} + \epsilon_{it}.$$

$Z_{it}$  : realized excess return for stock  $i$  at time  $t$ .

$Z_{mt}$  : realized return for the market portfolio at time  $t$  (e.g., S&P 500 index, KOSPI index, Hangseng Index, etc.)

# What is CAPM?

- Betas for individual stocks are typically reported in Web pages for stocks. Higher betas implies higher level of sensitivity of stocks to market movements.
- The CAPM can be used to compute expected stock returns. This works only when the CAPM provides a good description of the data.

- There are  $N$  risky asset returns denoted by an  $N \times 1$  vector  $R$ .
- $E(R) = \mu_R$  and  $Var(R) = E(R - \mu_R)(R - \mu_R)' = \Omega_R$  ( $\Omega_R$  is called the variance-covariance of the random vector  $R$ ).



## • Notation

- $\omega_a$  :  $N \times 1$  vector of portfolio weights for an arbitrary portfolio  $a$  with weights summing to one
- Mean of the portfolio with weights  $\omega_a$  :  $\mu_a = \omega_a' E(R) = \omega_a' \mu_R$
- Variance of the portfolio with weights  $\omega_a$  :  $\sigma_a^2 = \omega_a' \Omega_R \omega_a$
- Covariance between any two portfolios  $a$  and  $b$  :  $\omega_a' \Omega_R \omega_b$

# Efficient-Set Mathematics

No risk-free asset

- Portfolio  $p$  is the *minimum-variance portfolio* of all portfolios with mean return  $\mu_p$  if its portfolio weight vector ( $\omega_p$ ) is the solution to the following constrained optimization:

$$\min_{\omega} \omega' \Omega_R \omega$$

subject to

$$\begin{aligned} \omega' \mu_R &= \mu_p; \\ \omega' \mathbf{i} &= 1 \quad (\mathbf{i} = [1, \dots, 1]'). \end{aligned}$$

- The solution of this problem<sup>1</sup> is

$$\omega_p = g + h\mu_p$$

where  $g$  and  $h$  are  $N \times 1$  vectors

$$g = \frac{1}{D} [B(\Omega_R^{-1}\mathbf{i}) - A(\Omega_R^{-1}\mu_R)]$$

$$h = \frac{1}{D} [C(\Omega_R^{-1}\mathbf{i}) - A(\Omega_R^{-1}\mu_R)]$$

and  $A = \mathbf{i}'\Omega_R^{-1}\mu_R$ ,  $B = \mu_R'\Omega_R^{-1}\mathbf{i}$ ,  $C = \mathbf{i}'\Omega_R^{-1}\mathbf{i}$  and  $D = BC - A^2$ .

---

<sup>1</sup>This can be solved by the Lagrangian approach.

- For a given  $\mu_p$ , letting  $R_p = \omega'_p R$  and  $\sigma_p^2 = \text{Var}(R_p)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_p^2 &= E(\omega'_p R - \omega'_p \mu_R)(\omega'_p R - \omega'_p \mu_R)' \\ &= \omega'_p \Omega_R \omega_p \\ &= \frac{C\mu_p^2 - 2A\mu_p + B}{BC - A^2}.\end{aligned}\tag{2}$$

Plotting this in the space of  $(\sigma, \mu)$ , we obtain mean-variance frontier. The MV frontier is the locus of the minimum variance for a given expected return.

# Efficient-Set Mathematics

No risk-free asset

- Minimizing (2) with respect to  $\mu_p$  gives  $\mu_p = A/C$ . This means that the global minimum variance is attained when

$$\mu = A/C = \frac{\mathbf{i}'\Omega_R^{-1}\boldsymbol{\mu}_R}{C} = \left(\frac{1}{C}\Omega_R^{-1}\mathbf{i}\right)' \boldsymbol{\mu}_R.$$

This implies the weights of the global minimum variance portfolio is

$$\omega_g = \frac{1}{C}\Omega_R^{-1}\mathbf{i}$$

and its variance is

$$\sigma_g^2 = \omega_g' \Omega_R \omega_g = \frac{1}{C^2} \mathbf{i}' \Omega_R^{-1} \mathbf{i} = \frac{1}{C},$$

because  $\mathbf{i}'\Omega_R^{-1}\mathbf{i} = C$  that is derivable from  $\mathbf{i}'\omega_g = 1$ .

# Efficient-Set Mathematics

No risk-free asset

- For each minimum-variance portfolio  $p$ , except the global minimum-variance portfolio  $g$ , there exists a unique minimum-variance portfolio that has zero covariance with  $p$  (called zero-beta portfolio with respect to  $p$ ). This can be proven using the fact  $p$  and  $op$  correspond to the two solutions of equation (2).

# Efficient-Set Mathematics

With a risk-free asset

Now introduce a risk-free asset.

- Given a risk-free asset with return  $R_f$ , consider the problem of choosing the minimum-variance portfolio with expected return  $\mu_p$ . That is, we consider the constrained optimization problem

$$\min_{\omega} \omega' \Omega_R \omega$$

subject to

$$\omega' \mu_R + (1 - \omega' \mathbf{i}) R_f = \mu_p; \quad (\mathbf{i} = [1, \dots, 1]').$$

- The resulting solution is the optimal portfolio for risky assets. The weight for the risk-free asset is obtained from this.

# Efficient-Set Mathematics

With a risk-free asset

- The solution is

$$\begin{aligned}\omega_p &= \frac{\mu_p - R_f}{(\boldsymbol{\mu}_R - R_f \mathbf{i})' \boldsymbol{\Omega}_R^{-1} (\boldsymbol{\mu}_R - R_f \mathbf{i})} \times \boldsymbol{\Omega}_R^{-1} (\boldsymbol{\mu}_R - R_f \mathbf{i}) \\ &= c_p \times \bar{\omega}.\end{aligned}$$

- This shows that weights for the risky assets are proportional to the vector  $\bar{\omega}$ . (Note:  $c_p$  is a constant.)



# Efficient-Set Mathematics

With a risk-free asset

- If only risky assets are owned, we should have  $\mathbf{i}'\omega_q = 1$ . Thus, the risky-asset-only portfolio should be

$$\omega_q = \frac{\Omega_R^{-1}(\boldsymbol{\mu}_R - R_f \mathbf{i})}{\mathbf{i}'\Omega_R^{-1}(\boldsymbol{\mu}_R - R_f \mathbf{i})}.$$

This is called the tangency portfolio. We have  $\omega_p = \omega_q$  iff  $\mu_p = \mu_{Ri}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, N$  (i.e.,  $\mu_R = \mu_p \times \mathbf{i}$ ).

- If  $\mu_p = R_f$ , no risky assets will be owned.

# Efficient-Set Mathematics

With a risk-free asset

- Suppose that an investor allocates his fund between risk-free and risky portfolio  $\omega_q$ . Given the optimal portfolio  $\omega_q$  for risky assets and the risk-free asset with return  $R_f$ , the asset return of the combined portfolio is

$$R_p = (1 - x)R_f + xR_q$$

which gives

$$\mu_p = (1 - x)R_f + x\mu_q \quad (3)$$

and

$$\text{Var}(R_p) = x^2 \text{Var}(R_q). \quad (4)$$

# Efficient-Set Mathematics

With a risk-free asset

- Equation (4) gives

$$x = \frac{\sigma_p}{\sigma_q}.$$

Plugging this into equation (3), we obtain

$$\mu_p = R_f + \left( \frac{\mu_q - R_f}{\sigma_q} \right) \sigma_p.$$

# Efficient-Set Mathematics

With a risk-free asset

- All efficient portfolios lie along the line from the risk-free asset through portfolio  $q$ .
- Portfolio  $q$  is a fixed bundle of risky assets held by all investors regardless of their preferences. Hence, it is called the market portfolio.
- Straight line connecting  $R_f$  and  $q$  and going beyond is called the capital market line (CML).

# Efficient-Set Mathematics

With a risk-free asset

- Investor preferences determine where they will reside along the CML. Risk-averse investors will locate themselves in between  $R_f$  and  $q$ . Risk-loving investors will go beyond  $q$ .
- An extreme investor who does not want any risk will reside at point  $R_f$ .
- For any portfolio  $a$ ,  $\frac{\mu_a - R_f}{\sigma_a}$  is called the Sharpe ratio. It measures the expected excess return per unit risk or it is the price of risk.

# Efficient-Set Mathematics

With a risk-free asset

- $\frac{\mu_q - R_f}{\sigma_q}$  is called the market price of risk.
- Portfolio  $q$  has the maximum Sharpe ratio of all portfolios of risky assets. This means that no one will stay along the line  $R_f \rightarrow a$ .

# Derivation of CAPM

- CAPM can be derived using the efficient set mathematics.
- Suppose that an investor decides to allocate  $x_i$  to a security  $i$  with mean return  $\mu_i$  and variance  $\sigma_i^2$  and  $1 - x_i$  to the market portfolio  $q$ . This portfolio's expected return is

$$\mu_p = x_i \mu_i + (1 - x_i) \mu_q;$$

$$\sigma_p = \sqrt{x_i^2 \sigma_i^2 + (1 - x_i)^2 \sigma_q^2 + 2x_i(1 - x_i)\sigma_{iq}}.$$

# Derivation of CAPM

- But moving off the market portfolio is not an optimal investment strategy and thus it should be  $x_i = 0$ . At  $x_i = 0$ ,

$$\left. \frac{\partial \mu_p}{\partial \sigma_p} \right|_{x_i=0} = \frac{(\mu_i - \mu_q) \sigma_q}{\sigma_{iq} - \sigma_q^2}.$$
<sup>2</sup>

But this should be equal to the slope of the CML

$$\frac{\mu_q - R_f}{\sigma_q}.$$

Thus, we obtain

$$\mu_i = R_f + \frac{\sigma_{iq}}{\sigma_q^2} (\mu_q - R_f).$$

---

<sup>2</sup>Consider  $\frac{\partial \mu_p}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial x_i}{\partial \sigma_p}$  evaluated at  $x_i = 0$ . Note also that  $\sigma_p = \sigma_q$  at  $x_i = 0$ .



# Testing for CAPM

## Testing for a zero intercept term

- Let  $Z_t$  be an  $N \times 1$  vector of excess returns for  $N$  assets at time  $t$ . According to the Sharpe-Lintner CAPM,

$$Z_t = \alpha + \beta Z_{mt} + \varepsilon_t$$

where  $\alpha = 0$ ,  $Z_{mt}$  is the time period  $t$  market portfolio excess return and  $\varepsilon_t$  is an idiosyncratic error ( $\sim iid(0, \Sigma)$ ).

# Testing for CAPM

## Testing for a zero intercept term

- Testing for CAPM amounts to testing the null hypothesis

$$H_0 : \alpha = 0.$$

Of course, this is only an aspect of CAPM.

- In addition, we need to know whether  $\beta > 0$  and whether there are other factors that affect the expected return.

# Testing for CAPM

## Testing for a zero intercept term

- Let

$$\hat{\alpha} = \bar{Z} - \hat{\beta}\bar{Z}_m;$$

$$\hat{\beta} = \frac{\sum_{t=1}^T (Z_t - \bar{Z})(Z_{mt} - \bar{Z}_m)}{\sum_{t=1}^T (Z_{mt} - \bar{Z}_m)^2};$$

$$\bar{Z} = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T Z_t; \quad \bar{Z}_m = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T Z_{mt};$$

$$\hat{\Sigma} = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T (Z_t - \hat{\alpha} - \hat{\beta}Z_{mt})(Z_t - \hat{\alpha} - \hat{\beta}Z_{mt})'.$$

# Testing for CAPM

## Testing for a zero intercept term

- Wald statistic for  $H_0 : \alpha = 0$  is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} J &= \hat{\alpha}' [\text{Var}(\hat{\alpha})]^{-1} \hat{\alpha} \\ &= T \left[ 1 + \frac{\bar{Z}_m^2}{\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T (Z_{mt} - \bar{Z}_m)^2} \right]^{-1} \hat{\alpha}' \hat{\Sigma}^{-1} \hat{\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

- When  $T$  is large,  $J \sim \chi^2(N)$ .

# Testing for CAPM

## Testing for a zero intercept term

- There are other types of tests available (cf. Campbell, Lo and MacKinlay).
- Time series empirical evidence is not favorable to CAPM as shown in Table 5.3 of Campbell, Lo and MacKinlay.

# Testing for CAPM

Evidence based on the cross-sectional regression

- Figure 20.8 of Cochrane (2001, p.436) plots returns and betas of 10 portfolios of NYSE stocks sorted by size, and those of portfolios made up of corporate bonds and long-term government bonds.

# Testing for CAPM

Evidence based on the cross-sectional regression

- We find:
  - (i) Portfolios with higher average returns have higher betas as CAPM predicts.
  - (ii) The smallest firms seem to earn an average return a few percentage points too high given their betas (small-firm effect).
  - (iii) The long-term and corporate bonds have mean returns in line with their low betas, despite their standard deviations nearly as high as those of stocks.

# Capital budgeting and CAPM

## Capital budgeting

- Suppose that a company is considering new projects that will provide cash streams. The projects will be financed by equities only.
- The question is which projects should be pursued and which should not be.
- If a project provides a cash stream which has positive present value, it should be pursued.
- When calculating the present value, many companies in the real world use the discount rate that is implied by CAPM.
- Why? The expected return implied by CAPM is the opportunity cost of equity.



# Capital budgeting and CAPM

## Capital budgeting

### Example

A company's expected return calculated by CAPM is 16.495%. A new project will provide cash \$14 million next year, while its cost is \$10 million. The present value of the project is

$$\frac{14}{1 + 0.16495} - 10 = 2.0177.$$

The calculation shows that this project is worth pursuing.

# Capital budgeting and CAPM

## Capital budgeting

- If a company's projects are financed by debts and preferred stocks, the cost of capital is easier to calculate: it is the cost of borrowing.

### Example

(Weighted average cost of capital) A company's expected return calculated by CAPM is 16.495%, and the cost of borrowing for the company is 8% per annum. A new project will provide cash \$14 million next year, while its cost is \$10 million out of which \$4 million will be financed by equities and \$6 million by debt. The average cost of capital is

$$\frac{4}{6+4} \times 0.16495 + \frac{6}{6+4} \times 0.08 = 0.11398.$$

The present value of the project is

$$\frac{14}{1 + 0.11398} - 10 = 2.5676.$$