

MATH 1410 ELEMENTARY LINEAR ALGEBRA

Fall 2016 Edition, University of Lethbridge

Sean Fitzpatrick

Department of Mathematics and Computer Science

University of Lethbridge

Contributing Textbooks

Precalculus

Version $\lfloor \pi \rfloor = 3$

Carl Stitz and Jeff Zeager

www.stitz-zeager.com

Fundamentals of Matrix Algebra

Third Edition, Version 3.1110

Gregory Hartman

www.vmi.edu

APEX Calculus

Version 3.0

Gregory Hartman et al

apexcalculus.com



Copyright © 2011, 2015 Gregory Hartman
Copyright © 2013 Carl Stitz and Jeff Zeager
Licensed to the public under Creative Commons
Attribution-Noncommercial 4.0 International Public
License

This version of the text assembled and edited by Sean Fitzpatrick, University
of Lethbridge, July, 2016.

Contents

Table of Contents	iii
Preface	v
1 The Real and Complex Number Systems	1
1.1 Some Basic Set Theory Notions	1
1.1.1 Sets of Real Numbers	3
1.2 Real Number Arithmetic	10
1.3 The Cartesian Coordinate Plane	26
1.3.1 Distance in the Plane	29
1.4 Complex Numbers	34
1.5 Polar Coordinates	41
1.6 The Polar Form of Complex Numbers	55
2 Vectors	71
2.1 Introduction to Cartesian Coordinates in Space	71
2.2 An Introduction to Vectors	76
2.3 The Dot Product	87
2.4 The Cross Product	97
2.5 Lines	106
2.6 Planes	115
3 Systems of Linear Equations	123
3.1 Introduction to Linear Equations	123
3.2 Using Matrices To Solve Systems of Linear Equations	128
3.3 Elementary Row Operations and Gaussian Elimination	135
3.4 Existence and Uniqueness of Solutions	144
3.5 Applications of Linear Systems	155
4 Matrix Arithmetic	165
4.1 Matrix Addition and Scalar Multiplication	165
4.2 Matrix Multiplication	172
4.3 Visualizing Matrix Arithmetic in 2D	185
4.4 Vector Solutions to Linear Systems	198
4.5 Solving Matrix Equations $AX = B$	214
4.6 The Matrix Inverse	220
4.7 Properties of the Matrix Inverse	228
5 Operations on Matrices	235
5.1 The Matrix Transpose	235
5.2 The Matrix Trace	244
5.3 The Determinant	248
5.4 Properties of the Determinant	257

Contents

5.5	Cramer's Rule	267
6	Eigenvalues and Eigenvectors	271
6.1	Eigenvalues and Eigenvectors	271
6.2	Properties of Eigenvalues and Eigenvectors	284
7	Graphical Explorations of Vectors	293
7.1	Transformations of the Cartesian Plane	293
7.2	Properties of Linear Transformations	307
7.3	Visualizing Vectors: Vectors in Three Dimensions	318
A	Answers To Selected Problems	A.1
Index		A.15

PREFACE

Math 1410 preface goes here...

The book is very much a work in progress, and I will be editing it regularly.

Feedback is always welcome.

Acknowledgements

First and foremost, I need to thank the authors of the textbooks that provide the source material for this text. Without their hard work, and willingness to make their books (and the source code) freely available, it would not have been possible to create an affordable textbook for this course. You can find the original textbooks at their websites:

www.stitz-zeager.com, for the *Precalculus* textbook, by Stitz and Zeager,

<http://www.vmi.edu/academics/departments/applied-mathematics/affordable-textbooks-apex/>, for the *Fundamentals of Matrix Algebra* textbook, by Gregory Hartman, and

apexcalculus.com, for the *Ap_EX Calculus* textbook, by Hartman et al.

Sean Fitzpatrick
Department of Mathematics and Computer Science
University of Lethbridge
July, 2016

1: THE REAL AND COMPLEX NUMBER SYSTEMS

1.1 Some Basic Set Theory Notions

While the authors would like nothing more than to delve quickly and deeply into the sheer excitement that is *Precalculus*, experience has taught us that a brief refresher on some basic notions is welcome, if not completely necessary, at this stage. To that end, we present a brief summary of ‘set theory’ and some of the associated vocabulary and notations we use in the text. Like all good Math books, we begin with a definition.

Definition 1 Set

A **set** is a well-defined collection of objects which are called the ‘elements’ of the set. Here, ‘well-defined’ means that it is possible to determine if something belongs to the collection or not, without prejudice.

For example, the collection of letters that make up the word “pronghorns” is well-defined and is a set, but the collection of the worst math teachers in the world is **not** well-defined, and so is **not** a set. In general, there are three ways to describe sets. They are

Key Idea 1 Ways to Describe Sets

1. **The Verbal Method:** Use a sentence to define a set.
2. **The Roster Method:** Begin with a left brace ‘{’, list each element of the set *only once* and then end with a right brace ‘}’.
3. **The Set-Builder Method:** A combination of the verbal and roster methods using a “dummy variable” such as x .

One thing that student evaluations teach us is that any given Mathematics instructor can be simultaneously the best and worst teacher ever, depending on who is completing the evaluation.

For example, let S be the set described *verbally* as the set of letters that make up the word “pronghorns”. A **roster** description of S would be $\{p, r, o, n, g, h, s\}$. Note that we listed ‘r’, ‘o’, and ‘n’ only once, even though they appear twice in “pronghorns.” Also, the *order* of the elements doesn’t matter, so $\{o, n, p, r, g, s, h\}$ is also a roster description of S . A **set-builder** description of S is:

$$\{x \mid x \text{ is a letter in the word “pronghorns”}\}$$

The way to read this is: ‘The set of elements x such that x is a letter in the word “pronghorns.”’ In each of the above cases, we may use the familiar equals sign ‘=’ and write $S = \{p, r, o, n, g, h, s\}$ or $S = \{x \mid x \text{ is a letter in the word “pronghorns”}\}$. Clearly r is in S and q is not in S . We express these sentiments mathematically by writing $r \in S$ and $q \notin S$.

More precisely, we have the following.

Definition 2 Notation for set inclusion

Let A be a set.

- If x is an element of A then we write $x \in A$ which is read ‘ x is in A ’.
- If x is *not* an element of A then we write $x \notin A$ which is read ‘ x is not in A ’.

Now let’s consider the set $C = \{x \mid x \text{ is a consonant in the word “pronghorns”}\}$. A roster description of C is $C = \{p, r, n, g, h, s\}$. Note that by construction, every element of C is also in S . We express this relationship by stating that the set C is a **subset** of the set S , which is written in symbols as $C \subseteq S$. The more formal definition is given below.

Definition 3 Subset

Given sets A and B , we say that the set A is a **subset** of the set B and write ‘ $A \subseteq B$ ’ if every element in A is also an element of B .

Note that in our example above $C \subseteq S$, but not vice-versa, since $o \in S$ but $o \notin C$. Additionally, the set of vowels $V = \{a, e, i, o, u\}$, while it does have an element in common with S , is not a subset of S . (As an added note, S is not a subset of V , either.) We could, however, *build* a set which contains both S and V as subsets by gathering all of the elements in both S and V together into a single set, say $U = \{p, r, o, n, g, h, s, a, e, i, u\}$. Then $S \subseteq U$ and $V \subseteq U$. The set U we have built is called the **union** of the sets S and V and is denoted $S \cup V$. Furthermore, S and V aren’t completely *different* sets since they both contain the letter ‘o.’ (Since the word ‘different’ could be ambiguous, mathematicians use the word *disjoint* to refer to two sets that have no elements in common.) The **intersection** of two sets is the set of elements (if any) the two sets have in common. In this case, the intersection of S and V is $\{o\}$, written $S \cap V = \{o\}$. We formalize these ideas below.

Definition 4 Intersection and Union

Suppose A and B are sets.

- The **intersection** of A and B is $A \cap B = \{x \mid x \in A \text{ and } x \in B\}$
- The **union** of A and B is $A \cup B = \{x \mid x \in A \text{ or } x \in B \text{ (or both)}\}$

The key words in Definition 4 to focus on are the conjunctions: ‘intersection’ corresponds to ‘and’ meaning the elements have to be in *both* sets to be in the intersection, whereas ‘union’ corresponds to ‘or’ meaning the elements have to be in one set, or the other set (or both). In other words, to belong to the union of two sets an element must belong to *at least one* of them.

Returning to the sets C and V above, $C \cup V = \{p, r, n, g, h, s, a, e, i, o, u\}$. When it comes to their intersection, however, we run into a bit of notational

awkwardness since C and V have no elements in common. While we could write $C \cap V = \{\}$, this sort of thing happens often enough that we give the set with no elements a name.

Definition 5 Empty set

The **Empty Set** \emptyset is the set which contains no elements. That is,

$$\emptyset = \{\} = \{x \mid x \neq x\}.$$

As promised, the empty set is the set containing no elements since no matter what ‘ x ’ is, ‘ $x = x$ ’. Like the number ‘0’, the empty set plays a vital role in mathematics. We introduce it here more as a symbol of convenience as opposed to a contrivance. Using this new bit of notation, we have for the sets C and V above that $C \cap V = \emptyset$. A nice way to visualize relationships between sets and set operations is to draw a **Venn Diagram**. A Venn Diagram for the sets S , C and V is drawn in Figure 1.1.

In Figure 1.1 we have three circles - one for each of the sets C , S and V . We visualize the area enclosed by each of these circles as the elements of each set. Here, we’ve spelled out the elements for definitiveness. Notice that the circle representing the set C is completely inside the circle representing S . This is a geometric way of showing that $C \subseteq S$. Also, notice that the circles representing S and V overlap on the letter ‘o’. This common region is how we visualize $S \cap V$. Notice that since $C \cap V = \emptyset$, the circles which represent C and V have no overlap whatsoever.

All of these circles lie in a rectangle labelled U (for ‘universal’ set). A universal set contains all of the elements under discussion, so it could always be taken as the union of all of the sets in question, or an even larger set. In this case, we could take $U = S \cup V$ or U as the set of letters in the entire alphabet. The usual triptych of Venn Diagrams indicating generic sets A and B along with $A \cap B$ and $A \cup B$ is given below.

(The reader may well wonder if there is an ultimate universal set which contains *everything*. The short answer is ‘no’. Our definition of a set turns out to be overly simplistic, but correcting this takes us well beyond the confines of this course. If you want the longer answer, you can begin by reading about [Russell’s Paradox](#) on Wikipedia.)

1.1.1 Sets of Real Numbers

The playground for most of this text is the set of **Real Numbers**. Many quantities in the ‘real world’ can be quantified using real numbers: the temperature at a given time, the revenue generated by selling a certain number of products and the maximum population of Sasquatch which can inhabit a particular region are just three basic examples. A succinct, but nonetheless incomplete definition of a real number is given below.

Definition 6 The real numbers

A **real number** is any number which possesses a decimal representation. The set of real numbers is denoted by the character \mathbb{R} .

The full extent of the empty set’s role will not be explored in this text, but it is of fundamental importance in Set Theory. In fact, the empty set can be used to generate numbers - mathematicians can create something from nothing! If you’re interested, read about the von Neumann construction of the natural numbers or consider signing up for Math 2000.







Figure 1.1: A Venn diagram for C , S , and V



Sets A and B .



$A \cap B$ is shaded.



$A \cup B$ is shaded.

Figure 1.2: Venn diagrams for intersection and union

Certain subsets of the real numbers are worthy of note and are listed below. In more advanced courses like Analysis, you learn that the real numbers can be *constructed* from the rational numbers, which in turn can be constructed from the integers (which themselves come from the natural numbers, which in turn can be defined as sets...).

Definition 7 Sets of Numbers

An example of a number with a repeating decimal expansion is $a = 2.13234234234\dots$. This is rational since $100a = 213.234234234\dots$, and $100000a = 213234.234234\dots$ so $99900a = 100000a - 100a = 213021$. This gives us the rational expression $a = \frac{213021}{99900}$.

The classic example of an irrational number is the number π , but numbers like $\sqrt{2}$ and $0.101001000100001\dots$ are other fine representatives.

1. The **Empty Set**: $\emptyset = \{\} = \{x | x \neq x\}$. This is the set with no elements. Like the number ‘0’, it plays a vital role in mathematics.
2. The **Natural Numbers**: $\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ The periods of ellipsis here indicate that the natural numbers contain 1, 2, 3, ‘and so forth’.
3. The **Integers**: $\mathbb{Z} = \{\dots, -3, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$
4. The **Rational Numbers**: $\mathbb{Q} = \left\{ \frac{a}{b} \mid a \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } b \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}$. Rational numbers are the ratios of integers (provided the denominator is not zero!) It turns out that another way to describe the rational numbers is:

$$\mathbb{Q} = \{x \mid x \text{ possesses a repeating or terminating decimal representation.}\}$$
5. The **Real Numbers**: $\mathbb{R} = \{x \mid x \text{ possesses a decimal representation.}\}$
6. The **Irrational Numbers**: Real numbers that are not rational are called **irrational**. As a set, we have $\{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid x \notin \mathbb{Q}\}$. (There is no standard symbol for this set.) Every irrational number has a decimal expansion which neither repeats nor terminates.
7. The **Complex Numbers**: $\mathbb{C} = \{a+bi \mid a, b \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and } i = \sqrt{-1}\}$ (We will not deal with complex numbers in Math 1010, although they usually make an appearance in Math 1410.)

It is important to note that every natural number is a whole number is an integer. Each integer is a rational number (take $b = 1$ in the above definition for \mathbb{Q}) and the rational numbers are all real numbers, since they possess decimal representations (via long division!). If we take $b = 0$ in the above definition of \mathbb{C} , we see that every real number is a complex number. In this sense, the sets \mathbb{N} , \mathbb{Z} , \mathbb{Q} , \mathbb{R} , and \mathbb{C} are ‘nested’ like Matryoshka dolls. More formally, these sets form a subset chain: $\mathbb{N} \subseteq \mathbb{Z} \subseteq \mathbb{Q} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. The reader is encouraged to sketch a Venn Diagram depicting \mathbb{R} and all of the subsets mentioned above. It is time for an example.

Example 1 Sets of real numbers

1. Write a roster description for $P = \{2^n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and $E = \{2n \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$.
2. Write a verbal description for $S = \{x^2 \mid x \in \mathbb{R}\}$.
3. Let $A = \{-117, \frac{4}{5}, 0.\overline{2002}, 0.202002000200002\dots\}$.

Which elements of A are natural numbers? Rational numbers? Real numbers?

SOLUTION

- To find a roster description for these sets, we need to list their elements.

Starting with $P = \{2^n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$, we substitute natural number values n into the formula 2^n . For $n = 1$ we get $2^1 = 2$, for $n = 2$ we get $2^2 = 4$, for $n = 3$ we get $2^3 = 8$ and for $n = 4$ we get $2^4 = 16$. Hence P describes the powers of 2, so a roster description for P is $P = \{2, 4, 8, 16, \dots\}$ where the ‘ \dots ’ indicates the pattern continues.

Proceeding in the same way, we generate elements in $E = \{2n \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ by plugging in integer values of n into the formula $2n$. Starting with $n = 0$ we obtain $2(0) = 0$. For $n = 1$ we get $2(1) = 2$, for $n = -1$ we get $2(-1) = -2$ for $n = 2$, we get $2(2) = 4$ and for $n = -2$ we get $2(-2) = -4$. As n moves through the integers, $2n$ produces all of the even integers. A roster description for E is $E = \{0, \pm 2, \pm 4, \dots\}$.

- One way to verbally describe S is to say that S is the ‘set of all squares of real numbers’. While this isn’t incorrect, we’d like to take this opportunity to delve a little deeper. What makes the set $S = \{x^2 \mid x \in \mathbb{R}\}$ a little trickier to wrangle than the sets P or E above is that the dummy variable here, x , runs through all *real* numbers. Unlike the natural numbers or the integers, the real numbers cannot be listed in any methodical way. Nevertheless, we can select some real numbers, square them and get a sense of what kind of numbers lie in S . For $x = -2$, $x^2 = (-2)^2 = 4$ so 4 is in S , as are $(\frac{3}{2})^2 = \frac{9}{4}$ and $(\sqrt{117})^2 = 117$. Even things like $(-\pi)^2$ and $(0.101001000100001\dots)^2$ are in S .

So suppose $s \in S$. What can be said about s ? We know there is some real number x so that $s = x^2$. Since $x^2 \geq 0$ for any real number x , we know $s \geq 0$. This tells us that everything in S is a non-negative real number. This begs the question: are all of the non-negative real numbers in S ? Suppose n is a non-negative real number, that is, $n \geq 0$. If n were in S , there would be a real number x so that $x^2 = n$. As you may recall, we can solve $x^2 = n$ by ‘extracting square roots’: $x = \pm\sqrt{n}$. Since $n \geq 0$, \sqrt{n} is a real number. Moreover, $(\sqrt{n})^2 = n$ so n is the square of a real number which means $n \in S$. Hence, S is the set of non-negative real numbers.

- The set A contains no natural numbers. Clearly, $\frac{4}{5}$ is a rational number as is -117 (which can be written as $\frac{-117}{1}$). It’s the last two numbers listed in A , $0.\overline{202002}$ and $0.202002000200002\dots$, that warrant some discussion. First, recall that the ‘line’ over the digits 2002 in $0.20\overline{2002}$ (called the vinculum) indicates that these digits repeat, so it is a rational number. As for the number $0.202002000200002\dots$, the ‘ \dots ’ indicates the pattern of adding an extra ‘0’ followed by a ‘2’ is what defines this real number. Despite the fact there is a *pattern* to this decimal, this decimal is *not repeating*, so it is not a rational number - it is, in fact, an irrational number. All of the elements of A are real numbers, since all of them can be expressed as decimals (remember that $\frac{4}{5} = 0.8$).

As you may recall, we often visualize the set of real numbers \mathbb{R} as a line where each point on the line corresponds to one and only one real number. Given two different real numbers a and b , we write $a < b$ if a is located to the left of b on the number line, as shown in Figure 1.3.

While this notion seems innocuous, it is worth pointing out that this convention is rooted in two deep properties of real numbers. The first property is that

This isn’t the most *precise* way to describe this set - it’s always dangerous to use ‘ \dots ’ since we assume that the pattern is clearly demonstrated and thus made evident to the reader. Formulas are more precise because the pattern is clear.

It shouldn’t be too surprising that E is the set of all even integers, since an even integer is *defined* to be an integer multiple of 2.

The fact that the real numbers cannot be listed is a nontrivial statement. Interested readers are directed to a discussion of [Cantor’s Diagonal Argument](#).

\mathbb{R} is complete. This means that there are no ‘holes’ or ‘gaps’ in the real number line. (This intuitive feel for what it means to be ‘complete’ is as good as it gets at this level. Completeness does get a much more precise meaning later in courses like Analysis and Topology.) Another way to think about this is that if you choose any two distinct (different) real numbers, and look between them, you’ll find a solid line segment (or interval) consisting of infinitely many real numbers.

The next result tells us what types of numbers we can expect to find.

Theorem 1 Density Property of \mathbb{Q} in \mathbb{R}

Between any two distinct real numbers, there is at least one rational number and irrational number. It then follows that between any two distinct real numbers there will be infinitely many rational and irrational numbers.




Figure 1.3: The real number line with two numbers a and b , where $a < b$.

The root word ‘dense’ here communicates the idea that rationals and irrationals are ‘thoroughly mixed’ into \mathbb{R} . The reader is encouraged to think about how one would find both a rational and an irrational number between, say, 0.9999 and 1. Once you’ve done that, ask yourself whether there is any difference between the numbers $0.\bar{9}$ and 1.

The second property \mathbb{R} possesses that lets us view it as a line is that the set is totally ordered. This means that given any two real numbers a and b , either $a < b$, $a > b$ or $a = b$ which allows us to arrange the numbers from least (left) to greatest (right). You may have heard this property given as the ‘Law of Trichotomy’.

The Law of Trichotomy, strictly speaking, is an *axiom* of the real numbers: a basic requirement that we assume to be true. However, in any *construction* of the real, such as the method of Dedekind cuts, it is necessary to *prove* that the Law of Trichotomy is satisfied.

Definition 8 Law of Trichotomy








If a and b are real numbers then **exactly one** of the following statements is true:

$$a < b \qquad \qquad \qquad a > b \qquad \qquad \qquad a = b$$

Segments of the real number line are called **intervals** of numbers. Below is a summary of the so-called **interval notation** associated with given sets of numbers. For intervals with finite endpoints, we list the left endpoint, then the right endpoint. We use square brackets, ‘[’ or ‘]’, if the endpoint is included in the interval and use a filled-in or ‘closed’ dot to indicate membership in the interval. Otherwise, we use parentheses, ‘(’ or ‘)’ and an ‘open’ circle to indicate that the endpoint is not part of the set. If the interval does not have finite endpoints, we use the symbols $-\infty$ to indicate that the interval extends indefinitely to the left and ∞ to indicate that the interval extends indefinitely to the right. Since infinity is a concept, and not a number, we always use parentheses when using these symbols in interval notation, and use an appropriate arrow to indicate that the interval extends indefinitely in one (or both) directions.



Definition 9 Interval Notation

Let a and b be real numbers with $a < b$.

Set of Real Numbers	Interval Notation	Region on the Real Number Line
$\{x a < x < b\}$	(a, b)	
$\{x a \leq x < b\}$	$[a, b)$	
$\{x a < x \leq b\}$	$(a, b]$	
$\{x a \leq x \leq b\}$	$[a, b]$	
$\{x x < b\}$	$(-\infty, b)$	
$\{x x \leq b\}$	$(-\infty, b]$	
$\{x x > a\}$	(a, ∞)	
$\{x x \geq a\}$	$[a, \infty)$	
\mathbb{R}	$(-\infty, \infty)$	

As you can glean from the table, for intervals with finite endpoints we start by writing ‘left endpoint, right endpoint’. We use square brackets, ‘[’ or ‘]’, if the endpoint is included in the interval. This corresponds to a ‘filled-in’ or ‘closed’ dot on the number line to indicate that the number is included in the set. Otherwise, we use parentheses, ‘(’ or ‘)’ that correspond to an ‘open’ circle which indicates that the endpoint is not part of the set. If the interval does not have finite endpoints, we use the symbol $-\infty$ to indicate that the interval extends indefinitely to the left and the symbol ∞ to indicate that the interval extends indefinitely to the right. Since infinity is a concept, and not a number, we always use parentheses when using these symbols in interval notation, and use the appropriate arrow to indicate that the interval extends indefinitely in one or both directions.

Let’s do a few examples to make sure we have the hang of the notation:

Set of Real Numbers	Interval Notation	Region on the Real Number Line
$\{x 1 \leq x < 3\}$	$[1, 3)$	
$\{x -1 \leq x \leq 4\}$	$[-1, 4]$	
$\{x x \leq 5\}$	$(-\infty, 5]$	
$\{x x > -2\}$	$(-2, \infty)$	

The importance of understanding interval notation in Calculus cannot be overstated. If you don’t find yourself getting the hang of it through repeated use, you may need to take the time to just memorize this chart.




Figure 1.4: Union and intersection of intervals

We defined the intersection and union of arbitrary sets in Definition 4. Recall that the union of two sets consists of the totality of the elements in each of the sets, collected together. For example, if $A = \{1, 2, 3\}$ and $B = \{2, 4, 6\}$, then $A \cap B = \{2\}$ and $A \cup B = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 6\}$. If $A = [-5, 3]$ and $B = (1, \infty)$, then we can find $A \cap B$ and $A \cup B$ graphically. To find $A \cap B$, we shade the overlap of the two and obtain $A \cap B = (1, 3)$. To find $A \cup B$, we shade each of A and B and describe the resulting shaded region to find $A \cup B = [-5, \infty)$.

While both intersection and union are important, we have more occasion to use union in this text than intersection, simply because most of the sets of real numbers we will be working with are either intervals or are unions of intervals, as the following example illustrates.

Example 2 Expressing sets as unions of intervals

Express the following sets of numbers using interval notation.

1. $\{x | x \leq -2 \text{ or } x \geq 2\}$
2. $\{x | x \neq 3\}$
3. $\{x | x \neq \pm 3\}$
4. $\{x | -1 < x \leq 3 \text{ or } x = 5\}$

SOLUTION

1. The best way to proceed here is to graph the set of numbers on the number line and glean the answer from it. The inequality $x \leq -2$ corresponds to the interval $(-\infty, -2]$ and the inequality $x \geq 2$ corresponds to the interval $[2, \infty)$. Since we are looking to describe the real numbers x in one of these *or* the other, we have $\{x | x \leq -2 \text{ or } x \geq 2\} = (-\infty, -2] \cup [2, \infty)$.
2. For the set $\{x | x \neq 3\}$, we shade the entire real number line except $x = 3$, where we leave an open circle. This divides the real number line into two intervals, $(-\infty, 3)$ and $(3, \infty)$. Since the values of x could be in either one of these intervals *or* the other, we have that $\{x | x \neq 3\} = (-\infty, 3) \cup (3, \infty)$.
3. For the set $\{x | x \neq \pm 3\}$, we proceed as before and exclude both $x = 3$ and $x = -3$ from our set. This breaks the number line into *three* intervals, $(-\infty, -3)$, $(-3, 3)$ and $(3, \infty)$. Since the set describes real numbers which come from the first, second *or* third interval, we have $\{x | x \neq \pm 3\} = (-\infty, -3) \cup (-3, 3) \cup (3, \infty)$.
4. Graphing the set $\{x | -1 < x \leq 3 \text{ or } x = 5\}$, we get one interval, $(-1, 3]$ along with a single number, or point, $\{5\}$. While we *could* express the latter as $[5, 5]$ (Can you see why?), we choose to write our answer as $\{x | -1 < x \leq 3 \text{ or } x = 5\} = (-1, 3] \cup \{5\}$.



Figure 1.5: The set $(-\infty, -2] \cup [2, \infty)$




Figure 1.6: The set $(-\infty, 3) \cup (3, \infty)$




Figure 1.7: The set $(-\infty, -3) \cup (-3, 3) \cup (3, \infty)$




Figure 1.8: The set $(-1, 3] \cup \{5\}$

Exercises 1.1

Problems

1. State which of the following equations is a linear equation.
If it is not, state why.

- (a) $x + y + z = 10$
(b) $xy + yz + xz = 1$
(c) $-3x + 9 = 3y - 5z + x - 7$
(d) $\sqrt{5}y + \pi x = -1$
(e) $(x - 1)(x + 1) = 0$

In Exercises 2 – 11, state whether or not the given equation is linear.

2. $x + y + z = 10$
3. $xy + yz + xz = 1$
4. $-3x + 9 = 3y - 5z + x - 7$
5. $\sqrt{5}y + \pi x = -1$
6. $(x - 1)(x + 1) = 0$
7. $\sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2} = 25$

8. $x_1 + y + t = 1$

9. $\frac{1}{x} + 9 = 3 \cos(y) - 5z$

10. $\cos(15)y + \frac{x}{4} = -1$

11. $2^x + 2^y = 16$

In Exercises 12 – 15, solve the system of linear equations.

12. $\begin{array}{rcl} x & + & y \\ 2x & - & 3y \end{array} = \begin{array}{r} -1 \\ 8 \end{array}$

13. $\begin{array}{rcl} 2x & - & 3y \\ 3x & + & 6y \end{array} = \begin{array}{r} 3 \\ 8 \end{array}$

14. $\begin{array}{rcl} x & - & y & + & z \\ 2x & + & 6y & - & z \\ 4x & - & 5y & + & 2z \end{array} = \begin{array}{r} 1 \\ -4 \\ 0 \end{array}$

15. $\begin{array}{rcl} x & + & y & - & z \\ 2x & + & y & & \\ & & y & + & 2z \end{array} = \begin{array}{r} 1 \\ 2 \\ 0 \end{array}$

1.2 Real Number Arithmetic

In this section we list the properties of real number arithmetic. This is meant to be a succinct, targeted review so we'll resist the temptation to wax poetic about these axioms and their subtleties and refer the interested reader to a more formal course in Abstract Algebra. There are two (primary) operations one can perform with real numbers: addition and multiplication.

Definition 10 Properties of Real Number Addition

- **Closure:** For all real numbers a and b , $a + b$ is also a real number.
- **Commutativity:** For all real numbers a and b , $a + b = b + a$.
- **Associativity:** For all real numbers a , b and c , $a + (b + c) = (a + b) + c$.
- **Identity:** There is a real number '0' so that for all real numbers a , $a + 0 = a$.
- **Inverse:** For all real numbers a , there is a real number $-a$ such that $a + (-a) = 0$.
- **Definition of Subtraction:** For all real numbers a and b , $a - b = a + (-b)$.

Next, we give real number multiplication a similar treatment. Recall that we may denote the product of two real numbers a and b a variety of ways: ab , $a \cdot b$, $a(b)$, $(a)b$ and so on. We'll refrain from using $a \times b$ for real number multiplication in this text.

Definition 11 Properties of Real Number Multiplication

- **Closure:** For all real numbers a and b , ab is also a real number.
- **Commutativity:** For all real numbers a and b , $ab = ba$.
- **Associativity:** For all real numbers a , b and c , $a(bc) = (ab)c$.
- **Identity:** There is a real number '1' so that for all real numbers a , $a \cdot 1 = a$.
- **Inverse:** For all real numbers $a \neq 0$, there is a real number $\frac{1}{a}$ such that $a \left(\frac{1}{a} \right) = 1$.
- **Definition of Division:** For all real numbers a and $b \neq 0$, $a \div b = \frac{a}{b} = a \left(\frac{1}{b} \right)$.

While most students (and some faculty) tend to skip over these properties or give them a cursory glance at best, it is important to realize that the prop-

erties stated above are what drive the symbolic manipulation for all of Algebra. When listing a tally of more than two numbers, $1 + 2 + 3$ for example, we don't need to specify the order in which those numbers are added. Notice though, try as we might, we can add only two numbers at a time and it is the associative property of addition which assures us that we could organize this sum as $(1 + 2) + 3$ or $1 + (2 + 3)$. This brings up a note about 'grouping symbols'. Recall that parentheses and brackets are used in order to specify which operations are to be performed first. In the absence of such grouping symbols, multiplication (and hence division) is given priority over addition (and hence subtraction). For example, $1 + 2 \cdot 3 = 1 + 6 = 7$, but $(1 + 2) \cdot 3 = 3 \cdot 3 = 9$. As you may recall, we can 'distribute' the 3 across the addition if we really wanted to do the multiplication first: $(1 + 2) \cdot 3 = 1 \cdot 3 + 2 \cdot 3 = 3 + 6 = 9$. More generally, we have the following.

Definition 12 The Distributive Property and Factoring

For all real numbers a , b and c :

- **Distributive Property:** $a(b + c) = ab + ac$ and $(a + b)c = ac + bc$.
- **Factoring:** $ab + ac = a(b + c)$ and $ac + bc = (a + b)c$.

Warning: A common source of errors for beginning students is the misuse (that is, lack of use) of parentheses. When in doubt, more is better than less: redundant parentheses add clutter, but do not change meaning, whereas writing $2x + 1$ when you meant to write $2(x + 1)$ is almost guaranteed to cause you to make a mistake. (Even if you're able to proceed correctly in spite of your lack of proper notation, this is the sort of thing that will get you on your grader's bad side, so it's probably best to avoid the problem in the first place.)

It is worth pointing out that we didn't really need to list the Distributive Property both for $a(b + c)$ (distributing from the left) and $(a + b)c$ (distributing from the right), since the commutative property of multiplication gives us one from the other. Also, 'factoring' really is the same equation as the distributive property, just read from right to left. These are the first of many redundancies in this section, and they exist in this review section for one reason only - in our experience, many students see these things differently so we will list them as such.

It is hard to overstate the importance of the Distributive Property. For example, in the expression $5(2 + x)$, without knowing the value of x , we cannot perform the addition inside the parentheses first; we must rely on the distributive property here to get $5(2 + x) = 5 \cdot 2 + 5 \cdot x = 10 + 5x$. The Distributive Property is also responsible for combining 'like terms'. Why is $3x + 2x = 5x$? Because $3x + 2x = (3 + 2)x = 5x$.

We continue our review with summaries of other properties of arithmetic, each of which can be derived from the properties listed above. First up are properties of the additive identity 0.

The Zero Product Property drives most of the equation solving algorithms in Algebra because it allows us to take complicated equations and reduce them to simpler ones. For example, you may recall that one way to solve $x^2 + x - 6 = 0$ is by factoring the left hand side of this equation to get $(x-2)(x+3) = 0$. From here, we apply the Zero Product Property and set each factor equal to zero. This yields $x-2 = 0$ or $x+3 = 0$ so $x = 2$ or $x = -3$. This type of calculation is key to finding the eigenvalues of a matrix, as we'll see in Section 6.1.

Theorem 2 Properties of Zero

Suppose a and b are real numbers.

- **Zero Product Property:** $ab = 0$ if and only if $a = 0$ or $b = 0$ (or both)

Note: This not only says that $0 \cdot a = 0$ for any real number a , it also says that the *only* way to get an answer of '0' when multiplying two real numbers is to have one (or both) of the numbers be '0' in the first place.

- **Zeros in Fractions:** If $a \neq 0$, $\frac{0}{a} = 0 \cdot \left(\frac{1}{a}\right) = 0$.

Note: The quantity $\frac{a}{0}$ is undefined.

We now continue with a review of arithmetic with fractions.

Key Idea 2 Properties of Fractions

Suppose a, b, c and d are real numbers. Assume them to be nonzero whenever necessary; for example, when they appear in a denominator.

- **Identity Properties:** $a = \frac{a}{1}$ and $\frac{a}{a} = 1$.

- **Fraction Equality:** $\frac{a}{b} = \frac{c}{d}$ if and only if $ad = bc$.

- **Multiplication of Fractions:** $\frac{a}{b} \cdot \frac{c}{d} = \frac{ac}{bd}$. In particular: $\frac{a}{b} \cdot c = \frac{a}{b} \cdot \frac{c}{1} = \frac{ac}{b}$

- **Division of Fractions:** $\frac{a}{b} / \frac{c}{d} = \frac{a}{b} \cdot \frac{d}{c} = \frac{ad}{bc}$.

In particular: $1 / \frac{a}{b} = \frac{b}{a}$ and $\frac{a}{b} / c = \frac{a}{b} / \frac{c}{1} = \frac{a}{b} \cdot \frac{1}{c} = \frac{a}{bc}$

- **Addition and Subtraction of Fractions:** $\frac{a}{b} \pm \frac{c}{b} = \frac{a \pm c}{b}$.

- **Equivalent Fractions:** $\frac{a}{b} = \frac{ad}{bd}$, since $\frac{a}{b} = \frac{a}{b} \cdot 1 = \frac{a}{b} \cdot \frac{d}{d} = \frac{ad}{bd}$

- **'Reducing' Fractions:** $\frac{a\cancel{d}}{b\cancel{d}} = \frac{a}{b}$, since $\frac{ad}{bd} = \frac{a}{b} \cdot \frac{d}{d} = \frac{a}{b} \cdot 1 = \frac{a}{b}$.

In particular, $\frac{ab}{b} = a$ since $\frac{ab}{b} = \frac{ab}{1 \cdot b} = \frac{a\cancel{b}}{1 \cdot \cancel{b}} = \frac{a}{1} = a$ and $\frac{b-a}{a-b} = \frac{(-1)(a-b)}{(a-b)} = -1$.

Next up is a review of the arithmetic of 'negatives'. On page 10 we first introduced the dash which we all recognize as the 'negative' symbol in terms of the additive inverse. For example, the number -3 (read 'negative 3') is defined

so that $3 + (-3) = 0$. We then defined subtraction using the concept of the additive inverse again so that, for example, $5 - 3 = 5 + (-3)$.

Key Idea 3 Properties of Negatives

Given real numbers a and b we have the following.

- **Additive Inverse Properties:** $-a = (-1)a$ and $-(-a) = a$
- **Products of Negatives:** $(-a)(-b) = ab$.
- **Negatives and Products:** $-ab = -(ab) = (-a)b = a(-b)$.
- **Negatives and Fractions:** If b is nonzero, $-\frac{a}{b} = \frac{-a}{b} = \frac{a}{-b}$ and $\frac{-a}{-b} = \frac{a}{b}$.
- **'Distributing' Negatives:** $-(a + b) = -a - b$ and $-(a - b) = -a + b = b - a$.
- **'Factoring' Negatives:** $-a - b = -(a + b)$ and $b - a = -(a - b)$.

An important point here is that when we ‘distribute’ negatives, we do so across addition or subtraction only. This is because we are really distributing a factor of -1 across each of these terms: $-(a + b) = (-1)(a + b) = (-1)(a) + (-1)(b) = (-a) + (-b) = -a - b$. Negatives do not ‘distribute’ across multiplication: $-(2 \cdot 3) \neq (-2) \cdot (-3)$. Instead, $-(2 \cdot 3) = (-2) \cdot (3) = (2) \cdot (-3) = -6$. The same sort of thing goes for fractions: $-\frac{3}{5}$ can be written as $\frac{-3}{5}$ or $\frac{3}{-5}$, but not $\frac{-3}{-5}$. It’s about time we did a few examples to see how these properties work in practice.

Example 3 Arithmetic with fractions

Perform the indicated operations and simplify. By ‘simplify’ here, we mean to have the final answer written in the form $\frac{a}{b}$ where a and b are integers which have no common factors. Said another way, we want $\frac{a}{b}$ in ‘lowest terms’.

$$1. \frac{1}{4} + \frac{6}{7}$$

$$2. \frac{5}{12} - \left(\frac{47}{30} - \frac{7}{3} \right)$$

$$3. \frac{\frac{12}{5} - \frac{7}{24}}{1 + \left(\frac{12}{5} \right) \left(\frac{7}{24} \right)}$$

$$4. \frac{(2(2) + 1)(-3 - (-3)) - 5(4 - 7)}{4 - 2(3)} \quad 5. \left(\frac{3}{5} \right) \left(\frac{5}{13} \right) - \left(\frac{4}{5} \right) \left(-\frac{12}{13} \right)$$

SOLUTION

1. It may seem silly to start with an example this basic but experience has taught us not to take much for granted. We start by finding the lowest common denominator and then we rewrite the fractions using that new denominator. Since 4 and 7 are **relatively prime**, meaning they have no

It might be junior high (elementary?) school material, but arithmetic with fractions is one of the most common sources of errors among university students. If you’re not comfortable working with fractions, we strongly recommend seeing your instructor (or a tutor) to go over this material until you’re completely confident that you understand it. Experience (and even formal educational studies) suggest that your success handling fractions corresponds pretty well with your overall success in passing your Mathematics courses.

In this text we do not distinguish typographically between the dashes in the expressions ‘ $5 - 3$ ’ and ‘ -3 ’ even though they are mathematically quite different. In the expression ‘ $5 - 3$ ’, the dash is a *binary* operation (that is, an operation requiring two numbers) whereas in ‘ -3 ’, the dash is a *unary* operation (that is, an operation requiring only one number). You might ask, ‘Who cares?’ Your calculator does – that’s who! In the text we can write $-3 - 3 = -6$ but that will not work in your calculator. Instead you’d need to type $-3 - 3$ to get -6 where the first dash comes from the ‘ $+/-$ ’ key.

factors in common, the lowest common denominator is $4 \cdot 7 = 28$.

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{4} + \frac{6}{7} &= \frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{7}{7} + \frac{6}{7} \cdot \frac{4}{4} && \text{Equivalent Fractions} \\ &= \frac{7}{28} + \frac{24}{28} && \text{Multiplication of Fractions} \\ &= \frac{31}{28} && \text{Addition of Fractions}\end{aligned}$$

The result is in lowest terms because 31 and 28 are relatively prime so we're done.

We could have used $12 \cdot 30 \cdot 3 = 1080$ as our common denominator but then the numerators would become unnecessarily large. It's best to use the *lowest* common denominator.

2. We could begin with the subtraction in parentheses, namely $\frac{47}{30} - \frac{7}{3}$, and then subtract that result from $\frac{5}{12}$. It's easier, however, to first distribute the negative across the quantity in parentheses and then use the Associative Property to perform all of the addition and subtraction in one step. The lowest common denominator for all three fractions is 60.

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{5}{12} - \left(\frac{47}{30} - \frac{7}{3} \right) &= \frac{5}{12} - \frac{47}{30} + \frac{7}{3} && \text{Distribute the Negative} \\ &= \frac{5}{12} \cdot \frac{5}{5} - \frac{47}{30} \cdot \frac{2}{2} + \frac{7}{3} \cdot \frac{20}{20} && \text{Equivalent Fractions} \\ &= \frac{25}{60} - \frac{94}{60} + \frac{140}{60} && \text{Multiplication of Fractions} \\ &= \frac{71}{60} && \text{Addition and Subtraction of Fractions}\end{aligned}$$

The numerator and denominator are relatively prime so the fraction is in lowest terms and we have our final answer.

3. What we are asked to simplify in this problem is known as a 'complex' or 'compound' fraction. Simply put, we have fractions within a fraction. The longest division line (also called a 'vinculum') acts as a grouping symbol, quite literally dividing the compound fraction into a numerator (containing fractions) and a denominator (which in this case does not contain fractions):

$$\frac{\frac{12}{5} - \frac{7}{24}}{1 + \left(\frac{12}{5} \right) \left(\frac{7}{24} \right)} = \frac{\left(\frac{12}{5} - \frac{7}{24} \right)}{\left(1 + \left(\frac{12}{5} \right) \left(\frac{7}{24} \right) \right)}$$

The first step to simplifying a compound fraction like this one is to see if you can simplify the little fractions inside it. There are two ways to proceed. One is to simplify the numerator and denominator separately, and then use the fact that division is the same thing as multiplication by the reciprocal, as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{\left(\frac{12}{5} - \frac{7}{24}\right)}{\left(1 + \left(\frac{12}{5}\right)\left(\frac{7}{24}\right)\right)} &= \frac{\left(\frac{12}{5} \cdot \frac{24}{24} - \frac{7}{24} \cdot \frac{5}{5}\right)}{\left(1 \cdot \frac{120}{120} + \left(\frac{12}{5}\right)\left(\frac{7}{24}\right)\right)} && \text{Equivalent Fractions} \\
 &= \frac{288/120 - 35/120}{120/120 + 84/120} && \text{Multiplication of fractions} \\
 &= \frac{253/120}{204/120} && \text{Addition and subtraction of fractions} \\
 &= \frac{253}{120} \cdot \frac{120}{204} && \text{Division of fractions and cancellation} \\
 &= \frac{253}{204}
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $253 = 11 \cdot 23$ and $204 = 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 17$ have no common factors our result is in lowest terms which means we are done.

While there is nothing wrong with the above approach, we can also use our Equivalent Fractions property to rid ourselves of the ‘compound’ nature of this fraction straight away. The idea is to multiply both the numerator and denominator by the lowest common denominator of each of the ‘smaller’ fractions - in this case, $24 \cdot 5 = 120$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{\left(\frac{12}{5} - \frac{7}{24}\right)}{\left(1 + \left(\frac{12}{5}\right)\left(\frac{7}{24}\right)\right)} &= \frac{\left(\frac{12}{5} - \frac{7}{24}\right) \cdot 120}{\left(1 + \left(\frac{12}{5}\right)\left(\frac{7}{24}\right)\right) \cdot 120} && \text{Equivalent Fractions} \\
 &= \frac{\left(\frac{12}{5}\right)(120) - \left(\frac{7}{24}\right)(120)}{(1)(120) + \left(\frac{12}{5}\right)\left(\frac{7}{24}\right)(120)} && \text{Distributive Property} \\
 &= \frac{\frac{12 \cdot 120}{5} - \frac{7 \cdot 120}{24}}{120 + \frac{12 \cdot 7 \cdot 120}{5 \cdot 24}} && \text{Multiply fractions} \\
 &= \frac{\frac{12 \cdot 24 \cdot 5}{5} - \frac{7 \cdot 5 \cdot 24}{24}}{120 + \frac{12 \cdot 7 \cdot 5 \cdot 24}{5 \cdot 24}} && \text{Factor and cancel} \\
 &= \frac{(12 \cdot 24) - (7 \cdot 5)}{120 + (12 \cdot 7)} \\
 &= \frac{288 - 35}{120 + 84} = \frac{253}{204},
 \end{aligned}$$

which is the same as we obtained above.

4. This fraction may look simpler than the one before it, but the negative signs and parentheses mean that we shouldn’t get complacent. Again we note that the division line here acts as a grouping symbol. That is,

$$\frac{(2(2) + 1)(-3 - (-3)) - 5(4 - 7)}{4 - 2(3)} = \frac{((2(2) + 1)(-3 - (-3)) - 5(4 - 7))}{(4 - 2(3))}$$

This means that we should simplify the numerator and denominator first, then perform the division last. We tend to what's in parentheses first, giving multiplication priority over addition and subtraction.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{(2(2) + 1)(-3 - (-3)) - 5(4 - 7)}{4 - 2(3)} &= \frac{(4 + 1)(-3 + 3) - 5(-3)}{4 - 6} \\ &= \frac{(5)(0) + 15}{-2} \\ &= \frac{15}{-2} \\ &= -\frac{15}{2} \quad \text{Properties of Negatives} \end{aligned}$$

Since $15 = 3 \cdot 5$ and 2 have no common factors, we are done.

5. In this problem, we have multiplication and subtraction. Multiplication takes precedence so we perform it first. Recall that to multiply fractions, we do *not* need to obtain common denominators; rather, we multiply the corresponding numerators together along with the corresponding denominators. Like the previous example, we have parentheses and negative signs for added fun!

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{3}{5}\right)\left(\frac{5}{13}\right) - \left(\frac{4}{5}\right)\left(-\frac{12}{13}\right) &= \frac{3 \cdot 5}{5 \cdot 13} - \frac{4 \cdot (-12)}{5 \cdot 13} \quad \text{Multiply fractions} \\ &= \frac{15}{65} - \frac{-48}{65} \\ &= \frac{15}{65} + \frac{48}{65} \quad \text{Properties of Negatives} \\ &= \frac{15 + 48}{65} \quad \text{Add numerators} \\ &= \frac{63}{65} \end{aligned}$$

Since $64 = 3 \cdot 3 \cdot 7$ and $65 = 5 \cdot 13$ have no common factors, our answer $\frac{63}{65}$ is in lowest terms and we are done.

Of the issues discussed in the previous set of examples none causes students more trouble than simplifying compound fractions. We presented two different methods for simplifying them: one in which we simplified the overall numerator and denominator and then performed the division and one in which we removed the compound nature of the fraction at the very beginning. We encourage the reader to go back and use both methods on each of the compound fractions presented. Keep in mind that when a compound fraction is encountered in the rest of the text it will usually be simplified using only one method and we may not choose your favourite method. Feel free to use the other one in your notes.

Next, we review exponents and their properties. Recall that $2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2$ can be written as 2^3 because exponential notation expresses repeated multiplication.

In the expression 2^3 , 2 is called the **base** and 3 is called the **exponent**. In order to generalize exponents from natural numbers to the integers, and eventually to rational and real numbers, it is helpful to think of the exponent as a count of the number of factors of the base we are multiplying by 1. For instance,

$$2^3 = 1 \cdot (\text{three factors of two}) = 1 \cdot (2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2) = 8.$$

From this, it makes sense that

$$2^0 = 1 \cdot (\text{zero factors of two}) = 1.$$

What about 2^{-3} ? The ‘−’ in the exponent indicates that we are ‘taking away’ three factors of two, essentially dividing by three factors of two. So,

$$2^{-3} = 1 \div (\text{three factors of two}) = 1 \div (2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2) = \frac{1}{2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2} = \frac{1}{8}.$$

We summarize the properties of integer exponents below.

Definition 13 Properties of Integer Exponents

Suppose a and b are nonzero real numbers and n and m are integers.

- **Product Rules:** $(ab)^n = a^n b^n$ and $a^n a^m = a^{n+m}$.
- **Quotient Rules:** $\left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^n = \frac{a^n}{b^n}$ and $\frac{a^n}{a^m} = a^{n-m}$.
- **Power Rule:** $(a^n)^m = a^{nm}$.
- **Negatives in Exponents:** $a^{-n} = \frac{1}{a^n}$.
In particular, $\left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^{-n} = \left(\frac{b}{a}\right)^n = \frac{b^n}{a^n}$ and $\frac{1}{a^{-n}} = a^n$.
- **Zero Powers:** $a^0 = 1$.
- **Powers of Zero:** For any *natural* number n , $0^n = 0$.

Note: The expression 0^n for integers $n \leq 0$ is not defined.

Note: The expression 0^0 is an indeterminate form. See the comment regarding ' $\frac{0}{0}$ ' on page 12.

While it is important to state the Properties of Exponents, it is also equally important to take a moment to discuss one of the most common errors in Algebra. It is true that $(ab)^2 = a^2 b^2$ (which some students refer to as ‘distributing’ the exponent to each factor) but you **cannot** do this sort of thing with addition. That is, in general, $(a + b)^2 \neq a^2 + b^2$. (For example, take $a = 3$ and $b = 4$.) The same goes for any other powers.

With exponents now in the mix, we can now state the Order of Operations Agreement.

Definition 14 Order of Operations Agreement

When evaluating an expression involving real numbers:

1. Evaluate any expressions in parentheses (or other grouping symbols.)
2. Evaluate exponents.
3. Evaluate division and multiplication as you read from left to right.
4. Evaluate addition and subtraction as you read from left to right.

For example, $2 + 3 \cdot 4^2 = 2 + 3 \cdot 16 = 2 + 48 = 50$. Where students get into trouble is with things like -3^2 . If we think of this as $0 - 3^2$, then it is clear that we evaluate the exponent first: $-3^2 = 0 - 3^2 = 0 - 9 = -9$. In general, we interpret $-a^n = -(a^n)$. If we want the ‘negative’ to also be raised to a power, we must write $(-a)^n$ instead. To summarize, $-3^2 = -9$ but $(-3)^2 = 9$.

Of course, many of the ‘properties’ we’ve stated in this section can be viewed as ways to circumvent the order of operations. We’ve already seen how the distributive property allows us to simplify $5(2 + x)$ by performing the indicated multiplication **before** the addition that’s in parentheses. Similarly, consider trying to evaluate $2^{30172} \cdot 2^{-30169}$. The Order of Operations Agreement demands that the exponents be dealt with first, however, trying to compute 2^{30172} is a challenge, even for a calculator. One of the Product Rules of Exponents, however, allow us to rewrite this product, essentially performing the multiplication first, to get: $2^{30172-30169} = 2^3 = 8$.

Example 4 Operations with exponents

Perform the indicated operations and simplify.

$$1. \frac{(4-2)(2 \cdot 4) - (4)^2}{(4-2)^2}$$

$$2. 12(-5)(-5 + 3)^{-4} + 6(-5)^2(-4)(-5+3)^{-5}$$

$$3. \frac{\left(\frac{5 \cdot 3^{51}}{4^{36}}\right)}{\left(\frac{5 \cdot 3^{49}}{4^{34}}\right)}$$

$$4. \frac{2 \left(\frac{5}{12}\right)^{-1}}{1 - \left(\frac{5}{12}\right)^{-2}}$$

Order of operations follows the “PEDMAS” rule some of you may have encountered.

SOLUTION

1. We begin working inside parentheses then deal with the exponents before working through the other operations. As we saw in Example 3, the division here acts as a grouping symbol, so we save the division to the end.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{(4-2)(2 \cdot 4) - (4)^2}{(4-2)^2} &= \frac{(2)(8) - (4)^2}{(2)^2} = \frac{(2)(8) - 16}{4} \\ &= \frac{16 - 16}{4} = \frac{0}{4} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

2. As before, we simplify what’s in the parentheses first, then work our way

through the exponents, multiplication, and finally, the addition.

$$\begin{aligned}
 12(-5)(-5+3)^{-4} + 6(-5)^2(-4)(-5+3)^{-5} \\
 &= 12(-5)(-2)^{-4} + 6(-5)^2(-4)(-2)^{-5} \\
 &= 12(-5)\left(\frac{1}{(-2)^4}\right) + 6(-5)^2(-4)\left(\frac{1}{(-2)^5}\right) \\
 &= 12(-5)\left(\frac{1}{16}\right) + 6(25)(-4)\left(\frac{1}{-32}\right) \\
 &= (-60)\left(\frac{1}{16}\right) + (-600)\left(\frac{1}{-32}\right) \\
 &= \frac{-60}{16} + \left(\frac{-600}{-32}\right) \\
 &= \frac{-15 \cdot 4}{4 \cdot 4} + \frac{-75 \cdot 8}{-4 \cdot 8} \\
 &= \frac{-15}{4} + \frac{-75}{-4} \\
 &= \frac{-15}{4} + \frac{75}{4} \\
 &= \frac{-15 + 75}{4} \\
 &= \frac{60}{4} \\
 &= 15
 \end{aligned}$$

3. The Order of Operations Agreement mandates that we work within each set of parentheses first, giving precedence to the exponents, then the multiplication, and, finally the division. The trouble with this approach is that the exponents are so large that computation becomes a trifle unwieldy. What we observe, however, is that the bases of the exponential expressions, 3 and 4, occur in both the numerator and denominator of the compound fraction, giving us hope that we can use some of the Properties of Exponents (the Quotient Rule, in particular) to help us out. Our first step here is to invert and multiply. We see immediately that the 5's cancel after which we group the powers of 3 together and the powers of 4 together and apply the properties of exponents.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{\left(\frac{5 \cdot 3^{51}}{4^{36}}\right)}{\left(\frac{5 \cdot 3^{49}}{4^{34}}\right)} &= \frac{5 \cdot 3^{51}}{4^{36}} \cdot \frac{4^{34}}{5 \cdot 3^{49}} = \frac{5 \cdot 3^{51} \cdot 4^{34}}{5 \cdot 3^{49} \cdot 4^{36}} = \frac{3^{51}}{3^{49}} \cdot \frac{4^{34}}{4^{36}} \\
 &= 3^{51-49} \cdot 4^{34-36} = 3^2 \cdot 4^{-2} = 3^2 \cdot \left(\frac{1}{4^2}\right) \\
 &= 9 \cdot \left(\frac{1}{16}\right) = \frac{9}{16}
 \end{aligned}$$

4. We have yet another instance of a compound fraction so our first order of business is to rid ourselves of the compound nature of the fraction like we did in Example 3. To do this, however, we need to tend to the exponents first so that we can determine what common denominator is needed to

It's important that you understand the difference between the statements $y = \sqrt{x}$ and $y^2 = x$. The equation $y = \sqrt{x}$ defines y as a **function** of x , which means that for each value of $x \geq 0$ there is only one value of y such that $y = \sqrt{x}$. For example, $y = \sqrt{4}$ is equivalent to $y = 2$. On the other hand, there are **two** solutions to $y^2 = x$; namely, $y = \sqrt{x}$ and $y = -\sqrt{x}$. For example, the equation $y^2 = 4$ is equivalent to the two equations $y = 2$ and $y = -2$ (or, more concisely, $y = \pm 2$). Since these two equations are closely related, it's easy to mix them up. The main thing to remember is that \sqrt{x} always denotes the *positive* square root of x .

simplify the fraction.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{2 \left(\frac{5}{12} \right)^{-1}}{1 - \left(\frac{5}{12} \right)^{-2}} &= \frac{2 \left(\frac{12}{5} \right)}{1 - \left(\frac{12}{5} \right)^2} = \frac{\left(\frac{24}{5} \right)}{1 - \left(\frac{12^2}{5^2} \right)} \\ &= \frac{\left(\frac{24}{5} \right)}{1 - \left(\frac{144}{25} \right)} = \frac{\left(\frac{24}{5} \right) \cdot 25}{\left(1 - \frac{144}{25} \right) \cdot 25} \\ &= \frac{\left(\frac{24 \cdot 5 \cdot 5}{5} \right)}{\left(1 \cdot 25 - \frac{144 \cdot 25}{25} \right)} = \frac{120}{25 - 144} \\ &= \frac{120}{-119} = -\frac{120}{119} \end{aligned}$$

Since 120 and 119 have no common factors, we are done.

We close our review of real number arithmetic with a discussion of roots and radical notation. Just as subtraction and division were defined in terms of the inverse of addition and multiplication, respectively, we define roots by undoing natural number exponents.

Definition 15 The principal n^{th} root

Let a be a real number and let n be a natural number. If n is odd, then the **principal n^{th} root** of a (denoted $\sqrt[n]{a}$) is the unique real number satisfying $(\sqrt[n]{a})^n = a$. If n is even, $\sqrt[n]{a}$ is defined similarly provided $a \geq 0$ and $\sqrt[n]{a} \geq 0$. The number n is called the **index** of the root and the number a is called the **radicand**. For $n = 2$, we write \sqrt{a} instead of $\sqrt[2]{a}$.

The reasons for the added stipulations for even-indexed roots in Definition 15 can be found in the Properties of Negatives. First, for all real numbers, $x^{\text{even power}} \geq 0$, which means it is never negative. Thus if a is a *negative* real number, there are no real numbers x with $x^{\text{even power}} = a$. This is why if n is even, $\sqrt[n]{a}$ only exists if $a \geq 0$. The second restriction for even-indexed roots is that $\sqrt[n]{a} \geq 0$. This comes from the fact that $x^{\text{even power}} = (-x)^{\text{even power}}$, and we require $\sqrt[n]{a}$ to have just one value. So even though $2^4 = 16$ and $(-2)^4 = 16$, we require $\sqrt[4]{16} = 2$ and ignore -2 .

Dealing with odd powers is much easier. For example, $x^3 = -8$ has one and only one real solution, namely $x = -2$, which means not only does $\sqrt[3]{-8}$ exist, there is only one choice, namely $\sqrt[3]{-8} = -2$. Of course, when it comes to solving $x^{5213} = -117$, it's not so clear that there is one and only one real solution, let alone that the solution is $\sqrt[5213]{-117}$.

We list properties of radicals below as a 'theorem' since they can be justified using the properties of exponents.

Theorem 3 Properties of Radicals

Let a and b be real numbers and let m and n be natural numbers. If $\sqrt[n]{a}$ and $\sqrt[n]{b}$ are real numbers, then

- **Product Rule:** $\sqrt[n]{ab} = \sqrt[n]{a}\sqrt[n]{b}$
- **Quotient Rule:** $\sqrt[n]{\frac{a}{b}} = \frac{\sqrt[n]{a}}{\sqrt[n]{b}}$, provided $b \neq 0$.
- **Power Rule:** $\sqrt[n]{a^m} = (\sqrt[n]{a})^m$

The proof of Theorem 3 is based on the definition of the principal n^{th} root and the Properties of Exponents. To establish the product rule, consider the following. If n is odd, then by definition $\sqrt[n]{ab}$ is the unique real number such that $(\sqrt[n]{ab})^n = ab$. Given that $(\sqrt[n]{a}\sqrt[n]{b})^n = (\sqrt[n]{a})^n(\sqrt[n]{b})^n = ab$ as well, it must be the case that $\sqrt[n]{ab} = \sqrt[n]{a}\sqrt[n]{b}$. If n is even, then $\sqrt[n]{ab}$ is the unique non-negative real number such that $(\sqrt[n]{ab})^n = ab$. Note that since n is even, $\sqrt[n]{a}$ and $\sqrt[n]{b}$ are also non-negative thus $\sqrt[n]{a}\sqrt[n]{b} \geq 0$ as well. Proceeding as above, we find that $\sqrt[n]{ab} = \sqrt[n]{a}\sqrt[n]{b}$. The quotient rule is proved similarly and is left as an exercise. The power rule results from repeated application of the product rule, so long as $\sqrt[n]{a}$ is a real number to start with. We leave that as an exercise as well.

We pause here to point out one of the most common errors students make when working with radicals. Obviously $\sqrt{9} = 3$, $\sqrt{16} = 4$ and $\sqrt{9+16} = \sqrt{25} = 5$. Thus we can clearly see that $5 = \sqrt{25} = \sqrt{9+16} \neq \sqrt{9} + \sqrt{16} = 3+4 = 7$ because we all know that $5 \neq 7$. The authors urge you to **never consider ‘distributing’ roots or exponents**. It’s wrong and no good will come of it because in general $\sqrt{a+b} \neq \sqrt{a} + \sqrt{b}$.

Since radicals have properties inherited from exponents, they are often written as such. We define rational exponents in terms of radicals in the box below.

Definition 16 Rational exponents

Let a be a real number, let m be an integer and let n be a natural number.

- $a^{\frac{1}{n}} = \sqrt[n]{a}$ whenever $\sqrt[n]{a}$ is a real number. (If n is even we need $a \geq 0$.)
- $a^{\frac{m}{n}} = (\sqrt[n]{a})^m = \sqrt[n]{a^m}$ whenever $\sqrt[n]{a}$ is a real number.

It would make life really nice if the rational exponents defined in Definition 16 had all of the same properties that integer exponents have as listed on page 17 - but they don’t. Why not? Let’s look at an example to see what goes wrong. Consider the Product Rule which says that $(ab)^n = a^n b^n$ and let $a = -16$, $b = -81$ and $n = \frac{1}{4}$. Plugging the values into the Product Rule yields the equation $((-16)(-81))^{1/4} = (-16)^{1/4}(-81)^{1/4}$. The left side of this equation is $1296^{1/4}$ which equals 6 but the right side is undefined because neither root is a real number. Would it help if, when it comes to even roots (as signified by even denominators in the fractional exponents), we ensure that everything they

apply to is non-negative? That works for some of the rules - we leave it as an exercise to see which ones - but does not work for the Power Rule.

Consider the expression $(a^{2/3})^{3/2}$. Applying the usual laws of exponents, we'd be tempted to simplify this as $(a^{2/3})^{3/2} = a^{\frac{2}{3} \cdot \frac{3}{2}} = a^1 = a$. However, if we substitute $a = -1$ and apply Definition 16, we find $(-1)^{2/3} = (\sqrt[3]{-1})^2 = (-1)^2 = 1$ so that $((-1)^{2/3})^{3/2} = 1^{3/2} = (\sqrt{1})^3 = 1^3 = 1$. Thus in this case we have $(a^{2/3})^{3/2} \neq a$ even though all of the roots were defined. It is true, however, that $(a^{3/2})^{2/3} = a$ and we leave this for the reader to show. The moral of the story is that when simplifying powers of rational exponents where the base is negative or worse, unknown, it's usually best to rewrite them as radicals.

Example 5 Combining operations

Perform the indicated operations and simplify.

$$1. \frac{-(-4) - \sqrt{(-4)^2 - 4(2)(-3)}}{2(2)}$$

$$2. \frac{2\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{3}\right)}{1 - \left(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{3}\right)^2}$$

$$3. (\sqrt[3]{-2} - \sqrt[3]{-54})^2$$

$$4. 2\left(\frac{9}{4} - 3\right)^{1/3} + 2\left(\frac{9}{4}\right)\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)\left(\frac{9}{4} - 3\right)^{-2/3}$$

SOLUTION

- We begin in the numerator and note that the radical here acts a grouping symbol, so our first order of business is to simplify the radicand. (The line extending horizontally from the square root symbol ' $\sqrt{}$ ' is, you guessed it, another vinculum.)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{-(-4) - \sqrt{(-4)^2 - 4(2)(-3)}}{2(2)} &= \frac{-(-4) - \sqrt{16 - 4(2)(-3)}}{2(2)} \\ &= \frac{-(-4) - \sqrt{16 - 4(-6)}}{2(2)} \\ &= \frac{-(-4) - \sqrt{16 - (-24)}}{2(2)} \\ &= \frac{-(-4) - \sqrt{16 + 24}}{2(2)} \\ &= \frac{-(-4) - \sqrt{40}}{2(2)} \end{aligned}$$

As you may recall, 40 can be factored using a perfect square as $40 = 4 \cdot 10$ so we use the product rule of radicals to write $\sqrt{40} = \sqrt{4 \cdot 10} =$

$\sqrt{4}\sqrt{10} = 2\sqrt{10}$. This lets us factor a ‘2’ out of both terms in the numerator, eventually allowing us to cancel it with a factor of 2 in the denominator.

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{-(-4) - \sqrt{40}}{2(2)} &= \frac{-(-4) - 2\sqrt{10}}{2(2)} = \frac{4 - 2\sqrt{10}}{2(2)} \\ &= \frac{2 \cdot 2 - 2\sqrt{10}}{2(2)} = \frac{2(2 - \sqrt{10})}{2(2)} \\ &= \frac{2(2 - \sqrt{10})}{2(2)} = \frac{2 - \sqrt{10}}{2}\end{aligned}$$

Since the numerator and denominator have no more common factors, we are done. (Do you see why we aren’t ‘cancelling’ the remaining 2’s?)

- Once again we have a compound fraction, so we first simplify the exponent in the denominator to see which factor we’ll need to multiply by in order to clean up the fraction.

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{2\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{3}\right)}{1 - \left(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{3}\right)^2} &= \frac{2\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{3}\right)}{1 - \left(\frac{(\sqrt{3})^2}{3^2}\right)} = \frac{2\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{3}\right)}{1 - \left(\frac{3}{9}\right)} \\ &= \frac{2\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{3}\right)}{1 - \left(\frac{1 \cdot \cancel{3}}{3 \cdot \cancel{3}}\right)} = \frac{2\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{3}\right)}{1 - \left(\frac{1}{3}\right)} \\ &= \frac{2\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{3}\right) \cdot 3}{\left(1 - \left(\frac{1}{3}\right)\right) \cdot 3} = \frac{2 \cdot \sqrt{3} \cdot \cancel{3}}{1 \cdot 3 - \frac{1 \cdot \cancel{3}}{\cancel{3}}} \\ &= \frac{2\sqrt{3}}{3 - 1} = \frac{2\sqrt{3}}{2} = \sqrt{3}\end{aligned}$$

- Working inside the parentheses, we first encounter $\sqrt[3]{-2}$. While the -2 isn’t a perfect cube, (of an integer, that is!) we may think of $-2 = (-1)(2)$. Since $(-1)^3 = -1$, -1 is a perfect cube, and we may write $\sqrt[3]{-2} = \sqrt[3]{(-1)(2)} = \sqrt[3]{-1}\sqrt[3]{2} = -\sqrt[3]{2}$. When it comes to $\sqrt[3]{54}$, we may write it as $\sqrt[3]{(-27)(2)} = \sqrt[3]{-27}\sqrt[3]{2} = -3\sqrt[3]{2}$. So,

$$\sqrt[3]{-2} - \sqrt[3]{-54} = -\sqrt[3]{2} - (-3\sqrt[3]{2}) = -\sqrt[3]{2} + 3\sqrt[3]{2}.$$

At this stage, we can simplify $-\sqrt[3]{2} + 3\sqrt[3]{2} = 2\sqrt[3]{2}$. You may remember this as being called ‘combining like radicals,’ but it is in fact just another application of the distributive property:

$$-\sqrt[3]{2} + 3\sqrt[3]{2} = (-1)\sqrt[3]{2} + 3\sqrt[3]{2} = (-1 + 3)\sqrt[3]{2} = 2\sqrt[3]{2}.$$

Putting all this together, we get:

$$\begin{aligned}(\sqrt[3]{-2} - \sqrt[3]{-54})^2 &= (-\sqrt[3]{2} + 3\sqrt[3]{2})^2 = (2\sqrt[3]{2})^2 \\ &= 2^2(\sqrt[3]{2})^2 = 4\sqrt[3]{2^2} = 4\sqrt[3]{4}\end{aligned}$$

Since there are no perfect integer cubes which are factors of 4 (apart from 1, of course), we are done.

4. We start working in parentheses and get a common denominator to subtract the fractions:

$$\frac{9}{4} - 3 = \frac{9}{4} - \frac{3 \cdot 4}{1 \cdot 4} = \frac{9}{4} - \frac{12}{4} = \frac{-3}{4}$$

Since the denominators in the fractional exponents are odd, we can proceed using the properties of exponents:

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \left(\frac{9}{4} - 3 \right)^{1/3} + 2 \left(\frac{9}{4} \right) \left(\frac{1}{3} \right) \left(\frac{9}{4} - 3 \right)^{-2/3} \\ = 2 \left(\frac{-3}{4} \right)^{1/3} + 2 \left(\frac{9}{4} \right) \left(\frac{1}{3} \right) \left(\frac{-3}{4} \right)^{-2/3} \\ = 2 \left(\frac{(-3)^{1/3}}{(4)^{1/3}} \right) + 2 \left(\frac{9}{4} \right) \left(\frac{1}{3} \right) \left(\frac{4}{-3} \right)^{2/3} \\ = 2 \left(\frac{(-3)^{1/3}}{(4)^{1/3}} \right) + 2 \left(\frac{9}{4} \right) \left(\frac{1}{3} \right) \left(\frac{(4)^{2/3}}{(-3)^{2/3}} \right) \\ = \frac{2 \cdot (-3)^{1/3}}{4^{1/3}} + \frac{2 \cdot 9 \cdot 1 \cdot 4^{2/3}}{4 \cdot 3 \cdot (-3)^{2/3}} \\ = \frac{2 \cdot (-3)^{1/3}}{4^{1/3}} + \frac{2 \cdot 3 \cdot 4^{2/3}}{2 \cdot 3 \cdot (-3)^{2/3}} \\ = \frac{2 \cdot (-3)^{1/3}}{4^{1/3}} + \frac{3 \cdot 4^{2/3}}{2 \cdot (-3)^{2/3}} \end{aligned}$$

At this point, we could start looking for common denominators but it turns out that these fractions reduce even further. Since $4 = 2^2$, $4^{1/3} = (2^2)^{1/3} = 2^{2/3}$. Similarly, $4^{2/3} = (2^2)^{2/3} = 2^{4/3}$. The expressions $(-3)^{1/3}$ and $(-3)^{2/3}$ contain negative bases so we proceed with caution and convert them back to radical notation to get: $(-3)^{1/3} = \sqrt[3]{-3} = -\sqrt[3]{3} = -3^{1/3}$ and $(-3)^{2/3} = (\sqrt[3]{-3})^2 = (-\sqrt[3]{3})^2 = (\sqrt[3]{3})^2 = 3^{2/3}$. Hence:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{2 \cdot (-3)^{1/3}}{4^{1/3}} + \frac{3 \cdot 4^{2/3}}{2 \cdot (-3)^{2/3}} &= \frac{2 \cdot (-3^{1/3})}{2^{2/3}} + \frac{3 \cdot 2^{4/3}}{2 \cdot 3^{2/3}} \\ &= \frac{2^1 \cdot (-3^{1/3})}{2^{2/3}} + \frac{3^1 \cdot 2^{4/3}}{2^1 \cdot 3^{2/3}} \\ &= 2^{1-2/3} \cdot (-3^{1/3}) + 3^{1-2/3} \cdot 2^{4/3-1} \\ &= 2^{1/3} \cdot (-3^{1/3}) + 3^{1/3} \cdot 2^{1/3} \\ &= -2^{1/3} \cdot 3^{1/3} + 3^{1/3} \cdot 2^{1/3} \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Exercises 1.2

Problems

In Exercises 1–33, perform the indicated operations and simplify.

1. $5 - 2 + 3$

2. $5 - (2 + 3)$

3. $\frac{2}{3} - \frac{4}{7}$

4. $\frac{3}{8} + \frac{5}{12}$

5. $\frac{5 - 3}{-2 - 4}$

6. $\frac{2(-3)}{3 - (-3)}$

7. $\frac{2(3) - (4 - 1)}{2^2 + 1}$

8. $\frac{4 - 5.8}{2 - 2.1}$

9. $\frac{1 - 2(-3)}{5(-3) + 7}$

10. $\frac{5(3) - 7}{2(3)^2 - 3(3) - 9}$

11. $\frac{2((-1)^2 - 1)}{((-1)^2 + 1)^2}$

12. $\frac{(-2)^2 - (-2) - 6}{(-2)^2 - 4}$

13. $\frac{3 - \frac{4}{9}}{-2 - (-3)}$

14. $\frac{\frac{2}{3} - \frac{4}{5}}{4 - \frac{7}{10}}$

15. $\frac{2\left(\frac{4}{3}\right)}{1 - \left(\frac{4}{3}\right)^2}$

16. $\frac{1 - \left(\frac{5}{3}\right)\left(\frac{3}{5}\right)}{1 + \left(\frac{5}{3}\right)\left(\frac{3}{5}\right)}$

17. $\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{-5}$

18. $3^{-1} - 4^{-2}$

19. $\frac{1 + 2^{-3}}{3 - 4^{-1}}$

20. $\frac{3 \cdot 5^{100}}{12 \cdot 5^{98}}$

21. $\sqrt{3^2 + 4^2}$

22. $\sqrt{12} - \sqrt{75}$

23. $(-8)^{2/3} - 9^{-3/2}$

24. $\left(-\frac{32}{9}\right)^{-3/5}$

25. $\sqrt{(3 - 4)^2 + (5 - 2)^2}$

26. $\sqrt{(2 - (-1))^2 + \left(\frac{1}{2} - 3\right)^2}$

27. $\sqrt{(\sqrt{5} - 2\sqrt{5})^2 + (\sqrt{18} - \sqrt{8})^2}$

28. $\frac{-12 + \sqrt{18}}{21}$

29. $\frac{-2 - \sqrt{(2)^2 - 4(3)(-1)}}{2(3)}$

30. $\frac{-(-4) + \sqrt{(-4)^2 - 4(1)(-1)}}{2(1)}$

31. $2(-5)(-5 + 1)^{-1} + (-5)^2(-1)(-5 + 1)^{-2}$


32. $3\sqrt{2(4) + 1} + 3(4)\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)(2(4) + 1)^{-1/2}(2)$

33. $2(-7)\sqrt[3]{1 - (-7)} + (-7)^2\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)(1 - (-7))^{-2/3}(-1)$

1.3 The Cartesian Coordinate Plane

The Cartesian Plane is named in honour of [René Descartes](#).

In order to visualize the pure excitement that is Precalculus, we need to unite Algebra and Geometry. Simply put, we must find a way to draw algebraic things. Let's start with possibly the greatest mathematical achievement of all time: the **Cartesian Coordinate Plane**. Imagine two real number lines crossing at a right angle at 0 as drawn below.




Usually extending off towards infinity is indicated by arrows, but here, the arrows are used to indicate the *direction* of increasing values of x and y .

The horizontal number line is usually called the **x -axis** while the vertical number line is usually called the **y -axis**. As with the usual number line, we imagine these axes extending off indefinitely in both directions. Having two number lines allows us to locate the positions of points off of the number lines as well as points on the lines themselves.

The names of the coordinates can vary depending on the context of the application. If, for example, the horizontal axis represented time we might choose to call it the t -axis. The first number in the ordered pair would then be the t -coordinate.

For example, consider the point P on the next page. To use the numbers on the axes to label this point, we imagine dropping a vertical line from the x -axis to P and extending a horizontal line from the y -axis to P . This process is sometimes called ‘projecting’ the point P to the x - (respectively y -) axis. We then describe the point P using the **ordered pair** $(2, -4)$. The first number in the ordered pair is called the **abscissa** or **x -coordinate** and the second is called the **ordinate** or **y -coordinate**. Taken together, the ordered pair $(2, -4)$ comprise the **Cartesian coordinates** of the point P . In practice, the distinction between a point and its coordinates is blurred; for example, we often speak of ‘the point $(2, -4)$.’ We can think of $(2, -4)$ as instructions on how to reach P from the **origin** $(0, 0)$ by moving 2 units to the right and 4 units downwards. Notice that the order in the **ordered pair** is important – if we wish to plot the point $(-4, 2)$, we would move to the left 4 units from the origin and then move upwards 2 units, as below on the right.



When we speak of the Cartesian Coordinate Plane, we mean the set of all possible ordered pairs (x, y) as x and y take values from the real numbers. Below is a summary of important facts about Cartesian coordinates.

Key Idea 4 Important Facts about the Cartesian Coordinate Plane

- (a, b) and (c, d) represent the same point in the plane if and only if $a = c$ and $b = d$.
- (x, y) lies on the x -axis if and only if $y = 0$.
- (x, y) lies on the y -axis if and only if $x = 0$.
- The origin is the point $(0, 0)$. It is the only point common to both axes.

Cartesian coordinates are sometimes referred to as *rectangular coordinates*, to distinguish them from other coordinate systems such as *polar coordinates*.

Example 6 Plotting points in the Cartesian Plane

Plot the following points: $A(5, 8)$, $B\left(-\frac{5}{2}, 3\right)$, $C(-5.8, -3)$, $D(4.5, -1)$, $E(5, 0)$, $F(0, 5)$, $G(-7, 0)$, $H(0, -9)$, $O(0, 0)$.

The letter O is almost always reserved for the origin.

SOLUTION To plot these points, we start at the origin and move to the right if the x -coordinate is positive; to the left if it is negative. Next, we move up if the y -coordinate is positive or down if it is negative. If the x -coordinate is 0, we start at the origin and move along the y -axis only. If the y -coordinate is 0 we move along the x -axis only.





Figure 1.9: The four quadrants of the Cartesian plane



The axes divide the plane into four regions called **quadrants**. They are labelled with Roman numerals and proceed counterclockwise around the plane: see Figure 1.9.

For example, $(1, 2)$ lies in Quadrant I, $(-1, 2)$ in Quadrant II, $(-1, -2)$ in Quadrant III and $(1, -2)$ in Quadrant IV. If a point other than the origin happens to lie on the axes, we typically refer to that point as lying on the positive or negative x -axis (if $y = 0$) or on the positive or negative y -axis (if $x = 0$). For example, $(0, 4)$ lies on the positive y -axis whereas $(-117, 0)$ lies on the negative x -axis. Such points do not belong to any of the four quadrants.

One of the most important concepts in all of Mathematics is **symmetry**. There are many types of symmetry in Mathematics, but three of them can be discussed easily using Cartesian Coordinates.

Definition 17 Symmetry in the Cartesian Plane

Two points (a, b) and (c, d) in the plane are said to be

- **symmetric about the x -axis** if $a = c$ and $b = -d$
- **symmetric about the y -axis** if $a = -c$ and $b = d$
- **symmetric about the origin** if $a = -c$ and $b = -d$

In Figure 1.10, P and S are symmetric about the x -axis, as are Q and R ; P and Q are symmetric about the y -axis, as are R and S ; and P and R are symmetric about the origin, as are Q and S .

Example 7 Finding points exhibiting symmetry

Let P be the point $(-2, 3)$. Find the points which are symmetric to P about the:

1. x -axis
2. y -axis
3. origin

Check your answer by plotting the points.

SOLUTION The figure after Definition 17 gives us a good way to think about finding symmetric points in terms of taking the opposites of the x - and/or y -coordinates of $P(-2, 3)$.

1. To find the point symmetric about the x -axis, we replace the y -coordinate with its opposite to get $(-2, -3)$.
2. To find the point symmetric about the y -axis, we replace the x -coordinate with its opposite to get $(2, 3)$.
3. To find the point symmetric about the origin, we replace the x - and y -coordinates with their opposites to get $(2, -3)$.

The points are plotted in Figure 1.11.

One way to visualize the processes in the previous example is with the concept of a **reflection**. If we start with our point $(-2, 3)$ and pretend that the x -axis is a mirror, then the reflection of $(-2, 3)$ across the x -axis would lie at $(-2, -3)$. If we pretend that the y -axis is a mirror, the reflection of $(-2, 3)$ across that axis would be $(2, 3)$. If we reflect across the x -axis and then the y -axis, we would go from $(-2, 3)$ to $(-2, -3)$ then to $(2, -3)$, and so we would end up at the point symmetric to $(-2, 3)$ about the origin. We summarize and generalize this process below.

Key Idea 5 Reflections in the Cartesian Plane

To reflect a point (x, y) about the:

- x -axis, replace y with $-y$.
- y -axis, replace x with $-x$.
- origin, replace x with $-x$ and y with $-y$.




Figure 1.10: The three types of symmetry in the plane




Figure 1.11: The point $P(-2, 3)$ and its three reflections

1.3.1 Distance in the Plane

Another important concept in Geometry is the notion of length. If we are going to unite Algebra and Geometry using the Cartesian Plane, then we need to develop an algebraic understanding of what distance in the plane means. Suppose we have two points, $P(x_0, y_0)$ and $Q(x_1, y_1)$, in the plane. By the **distance** d between P and Q , we mean the length of the line segment joining P with Q . (Remember, given any two distinct points in the plane, there is a unique line

containing both points.) Our goal now is to create an algebraic formula to compute the distance between these two points. Consider the generic situation in Figure 1.12.




Figure 1.12: Distance between P and Q

With a little more imagination, we can envision a right triangle whose hypotenuse has length d as drawn above on the right. From the latter figure, we see that the lengths of the legs of the triangle are $|x_1 - x_0|$ and $|y_1 - y_0|$ so the Pythagorean Theorem gives us

$$|x_1 - x_0|^2 + |y_1 - y_0|^2 = d^2$$

$$(x_1 - x_0)^2 + (y_1 - y_0)^2 = d^2$$

(Do you remember why we can replace the absolute value notation with parentheses?) By extracting the square root of both sides of the second equation and using the fact that distance is never negative, we get

Key Idea 6 The Distance Formula

The distance d between the points $P(x_0, y_0)$ and $Q(x_1, y_1)$ is:

$$d = \sqrt{(x_1 - x_0)^2 + (y_1 - y_0)^2}$$

It is not always the case that the points P and Q lend themselves to constructing such a triangle. If the points P and Q are arranged vertically or horizontally, or describe the exact same point, we cannot use the above geometric argument to derive the distance formula. It is left to the reader in Exercise 16 to verify Equation 6 for these cases.

Example 8 Distance between two points

Find and simplify the distance between $P(-2, 3)$ and $Q(1, -3)$.

SOLUTION

$$\begin{aligned} d &= \sqrt{(x_1 - x_0)^2 + (y_1 - y_0)^2} \\ &= \sqrt{(1 - (-2))^2 + (-3 - 3)^2} \\ &= \sqrt{9 + 36} \\ &= 3\sqrt{5} \end{aligned}$$

So the distance is $3\sqrt{5}$.

Example 9 Finding points at a given distance

Find all of the points with x -coordinate 1 which are 4 units from the point $(3, 2)$.

SOLUTION We shall soon see that the points we wish to find are on the line $x = 1$, but for now we'll just view them as points of the form $(1, y)$.

We require that the distance from $(3, 2)$ to $(1, y)$ be 4. The Distance Formula, Equation 6, yields

$$\begin{aligned}
 d &= \sqrt{(x_1 - x_0)^2 + (y_1 - y_0)^2} \\
 4 &= \sqrt{(1 - 3)^2 + (y - 2)^2} \\
 4 &= \sqrt{4 + (y - 2)^2} \\
 4^2 &= (\sqrt{4 + (y - 2)^2})^2 && \text{squaring both sides} \\
 16 &= 4 + (y - 2)^2 \\
 12 &= (y - 2)^2 \\
 (y - 2)^2 &= 12 \\
 y - 2 &= \pm\sqrt{12} && \text{extracting the square root} \\
 y - 2 &= \pm 2\sqrt{3} \\
 y &= 2 \pm 2\sqrt{3}
 \end{aligned}$$

We obtain two answers: $(1, 2 + 2\sqrt{3})$ and $(1, 2 - 2\sqrt{3})$. The reader is encouraged to think about why there are two answers.

Related to finding the distance between two points is the problem of finding the **midpoint** of the line segment connecting two points. Given two points, $P(x_0, y_0)$ and $Q(x_1, y_1)$, the **midpoint** M of P and Q is defined to be the point on the line segment connecting P and Q whose distance from P is equal to its distance from Q .

If we think of reaching M by going ‘halfway over’ and ‘halfway up’ we get the following formula.

Key Idea 7 The Midpoint Formula

The midpoint M of the line segment connecting $P(x_0, y_0)$ and $Q(x_1, y_1)$ is:

$$M = \left(\frac{x_0 + x_1}{2}, \frac{y_0 + y_1}{2} \right)$$

If we let d denote the distance between P and Q , we leave it as Exercise 17 to show that the distance between P and M is $d/2$ which is the same as the distance between M and Q . This suffices to show that Key Idea 7 gives the coordinates of the midpoint.

Example 10 Finding the midpoint of a line segment

Find the midpoint of the line segment connecting $P(-2, 3)$ and $Q(1, -3)$.

SOLUTION

$$\begin{aligned}
 M &= \left(\frac{x_0 + x_1}{2}, \frac{y_0 + y_1}{2} \right) \\
 &= \left(\frac{(-2) + 1}{2}, \frac{3 + (-3)}{2} \right) = \left(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{0}{2} \right) \\
 &= \left(-\frac{1}{2}, 0 \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

The midpoint is $(-\frac{1}{2}, 0)$.

We close with a more abstract application of the Midpoint Formula.




Figure 1.13: Diagram for Example 9




Figure 1.14: The midpoint of a line segment

Example 11 An abstract midpoint problem

If $a \neq b$, prove that the line $y = x$ equally divides the line segment with endpoints (a, b) and (b, a) .

SOLUTION

To prove the claim, we use Equation 7 to find the midpoint


$$\begin{aligned} M &= \left(\frac{a+b}{2}, \frac{b+a}{2} \right) \\ &= \left(\frac{a+b}{2}, \frac{a+b}{2} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Since the x and y coordinates of this point are the same, we find that the midpoint lies on the line $y = x$, as required.

Exercises 1.3

Problems

1. Plot and label the points $A(-3, -7)$, $B(1.3, -2)$, $C(\pi, \sqrt{10})$, $D(0, 8)$, $E(-5.5, 0)$, $F(-8, 4)$, $G(9.2, -7.8)$ and $H(7, 5)$ in the Cartesian Coordinate Plane given below.



2. For each point given in Exercise 1 above

- Identify the quadrant or axis in/on which the point lies.
- Find the point symmetric to the given point about the x -axis.
- Find the point symmetric to the given point about the y -axis.
- Find the point symmetric to the given point about the origin.

In Exercises 3–10, find the distance d between the points and the midpoint M of the line segment which connects them.

3. $(1, 2), (-3, 5)$

4. $(3, -10), (-1, 2)$

5. $\left(\frac{1}{2}, 4\right), \left(\frac{3}{2}, -1\right)$

6. $\left(-\frac{2}{3}, \frac{3}{2}\right), \left(\frac{7}{3}, 2\right)$

7. $\left(\frac{24}{5}, \frac{6}{5}\right), \left(-\frac{11}{5}, -\frac{19}{5}\right)$.

8. $(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3}), (-\sqrt{8}, -\sqrt{12})$

9. $(2\sqrt{45}, \sqrt{12}), (\sqrt{20}, \sqrt{27})$.

10. $(0, 0), (x, y)$
11. Find all of the points of the form $(x, -1)$ which are 4 units from the point $(3, 2)$.
12. Find all of the points on the y -axis which are 5 units from the point $(-5, 3)$.
13. Find all of the points on the x -axis which are 2 units from the point $(-1, 1)$.
14. Find all of the points of the form $(x, -x)$ which are 1 unit from the origin.
15. Let's assume for a moment that we are standing at the origin and the positive y -axis points due North while the positive x -axis points due East. Our Sasquatch-o-meter tells us that Sasquatch is 3 miles West and 4 miles South of our current position. What are the coordinates of his position? How far away is he from us? If he runs 7 miles due East what would his new position be?
16. Verify the Distance Formula 6 for the cases when:
 - The points are arranged vertically. (Hint: Use $P(a, y_0)$ and $Q(a, y_1)$.)
 - The points are arranged horizontally. (Hint: Use $P(x_0, b)$ and $Q(x_1, b)$.)
 - The points are actually the same point. (You shouldn't need a hint for this one.)
17. Verify the Midpoint Formula by showing the distance between $P(x_1, y_1)$ and M and the distance between M and $Q(x_2, y_2)$ are both half of the distance between P and Q .
18. Show that the points A , B and C below are the vertices of a right triangle.
 - $A(-3, 2)$, $B(-6, 4)$, and $C(1, 8)$
 - $A(-3, 1)$, $B(4, 0)$ and $C(0, -3)$
19. Find a point $D(x, y)$ such that the points $A(-3, 1)$, $B(4, 0)$, $C(0, -3)$ and D are the corners of a square. Justify your answer.
20. Discuss with your classmates how many numbers are in the interval $(0, 1)$.
21. The world is not flat. (There are those who disagree with this statement. Look them up on the Internet some time when you're bored.) Thus the Cartesian Plane cannot possibly be the end of the story. Discuss with your classmates how you would extend Cartesian Coordinates to represent the three dimensional world. What would the Distance and Midpoint formulas look like, assuming those concepts make sense at all?

1.4 Complex Numbers

Historically, the lack of solutions to the equation $x^2 = -1$ had nothing to do with the development of the complex numbers. Until the 19th century, equations such as $x^2 = -1$ would have been considered in the context of the analytic geometry of Descartes. The lack of solutions simply indicated that the graph $y = x^2$ did not intersect the line $y = -1$. The more remarkable case was that of *cubic* equations, of the form $x^3 = ax + b$. In this case a **real** solution is guaranteed, but there are cases where one needs **complex** numbers to find it! For details, see the excellent book *Visual Complex Analysis*, by Tristan Needham.

Note the use of the indefinite article ‘a’. Whatever beast is chosen to be i , $-i$ is the other square root of -1 .

To use the language of Section 1.1.1, $\mathbb{R} \subseteq \mathbb{C}$.

We now move on to the study of the set of **complex numbers**. As you may recall, the complex numbers fill an algebraic gap left by the real numbers. There is no real number x with $x^2 = -1$, since for any real number $x^2 \geq 0$. However, we could formally extract square roots and write $x = \pm\sqrt{-1}$. We build the complex numbers by relabelling the quantity $\sqrt{-1}$ as i , the unfortunately misnamed **imaginary unit**.¹ The number i , while not a real number, is defined so that it plays along well with real numbers and acts very much like any other radical expression. For instance, $3(2i) = 6i$, $7i - 3i = 4i$, $(2 - 7i) + (3 + 4i) = 5 - 3i$, and so forth. The key properties which distinguish i from the real numbers are listed below.

Definition 18 The imaginary unit

The imaginary unit i satisfies the two following properties:

1. $i^2 = -1$
2. If c is a real number with $c \geq 0$ then $\sqrt{-c} = i\sqrt{c}$

Property 1 in Definition 18 establishes that i does act as a square root of -1 , and property 2 establishes what we mean by the ‘principal square root’ of a negative real number. In property 2, it is important to remember the restriction on c . For example, it is perfectly acceptable to say $\sqrt{-4} = i\sqrt{4} = i(2) = 2i$. However, $\sqrt{(-4)} \neq i\sqrt{-4}$, otherwise, we’d get

$$2 = \sqrt{4} = \sqrt{-(-4)} = i\sqrt{-4} = i(2i) = 2i^2 = 2(-1) = -2,$$

which is unacceptable. The moral of this story is that the general properties of radicals do not apply for even roots of negative quantities. With Definition 18 in place, we are now in position to define the **complex numbers**.

Definition 19 Complex number

A **complex number** is a number of the form $a + bi$, where a and b are real numbers and i is the imaginary unit. The set of complex numbers is denoted \mathbb{C} .

Complex numbers include things you’d normally expect, like $3 + 2i$ and $\frac{2}{5} - i\sqrt{3}$. However, don’t forget that a or b could be zero, which means numbers like $3i$ and 6 are also complex numbers. In other words, don’t forget that the complex numbers *include* the real numbers, so 0 and $\pi - \sqrt{21}$ are both considered complex numbers. The arithmetic of complex numbers is as you would expect. The only things you need to remember are the two properties in Definition 18. The next example should help recall how these animals behave.

Example 12 Arithmetic with complex numbers

Perform the indicated operations.

¹Some Technical Mathematics textbooks label it ‘j’. While it carries the adjective ‘imaginary’, these numbers have essential real-world implications. For example, every electronic device owes its existence to the study of ‘imaginary’ numbers.

$$1. (1 - 2i) - (3 + 4i) \quad 2. (1 - 2i)(3 + 4i) \quad 3. \frac{1 - 2i}{3 - 4i}$$

$$4. \sqrt{-3} \sqrt{-12} \quad 5. \sqrt{(-3)(-12)} \quad 6. (x - [1 + 2i])(x - [1 - 2i])$$

SOLUTION

1. As mentioned earlier, we treat expressions involving i as we would any other radical. We distribute and combine like terms:

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - 2i) - (3 + 4i) &= 1 - 2i - 3 - 4i && \text{Distribute} \\ &= -2 - 6i && \text{Gather like terms} \end{aligned}$$

Technically, we'd have to rewrite our answer $-2 - 6i$ as $(-2) + (-6)i$ to be (in the strictest sense) 'in the form $a + bi$ '. That being said, even pedants have their limits, and we'll consider $-2 - 6i$ good enough.

2. Using the Distributive Property (a.k.a. F.O.I.L.), we get

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - 2i)(3 + 4i) &= (1)(3) + (1)(4i) - (2i)(3) - (2i)(4i) && \text{F.O.I.L.} \\ &= 3 + 4i - 6i - 8i^2 \\ &= 3 - 2i - 8(-1) && i^2 = -1 \\ &= 3 - 2i + 8 \\ &= 11 - 2i \end{aligned}$$

3. How in the world are we supposed to simplify $\frac{1-2i}{3-4i}$? Well, we deal with the denominator $3 - 4i$ as we would any other denominator containing two terms, one of which is a square root: we and multiply both numerator and denominator by $3 + 4i$, the (complex) conjugate of $3 - 4i$. Doing so produces

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1 - 2i}{3 - 4i} &= \frac{(1 - 2i)(3 + 4i)}{(3 - 4i)(3 + 4i)} && \text{Equivalent Fractions} \\ &= \frac{3 + 4i - 6i - 8i^2}{9 - 16i^2} && \text{F.O.I.L.} \\ &= \frac{3 - 2i - 8(-1)}{9 - 16(-1)} && i^2 = -1 \\ &= \frac{11 - 2i}{25} \\ &= \frac{11}{25} - \frac{2}{25}i \end{aligned}$$

4. We use property 2 of Definition 18 first, then apply the rules of radicals applicable to real numbers to get $\sqrt{-3} \sqrt{-12} = (i\sqrt{3})(i\sqrt{12}) = i^2 \sqrt{3 \cdot 12} = -\sqrt{36} = -6$.
5. We adhere to the order of operations here and perform the multiplication before the radical to get $\sqrt{(-3)(-12)} = \sqrt{36} = 6$.

6. We can brute force multiply using the distributive property and see that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (x - [1 + 2i])(x - [1 - 2i]) &= x^2 - x[1 - 2i] - x[1 + 2i] + [1 - 2i][1 + 2i] \\
 &\quad \text{F.O.I.L.} \\
 &= x^2 - x + 2ix - x - 2ix + 1 - 2i + 2i - 4i^2 \\
 &\quad \text{Distribute} \\
 &= x^2 - 2x + 1 - 4(-1) \quad \text{Gather like terms} \\
 &= x^2 - 2x + 5 \quad i^2 = -1
 \end{aligned}$$

In the previous example, we used the idea of a ‘conjugate’ to divide two complex numbers. (You may recall using conjugates to rationalize expressions involving square roots.) More generally, the **complex conjugate** of a complex number $a + bi$ is the number $a - bi$. The notation commonly used for complex conjugation is a ‘bar’: $\overline{a + bi} = a - bi$. For example, $\overline{3 + 2i} = 3 - 2i$ and $\overline{3 - 2i} = 3 + 2i$. To find $\overline{6}$, we note that $\overline{6} = \overline{6 + 0i} = 6 - 0i = 6$, so $\overline{6} = 6$. Similarly, $\overline{4i} = -4i$, since $\overline{4i} = \overline{0 + 4i} = \overline{0 - 4i} = -4i$. Note that $3 + \sqrt{5} = 3 + \sqrt{5}$, not $3 - \sqrt{5}$, since $3 + \sqrt{5} = 3 + \sqrt{5} + 0i = 3 + \sqrt{5} - 0i = 3 + \sqrt{5}$. Here, the conjugation specified by the ‘bar’ notation involves reversing the sign before $i = \sqrt{-1}$, not before $\sqrt{5}$. The properties of the conjugate are summarized in the following theorem.

Theorem 4 Properties of the Complex Conjugate

Let z and w be complex numbers.

- $\overline{\bar{z}} = z$
- $\overline{z + w} = \bar{z} + \bar{w}$
- $\overline{zw} = \bar{z}\bar{w}$
- $\overline{z^n} = (\bar{z})^n$, for any natural number n
- z is a real number if and only if $\bar{z} = z$.

Essentially, Theorem 4 says that complex conjugation works well with addition, multiplication and powers. The proofs of these properties can best be achieved by writing out $z = a + bi$ and $w = c + di$ for real numbers a, b, c and d . Next, we compute the left and right sides of each equation and verify that they are the same.

The proof of the first property is a very quick exercise. To prove the second property, we compare $\overline{z + w}$ with $\bar{z} + \bar{w}$. We have $\bar{z} + \bar{w} = \overline{a + bi} + \overline{c + di} = a - bi + c - di$. To find $\overline{z + w}$, we first compute

$$z + w = (a + bi) + (c + di) = (a + c) + (b + d)i$$

so

$$\overline{z + w} = \overline{(a + c) + (b + d)i} = (a + c) - (b + d)i = a - bi + c - di = \bar{z} + \bar{w}$$

As such, we have established $\overline{z + w} = \bar{z} + \bar{w}$. The proof for multiplication works similarly. The proof that the conjugate works well with powers can be viewed as

a repeated application of the product rule, and is best proved using a technique called Mathematical Induction. The last property is a characterization of real numbers. If z is real, then $z = a + 0i$, so $\bar{z} = a - 0i = a = z$. On the other hand, if $z = \bar{z}$, then $a + bi = a - bi$ which means $b = -b$ so $b = 0$. Hence, $z = a + 0i = a$ and is real.

We now consider the problem of solving quadratic equations. Consider $x^2 - 2x + 5 = 0$. The discriminant $b^2 - 4ac = -16$ is negative, so we know from the quadratic formula that there are no *real* solutions, since the Quadratic Formula would involve the term $\sqrt{-16}$. Complex numbers, however, are built just for such situations, so we can go ahead and apply the Quadratic Formula to get:

$$x = \frac{-(-2) \pm \sqrt{(-2)^2 - 4(1)(5)}}{2(1)} = \frac{2 \pm \sqrt{-16}}{2} = \frac{2 \pm 4i}{2} = 1 \pm 2i.$$

Example 13 Finding complex solutions

Find the complex solutions to the following equations.

$$1. \frac{2x}{x+1} = x+3$$

$$2. 2t^4 = 9t^2 + 5$$

$$3. z^3 + 1 = 0$$

SOLUTION

1. Clearing fractions yields a quadratic equation so we collect all terms on one side and apply the Quadratic Formula.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{2x}{x+1} &= x+3 \\ 2x &= (x+3)(x+1) \quad \text{Multiply by } (x+1) \text{ to clear denominators} \\ 2x &= x^2 + x + 3x + 3 \\ 2x &= x^2 + 4x + 3 \quad \text{F.O.I.L.} \\ 0 &= x^2 + 2x + 3 \quad \text{Gather like terms} \\ & \quad \text{Subtract } 2x \end{aligned}$$

From here, we apply the Quadratic Formula

$$\begin{aligned} x &= \frac{-2 \pm \sqrt{2^2 - 4(1)(3)}}{2(1)} \quad \text{Quadratic Formula} \\ &= \frac{-2 \pm \sqrt{-8}}{2} \quad \text{Simplify} \\ &= \frac{-2 \pm i\sqrt{8}}{2} \quad \text{Definition of } i \\ &= \frac{-2 \pm i2\sqrt{2}}{2} \quad \text{Product Rule for Radicals} \\ &= \frac{\cancel{2}(-1 \pm i\sqrt{2})}{\cancel{2}} \quad \text{Factor and reduce} \\ &= -1 \pm i\sqrt{2} \end{aligned}$$

We get two answers: $x = -1 + i\sqrt{2}$ and its conjugate $x = -1 - i\sqrt{2}$. Checking both of these answers reviews all of the salient points about complex number arithmetic and is therefore strongly encouraged.

2. Since we have three terms, and the exponent on one term ('4' on t^4) is exactly twice the exponent on the other ('2' on t^2), we have a Quadratic

Proof by Mathematical Induction is usually taught in Math 2000.

We're assuming some prior familiarity on the part of the reader where quadratic equations are concerned. If you're a bit rusty when it comes to finding *real* solutions to quadratic equations (and in particular, the quadratic formula), you may want to check out the review materials available on the "Math Basics" Moodle page.

Remember, all real numbers are complex numbers, so 'complex solutions' means both real and non-real answers.

in Disguise. We proceed accordingly.

$$\begin{aligned}
 2t^4 &= 9t^2 + 5 \\
 2t^4 - 9t^2 - 5 &= 0 && \text{Subtract } 9t^2 \text{ and } 5 \\
 (2t^2 + 1)(t^2 - 5) &= 0 && \text{Factor} \\
 2t^2 + 1 = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad t^2 &= 5 && \text{Zero Product Property}
 \end{aligned}$$

From $2t^2 + 1 = 0$ we get $2t^2 = -1$, or $t^2 = -\frac{1}{2}$. We extract square roots as follows:

$$t = \pm \sqrt{-\frac{1}{2}} = \pm i \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} = \pm i \frac{\sqrt{1}}{\sqrt{2}} = \pm i \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} = \pm \frac{i\sqrt{2}}{2},$$

where we have rationalized the denominator per convention. From $t^2 = 5$, we get $t = \pm\sqrt{5}$. In total, we have four complex solutions - two real: $t = \pm\sqrt{5}$ and two non-real: $t = \pm\frac{i\sqrt{2}}{2}$.

3. To find the *real* solutions to $z^3 + 1 = 0$, we can subtract the 1 from both sides and extract cube roots: $z^3 = -1$, so $z = \sqrt[3]{-1} = -1$. It turns out there are two more non-real complex number solutions to this equation. To get at these, we factor:

$$\begin{aligned}
 z^3 + 1 &= 0 \\
 (z + 1)(z^2 - z + 1) &= 0 && \text{Factor (Sum of Two Cubes)} \\
 z + 1 = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad z^2 - z + 1 &= 0
 \end{aligned}$$

From $z + 1 = 0$, we get our real solution $z = -1$. From $z^2 - z + 1 = 0$, we apply the Quadratic Formula to get:

$$z = \frac{-(-1) \pm \sqrt{(-1)^2 - 4(1)(1)}}{2(1)} = \frac{1 \pm \sqrt{-3}}{2} = \frac{1 \pm i\sqrt{3}}{2}$$

Thus we get *three* solutions to $z^3 + 1 = 0$ - one real: $z = -1$ and two non-real: $z = \frac{1 \pm i\sqrt{3}}{2}$. As always, the reader is encouraged to test their algebraic mettle and check these solutions.

It is no coincidence that the non-real solutions to the equations in Example 13 appear in complex conjugate pairs. Any time we use the Quadratic Formula to solve an equation with real coefficients, the answers will form a complex conjugate pair owing to the \pm in the Quadratic Formula. This is stated formally in the following theorem.

Theorem 5 Discriminant Theorem

Given a Quadratic Equation $AX^2 + BX + C = 0$, where A, B and C are real numbers, let $D = B^2 - 4AC$ be the discriminant.

- If $D > 0$, there are two distinct real number solutions to the equation.
- If $D = 0$, there is one (repeated) real number solution.

Note: ‘Repeated’ here comes from the fact that ‘both’ solutions $\frac{-B \pm 0}{2A}$ reduce to $-\frac{B}{2A}$.

- If $D < 0$, there are two non-real solutions which form a complex conjugate pair.

Theorem 5 tells us that if ever we obtain non-real zeros to a quadratic function with real coefficients, the zeros will be a complex conjugate pair. (Do you see why?) Next, we note that in Example 12, part 6, we found $(x - [1 + 2i])(x - [1 - 2i]) = x^2 - 2x + 5$. This demonstrates that the factor theorem holds even for non-real zeros, i.e., $x = 1 + 2i$ is a zero of f , and, sure enough, $(x - [1 + 2i])$ is a factor of $f(x)$. It turns out that polynomial division works the same way for all complex numbers, real and non-real alike, so the Factor and Remainder Theorems hold as well. But how do we know if a general polynomial has any complex zeros at all? We have many examples of polynomials with no real zeros. Can there be polynomials with no zeros whatsoever? The answer to that last question is “No.” and the theorem which provides that answer is The Fundamental Theorem of Algebra.

Theorem 6 The Fundamental Theorem of Algebra

Suppose f is a polynomial function with complex number coefficients of degree $n \geq 1$, then f has at least one complex zero.

The Fundamental Theorem of Algebra is an example of an ‘existence’ theorem in Mathematics. It guarantees the existence of at least one zero, but gives us no algorithm to use in finding it. The authors are fully aware that the full impact and profound nature of the Fundamental Theorem of Algebra is lost on most students, and that’s fine. It took mathematicians literally hundreds of years to prove the theorem in its full generality, and some of that history is recorded in this [Wikipedia article](#). Note that the Fundamental Theorem of Algebra applies to not only polynomial functions with real coefficients, but to those with complex number coefficients as well.

Suppose f is a polynomial of degree $n \geq 1$. The Fundamental Theorem of Algebra guarantees us at least one complex zero, z_1 , and as such, the Factor Theorem guarantees that $f(x)$ factors as $f(x) = (x - z_1) q_1(x)$ for a polynomial function q_1 , of degree exactly $n - 1$. If $n - 1 \geq 1$, then the Fundamental Theorem of Algebra guarantees a complex zero of q_1 as well, say z_2 , so then the Factor Theorem gives us $q_1(x) = (x - z_2) q_2(x)$, and hence $f(x) = (x - z_1)(x - z_2) q_2(x)$. We can continue this process exactly n times, at which point our quotient polynomial q_n has degree 0 so it’s a constant. This argument gives us the following factorization theorem.

Theorem 7 Complex Factorization Theorem

Suppose f is a polynomial function with complex number coefficients. If the degree of f is n and $n \geq 1$, then f has exactly n complex zeros, counting multiplicity. If z_1, z_2, \dots, z_k are the distinct zeros of f , with multiplicities m_1, m_2, \dots, m_k , respectively, then $f(x) = a(x - z_1)^{m_1}(x - z_2)^{m_2} \cdots (x - z_k)^{m_k}$.

The Fundamental Theorem of Algebra has since been proved many times, using many different methods, by many mathematicians. There are probably very few, if any, results in mathematics with the variety of proofs this result has. Unfortunately, none of the proofs can be understood within the realm of this text, but if the reader is sufficiently interested, a collection of proofs can be found at [this website](#).

Exercises 1.4

Problems

In Exercises 1 – 10, use the given complex numbers z and w to find and simplify the following:

- $z + w$
- $\frac{w}{z}$
- zw
- \bar{z}
- z^2
- $z\bar{z}$
- $\frac{1}{z}$
- $(\bar{z})^2$
- $\frac{z}{w}$

1. $z = 2 + 3i, w = 4i$

2. $z = 1 + i, w = -i$

3. $z = i, w = -1 + 2i$

4. $z = 4i, w = 2 - 2i$

5. $z = 3 - 5i, w = 2 + 7i$

6. $z = -5 + i, w = 4 + 2i$

7. $z = \sqrt{2} - i\sqrt{2}, w = \sqrt{2} + i\sqrt{2}$

8. $z = 1 - i\sqrt{3}, w = -1 - i\sqrt{3}$

9. $z = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}i, w = -\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}i$

10. $z = -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}i, w = -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}i$

In Exercises 11 – 18, simplify the quantity.

11. $\sqrt{-49}$

12. $\sqrt{-9}$

13. $\sqrt{-25}\sqrt{-4}$

14. $\sqrt{(-25)(-4)}$

15. $\sqrt{-9}\sqrt{-16}$

16. $\sqrt{(-9)(-16)}$

17. $\sqrt{-(-9)}$

18. $-\sqrt{(-9)}$

We know that $i^2 = -1$ which means $i^3 = i^2 \cdot i = (-1) \cdot i = -i$ and $i^4 = i^2 \cdot i^2 = (-1)(-1) = 1$. In Exercises 19 – 26, use this information to simplify the given power of i .

19. i^5

20. i^6

21. i^7

22. i^8

23. i^{15}

24. i^{26}

25. i^{117}

26. i^{304}

In Exercises 27 – 35, find all complex solutions.

27. $3x^2 + 6 = 4x$

28. $15t^2 + 2t + 5 = 3t(t^2 + 1)$

29. $3y^2 + 4 = y^4$

30. $\frac{2}{1-w} = w$

31. $\frac{y}{3} - \frac{3}{y} = y$

32. $\frac{x^3}{2x-1} = \frac{x}{3}$

33. $x = \frac{2}{\sqrt{5}-x}$

34. $\frac{5y^4+1}{y^2-1} = 3y^2$

35. $z^4 = 16$

36. Multiply and simplify: $(x - [3 - i\sqrt{23}]) (x - [3 + i\sqrt{23}])$

1.5 Polar Coordinates

In Section 1.3, we introduced the Cartesian coordinates of a point in the plane as a means of assigning ordered pairs of numbers to points in the plane. We defined the Cartesian coordinate plane using two number lines – one horizontal and one vertical – which intersect at right angles at a point we called the ‘origin’. To plot a point, say $P(-3, 4)$, we start at the origin, travel horizontally to the left 3 units, then up 4 units. Alternatively, we could start at the origin, travel up 4 units, then to the left 3 units and arrive at the same location. For the most part, the ‘motions’ of the Cartesian system (over and up) describe a rectangle, and most points can be thought of as the corner diagonally across the rectangle from the origin.(Excluding, of course, the points in which one or both coordinates are 0.) For this reason, the Cartesian coordinates of a point are often called ‘rectangular’ coordinates. In this section, we introduce a new system for assigning coordinates to points in the plane – **polar coordinates**. We start with an origin point, called the **pole**, and a ray called the **polar axis**. We then locate a point P using two coordinates, (r, θ) , where r represents a *directed* distance from the pole (we will explain more about this momentarily) and θ is a measure of rotation from the polar axis. Roughly speaking, the polar coordinates (r, θ) of a point measure ‘how far out’ the point is from the pole (that’s r), and ‘how far to rotate’ from the polar axis, (that’s θ).




Figure 1.15: Rectangular vs. Polar Coordinates

For example, if we wished to plot the point P with polar coordinates $(4, \frac{5\pi}{6})$, we’d start at the pole, move out along the polar axis 4 units, then rotate $\frac{5\pi}{6}$ radians counter-clockwise, as shown in Figure 1.16.




Figure 1.16: Locating a point using polar coordinates

We may also visualize this process by thinking of the rotation first.(As with anything in Mathematics, the more ways you have to look at something, the better. The authors encourage the reader to take time to think about both approaches to plotting points given in polar coordinates.) To plot $P(4, \frac{5\pi}{6})$ this

way, we rotate $\frac{5\pi}{6}$ counter-clockwise from the polar axis, then move outwards from the pole 4 units, as shown in Figure 1.17. Essentially we are locating a point on the terminal side of $\frac{5\pi}{6}$ which is 4 units away from the pole.





Figure 1.17: Performing the rotation first

If $r < 0$, we begin by moving in the opposite direction on the polar axis from the pole. For example, to plot $Q(-3.5, \frac{\pi}{4})$ we have the steps shown in Figure 1.18.


 Figure 1.18: Using polar coordinates when $r < 0$

If we interpret the angle first, we rotate $\frac{\pi}{4}$ radians, then move back through the pole 3.5 units. Here we are locating a point 3.5 units away from the pole on the terminal side of $\frac{5\pi}{4}$, not $\frac{\pi}{4}$.






Figure 1.19: Performing the rotation first to plot the point in Figure 1.18

As you may have guessed, $\theta < 0$ means the rotation away from the polar axis is clockwise instead of counter-clockwise. Hence, to plot $R(3.5, -\frac{3\pi}{4})$ we have the following.


 Figure 1.20: $\theta = -\frac{3\pi}{4} < 0$ produces a clockwise rotation

From an ‘angles first’ approach, we rotate $-\frac{3\pi}{4}$ then move out 3.5 units from the pole. We see that R is the point on the terminal side of $\theta = -\frac{3\pi}{4}$ which is 3.5 units from the pole.

Figure 1.21: Rotating first with $\theta < 0$

The points Q and R above are, in fact, the same point despite the fact that their polar coordinate representations are different. Unlike Cartesian coordinates where (a, b) and (c, d) represent the same point if and only if $a = c$ and $b = d$, a point can be represented by infinitely many polar coordinate pairs. We explore this notion more in the following example.


Example 14 Plotting points in polar coordinates

For each point in polar coordinates given below plot the point and then give two additional expressions for the point, one of which has $r > 0$ and the other with $r < 0$.


1. $P(2, 240^\circ)$
2. $P(-4, \frac{7\pi}{6})$
3. $P(117, -\frac{5\pi}{2})$
4. $P(-3, -\frac{\pi}{4})$

SOLUTION


1. Whether we move 2 units along the polar axis and then rotate 240° or rotate 240° then move out 2 units from the pole, we plot $P(2, 240^\circ)$ in Figure 1.22 below.

Figure 1.22: Plotting $P(2, 240^\circ)$


We now set about finding alternate descriptions (r, θ) for the point P . Since P is 2 units from the pole, $r = \pm 2$. Next, we choose angles θ for each of the r values. The given representation for P is $(2, 240^\circ)$ so the angle θ we choose for the $r = 2$ case must be coterminal with 240° . (Can you see why?) One such angle is $\theta = -120^\circ$ so one answer for this case is $(2, -120^\circ)$. For the case $r = -2$, we visualize our rotation starting 2 units to the left of the pole. From this position, we need only to rotate $\theta = 60^\circ$ to arrive at location coterminal with 240° . Hence, our answer here is $(-2, 60^\circ)$. We check our answers by plotting them in Figure 1.23.

Figure 1.23: Alternate polar representations of $P(2, 240^\circ)$


2. We plot $(-4, \frac{7\pi}{6})$ by first moving 4 units to the left of the pole and then rotating $\frac{7\pi}{6}$ radians. Since $r = -4 < 0$, we find our point lies 4 units from the pole on the terminal side of $\frac{\pi}{6}$.


 Figure 1.24: Plotting $P(-4, \frac{7\pi}{6})$


To find alternate descriptions for P , we note that the distance from P to the pole is 4 units, so any representation (r, θ) for P must have $r = \pm 4$. As we noted above, P lies on the terminal side of $\frac{\pi}{6}$, so this, coupled with $r = 4$, gives us $(4, \frac{\pi}{6})$ as one of our answers. To find a different representation for P with $r = -4$, we may choose any angle coterminal with the angle in the original representation of $P(-4, \frac{7\pi}{6})$. We pick $-\frac{5\pi}{6}$ and get $(-4, -\frac{5\pi}{6})$ as our second answer.


 Figure 1.25: Alternate polar representations of $P(-4, \frac{7\pi}{6})$


3. To plot $P(117, -\frac{5\pi}{2})$, we move along the polar axis 117 units from the pole and rotate clockwise $\frac{5\pi}{2}$ radians as illustrated in Figure 1.26 below.


 Figure 1.26: Plotting $P(117, -\frac{5\pi}{2})$


Since P is 117 units from the pole, any representation (r, θ) for P satisfies $r = \pm 117$. For the $r = 117$ case, we can take θ to be any angle coterminal with $-\frac{5\pi}{2}$. In this case, we choose $\theta = \frac{3\pi}{2}$, and get $(117, \frac{3\pi}{2})$ as one answer. For the $r = -117$ case, we visualize moving left 117 units from the pole and then rotating through an angle θ to reach P . We find that $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$ satisfies this requirement, so our second answer is $(-117, \frac{\pi}{2})$.

Figure 1.27: Alternate polar representations of $P(117, -\frac{5\pi}{2})$

4. We move three units to the left of the pole and follow up with a clockwise rotation of $\frac{\pi}{4}$ radians to plot $P(-3, -\frac{\pi}{4})$. We see that P lies on the terminal side of $\frac{3\pi}{4}$.

Figure 1.28: Plotting $P(-3, -\frac{\pi}{4})$

Since P lies on the terminal side of $\frac{3\pi}{4}$, one alternative representation for P is $(3, \frac{3\pi}{4})$. To find a different representation for P with $r = -3$, we may choose any angle coterminal with $-\frac{\pi}{4}$. We choose $\theta = \frac{7\pi}{4}$ for our final answer $(-3, \frac{7\pi}{4})$.

Figure 1.29: Alternate polar representations of $P(-3, -\frac{\pi}{4})$

Now that we have had some practice with plotting points in polar coordinates, it should come as no surprise that any given point expressed in polar coordinates has infinitely many other representations in polar coordinates. The following result characterizes when two sets of polar coordinates determine the same point in the plane. It could be considered as a definition or a theorem, depending on your point of view. We state it as a property of the polar coordinate system.

Key Idea 8 Equivalent Representations of Points in Polar Coordinates

Suppose (r, θ) and (r', θ') are polar coordinates where $r \neq 0, r' \neq 0$ and the angles are measured in radians. Then (r, θ) and (r', θ') determine the same point P if and only if one of the following is true:

- $r' = r$ and $\theta' = \theta + 2\pi k$ for some integer k
- $r' = -r$ and $\theta' = \theta + (2k + 1)\pi$ for some integer k

All polar coordinates of the form $(0, \theta)$ represent the pole regardless of the value of θ .

The key to understanding this result, and indeed the whole polar coordinate system, is to keep in mind that

(r, θ) means (directed distance from pole, angle of rotation).

If $r = 0$, then no matter how much rotation is performed, the point never leaves the pole. Thus $(0, \theta)$ is the pole for all values of θ . Now let's assume that neither r nor r' is zero. If (r, θ) and (r', θ') determine the same point P then the (non-zero) distance from P to the pole in each case must be the same. Since this distance is controlled by the first coordinate, we have that either $r' = r$ or $r' = -r$. If $r' = r$, then when plotting (r, θ) and (r', θ') , the angles θ and θ' have the same initial side. Hence, if (r, θ) and (r', θ') determine the same point, we must have that θ' is coterminal with θ . We know that this means $\theta' = \theta + 2\pi k$ for some integer k , as required. If, on the other hand, $r' = -r$, then when plotting (r, θ) and (r', θ') , the initial side of θ' is rotated π radians away from the initial side of θ . In this case, θ' must be coterminal with $\pi + \theta$. Hence, $\theta' = \pi + \theta + 2\pi k$ which we rewrite as $\theta' = \theta + (2k + 1)\pi$ for some integer k . Conversely, if $r' = r$ and $\theta' = \theta + 2\pi k$ for some integer k , then the points $P(r, \theta)$ and $P'(r', \theta')$ lie the same (directed) distance from the pole on the terminal sides of coterminal angles, and hence are the same point. Now suppose $r' = -r$ and $\theta' = \theta + (2k + 1)\pi$ for some integer k . To plot P , we first move a directed distance r from the pole; to plot P' , our first step is to move the same distance from the pole as P , but in the opposite direction. At this intermediate stage, we have two points equidistant from the pole rotated exactly π radians apart. Since $\theta' = \theta + (2k + 1)\pi = (\theta + \pi) + 2\pi k$ for some integer k , we see that θ' is coterminal to $(\theta + \pi)$ and it is this extra π radians of rotation which aligns the points P and P' .

Next, we marry the polar coordinate system with the Cartesian (rectangular) coordinate system. To do so, we identify the pole and polar axis in the polar system to the origin and positive x -axis, respectively, in the rectangular system. We get the following result.

Theorem 8 Conversion Between Rectangular and Polar Coordinates

Suppose P is represented in rectangular coordinates as (x, y) and in polar coordinates as (r, θ) . Then

- $x = r \cos(\theta)$ and $y = r \sin(\theta)$
- $x^2 + y^2 = r^2$ and $\tan(\theta) = \frac{y}{x}$ (provided $x \neq 0$)

In the case $r > 0$, Theorem 8 is an immediate consequence of the trigonometric definitions of sine and cosine along with the quotient identity $\tan(\theta) = \frac{\sin(\theta)}{\cos(\theta)}$. If $r < 0$, then we know an alternate representation for (r, θ) is $(-r, \theta + \pi)$. Since $\cos(\theta + \pi) = -\cos(\theta)$ and $\sin(\theta + \pi) = -\sin(\theta)$, applying the theorem to $(-r, \theta + \pi)$ gives $x = (-r) \cos(\theta + \pi) = (-r)(-\cos(\theta)) = r \cos(\theta)$ and $y = (-r) \sin(\theta + \pi) = (-r)(-\sin(\theta)) = r \sin(\theta)$. Moreover, $x^2 + y^2 = (-r)^2 = r^2$, and $\frac{y}{x} = \tan(\theta + \pi) = \tan(\theta)$, so the theorem is true in this case, too. The remaining case is $r = 0$, in which case $(r, \theta) = (0, \theta)$ is the pole. Since the pole is identified with the origin $(0, 0)$ in rectangular coordinates, the theorem in this case amounts to checking ‘ $0 = 0$ ’. The following example puts Theorem 8 to good use.

Example 15 Converting from rectangular to polar coordinates

Convert each point in rectangular coordinates given below into polar coordinates with $r \geq 0$ and $0 \leq \theta < 2\pi$. Use exact values if possible and round any approximate values to two decimal places. Check your answer by converting them back to rectangular coordinates.

- | | |
|-----------------------|---------------|
| 1. $P(2, -2\sqrt{3})$ | 3. $R(0, -3)$ |
| 2. $Q(-3, -3)$ | 4. $S(-3, 4)$ |

SOLUTION

1. Even though we are not explicitly told to do so, we can avoid many common mistakes by taking the time to plot the points before we do any calculations. Plotting $P(2, -2\sqrt{3})$ shows that it lies in Quadrant IV. With $x = 2$ and $y = -2\sqrt{3}$, we get $r^2 = x^2 + y^2 = (2)^2 + (-2\sqrt{3})^2 = 4 + 12 = 16$ so $r = \pm 4$. Since we are asked for $r \geq 0$, we choose $r = 4$. To find θ , we have that $\tan(\theta) = \frac{y}{x} = \frac{-2\sqrt{3}}{2} = -\sqrt{3}$. This tells us θ has a reference angle of $\frac{\pi}{3}$, and since P lies in Quadrant IV, we know θ is a Quadrant IV angle. We are asked to have $0 \leq \theta < 2\pi$, so we choose $\theta = \frac{5\pi}{3}$. Hence, our answer is $(4, \frac{5\pi}{3})$. To check, we convert $(r, \theta) = (4, \frac{5\pi}{3})$ back to rectangular coordinates and we find $x = r \cos(\theta) = 4 \cos(\frac{5\pi}{3}) = 4(\frac{1}{2}) = 2$ and $y = r \sin(\theta) = 4 \sin(\frac{5\pi}{3}) = 4(-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}) = -2\sqrt{3}$, as required.

2. The point $Q(-3, -3)$ lies in Quadrant III. Using $x = y = -3$, we get $r^2 = (-3)^2 + (-3)^2 = 18$ so $r = \pm\sqrt{18} = \pm 3\sqrt{2}$. Since we are asked for $r \geq 0$, we choose $r = 3\sqrt{2}$. We find $\tan(\theta) = \frac{-3}{-3} = 1$, which means θ has a reference angle of $\frac{\pi}{4}$. Since Q lies in Quadrant III, we choose $\theta = \frac{5\pi}{4}$, which satisfies the requirement that $0 \leq \theta < 2\pi$. Our final answer is $(r, \theta) = (3\sqrt{2}, \frac{5\pi}{4})$. To check, we find $x = r \cos(\theta) = (3\sqrt{2}) \cos(\frac{5\pi}{4}) =$




Figure 1.30: P has rectangular coordinates $(2, -2\sqrt{3})$ and polar coordinates $(4, \frac{5\pi}{3})$




Figure 1.32: R has rectangular coordinates $(0, -3)$ and polar coordinates $(-3, \frac{3\pi}{2})$




Figure 1.33: S has rectangular coordinates $(-3, 4)$ and polar coordinates $(5, \pi - \arctan(\frac{4}{3}))$




Figure 1.31: Q has rectangular coordinates $(-3, -3)$ and polar coordinates $(3\sqrt{2}, \frac{5\pi}{4})$

$(3\sqrt{2}) \left(-\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\right) = -3$ and $y = r \sin(\theta) = (3\sqrt{2}) \sin\left(\frac{5\pi}{4}\right) = (3\sqrt{2}) \left(-\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\right) = -3$, so we are done.

3. The point $R(0, -3)$ lies along the negative y -axis. While we could go through the usual computations to find the polar form of R (since $x = 0$, we would have to determine θ geometrically), in this case we can find the polar coordinates of R using the definition. Since the pole is identified with the origin, we can easily tell the point R is 3 units from the pole, which means in the polar representation (r, θ) of R we know $r = \pm 3$. Since we require $r \geq 0$, we choose $r = 3$. Concerning θ , the angle $\theta = \frac{3\pi}{2}$ satisfies $0 \leq \theta < 2\pi$ with its terminal side along the negative y -axis, so our answer is $(3, \frac{3\pi}{2})$. To check, we note $x = r \cos(\theta) = 3 \cos\left(\frac{3\pi}{2}\right) = (3)(0) = 0$ and $y = r \sin(\theta) = 3 \sin\left(\frac{3\pi}{2}\right) = 3(-1) = -3$.
4. The point $S(-3, 4)$ lies in Quadrant II. With $x = -3$ and $y = 4$, we get $r^2 = (-3)^2 + (4)^2 = 25$ so $r = \pm 5$. As usual, we choose $r = 5 \geq 0$ and proceed to determine θ . We have $\tan(\theta) = \frac{y}{x} = \frac{4}{-3} = -\frac{4}{3}$, and since this isn't the tangent of one of the common angles, we resort to using the arctangent function. Since θ lies in Quadrant II and must satisfy $0 \leq \theta < 2\pi$, we choose $\theta = \pi - \arctan\left(\frac{4}{3}\right)$ radians. Hence, our answer is $(r, \theta) = (5, \pi - \arctan(\frac{4}{3})) \approx (5, 2.21)$. To check our answers requires a bit of tenacity since we need to simplify expressions of the form: $\cos(\pi - \arctan(\frac{4}{3}))$ and $\sin(\pi - \arctan(\frac{4}{3}))$. These are good review exercises and are hence left to the reader. We find $\cos(\pi - \arctan(\frac{4}{3})) = -\frac{3}{5}$ and $\sin(\pi - \arctan(\frac{4}{3})) = \frac{4}{5}$, so that $x = r \cos(\theta) = (5) \left(-\frac{3}{5}\right) = -3$ and $y = r \sin(\theta) = (5) \left(\frac{4}{5}\right) = 4$ which confirms our answer.

Now that we've had practice converting representations of *points* between the rectangular and polar coordinate systems, we now set about converting *equations* from one system to another. Just as we've used equations in x and y to represent relations in rectangular coordinates, equations in the variables r and θ represent relations in polar coordinates. We convert equations between the two systems using Theorem 8 as the next example illustrates.

Example 16 Converting equations between coordinate systems

1. Convert each equation in rectangular coordinates into an equation in polar coordinates.

$$(a) (x - 3)^2 + y^2 = 9 \quad (b) y = -x \quad (c) y = x^2$$

2. Convert each equation in polar coordinates into an equation in rectangular coordinates.

$$(a) r = -3 \quad (b) \theta = \frac{4\pi}{3} \quad (c) r = 1 - \cos(\theta)$$

SOLUTION

1. One strategy to convert an equation from rectangular to polar coordinates is to replace every occurrence of x with $r \cos(\theta)$ and every occurrence of y with $r \sin(\theta)$ and use identities to simplify. This is the technique we employ below.

- (a) We start by substituting $x = r \cos(\theta)$ and $y = \sin(\theta)$ into $(x - 3)^2 + y^2 = 9$ and simplifying. With no real direction in which to proceed, we follow our mathematical instincts and see where they take us. (Experience is the mother of all instinct, and necessity is the mother of invention. Study this example and see what techniques are employed, then try your best to work through as many of the exercises as you can.)

$$\begin{aligned} (r \cos(\theta) - 3)^2 + (r \sin(\theta))^2 &= 9 \\ r^2 \cos^2(\theta) - 6r \cos(\theta) + 9 + r^2 \sin^2(\theta) &= 9 \\ r^2 (\cos^2(\theta) + \sin^2(\theta)) - 6r \cos(\theta) &= 0 && \text{(Subtract 9 from both sides.)} \\ r^2 - 6r \cos(\theta) &= 0 && \text{(Since } \cos^2(\theta) + \sin^2(\theta) = 1\text{)} \\ r(r - 6 \cos(\theta)) &= 0 && \text{(Factor.)} \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we get $r = 0$ or $r = 6 \cos(\theta)$. We know that the equation $(x - 3)^2 + y^2 = 9$ describes a circle, and since $r = 0$ describes just a point (namely the pole/origin), we choose $r = 6 \cos(\theta)$ for our final answer.

- (b) Substituting $x = r \cos(\theta)$ and $y = r \sin(\theta)$ into $y = -x$ gives $r \sin(\theta) = -r \cos(\theta)$. Rearranging, we get $r \cos(\theta) + r \sin(\theta) = 0$ or $r(\cos(\theta) + \sin(\theta)) = 0$. This gives $r = 0$ or $\cos(\theta) + \sin(\theta) = 0$. Solving the latter equation for θ , we get $\theta = -\frac{\pi}{4} + \pi k$ for integers k . As we did in the previous example, we take a step back and think geometrically. We know $y = -x$ describes a line through the origin. As before, $r = 0$ describes the origin, but nothing else. Consider the equation $\theta = -\frac{\pi}{4}$. In this equation, the variable r is free, meaning it can assume any and all values including $r = 0$. If we imagine plotting points $(r, -\frac{\pi}{4})$ for all conceivable values of r (positive, negative and zero), we are essentially drawing the line containing the terminal side of $\theta = -\frac{\pi}{4}$ which is none other than $y = -x$. Hence, we can take as our final answer $\theta = -\frac{\pi}{4}$ here. (We could take it to be *any* of $\theta = -\frac{\pi}{4} + \pi k$ for integers k , but it's nice to keep things simple.)
- (c) We substitute $x = r \cos(\theta)$ and $y = r \sin(\theta)$ into $y = x^2$ and get $r \sin(\theta) = (r \cos(\theta))^2$, or $r^2 \cos^2(\theta) - r \sin(\theta) = 0$. Factoring, we get $r(r \cos^2(\theta) - \sin(\theta)) = 0$ so that either $r = 0$ or $r \cos^2(\theta) = \sin(\theta)$. We can solve the latter equation for r by dividing both sides of the equation by $\cos^2(\theta)$, but as a general rule, we never divide through by a quantity that may be 0. In this particular case, we are safe since if $\cos^2(\theta) = 0$, then $\cos(\theta) = 0$, and for the equation $r \cos^2(\theta) = \sin(\theta)$ to hold, then $\sin(\theta)$ would also have to be 0. Since there are no angles with both $\cos(\theta) = 0$ and $\sin(\theta) = 0$, we are not losing any information by dividing both sides of $r \cos^2(\theta) = \sin(\theta)$ by $\cos^2(\theta)$. Doing so, we get $r = \frac{\sin(\theta)}{\cos^2(\theta)}$, or $r = \sec(\theta) \tan(\theta)$. As before, the $r = 0$ case is recovered in the solution $r = \sec(\theta) \tan(\theta)$ (let $\theta = 0$), so we state the latter as our final answer.

2. As a general rule, converting equations from polar to rectangular coordinates isn't as straight forward as the reverse process. We could solve $r^2 = x^2 + y^2$ for r to get $r = \pm\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}$ and solving $\tan(\theta) = \frac{y}{x}$ requires the arctangent function to get $\theta = \arctan\left(\frac{y}{x}\right) + \pi k$ for integers k . Neither of these expressions for r and θ are especially user-friendly, so we opt for a second strategy – rearrange the given polar equation so that the expres-

In Example 16.1a, note that when we substitute $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$ into $r = 6 \cos(\theta)$, we recover the point $r = 0$, so we aren't losing anything by disregarding $r = 0$.

sions $r^2 = x^2 + y^2$, $r \cos(\theta) = x$, $r \sin(\theta) = y$ and/or $\tan(\theta) = \frac{y}{x}$ present themselves.

When we say that two representations of a point are ‘equivalent’, we mean that they represent the same point in the plane. As ordered pairs, $(3, 0)$ and $(-3, \pi)$ are different, but when interpreted as polar coordinates, they correspond to the same point in the plane.

- (a) Starting with $r = -3$, we can square both sides to get $r^2 = (-3)^2$ or $r^2 = 9$. We may now substitute $r^2 = x^2 + y^2$ to get the equation $x^2 + y^2 = 9$. At this point we have to be careful, since squaring an equation does not, in general, produce an equivalent equation. The concern here is that the equation $r^2 = 9$ might be satisfied by more points than $r = -3$. On the surface, this appears to be the case since $r^2 = 9$ is equivalent to $r = \pm 3$, not just $r = -3$. However, any point with polar coordinates $(3, \theta)$ can be represented as $(-3, \theta + \pi)$, which means any point (r, θ) whose polar coordinates satisfy the relation $r = \pm 3$ has an equivalent representation which satisfies $r = -3$.
- (b) We take the tangent of both sides the equation $\theta = \frac{4\pi}{3}$ to get $\tan(\theta) = \tan\left(\frac{4\pi}{3}\right) = \sqrt{3}$. Since $\tan(\theta) = \frac{y}{x}$, we get $\frac{y}{x} = \sqrt{3}$ or $y = x\sqrt{3}$. Of course, we pause a moment to wonder if, geometrically, the equations $\theta = \frac{4\pi}{3}$ and $y = x\sqrt{3}$ generate the same set of points. (In addition to taking the tangent of both sides of an equation (There are infinitely many solutions to $\tan(\theta) = \sqrt{3}$, and $\theta = \frac{4\pi}{3}$ is only one of them!), we also went from $\frac{y}{x} = \sqrt{3}$, in which x cannot be 0, to $y = x\sqrt{3}$ in which we assume x can be 0.) The same argument presented in number 1b applies equally well here so we are done.
- (c) Once again, we need to manipulate $r = 1 - \cos(\theta)$ a bit before using the conversion formulas given in Theorem 8. We could square both sides of this equation like we did in part 2a above to obtain an r^2 on the left hand side, but that does nothing helpful for the right hand side. Instead, we multiply both sides by r to obtain $r^2 = r - r\cos(\theta)$. We now have an r^2 and an $r\cos(\theta)$ in the equation, which we can easily handle, but we also have another r to deal with. Rewriting the equation as $r = r^2 + r\cos(\theta)$ and squaring both sides yields $r^2 = (r^2 + r\cos(\theta))^2$. Substituting $r^2 = x^2 + y^2$ and $r\cos(\theta) = x$ gives $x^2 + y^2 = (x^2 + y^2 + x)^2$. Once again, we have performed some algebraic manoeuvres which may have altered the set of points described by the original equation. First, we multiplied both sides by r . This means that now $r = 0$ is a viable solution to the equation. In the original equation, $r = 1 - \cos(\theta)$, we see that $\theta = 0$ gives $r = 0$, so the multiplication by r doesn’t introduce any new points. The squaring of both sides of this equation is also a reason to pause. Are there points with coordinates (r, θ) which satisfy $r^2 = (r^2 + r\cos(\theta))^2$ but do not satisfy $r = r^2 + r\cos(\theta)$? Suppose (r', θ') satisfies $r^2 = (r^2 + r\cos(\theta))^2$. Then $r' = \pm((r')^2 + r'\cos(\theta'))$. If we have that $r' = (r')^2 + r'\cos(\theta')$, we are done. What if $r' = -(r')^2 + r'\cos(\theta') = -(r')^2 - r'\cos(\theta')$? We claim that the coordinates $(-r', \theta' + \pi)$, which determine the same point as (r', θ') , satisfy $r = r^2 + r\cos(\theta)$. We substitute $r = -r'$ and $\theta = \theta' + \pi$ into $r = r^2 + r\cos(\theta)$ to see if we get a true statement.

$$\begin{aligned}
 -r' &\stackrel{?}{=} (-r')^2 + (-r' \cos(\theta' + \pi)) \\
 -(-(r')^2 - r' \cos(\theta')) &\stackrel{?}{=} (r')^2 - r' \cos(\theta' + \pi) \quad (\text{Since } r' = -(r')^2 - r' \cos(\theta')) \\
 (r')^2 + r' \cos(\theta') &\stackrel{?}{=} (r')^2 - r'(-\cos(\theta')) \quad (\text{Since } \cos(\theta' + \pi) = -\cos(\theta')) \\
 (r')^2 + r' \cos(\theta') &\stackrel{\checkmark}{=} (r')^2 + r' \cos(\theta')
 \end{aligned}$$

Since both sides worked out to be equal, $(-r', \theta' + \pi)$ satisfies $r = r^2 + r \cos(\theta)$ which means that any point (r, θ) which satisfies $r^2 = (r^2 + r \cos(\theta))^2$ has a representation which satisfies $r = r^2 + r \cos(\theta)$, and we are done.

In practice, much of the pedantic verification of the equivalence of equations in Example 16 is left unsaid. Indeed, in most textbooks, squaring equations like $r = -3$ to arrive at $r^2 = 9$ happens without a second thought. Your instructor will ultimately decide how much, if any, justification is warranted. If you take anything away from Example 16, it should be that relatively nice things in rectangular coordinates, such as $y = x^2$, can turn ugly in polar coordinates, and vice-versa. In the next section, we devote our attention to graphing equations like the ones given in Example 16 number 2 on the Cartesian coordinate plane without converting back to rectangular coordinates. If nothing else, number 2c above shows the price we pay if we insist on always converting to back to the more familiar rectangular coordinate system.

Exercises 1.5

Problems

In Exercises 1 – 16, plot the point given in polar coordinates and then give three different expressions for the point such that (a) $r < 0$ and $0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi$, (b) $r > 0$ and $\theta \leq 0$ (c) $r > 0$ and $\theta \geq 2\pi$

1. $\left(2, \frac{\pi}{3}\right)$

2. $\left(5, \frac{7\pi}{4}\right)$

3. $\left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{3\pi}{2}\right)$

4. $\left(\frac{5}{2}, \frac{5\pi}{6}\right)$

5. $\left(12, -\frac{7\pi}{6}\right)$

6. $\left(3, -\frac{5\pi}{4}\right)$

7. $(2\sqrt{2}, -\pi)$

8. $\left(\frac{7}{2}, -\frac{13\pi}{6}\right)$

9. $(-20, 3\pi)$

10. $\left(-4, \frac{5\pi}{4}\right)$

11. $\left(-1, \frac{2\pi}{3}\right)$

12. $\left(-3, \frac{\pi}{2}\right)$

13. $\left(-3, -\frac{11\pi}{6}\right)$

14. $\left(-2.5, -\frac{\pi}{4}\right)$

15. $\left(-\sqrt{5}, -\frac{4\pi}{3}\right)$

16. $(-\pi, -\pi)$

In Exercises 17 – 36, convert the point from polar coordinates into rectangular coordinates.

17. $\left(5, \frac{7\pi}{4}\right)$

18. $\left(2, \frac{\pi}{3}\right)$

19. $\left(11, -\frac{7\pi}{6}\right)$

20. $(-20, 3\pi)$

21. $\left(\frac{3}{5}, \frac{\pi}{2}\right)$

22. $\left(-4, \frac{5\pi}{6}\right)$

23. $\left(9, \frac{7\pi}{2}\right)$

24. $\left(-5, -\frac{9\pi}{4}\right)$

25. $\left(42, \frac{13\pi}{6}\right)$

26. $(-117, 117\pi)$

27. $(6, \arctan(2))$

28. $(10, \arctan(3))$

29. $\left(-3, \arctan\left(\frac{4}{3}\right)\right)$

30. $\left(5, \arctan\left(-\frac{4}{3}\right)\right)$

31. $\left(2, \pi - \arctan\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\right)$

32. $\left(-\frac{1}{2}, \pi - \arctan(5)\right)$

33. $\left(-1, \pi + \arctan\left(\frac{3}{4}\right)\right)$

34. $\left(\frac{2}{3}, \pi + \arctan(2\sqrt{2})\right)$

35. $(\pi, \arctan(\pi))$

36. $\left(13, \arctan\left(\frac{12}{5}\right)\right)$

In Exercises 37 – 56, convert the point from rectangular coordinates into polar coordinates with $r \geq 0$ and $0 \leq \theta < 2\pi$.

37. $(0, 5)$

38. $(3, \sqrt{3})$

39. $(7, -7)$

40. $(-3, -\sqrt{3})$

41. $(-3, 0)$

42. $(-\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{2})$

43. $(-4, -4\sqrt{3})$

44. $\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{4}, -\frac{1}{4}\right)$

45. $\left(-\frac{3}{10}, -\frac{3\sqrt{3}}{10}\right)$

46. $(-\sqrt{5}, -\sqrt{5})$

47. $(6, 8)$

48. $(\sqrt{5}, 2\sqrt{5})$

49. $(-8, 1)$

50. $(-2\sqrt{10}, 6\sqrt{10})$

51. $(-5, -12)$

52. $\left(-\frac{\sqrt{5}}{15}, -\frac{2\sqrt{5}}{15}\right)$

53. $(24, -7)$

54. $(12, -9)$

55. $\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}}{4}, \frac{\sqrt{6}}{4}\right)$

56. $\left(-\frac{\sqrt{65}}{5}, \frac{2\sqrt{65}}{5}\right)$

In Exercises 57 – 76, convert the equation from rectangular coordinates into polar coordinates. Solve for r in all but #60 through #63. In Exercises 60 - 63, you need to solve for θ .

57. $x = 6$

58. $x = -3$

59. $y = 7$

60. $y = 0$

61. $y = -x$

62. $y = x\sqrt{3}$

63. $y = 2x$

64. $x^2 + y^2 = 25$

65. $x^2 + y^2 = 117$

66. $y = 4x - 19$

67. $x = 3y + 1$

68. $y = -3x^2$

69. $4x = y^2$

70. $x^2 + y^2 - 2y = 0$

71. $x^2 - 4x + y^2 = 0$

72. $x^2 + y^2 = x$

73. $y^2 = 7y - x^2$

74. $(x + 2)^2 + y^2 = 4$

75. $x^2 + (y - 3)^2 = 9$

76. $4x^2 + 4\left(y - \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 = 1$

In Exercises 77 – 96, convert the equation from polar coordinates into rectangular coordinates.

77. $r = 7$

78. $r = -3$

79. $r = \sqrt{2}$

80. $\theta = \frac{\pi}{4}$

81. $\theta = \frac{2\pi}{3}$

82. $\theta = \pi$

83. $\theta = \frac{3\pi}{2}$

84. $r = 4 \cos(\theta)$

85. $5r = \cos(\theta)$

86. $r = 3 \sin(\theta)$

87. $r = -2 \sin(\theta)$

88. $r = 7 \sec(\theta)$

89. $12r = \csc(\theta)$

90. $r = -2 \sec(\theta)$

$$91. r = -\sqrt{5} \csc(\theta)$$

$$92. r = 2 \sec(\theta) \tan(\theta)$$

$$93. r = -\csc(\theta) \cot(\theta)$$

$$94. r^2 = \sin(2\theta)$$

$$95. r = 1 - 2 \cos(\theta)$$


$$96. r = 1 + \sin(\theta)$$

97. Convert the origin $(0, 0)$ into polar coordinates in four different ways.

98. With the help of your classmates, use the Law of Cosines to develop a formula for the distance between two points in polar coordinates.

1.6 The Polar Form of Complex Numbers

In this section, we return to our study of complex numbers which were first introduced in Section 1.4. Recall that a **complex number** is a number of the form $z = a + bi$ where a and b are real numbers and i is the imaginary unit defined by $i = \sqrt{-1}$. The number a is called the **real part** of z , denoted $\operatorname{Re}(z)$, while the real number b is called the **imaginary part** of z , denoted $\operatorname{Im}(z)$. From Intermediate Algebra, we know that if $z = a + bi = c + di$ where a, b, c and d are real numbers, then $a = c$ and $b = d$, which means $\operatorname{Re}(z)$ and $\operatorname{Im}(z)$ are well-defined. To start off this section, we associate each complex number $z = a + bi$ with the point (a, b) on the coordinate plane. In this case, the x -axis is relabeled as the **real axis**, which corresponds to the real number line as usual, and the y -axis is relabeled as the **imaginary axis**, which is demarcated in increments of the imaginary unit i . The plane determined by these two axes is called the **complex plane**.



Saying that $\operatorname{Re}(z)$ and $\operatorname{Im}(z)$ are ‘well-defined’ means that no matter how we express z , the number $\operatorname{Re}(z)$ is always the same, and the number $\operatorname{Im}(z)$ is always the same. In other words, Re and Im are *functions* of complex numbers.

Figure 1.34: The complex plane

Since the ordered pair (a, b) gives the *rectangular* coordinates associated with the complex number $z = a + bi$, the expression $z = a + bi$ is called the **rectangular form** of z . Of course, we could just as easily associate z with a pair of *polar* coordinates (r, θ) . Although it is not as straightforward as the definitions of $\operatorname{Re}(z)$ and $\operatorname{Im}(z)$, we can still give r and θ special names in relation to z .

Definition 20 The Modulus and Argument of Complex Numbers

Let $z = a + bi$ be a complex number with $a = \operatorname{Re}(z)$ and $b = \operatorname{Im}(z)$. Let (r, θ) be a polar representation of the point with rectangular coordinates (a, b) where $r \geq 0$.

- The **modulus** of z , denoted $|z|$, is defined by $|z| = r$.
- The angle θ is an **argument** of z . The set of all arguments of z is denoted $\operatorname{arg}(z)$.
- If $z \neq 0$ and $-\pi < \theta \leq \pi$, then θ is the **principal argument** of z , written $\theta = \operatorname{Arg}(z)$.

Some remarks about Definition 20 are in order. We know from Section 1.5 that every point in the plane has infinitely many polar coordinate representa-

tions (r, θ) which means it's worth our time to make sure the quantities 'modulus', 'argument' and 'principal argument' are well-defined. Concerning the modulus, if $z = 0$ then the point associated with z is the origin. In this case, the *only* r -value which can be used here is $r = 0$. Hence for $z = 0$, $|z| = 0$ is well-defined. If $z \neq 0$, then the point associated with z is not the origin, and there are two possibilities for r : one positive and one negative. However, we stipulated $r \geq 0$ in our definition so this pins down the value of $|z|$ to one and only one number. Thus the modulus is well-defined in this case, too. (In case you're wondering, the use of the absolute value notation $|z|$ for modulus will be explained shortly.) Even with the requirement $r \geq 0$, there are infinitely many angles θ which can be used in a polar representation of a point (r, θ) . If $z \neq 0$ then the point in question is not the origin, so all of these angles θ are coterminal. Since coterminal angles are exactly 2π radians apart, we are guaranteed that only one of them lies in the interval $(-\pi, \pi]$, and this angle is what we call the principal argument of z , $\text{Arg}(z)$. In fact, the set $\arg(z)$ of all arguments of z can be described using set-builder notation as $\arg(z) = \{\text{Arg}(z) + 2\pi k \mid k \text{ is an integer}\}$. Note that since $\arg(z)$ is a set, we will write ' $\theta \in \arg(z)$ ' to mean ' θ is in the set of arguments of z '. If $z = 0$ then the point in question is the origin, which we know can be represented in polar coordinates as $(0, \theta)$ for *any* angle θ . In this case, we have $\arg(0) = (-\infty, \infty)$ and since there is no one value of θ which lies $(-\pi, \pi]$, we leave $\text{Arg}(0)$ undefined. It is time for an example.

Example 17 Components of a complex number

For each of the following complex numbers find $\text{Re}(z)$, $\text{Im}(z)$, $|z|$, $\arg(z)$ and $\text{Arg}(z)$. Plot z in the complex plane.

- | | |
|-----------------------|---------------|
| 1. $z = \sqrt{3} - i$ | 3. $z = 3i$ |
| 2. $z = -2 + 4i$ | 4. $z = -117$ |

SOLUTION

- For $z = \sqrt{3} - i = \sqrt{3} + (-1)i$, we have $\text{Re}(z) = \sqrt{3}$ and $\text{Im}(z) = -1$. To find $|z|$, $\arg(z)$ and $\text{Arg}(z)$, we need to find a polar representation (r, θ) with $r \geq 0$ for the point $P(\sqrt{3}, -1)$ associated with z . We know $r^2 = (\sqrt{3})^2 + (-1)^2 = 4$, so $r = \pm 2$. Since we require $r \geq 0$, we choose $r = 2$, so $|z| = 2$. Next, we find a corresponding angle θ . Since $r > 0$ and P lies in Quadrant IV, θ is a Quadrant IV angle. We know $\tan(\theta) = \frac{-1}{\sqrt{3}} = -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{3}$, so $\theta = -\frac{\pi}{6} + 2\pi k$ for integers k . Hence, $\arg(z) = \{-\frac{\pi}{6} + 2\pi k \mid k \text{ is an integer}\}$. Of these values, only $\theta = -\frac{\pi}{6}$ satisfies the requirement that $-\pi < \theta \leq \pi$, hence $\text{Arg}(z) = -\frac{\pi}{6}$.
- The complex number $z = -2 + 4i$ has $\text{Re}(z) = -2$, $\text{Im}(z) = 4$, and is associated with the point $P(-2, 4)$. Our next task is to find a polar representation (r, θ) for P where $r \geq 0$. Running through the usual calculations gives $r = 2\sqrt{5}$, so $|z| = 2\sqrt{5}$. To find θ , we get $\tan(\theta) = -2$, and since $r > 0$ and P lies in Quadrant II, we know θ is a Quadrant II angle. We find $\theta = \pi + \arctan(-2) + 2\pi k$, or, more succinctly $\theta = \pi - \arctan(2) + 2\pi k$ for integers k . Hence $\arg(z) = \{\pi - \arctan(2) + 2\pi k \mid k \text{ is an integer}\}$. Only $\theta = \pi - \arctan(2)$ satisfies the requirement $-\pi < \theta \leq \pi$, so $\text{Arg}(z) = \pi - \arctan(2)$.
- We rewrite $z = 3i$ as $z = 0 + 3i$ to find $\text{Re}(z) = 0$ and $\text{Im}(z) = 3$. The point in the plane which corresponds to z is $(0, 3)$ and while we could go through the usual calculations to find the required polar form of this point, we can

almost ‘see’ the answer. The point $(0, 3)$ lies 3 units away from the origin on the positive y -axis. Hence, $r = |z| = 3$ and $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2} + 2\pi k$ for integers k . We get $\arg(z) = \{\frac{\pi}{2} + 2\pi k \mid k \text{ is an integer}\}$ and $\text{Arg}(z) = \frac{\pi}{2}$.

4. As in the previous problem, we write $z = -117 = -117 + 0i$ so $\text{Re}(z) = -117$ and $\text{Im}(z) = 0$. The number $z = -117$ corresponds to the point $(-117, 0)$, and this is another instance where we can determine the polar form ‘by eye’. The point $(-117, 0)$ is 117 units away from the origin along the negative x -axis. Hence, $r = |z| = 117$ and $\theta = \pi + 2\pi = (2k+1)\pi k$ for integers k . We have $\arg(z) = \{(2k+1)\pi \mid k \text{ is an integer}\}$. Only one of these values, $\theta = \pi$, just barely lies in the interval $(-\pi, \pi]$ which means and $\text{Arg}(z) = \pi$. We plot z along with the other numbers in this example in Figure 1.35 below.




Figure 1.35: Plots of the four complex numbers in Example 17

Now that we’ve had some practice computing the modulus and argument of some complex numbers, it is time to explore their properties. We have the following theorem.

Theorem 9 Properties of the Modulus

Let z and w be complex numbers.

- $|z|$ is the distance from z to 0 in the complex plane
- $|z| \geq 0$ and $|z| = 0$ if and only if $z = 0$
- $|z| = \sqrt{\text{Re}(z)^2 + \text{Im}(z)^2}$
- **Product Rule:** $|zw| = |z||w|$
- **Power Rule:** $|z^n| = |z|^n$ for all natural numbers, n
- **Quotient Rule:** $\left| \frac{z}{w} \right| = \frac{|z|}{|w|}$, provided $w \neq 0$

To prove the first three properties in Theorem 9, suppose $z = a + bi$ where a and b are real numbers. To determine $|z|$, we find a polar representation (r, θ) with $r \geq 0$ for the point (a, b) . From Section 1.5, we know $r^2 = a^2 + b^2$ so that $r = \pm\sqrt{a^2 + b^2}$. Since we require $r \geq 0$, then it must be that $r = \sqrt{a^2 + b^2}$, which means $|z| = \sqrt{a^2 + b^2}$. Using the distance formula, we find the distance from $(0, 0)$ to (a, b) is also $\sqrt{a^2 + b^2}$, establishing the first property. For the

second property, note that since $|z|$ is a distance, $|z| \geq 0$. Furthermore, $|z| = 0$ if and only if the distance from z to 0 is 0, and the latter happens if and only if $z = 0$, which is what we were asked to show. For the third property, we note that since $a = \operatorname{Re}(z)$ and $b = \operatorname{Im}(z)$, $z = \sqrt{a^2 + b^2} = \sqrt{\operatorname{Re}(z)^2 + \operatorname{Im}(z)^2}$.

To prove the product rule, suppose $z = a + bi$ and $w = c + di$ for real numbers a, b, c and d . Then $zw = (a + bi)(c + di)$. After the usual arithmetic we get $zw = (ac - bd) + (ad + bc)i$. (See Example 12 in Section 1.4 for a review of complex number arithmetic.) Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
 |zw| &= \sqrt{(ac - bd)^2 + (ad + bc)^2} \\
 &= \sqrt{a^2c^2 - 2abcd + b^2d^2 + a^2d^2 + 2abcd + b^2c^2} && \text{Expand} \\
 &= \sqrt{a^2c^2 + a^2d^2 + b^2c^2 + b^2d^2} && \text{Rearrange terms} \\
 &= \sqrt{a^2(c^2 + d^2) + b^2(c^2 + d^2)} && \text{Factor} \\
 &= \sqrt{(a^2 + b^2)(c^2 + d^2)} && \text{Factor} \\
 &= \sqrt{a^2 + b^2} \sqrt{c^2 + d^2} && \text{Product Rule for Radicals} \\
 &= |z||w| && \text{Definition of } |z| \text{ and } |w|
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence $|zw| = |z||w|$ as required.

Now that the Product Rule has been established, we use it and the Principle of Mathematical Induction to prove the power rule. Let $P(n)$ be the statement $|z^n| = |z|^n$. Then $P(1)$ is true since $|z^1| = |z| = |z|^1$. Next, assume $P(k)$ is true. That is, assume $|z^k| = |z|^k$ for some $k \geq 1$. Our job is to show that $P(k+1)$ is true, namely $|z^{k+1}| = |z|^{k+1}$. As is customary with induction proofs, we first try to reduce the problem in such a way as to use the Induction Hypothesis.

$$\begin{aligned}
 |z^{k+1}| &= |z^k z| && \text{Properties of Exponents} \\
 &= |z^k| |z| && \text{Product Rule} \\
 &= |z|^k |z| && \text{Induction Hypothesis} \\
 &= |z|^{k+1} && \text{Properties of Exponents}
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $P(k+1)$ is true, which means $|z^n| = |z|^n$ is true for all natural numbers n .

Like the Power Rule, the Quotient Rule can also be established with the help of the Product Rule. We assume $w \neq 0$ (so $|w| \neq 0$) and we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left| \frac{z}{w} \right| &= \left| (z) \left(\frac{1}{w} \right) \right| \\
 &= |z| \left| \frac{1}{w} \right| && \text{Product Rule.}
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the proof really boils down to showing $\left| \frac{1}{w} \right| = \frac{1}{|w|}$. This is left as an exercise.

Next, we characterize the argument of a complex number in terms of its real and imaginary parts.

Theorem 10 Properties of the Argument

Let z be a complex number.

- If $\operatorname{Re}(z) \neq 0$ and $\theta \in \arg(z)$, then $\tan(\theta) = \frac{\operatorname{Im}(z)}{\operatorname{Re}(z)}$.
- If $\operatorname{Re}(z) = 0$ and $\operatorname{Im}(z) > 0$, then $\arg(z) = \left\{ \frac{\pi}{2} + 2\pi k \mid k \text{ is an integer} \right\}$.
- If $\operatorname{Re}(z) = 0$ and $\operatorname{Im}(z) < 0$, then $\arg(z) = \left\{ -\frac{\pi}{2} + 2\pi k \mid k \text{ is an integer} \right\}$.
- If $\operatorname{Re}(z) = \operatorname{Im}(z) = 0$, then $z = 0$ and $\arg(z) = (-\infty, \infty)$.

To prove Theorem 10, suppose $z = a + bi$ for real numbers a and b . By definition, $a = \operatorname{Re}(z)$ and $b = \operatorname{Im}(z)$, so the point associated with z is $(a, b) = (\operatorname{Re}(z), \operatorname{Im}(z))$. From Section 1.5, we know that if (r, θ) is a polar representation for $(\operatorname{Re}(z), \operatorname{Im}(z))$, then $\tan(\theta) = \frac{\operatorname{Im}(z)}{\operatorname{Re}(z)}$, provided $\operatorname{Re}(z) \neq 0$. If $\operatorname{Re}(z) = 0$ and $\operatorname{Im}(z) > 0$, then z lies on the positive imaginary axis. Since we take $r > 0$, we have that θ is coterminal with $\frac{\pi}{2}$, and the result follows. If $\operatorname{Re}(z) = 0$ and $\operatorname{Im}(z) < 0$, then z lies on the negative imaginary axis, and a similar argument shows θ is coterminal with $-\frac{\pi}{2}$. The last property in the theorem was already discussed in the remarks following Definition 20.

Our next goal is to completely marry the Geometry and the Algebra of the complex numbers. To that end, consider Figure 1.36 below.




Figure 1.36: Polar coordinates, (r, θ) associated with $z = a + bi$ with $r \geq 0$.

We know from Theorem 8 that $a = r\cos(\theta)$ and $b = r\sin(\theta)$. Making these substitutions for a and b gives $z = a + bi = r\cos(\theta) + r\sin(\theta)i = r[\cos(\theta) + i\sin(\theta)]$. The expression ' $\cos(\theta) + i\sin(\theta)$ ' is abbreviated $\operatorname{cis}(\theta)$ so we can write $z = r\operatorname{cis}(\theta)$. Since $r = |z|$ and $\theta \in \arg(z)$, we get

Definition 21 A Polar Form of a Complex Number

Suppose z is a complex number and $\theta \in \arg(z)$. The expression:

$$|z| \operatorname{cis}(\theta) = |z| [\cos(\theta) + i \sin(\theta)]$$

is called a polar form for z .

Since there are infinitely many choices for $\theta \in \arg(z)$, there infinitely many polar forms for z , so we used the indefinite article ‘a’ in Definition 21. It is time for an example.

Example 18 Converting between rectangular and polar form

1. Find the rectangular form of the following complex numbers. Find $\operatorname{Re}(z)$ and $\operatorname{Im}(z)$.

(a) $z = 4 \operatorname{cis}\left(\frac{2\pi}{3}\right)$

(c) $z = 3 \operatorname{cis}(0)$

(b) $z = 2 \operatorname{cis}\left(-\frac{3\pi}{4}\right)$

(d) $z = \operatorname{cis}\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)$

2. Use the results from Example 17 to find a polar form of the following complex numbers.

(a) $z = \sqrt{3} - i$

(c) $z = 3i$

(b) $z = -2 + 4i$

(d) $z = -117$

SOLUTION

1. The key to this problem is to write out $\operatorname{cis}(\theta)$ as $\cos(\theta) + i \sin(\theta)$.

(a) By definition, $z = 4 \operatorname{cis}\left(\frac{2\pi}{3}\right) = 4 [\cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{3}\right) + i \sin\left(\frac{2\pi}{3}\right)]$. After some simplifying, we get $z = -2 + 2i\sqrt{3}$, so that $\operatorname{Re}(z) = -2$ and $\operatorname{Im}(z) = 2\sqrt{3}$.

(b) Expanding, we get $z = 2 \operatorname{cis}\left(-\frac{3\pi}{4}\right) = 2 [\cos\left(-\frac{3\pi}{4}\right) + i \sin\left(-\frac{3\pi}{4}\right)]$. From this, we find $z = -\sqrt{2} - i\sqrt{2}$, so $\operatorname{Re}(z) = -\sqrt{2} = \operatorname{Im}(z)$.

(c) We get $z = 3 \operatorname{cis}(0) = 3 [\cos(0) + i \sin(0)] = 3$. Writing $3 = 3 + 0i$, we get $\operatorname{Re}(z) = 3$ and $\operatorname{Im}(z) = 0$, which makes sense seeing as 3 is a real number.

(d) Lastly, we have $z = \operatorname{cis}\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right) = \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right) + i \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right) = i$. Since $i = 0 + 1i$, we get $\operatorname{Re}(z) = 0$ and $\operatorname{Im}(z) = 1$. Since i is called the ‘imaginary unit,’ these answers make perfect sense.

2. To write a polar form of a complex number z , we need two pieces of information: the modulus $|z|$ and an argument (not necessarily the principal argument) of z . We shamelessly mine our solution to Example 17 to find what we need.

(a) For $z = \sqrt{3} - i$, $|z| = 2$ and $\theta = -\frac{\pi}{6}$, so $z = 2 \operatorname{cis}\left(-\frac{\pi}{6}\right)$. We can check our answer by converting it back to rectangular form to see that it simplifies to $z = \sqrt{3} - i$.

- (b) For $z = -2 + 4i$, $|z| = 2\sqrt{5}$ and $\theta = \pi - \arctan(2)$. Hence, $z = 2\sqrt{5} \operatorname{cis}(\pi - \arctan(2))$. It is a good exercise to actually show that this polar form reduces to $z = -2 + 4i$.
- (c) For $z = 3i$, $|z| = 3$ and $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$. In this case, $z = 3 \operatorname{cis}\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)$. This can be checked geometrically. Head out 3 units from 0 along the positive real axis. Rotating $\frac{\pi}{2}$ radians counter-clockwise lands you exactly 3 units above 0 on the imaginary axis at $z = 3i$.
- (d) Last but not least, for $z = -117$, $|z| = 117$ and $\theta = \pi$. We get $z = 117 \operatorname{cis}(\pi)$. As with the previous problem, our answer is easily checked geometrically.

The following theorem summarizes the advantages of working with complex numbers in polar form.

Theorem 11 Products, Powers and Quotients Complex Numbers in Polar Form

Suppose z and w are complex numbers with polar forms $z = |z| \operatorname{cis}(\alpha)$ and $w = |w| \operatorname{cis}(\beta)$. Then

- **Product Rule:** $zw = |z||w| \operatorname{cis}(\alpha + \beta)$
- **Power Rule (DeMoivre's Theorem):** $z^n = |z|^n \operatorname{cis}(n\theta)$ for every natural number n
- **Quotient Rule:** $\frac{z}{w} = \frac{|z|}{|w|} \operatorname{cis}(\alpha - \beta)$, provided $|w| \neq 0$

While the notation $\operatorname{cis}(\theta) = \cos(\theta) + i\sin(\theta)$ is not uncommon, it is not the most popular. In light of Theorem 11, one can make sense of the polar form using **Euler's formula**

$$e^{i\theta} = \cos(\theta) + i\sin(\theta).$$

The appearance of the exponential function in this context might seem strange, but note that the three properties in Theorem 11 can then be understood in terms of laws of exponents. If $z = re^{i\alpha}$ and $w = se^{i\beta}$, we have

$$zw = (rs) \left(e^{i\alpha} e^{i\beta} \right) = (rs) e^{i(\alpha+\beta)},$$

$$z^n = r^n (e^{i\alpha})^n = r^n e^{in\alpha},$$

and so on. For more details, see Exercise 82.

The proof of Theorem 11 requires a healthy mix of definition, arithmetic and identities. We first start with the product rule.

$$\begin{aligned} zw &= [|z| \operatorname{cis}(\alpha)] [|w| \operatorname{cis}(\beta)] \\ &= |z||w| [\cos(\alpha) + i\sin(\alpha)] [\cos(\beta) + i\sin(\beta)] \end{aligned}$$

We now focus on the quantity in brackets on the right hand side of the equation.

$$\begin{aligned} &[\cos(\alpha) + i\sin(\alpha)] [\cos(\beta) + i\sin(\beta)] \\ &= \cos(\alpha)\cos(\beta) + i\cos(\alpha)\sin(\beta) \\ &\quad + i\sin(\alpha)\cos(\beta) + i^2\sin(\alpha)\sin(\beta) \\ &= \cos(\alpha)\cos(\beta) + i^2\sin(\alpha)\sin(\beta) \quad \text{Rearranging terms} \\ &\quad + i\sin(\alpha)\cos(\beta) + i\cos(\alpha)\sin(\beta) \\ &= (\cos(\alpha)\cos(\beta) - \sin(\alpha)\sin(\beta)) \quad \text{Since } i^2 = -1 \\ &\quad + i(\sin(\alpha)\cos(\beta) + \cos(\alpha)\sin(\beta)) \quad \text{Factor out } i \\ &= \cos(\alpha + \beta) + i\sin(\alpha + \beta) \quad \text{Sum identities} \\ &= \operatorname{cis}(\alpha + \beta) \quad \text{Definition of 'cis'} \end{aligned}$$

Putting this together with our earlier work, we get $zw = |z||w| \operatorname{cis}(\alpha + \beta)$, as required.

Moving right along, we next take aim at the Power Rule, better known as DeMoivre's Theorem. (Compare this proof with the proof of the Power Rule in Theorem 9.) We proceed by induction on n . Let $P(n)$ be the sentence $z^n = |z|^n \text{cis}(n\theta)$. Then $P(1)$ is true, since $z^1 = z = |z| \text{cis}(\theta) = |z|^1 \text{cis}(1 \cdot \theta)$. We now assume $P(k)$ is true, that is, we assume $z^k = |z|^k \text{cis}(k\theta)$ for some $k \geq 1$. Our goal is to show that $P(k+1)$ is true, or that $z^{k+1} = |z|^{k+1} \text{cis}((k+1)\theta)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} z^{k+1} &= z^k z && \text{Properties of Exponents} \\ &= (|z|^k \text{cis}(k\theta)) (|z| \text{cis}(\theta)) && \text{Induction Hypothesis} \\ &= (|z|^k |z|) \text{cis}(k\theta + \theta) && \text{Product Rule} \\ &= |z|^{k+1} \text{cis}((k+1)\theta) \end{aligned}$$

Hence, assuming $P(k)$ is true, we have that $P(k+1)$ is true, so by the Principle of Mathematical Induction, $z^n = |z|^n \text{cis}(n\theta)$ for all natural numbers n .

The last property in Theorem 11 to prove is the quotient rule. Assuming $|w| \neq 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{z}{w} &= \frac{|z| \text{cis}(\alpha)}{|w| \text{cis}(\beta)} \\ &= \left(\frac{|z|}{|w|} \right) \frac{\cos(\alpha) + i \sin(\alpha)}{\cos(\beta) + i \sin(\beta)} \end{aligned}$$

Next, we multiply both the numerator and denominator of the right hand side by $(\cos(\beta) - i \sin(\beta))$ which is the complex conjugate of $(\cos(\beta) + i \sin(\beta))$ to get

$$\frac{z}{w} = \left(\frac{|z|}{|w|} \right) \frac{\cos(\alpha) + i \sin(\alpha)}{\cos(\beta) + i \sin(\beta)} \cdot \frac{\cos(\beta) - i \sin(\beta)}{\cos(\beta) - i \sin(\beta)}$$

If we let the numerator be $N = [\cos(\alpha) + i \sin(\alpha)][\cos(\beta) - i \sin(\beta)]$ and simplify we get

$$\begin{aligned} N &= [\cos(\alpha) + i \sin(\alpha)][\cos(\beta) - i \sin(\beta)] \\ &= \cos(\alpha) \cos(\beta) - i \cos(\alpha) \sin(\beta) \\ &\quad + i \sin(\alpha) \cos(\beta) - i^2 \sin(\alpha) \sin(\beta) && \text{Expand} \\ &= [\cos(\alpha) \cos(\beta) + \sin(\alpha) \sin(\beta)] \\ &\quad + i[\sin(\alpha) \cos(\beta) - \cos(\alpha) \sin(\beta)] && \text{Rearrange and Factor} \\ &= \cos(\alpha - \beta) + i \sin(\alpha - \beta) && \text{Difference Identities} \\ &= \text{cis}(\alpha - \beta) && \text{Definition of 'cis'} \end{aligned}$$

If we call the denominator D then we get

$$\begin{aligned} D &= [\cos(\beta) + i \sin(\beta)][\cos(\beta) - i \sin(\beta)] \\ &= \cos^2(\beta) - i \cos(\beta) \sin(\beta) \\ &\quad + i \cos(\beta) \sin(\beta) - i^2 \sin^2(\beta) && \text{Expand} \\ &= \cos^2(\beta) - i^2 \sin^2(\beta) && \text{Simplify} \\ &= \cos^2(\beta) + \sin^2(\beta) && \text{Again, } i^2 = -1 \\ &= 1 && \text{Pythagorean Identity} \end{aligned}$$

Putting it all together, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{z}{w} &= \left(\frac{|z|}{|w|}\right) \frac{\cos(\alpha) + i\sin(\alpha)}{\cos(\beta) + i\sin(\beta)} \cdot \frac{\cos(\beta) - i\sin(\beta)}{\cos(\beta) - i\sin(\beta)} \\ &= \left(\frac{|z|}{|w|}\right) \frac{\text{cis}(\alpha - \beta)}{1} \\ &= \frac{|z|}{|w|} \text{cis}(\alpha - \beta)\end{aligned}$$

and we are done. The next example makes good use of Theorem 11.

Example 19 Complex arithmetic using the polar form

Let $z = 2\sqrt{3} + 2i$ and $w = -1 + i\sqrt{3}$. Use Theorem 11 to find the following.

$$\begin{array}{lll}1. \ z w & 2. \ w^5 & 3. \ \frac{z}{w}\end{array}$$

Write your final answers in rectangular form.

SOLUTION In order to use Theorem 11, we need to write z and w in polar form. For $z = 2\sqrt{3} + 2i$, we find $|z| = \sqrt{(2\sqrt{3})^2 + (2)^2} = \sqrt{16} = 4$. If $\theta \in \arg(z)$, we know $\tan(\theta) = \frac{\text{Im}(z)}{\text{Re}(z)} = \frac{2}{2\sqrt{3}} = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{3}$. Since z lies in Quadrant I, we have $\theta = \frac{\pi}{6} + 2\pi k$ for integers k . Hence, $z = 4 \text{ cis} \left(\frac{\pi}{6} \right)$. For $w = -1 + i\sqrt{3}$, we have $|w| = \sqrt{(-1)^2 + (\sqrt{3})^2} = 2$. For an argument θ of w , we have $\tan(\theta) = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{-1} = -\sqrt{3}$. Since w lies in Quadrant II, $\theta = \frac{2\pi}{3} + 2\pi k$ for integers k and $w = 2 \text{ cis} \left(\frac{2\pi}{3} \right)$. We can now proceed.

1. We get $zw = (4 \text{ cis} \left(\frac{\pi}{6} \right)) (2 \text{ cis} \left(\frac{2\pi}{3} \right)) = 8 \text{ cis} \left(\frac{\pi}{6} + \frac{2\pi}{3} \right) = 8 \text{ cis} \left(\frac{5\pi}{6} \right) = 8 [\cos \left(\frac{5\pi}{6} \right) + i \sin \left(\frac{5\pi}{6} \right)]$. After simplifying, we get $zw = -4\sqrt{3} + 4i$.

2. We use DeMoivre's Theorem which yields

$$w^5 = \left[2 \text{ cis} \left(\frac{2\pi}{3} \right) \right]^5 = 2^5 \text{ cis} \left(5 \cdot \frac{2\pi}{3} \right) = 32 \text{ cis} \left(\frac{10\pi}{3} \right).$$

Since $\frac{10\pi}{3}$ is coterminal with $\frac{4\pi}{3}$, we get

$$w^5 = 32 \left[\cos \left(\frac{4\pi}{3} \right) + i \sin \left(\frac{4\pi}{3} \right) \right] = -16 - 16i\sqrt{3}.$$

3. Last, but not least, we have $\frac{z}{w} = \frac{4 \text{ cis} \left(\frac{\pi}{6} \right)}{2 \text{ cis} \left(\frac{2\pi}{3} \right)} = \frac{4}{2} \text{ cis} \left(\frac{\pi}{6} - \frac{2\pi}{3} \right) = 2 \text{ cis} \left(-\frac{\pi}{2} \right)$.

Since $-\frac{\pi}{2}$ is a quadrant angle, we can 'see' the rectangular form by moving out 2 units along the positive real axis, then rotating $\frac{\pi}{2}$ radians *clockwise* to arrive at the point 2 units below 0 on the imaginary axis. The long and short of it is that $\frac{z}{w} = -2i$.

Some remarks are in order. First, the reader may not be sold on using the polar form of complex numbers to multiply complex numbers – especially if they aren't given in polar form to begin with. Indeed, a lot of work was needed to convert the numbers z and w in Example 19 into polar form, compute their product, and convert back to rectangular form – certainly more work than is required to multiply out $zw = (2\sqrt{3} + 2i)(-1 + i\sqrt{3})$ the old-fashioned way. However,

Theorem 11 pays huge dividends when computing powers of complex numbers. Consider how we computed w^5 above and compare that to using the Binomial Theorem to accomplish the same feat by expanding $(-1 + i\sqrt{3})^5$. Division is tricky in the best of times, and we saved ourselves a lot of time and effort using Theorem 11 to find and simplify $\frac{z}{w}$ using their polar forms as opposed to starting with $\frac{2\sqrt{3}+2i}{-1+i\sqrt{3}}$, rationalizing the denominator, and so forth.

There is geometric reason for studying these polar forms and we would be derelict in our duties if we did not mention the Geometry hidden in Theorem 11. Take the product rule, for instance. If $z = |z| \operatorname{cis}(\alpha)$ and $w = |w| \operatorname{cis}(\beta)$, the formula $zw = |z||w| \operatorname{cis}(\alpha + \beta)$ can be viewed geometrically as a two step process. The multiplication of $|z|$ by $|w|$ can be interpreted as magnifying the distance $|z|$ from z to 0, by the factor $|w|$. (Assuming $|w| > 1$.) Adding the argument of w to the argument of z can be interpreted geometrically as a rotation of β radians counter-clockwise. (Assuming $\beta > 0$.) Focusing on z and w from Example 19, we can arrive at the product zw by plotting z , doubling its distance from 0 (since $|w| = 2$), and rotating $\frac{2\pi}{3}$ radians counter-clockwise. The sequence of diagrams in Figure 1.37 below attempts to describe this process geometrically.




Figure 1.37: Visualizing zw for $z = 4 \operatorname{cis}(\frac{\pi}{6})$ and $w = 2 \operatorname{cis}(\frac{2\pi}{3})$.

We may also visualize division similarly. Here, the formula $\frac{z}{w} = \frac{|z|}{|w|} \operatorname{cis}(\alpha - \beta)$ may be interpreted as shrinking (again, assuming $|w| > 1$) the distance from 0 to z by the factor $|w|$, followed up by a *clockwise* rotation (again, assuming $\beta > 0$) of β radians. In the case of z and w from Example 19, we arrive at $\frac{z}{w}$ by first halving the distance from 0 to z , then rotating clockwise $\frac{2\pi}{3}$ radians.




Figure 1.38: Visualizing $\frac{z}{w}$ for $z = 4 \operatorname{cis}(\frac{\pi}{6})$ and $w = 2 \operatorname{cis}(\frac{2\pi}{3})$.

Our last goal of the section is to reverse DeMoivre's Theorem to extract roots of complex numbers.

Definition 22 Complex n^{th} roots

Let z and w be complex numbers. If there is a natural number n such that $w^n = z$, then w is an n^{th} root of z .

Unlike Definition 15 in Section 1.2, we do not specify one particular *principal* n^{th} root, hence the use of the indefinite article ‘an’ as in ‘an n^{th} root of z ’. Using this definition, both 4 and -4 are square roots of 16 , while $\sqrt{16}$ means the principal square root of 16 as in $\sqrt{16} = 4$. Suppose we wish to find all complex third (cube) roots of 8 . Algebraically, we are trying to solve $w^3 = 8$. We know that there is only one *real* solution to this equation, namely $w = \sqrt[3]{8} = 2$, but if we take the time to rewrite this equation as $w^3 - 8 = 0$ and factor, we get $(w - 2)(w^2 + 2w + 4) = 0$. The quadratic factor gives two more cube roots $w = -1 \pm i\sqrt{3}$, for a total of three cube roots of 8 . In accordance with Theorem 7, since the degree of $p(w) = w^3 - 8$ is three, there are three complex zeros, counting multiplicity. Since we have found three distinct zeros, we know these are all of the zeros, so there are exactly three distinct cube roots of 8 . Let us now solve this same problem using the machinery developed in this section. To do so, we express $z = 8$ in polar form. Since $z = 8$ lies 8 units away on the positive real axis, we get $z = 8 \text{ cis}(0)$. If we let $w = |w| \text{ cis}(\alpha)$ be a polar form of w , the equation $w^3 = 8$ becomes

$$\begin{aligned} w^3 &= 8 \\ (|w| \text{ cis}(\alpha))^3 &= 8 \text{ cis}(0) \\ |w|^3 \text{ cis}(3\alpha) &= 8 \text{ cis}(0) \quad \text{DeMoivre's Theorem} \end{aligned}$$

The complex number on the left hand side of the equation corresponds to the point with polar coordinates $(|w|^3, 3\alpha)$, while the complex number on the right hand side corresponds to the point with polar coordinates $(8, 0)$. Since $|w| \geq 0$, so is $|w|^3$, which means $(|w|^3, 3\alpha)$ and $(8, 0)$ are two polar representations corresponding to the same complex number, both with positive r values. From Section 1.5, we know $|w|^3 = 8$ and $3\alpha = 0 + 2\pi k$ for integers k . Since $|w|$ is a real number, we solve $|w|^3 = 8$ by extracting the principal cube root to get $|w| = \sqrt[3]{8} = 2$. As for α , we get $\alpha = \frac{2\pi k}{3}$ for integers k . This produces three distinct points with polar coordinates corresponding to $k = 0, 1$ and 2 : specifically $(2, 0)$, $(2, \frac{2\pi}{3})$ and $(2, \frac{4\pi}{3})$. These correspond to the complex numbers $w_0 = 2 \text{ cis}(0)$, $w_1 = 2 \text{ cis}(\frac{2\pi}{3})$ and $w_2 = 2 \text{ cis}(\frac{4\pi}{3})$, respectively. Writing these out in rectangular form yields $w_0 = 2$, $w_1 = -1 + i\sqrt{3}$ and $w_2 = -1 - i\sqrt{3}$. While this process seems a tad more involved than our previous factoring approach, this procedure can be generalized to find, for example, all of the fifth roots of 32 . If we start with a generic complex number in polar form $z = |z| \text{ cis}(\theta)$ and solve $w^n = z$ in the same manner as above, we arrive at the following theorem.

Theorem 12 The n^{th} roots of a complex number

Let $z \neq 0$ be a complex number with polar form $z = r \text{ cis}(\theta)$. For each natural number n , z has n distinct n^{th} roots, which we denote by w_0, w_1, \dots, w_{n-1} , and they are given by the formula

$$w_k = \sqrt[n]{r} \text{ cis} \left(\frac{\theta}{n} + \frac{2\pi}{n} k \right)$$




Figure 1.39: The two square roots of $z = -2 + 2\sqrt{3}i$




Figure 1.40: The four fourth roots of $z = -16$

The proof of Theorem 12 breaks into two parts: first, showing that each w_k is an n^{th} root, and second, showing that the set $\{w_k \mid k = 0, 1, \dots, (n-1)\}$ consists of n different complex numbers. To show w_k is an n^{th} root of z , we use DeMoivre's Theorem to show $(w_k)^n = z$.

$$\begin{aligned}(w_k)^n &= (\sqrt[n]{r} \operatorname{cis}(\frac{\theta}{n} + \frac{2\pi}{n}k))^n \\ &= (\sqrt[n]{r})^n \operatorname{cis}(n \cdot [\frac{\theta}{n} + \frac{2\pi}{n}k]) \quad \text{DeMoivre's Theorem} \\ &= r \operatorname{cis}(\theta + 2\pi k)\end{aligned}$$

Since k is a whole number, $\cos(\theta + 2\pi k) = \cos(\theta)$ and $\sin(\theta + 2\pi k) = \sin(\theta)$. Hence, it follows that $\operatorname{cis}(\theta + 2\pi k) = \operatorname{cis}(\theta)$, so $(w_k)^n = r \operatorname{cis}(\theta) = z$, as required. To show that the formula in Theorem 12 generates n distinct numbers, we assume $n \geq 2$ (or else there is nothing to prove) and note that the modulus of each of the w_k is the same, namely $\sqrt[n]{r}$. Therefore, the only way any two of these polar forms correspond to the same number is if their arguments are coterminal—that is, if the arguments differ by an integer multiple of 2π . Suppose k and j are whole numbers between 0 and $(n-1)$, inclusive, with $k \neq j$. Since k and j are different, let's assume for the sake of argument that $k > j$. Then $(\frac{\theta}{n} + \frac{2\pi}{n}k) - (\frac{\theta}{n} + \frac{2\pi}{n}j) = 2\pi(\frac{k-j}{n})$. For this to be an integer multiple of 2π , $(k-j)$ must be a multiple of n . But because of the restrictions on k and j , $0 < k-j \leq n-1$. (Think this through.) Hence, $(k-j)$ is a positive number less than n , so it cannot be a multiple of n . As a result, w_k and w_j are different complex numbers, and we are done. By Theorem 7, we know there at most n distinct solutions to $w^n = z$, and we have just found all of them. We illustrate Theorem 12 in the next example.

Example 20 Finding complex roots

Use Theorem 12 to find the following:

1. both square roots of $z = -2 + 2i\sqrt{3}$
2. the four fourth roots of $z = -16$
3. the three cube roots of $z = \sqrt{2} + i\sqrt{2}$
4. the five fifth roots of $z = 1$.

SOLUTION

1. We start by writing $z = -2 + 2i\sqrt{3} = 4 \operatorname{cis}(\frac{2\pi}{3})$. To use Theorem 12, we identify $r = 4$, $\theta = \frac{2\pi}{3}$ and $n = 2$. We know that z has two square roots, and in keeping with the notation in Theorem 12, we'll call them w_0 and w_1 . We get $w_0 = \sqrt{4} \operatorname{cis}\left(\frac{(2\pi/3)}{2} + \frac{2\pi}{2}(0)\right) = 2 \operatorname{cis}\left(\frac{\pi}{3}\right)$ and $w_1 = \sqrt{4} \operatorname{cis}\left(\frac{(2\pi/3)}{2} + \frac{2\pi}{2}(1)\right) = 2 \operatorname{cis}\left(\frac{4\pi}{3}\right)$. In rectangular form, the two square roots of z are $w_0 = 1+i\sqrt{3}$ and $w_1 = -1-i\sqrt{3}$. We can check our answers by squaring them and showing that we get $z = -2 + 2i\sqrt{3}$. We've plotted the position of the two square roots along the circle $r = 2$ in Figure 1.39.
2. Proceeding as above, we get $z = -16 = 16 \operatorname{cis}(\pi)$. With $r = 16$, $\theta = \pi$ and $n = 4$, we get the four fourth roots of z to be $w_0 = \sqrt[4]{16} \operatorname{cis}\left(\frac{\pi}{4} + \frac{2\pi}{4}(0)\right) = 2 \operatorname{cis}\left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right)$, $w_1 = \sqrt[4]{16} \operatorname{cis}\left(\frac{\pi}{4} + \frac{2\pi}{4}(1)\right) = 2 \operatorname{cis}\left(\frac{3\pi}{4}\right)$, $w_2 = \sqrt[4]{16} \operatorname{cis}\left(\frac{\pi}{4} + \frac{2\pi}{4}(2)\right) = 2 \operatorname{cis}\left(\frac{5\pi}{4}\right)$ and $w_3 = \sqrt[4]{16} \operatorname{cis}\left(\frac{\pi}{4} + \frac{2\pi}{4}(3)\right) = 2 \operatorname{cis}\left(\frac{7\pi}{4}\right)$. Converting these

to rectangular form gives $w_0 = \sqrt{2} + i\sqrt{2}$, $w_1 = -\sqrt{2} + i\sqrt{2}$, $w_2 = -\sqrt{2} - i\sqrt{2}$ and $w_3 = \sqrt{2} - i\sqrt{2}$. We've plotted the four roots in Figure 1.40. Note how the roots are placed symmetrically about the circle $r = 2$.

3. For $z = \sqrt{2} + i\sqrt{2}$, we have $z = 2 \operatorname{cis}\left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right)$. With $r = 2$, $\theta = \frac{\pi}{4}$ and $n = 3$ the usual computations yield $w_0 = \sqrt[3]{2} \operatorname{cis}\left(\frac{\pi}{12}\right)$, $w_1 = \sqrt[3]{2} \operatorname{cis}\left(\frac{9\pi}{12}\right) = \sqrt[3]{2} \operatorname{cis}\left(\frac{3\pi}{4}\right)$ and $w_2 = \sqrt[3]{2} \operatorname{cis}\left(\frac{17\pi}{12}\right)$. If we were to convert these to rectangular form, we would need to use either the Sum and Difference Identities or the Half-Angle Identities to evaluate w_0 and w_2 . Since we are not explicitly told to do so, we leave this as a good, but messy, exercise, and plot the points in Figure 1.41.
4. To find the five fifth roots of 1, we write $1 = 1 \operatorname{cis}(0)$. We have $r = 1$, $\theta = 0$ and $n = 5$. Since $\sqrt[5]{1} = 1$, the roots are $w_0 = \operatorname{cis}(0) = 1$, $w_1 = \operatorname{cis}\left(\frac{2\pi}{5}\right)$, $w_2 = \operatorname{cis}\left(\frac{4\pi}{5}\right)$, $w_3 = \operatorname{cis}\left(\frac{6\pi}{5}\right)$ and $w_4 = \operatorname{cis}\left(\frac{8\pi}{5}\right)$. The situation here is even graver than in the previous example, since we have not developed any identities to help us determine the cosine or sine of $\frac{2\pi}{5}$. At this stage, we could approximate our answers using a calculator, and we leave this as an exercise. Once more, we plot the roots, which in this case all lie on the unit circle.

Notice the geometric interpretation given in Figures 1.39-1.42. Essentially, Theorem 12 says that to find the n^{th} roots of a complex number, we first take the n^{th} root of the modulus and divide the argument by n . This gives the first root w_0 . Each successive root is found by adding $\frac{2\pi}{n}$ to the argument, which amounts to rotating w_0 by $\frac{2\pi}{n}$ radians. This results in n roots, spaced equally around the complex plane.

We have only glimpsed at the beauty of the complex numbers in this section. The complex plane is without a doubt one of the most important mathematical constructs ever devised. Coupled with Calculus, it is the venue for incredibly important Science and Engineering applications. For now, the following exercises will have to suffice.




Figure 1.41: The three third roots of $z = \sqrt{2} + i\sqrt{2}$




Figure 1.42: The five fifth roots of 1

Exercises 1.6

Problems

In Exercises 1 – 20, find a polar representation for the complex number z and then identify $\operatorname{Re}(z)$, $\operatorname{Im}(z)$, $|z|$, $\arg(z)$ and $\operatorname{Arg}(z)$.

1. $z = 9 + 9i$

2. $z = 5 + 5i\sqrt{3}$

3. $z = 6i$

4. $z = -3\sqrt{2} + 3i\sqrt{2}$

5. $z = -6\sqrt{3} + 6i$

6. $z = -2$

7. $z = -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} - \frac{1}{2}i$

8. $z = -3 - 3i$

9. $z = -5i$

10. $z = 2\sqrt{2} - 2i\sqrt{2}$

11. $z = 6$

12. $z = \sqrt[3]{7}$

13. $z = 3 + 4i$

14. $z = \sqrt{2} + i$

15. $z = -7 + 24i$

16. $z = -2 + 6i$

17. $z = -12 - 5i$

18. $z = -5 - 2i$

19. $z = 4 - 2i$

20. $z = 1 - 3i$

In Exercises 21 – 40, find the rectangular form of the given complex number. Use whatever identities are necessary to find the exact values.

21. $z = 6 \operatorname{cis}(0)$

22. $z = 2 \operatorname{cis}\left(\frac{\pi}{6}\right)$

23. $z = 7\sqrt{2} \operatorname{cis}\left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right)$

24. $z = 3 \operatorname{cis}\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)$

25. $z = 4 \operatorname{cis}\left(\frac{2\pi}{3}\right)$

26. $z = \sqrt{6} \operatorname{cis}\left(\frac{3\pi}{4}\right)$

27. $z = 9 \operatorname{cis}(\pi)$

28. $z = 3 \operatorname{cis}\left(\frac{4\pi}{3}\right)$

29. $z = 7 \operatorname{cis}\left(-\frac{3\pi}{4}\right)$

30. $z = \sqrt{13} \operatorname{cis}\left(\frac{3\pi}{2}\right)$

31. $z = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{cis}\left(\frac{7\pi}{4}\right)$

32. $z = 12 \operatorname{cis}\left(-\frac{\pi}{3}\right)$

33. $z = 8 \operatorname{cis}\left(\frac{\pi}{12}\right)$

34. $z = 2 \operatorname{cis}\left(\frac{7\pi}{8}\right)$

35. $z = 5 \operatorname{cis}\left(\arctan\left(\frac{4}{3}\right)\right)$

36. $z = \sqrt{10} \operatorname{cis}\left(\arctan\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)\right)$

37. $z = 15 \operatorname{cis}(\arctan(-2))$

38. $z = \sqrt{3}(\arctan(-\sqrt{2}))$

39. $z = 50 \operatorname{cis}\left(\pi - \arctan\left(\frac{7}{24}\right)\right)$

40. $z = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{cis}\left(\pi + \arctan\left(\frac{5}{12}\right)\right)$

In Exercises 41 – 52, use $z = -\frac{3\sqrt{3}}{2} + \frac{3}{2}i$ and $w = 3\sqrt{2} - 3i\sqrt{2}$ to compute the quantity. Express your answers in polar form using the principal argument.

41. zw

42. $\frac{z}{w}$

43. $\frac{w}{z}$

44. z^4

45. w^3

46. $z^5 w^2$

47. $z^3 w^2$

48. $\frac{z^2}{w}$

49. $\frac{w}{z^2}$

50. $\frac{z^3}{w^2}$

51. $\frac{w^2}{z^3}$

52. $\left(\frac{w}{z}\right)^6$

In Exercises 53 – 64, use DeMoivre's Theorem to find the indicated power of the given complex number. Express your final answers in rectangular form.

53. $(-2 + 2i\sqrt{3})^3$

54. $(-\sqrt{3} - i)^3$

55. $(-3 + 3i)^4$

56. $(\sqrt{3} + i)^4$

57. $\left(\frac{5}{2} + \frac{5}{2}i\right)^3$

58. $\left(-\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}i\right)^6$

59. $\left(\frac{3}{2} - \frac{3}{2}i\right)^3$

60. $\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{3} - \frac{1}{3}i\right)^4$

61. $\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}i\right)^4$

62. $(2 + 2i)^5$

63. $(\sqrt{3} - i)^5$

64. $(1 - i)^8$

In Exercises 65 – 76, find the indicated complex roots. Express your answers in polar form and then convert them into rectangular form.

65. the two square roots of $z = 4i$ 66. the two square roots of $z = -25i$ 67. the two square roots of $z = 1 + i\sqrt{3}$ 68. the two square roots of $\frac{5}{2} - \frac{5\sqrt{3}}{2}i$ 69. the three cube roots of $z = 64$ 70. the three cube roots of $z = -125$ 71. the three cube roots of $z = i$ 72. the three cube roots of $z = -8i$ 73. the four fourth roots of $z = 16$ 74. the four fourth roots of $z = -81$ 75. the six sixth roots of $z = 64$ 76. the six sixth roots of $z = -729$

77. Use trigonometric identities to express the three cube roots of $z = \sqrt{2} + i\sqrt{2}$ in rectangular form. (See Example 20, number 3.)

78. Use a calculator or computer to approximate the five fifth roots of 1. (See Example 20, number 4.)

79. Complete the proof of Theorem 9 by showing that if $w \neq 0$ than $\left|\frac{1}{w}\right| = \frac{1}{|w|}$.

80. Recall from Section 1.4 that given a complex number $z = a + bi$ its complex conjugate, denoted \bar{z} , is given by $\bar{z} = a - bi$.

(a) Prove that $|\bar{z}| = |z|$.

(b) Prove that $|z| = \sqrt{z\bar{z}}$

(c) Show that $\operatorname{Re}(z) = \frac{z + \bar{z}}{2}$ and $\operatorname{Im}(z) = \frac{z - \bar{z}}{2i}$

(d) Show that if $\theta \in \arg(z)$ then $-\theta \in \arg(\bar{z})$. Interpret this result geometrically.

(e) Is it always true that $\operatorname{Arg}(\bar{z}) = -\operatorname{Arg}(z)$?

81. Given any natural number $n \geq 2$, the n complex n^{th} roots of the number $z = 1$ are called the n^{th} Roots of Unity. In the following exercises, assume that n is a fixed, but arbitrary, natural number such that $n \geq 2$.

(a) Show that $w = 1$ is an n^{th} root of unity.

(b) Show that if both w_j and w_k are n^{th} roots of unity then so is their product $w_j w_k$.

(c) Show that if w_j is an n^{th} root of unity then there exists another n^{th} root of unity $w_{j'}$ such that $w_j w_{j'} = 1$.

Hint: If $w_j = \operatorname{cis}(\theta)$ let $w_{j'} = \operatorname{cis}(2\pi - \theta)$. You'll need to verify that $w_{j'} = \operatorname{cis}(2\pi - \theta)$ is indeed an n^{th} root of unity.

82. Another way to express the polar form of a complex number is to use the exponential function. For real numbers t , Euler's Formula defines $e^{it} = \cos(t) + i\sin(t)$.
- Use Theorem 11 to show that $e^{ix}e^{iy} = e^{i(x+y)}$ for all real numbers x and y .
 - Use Theorem 11 to show that $(e^{ix})^n = e^{i(nx)}$ for any real number x and any natural number n .
 - Use Theorem 11 to show that $\frac{e^{ix}}{e^{iy}} = e^{i(x-y)}$ for all real numbers x and y .
 - If $z = r \operatorname{cis}(\theta)$ is the polar form of z , show that $z = re^{it}$ where $\theta = t$ radians.
 - Show that $e^{i\pi} + 1 = 0$. (This famous equation relates the five most important constants in all of Mathematics with the three most fundamental operations in Mathematics.)
 - Show that $\cos(t) = \frac{e^{it} + e^{-it}}{2}$ and that $\sin(t) = \frac{e^{it} - e^{-it}}{2i}$ for all real numbers t .

2: VECTORS

This chapter introduces a new mathematical object, the **vector**. Defined in Section 2.2, we will see that vectors provide a powerful language for describing quantities that have magnitude and direction aspects. A simple example of such a quantity is force: when applying a force, one is generally interested in how much force is applied (i.e., the magnitude of the force) and the direction in which the force was applied. Vectors will play an important role in many of the subsequent chapters in this text.

This chapter begins with moving our mathematics out of the plane and into “space.” That is, we begin to think mathematically not only in two dimensions, but in three. With this foundation, we can explore vectors both in the plane and in space.

2.1 Introduction to Cartesian Coordinates in Space

Up to this point in this text we have considered mathematics in a 2-dimensional world. We have plotted graphs on the x - y plane using rectangular and polar coordinates and found the area of regions in the plane. We have considered properties of *solid* objects, such as volume and surface area, but only by first defining a curve in the plane and then rotating it out of the plane.

While there is wonderful mathematics to explore in “2D,” we live in a “3D” world and eventually we will want to apply mathematics involving this third dimension. In this section we introduce Cartesian coordinates in space and explore basic surfaces. This will lay a foundation for much of what we do in the remainder of the text.

Each point P in space can be represented with an ordered triple, $P = (a, b, c)$, where a , b and c represent the relative position of P along the x -, y - and z -axes, respectively. Each axis is perpendicular to the other two.

Visualizing points in space on paper can be problematic, as we are trying to represent a 3-dimensional concept on a 2-dimensional medium. We cannot draw three lines representing the three axes in which each line is perpendicular to the other two. Despite this issue, standard conventions exist for plotting shapes in space that we will discuss that are more than adequate.

One convention is that the axes must conform to the **right hand rule**. This rule states that when the index finger of the right hand is extended in the direction of the positive x -axis, and the middle finger (bent “inward” so it is perpendicular to the palm) points along the positive y -axis, then the extended thumb will point in the direction of the positive z -axis. (It may take some thought to verify this, but this system is inherently different from the one created by using the “left hand rule.”)

As long as the coordinate axes are positioned so that they follow this rule, it does not matter how the axes are drawn on paper. There are two popular methods that we briefly discuss.

In Figure 2.1 we see the point $P = (2, 1, 3)$ plotted on a set of axes. The basic convention here is that the x - y plane is drawn in its standard way, with the z -axis down to the left. The perspective is that the paper represents the x - y plane and the positive z axis is coming up, off the page. This method is preferred by many engineers. Because it can be hard to tell where a single point lies in relation to all the axes, dashed lines have been added to let one see how far along each axis the point lies.




Figure 2.1: Plotting the point $P = (2, 1, 3)$ in space.




Figure 2.2: Plotting the point $P = (2, 1, 3)$ in space with a perspective used in this text.




Figure 2.3: Plotting points P and Q in Example 21.

One can also consider the x - y plane as being a horizontal plane in, say, a room, where the positive z -axis is pointing up. When one steps back and looks at this room, one might draw the axes as shown in Figure 2.2. The same point P is drawn, again with dashed lines. This point of view is preferred by most mathematicians, and is the convention adopted by this text.

Measuring Distances

It is of critical importance to know how to measure distances between points in space. The formula for doing so is based on measuring distance in the plane, and is known (in both contexts) as the Euclidean measure of distance.

Definition 23 Distance In Space

Let $P = (x_1, y_1, z_1)$ and $Q = (x_2, y_2, z_2)$ be points in space. The distance D between P and Q is

$$D = \sqrt{(x_2 - x_1)^2 + (y_2 - y_1)^2 + (z_2 - z_1)^2}.$$

We refer to the line segment that connects points P and Q in space as \overline{PQ} , and refer to the length of this segment as $\|\overline{PQ}\|$. The above distance formula allows us to compute the length of this segment.

Example 21 Length of a line segment

Let $P = (1, 4, -1)$ and let $Q = (2, 1, 1)$. Draw the line segment \overline{PQ} and find its length.

SOLUTION The points P and Q are plotted in Figure 2.3; no special consideration need be made to draw the line segment connecting these two points; simply connect them with a straight line. One *cannot* actually measure this line on the page and deduce anything meaningful; its true length must be measured analytically. Applying Definition 23, we have

$$\|\overline{PQ}\| = \sqrt{(2-1)^2 + (1-4)^2 + (1-(-1))^2} = \sqrt{14} \approx 3.74.$$

Spheres

Just as a circle is the set of all points in the *plane* equidistant from a given point (its center), a sphere is the set of all points in *space* that are equidistant from a given point. Definition 23 allows us to write an equation of the sphere.

We start with a point $C = (a, b, c)$ which is to be the center of a sphere with radius r . If a point $P = (x, y, z)$ lies on the sphere, then P is r units from C ; that is,

$$\|\overline{PC}\| = \sqrt{(x-a)^2 + (y-b)^2 + (z-c)^2} = r.$$

Squaring both sides, we get the standard equation of a sphere in space with center at $C = (a, b, c)$ with radius r , as given in the following Key Idea.

Key Idea 9 Standard Equation of a Sphere in Space

The standard equation of the sphere with radius r , centered at $C = (a, b, c)$, is

$$(x - a)^2 + (y - b)^2 + (z - c)^2 = r^2.$$

Example 22 Equation of a sphere

Find the center and radius of the sphere defined by $x^2 + 2x + y^2 - 4y + z^2 - 6z = 2$.

SOLUTION To determine the center and radius, we must put the equation in standard form. This requires us to complete the square (three times).

$$\begin{aligned} x^2 + 2x + y^2 - 4y + z^2 - 6z &= 2 \\ (x^2 + 2x + 1) + (y^2 - 4y + 4) + (z^2 - 6z + 9) - 14 &= 2 \\ (x + 1)^2 + (y - 2)^2 + (z - 3)^2 &= 16 \end{aligned}$$

The sphere is centred at $(-1, 2, 3)$ and has a radius of 4.

The equation of a sphere is an example of an implicit function defining a surface in space. In the case of a sphere, the variables x , y and z are all used. We now consider situations where surfaces are defined where one or two of these variables are absent.

Introduction to Planes in Space

The coordinate axes naturally define three planes (shown in Figure 2.4), the **coordinate planes**: the x - y plane, the y - z plane and the x - z plane. The x - y plane is characterized as the set of all points in space where the z -value is 0. This, in fact, gives us an equation that describes this plane: $z = 0$. Likewise, the x - z plane is all points where the y -value is 0, characterized by $y = 0$.




Figure 2.4: The coordinate planes.

The equation $x = 2$ describes all points in space where the x -value is 2. This is a plane, parallel to the y - z coordinate plane, shown in Figure 2.5.

Example 23 Regions defined by planes

Sketch the region defined by the inequalities $-1 \leq y \leq 2$.

SOLUTION The region is all points between the planes $y = -1$ and $y = 2$. These planes are sketched in Figure 2.6, which are parallel to the x - z plane. Thus the region extends infinitely in the x and z directions, and is bounded by planes in the y direction.




Figure 2.5: The plane $x = 2$.




Figure 2.6: Sketching the boundaries of a region in Example 23.

This section has introduced points in space and shown how equations can define regions in space. The next sections explore *vectors*, an important mathematical object that we'll use to explore curves in space.

Exercises 2.1

Terms and Concepts

1. Axes drawn in space must conform to the _____ rule.
2. In the plane, the equation $x = 2$ defines a _____; in space, $x = 2$ defines a _____.
3. In the plane, the equation $y = x^2$ defines a _____; in space, $y = x^2$ defines a _____.
4. Which quadric surface looks like a Pringles® chip?
5. Consider the hyperbola $x^2 - y^2 = 1$ in the plane. If this hyperbola is rotated about the x -axis, what quadric surface is formed?
6. Consider the hyperbola $x^2 - y^2 = 1$ in the plane. If this hyperbola is rotated about the y -axis, what quadric surface is formed?
7. The points $A = (1, 4, 2)$, $B = (2, 6, 3)$ and $C = (4, 3, 1)$ form a triangle in space. Find the distances between each pair of points and determine if the triangle is a right triangle.
8. The points $A = (1, 1, 3)$, $B = (3, 2, 7)$, $C = (2, 0, 8)$ and $D = (0, -1, 4)$ form a quadrilateral $ABCD$ in space. Is this a parallelogram?
9. Find the center and radius of the sphere defined by $x^2 - 8x + y^2 + 2y + z^2 + 8 = 0$.
10. Find the center and radius of the sphere defined by $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 + 4x - 2y - 4z + 4 = 0$.

In Exercises 11 – 14, describe the region in space defined by the inequalities.

Problems

7. The points $A = (1, 4, 2)$, $B = (2, 6, 3)$ and $C = (4, 3, 1)$
11. $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 < 1$
12. $0 \leq x \leq 3$
13. $x \geq 0, y \geq 0, z \geq 0$
14. $y \geq 3$

2.2 An Introduction to Vectors

Many quantities we think about daily can be described by a single number: temperature, speed, cost, weight and height. There are also many other concepts we encounter daily that cannot be described with just one number. For instance, a weather forecaster often describes wind with its speed and its direction ("... with winds from the southeast gusting up to 30 mph ..."). When applying a force, we are concerned with both the magnitude and direction of that force. In both of these examples, *direction* is important. Because of this, we study *vectors*, mathematical objects that convey both magnitude and direction information.




Figure 2.7: Drawing the same vector with different initial points.

One “bare–bones” definition of a vector is based on what we wrote above: “a vector is a mathematical object with magnitude and direction parameters.” This definition leaves much to be desired, as it gives no indication as to how such an object is to be used. Several other definitions exist; we choose here a definition rooted in a geometric visualization of vectors. It is very simplistic but readily permits further investigation.

Definition 24 Vector

A **vector** is a directed line segment.

Given points P and Q (either in the plane or in space), we denote with \vec{v}_{PQ} the vector from P to Q . The point P is said to be the **initial point** of the vector, and the point Q is the **terminal point**.

The **magnitude, length** or **norm** of \vec{v}_{PQ} is the length of the line segment \overline{PQ} : $\|\vec{v}_{PQ}\| = \|\overline{PQ}\|$.

Two vectors are **equal** if they have the same magnitude and direction.




Figure 2.8: Illustrating how equal vectors have the same displacement.

Figure 2.7 shows multiple instances of the same vector. Each directed line segment has the same direction and length (magnitude), hence each is the same vector.

We use \mathbb{R}^2 (pronounced “r two”) to represent all the vectors in the plane, and use \mathbb{R}^3 (pronounced “r three”) to represent all the vectors in space.

Consider the vectors \vec{v}_{PQ} and \vec{v}_{RS} as shown in Figure 2.8. The vectors look to be equal; that is, they seem to have the same length and direction. Indeed, they are. Both vectors move 2 units to the right and 1 unit up from the initial point to reach the terminal point. One can analyze this movement to measure the magnitude of the vector, and the movement itself gives direction information (one could also measure the slope of the line passing through P and Q or R and S). Since they have the same length and direction, these two vectors are equal.

This demonstrates that inherently all we care about is *displacement*; that is, how far in the x , y and possibly z directions the terminal point is from the initial point. Both the vectors \vec{v}_{PQ} and \vec{v}_{RS} in Figure 2.8 have an x -displacement of 2 and a y -displacement of 1. This suggests a standard way of describing vectors in the plane. A vector whose x -displacement is a and whose y -displacement is b will have terminal point (a, b) when the initial point is the origin, $(0, 0)$. This leads us to a definition of a standard and concise way of referring to vectors.

Definition 25 Component Form of a Vector

1. The **component form** of a vector \vec{v} in \mathbb{R}^2 , whose terminal point is (a, b) when its initial point is $(0, 0)$, is $\langle a, b \rangle$.
2. The **component form** of a vector \vec{v} in \mathbb{R}^3 , whose terminal point is (a, b, c) when its initial point is $(0, 0, 0)$, is $\langle a, b, c \rangle$.

The numbers a, b (and c , respectively) are the **components** of \vec{v} .

It follows from the definition that the component form of the vector \vec{v}_{PQ} , where $P = (x_1, y_1)$ and $Q = (x_2, y_2)$ is

$$\vec{v}_{PQ} = \langle x_2 - x_1, y_2 - y_1 \rangle;$$

in space, where $P = (x_1, y_1, z_1)$ and $Q = (x_2, y_2, z_2)$, the component form of \vec{v}_{PQ} is

$$\vec{v}_{PQ} = \langle x_2 - x_1, y_2 - y_1, z_2 - z_1 \rangle.$$

We practice using this notation in the following example.

Example 24 Using component form notation for vectors

1. Sketch the vector $\vec{v} = \langle 2, -1 \rangle$ starting at $P = (3, 2)$ and find its magnitude.
2. Find the component form of the vector \vec{w} whose initial point is $R = (-3, -2)$ and whose terminal point is $S = (-1, 2)$.
3. Sketch the vector $\vec{u} = \langle 2, -1, 3 \rangle$ starting at the point $Q = (1, 1, 1)$ and find its magnitude.

SOLUTION

1. Using P as the initial point, we move 2 units in the positive x -direction and -1 units in the positive y -direction to arrive at the terminal point $P' = (5, 1)$, as drawn in Figure 2.9(a).

The magnitude of \vec{v} is determined directly from the component form:

$$\|\vec{v}\| = \sqrt{2^2 + (-1)^2} = \sqrt{5}.$$

2. Using the note following Definition 25, we have


$$\vec{v}_{RS} = \langle -1 - (-3), 2 - (-2) \rangle = \langle 2, 4 \rangle.$$

One can readily see from Figure 2.9(a) that the x - and y -displacement of \vec{v}_{RS} is 2 and 4, respectively, as the component form suggests.


3. Using Q as the initial point, we move 2 units in the positive x -direction, -1 unit in the positive y -direction, and 3 units in the positive z -direction to arrive at the terminal point $Q' = (3, 0, 4)$, illustrated in Figure 2.9(b).

The magnitude of \vec{u} is:

$$\|\vec{u}\| = \sqrt{2^2 + (-1)^2 + 3^2} = \sqrt{14}.$$



(a)



(b)

Figure 2.9: Graphing vectors in Example 24.




Figure 2.11: Illustrating how to add vectors using the Head to Tail Rule and Parallelogram Law.

Now that we have defined vectors, and have created a nice notation by which to describe them, we start considering how vectors interact with each other. That is, we define an *algebra* on vectors.

Definition 26 Vector Algebra

- Let $\vec{u} = \langle u_1, u_2 \rangle$ and $\vec{v} = \langle v_1, v_2 \rangle$ be vectors in \mathbb{R}^2 , and let c be a scalar.

- (a) The addition, or sum, of the vectors \vec{u} and \vec{v} is the vector

$$\vec{u} + \vec{v} = \langle u_1 + v_1, u_2 + v_2 \rangle.$$

- (b) The scalar product of c and \vec{v} is the vector

$$c\vec{v} = c \langle v_1, v_2 \rangle = \langle cv_1, cv_2 \rangle.$$

- Let $\vec{u} = \langle u_1, u_2, u_3 \rangle$ and $\vec{v} = \langle v_1, v_2, v_3 \rangle$ be vectors in \mathbb{R}^3 , and let c be a scalar.

- (a) The addition, or sum, of the vectors \vec{u} and \vec{v} is the vector

$$\vec{u} + \vec{v} = \langle u_1 + v_1, u_2 + v_2, u_3 + v_3 \rangle.$$

- (b) The scalar product of c and \vec{v} is the vector

$$c\vec{v} = c \langle v_1, v_2, v_3 \rangle = \langle cv_1, cv_2, cv_3 \rangle.$$




Figure 2.10: Graphing the sum of vectors in Example 25.

In short, we say addition and scalar multiplication are computed “component-wise.”

Example 25 Adding vectors

Sketch the vectors $\vec{u} = \langle 1, 3 \rangle$, $\vec{v} = \langle 2, 1 \rangle$ and $\vec{u} + \vec{v}$ all with initial point at the origin.

SOLUTION

We first compute $\vec{u} + \vec{v}$.

$$\begin{aligned}\vec{u} + \vec{v} &= \langle 1, 3 \rangle + \langle 2, 1 \rangle \\ &= \langle 3, 4 \rangle.\end{aligned}$$

These are all sketched in Figure 2.10.

As vectors convey magnitude and direction information, the sum of vectors also convey length and magnitude information. Adding $\vec{u} + \vec{v}$ suggests the following idea:

“Starting at an initial point, go out \vec{u} , then go out \vec{v} .”

This idea is sketched in Figure 2.11, where the initial point of \vec{v} is the terminal point of \vec{u} . This is known as the “Head to Tail Rule” of adding vectors. Vector addition is very important. For instance, if the vectors \vec{u} and \vec{v} represent forces acting on a body, the sum $\vec{u} + \vec{v}$ gives the resulting force. Because of various physical applications of vector addition, the sum $\vec{u} + \vec{v}$ is often referred to as the **resultant vector**, or just the “resultant.”

Analytically, it is easy to see that $\vec{u} + \vec{v} = \vec{v} + \vec{u}$. Figure 2.11 also gives a graphical representation of this, using gray vectors. Note that the vectors \vec{u} and \vec{v} , when arranged as in the figure, form a parallelogram. Because of this, the Head to Tail Rule is also known as the Parallelogram Law: the vector $\vec{u} + \vec{v}$ is defined by forming the parallelogram defined by the vectors \vec{u} and \vec{v} ; the initial point of $\vec{u} + \vec{v}$ is the common initial point of parallelogram, and the terminal point of the sum is the common terminal point of the parallelogram.

While not illustrated here, the Head to Tail Rule and Parallelogram Law hold for vectors in \mathbb{R}^3 as well.

It follows from the properties of the real numbers and Definition 26 that

$$\vec{u} - \vec{v} = \vec{u} + (-1)\vec{v}.$$

The Parallelogram Law gives us a good way to visualize this subtraction. We demonstrate this in the following example.

Example 26 Vector Subtraction

Let $\vec{u} = \langle 3, 1 \rangle$ and $\vec{v} = \langle 1, 2 \rangle$. Compute and sketch $\vec{u} - \vec{v}$.

SOLUTION The computation of $\vec{u} - \vec{v}$ is straightforward, and we show all steps below. Usually the formal step of multiplying by (-1) is omitted and we "just subtract."

$$\begin{aligned}\vec{u} - \vec{v} &= \vec{u} + (-1)\vec{v} \\ &= \langle 3, 1 \rangle + \langle -1, -2 \rangle \\ &= \langle 2, -1 \rangle.\end{aligned}$$

Figure 2.12 illustrates, using the Head to Tail Rule, how the subtraction can be viewed as the sum $\vec{u} + (-\vec{v})$. The figure also illustrates how $\vec{u} - \vec{v}$ can be obtained by looking only at the terminal points of \vec{u} and \vec{v} (when their initial points are the same).




Figure 2.12: Illustrating how to subtract vectors graphically.

Example 27 Scaling vectors

1. Sketch the vectors $\vec{v} = \langle 2, 1 \rangle$ and $2\vec{v}$ with initial point at the origin.
2. Compute the magnitudes of \vec{v} and $2\vec{v}$.

SOLUTION

1. We compute $2\vec{v}$:

$$\begin{aligned}2\vec{v} &= 2 \langle 2, 1 \rangle \\ &= \langle 4, 2 \rangle.\end{aligned}$$

Both \vec{v} and $2\vec{v}$ are sketched in Figure 2.13. Make note that $2\vec{v}$ does not start at the terminal point of \vec{v} ; rather, its initial point is also the origin.

2. The figure suggests that $2\vec{v}$ is twice as long as \vec{v} . We compute their magnitudes to confirm this.

$$\begin{aligned}||\vec{v}|| &= \sqrt{2^2 + 1^2} \\ &= \sqrt{5}. \\ ||2\vec{v}|| &= \sqrt{4^2 + 2^2} \\ &= \sqrt{20} \\ &= \sqrt{4 \cdot 5} = 2\sqrt{5}.\end{aligned}$$




Figure 2.13: Graphing vectors \vec{v} and $2\vec{v}$ in Example 27.

As we suspected, $2\vec{v}$ is twice as long as \vec{v} .

The **zero vector** is the vector whose initial point is also its terminal point. It is denoted by $\vec{0}$. Its component form, in \mathbb{R}^2 , is $\langle 0, 0 \rangle$; in \mathbb{R}^3 , it is $\langle 0, 0, 0 \rangle$. Usually the context makes it clear whether $\vec{0}$ is referring to a vector in the plane or in space.

Our examples have illustrated key principles in vector algebra: how to add and subtract vectors and how to multiply vectors by a scalar. The following theorem states formally the properties of these operations.

Theorem 13 Properties of Vector Operations

The following are true for all scalars c and d , and for all vectors \vec{u} , \vec{v} and \vec{w} , where \vec{u} , \vec{v} and \vec{w} are all in \mathbb{R}^2 or where \vec{u} , \vec{v} and \vec{w} are all in \mathbb{R}^3 :

1. $\vec{u} + \vec{v} = \vec{v} + \vec{u}$ Commutative Property
2. $(\vec{u} + \vec{v}) + \vec{w} = \vec{u} + (\vec{v} + \vec{w})$ Associative Property
3. $\vec{v} + \vec{0} = \vec{v}$ Additive Identity
4. $(cd)\vec{v} = c(d\vec{v})$
5. $c(\vec{u} + \vec{v}) = c\vec{u} + c\vec{v}$ Distributive Property
6. $(c + d)\vec{v} = c\vec{v} + d\vec{v}$ Distributive Property
7. $0\vec{v} = \vec{0}$
8. $\|c\vec{v}\| = |c| \cdot \|\vec{v}\|$
9. $\|\vec{u}\| = 0$ if, and only if, $\vec{u} = \vec{0}$.

As stated before, each vector \vec{v} conveys magnitude and direction information. We have a method of extracting the magnitude, which we write as $\|\vec{v}\|$. *Unit vectors* are a way of extracting just the direction information from a vector.

Definition 27 Unit Vector

A **unit vector** is a vector \vec{v} with a magnitude of 1; that is,

$$\|\vec{v}\| = 1.$$

Consider this scenario: you are given a vector \vec{v} and are told to create a vector of length 10 in the direction of \vec{v} . How does one do that? If we knew that \vec{u} was the unit vector in the direction of \vec{v} , the answer would be easy: $10\vec{u}$. So how do we find \vec{u} ?

Property 8 of Theorem 13 holds the key. If we divide \vec{v} by its magnitude, it becomes a vector of length 1. Consider:

$$\left\| \frac{1}{\|\vec{v}\|} \vec{v} \right\| = \frac{1}{\|\vec{v}\|} \|\vec{v}\| \quad (\text{we can pull out } \frac{1}{\|\vec{v}\|} \text{ as it is a scalar}) \\ = 1.$$

So the vector of length 10 in the direction of \vec{v} is $10 \frac{1}{\|\vec{v}\|} \vec{v}$. An example will make this more clear.

Example 28 Using Unit Vectors

Let $\vec{v} = \langle 3, 1 \rangle$ and let $\vec{w} = \langle 1, 2, 2 \rangle$.

1. Find the unit vector in the direction of \vec{v} .
2. Find the unit vector in the direction of \vec{w} .
3. Find the vector in the direction of \vec{v} with magnitude 5.

SOLUTION

1. We find $\|\vec{v}\| = \sqrt{10}$. So the unit vector \vec{u} in the direction of \vec{v} is

$$\vec{u} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{10}} \vec{v} = \left\langle \frac{3}{\sqrt{10}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{10}} \right\rangle.$$

2. We find $\|\vec{w}\| = 3$, so the unit vector \vec{z} in the direction of \vec{w} is

$$\vec{z} = \frac{1}{3} \vec{w} = \left\langle \frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{2}{3} \right\rangle.$$

3. To create a vector with magnitude 5 in the direction of \vec{v} , we multiply the unit vector \vec{u} by 5. Thus $5\vec{u} = \langle 15/\sqrt{10}, 5/\sqrt{10} \rangle$ is the vector we seek. This is sketched in Figure 2.14.

The basic formation of the unit vector \vec{u} in the direction of a vector \vec{v} leads to a interesting equation. It is:

$$\vec{v} = \|\vec{v}\| \frac{1}{\|\vec{v}\|} \vec{v}.$$

We rewrite the equation with parentheses to make a point:

$$\vec{v} = \underbrace{\|\vec{v}\|}_{\text{magnitude}} \cdot \underbrace{\left(\frac{1}{\|\vec{v}\|} \vec{v} \right)}_{\text{direction}}.$$

This equation illustrates the fact that a vector has both magnitude and direction, where we view a unit vector as supplying *only* direction information. Identifying unit vectors with direction allows us to define **parallel vectors**.

Definition 28 Parallel Vectors

1. Unit vectors \vec{u}_1 and \vec{u}_2 are **parallel** if $\vec{u}_1 = \pm \vec{u}_2$.
2. Nonzero vectors \vec{v}_1 and \vec{v}_2 are **parallel** if their respective unit vectors are parallel.




Figure 2.14: Graphing vectors in Example 28. All vectors shown have their initial point at the origin.

Note: $\vec{0}$ is directionless; because $\|\vec{0}\| = 0$, there is no unit vector in the “direction” of $\vec{0}$.

Some texts define two vectors as being parallel if one is a scalar multiple of the other. By this definition, $\vec{0}$ is parallel to all vectors as $\vec{0} = 0\vec{v}$ for all \vec{v} .

We prefer the given definition of parallel as it is grounded in the fact that unit vectors provide direction information. One may adopt the convention that $\vec{0}$ is parallel to all vectors if they desire. (See also the marginal note on page 99.)

It is equivalent to say that vectors \vec{v}_1 and \vec{v}_2 are parallel if there is a scalar $c \neq 0$ such that $\vec{v}_1 = c\vec{v}_2$ (see marginal note).




Figure 2.16: A diagram of the force vectors from Example 29.

If one graphed all unit vectors in \mathbb{R}^2 with the initial point at the origin, then the terminal points would all lie on the unit circle. Based on what we know from trigonometry, we can then say that the component form of all unit vectors in \mathbb{R}^2 is $\langle \cos \theta, \sin \theta \rangle$ for some angle θ .

A similar construction in \mathbb{R}^3 shows that the terminal points all lie on the unit sphere. These vectors also have a particular component form, but its derivation is not as straightforward as the one for unit vectors in \mathbb{R}^2 . Important concepts about unit vectors are given in the following Key Idea.

Key Idea 10 Unit Vectors

1. The unit vector in the direction of \vec{v} is

$$\vec{u} = \frac{1}{\|\vec{v}\|} \vec{v}.$$

2. A vector \vec{u} in \mathbb{R}^2 is a unit vector if, and only if, its component form is $\langle \cos \theta, \sin \theta \rangle$ for some angle θ .
3. A vector \vec{u} in \mathbb{R}^3 is a unit vector if, and only if, its component form is $\langle \sin \theta \cos \varphi, \sin \theta \sin \varphi, \cos \theta \rangle$ for some angles θ and φ .

These formulas can come in handy in a variety of situations, especially the formula for unit vectors in the plane.

Example 29 Finding Component Forces

Consider a weight of 50lb hanging from two chains, as shown in Figure 2.15. One chain makes an angle of 30° with the vertical, and the other an angle of 45° . Find the force applied to each chain.

SOLUTION Knowing that gravity is pulling the 50lb weight straight down, we can create a vector \vec{F} to represent this force.

$$\vec{F} = 50 \langle 0, -1 \rangle = \langle 0, -50 \rangle.$$

We can view each chain as “pulling” the weight up, preventing it from falling. We can represent the force from each chain with a vector. Let \vec{F}_1 represent the force from the chain making an angle of 30° with the vertical, and let \vec{F}_2 represent the force from the other chain. Convert all angles to be measured from the horizontal (as shown in Figure 2.16), and apply Key Idea 10. As we do not yet know the magnitudes of these vectors, (that is the problem at hand), we use m_1 and m_2 to represent them.

$$\vec{F}_1 = m_1 \langle \cos 120^\circ, \sin 120^\circ \rangle$$

$$\vec{F}_2 = m_2 \langle \cos 45^\circ, \sin 45^\circ \rangle$$

As the weight is not moving, we know the sum of the forces is $\vec{0}$. This gives:

$$\vec{F} + \vec{F}_1 + \vec{F}_2 = \vec{0}$$

$$\langle 0, -50 \rangle + m_1 \langle \cos 120^\circ, \sin 120^\circ \rangle + m_2 \langle \cos 45^\circ, \sin 45^\circ \rangle = \vec{0}$$

The sum of the entries in the first component is 0, and the sum of the entries in the second component is also 0. This leads us to the following two equations:

$$m_1 \cos 120^\circ + m_2 \cos 45^\circ = 0$$

$$m_1 \sin 120^\circ + m_2 \sin 45^\circ = 50$$




Figure 2.15: A diagram of a weight hanging from 2 chains in Example 29.

This is a simple 2-equation, 2-unkown system of linear equations. We leave it to the reader to verify that the solution is

$$m_1 = 50(\sqrt{3} - 1) \approx 36.6; \quad m_2 = \frac{50\sqrt{2}}{1 + \sqrt{3}} \approx 25.88.$$

It might seem odd that the sum of the forces applied to the chains is more than 50lb. We leave it to a physics class to discuss the full details, but offer this short explanation. Our equations were established so that the *vertical* components of each force sums to 50lb, thus supporting the weight. Since the chains are at an angle, they also pull against each other, creating an “additional” horizontal force while holding the weight in place.

Unit vectors were very important in the previous calculation; they allowed us to define a vector in the proper direction but with an unknown magnitude. Our computations were then computed component-wise. Because such calculations are often necessary, the *standard unit vectors* can be useful.

Definition 29 Standard Unit Vectors

1. In \mathbb{R}^2 , the standard unit vectors are

$$\vec{i} = \langle 1, 0 \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad \vec{j} = \langle 0, 1 \rangle.$$

2. In \mathbb{R}^3 , the standard unit vectors are

$$\vec{i} = \langle 1, 0, 0 \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad \vec{j} = \langle 0, 1, 0 \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad \vec{k} = \langle 0, 0, 1 \rangle.$$

Example 30 Using standard unit vectors

1. Rewrite $\vec{v} = \langle 2, -3 \rangle$ using the standard unit vectors.

2. Rewrite $\vec{w} = 4\vec{i} - 5\vec{j} + 2\vec{k}$ in component form.

SOLUTION

$$\begin{aligned} 1. \quad \vec{v} &= \langle 2, -3 \rangle \\ &= \langle 2, 0 \rangle + \langle 0, -3 \rangle \\ &= 2 \langle 1, 0 \rangle - 3 \langle 0, 1 \rangle \\ &= 2\vec{i} - 3\vec{j} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} 2. \quad \vec{w} &= 4\vec{i} - 5\vec{j} + 2\vec{k} \\ &= \langle 4, 0, 0 \rangle + \langle 0, -5, 0 \rangle + \langle 0, 0, 2 \rangle \\ &= \langle 4, -5, 2 \rangle \end{aligned}$$

These two examples demonstrate that converting between component form and the standard unit vectors is rather straightforward. Many mathematicians prefer component form, and it is the preferred notation in this text. Many engineers prefer using the standard unit vectors, and many engineering text use that notation.




Figure 2.17: A figure of a weight being pushed by the wind in Example 31.

Example 31 Finding Component Force

A weight of 25lb is suspended from a chain of length 2ft while a wind pushes the weight to the right with constant force of 5lb as shown in Figure 2.17. What angle will the chain make with the vertical as a result of the wind's pushing? How much higher will the weight be?

SOLUTION The force of the wind is represented by the vector $\vec{F}_w = 5\vec{i}$. The force of gravity on the weight is represented by $\vec{F}_g = -25\vec{j}$. The direction and magnitude of the vector representing the force on the chain are both unknown. We represent this force with

$$\vec{F}_c = m \langle \cos \varphi, \sin \varphi \rangle = m \cos \varphi \vec{i} + m \sin \varphi \vec{j}$$

for some magnitude m and some angle with the horizontal φ . (Note: θ is the angle the chain makes with the *vertical*; φ is the angle with the *horizontal*.)

As the weight is at equilibrium, the sum of the forces is $\vec{0}$:

$$\begin{aligned}\vec{F}_c + \vec{F}_w + \vec{F}_g &= \vec{0} \\ m \cos \varphi \vec{i} + m \sin \varphi \vec{j} + 5\vec{i} - 25\vec{j} &= \vec{0}\end{aligned}$$

Thus the sum of the \vec{i} and \vec{j} components are 0, leading us to the following system of equations:

$$\begin{aligned}5 + m \cos \varphi &= 0 \\ -25 + m \sin \varphi &= 0\end{aligned}\tag{2.1}$$

This is enough to determine \vec{F}_c already, as we know $m \cos \varphi = -5$ and $m \sin \varphi = 25$. Thus $F_c = \langle -5, 25 \rangle$. We can use this to find the magnitude m :

$$m = \sqrt{(-5)^2 + 25^2} = 5\sqrt{26} \approx 25.5\text{lb.}$$

We can then use either equality from Equation (2.1) to solve for φ . We choose the first equality as using arccosine will return an angle in the 2nd quadrant:

$$5 + 5\sqrt{26} \cos \varphi = 0 \Rightarrow \varphi = \cos^{-1} \left(\frac{-5}{5\sqrt{26}} \right) \approx 1.7682 \approx 101.31^\circ.$$

Subtracting 90° from this angle gives us an angle of 11.31° with the vertical.

We can now use trigonometry to find out how high the weight is lifted. The diagram shows that a right triangle is formed with the 2ft chain as the hypotenuse with an interior angle of 11.31° . The length of the adjacent side (in the diagram, the dashed vertical line) is $2 \cos 11.31^\circ \approx 1.96\text{ft}$. Thus the weight is lifted by about 0.04ft, almost 1/2in.

The algebra we have applied to vectors is already demonstrating itself to be very useful. There are two more fundamental operations we can perform with vectors, the *dot product* and the *cross product*. The next two sections explore each in turn.

Exercises 2.2

Terms and Concepts





1. Name two different things that cannot be described with just one number, but rather need 2 or more numbers to fully describe them.
2. What is the difference between $(1, 2)$ and $\langle 1, 2 \rangle$?
3. What is a unit vector?
4. What does it mean for two vectors to be parallel?
5. What effect does multiplying a vector by -2 have?

Problems

In Exercises 6 – 9, points P and Q are given. Write the vector \vec{PQ} in component form and using the standard unit vectors.

6. $P = (2, -1)$, $Q = (3, 5)$
7. $P = (3, 2)$, $Q = (7, -2)$
8. $P = (0, 3, -1)$, $Q = (6, 2, 5)$
9. $P = (2, 1, 2)$, $Q = (4, 3, 2)$
10. Let $\vec{u} = \langle 1, -2 \rangle$ and $\vec{v} = \langle 1, 1 \rangle$.
 - (a) Find $\vec{u} + \vec{v}$, $\vec{u} - \vec{v}$, $2\vec{u} - 3\vec{v}$.
 - (b) Sketch the above vectors on the same axes, along with \vec{u} and \vec{v} .
 - (c) Find \vec{x} where $\vec{u} + \vec{x} = 2\vec{v} - \vec{x}$.
11. Let $\vec{u} = \langle 1, 1, -1 \rangle$ and $\vec{v} = \langle 2, 1, 2 \rangle$.
 - (a) Find $\vec{u} + \vec{v}$, $\vec{u} - \vec{v}$, $\pi\vec{u} - \sqrt{2}\vec{v}$.
 - (b) Sketch the above vectors on the same axes, along with \vec{u} and \vec{v} .
 - (c) Find \vec{x} where $\vec{u} + \vec{x} = \vec{v} + 2\vec{x}$.

In Exercises 12 – 15, sketch \vec{u} , \vec{v} , $\vec{u} + \vec{v}$ and $\vec{u} - \vec{v}$ on the same axes.



In Exercises 16 – 19, find $\|\vec{u}\|$, $\|\vec{v}\|$, $\|\vec{u} + \vec{v}\|$ and $\|\vec{u} - \vec{v}\|$.


16. $\vec{u} = \langle 2, 1 \rangle$, $\vec{v} = \langle 3, -2 \rangle$
17. $\vec{u} = \langle -3, 2, 2 \rangle$, $\vec{v} = \langle 1, -1, 1 \rangle$
18. $\vec{u} = \langle 1, 2 \rangle$, $\vec{v} = \langle -3, -6 \rangle$
19. $\vec{u} = \langle 2, -3, 6 \rangle$, $\vec{v} = \langle 10, -15, 30 \rangle$
20. Under what conditions is $\|\vec{u}\| + \|\vec{v}\| = \|\vec{u} + \vec{v}\|$?

In Exercises 21 – 24, find the unit vector \vec{u} in the direction of \vec{v} .

21. $\vec{v} = \langle 3, 7 \rangle$
22. $\vec{v} = \langle 6, 8 \rangle$
23. $\vec{v} = \langle 1, -2, 2 \rangle$
24. $\vec{v} = \langle 2, -2, 2 \rangle$
25. Find the unit vector in the first quadrant of \mathbb{R}^2 that makes a 50° angle with the x -axis.

26. Find the unit vector in the second quadrant of \mathbb{R}^2 that makes a 30° angle with the y -axis.
27. Verify, from Key Idea 10, that $\vec{u} = \langle \sin \theta \cos \varphi, \sin \theta \sin \varphi, \cos \theta \rangle$ is a unit vector for all angles θ and φ .
31. $\theta = 0^\circ, \varphi = 0^\circ$

A weight of 100lb is suspended from two chains, making angles with the vertical of θ and φ as shown in the figure below.




In Exercises 28 – 31, angles θ and φ are given. Find the force applied to each chain.

28. $\theta = 30^\circ, \varphi = 30^\circ$

29. $\theta = 60^\circ, \varphi = 60^\circ$

30. $\theta = 20^\circ, \varphi = 15^\circ$

A weight of p lb is suspended from a chain of length ℓ while a constant force of \vec{F}_w pushes the weight to the right, making an angle of θ with the vertical, as shown in the figure below.



In Exercises 32 – 35, a force \vec{F}_w and length ℓ are given. Find the angle θ and the height the weight is lifted as it moves to the right.

32. $\vec{F}_w = 1\text{lb}, \ell = 1\text{ft}, p = 1\text{lb}$

33. $\vec{F}_w = 1\text{lb}, \ell = 1\text{ft}, p = 10\text{lb}$

34. $\vec{F}_w = 1\text{lb}, \ell = 10\text{ft}, p = 1\text{lb}$

35. $\vec{F}_w = 10\text{lb}, \ell = 10\text{ft}, p = 1\text{lb}$

2.3 The Dot Product

The previous section introduced vectors and described how to add them together and how to multiply them by scalars. This section introduces a multiplication on vectors called the **dot product**.

Definition 30 Dot Product

- Let $\vec{u} = \langle u_1, u_2 \rangle$ and $\vec{v} = \langle v_1, v_2 \rangle$ in \mathbb{R}^2 . The **dot product** of \vec{u} and \vec{v} , denoted $\vec{u} \cdot \vec{v}$, is

$$\vec{u} \cdot \vec{v} = u_1v_1 + u_2v_2.$$

- Let $\vec{u} = \langle u_1, u_2, u_3 \rangle$ and $\vec{v} = \langle v_1, v_2, v_3 \rangle$ in \mathbb{R}^3 . The **dot product** of \vec{u} and \vec{v} , denoted $\vec{u} \cdot \vec{v}$, is

$$\vec{u} \cdot \vec{v} = u_1v_1 + u_2v_2 + u_3v_3.$$

Note how this product of vectors returns a *scalar*, not another vector. We practice evaluating a dot product in the following example, then we will discuss why this product is useful.

Example 32 Evaluating dot products

- Let $\vec{u} = \langle 1, 2 \rangle$, $\vec{v} = \langle 3, -1 \rangle$ in \mathbb{R}^2 . Find $\vec{u} \cdot \vec{v}$.
- Let $\vec{x} = \langle 2, -2, 5 \rangle$ and $\vec{y} = \langle -1, 0, 3 \rangle$ in \mathbb{R}^3 . Find $\vec{x} \cdot \vec{y}$.

SOLUTION

- Using Definition 30, we have

$$\vec{u} \cdot \vec{v} = 1(3) + 2(-1) = 1.$$

- Using the definition, we have

$$\vec{x} \cdot \vec{y} = 2(-1) - 2(0) + 5(3) = 13.$$

The dot product, as shown by the preceding example, is very simple to evaluate. It is only the sum of products. While the definition gives no hint as to why we would care about this operation, there is an amazing connection between the dot product and angles formed by the vectors. Before stating this connection, we give a theorem stating some of the properties of the dot product.

Theorem 14 Properties of the Dot Product

Let \vec{u} , \vec{v} and \vec{w} be vectors in \mathbb{R}^2 or \mathbb{R}^3 and let c be a scalar.

- | | |
|--|-----------------------|
| 1. $\vec{u} \cdot \vec{v} = \vec{v} \cdot \vec{u}$ | Commutative Property |
| 2. $\vec{u} \cdot (\vec{v} + \vec{w}) = \vec{u} \cdot \vec{v} + \vec{u} \cdot \vec{w}$ | Distributive Property |
| 3. $c(\vec{u} \cdot \vec{v}) = (c\vec{u}) \cdot \vec{v} = \vec{u} \cdot (c\vec{v})$ | |
| 4. $\vec{0} \cdot \vec{v} = 0$ | |
| 5. $\vec{v} \cdot \vec{v} = \vec{v} ^2$ | |

The last statement of the theorem makes a handy connection between the magnitude of a vector and the dot product with itself. Our definition and theorem give properties of the dot product, but we are still likely wondering “What does the dot product *mean*?”. It is helpful to understand that the dot product of a vector with itself is connected to its magnitude.

The next theorem extends this understanding by connecting the dot product to magnitudes and angles. Given vectors \vec{u} and \vec{v} in the plane, an angle θ is clearly formed when \vec{u} and \vec{v} are drawn with the same initial point as illustrated in Figure 2.19(a). (We always take θ to be the angle in $[0, \pi]$ as two angles are actually created.)

The same is also true of 2 vectors in space: given \vec{u} and \vec{v} in \mathbb{R}^3 with the same initial point, there is a plane that contains both \vec{u} and \vec{v} . (When \vec{u} and \vec{v} are collinear, there are infinite planes that contain both vectors.) In that plane, we can again find an angle θ between them (and again, $0 \leq \theta \leq \pi$). This is illustrated in Figure 2.19(b).

The following theorem connects this angle θ to the dot product of \vec{u} and \vec{v} .

Theorem 15 The Dot Product and Angles

Let \vec{u} and \vec{v} be vectors in \mathbb{R}^2 or \mathbb{R}^3 . Then

$$\vec{u} \cdot \vec{v} = ||\vec{u}|| ||\vec{v}|| \cos \theta,$$

where θ , $0 \leq \theta \leq \pi$, is the angle between \vec{u} and \vec{v} .

When θ is an acute angle (i.e., $0 \leq \theta < \pi/2$), $\cos \theta$ is positive; when $\theta = \pi/2$, $\cos \theta = 0$; when θ is an obtuse angle ($\pi/2 < \theta \leq \pi$), $\cos \theta$ is negative. Thus the sign of the dot product gives a general indication of the angle between the vectors, illustrated in Figure 2.18.




Figure 2.19: Illustrating the angle formed by two vectors with the same initial point.




Figure 2.18: Illustrating the relationship between the angle between vectors and the sign of their dot product.

We can use Theorem 15 to compute the dot product, but generally this the-

orem is used to find the angle between known vectors (since the dot product is generally easy to compute). To this end, we rewrite the theorem's equation as

$$\cos \theta = \frac{\vec{u} \cdot \vec{v}}{\|\vec{u}\| \|\vec{v}\|} \Leftrightarrow \theta = \cos^{-1} \left(\frac{\vec{u} \cdot \vec{v}}{\|\vec{u}\| \|\vec{v}\|} \right).$$

We practice using this theorem in the following example.

Example 33 Using the dot product to find angles

Let $\vec{u} = \langle 3, 1 \rangle$, $\vec{v} = \langle -2, 6 \rangle$ and $\vec{w} = \langle -4, 3 \rangle$, as shown in Figure 2.20. Find the angles α , β and θ .

SOLUTION

We start by computing the magnitude of each vector.

$$\|\vec{u}\| = \sqrt{10}; \quad \|\vec{v}\| = 2\sqrt{10}; \quad \|\vec{w}\| = 5.$$

We now apply Theorem 15 to find the angles.

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= \cos^{-1} \left(\frac{\vec{u} \cdot \vec{v}}{(\sqrt{10})(2\sqrt{10})} \right) \\ &= \cos^{-1}(0) = \frac{\pi}{2} = 90^\circ. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \beta &= \cos^{-1} \left(\frac{\vec{v} \cdot \vec{w}}{(2\sqrt{10})(5)} \right) \\ &= \cos^{-1} \left(\frac{26}{10\sqrt{10}} \right) \\ &\approx 0.6055 \approx 34.7^\circ. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \theta &= \cos^{-1} \left(\frac{\vec{u} \cdot \vec{w}}{(\sqrt{10})(5)} \right) \\ &= \cos^{-1} \left(\frac{-9}{5\sqrt{10}} \right) \\ &\approx 2.1763 \approx 124.7^\circ \end{aligned}$$




Figure 2.20: Vectors used in Example 33.

We see from our computation that $\alpha + \beta = \theta$, as indicated by Figure 2.20. While we knew this should be the case, it is nice to see that this non-intuitive formula indeed returns the results we expected.

We do a similar example next in the context of vectors in space.

Example 34 Using the dot product to find angles

Let $\vec{u} = \langle 1, 1, 1 \rangle$, $\vec{v} = \langle -1, 3, -2 \rangle$ and $\vec{w} = \langle -5, 1, 4 \rangle$, as illustrated in Figure 2.21. Find the angle between each pair of vectors.

SOLUTION

1. Between \vec{u} and \vec{v} :

$$\begin{aligned} \theta &= \cos^{-1} \left(\frac{\vec{u} \cdot \vec{v}}{\|\vec{u}\| \|\vec{v}\|} \right) \\ &= \cos^{-1} \left(\frac{0}{\sqrt{3}\sqrt{14}} \right) \\ &= \frac{\pi}{2}. \end{aligned}$$




Figure 2.21: Vectors used in Example 34.

Note: The term *perpendicular* originally referred to lines. As mathematics progressed, the concept of “being at right angles to” was applied to other objects, such as vectors and planes, and the term *orthogonal* was introduced. It is especially used when discussing objects that are hard, or impossible, to visualize: two vectors in 5-dimensional space are orthogonal if their dot product is 0. It is not wrong to say they are *perpendicular*, but common convention gives preference to the word *orthogonal*.

2. Between \vec{u} and \vec{w} :

$$\begin{aligned}\theta &= \cos^{-1} \left(\frac{\vec{u} \cdot \vec{w}}{\|\vec{u}\| \|\vec{w}\|} \right) \\ &= \cos^{-1} \left(\frac{0}{\sqrt{3}\sqrt{42}} \right) \\ &= \frac{\pi}{2}.\end{aligned}$$

3. Between \vec{v} and \vec{w} :

$$\begin{aligned}\theta &= \cos^{-1} \left(\frac{\vec{v} \cdot \vec{w}}{\|\vec{v}\| \|\vec{w}\|} \right) \\ &= \cos^{-1} \left(\frac{0}{\sqrt{14}\sqrt{42}} \right) \\ &= \frac{\pi}{2}.\end{aligned}$$

While our work shows that each angle is $\pi/2$, i.e., 90° , none of these angles looks to be a right angle in Figure 2.21. Such is the case when drawing three-dimensional objects on the page.

All three angles between these vectors was $\pi/2$, or 90° . We know from geometry and everyday life that 90° angles are “nice” for a variety of reasons, so it should seem significant that these angles are all $\pi/2$. Notice the common feature in each calculation (and also the calculation of α in Example 33): the dot products of each pair of angles was 0. We use this as a basis for a definition of the term **orthogonal**, which is essentially synonymous to *perpendicular*.

Definition 31 Orthogonal

Vectors \vec{u} and \vec{v} are **orthogonal** if their dot product is 0.

Example 35 Finding orthogonal vectors

Let $\vec{u} = \langle 3, 5 \rangle$ and $\vec{v} = \langle 1, 2, 3 \rangle$.

1. Find two vectors in \mathbb{R}^2 that are orthogonal to \vec{u} .
2. Find two non-parallel vectors in \mathbb{R}^3 that are orthogonal to \vec{v} .

SOLUTION

1. Recall that a line perpendicular to a line with slope m has slope $-1/m$, the “opposite reciprocal slope.” We can think of the slope of \vec{u} as $5/3$, its “rise over run.” A vector orthogonal to \vec{u} will have slope $-3/5$. There are many such choices, though all parallel:

$$\langle -5, 3 \rangle \quad \text{or} \quad \langle 5, -3 \rangle \quad \text{or} \quad \langle -10, 6 \rangle \quad \text{or} \quad \langle 15, -9 \rangle, \text{ etc.}$$

2. There are infinite directions in space orthogonal to any given direction, so there are an infinite number of non-parallel vectors orthogonal to \vec{v} . Since there are so many, we have great leeway in finding some.

One way is to arbitrarily pick values for the first two components, leaving the third unknown. For instance, let $\vec{v}_1 = \langle 2, 7, z \rangle$. If \vec{v}_1 is to be orthogonal to \vec{v} , then $\vec{v}_1 \cdot \vec{v} = 0$, so

$$2 + 14 + 3z = 0 \Rightarrow z = -\frac{16}{3}.$$

So $\vec{v}_1 = \langle 2, 7, -16/3 \rangle$ is orthogonal to \vec{v} . We can apply a similar technique by leaving the first or second component unknown.

Another method of finding a vector orthogonal to \vec{v} mirrors what we did in part 1. Let $\vec{v}_2 = \langle -2, 1, 0 \rangle$. Here we switched the first two components of \vec{v} , changing the sign of one of them (similar to the “opposite reciprocal” concept before). Letting the third component be 0 effectively ignores the third component of \vec{v} , and it is easy to see that

$$\vec{v}_2 \cdot \vec{v} = \langle -2, 1, 0 \rangle \cdot \langle 1, 2, 3 \rangle = 0.$$

Clearly \vec{v}_1 and \vec{v}_2 are not parallel.

An important construction is illustrated in Figure 2.22, where vectors \vec{u} and \vec{v} are sketched. In part (a), a dotted line is drawn from the tip of \vec{u} to the line containing \vec{v} , where the dotted line is orthogonal to \vec{v} . In part (b), the dotted line is replaced with the vector \vec{z} and \vec{w} is formed, parallel to \vec{v} . It is clear by the diagram that $\vec{u} = \vec{w} + \vec{z}$. What is important about this construction is this: \vec{u} is *decomposed* as the sum of two vectors, one of which is parallel to \vec{v} and one that is perpendicular to \vec{v} . It is hard to overstate the importance of this construction (as we’ll see in upcoming examples).

The vectors \vec{w} , \vec{z} and \vec{u} as shown in Figure 2.22 (b) form a right triangle, where the angle between \vec{v} and \vec{u} is labeled θ . We can find \vec{w} in terms of \vec{v} and \vec{u} .

Using trigonometry, we can state that

$$\|\vec{w}\| = \|\vec{u}\| \cos \theta. \quad (2.2)$$

We also know that \vec{w} is parallel to \vec{v} ; that is, the direction of \vec{w} is the direction of \vec{v} , described by the unit vector $\frac{1}{\|\vec{v}\|}\vec{v}$. The vector \vec{w} is the vector in the direction $\frac{1}{\|\vec{v}\|}\vec{v}$ with magnitude $\|\vec{u}\| \cos \theta$:

$$\vec{w} = \left(\|\vec{u}\| \cos \theta \right) \frac{1}{\|\vec{v}\|} \vec{v}.$$

Replace $\cos \theta$ using Theorem 15:

$$\begin{aligned} &= \left(\|\vec{u}\| \frac{\vec{u} \cdot \vec{v}}{\|\vec{u}\| \|\vec{v}\|} \right) \frac{1}{\|\vec{v}\|} \vec{v} \\ &= \frac{\vec{u} \cdot \vec{v}}{\|\vec{v}\|^2} \vec{v}. \end{aligned}$$

Now apply Theorem 14.

$$= \frac{\vec{u} \cdot \vec{v}}{\vec{v} \cdot \vec{v}} \vec{v}.$$

Since this construction is so important, it is given a special name.




Figure 2.22: Developing the construction of the *orthogonal projection*.






Figure 2.23: Graphing the vectors used in Example 36.




Figure 2.24: Illustrating the orthogonal projection.

Definition 32 Orthogonal Projection

Let \vec{u} and \vec{v} be given. The **orthogonal projection of \vec{u} onto \vec{v}** , denoted $\text{proj}_{\vec{v}} \vec{u}$, is

$$\text{proj}_{\vec{v}} \vec{u} = \frac{\vec{u} \cdot \vec{v}}{\vec{v} \cdot \vec{v}} \vec{v}.$$

Example 36 Computing the orthogonal projection

1. Let $\vec{u} = \langle -2, 1 \rangle$ and $\vec{v} = \langle 3, 1 \rangle$. Find $\text{proj}_{\vec{v}} \vec{u}$, and sketch all three vectors with initial points at the origin.
2. Let $\vec{w} = \langle 2, 1, 3 \rangle$ and $\vec{x} = \langle 1, 1, 1 \rangle$. Find $\text{proj}_{\vec{x}} \vec{w}$, and sketch all three vectors with initial points at the origin.

SOLUTION

1. Applying Definition 32, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{proj}_{\vec{v}} \vec{u} &= \frac{\vec{u} \cdot \vec{v}}{\vec{v} \cdot \vec{v}} \vec{v} \\ &= \frac{-5}{10} \langle 3, 1 \rangle \\ &= \left\langle -\frac{3}{2}, -\frac{1}{2} \right\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Vectors \vec{u} , \vec{v} and $\text{proj}_{\vec{v}} \vec{u}$ are sketched in Figure 2.23(a). Note how the projection is parallel to \vec{v} ; that is, it lies on the same line through the origin as \vec{v} , although it points in the opposite direction. That is because the angle between \vec{u} and \vec{v} is obtuse (i.e., greater than 90°).

2. Apply the definition:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{proj}_{\vec{x}} \vec{w} &= \frac{\vec{w} \cdot \vec{x}}{\vec{x} \cdot \vec{x}} \vec{x} \\ &= \frac{6}{3} \langle 1, 1, 1 \rangle \\ &= \langle 2, 2, 2 \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

These vectors are sketched in Figure 2.23(b), and again in part (c) from a different perspective. Because of the nature of graphing these vectors, the sketch in part (b) makes it difficult to recognize that the drawn projection has the geometric properties it should. The graph shown in part (c) illustrates these properties better.

Consider Figure 2.24 where the concept of the orthogonal projection is again illustrated. It is clear that

$$\vec{u} = \text{proj}_{\vec{v}} \vec{u} + \vec{z}. \quad (2.3)$$

As we know what \vec{u} and $\text{proj}_{\vec{v}} \vec{u}$ are, we can solve for \vec{z} and state that

$$\vec{z} = \vec{u} - \text{proj}_{\vec{v}} \vec{u}.$$

This leads us to rewrite Equation (2.3) in a seemingly silly way:

$$\vec{u} = \text{proj}_{\vec{v}} \vec{u} + (\vec{u} - \text{proj}_{\vec{v}} \vec{u}).$$

This is not nonsense, as pointed out in the following Key Idea. (Notation note: the expression “ $\parallel \vec{y}$ ” means “is parallel to \vec{y} .” We can use this notation to state “ $\vec{x} \parallel \vec{y}$ ” which means “ \vec{x} is parallel to \vec{y} .” The expression “ $\perp \vec{y}$ ” means “is orthogonal to \vec{y} ,” and is used similarly.)

Key Idea 11 Orthogonal Decomposition of Vectors

Let \vec{u} and \vec{v} be given. Then \vec{u} can be written as the sum of two vectors, one of which is parallel to \vec{v} , and one of which is orthogonal to \vec{v} :

$$\vec{u} = \underbrace{\text{proj}_{\vec{v}} \vec{u}}_{\parallel \vec{v}} + \underbrace{(\vec{u} - \text{proj}_{\vec{v}} \vec{u})}_{\perp \vec{v}}.$$

We illustrate the use of this equality in the following example.

Example 37 Orthogonal decomposition of vectors

1. Let $\vec{u} = \langle -2, 1 \rangle$ and $\vec{v} = \langle 3, 1 \rangle$ as in Example 36. Decompose \vec{u} as the sum of a vector parallel to \vec{v} and a vector orthogonal to \vec{v} .
2. Let $\vec{w} = \langle 2, 1, 3 \rangle$ and $\vec{x} = \langle 1, 1, 1 \rangle$ as in Example 36. Decompose \vec{w} as the sum of a vector parallel to \vec{x} and a vector orthogonal to \vec{x} .

SOLUTION

1. In Example 36, we found that $\text{proj}_{\vec{v}} \vec{u} = \langle -1.5, -0.5 \rangle$. Let

$$\vec{z} = \vec{u} - \text{proj}_{\vec{v}} \vec{u} = \langle -2, 1 \rangle - \langle -1.5, -0.5 \rangle = \langle -0.5, 1.5 \rangle.$$

Is \vec{z} orthogonal to \vec{v} ? (I.e., is $\vec{z} \perp \vec{v}$?) We check for orthogonality with the dot product:

$$\vec{z} \cdot \vec{v} = \langle -0.5, 1.5 \rangle \cdot \langle 3, 1 \rangle = 0.$$

Since the dot product is 0, we know $\vec{z} \perp \vec{v}$. Thus:

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{u} &= \text{proj}_{\vec{v}} \vec{u} + (\vec{u} - \text{proj}_{\vec{v}} \vec{u}) \\ \langle -2, 1 \rangle &= \underbrace{\langle -1.5, -0.5 \rangle}_{\parallel \vec{v}} + \underbrace{\langle -0.5, 1.5 \rangle}_{\perp \vec{v}}. \end{aligned}$$

2. We found in Example 36 that $\text{proj}_{\vec{x}} \vec{w} = \langle 2, 2, 2 \rangle$. Applying the Key Idea, we have:

$$\vec{z} = \vec{w} - \text{proj}_{\vec{x}} \vec{w} = \langle 2, 1, 3 \rangle - \langle 2, 2, 2 \rangle = \langle 0, -1, 1 \rangle.$$

We check to see if $\vec{z} \perp \vec{x}$:

$$\vec{z} \cdot \vec{x} = \langle 0, -1, 1 \rangle \cdot \langle 1, 1, 1 \rangle = 0.$$

Since the dot product is 0, we know the two vectors are orthogonal. We now write \vec{w} as the sum of two vectors, one parallel and one orthogonal to \vec{x} :

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{w} &= \text{proj}_{\vec{x}} \vec{w} + (\vec{w} - \text{proj}_{\vec{x}} \vec{w}) \\ \langle 2, 1, 3 \rangle &= \underbrace{\langle 2, 2, 2 \rangle}_{\parallel \vec{x}} + \underbrace{\langle 0, -1, 1 \rangle}_{\perp \vec{x}} \end{aligned}$$

We give an example of where this decomposition is useful.

Example 38 Orthogonally decomposing a force vector

Consider Figure 2.25(a), showing a box weighing 50lb on a ramp that rises 5ft over a span of 20ft. Find the components of force, and their magnitudes, acting on the box (as sketched in part (b) of the figure):

1. in the direction of the ramp, and
2. orthogonal to the ramp.

SOLUTION As the ramp rises 5ft over a horizontal distance of 20ft, we can represent the direction of the ramp with the vector $\vec{r} = \langle 20, 5 \rangle$. Gravity pulls down with a force of 50lb, which we represent with $\vec{g} = \langle 0, -50 \rangle$.

1. To find the force of gravity in the direction of the ramp, we compute $\text{proj}_{\vec{r}} \vec{g}$:





Figure 2.25: Sketching the ramp and box in Example 38. Note: *The vectors are not drawn to scale.*

$$\begin{aligned}\text{proj}_{\vec{r}} \vec{g} &= \frac{\vec{g} \cdot \vec{r}}{\vec{r} \cdot \vec{r}} \vec{r} \\ &= \frac{-250}{425} \langle 20, 5 \rangle \\ &= \left\langle -\frac{200}{17}, -\frac{50}{17} \right\rangle \approx \langle -11.76, -2.94 \rangle.\end{aligned}$$

The magnitude of $\text{proj}_{\vec{r}} \vec{g}$ is $\| \text{proj}_{\vec{r}} \vec{g} \| = 50/\sqrt{17} \approx 12.13\text{lb}$. Though the box weighs 50lb, a force of about 12lb is enough to keep the box from sliding down the ramp.

2. To find the component \vec{z} of gravity orthogonal to the ramp, we use Key Idea 11.

$$\begin{aligned}\vec{z} &= \vec{g} - \text{proj}_{\vec{r}} \vec{g} \\ &= \left\langle \frac{200}{17}, -\frac{800}{17} \right\rangle \approx \langle 11.76, -47.06 \rangle.\end{aligned}$$

The magnitude of this force is $\| \vec{z} \| \approx 48.51\text{lb}$. In physics and engineering, knowing this force is important when computing things like static frictional force. (For instance, we could easily compute if the static frictional force alone was enough to keep the box from sliding down the ramp.)

Application to Work

In physics, the application of a force F to move an object in a straight line a distance d produces *work*; the amount of work W is $W = Fd$, (where F is in the direction of travel). The orthogonal projection allows us to compute work when the force is not in the direction of travel.

Consider Figure 2.26, where a force \vec{F} is being applied to an object moving in the direction of \vec{d} . (The distance the object travels is the magnitude of \vec{d} .) The work done is the amount of force in the direction of \vec{d} , $\|\text{proj}_{\vec{d}} \vec{F}\|$, times $\|\vec{d}\|$:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\text{proj}_{\vec{d}} \vec{F}\| \cdot \|\vec{d}\| &= \left\| \frac{\vec{F} \cdot \vec{d}}{\vec{d} \cdot \vec{d}} \vec{d} \right\| \cdot \|\vec{d}\| \\ &= \left| \frac{\vec{F} \cdot \vec{d}}{\|\vec{d}\|^2} \right| \cdot \|\vec{d}\| \cdot \|\vec{d}\| \\ &= \frac{|\vec{F} \cdot \vec{d}|}{\|\vec{d}\|^2} \|\vec{d}\|^2 \\ &= |\vec{F} \cdot \vec{d}|. \end{aligned}$$

The expression $\vec{F} \cdot \vec{d}$ will be positive if the angle between \vec{F} and \vec{d} is acute; when the angle is obtuse (hence $\vec{F} \cdot \vec{d}$ is negative), the force is causing motion in the opposite direction of \vec{d} , resulting in “negative work.” We want to capture this sign, so we drop the absolute value and find that $W = \vec{F} \cdot \vec{d}$.

Definition 33 Work

Let \vec{F} be a constant force that moves an object in a straight line from point P to point Q . Let $\vec{d} = \vec{P}Q$. The **work** W done by \vec{F} along \vec{d} is $W = \vec{F} \cdot \vec{d}$.

Example 39 Computing work

A man slides a box along a ramp that rises 3ft over a distance of 15ft by applying 50lb of force as shown in Figure 2.27. Compute the work done.

SOLUTION The figure indicates that the force applied makes a 30° angle with the horizontal, so $\vec{F} = 50 \langle \cos 30^\circ, \sin 30^\circ \rangle \approx \langle 43.3, 25 \rangle$. The ramp is represented by $\vec{d} = \langle 15, 3 \rangle$. The work done is simply

$$\vec{F} \cdot \vec{d} = 50 \langle \cos 30^\circ, \sin 30^\circ \rangle \cdot \langle 15, 3 \rangle \approx 724.5 \text{ ft-lb}.$$

Note how we did not actually compute the distance the object traveled, nor the magnitude of the force in the direction of travel; this is all inherently computed by the dot product!

The dot product is a powerful way of evaluating computations that depend on angles without actually using angles. The next section explores another “product” on vectors, the *cross product*. Once again, angles play an important role, though in a much different way.




Figure 2.26: Finding work when the force and direction of travel are given as vectors.




Figure 2.27: Computing work when sliding a box up a ramp in Example 39.

Exercises 2.3

Terms and Concepts

1. The dot product of two vectors is a _____, not a vector.
2. How are the concepts of the dot product and vector magnitude related?
3. How can one quickly tell if the angle between two vectors is acute or obtuse?
4. Give a synonym for “orthogonal.”

Problems

In Exercises 5 – 10, find the dot product of the given vectors.

5. $\vec{u} = \langle 2, -4 \rangle, \vec{v} = \langle 3, 7 \rangle$
6. $\vec{u} = \langle 5, 3 \rangle, \vec{v} = \langle 6, 1 \rangle$
7. $\vec{u} = \langle 1, -1, 2 \rangle, \vec{v} = \langle 2, 5, 3 \rangle$
8. $\vec{u} = \langle 3, 5, -1 \rangle, \vec{v} = \langle 4, -1, 7 \rangle$
9. $\vec{u} = \langle 1, 1 \rangle, \vec{v} = \langle 1, 2, 3 \rangle$
10. $\vec{u} = \langle 1, 2, 3 \rangle, \vec{v} = \langle 0, 0, 0 \rangle$
11. Create your own vectors \vec{u}, \vec{v} and \vec{w} in \mathbb{R}^2 and show that $\vec{u} \cdot (\vec{v} + \vec{w}) = \vec{u} \cdot \vec{v} + \vec{u} \cdot \vec{w}$.
12. Create your own vectors \vec{u} and \vec{v} in \mathbb{R}^3 and scalar c and show that $c(\vec{u} \cdot \vec{v}) = \vec{u} \cdot (c\vec{v})$.

In Exercises 13 – 16, find the measure of the angle between the two vectors in both radians and degrees.

13. $\vec{u} = \langle 1, 1 \rangle, \vec{v} = \langle 1, 2 \rangle$
14. $\vec{u} = \langle -2, 1 \rangle, \vec{v} = \langle 3, 5 \rangle$
15. $\vec{u} = \langle 8, 1, -4 \rangle, \vec{v} = \langle 2, 2, 0 \rangle$
16. $\vec{u} = \langle 1, 7, 2 \rangle, \vec{v} = \langle 4, -2, 5 \rangle$

In Exercises 17 – 20, a vector \vec{v} is given. Give two vectors that are orthogonal to \vec{v} .

17. $\vec{v} = \langle 4, 7 \rangle$
18. $\vec{v} = \langle -3, 5 \rangle$
19. $\vec{v} = \langle 1, 1, 1 \rangle$
20. $\vec{v} = \langle 1, -2, 3 \rangle$

In Exercises 21 – 26, vectors \vec{u} and \vec{v} are given. Find $\text{proj}_{\vec{v}} \vec{u}$, the orthogonal projection of \vec{u} onto \vec{v} , and sketch all three vectors on the same axes.

21. $\vec{u} = \langle 1, 2 \rangle, \vec{v} = \langle -1, 3 \rangle$
22. $\vec{u} = \langle 5, 5 \rangle, \vec{v} = \langle 1, 3 \rangle$
23. $\vec{u} = \langle -3, 2 \rangle, \vec{v} = \langle 1, 1 \rangle$
24. $\vec{u} = \langle -3, 2 \rangle, \vec{v} = \langle 2, 3 \rangle$
25. $\vec{u} = \langle 1, 5, 1 \rangle, \vec{v} = \langle 1, 2, 3 \rangle$
26. $\vec{u} = \langle 3, -1, 2 \rangle, \vec{v} = \langle 2, 2, 1 \rangle$

In Exercises 27 – 32, vectors \vec{u} and \vec{v} are given. Write \vec{u} as the sum of two vectors, one of which is parallel to \vec{v} and one of which is perpendicular to \vec{v} . Note: these are the same pairs of vectors as found in Exercises 21 – 26.

27. $\vec{u} = \langle 1, 2 \rangle, \vec{v} = \langle -1, 3 \rangle$
28. $\vec{u} = \langle 5, 5 \rangle, \vec{v} = \langle 1, 3 \rangle$
29. $\vec{u} = \langle -3, 2 \rangle, \vec{v} = \langle 1, 1 \rangle$
30. $\vec{u} = \langle -3, 2 \rangle, \vec{v} = \langle 2, 3 \rangle$
31. $\vec{u} = \langle 1, 5, 1 \rangle, \vec{v} = \langle 1, 2, 3 \rangle$
32. $\vec{u} = \langle 3, -1, 2 \rangle, \vec{v} = \langle 2, 2, 1 \rangle$
33. A 10lb box sits on a ramp that rises 4ft over a distance of 20ft. How much force is required to keep the box from sliding down the ramp?
34. A 10lb box sits on a 15ft ramp that makes a 30° angle with the horizontal. How much force is required to keep the box from sliding down the ramp?
35. How much work is performed in moving a box horizontally 10ft with a force of 20lb applied at an angle of 45° to the horizontal?
36. How much work is performed in moving a box horizontally 10ft with a force of 20lb applied at an angle of 10° to the horizontal?
37. How much work is performed in moving a box up the length of a ramp that rises 2ft over a distance of 10ft, with a force of 50lb applied horizontally?
38. How much work is performed in moving a box up the length of a ramp that rises 2ft over a distance of 10ft, with a force of 50lb applied at an angle of 45° to the horizontal?
39. How much work is performed in moving a box up the length of a 10ft ramp that makes a 5° angle with the horizontal, with 50lb of force applied in the direction of the ramp?

2.4 The Cross Product

“Orthogonality” is immensely important. A quick scan of your current environment will undoubtedly reveal numerous surfaces and edges that are perpendicular to each other (including the edges of this page). The dot product provides a quick test for orthogonality: vectors \vec{u} and \vec{v} are perpendicular if, and only if, $\vec{u} \cdot \vec{v} = 0$.

Given two non-parallel, nonzero vectors \vec{u} and \vec{v} in space, it is very useful to find a vector \vec{w} that is perpendicular to both \vec{u} and \vec{v} . There is a operation, called the **cross product**, that creates such a vector. This section defines the cross product, then explores its properties and applications.

Definition 34 Cross Product

Let $\vec{u} = \langle u_1, u_2, u_3 \rangle$ and $\vec{v} = \langle v_1, v_2, v_3 \rangle$ be vectors in \mathbb{R}^3 . The **cross product of \vec{u} and \vec{v}** , denoted $\vec{u} \times \vec{v}$, is the vector

$$\vec{u} \times \vec{v} = \langle u_2 v_3 - u_3 v_2, -(u_1 v_3 - u_3 v_1), u_1 v_2 - u_2 v_1 \rangle.$$

This definition can be a bit cumbersome to remember. After an example we will give a convenient method for computing the cross product. For now, careful examination of the products and differences given in the definition should reveal a pattern that is not too difficult to remember. (For instance, in the first component only 2 and 3 appear as subscripts; in the second component, only 1 and 3 appear as subscripts. Further study reveals the order in which they appear.)

Let's practice using this definition by computing a cross product.

Example 40 Computing a cross product

Let $\vec{u} = \langle 2, -1, 4 \rangle$ and $\vec{v} = \langle 3, 2, 5 \rangle$. Find $\vec{u} \times \vec{v}$, and verify that it is orthogonal to both \vec{u} and \vec{v} .

SOLUTION Using Definition 34, we have

$$\vec{u} \times \vec{v} = \langle (-1)5 - (4)2, -(2)5 - (4)3, (2)2 - (-1)3 \rangle = \langle -13, 2, 7 \rangle.$$

(We encourage the reader to compute this product on their own, then verify their result.)

We test whether or not $\vec{u} \times \vec{v}$ is orthogonal to \vec{u} and \vec{v} using the dot product:

$$(\vec{u} \times \vec{v}) \cdot \vec{u} = \langle -13, 2, 7 \rangle \cdot \langle 2, -1, 4 \rangle = 0,$$

$$(\vec{u} \times \vec{v}) \cdot \vec{v} = \langle -13, 2, 7 \rangle \cdot \langle 3, 2, 5 \rangle = 0.$$

Since both dot products are zero, $\vec{u} \times \vec{v}$ is indeed orthogonal to both \vec{u} and \vec{v} .

A convenient method of computing the cross product starts with forming a particular 3×3 matrix, or rectangular array. The first row comprises the standard unit vectors \vec{i}, \vec{j} , and \vec{k} . The second and third rows are the vectors \vec{u} and \vec{v} , respectively. Using \vec{u} and \vec{v} from Example 40, we begin with:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \vec{i} & \vec{j} & \vec{k} \\ 2 & -1 & 4 \\ 3 & 2 & 5 \end{array}$$

Now repeat the first two columns after the original three:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \vec{i} & \vec{j} & \vec{k} & \vec{i} & \vec{j} \\ 2 & -1 & 4 & 2 & -1 \\ 3 & 2 & 5 & 3 & 2 \end{array}$$

This gives three full “upper left to lower right” diagonals, and three full “upper right to lower left” diagonals, as shown. Compute the products along each diagonal, then add the products on the right and subtract the products on the left:

$$\vec{u} \times \vec{v} = (-5\vec{i} + 12\vec{j} + 4\vec{k}) - (-3\vec{k} + 8\vec{i} + 10\vec{j}) = -13\vec{i} + 2\vec{j} + 7\vec{k} = \langle -13, 2, 7 \rangle.$$

We practice using this method.

Example 41 Computing a cross product

Let $\vec{u} = \langle 1, 3, 6 \rangle$ and $\vec{v} = \langle -1, 2, 1 \rangle$. Compute both $\vec{u} \times \vec{v}$ and $\vec{v} \times \vec{u}$.

SOLUTION To compute $\vec{u} \times \vec{v}$, we form the matrix as prescribed above, complete with repeated first columns:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \vec{i} & \vec{j} & \vec{k} & \vec{i} & \vec{j} \\ 1 & 3 & 6 & 1 & 3 \\ -1 & 2 & 1 & -1 & 2 \end{array}$$

We let the reader compute the products of the diagonals; we give the result:

$$\vec{u} \times \vec{v} = (3\vec{i} - 6\vec{j} + 2\vec{k}) - (-3\vec{k} + 12\vec{i} + \vec{j}) = \langle -9, -7, 5 \rangle.$$

To compute $\vec{v} \times \vec{u}$, we switch the second and third rows of the above matrix, then multiply along diagonals and subtract:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \vec{i} & \vec{j} & \vec{k} & \vec{i} & \vec{j} \\ -1 & 2 & 1 & -1 & 2 \\ 1 & 3 & 6 & 1 & 3 \end{array}$$

Note how with the rows being switched, the products that once appeared on the right now appear on the left, and vice-versa. Thus the result is:

$$\vec{v} \times \vec{u} = (12\vec{i} + \vec{j} - 3\vec{k}) - (2\vec{k} + 3\vec{i} - 6\vec{j}) = \langle 9, 7, -5 \rangle,$$

which is the opposite of $\vec{u} \times \vec{v}$. We leave it to the reader to verify that each of these vectors is orthogonal to \vec{u} and \vec{v} .

Properties of the Cross Product

It is not coincidence that $\vec{v} \times \vec{u} = -(\vec{u} \times \vec{v})$ in the preceding example; one can show using Definition 34 that this will always be the case. The following theorem states several useful properties of the cross product, each of which can be verified by referring to the definition.

Theorem 16 Properties of the Cross Product

Let \vec{u} , \vec{v} and \vec{w} be vectors in \mathbb{R}^3 and let c be a scalar. The following identities hold:

1. $\vec{u} \times \vec{v} = -(\vec{v} \times \vec{u})$ Anticommutative Property
2. (a) $(\vec{u} + \vec{v}) \times \vec{w} = \vec{u} \times \vec{w} + \vec{v} \times \vec{w}$ Distributive Properties
(b) $\vec{u} \times (\vec{v} + \vec{w}) = \vec{u} \times \vec{v} + \vec{u} \times \vec{w}$
3. $c(\vec{u} \times \vec{v}) = (c\vec{u}) \times \vec{v} = \vec{u} \times (c\vec{v})$
4. (a) $(\vec{u} \times \vec{v}) \cdot \vec{u} = 0$ Orthogonality Properties
(b) $(\vec{u} \times \vec{v}) \cdot \vec{v} = 0$
5. $\vec{u} \times \vec{u} = \vec{0}$
6. $\vec{u} \times \vec{0} = \vec{0}$
7. $\vec{u} \cdot (\vec{v} \times \vec{w}) = (\vec{u} \times \vec{v}) \cdot \vec{w}$ Triple Scalar Product

We introduced the cross product as a way to find a vector orthogonal to two given vectors, but we did not give a proof that the construction given in Definition 34 satisfies this property. Theorem 16 asserts this property holds; we leave it as a problem in the Exercise section to verify this.

Property 5 from the theorem is also left to the reader to prove in the Exercise section, but it reveals something more interesting than “the cross product of a vector with itself is $\vec{0}$. ” Let \vec{u} and \vec{v} be parallel vectors; that is, let there be a scalar c such that $\vec{v} = c\vec{u}$. Consider their cross product:

$$\begin{aligned}\vec{u} \times \vec{v} &= \vec{u} \times (c\vec{u}) \\ &= c(\vec{u} \times \vec{u}) \quad (\text{by Property 3 of Theorem 16}) \\ &= \vec{0}. \quad (\text{by Property 5 of Theorem 16})\end{aligned}$$

We have just shown that the cross product of parallel vectors is $\vec{0}$. This hints at something deeper. Theorem 15 related the angle between two vectors and their dot product; there is a similar relationship relating the cross product of two vectors and the angle between them, given by the following theorem.

Theorem 17 The Cross Product and Angles

Let \vec{u} and \vec{v} be vectors in \mathbb{R}^3 . Then

$$\|\vec{u} \times \vec{v}\| = \|\vec{u}\| \|\vec{v}\| \sin \theta,$$

where θ , $0 \leq \theta \leq \pi$, is the angle between \vec{u} and \vec{v} .

Note that this theorem makes a statement about the *magnitude* of the cross product. When the angle between \vec{u} and \vec{v} is 0 or π (i.e., the vectors are parallel), the magnitude of the cross product is 0 . The only vector with a magnitude of 0 is $\vec{0}$ (see Property 9 of Theorem 13), hence the cross product of parallel vectors is $\vec{0}$.

We demonstrate the truth of this theorem in the following example.

Note: Definition 31 (through Theorem 15) defines \vec{u} and \vec{v} to be orthogonal if $\vec{u} \cdot \vec{v} = 0$. We could use Theorem 17 to define \vec{u} and \vec{v} are parallel if $\vec{u} \times \vec{v} = 0$. By such a definition, $\vec{0}$ would be both orthogonal and parallel to every vector. Apparent paradoxes such as this are not uncommon in mathematics and can be very useful. (See also the marginal note on page 81.)

Example 42 The cross product and angles

Let $\vec{u} = \langle 1, 3, 6 \rangle$ and $\vec{v} = \langle -1, 2, 1 \rangle$ as in Example 41. Verify Theorem 17 by finding θ , the angle between \vec{u} and \vec{v} , and the magnitude of $\vec{u} \times \vec{v}$.

SOLUTION

We use Theorem 15 to find the angle between \vec{u} and \vec{v} .

$$\begin{aligned}\theta &= \cos^{-1} \left(\frac{\vec{u} \cdot \vec{v}}{\|\vec{u}\| \|\vec{v}\|} \right) \\ &= \cos^{-1} \left(\frac{11}{\sqrt{46}\sqrt{6}} \right) \\ &\approx 0.8471 = 48.54^\circ.\end{aligned}$$

Our work in Example 41 showed that $\vec{u} \times \vec{v} = \langle -9, -7, 5 \rangle$, hence $\|\vec{u} \times \vec{v}\| = \sqrt{155}$. Is $\|\vec{u} \times \vec{v}\| = \|\vec{u}\| \|\vec{v}\| \sin \theta$? Using numerical approximations, we find:

$$\begin{aligned}\|\vec{u} \times \vec{v}\| &= \sqrt{155} & \|\vec{u}\| \|\vec{v}\| \sin \theta &= \sqrt{46}\sqrt{6} \sin 0.8471 \\ &\approx 12.45. & &\approx 12.45.\end{aligned}$$

Numerically, they seem equal. Using a right triangle, one can show that

$$\sin \left(\cos^{-1} \left(\frac{11}{\sqrt{46}\sqrt{6}} \right) \right) = \frac{\sqrt{155}}{\sqrt{46}\sqrt{6}},$$

which allows us to verify the theorem exactly.

Right Hand Rule


The anticommutative property of the cross product demonstrates that $\vec{u} \times \vec{v}$ and $\vec{v} \times \vec{u}$ differ only by a sign – these vectors have the same magnitude but point in the opposite direction. When seeking a vector perpendicular to \vec{u} and \vec{v} , we essentially have two directions to choose from, one in the direction of $\vec{u} \times \vec{v}$ and one in the direction of $\vec{v} \times \vec{u}$. Does it matter which we choose? How can we tell which one we will get without graphing, etc.?

Another wonderful property of the cross product, as defined, is that it follows the **right hand rule**. Given \vec{u} and \vec{v} in \mathbb{R}^3 with the same initial point, point the index finger of your right hand in the direction of \vec{u} and let your middle finger point in the direction of \vec{v} (much as we did when establishing the right hand rule for the 3-dimensional coordinate system). Your thumb will naturally extend in the direction of $\vec{u} \times \vec{v}$. One can “practice” this using Figure 2.28. If you switch, and point the index finger in the direction of \vec{v} and the middle finger in the direction of \vec{u} , your thumb will now point in the opposite direction, allowing you to “visualize” the anticommutative property of the cross product.

Applications of the Cross Product

There are a number of ways in which the cross product is useful in mathematics, physics and other areas of science beyond “just” finding a vector perpendicular to two others. We highlight a few here.

Figure 2.28: Illustrating the Right Hand Rule of the cross product.



Area of a Parallelogram

It is a standard geometry fact that the area of a parallelogram is $A = bh$, where b is the length of the base and h is the height of the parallelogram, as illustrated in Figure 2.29(a). As shown when defining the Parallelogram Law of vector addition, two vectors \vec{u} and \vec{v} define a parallelogram when drawn from the same initial point, as illustrated in Figure 2.29(b). Trigonometry tells us that $h = \|\vec{u}\| \sin \theta$, hence the area of the parallelogram is

$$A = \|\vec{u}\| \|\vec{v}\| \sin \theta = \|\vec{u} \times \vec{v}\|, \quad (2.4)$$

where the second equality comes from Theorem 17. We illustrate using Equation (2.4) in the following example.

Example 43 Finding the area of a parallelogram

- Find the area of the parallelogram defined by the vectors $\vec{u} = \langle 2, 1 \rangle$ and $\vec{v} = \langle 1, 3 \rangle$.
- Verify that the points $A = (1, 1, 1)$, $B = (2, 3, 2)$, $C = (4, 5, 3)$ and $D = (3, 3, 2)$ are the vertices of a parallelogram. Find the area of the parallelogram.

SOLUTION

- Figure 2.30(a) sketches the parallelogram defined by the vectors \vec{u} and \vec{v} . We have a slight problem in that our vectors exist in \mathbb{R}^2 , not \mathbb{R}^3 , and the cross product is only defined on vectors in \mathbb{R}^3 . We skirt this issue by viewing \vec{u} and \vec{v} as vectors in the $x-y$ plane of \mathbb{R}^3 , and rewrite them as $\vec{u} = \langle 2, 1, 0 \rangle$ and $\vec{v} = \langle 1, 3, 0 \rangle$. We can now compute the cross product. It is easy to show that $\vec{u} \times \vec{v} = \langle 0, 0, 5 \rangle$; therefore the area of the parallelogram is $A = \|\vec{u} \times \vec{v}\| = 5$.
- To show that the quadrilateral $ABCD$ is a parallelogram (shown in Figure 2.30(b)), we need to show that the opposite sides are parallel. We can quickly show that $\vec{v}AB = \vec{v}DC = \langle 1, 2, 1 \rangle$ and $\vec{v}BC = \vec{v}AD = \langle 2, 2, 1 \rangle$. We find the area by computing the magnitude of the cross product of $\vec{v}AB$ and $\vec{v}BC$:

$$\vec{v}AB \times \vec{v}BC = \langle 0, 1, -2 \rangle \Rightarrow \|\vec{v}AB \times \vec{v}BC\| = \sqrt{5} \approx 2.236.$$


This application is perhaps more useful in finding the area of a triangle (in short, triangles are used more often than parallelograms). We illustrate this in the following example.

Example 44 Area of a triangle


Find the area of the triangle with vertices $A = (1, 2)$, $B = (2, 3)$ and $C = (3, 1)$, as pictured in Figure 2.31.

SOLUTION We found the area of this triangle in Example 202 to be 1.5 using integration. There we discussed the fact that finding the area of a triangle can be inconvenient using the “ $\frac{1}{2}bh$ ” formula as one has to compute the height, which generally involves finding angles, etc. Using a cross product is much more direct.

We can choose any two sides of the triangle to use to form vectors; we choose $\vec{v}AB = \langle 1, 1 \rangle$ and $\vec{v}AC = \langle 2, -1 \rangle$. As in the previous example, we will




(a)




(b)

Figure 2.29: Using the cross product to find the area of a parallelogram.



(a)



(b)

Figure 2.30: Sketching the parallelograms in Example 43.




Figure 2.31: Finding the area of a triangle in Example 44.

Note: The word “parallelepiped” is pronounced “parallel-eh-pipe-ed.”




Figure 2.32: A parallelepiped is the three dimensional analogue to the parallelogram.




Figure 2.33: A parallelepiped in Example 45.

rewrite these vectors with a third component of 0 so that we can apply the cross product. The area of the triangle is

$$\frac{1}{2} \|\vec{v}_{AB} \times \vec{v}_{AC}\| = \frac{1}{2} \|\langle 1, 1, 0 \rangle \times \langle 2, -1, 0 \rangle\| = \frac{1}{2} \|\langle 0, 0, -3 \rangle\| = \frac{3}{2}.$$

We arrive at the same answer as before with less work.

Volume of a Parallelepiped

The three dimensional analogue to the parallelogram is the **parallelepiped**. Each face is parallel to the face opposite face, as illustrated in Figure 2.32. By crossing \vec{v} and \vec{w} , one gets a vector whose magnitude is the area of the base. Dotting this vector with \vec{u} computes the volume of parallelepiped! (Up to a sign; take the absolute value.)

Thus the volume of a parallelepiped defined by vectors \vec{u} , \vec{v} and \vec{w} is

$$V = |\vec{u} \cdot (\vec{v} \times \vec{w})|. \quad (2.5)$$

Note how this is the Triple Scalar Product, first seen in Theorem 16. Applying the identities given in the theorem shows that we can apply the Triple Scalar Product in any “order” we choose to find the volume. That is,

$$V = |\vec{u} \cdot (\vec{v} \times \vec{w})| = |\vec{u} \cdot (\vec{w} \times \vec{v})| = |(\vec{u} \times \vec{v}) \cdot \vec{w}|, \quad \text{etc.}$$

Example 45 Finding the volume of parallelepiped

Find the volume of the parallelepiped defined by the vectors $\vec{u} = \langle 1, 1, 0 \rangle$, $\vec{v} = \langle -1, 1, 0 \rangle$ and $\vec{w} = \langle 0, 1, 1 \rangle$.

SOLUTION

Then

$$|\vec{u} \cdot (\vec{v} \times \vec{w})| = |\langle 1, 1, 0 \rangle \cdot \langle 1, 1, -1 \rangle| = 2.$$

So the volume of the parallelepiped is 2 cubic units.

While this application of the Triple Scalar Product is interesting, it is not used all that often: parallelepipeds are not a common shape in physics and engineering. The last application of the cross product is very applicable in engineering.

Torque

Torque is a measure of the turning force applied to an object. A classic scenario involving torque is the application of a wrench to a bolt. When a force is applied to the wrench, the bolt turns. When we represent the force and wrench with vectors \vec{F} and \vec{l} , we see that the bolt moves (because of the threads) in a direction orthogonal to \vec{F} and \vec{l} . Torque is usually represented by the Greek letter τ , or tau, and has units of N·m, a Newton-meter, or ft·lb, a foot-pound.

While a full understanding of torque is beyond the purposes of this book, when a force \vec{F} is applied to a lever arm \vec{l} , the resulting torque is

$$\vec{\tau} = \vec{l} \times \vec{F}. \quad (2.6)$$

Example 46 Computing torque

A lever of length 2ft makes an angle with the horizontal of 45° . Find the resulting torque when a force of 10lb is applied to the end of the level where:

1. the force is perpendicular to the lever, and
2. the force makes an angle of 60° with the lever, as shown in Figure 2.34.

SOLUTION

1. We start by determining vectors for the force and lever arm. Since the lever arm makes a 45° angle with the horizontal and is 2ft long, we can state that $\vec{\ell} = 2 \langle \cos 45^\circ, \sin 45^\circ \rangle = \langle \sqrt{2}, \sqrt{2} \rangle$.

Since the force vector is perpendicular to the lever arm (as seen in the left hand side of Figure 2.34), we can conclude it is making an angle of -45° with the horizontal. As it has a magnitude of 10lb, we can state $\vec{F} = 10 \langle \cos(-45^\circ), \sin(-45^\circ) \rangle = \langle 5\sqrt{2}, -5\sqrt{2} \rangle$.

Using Equation (2.6) to find the torque requires a cross product. We again let the third component of each vector be 0 and compute the cross product:

$$\begin{aligned}\vec{\tau} &= \vec{\ell} \times \vec{F} \\ &= \langle \sqrt{2}, \sqrt{2}, 0 \rangle \times \langle 5\sqrt{2}, -5\sqrt{2}, 0 \rangle \\ &= \langle 0, 0, -20 \rangle\end{aligned}$$

This clearly has a magnitude of 20 ft-lb.

We can view the force and lever arm vectors as lying “on the page”; our computation of $\vec{\tau}$ shows that the torque goes “into the page.” This follows the Right Hand Rule of the cross product, and it also matches well with the example of the wrench turning the bolt. Turning a bolt clockwise moves it in.

2. Our lever arm can still be represented by $\vec{\ell} = \langle \sqrt{2}, \sqrt{2} \rangle$. As our force vector makes a 60° angle with $\vec{\ell}$, we can see (referencing the right hand side of the figure) that \vec{F} makes a -15° angle with the horizontal. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}\vec{F} &= 10 \langle \cos -15^\circ, \sin -15^\circ \rangle = \left\langle \frac{5(1 + \sqrt{3})}{\sqrt{2}}, -\frac{5(1 + \sqrt{3})}{\sqrt{2}} \right\rangle \\ &\approx \langle 9.659, -2.588 \rangle.\end{aligned}$$

We again make the third component 0 and take the cross product to find the torque:

$$\begin{aligned}\vec{\tau} &= \vec{\ell} \times \vec{F} \\ &= \langle \sqrt{2}, \sqrt{2}, 0 \rangle \times \left\langle \frac{5(1 + \sqrt{3})}{\sqrt{2}}, -\frac{5(1 + \sqrt{3})}{\sqrt{2}}, 0 \right\rangle \\ &= \langle 0, 0, -10\sqrt{3} \rangle \\ &\approx \langle 0, 0, -17.321 \rangle.\end{aligned}$$

As one might expect, when the force and lever arm vectors are orthogonal, the magnitude of force is greater than when the vectors are not orthogonal.

While the cross product has a variety of applications (as noted in this chapter), its fundamental use is finding a vector perpendicular to two others. Knowing a vector is orthogonal to two others is of incredible importance, as it allows us to find the equations of lines and planes in a variety of contexts. The importance of the cross product, in some sense, relies on the importance of lines and planes, which see widespread use throughout engineering, physics and mathematics. We study lines and planes in the next two sections.




Figure 2.34: Showing a force being applied to a lever in Example 46.

Exercises 2.4

Terms and Concepts

1. The cross product of two vectors is a _____, not a scalar.
2. One can visualize the direction of $\vec{u} \times \vec{v}$ using the _____.
3. Give a synonym for “orthogonal.”
4. T/F: A fundamental principle of the cross product is that $\vec{u} \times \vec{v}$ is orthogonal to \vec{u} and \vec{v} .
5. _____ is a measure of the turning force applied to an object.

Problems

In Exercises 6 – 14, vectors \vec{u} and \vec{v} are given. Compute $\vec{u} \times \vec{v}$ and show this is orthogonal to both \vec{u} and \vec{v} .

6. $\vec{u} = \langle 3, 2, -2 \rangle, \quad \vec{v} = \langle 0, 1, 5 \rangle$
7. $\vec{u} = \langle 5, -4, 3 \rangle, \quad \vec{v} = \langle 2, -5, 1 \rangle$
8. $\vec{u} = \langle 4, -5, -5 \rangle, \quad \vec{v} = \langle 3, 3, 4 \rangle$
9. $\vec{u} = \langle -4, 7, -10 \rangle, \quad \vec{v} = \langle 4, 4, 1 \rangle$
10. $\vec{u} = \langle 1, 0, 1 \rangle, \quad \vec{v} = \langle 5, 0, 7 \rangle$
11. $\vec{u} = \langle 1, 5, -4 \rangle, \quad \vec{v} = \langle -2, -10, 8 \rangle$
12. $\vec{u} = \vec{i}, \quad \vec{v} = \vec{j}$
13. $\vec{u} = \vec{i}, \quad \vec{v} = \vec{k}$
14. $\vec{u} = \vec{j}, \quad \vec{v} = \vec{k}$

15. Pick any vectors \vec{u}, \vec{v} and \vec{w} in \mathbb{R}^3 and show that $\vec{u} \times (\vec{v} + \vec{w}) = \vec{u} \times \vec{v} + \vec{u} \times \vec{w}$.
16. Pick any vectors \vec{u}, \vec{v} and \vec{w} in \mathbb{R}^3 and show that $\vec{u} \cdot (\vec{v} \times \vec{w}) = (\vec{u} \times \vec{v}) \cdot \vec{w}$.

In Exercises 17 – 20, the magnitudes of vectors \vec{u} and \vec{v} in \mathbb{R}^3 are given, along with the angle θ between them. Use this information to find the magnitude of $\vec{u} \times \vec{v}$.

17. $\|\vec{u}\| = 2, \quad \|\vec{v}\| = 5, \quad \theta = 30^\circ$
18. $\|\vec{u}\| = 3, \quad \|\vec{v}\| = 7, \quad \theta = \pi/2$
19. $\|\vec{u}\| = 3, \quad \|\vec{v}\| = 4, \quad \theta = \pi$
20. $\|\vec{u}\| = 2, \quad \|\vec{v}\| = 5, \quad \theta = 5\pi/6$

In Exercises 21 – 24, find the area of the parallelogram defined by the given vectors.

21. $\vec{u} = \langle 1, 1, 2 \rangle, \quad \vec{v} = \langle 2, 0, 3 \rangle$
22. $\vec{u} = \langle -2, 1, 5 \rangle, \quad \vec{v} = \langle -1, 3, 1 \rangle$
23. $\vec{u} = \langle 1, 2 \rangle, \quad \vec{v} = \langle 2, 1 \rangle$
24. $\vec{u} = \langle 2, 0 \rangle, \quad \vec{v} = \langle 0, 3 \rangle$

In Exercises 25 – 28, find the area of the triangle with the given vertices.

25. Vertices: $(0, 0, 0), (1, 3, -1)$ and $(2, 1, 1)$.
26. Vertices: $(5, 2, -1), (3, 6, 2)$ and $(1, 0, 4)$.
27. Vertices: $(1, 1), (1, 3)$ and $(2, 2)$.
28. Vertices: $(3, 1), (1, 2)$ and $(4, 3)$.

In Exercises 29 – 30, find the area of the quadrilateral with the given vertices. (Hint: break the quadrilateral into 2 triangles.)

29. Vertices: $(0, 0), (1, 2), (3, 0)$ and $(4, 3)$.
30. Vertices: $(0, 0, 0), (2, 1, 1), (-1, 2, -8)$ and $(1, -1, 5)$.

In Exercises 31 – 32, find the volume of the parallelepiped defined by the given vectors.

31. $\vec{u} = \langle 1, 1, 1 \rangle, \quad \vec{v} = \langle 1, 2, 3 \rangle, \quad \vec{w} = \langle 1, 0, 1 \rangle$
32. $\vec{u} = \langle -1, 2, 1 \rangle, \quad \vec{v} = \langle 2, 2, 1 \rangle, \quad \vec{w} = \langle 3, 1, 3 \rangle$

In Exercises 33 – 36, find a unit vector orthogonal to both \vec{u} and \vec{v} .

33. $\vec{u} = \langle 1, 1, 1 \rangle, \quad \vec{v} = \langle 2, 0, 1 \rangle$
34. $\vec{u} = \langle 1, -2, 1 \rangle, \quad \vec{v} = \langle 3, 2, 1 \rangle$
35. $\vec{u} = \langle 5, 0, 2 \rangle, \quad \vec{v} = \langle -3, 0, 7 \rangle$

36. $\vec{u} = \langle 1, -2, 1 \rangle, \quad \vec{v} = \langle -2, 4, -2 \rangle$
37. A bicycle rider applies 150lb of force, straight down, onto a pedal that extends 7in horizontally from the crankshaft. Find the magnitude of the torque applied to the crankshaft.
38. A bicycle rider applies 150lb of force, straight down, onto a pedal that extends 7in from the crankshaft, making a 30° angle with the horizontal. Find the magnitude of the torque applied to the crankshaft.

39. To turn a stubborn bolt, 80lb of force is applied to a 10in wrench. What is the maximum amount of torque that can be applied to the bolt?
40. To turn a stubborn bolt, 80lb of force is applied to a 10in wrench in a confined space, where the direction of applied force makes a 10° angle with the wrench. How much torque is subsequently applied to the wrench?
41. Show, using the definition of the Cross Product, that $\vec{u} \cdot (\vec{u} \times \vec{v}) = 0$; that is, that \vec{u} is orthogonal to the cross product of \vec{u} and \vec{v} .
42. Show, using the definition of the Cross Product, that $\vec{u} \times \vec{u} = \vec{0}$.

2.5 Lines

To find the equation of a line in the x - y plane, we need two pieces of information: a point and the slope. The slope conveys *direction* information. As vertical lines have an undefined slope, the following statement is more accurate:

To define a line, one needs a point on the line and the direction of the line.

This holds true for lines in space.




Figure 2.36: Defining a line in space.

Let P be a point in space, let \vec{p} be the vector with initial point at the origin and terminal point at P (i.e., \vec{p} “points” to P), and let \vec{d} be a vector. Consider the points on the line through P in the direction of \vec{d} .

Clearly one point on the line is P ; we can say that the vector \vec{p} lies at this point on the line. To find another point on the line, we can start at \vec{p} and move in a direction parallel to \vec{d} . For instance, starting at \vec{p} and traveling one length of \vec{d} places one at another point on the line. Consider Figure 2.36 where certain points along the line are indicated.

The figure illustrates how every point on the line can be obtained by starting with \vec{p} and moving a certain distance in the direction of \vec{d} . That is, we can define the line as a function of t :

$$\ell(t) = \vec{p} + t\vec{d}. \quad (2.7)$$

In many ways, this is *not* a new concept. Compare Equation (2.7) to the familiar “ $y = mx + b$ ” equation of a line:




Figure 2.35: Understanding the vector equation of a line.

The equations exhibit the same structure: they give a starting point, define a direction, and state how far in that direction to travel.

Equation (2.7) is an example of a **vector-valued function**; the input of the function is a real number and the output is a vector. We will cover vector-valued functions extensively in the next chapter.

There are other ways to represent a line. Let $\vec{p} = \langle x_0, y_0, z_0 \rangle$ and let $\vec{d} = \langle a, b, c \rangle$. Then the equation of the line through \vec{p} in the direction of \vec{d} is:

$$\begin{aligned}\ell(t) &= \vec{p} + t\vec{d} \\ &= \langle x_0, y_0, z_0 \rangle + t \langle a, b, c \rangle \\ &= \langle x_0 + at, y_0 + bt, z_0 + ct \rangle.\end{aligned}$$

The last line states the the x values of the line are given by $x = x_0 + at$, the y values are given by $y = y_0 + bt$, and the z values are given by $z = z_0 + ct$. These three equations, taken together, are the **parametric equations of the line** through \vec{p} in the direction of \vec{d} .

Finally, each of the equations for x , y and z above contain the variable t . We can solve for t in each equation:

$$\begin{aligned}x = x_0 + at &\Rightarrow t = \frac{x - x_0}{a}, \\y = y_0 + bt &\Rightarrow t = \frac{y - y_0}{b}, \\z = z_0 + ct &\Rightarrow t = \frac{z - z_0}{c},\end{aligned}$$

assuming $a, b, c \neq 0$. Since t is equal to each expression on the right, we can set these equal to each other, forming the **symmetric equations of the line** through \vec{p} in the direction of \vec{d} :

$$\frac{x - x_0}{a} = \frac{y - y_0}{b} = \frac{z - z_0}{c}.$$

Each representation has its own advantages, depending on the context. We summarize these three forms in the following definition, then give examples of their use.

Definition 35 Equations of Lines in Space

Consider the line in space that passes through $\vec{p} = \langle x_0, y_0, z_0 \rangle$ in the direction of $\vec{d} = \langle a, b, c \rangle$.

1. The **vector equation** of the line is

$$\vec{\ell}(t) = \vec{p} + t\vec{d}.$$

2. The **parametric equations** of the line are

$$x = x_0 + at, \quad y = y_0 + bt, \quad z = z_0 + ct.$$

3. The **symmetric equations** of the line are

$$\frac{x - x_0}{a} = \frac{y - y_0}{b} = \frac{z - z_0}{c}.$$




Figure 2.37: Graphing a line in Example 47.

Example 47 Finding the equation of a line

Give all three equations, as given in Definition 35, of the line through $P = (2, 3, 1)$ in the direction of $\vec{d} = \langle -1, 1, 2 \rangle$. Does the point $Q = (-1, 6, 6)$ lie on this line?

SOLUTION We identify the point $P = (2, 3, 1)$ with the vector $\vec{p} = \langle 2, 3, 1 \rangle$. Following the definition, we have

- the vector equation of the line is $\vec{\ell}(t) = \langle 2, 3, 1 \rangle + t \langle -1, 1, 2 \rangle$;
- the parametric equations of the line are

$$x = 2 - t, \quad y = 3 + t, \quad z = 1 + 2t; \text{ and}$$

- the symmetric equations of the line are

$$\frac{x - 2}{-1} = \frac{y - 3}{1} = \frac{z - 1}{2}.$$

The first two equations of the line are useful when a t value is given: one can immediately find the corresponding point on the line. These forms are good when calculating with a computer; most software programs easily handle equations in these formats. (For instance, to make Figure 2.37, a certain graphics program was given the input $(2-x, 3+x, 1+2*x)$. This particular program requires the variable always be “ x ” instead of “ t ”).

Does the point $Q = (-1, 6, 6)$ lie on the line? The graph in Figure 2.37 makes it clear that it does not. We can answer this question without the graph using any of the three equation forms. Of the three, the symmetric equations are probably best suited for this task. Simply plug in the values of x , y and z and see if equality is maintained:

$$\frac{-1 - 2}{-1} = \frac{6 - 3}{1} = \frac{6 - 1}{2} \Rightarrow 3 = 3 \neq 2.5.$$

We see that Q does not lie on the line as it did not satisfy the symmetric equations.

Example 48 Finding the equation of a line through two points

Find the parametric equations of the line through the points $P = (2, -1, 2)$ and $Q = (1, 3, -1)$.

SOLUTION Recall the statement made at the beginning of this section: to find the equation of a line, we need a point and a direction. We have two points; either one will suffice. The direction of the line can be found by the vector with initial point P and terminal point Q : $\vec{v}_{PQ} = \langle -1, 4, -3 \rangle$.

The parametric equations of the line ℓ through P in the direction of \vec{v}_{PQ} are:

$$\ell : x = 2 - t \quad y = -1 + 4t \quad z = 2 - 3t.$$

A graph of the points and line are given in Figure 2.38. Note how in the given parametrization of the line, $t = 0$ corresponds to the point P , and $t = 1$ corresponds to the point Q . This relates to the understanding of the vector equation of a line described in Figure 2.35. The parametric equations “start” at the point P , and t determines how far in the direction of \vec{v}_{PQ} to travel. When $t = 0$, we travel 0 lengths of \vec{v}_{PQ} ; when $t = 1$, we travel one length of \vec{v}_{PQ} , resulting in the point Q .

Parallel, Intersecting and Skew Lines

In the plane, two *distinct* lines can either be parallel or they will intersect at exactly one point. In space, given equations of two lines, it can sometimes be difficult to tell whether the lines are distinct or not (i.e., the same line can be represented in different ways). Given lines $\vec{\ell}_1(t) = \vec{p}_1 + t\vec{d}_1$ and $\vec{\ell}_2(t) = \vec{p}_2 + t\vec{d}_2$, we have four possibilities: $\vec{\ell}_1$ and $\vec{\ell}_2$ are

the same line	they share all points;
intersecting lines	share only 1 point;
parallel lines	$\vec{d}_1 \parallel \vec{d}_2$, no points in common; or
skew lines	$\vec{d}_1 \not\parallel \vec{d}_2$, no points in common.

The next two examples investigate these possibilities.




Figure 2.38: A graph of the line in Example 48.

Example 49 Comparing lines

Consider lines ℓ_1 and ℓ_2 , given in parametric equation form:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \ell_1: & \begin{aligned} x &= 1 + 3t \\ y &= 2 - t \\ z &= t \end{aligned} & \ell_2: & \begin{aligned} x &= -2 + 4s \\ y &= 3 + s \\ z &= 5 + 2s. \end{aligned} \end{array}$$

Determine whether ℓ_1 and ℓ_2 are the same line, intersect, are parallel, or skew.

SOLUTION We start by looking at the directions of each line. Line ℓ_1 has the direction given by $\vec{d}_1 = \langle 3, -1, 1 \rangle$ and line ℓ_2 has the direction given by $\vec{d}_2 = \langle 4, 1, 2 \rangle$. It should be clear that \vec{d}_1 and \vec{d}_2 are not parallel, hence ℓ_1 and ℓ_2 are not the same line, nor are they parallel. Figure 2.39 verifies this fact (where the points and directions indicated by the equations of each line are identified).

We next check to see if they intersect (if they do not, they are skew lines). To find if they intersect, we look for t and s values such that the respective x , y and z values are the same. That is, we want s and t such that:

$$\begin{aligned} 1 + 3t &= -2 + 4s \\ 2 - t &= 3 + s \\ t &= 5 + 2s. \end{aligned}$$

This is a relatively simple system of linear equations. Since the last equation is already solved for t , substitute that value of t into the equation above it:

$$2 - (5 + 2s) = 3 + s \Rightarrow s = -2, t = 1.$$

A key to remember is that we have *three* equations; we need to check if $s = -2, t = 1$ satisfies the first equation as well:

$$1 + 3(1) \neq -2 + 4(-2).$$

It does not. Therefore, we conclude that the lines ℓ_1 and ℓ_2 are skew.

Example 50 Comparing lines

Consider lines ℓ_1 and ℓ_2 , given in parametric equation form:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \ell_1: & \begin{aligned} x &= -0.7 + 1.6t \\ y &= 4.2 + 2.72t \\ z &= 2.3 - 3.36t \end{aligned} & \ell_2: & \begin{aligned} x &= 2.8 - 2.9s \\ y &= 10.15 - 4.93s \\ z &= -5.05 + 6.09s. \end{aligned} \end{array}$$

Determine whether ℓ_1 and ℓ_2 are the same line, intersect, are parallel, or skew.

SOLUTION It is obviously very difficult to simply look at these equations and discern anything. This is done intentionally. In the “real world,” most equations that are used do not have nice, integer coefficients. Rather, there are lots of digits after the decimal and the equations can look “messy.”

We again start by deciding whether or not each line has the same direction. The direction of ℓ_1 is given by $\vec{d}_1 = \langle 1.6, 2.72, -3.36 \rangle$ and the direction of ℓ_2 is given by $\vec{d}_2 = \langle -2.9, -4.93, 6.09 \rangle$. When it is not clear through observation whether two vectors are parallel or not, the standard way of determining this is by comparing their respective unit vectors. Using a calculator, we find:

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{u}_1 &= \frac{\vec{d}_1}{\|\vec{d}_1\|} = \langle 0.3471, 0.5901, -0.7289 \rangle \\ \vec{u}_2 &= \frac{\vec{d}_2}{\|\vec{d}_2\|} = \langle -0.3471, -0.5901, 0.7289 \rangle. \end{aligned}$$




Figure 2.39: Sketching the lines from Example 49.

The two vectors seem to be parallel (at least, their components are equal to 4 decimal places). In most situations, it would suffice to conclude that the lines are at least parallel, if not the same. One way to be sure is to rewrite \vec{d}_1 and \vec{d}_2 in terms of fractions, not decimals. We have

$$\vec{d}_1 = \left\langle \frac{16}{10}, \frac{272}{100}, -\frac{336}{100} \right\rangle \quad \vec{d}_2 = \left\langle -\frac{29}{10}, -\frac{493}{100}, \frac{609}{100} \right\rangle.$$

One can then find the magnitudes of each vector in terms of fractions, then compute the unit vectors likewise. After a lot of manual arithmetic (or after briefly using a computer algebra system), one finds that

$$\vec{u}_1 = \left\langle \sqrt{\frac{10}{83}}, \frac{17}{\sqrt{830}}, -\frac{21}{\sqrt{830}} \right\rangle \quad \vec{u}_2 = \left\langle -\sqrt{\frac{10}{83}}, -\frac{17}{\sqrt{830}}, \frac{21}{\sqrt{830}} \right\rangle.$$

We can now say without equivocation that these lines are parallel.

Are they the same line? The parametric equations for a line describe one point that lies on the line, so we know that the point $P_1 = (-0.7, 4.2, 2.3)$ lies on ℓ_1 . To determine if this point also lies on ℓ_2 , plug in the x, y and z values of P_1 into the symmetric equations for ℓ_2 :

$$\frac{(-0.7) - 2.8}{-2.9} \stackrel{?}{=} \frac{(4.2) - 10.15}{-4.93} \stackrel{?}{=} \frac{(2.3) - (-5.05)}{6.09} \Rightarrow 1.2069 = 1.2069 = 1.2069.$$

The point P_1 lies on both lines, so we conclude they are the same line, just parametrized differently. Figure 2.40 graphs this line along with the points and vectors described by the parametric equations. Note how \vec{d}_1 and \vec{d}_2 are parallel, though point in opposite directions (as indicated by their unit vectors above).




Figure 2.40: Graphing the lines in Example 50.

Distances

Given a point Q and a line $\ell(t) = \vec{p} + t\vec{d}$ in space, it is often useful to know the distance from the point to the line. (Here we use the standard definition of “distance,” i.e., the length of the shortest line segment from the point to the line.) Identifying \vec{p} with the point P , Figure 2.41 will help establish a general method of computing this distance h .

From trigonometry, we know $h = \|\vec{v}_{PQ}\| \sin \theta$. We have a similar identity involving the cross product: $\|\vec{v}_{PQ} \times \vec{d}\| = \|\vec{v}_{PQ}\| \|\vec{d}\| \sin \theta$. Divide both sides of this latter equation by $\|\vec{d}\|$ to obtain h :

$$h = \frac{\|\vec{v}_{PQ} \times \vec{d}\|}{\|\vec{d}\|}. \quad (2.8)$$




Figure 2.41: Establishing the distance from a point to a line.

It is also useful to determine the distance between lines, which we define as the length of the shortest line segment that connects the two lines (an argument from geometry shows that this line segments is perpendicular to both lines). Let lines $\ell_1(t) = \vec{p}_1 + t\vec{d}_1$ and $\ell_2(t) = \vec{p}_2 + t\vec{d}_2$ be given, as shown in Figure 2.42. To find the direction orthogonal to both \vec{d}_1 and \vec{d}_2 , we take the cross product: $\vec{c} = \vec{d}_1 \times \vec{d}_2$. The magnitude of the orthogonal projection of $\vec{v}_{P_1 P_2}$ onto \vec{c} is the

distance h we seek:

$$\begin{aligned} h &= \|\text{proj}_{\vec{c}} \vec{v}P_1P_2\| \\ &= \left\| \frac{\vec{v}P_1P_2 \cdot \vec{c}}{\vec{c} \cdot \vec{c}} \vec{c} \right\| \\ &= \frac{|\vec{v}P_1P_2 \cdot \vec{c}|}{\|\vec{c}\|^2} \|\vec{c}\| \\ &= \frac{|\vec{v}P_1P_2 \cdot \vec{c}|}{\|\vec{c}\|}. \end{aligned}$$

A problem in the Exercise section is to show that this distance is 0 when the lines intersect. Note the use of the Triple Scalar Product: $\vec{v}P_1P_2 \cdot \vec{c} = \vec{v}P_1P_2 \cdot (\vec{d}_1 \times \vec{d}_2)$.

The following Key Idea restates these two distance formulas.

Key Idea 12 Distances to Lines

- Let P be a point on a line ℓ that is parallel to \vec{d} . The distance h from a point Q to the line ℓ is:

$$h = \frac{\|\vec{v}PQ \times \vec{d}\|}{\|\vec{d}\|}.$$

- Let P_1 be a point on line ℓ_1 that is parallel to \vec{d}_1 , and let P_2 be a point on line ℓ_2 parallel to \vec{d}_2 , and let $\vec{c} = \vec{d}_1 \times \vec{d}_2$, where lines ℓ_1 and ℓ_2 are not parallel. The distance h between the two lines is:

$$h = \frac{|\vec{v}P_1P_2 \cdot \vec{c}|}{\|\vec{c}\|}.$$

Example 51 Finding the distance from a point to a line

Find the distance from the point $Q = (1, 1, 3)$ to the line $\vec{\ell}(t) = \langle 1, -1, 1 \rangle + t \langle 2, 3, 1 \rangle$.

SOLUTION The equation of the line gives us the point $P = (1, -1, 1)$ that lies on the line, hence $\vec{v}PQ = \langle 0, 2, 2 \rangle$. The equation also gives $\vec{d} = \langle 2, 3, 1 \rangle$. Following Key Idea 12, we have the distance as

$$\begin{aligned} h &= \frac{\|\vec{v}PQ \times \vec{d}\|}{\|\vec{d}\|} \\ &= \frac{\|\langle -4, 4, -4 \rangle\|}{\sqrt{14}} \\ &= \frac{4\sqrt{3}}{\sqrt{14}} \approx 1.852. \end{aligned}$$

The point Q is approximately 1.852 units from the line $\vec{\ell}(t)$.

Example 52 Finding the distance between lines

Find the distance between the lines

$$\begin{array}{ll} \ell_1: \begin{array}{l} x = 1 + 3t \\ y = 2 - t \\ z = t \end{array} & \ell_2: \begin{array}{l} x = -2 + 4s \\ y = 3 + s \\ z = 5 + 2s. \end{array} \end{array}$$




Figure 2.42: Establishing the distance between lines.

SOLUTION These are the same lines as given in Example 49, where we showed them to be skew. The equations allow us to identify the following points and vectors:

$$P_1 = (1, 2, 0) \quad P_2 = (-2, 3, 5) \quad \Rightarrow \quad \vec{v}P_1P_2 = \langle -3, 1, 5 \rangle.$$

$$\vec{d}_1 = \langle 3, -1, 1 \rangle \quad \vec{d}_2 = \langle 4, 1, 2 \rangle \quad \Rightarrow \quad \vec{c} = \vec{d}_1 \times \vec{d}_2 = \langle -3, -2, 7 \rangle.$$

From Key Idea 12 we have the distance h between the two lines is

$$\begin{aligned} h &= \frac{|\vec{v}P_1P_2 \cdot \vec{c}|}{\|\vec{c}\|} \\ &= \frac{42}{\sqrt{62}} \approx 5.334. \end{aligned}$$

The lines are approximately 5.334 units apart.

One of the key points to understand from this section is this: to describe a line, we need a point and a direction. Whenever a problem is posed concerning a line, one needs to take whatever information is offered and glean point and direction information. Many questions can be asked (and are asked in the Exercise section) whose answer immediately follows from this understanding.

Lines are one of two fundamental objects of study in space. The other fundamental object is the *plane*, which we study in detail in the next section. Many complex three dimensional objects are studied by approximating their surfaces with lines and planes.

Exercises 2.5

Terms and Concepts

- To find an equation of a line, what two pieces of information are needed?
- Two distinct lines in the plane can intersect or be _____.
- Two distinct lines in space can intersect, be _____ or be _____.
- Use your own words to describe what it means for two lines in space to be skew.

Problems

In Exercises 5 – 14, write the vector, parametric and symmetric equations of the lines described.

- Passes through $P = (2, -4, 1)$, parallel to $\vec{d} = \langle 9, 2, 5 \rangle$.
- Passes through $P = (6, 1, 7)$, parallel to $\vec{d} = \langle -3, 2, 5 \rangle$.
- Passes through $P = (2, 1, 5)$ and $Q = (7, -2, 4)$.
- Passes through $P = (1, -2, 3)$ and $Q = (5, 5, 5)$.
- Passes through $P = (0, 1, 2)$ and orthogonal to both $\vec{d}_1 = \langle 2, -1, 7 \rangle$ and $\vec{d}_2 = \langle 7, 1, 3 \rangle$.
- Passes through $P = (5, 1, 9)$ and orthogonal to both $\vec{d}_1 = \langle 1, 0, 1 \rangle$ and $\vec{d}_2 = \langle 2, 0, 3 \rangle$.
- Passes through the point of intersection of $\vec{\ell}_1(t)$ and $\vec{\ell}_2(t)$ and orthogonal to both lines, where
 $\vec{\ell}_1(t) = \langle 2, 1, 1 \rangle + t \langle 5, 1, -2 \rangle$ and
 $\vec{\ell}_2(t) = \langle -2, -1, 2 \rangle + t \langle 3, 1, -1 \rangle$.
- Passes through the point of intersection of $\ell_1(t)$ and $\ell_2(t)$ and orthogonal to both lines, where
 $\ell_1 = \begin{cases} x = t \\ y = -2 + 2t \\ z = 1 + t \end{cases}$ and $\ell_2 = \begin{cases} x = 2 + t \\ y = 2 - t \\ z = 3 + 2t \end{cases}$
- Passes through $P = (1, 1)$, parallel to $\vec{d} = \langle 2, 3 \rangle$.
- Passes through $P = (-2, 5)$, parallel to $\vec{d} = \langle 0, 1 \rangle$.

In Exercises 15 – 22, determine if the described lines are the same line, parallel lines, intersecting or skew lines. If intersecting, give the point of intersection.

- $\vec{\ell}_1(t) = \langle 1, 2, 1 \rangle + t \langle 2, -1, 1 \rangle$,
 $\vec{\ell}_2(t) = \langle 3, 3, 3 \rangle + t \langle -4, 2, -2 \rangle$.

16. $\vec{\ell}_1(t) = \langle 2, 1, 1 \rangle + t \langle 5, 1, 3 \rangle$,
 $\vec{\ell}_2(t) = \langle 14, 5, 9 \rangle + t \langle 1, 1, 1 \rangle$.

17. $\vec{\ell}_1(t) = \langle 3, 4, 1 \rangle + t \langle 2, -3, 4 \rangle$,
 $\vec{\ell}_2(t) = \langle -3, 3, -3 \rangle + t \langle 3, -2, 4 \rangle$.

18. $\vec{\ell}_1(t) = \langle 1, 1, 1 \rangle + t \langle 3, 1, 3 \rangle$,
 $\vec{\ell}_2(t) = \langle 7, 3, 7 \rangle + t \langle 6, 2, 6 \rangle$.

19. $\ell_1 = \begin{cases} x = 1 + 2t \\ y = 3 - 2t \\ z = t \end{cases}$ and $\ell_2 = \begin{cases} x = 3 - t \\ y = 3 + 5t \\ z = 2 + 7t \end{cases}$

20. $\ell_1 = \begin{cases} x = 1.1 + 0.6t \\ y = 3.77 + 0.9t \\ z = -2.3 + 1.5t \end{cases}$ and $\ell_2 = \begin{cases} x = 3.11 + 3.4t \\ y = 2 + 5.1t \\ z = 2.5 + 8.5t \end{cases}$

21. $\ell_1 = \begin{cases} x = 0.2 + 0.6t \\ y = 1.33 - 0.45t \\ z = -4.2 + 1.05t \end{cases}$ and $\ell_2 = \begin{cases} x = 0.86 + 9.2t \\ y = 0.835 - 6.9t \\ z = -3.045 + 16.1t \end{cases}$

22. $\ell_1 = \begin{cases} x = 0.1 + 1.1t \\ y = 2.9 - 1.5t \\ z = 3.2 + 1.6t \end{cases}$ and $\ell_2 = \begin{cases} x = 4 - 2.1t \\ y = 1.8 + 7.2t \\ z = 3.1 + 1.1t \end{cases}$

In Exercises 23 – 26, find the distance from the point to the line.

23. $P = (1, 1, 1)$, $\vec{\ell}(t) = \langle 2, 1, 3 \rangle + t \langle 2, 1, -2 \rangle$

24. $P = (2, 5, 6)$, $\vec{\ell}(t) = \langle -1, 1, 1 \rangle + t \langle 1, 0, 1 \rangle$

25. $P = (0, 3)$, $\vec{\ell}(t) = \langle 2, 0 \rangle + t \langle 1, 1 \rangle$

26. $P = (1, 1)$, $\vec{\ell}(t) = \langle 4, 5 \rangle + t \langle -4, 3 \rangle$

In Exercises 27 – 28, find the distance between the two lines.

27. $\vec{\ell}_1(t) = \langle 1, 2, 1 \rangle + t \langle 2, -1, 1 \rangle$,
 $\vec{\ell}_2(t) = \langle 3, 3, 3 \rangle + t \langle 4, 2, -2 \rangle$.

28. $\vec{\ell}_1(t) = \langle 0, 0, 1 \rangle + t \langle 1, 0, 0 \rangle$,
 $\vec{\ell}_2(t) = \langle 0, 0, 3 \rangle + t \langle 0, 1, 0 \rangle$.

Exercises 29 – 31 explore special cases of the distance formulas found in Key Idea 12.

29. Let Q be a point on the line $\ell(t)$. Show why the distance formula correctly gives the distance from the point to the line as 0.

30. Let lines $\ell_1(t)$ and $\ell_2(t)$ be intersecting lines. Show why the distance formula correctly gives the distance between these lines as 0.

31. Let lines $\ell_1(t)$ and $\ell_2(t)$ be parallel.
- (a) Show why the distance formula for distance between lines cannot be used as stated to find the distance between the lines.
 - (b) Show why letting $c = (\vec{v}P_1P_2 \times \vec{d}_2) \times \vec{d}_2$ allows one to use the formula.
 - (c) Show how one can use the formula for the distance between a point and a line to find the distance between parallel lines.

2.6 Planes

Any flat surface, such as a wall, table top or stiff piece of cardboard can be thought of as representing part of a plane. Consider a piece of cardboard with a point P marked on it. One can take a nail and stick it into the cardboard at P such that the nail is perpendicular to the cardboard; see Figure 2.43

This nail provides a “handle” for the cardboard. Moving the cardboard around moves P to different locations in space. Tilting the nail (but keeping P fixed) tilts the cardboard. Both moving and tilting the cardboard defines a different plane in space. In fact, we can define a plane by: 1) the location of P in space, and 2) the direction of the nail.

The previous section showed that one can define a line given a point on the line and the direction of the line (usually given by a vector). One can make a similar statement about planes: we can define a plane in space given a point on the plane and the direction the plane “faces” (using the description above, the direction of the nail). Once again, the direction information will be supplied by a vector, called a **normal vector**, that is orthogonal to the plane.

What exactly does “orthogonal to the plane” mean? Choose any two points P and Q in the plane, and consider the vector \vec{v}_{PQ} . We say a vector \vec{n} is orthogonal to the plane if \vec{n} is perpendicular to \vec{v}_{PQ} for all choices of P and Q ; that is, if $\vec{n} \cdot \vec{v}_{PQ} = 0$ for all P and Q .

This gives us way of writing an equation describing the plane. Let $P = (x_0, y_0, z_0)$ be a point in the plane and let $\vec{n} = \langle a, b, c \rangle$ be a normal vector to the plane. A point $Q = (x, y, z)$ lies in the plane defined by P and \vec{n} if, and only if, \vec{v}_{PQ} is orthogonal to \vec{n} . Knowing $\vec{v}_{PQ} = \langle x - x_0, y - y_0, z - z_0 \rangle$, consider:

$$\begin{aligned}\vec{v}_{PQ} \cdot \vec{n} &= 0 \\ \langle x - x_0, y - y_0, z - z_0 \rangle \cdot \langle a, b, c \rangle &= 0 \\ a(x - x_0) + b(y - y_0) + c(z - z_0) &= 0\end{aligned}\tag{2.9}$$

Equation (2.9) defines an *implicit* function describing the plane. More algebra produces:

$$ax + by + cz = ax_0 + by_0 + cz_0.$$

The right hand side is just a number, so we replace it with d :

$$ax + by + cz = d.\tag{2.10}$$

As long as $c \neq 0$, we can solve for z :

$$z = \frac{1}{c}(d - ax - by).\tag{2.11}$$

Equation (2.11) is especially useful as many computer programs can graph functions in this form. Equations (2.9) and (2.10) have specific names, given next.




Figure 2.43: Illustrating defining a plane with a sheet of cardboard and a nail.

Definition 36 Equations of a Plane in Standard and General Forms

The plane passing through the point $P = (x_0, y_0, z_0)$ with normal vector $\vec{n} = \langle a, b, c \rangle$ can be described by an equation with **standard form**

$$a(x - x_0) + b(y - y_0) + c(z - z_0) = 0;$$

the equation's **general form** is

$$ax + by + cz = d.$$

A key to remember throughout this section is this: to find the equation of a plane, we need a point and a normal vector. We will give several examples of finding the equation of a plane, and in each one different types of information are given. In each case, we need to use the given information to find a point on the plane and a normal vector.




Figure 2.44: Sketching the plane in Example 53.

Example 53 Finding the equation of a plane.

Write the equation of the plane that passes through the points $P = (1, 1, 0)$, $Q = (1, 2, -1)$ and $R = (0, 1, 2)$ in standard form.

SOLUTION We need a vector \vec{n} that is orthogonal to the plane. Since P , Q and R are in the plane, so are the vectors \vec{PQ} and \vec{PR} ; $\vec{PQ} \times \vec{PR}$ is orthogonal to \vec{PQ} and \vec{PR} and hence the plane itself.

It is straightforward to compute $\vec{n} = \vec{PQ} \times \vec{PR} = \langle 2, 1, 1 \rangle$. We can use any point we wish in the plane (any of P , Q or R will do) and we arbitrarily choose P . Following Definition 36, the equation of the plane in standard form is

$$2(x - 1) + (y - 1) + z = 0.$$

The plane is sketched in Figure 2.44.

We have just demonstrated the fact that any three non-collinear points define a plane. (This is why a three-legged stool does not “rock;” it’s three feet always lie in a plane. A four-legged stool will rock unless all four feet lie in the same plane.)

Example 54 Finding the equation of a plane.

Verify that lines ℓ_1 and ℓ_2 , whose parametric equations are given below, intersect, then give the equation of the plane that contains these two lines in general form.

$$\begin{array}{ll} \ell_1: \begin{array}{l} x = -5 + 2s \\ y = 1 + s \\ z = -4 + 2s \end{array} & \ell_2: \begin{array}{l} x = 2 + 3t \\ y = 1 - 2t \\ z = 1 + t \end{array} \end{array}$$

SOLUTION The lines clearly are not parallel. If they do not intersect, they are skew, meaning there is not a plane that contains them both. If they do intersect, there is such a plane.

To find their point of intersection, we set the x , y and z equations equal to each other and solve for s and t :

$$\begin{aligned} -5 + 2s &= 2 + 3t \\ 1 + s &= 1 - 2t \quad \Rightarrow \quad s = 2, \quad t = -1. \\ -4 + 2s &= 1 + t \end{aligned}$$

When $s = 2$ and $t = -1$, the lines intersect at the point $P = (-1, 3, 0)$.

Let $\vec{d}_1 = \langle 2, 1, 2 \rangle$ and $\vec{d}_2 = \langle 3, -2, 1 \rangle$ be the directions of lines ℓ_1 and ℓ_2 , respectively. A normal vector to the plane containing these two lines will also be orthogonal to \vec{d}_1 and \vec{d}_2 . Thus we find a normal vector \vec{n} by computing $\vec{n} = \vec{d}_1 \times \vec{d}_2 = \langle 5, 4, -7 \rangle$.

We can pick any point in the plane with which to write our equation; each line gives us infinite choices of points. We choose P , the point of intersection. We follow Definition 36 to write the plane's equation in general form:

$$\begin{aligned} 5(x+1) + 4(y-3) - 7z &= 0 \\ 5x + 5 + 4y - 12 - 7z &= 0 \\ 5x + 4y - 7z &= 7. \end{aligned}$$

The plane's equation in general form is $5x + 4y - 7z = 7$; it is sketched in Figure 2.45.

Example 55 Finding the equation of a plane

Give the equation, in standard form, of the plane that passes through the point $P = (-1, 0, 1)$ and is orthogonal to the line with vector equation $\vec{\ell}(t) = \langle -1, 0, 1 \rangle + t \langle 1, 2, 2 \rangle$.

SOLUTION As the plane is to be orthogonal to the line, the plane must be orthogonal to the direction of the line given by $\vec{d} = \langle 1, 2, 2 \rangle$. We use this as our normal vector. Thus the plane's equation, in standard form, is

$$(x+1) + 2y + 2(z-1) = 0.$$

The line and plane are sketched in Figure 2.46.

Example 56 Finding the intersection of two planes

Give the parametric equations of the line that is the intersection of the planes p_1 and p_2 , where:

$$\begin{aligned} p_1 : x - (y - 2) + (z - 1) &= 0 \\ p_2 : -2(x - 2) + (y + 1) + (z - 3) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

SOLUTION To find an equation of a line, we need a point on the line and the direction of the line.

We can find a point on the line by solving each equation of the planes for z :

$$\begin{aligned} p_1 : z &= -x + y - 1 \\ p_2 : z &= 2x - y - 2 \end{aligned}$$

We can now set these two equations equal to each other (i.e., we are finding values of x and y where the planes have the same z value):

$$\begin{aligned} -x + y - 1 &= 2x - y - 2 \\ 2y &= 3x - 1 \\ y &= \frac{1}{2}(3x - 1) \end{aligned}$$

We can choose any value for x ; we choose $x = 1$. This determines that $y = 1$. We can now use the equations of either plane to find z : when $x = 1$ and $y = 1$, $z = -1$ on both planes. We have found a point P on the line: $P = (1, 1, -1)$.

We now need the direction of the line. Since the line lies in each plane, its direction is orthogonal to a normal vector for each plane. Considering the




Figure 2.45: Sketching the plane in Example 54.




Figure 2.46: The line and plane in Example 55.




Figure 2.47: Graphing the planes and their line of intersection in Example 56.




Figure 2.48: Illustrating the intersection of a line and a plane in Example 57.




Figure 2.49: Illustrating finding the distance from a point to a plane.

equations for p_1 and p_2 , we can quickly determine their normal vectors. For p_1 , $\vec{n}_1 = \langle 1, -1, 1 \rangle$ and for p_2 , $\vec{n}_2 = \langle -2, 1, 1 \rangle$. A direction orthogonal to both of these directions is their cross product: $\vec{d} = \vec{n}_1 \times \vec{n}_2 = \langle -2, -3, -1 \rangle$.

The parametric equations of the line through $P = (1, 1, -1)$ in the direction of $d = \langle -2, -3, -1 \rangle$ is:

$$\ell : \quad x = -2t + 1 \quad y = -3t + 1 \quad z = -t - 1.$$

The planes and line are graphed in Figure 2.47.

Example 57 Finding the intersection of a plane and a line

Find the point of intersection, if any, of the line $\ell(t) = \langle 3, -3, -1 \rangle + t \langle -1, 2, 1 \rangle$ and the plane with equation in general form $2x + y + z = 4$.

SOLUTION The equation of the plane shows that the vector $\vec{n} = \langle 2, 1, 1 \rangle$ is a normal vector to the plane, and the equation of the line shows that the line moves parallel to $\vec{d} = \langle -1, 2, 1 \rangle$. Since these are not orthogonal, we know there is a point of intersection. (If there were orthogonal, it would mean that the plane and line were parallel to each other, either never intersecting or the line was in the plane itself.)

To find the point of intersection, we need to find a t value such that $\ell(t)$ satisfies the equation of the plane. Rewriting the equation of the line with parametric equations will help:

$$\ell(t) = \begin{cases} x = 3 - t \\ y = -3 + 2t \\ z = -1 + t \end{cases}$$

Replacing x , y and z in the equation of the plane with the expressions containing t found in the equation of the line allows us to determine a t value that indicates the point of intersection:

$$\begin{aligned} 2x + y + z &= 4 \\ 2(3 - t) + (-3 + 2t) + (-1 + t) &= 4 \\ t &= 2. \end{aligned}$$

When $t = 2$, the point on the line satisfies the equation of the plane; that point is $\ell(2) = \langle 1, 1, 1 \rangle$. Thus the point $(1, 1, 1)$ is the point of intersection between the plane and the line, illustrated in Figure 2.48.

Distances

Just as it was useful to find distances between points and lines in the previous section, it is also often necessary to find the distance from a point to a plane.

Consider Figure 2.49, where a plane with normal vector \vec{n} is sketched containing a point P and a point Q , not on the plane, is given. We measure the distance from Q to the plane by measuring the length of the projection of \vec{PQ} onto \vec{n} . That is, we want:

$$\| \text{proj}_{\vec{n}} \vec{PQ} \| = \left\| \frac{\vec{n} \cdot \vec{PQ}}{\| \vec{n} \|^2} \vec{n} \right\| = \frac{|\vec{n} \cdot \vec{PQ}|}{\| \vec{n} \|} \quad (2.12)$$

Equation (2.12) is important as it does more than just give the distance between a point and a plane. We will see how it allows us to find several other distances as well: the distance between parallel planes and the distance from a line and a plane. Because Equation (2.12) is important, we restate it as a Key Idea.

Key Idea 13 Distance from a Point to a Plane

Let a plane with normal vector \vec{n} be given, and let Q be a point. The distance h from Q to the plane is

$$h = \frac{|\vec{n} \cdot \vec{v}_{PQ}|}{\|\vec{n}\|},$$

where P is any point in the plane.

Example 58 Distance between a point and a plane

Find the distance bewteen the point $Q = (2, 1, 4)$ and the plane with equation $2x - 5y + 6z = 9$.

SOLUTION Using the equation of the plane, we find the normal vector $\vec{n} = \langle 2, -5, 6 \rangle$. To find a point on the plane, we can let x and y be anything we choose, then let z be whatever satisfies the equation. Letting x and y be 0 seems simple; this makes $z = 1.5$. Thus we let $P = \langle 0, 0, 1.5 \rangle$, and $\vec{v}_{PQ} = \langle 2, 1, 2.5 \rangle$.

The distance h from Q to the plane is given by Key Idea 13:

$$\begin{aligned} h &= \frac{|\vec{n} \cdot \vec{v}_{PQ}|}{\|\vec{n}\|} \\ &= \frac{|\langle 2, -5, 6 \rangle \cdot \langle 2, 1, 2.5 \rangle|}{\|\langle 2, -5, 6 \rangle\|} \\ &= \frac{|-16|}{\sqrt{65}} \\ &\approx 1.98. \end{aligned}$$

We can use Key Idea 13 to find other distances. Given two parallel planes, we can find the distance between these planes by letting P be a point on one plane and Q a point on the other. If ℓ is a line parallel to a plane, we can use the Key Idea to find the distance between them as well: again, let P be a point in the plane and let Q be any point on the line. (One can also use Key Idea 12.) The Exercise section contains problems of these types.

These past two sections have not explored lines and planes in space as an exercise of mathematical curiosity. However, there are many, many applications of these fundamental concepts. Complex shapes can be modeled (or, *approximated*) using planes. For instance, part of the exterior of an aircraft may have a complex, yet smooth, shape, and engineers will want to know how air flows across this piece as well as how heat might build up due to air friction. Many equations that help determine air flow and heat dissipation are difficult to apply to arbitrary surfaces, but simple to apply to planes. By approximating a surface with millions of small planes one can more readily model the needed behavior.

Exercises 2.6

Terms and Concepts

- In order to find the equation of a plane, what two pieces of information must one have?
- What is the relationship between a plane and one of its normal vectors?

Problems

In Exercises 3 – 6, give any two points in the given plane.

- $2x - 4y + 7z = 2$
- $3(x + 2) + 5(y - 9) - 4z = 0$
- $x = 2$
- $4(y + 2) - (z - 6) = 0$

In Exercises 7 – 20, give the equation of the described plane in standard and general forms.

- Passes through $(2, 3, 4)$ and has normal vector $\vec{n} = \langle 3, -1, 7 \rangle$.
- Passes through $(1, 3, 5)$ and has normal vector $\vec{n} = \langle 0, 2, 4 \rangle$.
- Passes through the points $(1, 2, 3)$, $(3, -1, 4)$ and $(1, 0, 1)$.
- Passes through the points $(5, 3, 8)$, $(6, 4, 9)$ and $(3, 3, 3)$.

- Contains the intersecting lines
 $\ell_1(t) = \langle 2, 1, 2 \rangle + t \langle 1, 2, 3 \rangle$ and
 $\ell_2(t) = \langle 2, 1, 2 \rangle + t \langle 2, 5, 4 \rangle$.

- Contains the intersecting lines
 $\ell_1(t) = \langle 5, 0, 3 \rangle + t \langle -1, 1, 1 \rangle$ and
 $\ell_2(t) = \langle 1, 4, 7 \rangle + t \langle 3, 0, -3 \rangle$.

- Contains the parallel lines
 $\ell_1(t) = \langle 1, 1, 1 \rangle + t \langle 1, 2, 3 \rangle$ and
 $\ell_2(t) = \langle 1, 1, 2 \rangle + t \langle 1, 2, 3 \rangle$.

- Contains the parallel lines
 $\ell_1(t) = \langle 1, 1, 1 \rangle + t \langle 4, 1, 3 \rangle$ and
 $\ell_2(t) = \langle 2, 2, 2 \rangle + t \langle 4, 1, 3 \rangle$.

- Contains the point $(2, -6, 1)$ and the line
 $\ell(t) = \begin{cases} x = 2 + 5t \\ y = 2 + 2t \\ z = -1 + 2t \end{cases}$

- Contains the point $(5, 7, 3)$ and the line

$$\ell(t) = \begin{cases} x = t \\ y = t \\ z = t \end{cases}$$

- Contains the point $(5, 7, 3)$ and is orthogonal to the line
 $\ell(t) = \langle 4, 5, 6 \rangle + t \langle 1, 1, 1 \rangle$.

- Contains the point $(4, 1, 1)$ and is orthogonal to the line

$$\ell(t) = \begin{cases} x = 4 + 4t \\ y = 1 + 1t \\ z = 1 + 1t \end{cases}$$

- Contains the point $(-4, 7, 2)$ and is parallel to the plane
 $3(x - 2) + 8(y + 1) - 10z = 0$.

- Contains the point $(1, 2, 3)$ and is parallel to the plane
 $x = 5$.

In Exercises 21 – 22, give the equation of the line that is the intersection of the given planes.

- $p1 : 3(x - 2) + (y - 1) + 4z = 0$, and
 $p2 : 2(x - 1) - 2(y + 3) + 6(z - 1) = 0$.

- $p1 : 5(x - 5) + 2(y + 2) + 4(z - 1) = 0$, and
 $p2 : 3x - 4(y - 1) + 2(z - 1) = 0$.

In Exercises 23 – 26, find the point of intersection between the line and the plane.

- line: $\langle 5, 1, -1 \rangle + t \langle 2, 2, 1 \rangle$,
plane: $5x - y - z = -3$

- line: $\langle 4, 1, 0 \rangle + t \langle 1, 0, -1 \rangle$,
plane: $3x + y - 2z = 8$

- line: $\langle 1, 2, 3 \rangle + t \langle 3, 5, -1 \rangle$,
plane: $3x - 2y - z = 4$

- line: $\langle 1, 2, 3 \rangle + t \langle 3, 5, -1 \rangle$,
plane: $3x - 2y - z = -4$

In Exercises 27 – 30, find the given distances.

- The distance from the point $(1, 2, 3)$ to the plane
 $3(x - 1) + (y - 2) + 5(z - 2) = 0$.

- The distance from the point $(2, 6, 2)$ to the plane
 $2(x - 1) - y + 4(z + 1) = 0$.

- The distance between the parallel planes
 $x + y + z = 0$ and
 $(x - 2) + (y - 3) + (z + 4) = 0$

30. The distance between the parallel planes
 $2(x - 1) + 2(y + 1) + (z - 2) = 0$ and
 $2(x - 3) + 2(y - 1) + (z - 3) = 0$
31. Show why if the point Q lies in a plane, then the distance formula correctly gives the distance from the point to the plane as 0.
32. How is Exercise 30 in Section 2.5 easier to answer once we have an understanding of planes?

3: SYSTEMS OF LINEAR EQUATIONS

You have probably encountered systems of linear equations before; you can probably remember solving systems of equations where you had three equations, three unknowns, and you tried to find the value of the unknowns. In this chapter we will uncover some of the fundamental principles guiding the solution to such problems.

Solving such systems was a bit time consuming, but not terribly difficult. So why bother? We bother because linear equations have many, many, *many* applications, from business to engineering to computer graphics to understanding more mathematics. And not only are there many applications of systems of linear equations, on most occasions where these systems arise we are using far more than three variables. (Engineering applications, for instance, often require thousands of variables.) So getting a good understanding of how to solve these systems effectively is important.

But don't worry; we'll start at the beginning.

3.1 Introduction to Linear Equations

AS YOU READ ...

1. What is one of the annoying habits of mathematicians?
2. What is the difference between constants and coefficients?
3. Can a coefficient in a linear equation be 0?

We'll begin this section by examining a problem you probably already know how to solve.

Example 59 Counting marbles in a jar

Suppose a jar contains red, blue and green marbles. You are told that there are a total of 30 marbles in the jar; there are twice as many red marbles as green ones; the number of blue marbles is the same as the sum of the red and green marbles. How many marbles of each colour are there?

SOLUTION We could attempt to solve this with some trial and error, and we'd probably get the correct answer without too much work. However, this won't lend itself towards learning a good technique for solving larger problems, so let's be more mathematical about it.

Let's let r represent the number of red marbles, and let b and g denote the number of blue and green marbles, respectively. We can use the given statements about the marbles in the jar to create some equations.

Since we know there are 30 marbles in the jar, we know that

$$r + b + g = 30. \quad (3.1)$$

Also, we are told that there are twice as many red marbles as green ones, so we know that

$$r = 2g. \quad (3.2)$$

Finally, we know that the number of blue marbles is the same as the sum of the red and green marbles, so we have

$$b = r + g. \quad (3.3)$$

From this stage, there isn't one "right" way of proceeding. Rather, there are many ways to use this information to find the solution. One way is to combine ideas from equations 3.2 and 3.3; in 3.3 replace r with $2g$. This gives us

$$b = 2g + g = 3g. \quad (3.4)$$

We can then combine equations 3.1, 3.2 and 3.4 by replacing r in 3.1 with $2g$ as we did before, and replacing b with $3g$ to get

$$\begin{aligned} r + b + g &= 30 \\ 2g + 3g + g &= 30 \\ 6g &= 30 \\ g &= 5 \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

We can now use equation 3.5 to find r and b ; we know from 3.2 that $r = 2g = 10$ and then since $r + b + g = 30$, we easily find that $b = 15$.

Mathematicians often see solutions to given problems and then ask "What if...?" It's an annoying habit that we would do well to develop – we should learn to think like a mathematician. What are the right kinds of "what if" questions to ask? Here's another annoying habit of mathematicians: they often ask "wrong" questions. That is, they often ask questions and find that the answer isn't particularly interesting. But asking enough questions often leads to some good "right" questions. So don't be afraid of doing something "wrong;" we mathematicians do it all the time.

So what is a good question to ask after seeing Example 59? Here are two possible questions:

1. Did we really have to call the red balls " r "? Could we call them " q "?
2. What if we had 60 balls at the start instead of 30?

Let's look at the first question. Would the solution to our problem change if we called the red balls q ? Of course not. At the end, we'd find that $q = 10$, and we would know that this meant that we had 10 red balls.

Now let's look at the second question. Suppose we had 60 balls, but the other relationships stayed the same. How would the situation and solution change? Let's compare the "original" equations to the "new" equations.

Original	New
$r + b + g = 30$	$r + b + g = 60$
$r = 2g$	$r = 2g$
$b = r + g$	$b = r + g$

By examining these equations, we see that nothing has changed except the first equation. It isn't too much of a stretch of the imagination to see that we would solve this new problem exactly the same way that we solved the original one, except that we'd have twice as many of each type of ball.

A conclusion from answering these two questions is this: it doesn't matter what we call our variables, and while changing constants in the equations changes the solution, they don't really change the *method* of how we solve these equations.

In fact, it is a great discovery to realize that all we care about are the *constants* and the *coefficients* of the equations. By systematically handling these, we can solve any set of linear equations in a very nice way. Before we go on, we must first define what a linear equation is.

Definition 37 Linear Equation

A *linear equation* is an equation that can be written in the form

$$a_1x_1 + a_2x_2 + \cdots + a_nx_n = c$$

where the x_i are variables (the unknowns), the a_i are coefficients, and c is a constant.

A *system of linear equations* is a set of linear equations that involve the same variables.

A *solution* to a system of linear equations is a set of values for the variables x_i such that each equation in the system is satisfied.

So in Example 59, when we answered “how many marbles of each colour are there?,” we were also answering “find a solution to a certain system of linear equations.”

The following are examples of linear equations:

$$\begin{aligned} 2x + 3y - 7z &= 29 \\ x_1 + \frac{7}{2}x_2 + x_3 - x_4 + 17x_5 &= \sqrt[3]{-10} \\ y_1 + 14^2y_4 + 4 &= y_2 + 13 - y_1 \\ \sqrt{7}r + \pi s + \frac{3t}{5} &= \cos(45^\circ) \end{aligned}$$

Notice that the coefficients and constants can be fractions and irrational numbers (like π , $\sqrt[3]{-10}$ and $\cos(45^\circ)$). The variables only come in the form of $a_i x_i$; that is, just one variable multiplied by a coefficient. (Note that $\frac{3t}{5} = \frac{3}{5}t$, just a variable multiplied by a coefficient.) Also, it doesn’t really matter what side of the equation we put the variables and the constants, although most of the time we write them with the variables on the left and the constants on the right.

We would not regard the above collection of equations to constitute a system of equations, since each equation uses differently named variables. An example of a system of linear equations is

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 - x_2 + x_3 + x_4 &= 1 \\ 2x_1 + 3x_2 + x_4 &= 25 \\ x_2 + x_3 &= 10 \end{aligned}$$

It is important to notice that not all equations used all of the variables (it is more accurate to say that the coefficients can be 0, so the last equation could have been written as $0x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + 0x_4 = 10$). Also, just because we have four unknowns does not mean we have to have four equations. We could have had fewer, even just one, and we could have had more.

To get a better feel for what a linear equation is, we point out some examples of what are *not* linear equations.

$$\begin{aligned}2xy + z &= 1 \\5x^2 + 2y^5 &= 100 \\\frac{1}{x} + \sqrt{y} + 24z &= 3 \\\sin^2 x_1 + \cos^2 x_2 &= 29 \\2^{x_1} + \ln x_2 &= 13\end{aligned}$$

The first example is not a linear equation since the variables x and y are multiplied together. The second is not a linear equation because the variables are raised to powers other than 1; that is also a problem in the third equation (remember that $1/x = x^{-1}$ and $\sqrt{x} = x^{1/2}$). Our variables cannot be the argument of function like sin, cos or ln, nor can our variables be raised as an exponent.

At this stage, we have yet to discuss how to efficiently find a solution to a system of linear equations. That is a goal for the upcoming sections. Right now we focus on identifying linear equations. It is also useful to “limber” up by solving a few systems of equations using any method we have at hand to refresh our memory about the basic process.

Exercises 3.1

Problems

In Exercises 1 – 10, state whether or not the given equation is linear.

1. $x + y + z = 10$

2. $xy + yz + xz = 1$

3. $-3x + 9 = 3y - 5z + x - 7$

4. $\sqrt{5}y + \pi x = -1$

5. $(x - 1)(x + 1) = 0$

6. $\sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2} = 25$

7. $x_1 + y + t = 1$

8. $\frac{1}{x} + 9 = 3 \cos(y) - 5z$

9. $\cos(15)y + \frac{x}{4} = -1$

10. $2^x + 2^y = 16$

In Exercises 11 – 14, solve the system of linear equations.

11. $\begin{array}{rcl} x & + & y \\ 2x & - & 3y \end{array} = \begin{array}{l} -1 \\ 8 \end{array}$

12. $\begin{array}{rcl} 2x & - & 3y \\ 3x & + & 6y \end{array} = \begin{array}{l} 3 \\ 8 \end{array}$

13. $\begin{array}{rcl} x & - & y & + & z \\ 2x & + & 6y & - & z \\ 4x & - & 5y & + & 2z \end{array} = \begin{array}{l} 1 \\ -4 \\ 0 \end{array}$

14. $\begin{array}{rcl} x & + & y & - & z \\ 2x & + & y & & \\ y & + & 2z & & \end{array} = \begin{array}{l} 1 \\ 2 \\ 0 \end{array}$

15. A farmer looks out his window at his chickens and pigs. He tells his daughter that he sees 62 heads and 190 legs. How many chickens and pigs does the farmer have?

16. A lady buys 20 trinkets at a yard sale. The cost of each trinket is either \$0.30 or \$0.65. If she spends \$8.80, how many of each type of trinket does she buy?

3.2 Using Matrices To Solve Systems of Linear Equations

AS YOU READ . . .

1. What is remarkable about the definition of a matrix?
2. Vertical lines of numbers in a matrix are called what?
3. In a matrix A , the entry a_{53} refers to which entry?
4. What is an augmented matrix?

In Section 3.1 we solved a linear system using familiar techniques. Later, we commented that in the linear equations we formed, the most important information was the coefficients and the constants; the names of the variables really didn't matter. In Example 59 we had the following three equations:

$$r + b + g = 30$$

$$r = 2g$$

$$b = r + g$$

Let's rewrite these equations so that all variables are on the left of the equal sign and all constants are on the right. Also, for a bit more consistency, let's list the variables in alphabetical order in each equation. Therefore we can write the equations as

$$\begin{array}{rcl} b & + & g & + & r & = & 30 \\ & - & 2g & + & r & = & 0 \\ -b & + & g & + & r & = & 0 \end{array} \quad (3.6)$$

As we mentioned before, there isn't just one "right" way of finding the solution to this system of equations. Here is another way to do it, a way that is a bit different from our method in Section 3.1.

First, let's add the first and last equations together, and write the result as a new third equation. This gives us:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} b & + & g & + & r & = & 30 \\ & - & 2g & + & r & = & 0 \\ 2g & + & 2r & = & 30 \end{array}$$

A nice feature of this is that the only equation with a b in it is the first equation.

Now let's multiply the second equation by $-\frac{1}{2}$. This gives

$$\begin{array}{rcl} b & + & g & + & r & = & 30 \\ g & - & 1/2r & = & 0 \\ 2g & + & 2r & = & 30 \end{array}$$

Let's now do two steps in a row; our goal is to get rid of the g 's in the first and third equations. In order to remove the g in the first equation, let's multiply the second equation by -1 and add that to the first equation, replacing the first equation with that sum. To remove the g in the third equation, let's multiply the second equation by -2 and add that to the third equation, replacing the third equation. Our new system of equations now becomes

$$\begin{array}{rcl} b & + & 3/2r & = & 30 \\ g & - & 1/2r & = & 0 \\ 3r & = & 30 \end{array}$$

Clearly we can multiply the third equation by $\frac{1}{3}$ and find that $r = 10$; let's make this our new third equation, giving

$$\begin{array}{rcl} b & + & 3/2r = 30 \\ g & - & 1/2r = 0 \\ & & r = 10 \end{array}$$

Now let's get rid of the r 's in the first and second equation. To remove the r in the first equation, let's multiply the third equation by $-\frac{3}{2}$ and add the result to the first equation, replacing the first equation with that sum. To remove the r in the second equation, we can multiply the third equation by $\frac{1}{2}$ and add that to the second equation, replacing the second equation with that sum. This gives us:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} b & = & 15 \\ g & = & 5 \\ r & = & 10 \end{array}$$

Clearly we have discovered the same result as when we solved this problem in Section 3.1.

Now again revisit the idea that all that really matters are the coefficients and the constants. There is nothing special about the letters b , g and r ; we could have used x , y and z or x_1 , x_2 and x_3 . And even then, since we wrote our equations so carefully, we really didn't need to write the variable names at all as long as we put things "in the right place."

Let's look again at our system of equations in (3.6) and write the coefficients and the constants in a rectangular array. This time we won't ignore the zeros, but rather write them out.

$$\begin{array}{rcl} b + g + r & = & 30 \\ -2g + r & = & 0 \\ -b + g + r & = & 0 \end{array} \Leftrightarrow \left[\begin{array}{cccc} 1 & 1 & 1 & 30 \\ 0 & -2 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right]$$

Notice how even the equal signs are gone; we don't need them, for we know that the last *column* contains the coefficients.

We have just created a *matrix*. The definition of matrix is remarkable only in how unremarkable it seems.

Definition 38 Matrix

A *matrix* is a rectangular array of numbers.

The horizontal lines of numbers form *rows* and the vertical lines of numbers form *columns*. A matrix with m rows and n columns is said to be an $m \times n$ matrix ("an m by n matrix").

The entries of an $m \times n$ matrix are indexed as follows:

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccccc} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} & \cdots & a_{2n} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} & \cdots & a_{3n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{m1} & a_{m2} & a_{m3} & \cdots & a_{mn} \end{array} \right].$$

That is, a_{32} means "the number in the third row and second column."

In the future, we'll want to create matrices with just the coefficients of a system of linear equations and leave out the constants. Therefore, when we include the constants, we often refer to the resulting matrix as an *augmented matrix*.

It is common (but not mandatory) to place a vertical line separating the final column of an augmented matrix (containing the constants) from the other columns (containing the coefficients). One advantage of doing so is that we can quickly recognize that we're dealing with an augmented matrix rather than a matrix of coefficients. For example, the augmented matrix for the system (3.6) would be written as seen below on the right:

$$\begin{array}{c} \left[\begin{array}{cccc} 1 & 1 & 1 & 30 \\ 0 & -2 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right] \\ \text{Without the vertical line} \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \left[\begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & 1 & 1 & 30 \\ 0 & -2 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right] \\ \text{With the vertical line} \end{array}$$

Two ways of writing an augmented matrix

We can use augmented matrices to find solutions to linear equations by using essentially the same steps we used above. Every time we used the word "equation" above, substitute the word "row," as we show below. The comments explain how we get from the current set of equations (or matrix) to the one on the next line.

We can use a shorthand to describe matrix operations; let R_1, R_2 represent "row 1" and "row 2," respectively. We can write "add row 1 to row 3, and replace row 3 with that sum" as " $R_1 + R_3 \rightarrow R_3$." The expression " $R_1 \leftrightarrow R_2$ " means "interchange row 1 and row 2."

$$\begin{array}{rcl} b & + & g & + & r = 30 \\ - & 2g & + & r & = 0 \\ -b & + & g & + & r = 0 \end{array} \quad \left[\begin{array}{cccc} 1 & 1 & 1 & 30 \\ 0 & -2 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right]$$

Replace equation 3 with the sum
of equations 1 and 3

Replace row 3 with the sum of
rows 1 and 3.
 $(R_1 + R_3 \rightarrow R_3)$

$$\begin{array}{rcl} b & + & g & + & r = 30 \\ - & 2g & + & r & = 0 \\ 2g & + & 2r & = & 30 \end{array}$$

$$\left[\begin{array}{cccc} 1 & 1 & 1 & 30 \\ 0 & -2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 2 & 30 \end{array} \right]$$

Multiply equation 2 by $-\frac{1}{2}$

Multiply row 2 by $-\frac{1}{2}$
 $(-\frac{1}{2}R_2 \rightarrow R_2)$

$$\begin{array}{rcl} b & + & g & + & r = 30 \\ g & + & -\frac{1}{2}r & = & 0 \\ 2g & + & 2r & = & 30 \end{array}$$

$$\left[\begin{array}{cccc} 1 & 1 & 1 & 30 \\ 0 & 1 & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 2 & 30 \end{array} \right]$$

Replace equation 1 with the sum
of (-1) times equation 2 plus
equation 1;

Replace row 1 with the sum of
 (-1) times row 2 plus row 1
 $(-R_2 + R_1 \rightarrow R_1)$;

Replace equation 3 with the sum
of (-2) times equation 2 plus
equation 3

Replace row 3 with the sum of
 (-2) times row 2 plus row 3
 $(-2R_2 + R_3 \rightarrow R_3)$

$$\begin{array}{rcl} b & + & \frac{3}{2}r = 30 \\ g & - & \frac{1}{2}r = 0 \\ & & 3r = 30 \end{array} \quad \left[\begin{array}{cccc} 1 & 0 & \frac{3}{2} & 30 \\ 0 & 1 & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 & 30 \end{array} \right]$$

Multiply equation 3 by $\frac{1}{3}$ Multiply row 3 by $\frac{1}{3}$
 $(\frac{1}{3}R_3 \rightarrow R_3)$

$$\begin{array}{rcl} b & + & \frac{3}{2}r = 30 \\ g & - & \frac{1}{2}r = 0 \\ r & = & 10 \end{array} \quad \left[\begin{array}{cccc} 1 & 0 & \frac{3}{2} & 30 \\ 0 & 1 & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 10 \end{array} \right]$$

Replace equation 2 with the sum
of $\frac{1}{2}$ times equation 3 plus
equation 2;Replace row 2 with the sum of $\frac{1}{2}$
times row 3 plus row 2
 $(\frac{1}{2}R_3 + R_2 \rightarrow R_2)$;
Replace row 1 with the sum of
 $-\frac{3}{2}$ times row 3 plus row 1
 $(-\frac{3}{2}R_3 + R_1 \rightarrow R_1)$ Replace equation 1 with the sum
of $-\frac{3}{2}$ times equation 3 plus
equation 1

$$\begin{array}{rcl} b & = & 15 \\ g & = & 5 \\ r & = & 10 \end{array} \quad \left[\begin{array}{cccc} 1 & 0 & 0 & 15 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 5 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 10 \end{array} \right]$$

The final matrix contains the same solution information as we have on the left in the form of equations. Recall that the first column of our matrices held the coefficients of the b variable; the second and third columns held the coefficients of the g and r variables, respectively. Therefore, the first row of the matrix can be interpreted as " $b + 0g + 0r = 15$," or more concisely, " $b = 15$."

Let's practice this manipulation again.

Example 60 Solving a system using augmented matrices

Find a solution to the following system of linear equations by simultaneously manipulating the equations and the corresponding augmented matrices.

$$\begin{array}{rcl} x_1 & + & x_2 & + & x_3 & = & 0 \\ 2x_1 & + & 2x_2 & + & x_3 & = & 0 \\ -1x_1 & + & x_2 & - & 2x_3 & = & 2 \end{array}$$

SOLUTION We'll first convert this system of equations into a matrix, then we'll proceed by manipulating the system of equations (and hence the matrix) to find a solution. Again, there is not just one "right" way of proceeding; we'll choose a method that is pretty efficient, but other methods certainly exist (and may be "better"!). The method used here, though, is a good one, and it is the method that we will be learning in the future.

The given system and its corresponding augmented matrix are seen below.

Original system of equations

Corresponding matrix

$$\begin{array}{rcl} x_1 & + & x_2 & + & x_3 & = & 0 \\ 2x_1 & + & 2x_2 & + & x_3 & = & 0 \\ -1x_1 & + & x_2 & - & 2x_3 & = & 2 \end{array} \quad \left[\begin{array}{ccccc} 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & -2 & 2 \end{array} \right]$$

We'll proceed by trying to get the x_1 out of the second and third equation.

Replace equation 2 with the sum of (-2) times equation 1 plus equation 2;

Replace equation 3 with the sum of equation 1 and equation 3

$$\begin{array}{rcl} x_1 & + & x_2 & + & x_3 & = & 0 \\ & & & & -x_3 & = & 0 \\ & & 2x_2 & - & x_3 & = & 2 \end{array}$$

Replace row 2 with the sum of (-2) times row 1 plus row 2
 $(-2R_1 + R_2 \rightarrow R_2)$;
 Replace row 3 with the sum of row 1 and row 3
 $(R_1 + R_3 \rightarrow R_3)$

$$\left[\begin{array}{cccc} 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & -1 & 2 \end{array} \right]$$

Notice that the second equation no longer contains x_2 . We'll exchange the order of the equations so that we can follow the convention of solving for the second variable in the second equation.

Interchange equations 2 and 3

$$\begin{array}{rcl} x_1 & + & x_2 & + & x_3 & = & 0 \\ & & 2x_2 & - & x_3 & = & 2 \\ & & & & -x_3 & = & 0 \end{array}$$

Interchange rows 2 and 3
 $R_2 \leftrightarrow R_3$

$$\left[\begin{array}{cccc} 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & -1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \end{array} \right]$$

Multiply equation 2 by $\frac{1}{2}$

$$\begin{array}{rcl} x_1 & + & x_2 & + & x_3 & = & 0 \\ x_2 & - & \frac{1}{2}x_3 & = & 1 \\ & & -x_3 & = & 0 \end{array}$$

Multiply row 2 by $\frac{1}{2}$
 $(\frac{1}{2}R_2 \rightarrow R_2)$

$$\left[\begin{array}{cccc} 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -\frac{1}{2} & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \end{array} \right]$$

Multiply equation 3 by -1

$$\begin{array}{rcl} x_1 & + & x_2 & + & x_3 & = & 0 \\ x_2 & - & \frac{1}{2}x_3 & = & 1 \\ x_3 & = & 0 \end{array}$$

Multiply row 3 by -1
 $(-1R_3 \rightarrow R_3)$

$$\left[\begin{array}{cccc} 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -\frac{1}{2} & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right]$$

Notice that the last equation (and also the last row of the matrix) show that $x_3 = 0$. Knowing this would allow us to simply eliminate the x_3 from the first two equations. However, we will formally do this by manipulating the equations (and rows) as we have previously.

Replace equation 1 with the sum of (-1) times equation 3 plus equation 1;

Replace equation 2 with the sum of $\frac{1}{2}$ times equation 3 plus equation 2

$$\begin{array}{rcl} x_1 & + & x_2 & = & 0 \\ x_2 & = & 1 \\ x_3 & = & 0 \end{array}$$

Replace row 1 with the sum of (-1) times row 3 plus row 1
 $(-R_3 + R_1 \rightarrow R_1)$;

Replace row 2 with the sum of $\frac{1}{2}$ times row 3 plus row 2
 $(\frac{1}{2}R_3 + R_2 \rightarrow R_2)$

$$\left[\begin{array}{cccc} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right]$$

Notice how the second equation shows that $x_2 = 1$. All that remains to do is to solve for x_1 .

Replace equation 1 with the sum
of (-1) times equation 2 plus
equation 1

$$\begin{array}{rcl} x_1 & = & -1 \\ x_2 & = & 1 \\ x_3 & = & 0 \end{array}$$

Replace row 1 with the sum of
 (-1) times row 2 plus row 1
 $(-R_2 + R_1 \rightarrow R_1)$

$$\left[\begin{array}{cccc} 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right]$$

Obviously the equations on the left tell us that $x_1 = -1$, $x_2 = 1$ and $x_3 = 0$,
and notice how the matrix on the right tells us the same information.

Exercises 3.2

Problems

In Exercises 1 – 4, convert the given system of linear equations into an augmented matrix.

$$\begin{array}{rcl} 1. \quad 3x + 4y + 5z & = & 7 \\ -x + y - 3z & = & 1 \\ 2x - 2y + 3z & = & 5 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{rcl} 2. \quad 2x + 5y - 6z & = & 2 \\ 9x - 8z & = & 10 \\ -2x + 4y + z & = & -7 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{rcl} 3. \quad x_1 + 3x_2 - 4x_3 + 5x_4 & = & 17 \\ -x_1 + 4x_3 + 8x_4 & = & 1 \\ 2x_1 + 3x_2 + 4x_3 + 5x_4 & = & 6 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{rcl} 4. \quad 3x_1 - 2x_2 & = & 4 \\ 2x_1 & = & 3 \\ -x_1 + 9x_2 & = & 8 \\ 5x_1 - 7x_2 & = & 13 \end{array}$$

In Exercises 5 – 9, convert the given augmented matrix into a system of linear equations. Use the variables x_1, x_2 , etc.

$$5. \left[\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ -1 & 3 & 9 \end{array} \right]$$

$$6. \left[\begin{array}{ccc} -3 & 4 & 7 \\ 0 & 1 & -2 \end{array} \right]$$

$$7. \left[\begin{array}{ccccc} 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 & 3 & 5 & 7 \end{array} \right]$$

$$8. \left[\begin{array}{ccccc} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 5 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 3 \end{array} \right]$$

$$9. \left[\begin{array}{ccccc} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 7 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 3 & 2 & 0 & 5 \end{array} \right]$$

In Exercises 10 – 15, perform the given row operations on A , where

$$A = \left[\begin{array}{ccc} 2 & -1 & 7 \\ 0 & 4 & -2 \\ 5 & 0 & 3 \end{array} \right].$$

$$10. -1R_1 \rightarrow R_1$$

$$11. R_2 \leftrightarrow R_3$$

$$12. R_1 + R_2 \rightarrow R_2$$

$$13. 2R_2 + R_3 \rightarrow R_3$$

$$14. \frac{1}{2}R_2 \rightarrow R_2$$

$$15. -\frac{5}{2}R_1 + R_3 \rightarrow R_3$$

A matrix A is given below. In Exercises 16 – 20, a matrix B is given. Give the row operation that transforms A into B .

$$A = \left[\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 \end{array} \right]$$

$$16. B = \left[\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 0 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 \end{array} \right]$$

$$17. B = \left[\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 \end{array} \right]$$

$$18. B = \left[\begin{array}{ccc} 3 & 5 & 7 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 \end{array} \right]$$

$$19. B = \left[\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 \end{array} \right]$$

$$20. B = \left[\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 & 2 \end{array} \right]$$

In Exercises 21 – 26, rewrite the system of equations in matrix form. Find the solution to the linear system by simultaneously manipulating the equations and the matrix.

$$21. \begin{array}{rcl} x & + & y = 3 \\ 2x & - & 3y = 1 \end{array}$$

$$22. \begin{array}{rcl} 2x & + & 4y = 10 \\ -x & + & y = 4 \end{array}$$

$$23. \begin{array}{rcl} -2x & + & 3y = 2 \\ -x & + & y = 1 \end{array}$$

$$24. \begin{array}{rcl} 2x & + & 3y = 2 \\ -2x & + & 6y = 1 \end{array}$$

$$25. \begin{array}{rcl} -5x_1 & + & 2x_3 = 14 \\ & x_2 & = 1 \\ -3x_1 & + & x_3 = 8 \end{array}$$

$$26. \begin{array}{rcl} -5x_2 & + & 2x_3 = -11 \\ x_1 & + & 2x_3 = 15 \\ -3x_2 & + & x_3 = -8 \end{array}$$

3.3 Elementary Row Operations and Gaussian Elimination

AS YOU READ ...

1. Give two reasons why the Elementary Row Operations are called “Elementary.”
2. T/F: Assuming a solution exists, all linear systems of equations can be solved using only elementary row operations.
3. Give one reason why one might not be interested in putting a matrix into reduced row echelon form.
4. Identify the leading 1s in the following matrix:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

5. Using the “forward” and “backward” steps of Gaussian elimination creates lots of _____ making computations easier.

In our examples thus far, we have essentially used just three types of manipulations in order to find solutions to our systems of equations. These three manipulations are:

1. Add a scalar multiple of one equation to a second equation, and replace the second equation with that sum
2. Multiply one equation by a nonzero scalar
3. Swap the position of two equations in our list

We saw earlier how we could write all the information of a system of equations in a matrix, so it makes sense that we can perform similar operations on matrices (as we have done before). Again, simply replace the word “equation” above with the word “row.”

We didn’t justify our ability to manipulate our equations in the above three ways; it seems rather obvious that we should be able to do that. In that sense, these operations are “elementary.” These operations are *elementary* in another sense; they are *fundamental* – they form the basis for much of what we will do in matrix algebra. Since these operations are so important, we list them again here in the context of matrices.

Key Idea 14 Elementary Row Operations

1. Add a scalar multiple of one row to another row, and replace the latter row with that sum
2. Multiply one row by a nonzero scalar
3. Swap the position of two rows

Given any system of linear equations, we can find a solution (if one exists) by using these three row operations. Elementary row operations give us a new linear system, but the solution to the new system is the same as the old. We can use these operations as much as we want and not change the solution. This brings to mind two good questions:

1. Since we can use these operations as much as we want, how do we know when to stop? (Where are we supposed to “go” with these operations?)

2. Is there an efficient way of using these operations? (How do we get “there” the fastest?)

We’ll answer the first question first. Most of the time (unless one prefers obfuscation to clarification) we will want to take our original matrix and, using the elementary row operations, put it into something called **reduced row echelon form**. This is our “destination,” for this form allows us to readily identify whether or not a solution exists, and in the case that it does, what that solution is.

In the previous section, when we manipulated matrices to find solutions, we were unwittingly putting the matrix into reduced row echelon form. However, not all solutions come in such a simple manner as we’ve seen so far. Putting a matrix into reduced row echelon form helps us identify all types of solutions. We’ll explore the topic of understanding what the reduced row echelon form of a matrix tells us in the following sections; in this section we focus on finding it.

Definition 39 Reduced Row Echelon Form

A matrix is in *reduced row echelon form* if its entries satisfy the following conditions.

1. The first nonzero entry in each row is a 1 (called a *leading 1*).
2. Each leading 1 comes in a column to the right of the leading 1s in rows above it.
3. All rows of all 0s come at the bottom of the matrix.
4. If a column contains a leading 1, then all other entries in that column are 0.

A matrix that satisfies the first three conditions is said to be in *row echelon form*.

Example 61 Determining if a matrix is in reduced row echelon form

Which of the following matrices is in reduced row echelon form?

a)
$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

b)
$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

c)
$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

d)
$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

e)
$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

f)
$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$$

g)
$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 0 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 5 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

h)
$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

SOLUTION The matrices in a), b), c), d) and g) are all in reduced row echelon form. Check to see that each satisfies the necessary conditions. If your instincts were wrong on some of these, correct your thinking accordingly.

The matrix in e) is not in reduced row echelon form since the row of all zeros is not at the bottom. The matrix in f) is not in reduced row echelon form since the first nonzero entries in rows 2 and 3 are not 1. Finally, the matrix in h) is not in reduced row echelon form since the first entry in column 2 is not zero; the second 1 in column 2 is a leading one, hence all other entries in that column should be 0.

We end this example with a preview of what we'll learn in the future. Consider the matrix in b). If this matrix came from the augmented matrix of a system of linear equations, then we can readily recognize that the solution of the system is $x_1 = 1$ and $x_2 = 2$. Again, in previous examples, when we found the solution to a linear system, we were unwittingly putting our matrices into reduced row echelon form.

We began this section discussing how we can manipulate the entries in a matrix with elementary row operations. This led to two questions, "Where do we go?" and "How do we get there quickly?" We've just answered the first question: most of the time we are "going to" reduced row echelon form. We now address the second question.

There is no one "right" way of using these operations to transform a matrix into reduced row echelon form. However, there is a general technique that works very well in that it is very efficient (so we don't waste time on unnecessary steps). This technique is called *Gaussian elimination*. It is named in honour of the great mathematician Karl Friedrich Gauss.

While this technique isn't very difficult to use, it is one of those things that is easier understood by watching it being used than explained as a series of steps. With this in mind, we will go through one more example highlighting important steps and then we'll explain the procedure in detail.

Example 62 Using row operations to simplify an augmented matrix

Put the augmented matrix of the following system of linear equations into reduced row echelon form.

$$\begin{array}{rcl} -3x_1 & - & 3x_2 & + & 9x_3 & = & 12 \\ 2x_1 & + & 2x_2 & - & 4x_3 & = & -2 \\ & & -2x_2 & - & 4x_3 & = & -8 \end{array}$$

SOLUTION We start by converting the linear system into an augmented matrix.

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccc|c} -3 & -3 & 9 & 12 \\ 2 & 2 & -4 & -2 \\ 0 & -2 & -4 & -8 \end{array} \right]$$

Our next step is to change the entry in the box to a 1. To do this, let's multiply row 1 by $-\frac{1}{3}$.

$$-\frac{1}{3}R_1 \rightarrow R_1 \quad \left[\begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & 1 & -3 & -4 \\ 2 & 2 & -4 & -2 \\ 0 & -2 & -4 & -8 \end{array} \right]$$

We have now created a *leading 1*; that is, the first entry in the first row is a 1. Our next step is to put zeros under this 1. To do this, we'll use the elementary row operation given below.

$$-2R_1 + R_2 \rightarrow R_2 \quad \left[\begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & 1 & -3 & -4 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 6 \\ 0 & -2 & -4 & -8 \end{array} \right]$$

Once this is accomplished, we shift our focus from the leading one down one row, and to the right one column, to the position that is boxed. We again want to put a 1 in this position. We can use any elementary row operations, but we need to restrict ourselves to using only the second row and any rows below it. Probably the simplest thing we can do is interchange rows 2 and 3, and then scale the new second row so that there is a 1 in the desired position.

$$R_2 \leftrightarrow R_3 \quad \left[\begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & 1 & -3 & -4 \\ 0 & -2 & -4 & -8 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 6 \end{array} \right]$$

$$-\frac{1}{2}R_2 \rightarrow R_2 \quad \left[\begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & 1 & -3 & -4 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 6 \end{array} \right]$$

We have now created another leading 1, this time in the second row. Our next desire is to put zeros underneath it, but this has already been accomplished by our previous steps. Therefore we again shift our attention to the right one column and down one row, to the next position put in the box. We want that to be a 1. A simple scaling will accomplish this.

$$\frac{1}{2}R_3 \rightarrow R_3 \quad \left[\begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & 1 & -3 & -4 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 3 \end{array} \right]$$

This ends what we will refer to as the *forward steps*. Our next task is to use the elementary row operations and go back and put zeros above our leading 1s. This is referred to as the *backward steps*. These steps are given below.

$$3R_3 + R_1 \rightarrow R_1 \quad \left[\begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & 1 & 0 & 5 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 3 \end{array} \right]$$

$$-2R_3 + R_2 \rightarrow R_2 \quad \left[\begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & 0 & 0 & 7 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 3 \end{array} \right]$$

$$-R_2 + R_1 \rightarrow R_1 \quad \left[\begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & 0 & 0 & 7 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 3 \end{array} \right]$$

 It is now easy to read off the solution as $x_1 = 7$, $x_2 = -2$ and $x_3 = 3$.

We now formally explain the procedure used to find the solution above. As you read through the procedure, follow along with the example above so that the explanation makes more sense.

Forward Steps

1. Working from left to right, consider the first column that isn't all zeros that hasn't already been worked on. Then working from top to bottom, consider the first row that hasn't been worked on.
2. If the entry in the row and column that we are considering is zero, interchange rows with a row below the current row so that that entry is nonzero. If all entries below are zero, we are done with this column; start again at step 1.
3. Multiply the current row by a scalar to make its first entry a 1 (a leading 1).
4. Repeatedly use Elementary Row Operation 1 to put zeros underneath the leading one.
5. Go back to step 1 and work on the new rows and columns until either all rows or columns have been worked on.

If the above steps have been followed properly, then the following should be true about the current state of the matrix:

1. The first nonzero entry in each row is a 1 (a leading 1).
2. Each leading 1 is in a column to the right of the leading 1s above it.
3. All rows of all zeros come at the bottom of the matrix.

Note that this means we have just put a matrix into row echelon form. The next steps finish the conversion into *reduced* row echelon form. These next steps are referred to as the *backward* steps. These are much easier to state.

Backward Steps

1. Starting from the right and working left, use Elementary Row Operation 1 repeatedly to put zeros above each leading 1.

The basic method of Gaussian elimination is this: create leading ones and then use elementary row operations to put zeros above and below these leading ones. We can do this in any order we please, but by following the “Forward Steps” and “Backward Steps,” we make use of the presence of zeros to make the overall computations easier. This method is very efficient, so it gets its own name (which we've already been using).

Definition 40 Gaussian Elimination

Gaussian elimination is the technique for finding the reduced row echelon form of a matrix using the above procedure. It can be abbreviated to:

1. Create a leading 1.
2. Use this leading 1 to put zeros underneath it.
3. Repeat the above steps until all possible rows have leading 1s.
4. Put zeros above these leading 1s.

Let's practice some more.

Example 63 Using Gaussian elimination

Use Gaussian elimination to put the matrix A into reduced row echelon form, where

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} -2 & -4 & -2 & -10 & 0 \\ 2 & 4 & 1 & 9 & -2 \\ 3 & 6 & 1 & 13 & -4 \end{bmatrix}.$$

SOLUTION We start by wanting to make the entry in the first column and first row a 1 (a leading 1). To do this we'll scale the first row by a factor of $-\frac{1}{2}$.

$$-\frac{1}{2}R_1 \rightarrow R_1 \quad \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 & 5 & 0 \\ 2 & 4 & 1 & 9 & -2 \\ 3 & 6 & 1 & 13 & -4 \end{bmatrix}$$

Next we need to put zeros in the column below this newly formed leading 1.

$$\begin{array}{l} -2R_1 + R_2 \rightarrow R_2 \\ -3R_1 + R_3 \rightarrow R_3 \end{array} \quad \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 & 5 & 0 \\ 0 & \boxed{0} & -1 & -1 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 & -2 & -4 \end{bmatrix}$$

Our attention now shifts to the right one column and down one row to the position indicated by the box. We want to put a 1 in that position. Our only options are to either scale the current row or to interchange rows with a row below it. However, in this case neither of these options will accomplish our goal. Therefore, we shift our attention to the right one more column.

We want to put a 1 where there is a -1 . A simple scaling will accomplish this; once done, we will put a 0 underneath this leading one.

$$-R_2 \rightarrow R_2 \quad \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 & 5 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 & -2 & -4 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$2R_2 + R_3 \rightarrow R_3 \quad \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 & 5 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \boxed{0} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Our attention now shifts over one more column and down one row to the position indicated by the box; we wish to make this a 1. Of course, there is no way to do this, so we are done with the forward steps.

Our next goal is to put a 0 above each of the leading 1s (in this case there is only one leading 1 to deal with).

$$-R_2 + R_1 \rightarrow R_1 \quad \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 0 & 4 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

This final matrix is in reduced row echelon form.

Example 64 Gaussian elimination, again

Put the matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 & 3 \\ 2 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 3 & 3 & 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

into reduced row echelon form.

SOLUTION Here we will show all steps without explaining each one.

$$\begin{array}{l} -2R_1 + R_2 \rightarrow R_2 \\ -3R_1 + R_3 \rightarrow R_3 \end{array} \quad \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 & 3 \\ 0 & -3 & -1 & -5 \\ 0 & -3 & -1 & -8 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$-\frac{1}{3}R_2 \rightarrow R_2 \quad \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 & 3 \\ 0 & 1 & 1/3 & 5/3 \\ 0 & -3 & -1 & -8 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$3R_2 + R_3 \rightarrow R_3 \quad \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 & 3 \\ 0 & 1 & 1/3 & 5/3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$-\frac{1}{3}R_3 \rightarrow R_3 \quad \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 & 3 \\ 0 & 1 & 1/3 & 5/3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} -3R_3 + R_1 \rightarrow R_1 \\ -\frac{5}{3}R_3 + R_2 \rightarrow R_2 \end{array} \quad \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1/3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$-2R_2 + R_1 \rightarrow R_1 \quad \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1/3 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1/3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

The last matrix in the above example is in reduced row echelon form. If one thinks of the original matrix as representing the augmented matrix of a system of linear equations, this final result is interesting. What does it mean to have a leading one in the last column? We'll figure this out in the next section.

Example 65 Using back substitution

Put the matrix A into reduced row echelon form, where

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & -1 & 4 \\ 1 & -1 & 2 & 12 \\ 2 & 2 & -1 & 9 \end{bmatrix}.$$

SOLUTION We'll again show the steps without explanation, although we will stop at the end of the forward steps and make a comment.

$$\begin{array}{ll}
 \frac{1}{2}R_1 \rightarrow R_1 & \left[\begin{array}{cccc} 1 & 1/2 & -1/2 & 2 \\ 1 & -1 & 2 & 12 \\ 2 & 2 & -1 & 9 \end{array} \right] \\
 -R_1 + R_2 \rightarrow R_2 & \left[\begin{array}{cccc} 1 & 1/2 & -1/2 & 2 \\ 0 & -3/2 & 5/2 & 10 \\ 2 & 2 & -1 & 9 \end{array} \right] \\
 -2R_1 + R_3 \rightarrow R_3 & \left[\begin{array}{cccc} 1 & 1/2 & -1/2 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 5 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 5 \end{array} \right] \\
 -\frac{2}{3}R_2 \rightarrow R_2 & \left[\begin{array}{cccc} 1 & 1/2 & -1/2 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & -5/3 & -20/3 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 5 \end{array} \right] \\
 -R_2 + R_3 \rightarrow R_3 & \left[\begin{array}{cccc} 1 & 1/2 & -1/2 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & -5/3 & -20/3 \\ 0 & 0 & 5/3 & 35/3 \end{array} \right] \\
 \frac{3}{5}R_3 \rightarrow R_3 & \left[\begin{array}{cccc} 1 & 1/2 & -1/2 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & -5/3 & -20/3 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 7 \end{array} \right]
 \end{array}$$

Let's take a break here and think about the state of our linear system at this moment. Converting back to linear equations, we now know

$$\begin{aligned}
 x_1 + 1/2x_2 - 1/2x_3 &= 2 \\
 x_2 - 5/3x_3 &= -20/3 \\
 x_3 &= 7
 \end{aligned}$$

Since we know that $x_3 = 7$, the second equation turns into

$$x_2 - (5/3)(7) = -20/3,$$

telling us that $x_2 = 5$.

Finally, knowing values for x_2 and x_3 lets us substitute in the first equation and find

$$x_1 + (1/2)(5) - (1/2)(7) = 2,$$

so $x_1 = 3$.

This process of substituting known values back into other equations is called *back substitution*. This process is essentially what happens when we perform the backward steps of Gaussian elimination. We make note of this below as we finish out finding the reduced row echelon form of our matrix.

$$\begin{array}{ll}
 \frac{5}{3}R_3 + R_2 \rightarrow R_2 & \left[\begin{array}{cccc} 1 & 1/2 & -1/2 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 5 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 7 \end{array} \right] \\
 (\text{knowing } x_3 = 7 \text{ allows us to find } x_2 = 5) & \\
 \frac{1}{2}R_3 + R_1 \rightarrow R_1 & \left[\begin{array}{cccc} 1 & 0 & 0 & 3 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 5 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 7 \end{array} \right] \\
 -\frac{1}{2}R_2 + R_1 \rightarrow R_1 & \\
 (\text{knowing } x_2 = 5 \text{ and } x_3 = 7 \text{ allows us to find } x_1 = 3) &
 \end{array}$$

We did our operations slightly "out of order" in that we didn't put the zeros above our leading 1 in the third column in the same step, highlighting how back substitution works.

In all of our practice, we've only encountered systems of linear equations with exactly one solution. Is this always going to be the case? Could we ever have systems with more than one solution? If so, how many solutions could there be? Could we have systems without a solution? These are some of the questions we'll address in the next section.

Exercises 3.3

Problems

In Exercises 1 – 4, state whether or not the given matrices are in reduced row echelon form. If it is not, state why.

1. (a)
$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

(c)
$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

(b)
$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

(d)
$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

2. (a)
$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

(c)
$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

(b)
$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

(d)
$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

3. (a)
$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

(b)
$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

(c)
$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

(d)
$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & -5 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 7 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

4. (a)
$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

(b)
$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

(c)
$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & -5 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

(d)
$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

In Exercises 5 – 22, use Gaussian Elimination to put the given matrix into reduced row echelon form.

5.
$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ -3 & -5 \end{bmatrix}$$

6.
$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & -2 \\ 3 & -2 \end{bmatrix}$$

7.
$$\begin{bmatrix} 4 & 12 \\ -2 & -6 \end{bmatrix}$$

8.
$$\begin{bmatrix} -5 & 7 \\ 10 & 14 \end{bmatrix}$$

9.
$$\begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 & 4 \\ -2 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

10.
$$\begin{bmatrix} 7 & 2 & 3 \\ 3 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

11.
$$\begin{bmatrix} 3 & -3 & 6 \\ -1 & 1 & -2 \end{bmatrix}$$

12.
$$\begin{bmatrix} 4 & 5 & -6 \\ -12 & -15 & 18 \end{bmatrix}$$

13.
$$\begin{bmatrix} -2 & -4 & -8 \\ -2 & -3 & -5 \\ 2 & 3 & 6 \end{bmatrix}$$

14.
$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

15.
$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 3 & 1 \\ -1 & -3 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

16.
$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 0 & 4 & 5 \\ 1 & 6 & 9 \end{bmatrix}$$

17.
$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 2 & -1 & -1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

18.
$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 & 1 & 5 \\ 3 & 1 & 6 & -1 \\ 3 & 0 & 5 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

19.
$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & -1 & 7 \\ 2 & 1 & 0 & 10 \\ 3 & 2 & -1 & 17 \end{bmatrix}$$

20.
$$\begin{bmatrix} 4 & 1 & 8 & 15 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 & 7 \\ 3 & 1 & 5 & 11 \end{bmatrix}$$

21.
$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 & 1 & 3 & 1 & 4 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 3 & 1 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$$

22.
$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 3 & 1 & -2 & 9 \\ 2 & -2 & 6 & 1 & -2 & 13 \end{bmatrix}$$

3.4 Existence and Uniqueness of Solutions

AS YOU READ . . .

1. T/F: It is possible for a linear system to have exactly 5 solutions.
2. T/F: A variable that corresponds to a leading 1 is “free.”
3. How can one tell what kind of solution a linear system of equations has?
4. Give an example (different from those given in the text) of a 2 equation, 2 unknown linear system that is not consistent.
5. T/F: A particular solution for a linear system with infinite solutions can be found by arbitrarily picking values for the free variables.

So far, whenever we have solved a system of linear equations, we have always found exactly one solution. This is not always the case; we will find in this section that some systems do not have a solution, and others have more than one.

We start with a very simple example. Consider the following linear system:

$$x - y = 0.$$

There are obviously infinite solutions to this system; as long as $x = y$, we have a solution. We can picture all of these solutions by thinking of the graph of the equation $y = x$ on the traditional x, y coordinate plane.

Let’s continue this visual aspect of considering solutions to linear systems. Consider the system

$$\begin{aligned} x + y &= 2 \\ x - y &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Each of these equations can be viewed as lines in the coordinate plane, and since their slopes are different, we know they will intersect somewhere (see Figure 3.1 (a)). In this example, they intersect at the point $(1, 1)$ – that is, when $x = 1$ and $y = 1$, both equations are satisfied and we have a solution to our linear system. Since this is the only place the two lines intersect, this is the only solution.

Now consider the linear system

$$\begin{aligned} x + y &= 1 \\ 2x + 2y &= 2. \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that while we have two equations, they are essentially the same equation; the second is just a multiple of the first. Therefore, when we graph the two equations, we are graphing the same line twice (see Figure 3.1 (b); the thicker line is used to represent drawing the line twice). In this case, we have an infinite solution set, just as if we only had the one equation $x + y = 1$. We often write the solution as $x = 1 - y$ to demonstrate that y can be any real number, and x is determined once we pick a value for y .




Figure 3.1: The three possibilities for two linear equations with two unknowns.

Finally, consider the linear system

$$\begin{aligned}x + y &= 1 \\x + y &= 2.\end{aligned}$$

We should immediately spot a problem with this system; if the sum of x and y is 1, how can it also be 2? There is no solution to such a problem; this linear system has no solution. We can visualize this situation in Figure 3.1 (c); the two lines are parallel and never intersect.

If we were to consider a linear system with three equations and two unknowns, we could visualize the solution by graphing the corresponding three lines. We can picture that perhaps all three lines would meet at one point, giving exactly 1 solution; perhaps all three equations describe the same line, giving an infinite number of solutions; perhaps we have different lines, but they do not all meet at the same point, giving no solution. We further visualize similar situations with, say, 20 equations with two variables.

While it becomes harder to visualize when we add variables, no matter how many equations and variables we have, solutions to linear equations always come in one of three forms: exactly one solution, infinite solutions, or no solution. This is a fact that we will not prove here, but it deserves to be stated.

Theorem 18 Solution Forms of Linear Systems

Every linear system of equations has exactly one solution, infinite solutions, or no solution.

This leads us to a definition. Here we don't differentiate between having one solution and infinite solutions, but rather just whether or not a solution exists.

Definition 41 Consistent and Inconsistent Linear Systems

A system of linear equations is *consistent* if it has a solution (perhaps more than one). A linear system is *inconsistent* if it does not have a solution.

How can we tell what kind of solution (if one exists) a given system of linear equations has? The answer to this question lies with properly understanding

the reduced row echelon form of a matrix. To discover what the solution is to a linear system, we first put the matrix into reduced row echelon form and then interpret that form properly.

Before we start with a simple example, let us make a note about finding the reduced row echelon form of a matrix.

Technology Note: In the previous section, we learned how to find the reduced row echelon form of a matrix using Gaussian elimination – by hand. We need to know how to do this; understanding the process has benefits. However, actually executing the process by hand for every problem is not usually beneficial. In fact, with large systems, computing the reduced row echelon form by hand is effectively impossible. Our main concern is *what “the rref” is*, not what exact steps were used to arrive there. Therefore, the reader is encouraged to employ some form of technology to find the reduced row echelon form. Computer programs such as *Mathematica*, MATLAB, Maple, and Derive can be used; many handheld calculators (such as Texas Instruments calculators) will perform these calculations very quickly.

As a general rule, when we are learning a new technique, it is best to not use technology to aid us. This helps us learn not only the technique but some of its “inner workings.” We can then use technology once we have mastered the technique and are now learning how to use it to solve problems.

From here on out, in our examples, when we need the reduced row echelon form of a matrix, we will not show the steps involved. Rather, we will give the initial matrix, then immediately give the reduced row echelon form of the matrix. We trust that the reader can verify the accuracy of this form by both performing the necessary steps by hand or utilizing some technology to do it for them.

Our first example explores officially a quick example used in the introduction of this section.

Example 66 Solving a linear system

Find the solution to the linear system

$$\begin{array}{rcl} x_1 & + & x_2 = 1 \\ 2x_1 & + & 2x_2 = 2 \end{array} .$$

SOLUTION Create the corresponding augmented matrix, and then put the matrix into reduced row echelon form.

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{\text{rref}} \left[\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right]$$

Now convert the reduced matrix back into equations. In this case, we only have one equation,

$$x_1 + x_2 = 1$$

or, equivalently,

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 &= 1 - x_2 \\ x_2 &\text{ is free.} \end{aligned}$$

We have just introduced a new term, the word *free*. It is used to stress that idea that x_2 can take on *any* value; we are “free” to choose any value for x_2 . Once this value is chosen, the value of x_1 is determined. We have infinite choices for the value of x_2 , so therefore we have infinite solutions.

For example, if we set $x_2 = 0$, then $x_1 = 1$; if we set $x_2 = 5$, then $x_1 = -4$.

Let's try another example, one that uses more variables.

Example 67 Solving another linear system

Find the solution to the linear system

$$\begin{array}{rcl} x_2 & - & x_3 = 3 \\ x_1 & + & 2x_3 = 2 \\ -3x_2 & + & 3x_3 = -9 \end{array} .$$

SOLUTION To find the solution, put the corresponding matrix into reduced row echelon form.

$$\left[\begin{array}{cccc} 0 & 1 & -1 & 3 \\ 1 & 0 & 2 & 2 \\ 0 & -3 & 3 & -9 \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{\text{rref}} \left[\begin{array}{cccc} 1 & 0 & 2 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right]$$

Now convert this reduced matrix back into equations. We have

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 + 2x_3 &= 2 \\ x_2 - x_3 &= 3 \end{aligned}$$

or, equivalently,

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 &= 2 - 2x_3 \\ x_2 &= 3 + x_3 \\ x_3 &\text{ is free.} \end{aligned}$$

These two equations tell us that the values of x_1 and x_2 depend on what x_3 is. As we saw before, there is no restriction on what x_3 must be; it is “free” to take on the value of any real number. Once x_3 is chosen, we have a solution. Since we have infinite choices for the value of x_3 , we have infinitely many solutions.

As examples, $x_1 = 2$, $x_2 = 3$, $x_3 = 0$ is one solution; $x_1 = -2$, $x_2 = 5$, $x_3 = 2$ is another solution. Try plugging these values back into the original equations to verify that these indeed are solutions. (By the way, since infinitely many solutions exist, this system of equations is consistent.)

In the two previous examples we have used the word “free” to describe certain variables. What exactly is a free variable? How do we recognize which variables are free and which are not?

Look back to the reduced matrix in Example 66. Notice that there is only one leading 1 in that matrix, and that leading 1 corresponded to the x_1 variable. That told us that x_1 was *not* a free variable; since x_2 *did not* correspond to a leading 1, it was a free variable.

Look also at the reduced matrix in Example 67. There were two leading 1s in that matrix; one corresponded to x_1 and the other to x_2 . This meant that x_1 and x_2 were not free variables; since there was not a leading 1 that corresponded to x_3 , it was a free variable.

We formally define this and a few other terms in this following definition.

Definition 42 Dependent and Independent Variables

Consider the reduced row echelon form of an augmented matrix of a linear system of equations. Then:

a variable that corresponds to a leading 1 is a *basic*, or *dependent*, variable, and

a variable that does not correspond to a leading 1 is a *free*, or *independent*, variable.

One can probably see that “free” and “independent” are relatively synonymous. It follows that if a variable is not independent, it must be dependent; the word “basic” comes from connections to other areas of mathematics that we won’t explore here.

These definitions help us understand when a consistent system of linear equations will have infinite solutions. If there are no free variables, then there is exactly one solution; if there are any free variables, there are infinite solutions.

Key Idea 15 Consistent Solution Types

A consistent linear system of equations will have exactly one solution if and only if there is a leading 1 for each variable in the system.

If a consistent linear system of equations has a free variable, it has infinite solutions.

If a consistent linear system has more variables than leading 1s, then the system will have infinite solutions.

A consistent linear system with more variables than equations will always have infinite solutions.

Note: Key Idea 15 applies only to *consistent* systems. If a system is *inconsistent*, then no solution exists and talking about free and basic variables is meaningless.

When a consistent system has only one solution, each equation that comes from the reduced row echelon form of the corresponding augmented matrix will contain exactly one variable. If the consistent system has infinite solutions, then there will be at least one equation coming from the reduced row echelon form that contains more than one variable. The “first” variable will be the basic (or dependent) variable; all others will be free variables.

We have now seen examples of consistent systems with exactly one solution and others with infinite solutions. How will we recognize that a system is inconsistent? Let’s find out through an example.

Example 68 An inconsistent system

Find the solution to the linear system

$$\begin{array}{rcl} x_1 + x_2 + x_3 & = & 1 \\ x_1 + 2x_2 + x_3 & = & 2 \\ 2x_1 + 3x_2 + 2x_3 & = & 0 \end{array}$$

SOLUTION We start by putting the corresponding matrix into reduced row echelon form.

$$\left[\begin{array}{cccc} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 3 & 2 & 0 \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{\text{rref}} \left[\begin{array}{cccc} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right]$$

Now let us take the reduced matrix and write out the corresponding equations. The first two rows give us the equations

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 + x_3 &= 0 \\ x_2 &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

So far, so good. However the last row gives us the equation

$$0x_1 + 0x_2 + 0x_3 = 1$$

or, more concisely, $0 = 1$. Obviously, this is not true; we have reached a contradiction. Therefore, no solution exists; this system is inconsistent.

In previous sections we have only encountered linear systems with unique solutions (exactly one solution). Now we have seen three more examples with different solution types. The first two examples in this section had infinite solutions, and the third had no solution. How can we tell if a system is inconsistent?

A linear system will be inconsistent only when it implies that 0 equals 1. We can tell if a linear system implies this by putting its corresponding augmented matrix into reduced row echelon form. If we have any row where all entries are 0 except for the entry in the last column, then the system implies $0=1$. More succinctly, if we have a leading 1 in the last column of an augmented matrix, then the linear system has no solution.

Key Idea 16 Inconsistent Systems of Linear Equations

A system of linear equations is inconsistent if the reduced row echelon form of its corresponding augmented matrix has a leading 1 in the last column.

Example 69 Verifying that a system is inconsistent

Confirm that the linear system

$$\begin{aligned} x + y &= 0 \\ 2x + 2y &= 4 \end{aligned}$$

has no solution.

SOLUTION We can verify that this system has no solution in two ways. First, let's just think about it. If $x+y=0$, then it stands to reason, by multiplying both sides of this equation by 2, that $2x+2y=0$. However, the second equation of our system says that $2x+2y=4$. Since $0 \neq 4$, we have a contradiction and hence our system has no solution. (We cannot possibly pick values for x and y so that $2x+2y$ equals both 0 and 4.)

Now let us confirm this using the prescribed technique from above. The reduced row echelon form of the corresponding augmented matrix is

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right].$$

We have a leading 1 in the last column, so therefore the system is inconsistent.

Let's summarize what we have learned up to this point. Consider the reduced row echelon form of the augmented matrix of a system of linear equations. (That sure seems like a mouthful in and of itself. However, it boils down to "look at the reduced form of the usual matrix.") If there is a leading 1 in the last column, the system has no solution. Otherwise, if there is a leading 1 for each variable, then there is exactly one solution; otherwise (i.e., there are free variables) there are infinite solutions.

Systems with exactly one solution or no solution are the easiest to deal with; systems with infinite solutions are a bit harder to deal with. Therefore, we'll do a little more practice. First, a definition: if there are infinite solutions, what do we call one of those infinite solutions?

Definition 43 Particular Solution

Consider a linear system of equations with infinite solutions. A *particular solution* is one solution out of the infinite set of possible solutions.

The easiest way to find a particular solution is to pick values for the free variables which then determines the values of the dependent variables. Again, more practice is called for.

Example 70 Finding general and particular solutions

Give the solution to a linear system whose augmented matrix in reduced row echelon form is

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccccc} 1 & -1 & 0 & 2 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -3 & 7 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right]$$

and give two particular solutions.

SOLUTION We can essentially ignore the third row; it does not divulge any information about the solution. The first and second rows can be rewritten as the following equations:

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 - x_2 + 2x_4 &= 4 \\ x_3 - 3x_4 &= 7. \end{aligned}$$

Notice how the variables x_1 and x_3 correspond to the leading 1s of the given matrix. Therefore x_1 and x_3 are dependent variables; all other variables (in this case, x_2 and x_4) are free variables.

We generally write our solution with the dependent variables on the left and independent variables and constants on the right. It is also a good practice to acknowledge the fact that our free variables are, in fact, free. So our final solution would look something like

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 &= 4 + x_2 - 2x_4 \\ x_2 &\text{ is free} \\ x_3 &= 7 + 3x_4 \\ x_4 &\text{ is free.} \end{aligned}$$

To find particular solutions, choose values for our free variables. There is no "right" way of doing this; we are "free" to choose whatever we wish.

By setting $x_2 = 0 = x_4$, we have the solution $x_1 = 4, x_2 = 0, x_3 = 7, x_4 = 0$. By setting $x_2 = 1$ and $x_4 = -5$, we have the solution $x_1 = 15, x_2 = 1, x_3 = -8, x_4 = -5$. It is easier to read this when variables are listed vertically, so we repeat these solutions:

One particular solution is: Another particular solution is:

$$\begin{array}{l} x_1 = 4 \\ x_2 = 0 \\ x_3 = 7 \\ x_4 = 0. \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{l} x_1 = 15 \\ x_2 = 1 \\ x_3 = -8 \\ x_4 = -5. \end{array}$$

Example 71 Finding general and particular solutions

Find the solution to a linear system whose augmented matrix in reduced row echelon form is

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccccc} 1 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 3 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 4 & 5 \end{array} \right]$$

and give two particular solutions.

SOLUTION Converting the two rows into equations we have

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 + 2x_4 &= 3 \\ x_2 + 4x_4 &= 5. \end{aligned}$$

We see that x_1 and x_2 are our dependent variables, for they correspond to the leading 1s. Therefore, x_3 and x_4 are independent variables. This situation feels a little unusual, for x_3 doesn't appear in any of the equations above, but cannot overlook it; it is still a free variable since there is not a leading 1 that corresponds to it. We write our solution as:

$$\begin{array}{l} x_1 = 3 - 2x_4 \\ x_2 = 5 - 4x_4 \\ x_3 \text{ is free} \\ x_4 \text{ is free.} \end{array}$$

To find two particular solutions, we pick values for our free variables. Again, there is no "right" way of doing this (in fact, there are . . . infinite ways of doing this) so we give only an example here.

One particular solution is: Another particular solution is:

$$\begin{array}{ll} x_1 = 3 & x_1 = 3 - 2\pi \\ x_2 = 5 & x_2 = 5 - 4\pi \\ x_3 = 1000 & x_3 = e^2 \\ x_4 = 0. & x_4 = \pi. \end{array}$$

(In the second particular solution we picked "unusual" values for x_3 and x_4 just to highlight the fact that we can.)

Example 72 Finding general and particular solutions

Find the solution to the linear system

$$\begin{array}{rcl} x_1 + x_2 + x_3 & = & 5 \\ x_1 - x_2 + x_3 & = & 3 \end{array}$$

What kind of situation would lead to a column of all zeros? To have such a column, the original matrix needed to have a column of all zeros, meaning that while we acknowledged the existence of a certain variable, we never actually used it in any equation. In practical terms, we could respond by removing the corresponding column from the matrix and just keep in mind that that variable is free. In very large systems, it might be hard to determine whether or not a variable is actually used and one would not worry about it. When we learn about eigenvectors and eigenvalues, we will see that under certain circumstances this situation arises. In those cases we leave the variable in the system just to remind ourselves that it is there.

and give two particular solutions.

SOLUTION The corresponding augmented matrix and its reduced row echelon form are given below.

$$\left[\begin{array}{cccc} 1 & 1 & 1 & 5 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & 3 \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{\text{rref}} \left[\begin{array}{cccc} 1 & 0 & 1 & 4 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right]$$

Converting these two rows into equations, we have

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 + x_3 &= 4 \\ x_2 &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

giving us the solution

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 &= 4 - x_3 \\ x_2 &= 1 \\ x_3 &\text{ is free.} \end{aligned}$$

Once again, we get a bit of an “unusual” solution; while x_2 is a dependent variable, it does not depend on any free variable; instead, it is always 1. (We can think of it as depending on the value of 1.) By picking two values for x_3 , we get two particular solutions.

One particular solution is: Another particular solution is:

$$\begin{array}{ll} x_1 = 4 & x_1 = 3 \\ x_2 = 1 & x_2 = 1 \\ x_3 = 0. & x_3 = 1. \end{array}$$

The constants and coefficients of a matrix work together to determine whether a given system of linear equations has one, infinite, or no solution. The concept will be fleshed out more in later chapters, but in short, the coefficients determine whether a matrix will have exactly one solution or not. In the “or not” case, the constants determine whether or not infinite solutions or no solution exists. (So if a given linear system has exactly one solution, it will always have exactly one solution even if the constants are changed.) Let’s look at an example to get an idea of how the values of constants and coefficients work together to determine the solution type.

Example 73 Solving a system with a variable coefficient

For what values of k will the given system have exactly one solution, infinite solutions, or no solution?

$$\begin{array}{lcl} x_1 + 2x_2 & = & 3 \\ 3x_1 + kx_2 & = & 9 \end{array}$$

SOLUTION We answer this question by forming the augmented matrix and starting the process of putting it into reduced row echelon form. Below we see the augmented matrix and one elementary row operation that starts the Gaussian elimination process.

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 3 & k & 9 \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{-3R_1 + R_2 \rightarrow R_2} \left[\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 0 & k-6 & 0 \end{array} \right]$$

This is as far as we need to go. In looking at the second row, we see that if $k = 6$, then that row contains only zeros and x_2 is a free variable; we have infinite solutions. If $k \neq 6$, then our next step would be to make that second row, second column entry a leading one. We don't particularly care about the solution, only that we would have exactly one as both x_1 and x_2 would correspond to a leading one and hence be dependent variables.

Our final analysis is then this. If $k \neq 6$, there is exactly one solution; if $k = 6$, there are infinite solutions. In this example, it is not possible to have no solutions.

As an extension of the previous example, consider the similar augmented matrix where the constant 9 is replaced with a 10. Performing the same elementary row operation gives

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 3 & k & 10 \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{-3R_1 + R_2 \rightarrow R_2} \left[\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 0 & k-6 & 1 \end{array} \right].$$

As in the previous example, if $k \neq 6$, we can make the second row, second column entry a leading one and hence we have one solution. However, if $k = 6$, then our last row is $[0 \ 0 \ 1]$, meaning we have no solution.

We have been studying the solutions to linear systems mostly in an “academic” setting; we have been solving systems for the sake of solving systems. In the next section, we’ll look at situations which create linear systems that need solving (i.e., “word problems”).

Exercises 3.4

Problems

In Exercises 1 – 14, find the solution to the given linear system. If the system has infinite solutions, give 2 particular solutions.

$$1. \begin{array}{rcl} 2x_1 & + & 4x_2 = 2 \\ x_1 & + & 2x_2 = 1 \end{array}$$

$$2. \begin{array}{rcl} -x_1 & + & 5x_2 = 3 \\ 2x_1 & - & 10x_2 = -6 \end{array}$$

$$3. \begin{array}{rcl} x_1 & + & x_2 = 3 \\ 2x_1 & + & x_2 = 4 \end{array}$$

$$4. \begin{array}{rcl} -3x_1 & + & 7x_2 = -7 \\ 2x_1 & - & 8x_2 = 8 \end{array}$$

$$5. \begin{array}{rcl} 2x_1 & + & 3x_2 = 1 \\ -2x_1 & - & 3x_2 = 1 \end{array}$$

$$6. \begin{array}{rcl} x_1 & + & 2x_2 = 1 \\ -x_1 & - & 2x_2 = 5 \end{array}$$

$$7. \begin{array}{rcl} -2x_1 & + & 4x_2 & + & 4x_3 = 6 \\ x_1 & - & 3x_2 & + & 2x_3 = 1 \end{array}$$

$$8. \begin{array}{rcl} -x_1 & + & 2x_2 & + & 2x_3 = 2 \\ 2x_1 & + & 5x_2 & + & x_3 = 2 \end{array}$$

$$9. \begin{array}{rcl} -x_1 - x_2 + x_3 + x_4 = 0 \\ -2x_1 - 2x_2 + x_3 = -1 \end{array}$$

$$10. \begin{array}{rcl} x_1 + x_2 + 6x_3 + 9x_4 = 0 \\ -x_1 - x_3 - 2x_4 = -3 \end{array}$$

$$11. \begin{array}{rcl} 2x_1 & + & x_2 & + & 2x_3 = 0 \\ x_1 & + & x_2 & + & 3x_3 = 1 \\ 3x_1 & + & 2x_2 & + & 5x_3 = 3 \end{array}$$

$$12. \begin{array}{rcl} x_1 & + & 3x_2 & + & 3x_3 = 1 \\ 2x_1 & - & x_2 & + & 2x_3 = -1 \\ 4x_1 & + & 5x_2 & + & 8x_3 = 2 \end{array}$$

$$13. \begin{array}{rcl} x_1 & + & 2x_2 & + & 2x_3 = 1 \\ 2x_1 & + & x_2 & + & 3x_3 = 1 \\ 3x_1 & + & 3x_2 & + & 5x_3 = 2 \end{array}$$

$$14. \begin{array}{rcl} 2x_1 & + & 4x_2 & + & 6x_3 = 2 \\ 1x_1 & + & 2x_2 & + & 3x_3 = 1 \\ -3x_1 & - & 6x_2 & - & 9x_3 = -3 \end{array}$$

In Exercises 15 – 18, state for which values of k the given system will have exactly 1 solution, infinite solutions, or no solution.

$$15. \begin{array}{rcl} x_1 & + & 2x_2 = 1 \\ 2x_1 & + & 4x_2 = k \end{array}$$

$$16. \begin{array}{rcl} x_1 & + & 2x_2 = 1 \\ x_1 & + & kx_2 = 1 \end{array}$$

$$17. \begin{array}{rcl} x_1 & + & 2x_2 = 1 \\ x_1 & + & kx_2 = 2 \end{array}$$

$$18. \begin{array}{rcl} x_1 & + & 2x_2 = 1 \\ x_1 & + & 3x_2 = k \end{array}$$

3.5 Applications of Linear Systems

AS YOU READ . . .

1. How do most problems appear “in the real world?”
2. The unknowns in a problem are also called what?
3. How many points are needed to determine the coefficients of a 5th degree polynomial?

We've started this chapter by addressing the issue of finding the solution to a system of linear equations. In subsequent sections, we defined matrices to store linear equation information; we described how we can manipulate matrices without changing the solutions; we described how to efficiently manipulate matrices so that a working solution can be easily found.

We shouldn't lose sight of the fact that our work in the previous sections was aimed at finding solutions to systems of linear equations. In this section, we'll learn how to apply what we've learned to actually solve some problems.

Many, many, *many* problems that are addressed by engineers, businesspeople, scientists and mathematicians can be solved by properly setting up systems of linear equations. In this section we highlight only a few of the wide variety of problems that matrix algebra can help us solve.

We start with a simple example.

Example 74 Counting marbles, again

A jar contains 100 blue, green, red and yellow marbles. There are twice as many yellow marbles as blue; there are 10 more blue marbles than red; the sum of the red and yellow marbles is the same as the sum of the blue and green. How many marbles of each color are there?

SOLUTION Let's call the number of blue balls b , and the number of the other balls g , r and y , each representing the obvious. Since we know that we have 100 marbles, we have the equation

$$b + g + r + y = 100.$$

The next sentence in our problem statement allows us to create three more equations.

We are told that there are twice as many yellow marbles as blue. One of the following two equations is correct, based on this statement; which one is it?

$$2y = b \quad \text{or} \quad 2b = y$$

The first equation says that if we take the number of yellow marbles, then double it, we'll have the number of blue marbles. That is not what we were told. The second equation states that if we take the number of blue marbles, then double it, we'll have the number of yellow marbles. This *is* what we were told.

The next statement of “there are 10 more blue marbles as red” can be written as either

$$b = r + 10 \quad \text{or} \quad r = b + 10.$$

Which is it?

The first equation says that if we take the number of red marbles, then add 10, we'll have the number of blue marbles. This is what we were told. The next equation is wrong; it implies there are more red marbles than blue.

The final statement tells us that the sum of the red and yellow marbles is the same as the sum of the blue and green marbles, giving us the equation

$$r + y = b + g.$$

We have four equations; altogether, they are

$$\begin{aligned} b + g + r + y &= 100 \\ 2b &= y \\ b &= r + 10 \\ r + y &= b + g. \end{aligned}$$

We want to write these equations in a standard way, with all the unknowns on the left and the constants on the right. Let us also write them so that the variables appear in the same order in each equation (we'll use alphabetical order to make it simple). We now have

$$\begin{aligned} b + g + r + y &= 100 \\ 2b - y &= 0 \\ b - r &= 10 \\ -b - g + r + y &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

To find the solution, let's form the appropriate augmented matrix and put it into reduced row echelon form. We do so here, without showing the steps.

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccccc} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 100 \\ 2 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 10 \\ -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{\text{rref}} \left[\begin{array}{ccccc} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 20 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 30 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 10 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 40 \end{array} \right]$$

We interpret from the reduced row echelon form of the matrix that we have 20 blue, 30 green, 10 red and 40 yellow marbles.

Even if you had a bit of difficulty with the previous example, in reality, this type of problem is pretty simple. The unknowns were easy to identify, the equations were pretty straightforward to write (maybe a bit tricky for some), and only the necessary information was given.

Most problems that we face in the world do not approach us in this way; most problems do not approach us in the form of "Here is an equation. Solve it." Rather, most problems come in the form of:

Here is a problem. I want the solution. To help, here is lots of information. It may be just enough; it may be too much; it may not be enough. You figure out what you need; just give me the solution.

Faced with this type of problem, how do we proceed? Like much of what we've done in the past, there isn't just one "right" way. However, there are a few steps that can guide us. You don't have to follow these steps, "step by step," but if you find that you are having difficulty solving a problem, working through these steps may help. (Note: while the principles outlined here will help one solve any type of problem, these steps are written specifically for solving problems that involve only linear equations.)

Key Idea 17 Mathematical Problem Solving

1. Understand the problem. What exactly is being asked?
2. Identify the unknowns. What are you trying to find? What units are involved?
3. Give names to your unknowns (these are your *variables*).
4. Use the information given to write as many equations as you can that involve these variables.
5. Use the equations to form an augmented matrix; use Gaussian elimination to put the matrix into reduced row echelon form.
6. Interpret the reduced row echelon form of the matrix to identify the solution.
7. Ensure the solution makes sense in the context of the problem.

Having identified some steps, let us put them into practice with some examples.

Example 75 Arranging seating

A concert hall has seating arranged in three sections. As part of a special promotion, guests will receive two of three prizes. Guests seated in the first and second sections will receive Prize A, guests seated in the second and third sections will receive Prize B, and guests seated in the first and third sections will receive Prize C. Concert promoters told the concert hall managers of their plans, and asked how many seats were in each section. (The promoters want to store prizes for each section separately for easier distribution.) The managers, thinking they were being helpful, told the promoters they would need 105 A prizes, 103 B prizes, and 88 C prizes, and have since been unavailable for further help. How many seats are in each section?

SOLUTION Before we rush in and start making equations, we should be clear about what is being asked. The final sentence asks: “How many seats are in each section?” This tells us what our unknowns should be: we should name our unknowns for the number of seats in each section. Let x_1 , x_2 and x_3 denote the number of seats in the first, second and third sections, respectively. This covers the first two steps of our general problem solving technique.

(It is tempting, perhaps, to name our variables for the number of prizes given away. However, when we think more about this, we realize that we already know this – that information is given to us. Rather, we should name our variables for the things we don’t know.)

Having our unknowns identified and variables named, we now proceed to forming equations from the information given. Knowing that Prize A goes to guests in the first and second sections and that we’ll need 105 of these prizes tells us

$$x_1 + x_2 = 105.$$

Proceeding in a similar fashion, we get two more equations,

$$x_2 + x_3 = 103 \quad \text{and} \quad x_1 + x_3 = 88.$$

Thus our linear system is

$$\begin{aligned}x_1 + x_2 &= 105 \\x_2 + x_3 &= 103 \\x_1 + x_3 &= 88\end{aligned}$$

and the corresponding augmented matrix is

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & 1 & 0 & 105 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 103 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 88 \end{array} \right].$$

To solve our system, let's put this matrix into reduced row echelon form.

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & 1 & 0 & 105 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 103 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 88 \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{\text{ref}} \left[\begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & 0 & 0 & 45 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 60 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 43 \end{array} \right]$$

We can now read off our solution. The first section has 45 seats, the second has 60 seats, and the third has 43 seats.

Example 76 Determining river speed

A lady takes a 2-mile motorized boat trip down the Highwater River, knowing the trip will take 30 minutes. She asks the boat pilot “How fast does this river flow?” He replies “I have no idea, lady. I just drive the boat.”

She thinks for a moment, then asks “How long does the return trip take?” He replies “The same; half an hour.” She follows up with the statement, “Since both legs take the same time, you must not drive the boat at the same speed.”

“Naw,” the pilot said. “While I really don’t know exactly how fast I go, I do know that since we don’t carry any tourists, I drive the boat twice as fast.”

The lady walks away satisfied; she knows how fast the river flows.
(How fast *does* it flow?)

SOLUTION This problem forces us to think about what information is given and how to use it to find what we want to know. In fact, to find the solution, we’ll find out extra information that we weren’t asked for!

We are asked to find how fast the river is moving (step 1). To find this, we should recognize that, in some sense, there are three speeds at work in the boat trips: the speed of the river (which we want to find), the speed of the boat, and the speed that they actually travel at.

We know that each leg of the trip takes half an hour; if it takes half an hour to cover 2 miles, then they must be travelling at 4 mph, each way.

The other two speeds are unknowns, but they are related to the overall speeds. Let’s call the speed of the river r and the speed of the boat b . (And we should be careful. From the conversation, we know that the boat travels at two different speeds. So we’ll say that b represents the speed of the boat when it travels downstream, so $2b$ represents the speed of the boat when it travels upstream.) Let’s let our speed be measured in the units of miles/hour (mph) as we used above (steps 2 and 3).

What is the rate of the people on the boat? When they are travelling downstream, their rate is the sum of the water speed and the boat speed. Since their overall speed is 4 mph, we have the equation $r + b = 4$.

When the boat returns going against the current, its overall speed is the rate of the boat minus the rate of the river (since the river is working against the boat). The overall trip is still taken at 4 mph, so we have the equation $2b - r = 4$. (Recall: the boat is travelling twice as fast as before.)

The corresponding augmented matrix is

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 1 & 4 \\ 2 & -1 & 4 \end{array} \right].$$

Note that we decided to let the first column hold the coefficients of b .

Putting this matrix in reduced row echelon form gives us:

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 1 & 4 \\ 2 & -1 & 4 \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{\text{rref}} \left[\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 0 & 8/3 \\ 0 & 1 & 4/3 \end{array} \right].$$

We finish by interpreting this solution: the speed of the boat (going downstream) is $8/3$ mph, or $2.\overline{6}$ mph, and the speed of the river is $4/3$ mph, or $1.\overline{3}$ mph. All we really wanted to know was the speed of the river, at about 1.3 mph.

Example 77 Fitting a quadratic curve

Find the equation of the quadratic function that goes through the points $(-1, 6)$, $(1, 2)$ and $(2, 3)$.

SOLUTION This may not seem like a “linear” problem since we are talking about a quadratic function, but closer examination will show that it really is.

We normally write quadratic functions as $y = ax^2 + bx + c$ where a , b and c are the coefficients; in this case, they are our unknowns. We have three points; consider the point $(-1, 6)$. This tells us directly that if $x = -1$, then $y = 6$. Therefore we know that $6 = a(-1)^2 + b(-1) + c$. Writing this in a more standard form, we have the linear equation

$$a - b + c = 6.$$

The second point tells us that $a(1)^2 + b(1) + c = 2$, which we can simplify as $a + b + c = 2$, and the last point tells us $a(2)^2 + b(2) + c = 3$, or $4a + 2b + c = 3$. Thus our linear system is

$$\begin{aligned} a - b + c &= 6 \\ a + b + c &= 2 \\ 4a + 2b + c &= 3. \end{aligned}$$

Again, to solve our system, we find the reduced row echelon form of the corresponding augmented matrix. We don’t show the steps here, just the final result.

$$\left[\begin{array}{cccc} 1 & -1 & 1 & 6 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 4 & 2 & 1 & 3 \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{\text{rref}} \left[\begin{array}{cccc} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 3 \end{array} \right]$$

This tells us that $a = 1$, $b = -2$ and $c = 3$, giving us the quadratic function $y = x^2 - 2x + 3$.

One thing interesting about the previous example is that it confirms for us something that we may have known for a while (but didn’t know *why* it was true). Why do we need two points to find the equation of the line? Because in the equation of the a line, we have two unknowns, and hence we’ll need two equations to find values for these unknowns.

A quadratic has three unknowns (the coefficients of the x^2 term and the x term, and the constant). Therefore we’ll need three equations, and therefore we’ll need three points.

What happens if we try to find the quadratic function that goes through 3 points that are all on the same line? The fast answer is that you'll get the equation of a line; there isn't a quadratic function that goes through 3 colinear points. Try it and see! (Pick easy points, like $(0, 0)$, $(1, 1)$ and $(2, 2)$. You'll find that the coefficient of the x^2 term is 0.)

Of course, we can do the same type of thing to find polynomials that go through 4, 5, etc., points. In general, if you are given $n + 1$ points, a polynomial that goes through all $n + 1$ points will have degree at most n .

Example 78 A money counting problem

A woman has 32 \$1, \$5 and \$10 bills in her purse, giving her a total of \$100. How many bills of each denomination does she have?

SOLUTION Let's name our unknowns x , y and z for our ones, fives and tens, respectively (it is tempting to call them o , f and t , but o looks too much like 0). We know that there are a total of 32 bills, so we have the equation

$$x + y + z = 32.$$

We also know that we have \$100, so we have the equation

$$x + 5y + 10z = 100.$$

We have three unknowns but only two equations, so we know that we cannot expect a unique solution. Let's try to solve this system anyway and see what we get.

Putting the system into a matrix and then finding the reduced row echelon form, we have

$$\left[\begin{array}{cccc} 1 & 1 & 1 & 32 \\ 1 & 5 & 10 & 100 \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{\text{rref}} \left[\begin{array}{cccc} 1 & 0 & -\frac{5}{4} & 15 \\ 0 & 1 & \frac{9}{4} & 17 \end{array} \right].$$

Reading from our reduced matrix, we have the infinite solution set

$$\begin{aligned} x &= 15 + \frac{5}{4}z \\ y &= 17 - \frac{9}{4}z \\ z &\text{ is free.} \end{aligned}$$

While we do have infinite solutions, most of these solutions really don't make sense in the context of this problem. (Setting $z = \frac{1}{2}$ doesn't make sense, for having half a ten dollar bill doesn't give us \$5. Likewise, having $z = 8$ doesn't make sense, for then we'd have "−1" \$5 bills.) So we must make sure that our choice of z doesn't give us fractions of bills or negative amounts of bills.

To avoid fractions, z must be a multiple of 4 ($-4, 0, 4, 8, \dots$). Of course, $z \geq 0$ for a negative number wouldn't make sense. If $z = 0$, then we have 15 one dollar bills and 17 five dollar bills, giving us \$100. If $z = 4$, then we have $x = 20$ and $y = 8$. We already mentioned that $z = 8$ doesn't make sense, nor does any value of z where $z \geq 8$.

So it seems that we have two answers; one with $z = 0$ and one with $z = 4$. Of course, by the statement of the problem, we are led to believe that the lady has at least one \$10 bill, so probably the "best" answer is that we have 20 \$1 bills, 8 \$5 bills and 4 \$10 bills. The real point of this example, though, is to address how infinite solutions may appear in a real world situation, and how surprising things may result.

Example 79 Recreating a football score

In a football game, teams can score points through touchdowns worth 6 points,

extra points (that follow touchdowns) worth 1 point, two point conversions (that also follow touchdowns) worth 2 points and field goals, worth 3 points. You are told that in a football game, the two competing teams scored on 7 occasions, giving a total score of 24 points. Each touchdown was followed by either a successful extra point or two point conversion. In what ways were these points scored?

SOLUTION The question asks how the points were scored; we can interpret this as asking how many touchdowns, extra points, two point conversions and field goals were scored. We'll need to assign variable names to our unknowns; let t represent the number of touchdowns scored; let x represent the number of extra points scored, let w represent the number of two point conversions, and let f represent the number of field goals scored.

Now we address the issue of writing equations with these variables using the given information. Since we have a total of 7 scoring occasions, we know that

$$t + x + w + f = 7.$$

The total points scored is 24; considering the value of each type of scoring opportunity, we can write the equation

$$6t + x + 2w + 3f = 24.$$

Finally, we know that each touchdown was followed by a successful extra point or two point conversion. This is subtle, but it tells us that the number of touchdowns is equal to the sum of extra points and two point conversions. In other words,

$$t = x + w.$$

To solve our problem, we put these equations into a matrix and put the matrix into reduced row echelon form. Doing so, we find

$$\left[\begin{array}{cccc|c} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 7 \\ 6 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 24 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{\text{rref}} \left[\begin{array}{ccccc} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0.5 & 3.5 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -0.5 & -0.5 \end{array} \right].$$

Therefore, we know that

$$\begin{aligned} t &= 3.5 - 0.5f \\ x &= 4 - f \\ w &= -0.5 + 0.5f. \end{aligned}$$

We recognize that this means there are “infinite solutions,” but of course most of these will not make sense in the context of a real football game. We must apply some logic to make sense of the situation.

Progressing in no particular order, consider the second equation, $x = 4 - f$. In order for us to have a positive number of extra points, we must have $f \leq 4$. (And of course, we need $f \geq 0$, too.) Therefore, right away we know we have a total of only 5 possibilities, where $f = 0, 1, 2, 3$ or 4 .

From the first and third equations, we see that if f is an even number, then t and w will both be fractions (for instance, if $f = 0$, then $t = 3.5$) which does not make sense. Therefore, we are down to two possible solutions, $f = 1$ and $f = 3$.

If $f = 1$, we have 3 touchdowns, 3 extra points, no two point conversions, and (of course), 1 field goal. (Check to make sure that gives 24 points!) If $f = 3$, then we 2 touchdowns, 1 extra point, 1 two point conversion, and (of course) 3 field goals. Again, check to make sure this gives us 24 points. Also, we should

check each solution to make sure that we have a total of 7 scoring occasions and that each touchdown could be followed by an extra point or a two point conversion.

We have seen a variety of applications of systems of linear equations. We would do well to remind ourselves of the ways in which solutions to linear systems come: there can be exactly one solution, infinite solutions, or no solutions. While we did see a few examples where it seemed like we had only 2 solutions, this was because we were restricting our solutions to “make sense” within a certain context.

We should also remind ourselves that linear equations are immensely important. The examples we considered here ask fundamentally simple questions like “How fast is the water moving?” or “What is the quadratic function that goes through these three points?” or “How were points in a football game scored?” The real “important” situations ask much more difficult questions that often require *thousands* of equations! (Gauss began the systematic study of solving systems of linear equations while trying to predict the next sighting of a comet; he needed to solve a system of linear equations that had 17 unknowns. Today, this a relatively easy situation to handle with the help of computers, but to do it by hand is a real pain.) Once we understand the fundamentals of solving systems of equations, we can move on to looking at solving bigger systems of equations; this text focuses on getting us to understand the fundamentals.

Exercises 3.5

Problems

In Exercises 1 – 5, find the solution of the given problem by:

- (a) creating an appropriate system of linear equations
- (b) forming the augmented matrix that corresponds to this system
- (c) putting the augmented matrix into reduced row echelon form
- (d) interpreting the reduced row echelon form of the matrix as a solution

1. A farmer looks out his window at his chickens and pigs. He tells his daughter that he sees 62 heads and 190 legs. How many chickens and pigs does the farmer have?
2. A lady buys 20 trinkets at a yard sale. The cost of each trinket is either \$0.30 or \$0.65. If she spends \$8.80, how many of each type of trinket does she buy?
3. A carpenter can make two sizes of table, grande and venti. The grande table requires 4 table legs and 1 table top; the venti requires 6 table legs and 2 table tops. After doing work, he counts up spare parts in his warehouse and realizes that he has 86 table tops left over, and 300 legs. How many tables of each kind can he build and use up exactly all of his materials?
4. A jar contains 100 marbles. We know there are twice as many green marbles as red; that the number of blue and yellow marbles together is the same as the number of green; and that three times the number of yellow marbles together with the red marbles gives the same numbers as the blue marbles. How many of each color of marble are in the jar?
5. A rescue mission has 85 sandwiches, 65 bags of chips and 210 cookies. They know from experience that men will eat 2 sandwiches, 1 bag of chips and 4 cookies; women will eat 1 sandwich, a bag of chips and 2 cookies; kids will eat half a sandwich, a bag of chips and 3 cookies. If they want to use all their food up, how many men, women and kids can they feed?

In Exercises 6 – 15, find the polynomial with the smallest degree that goes through the given points.

6. $(1, 3)$ and $(3, 15)$
7. $(-2, 14)$ and $(3, 4)$
8. $(1, 5)$, $(-1, 3)$ and $(3, -1)$
9. $(-4, -3)$, $(0, 1)$ and $(1, 4.5)$
10. $(-1, -8)$, $(1, -2)$ and $(3, 4)$
11. $(-3, 3)$, $(1, 3)$ and $(2, 3)$

12. $(-2, 15)$, $(-1, 4)$, $(1, 0)$ and $(2, -5)$
13. $(-2, -7)$, $(1, 2)$, $(2, 9)$ and $(3, 28)$
14. $(-3, 10)$, $(-1, 2)$, $(1, 2)$ and $(2, 5)$
15. $(0, 1)$, $(-3, -3.5)$, $(-2, -2)$ and $(4, 7)$
16. The general exponential function has the form $f(x) = ae^{bx}$, where a and b are constants and e is Euler's constant (≈ 2.718). We want to find the equation of the exponential function that goes through the points $(1, 2)$ and $(2, 4)$.
 - (a) Show why we cannot simply substitute in values for x and y in $y = ae^{bx}$ and solve using the techniques we used for polynomials.
 - (b) Show how the equality $y = ae^{bx}$ leads us to the linear equation $\ln y = \ln a + bx$.
 - (c) Use the techniques we developed to solve for the unknowns $\ln a$ and b .
 - (d) Knowing $\ln a$, find a ; find the exponential function $f(x) = ae^{bx}$ that goes through the points $(1, 2)$ and $(2, 4)$.
17. In a football game, 24 points are scored from 8 scoring occasions. The number of successful extra point kicks is equal to the number of successful two point conversions. Find all ways in which the points may have been scored in this game.
18. In a football game, 29 points are scored from 8 scoring occasions. There are 2 more successful extra point kicks than successful two point conversions. Find all ways in which the points may have been scored in this game.
19. In a basketball game, where points are scored either by a 3 point shot, a 2 point shot or a 1 point free throw, 80 points were scored from 30 successful shots. Find all ways in which the points may have been scored in this game.
20. In a basketball game, where points are scored either by a 3 point shot, a 2 point shot or a 1 point free throw, 110 points were scored from 70 successful shots. Find all ways in which the points may have been scored in this game.
21. Describe the equations of the linear functions that go through the point $(1, 3)$. Give 2 examples.
22. Describe the equations of the linear functions that go through the point $(2, 5)$. Give 2 examples.
23. Describe the equations of the quadratic functions that go through the points $(2, -1)$ and $(1, 0)$. Give 2 examples.
24. Describe the equations of the quadratic functions that go through the points $(-1, 3)$ and $(2, 6)$. Give 2 examples.

4: MATRIX ARITHMETIC

A fundamental topic of mathematics is arithmetic; adding, subtracting, multiplying and dividing numbers. After learning how to do this, most of us went on to learn how to add, subtract, multiply and divide “ x ”. We are comfortable with expressions such as

$$x + 3x - x \cdot x^2 + x^5 \cdot x^{-1}$$

and know that we can “simplify” this to

$$4x - x^3 + x^4.$$

This chapter deals with the idea of doing similar operations, but instead of an unknown number x , we will be using a matrix A . So what exactly does the expression

$$A + 3A - A \cdot A^2 + A^5 \cdot A^{-1}$$

mean? We are going to need to learn to define what matrix addition, scalar multiplication, matrix multiplication and matrix inversion are. We will learn just that, plus some more good stuff, in this chapter.

4.1 Matrix Addition and Scalar Multiplication

AS YOU READ . . .

1. When are two matrices equal?
2. Write an explanation of how to add matrices as though writing to someone who knows what a matrix is but not much more.
3. T/F: There is only 1 zero matrix.
4. T/F: To multiply a matrix by 2 means to multiply each entry in the matrix by 2.

In the past, when we dealt with expressions that used “ x ,” we didn’t just add and multiply x ’s together for the fun of it, but rather because we were usually given some sort of *equation* that had x in it and we had to “solve for x .”

This begs the question, “What does it mean to be equal?” Two numbers are equal, when, . . . , uh, . . . , never mind. What does it mean for two matrices to be equal? We say that matrices A and B are equal when their corresponding entries are equal. This seems like a very simple definition, but it is rather important, so we give it a box.

Definition 44 Matrix Equality

Two $m \times n$ matrices A and B are *equal* if their corresponding entries are equal.

Notice that our more formal definition specifies that if matrices are equal, they have the same dimensions. This should make sense.

Now we move on to describing how to add two matrices together. To start off, take a wild stab: what do you think the following sum is equal to?

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ 5 & 7 \end{bmatrix} = ?$$

If you guessed

$$\begin{bmatrix} 3 & 1 \\ 8 & 11 \end{bmatrix},$$

you guessed correctly. That wasn't so hard, was it?

Let's keep going, hoping that we are starting to get on a roll. Make another wild guess: what do you think the following expression is equal to?

$$3 \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix} = ?$$

If you guessed

$$\begin{bmatrix} 3 & 6 \\ 9 & 12 \end{bmatrix},$$

you guessed correctly!

Even if you guessed wrong both times, you probably have seen enough in these two examples to have a fair idea now what matrix addition and scalar multiplication are all about.

Before we formally define how to perform the above operations, let us first recall that if A is an $m \times n$ matrix, then we can write A as

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{m1} & a_{m2} & \cdots & a_{mn} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Secondly, we should define what we mean by the word *scalar*. A scalar is any number that we multiply a matrix by. (In some sense, we use that number to *scale* the matrix.) We are now ready to define our first arithmetic operations.

Definition 45 Matrix Addition

Let A and B be $m \times n$ matrices. The *sum* of A and B , denoted $A + B$, is

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_{11} + b_{11} & a_{12} + b_{12} & \cdots & a_{1n} + b_{1n} \\ a_{21} + b_{21} & a_{22} + b_{22} & \cdots & a_{2n} + b_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{m1} + b_{m1} & a_{m2} + b_{m2} & \cdots & a_{mn} + b_{mn} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Definition 46 Scalar Multiplication

Let A be an $m \times n$ matrix and let k be a scalar. The *scalar multiplication* of k and A , denoted kA , is

$$\begin{bmatrix} ka_{11} & ka_{12} & \cdots & ka_{1n} \\ ka_{21} & ka_{22} & \cdots & ka_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ ka_{m1} & ka_{m2} & \cdots & ka_{mn} \end{bmatrix}.$$

We are now ready for an example.

Example 80 Matrix addition and scalar multiplication

Let

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ -1 & 2 & 1 \\ 5 & 5 & 5 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 4 & 6 \\ 1 & 2 & 2 \\ -1 & 0 & 4 \end{bmatrix}, \quad C = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 9 & 8 & 7 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Simplify the following matrix expressions.

1. $A + B$
3. $A - B$
5. $-3A + 2B$
2. $B + A$
4. $A + C$
6. $A - A$
7. $5A + 5B$
8. $5(A + B)$

SOLUTION

$$1. A + B = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 6 & 9 \\ 0 & 4 & 3 \\ 4 & 5 & 9 \end{bmatrix}.$$

$$2. B + A = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 6 & 9 \\ 0 & 4 & 3 \\ 4 & 5 & 9 \end{bmatrix}.$$

$$3. A - B = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & -2 & -3 \\ -2 & 0 & -1 \\ 6 & 5 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

4. $A + C$ is not defined. If we look at our definition of matrix addition, we see that the two matrices need to be the same size. Since A and C have different dimensions, we don't even try to create something as an addition; we simply say that the sum is not defined.

$$5. -3A + 2B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 5 & -2 & 1 \\ -17 & -15 & -7 \end{bmatrix}.$$

$$6. A - A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

$$7. \text{ Strictly speaking, this is } \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 10 & 15 \\ -5 & 10 & 5 \\ 25 & 25 & 25 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 10 & 20 & 30 \\ 5 & 10 & 10 \\ -5 & 0 & 20 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 15 & 30 & 45 \\ 0 & 20 & 15 \\ 20 & 25 & 45 \end{bmatrix}.$$

8. Strictly speaking, this is

$$\begin{aligned} 5 \left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ -1 & 2 & 1 \\ 5 & 5 & 5 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 4 & 6 \\ 1 & 2 & 2 \\ -1 & 0 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \right) &= 5 \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 6 & 9 \\ 0 & 4 & 3 \\ 4 & 5 & 9 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 15 & 30 & 45 \\ 0 & 20 & 15 \\ 20 & 25 & 45 \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Our example raised a few interesting points. Notice how $A + B = B + A$. We probably aren't surprised by this, since we know that when dealing with numbers, $a+b = b+a$. Also, notice that $5A+5B = 5(A+B)$. In our example, we were careful to compute each of these expressions following the proper order of operations; knowing these are equal allows us to compute similar expressions in the most convenient way.

We use the bold face to distinguish the zero matrix, **0**, from the number zero, 0.

Another interesting thing that came from our previous example is that

$$A - A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

It seems like this should be a special matrix; after all, every entry is 0 and 0 is a special number.

In fact, this is a special matrix. We define **0**, which we read as “the zero matrix,” to be the matrix of all zeros. We should be careful; this previous “definition” is a bit ambiguous, for we have not stated what size the zero matrix should be. Is $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ the zero matrix? How about $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$?

Let's not get bogged down in semantics. If we ever see **0** in an expression, we will usually know right away what size **0** should be; it will be the size that allows the expression to make sense. If A is a 3×5 matrix, and we write $A + \mathbf{0}$, we'll simply assume that **0** is also a 3×5 matrix. If we are ever in doubt, we can add a subscript; for instance, $\mathbf{0}_{2 \times 7}$ is the 2×7 matrix of all zeros.

Since the zero matrix is an important concept, we give it its own definition box.

Definition 47 The Zero Matrix

The $m \times n$ matrix of all zeros, denoted $\mathbf{0}_{m \times n}$, is the *zero matrix*.

When the dimensions of the zero matrix are clear from the context, the subscript is generally omitted.

The following presents some of the properties of matrix addition and scalar multiplication that we discovered above, plus a few more.

Theorem 19 Properties of Matrix Addition and Scalar Multiplication

The following equalities hold for all $m \times n$ matrices A , B and C and scalars k .

1. $A + B = B + A$ (Commutative Property)
2. $(A + B) + C = A + (B + C)$ (Associative Property)
3. $k(A + B) = kA + kB$ (Scalar Multiplication Distributive Property)
4. $kA = Ak$
5. $A + \mathbf{0} = \mathbf{0} + A = A$ (Additive Identity)
6. $0A = \mathbf{0}$

Be sure that this last property makes sense; it says that if we multiply any matrix by the *number* 0, the result is the *zero matrix*, or $\mathbf{0}$.

We began this section with the concept of matrix equality. Let's put our matrix addition properties to use and solve a matrix equation.

Example 81 Solving a matrix equation

Let

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ 3 & 6 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Find the matrix X such that

$$2A + 3X = -4A.$$

SOLUTION We can use basic algebra techniques to manipulate this equation for X ; first, let's subtract $2A$ from both sides. This gives us

$$3X = -6A.$$

Now divide both sides by 3 to get

$$X = -2A.$$

Now we just need to compute $-2A$; we find that

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} -4 & 2 \\ -6 & -12 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Our matrix properties identified **0** as the Additive Identity; i.e., if you add **0** to any matrix A , you simply get A . This is similar in notion to the fact that for all numbers a , $a + 0 = a$. A *Multiplicative Identity* would be a matrix I where $I \times A = A$ for all matrices A . (What would such a matrix look like? A matrix of all 1s, perhaps?) However, in order for this to make sense, we'll need to learn to multiply matrices together, which we'll do in the next section.

Exercises 4.1

Problems

Matrices A and B are given below. In Exercises 1 – 6, simplify the given expression.

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 7 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} -3 & 2 \\ 5 & 9 \end{bmatrix}$$

1. $A + B$

2. $2A - 3B$

3. $3A - A$

4. $4B - 2A$

5. $3(A - B) + B$

6. $2(A - B) - (A - 3B)$

Matrices A and B are given below. In Exercises 7 – 10, simplify the given expression.

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix} \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}$$

7. $4B - 2A$

8. $-2A + 3A$

9. $-2A - 3A$

10. $-B + 3B - 2B$

Matrices A and B are given below. In Exercises 11 – 14, find X that satisfies the equation.

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & -1 \\ 2 & 5 \end{bmatrix} \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 7 \\ 3 & -4 \end{bmatrix}$$

11. $2A + X = B$

12. $A - X = 3B$

13. $3A + 2X = -1B$

14. $A - \frac{1}{2}X = -B$

In Exercises 15 – 21, find values for the scalars a and b that satisfy the given equation.

15. $a \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} + b \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 9 \end{bmatrix}$

16. $a \begin{bmatrix} -3 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + b \begin{bmatrix} 8 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 7 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$

17. $a \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix} + b \begin{bmatrix} -6 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 10 \\ -5 \end{bmatrix}$

18. $a \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + b \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 5 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix}$

19. $a \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} + b \begin{bmatrix} -3 \\ -9 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ -12 \end{bmatrix}$

20. $a \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} + b \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$

21. $a \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + b \begin{bmatrix} 5 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 4 \\ 7 \end{bmatrix}$

4.2 Matrix Multiplication

AS YOU READ ...

1. T/F: Column vectors are used more in this text than row vectors, although some other texts do the opposite.
2. T/F: To multiply $A \times B$, the number of rows of A and B need to be the same.
3. T/F: The entry in the 2nd row and 3rd column of the product AB comes from multiplying the 2nd row of A with the 3rd column of B .
4. Name two properties of matrix multiplication that also hold for “regular multiplication” of numbers.
5. Name a property of “regular multiplication” of numbers that does not hold for matrix multiplication.
6. T/F: $A^3 = A \cdot A \cdot A$

In the previous section we found that the definition of matrix addition was very intuitive, and we ended that section discussing the fact that eventually we'd like to know what it means to multiply matrices together.

In the spirit of the last section, take another wild stab: what do you think

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 2 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

means?

You are likely to have guessed

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 \\ 6 & 8 \end{bmatrix}$$

but this is, in fact, *not* right. (I guess you *could* define multiplication this way. If you'd prefer this type of multiplication, you'll have to write your own book.) The actual answer is

$$\begin{bmatrix} 5 & 3 \\ 11 & 5 \end{bmatrix}.$$

If you can look at this one example and suddenly understand exactly how matrix multiplication works, then you are probably smarter than the author. While matrix multiplication isn't hard, it isn't nearly as intuitive as matrix addition is.

To further muddy the waters (before we clear them), consider

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 2 & 2 & -1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Our experience from the last section would lend us to believe that this is not defined, but our confidence is probably a bit shaken by now. In fact, this multiplication *is* defined, and it is

$$\begin{bmatrix} 5 & 3 & -2 \\ 11 & 5 & -4 \end{bmatrix}.$$

You may see some similarity in this answer to what we got before, but again, probably not enough to really figure things out.

So let's take a step back and progress slowly. The first thing we'd like to do is define a special type of matrix called a vector.

Definition 48 Column and Row Vectors

A $m \times 1$ matrix is called a *column vector*.

A $1 \times n$ matrix is called a *row vector*.

While it isn't obvious right now, column vectors are going to become far more useful to us than row vectors. Therefore, we often omit the word "column" when referring to column vectors, and we just call them "vectors."

We have been using upper case letters to denote matrices; we use lower case letters with an arrow overtop to denote row and column vectors. An example of a row vector is

$$\vec{u} = [1 \ 2 \ -1 \ 0]$$

and an example of a column vector is

$$\vec{v} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 7 \\ 8 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Before we learn how to multiply matrices in general, we will learn what it means to multiply a row vector by a column vector.

Definition 49 Multiplying a row vector by a column vector

Let \vec{u} be an $1 \times n$ row vector with entries u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n and let \vec{v} be an $n \times 1$ column vector with entries v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n . The *product of \vec{u} and \vec{v}* , denoted $\vec{u} \cdot \vec{v}$ or $\vec{u}\vec{v}$, is

$$\sum_{i=1}^n u_i v_i = u_1 v_1 + u_2 v_2 + \dots + u_n v_n.$$

Don't worry if this definition doesn't make immediate sense. It is really an easy concept; an example will make things more clear.

Example 82 Multiplying row and column vectors

Let

$$\vec{u} = [1 \ 2 \ 3], \vec{v} = [2 \ 0 \ 1 \ -1], \vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 4 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}, \vec{y} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 5 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Find the following products.

- | | | |
|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| 1. $\vec{u}\vec{x}$ | 3. $\vec{u}\vec{y}$ | 5. $\vec{x}\vec{u}$ |
| 2. $\vec{v}\vec{y}$ | 4. $\vec{u}\vec{v}$ | |

SOLUTION

In this text, row vectors are only used in this section when we discuss matrix multiplication, whereas we'll make extensive use of column vectors. Other texts make great use of row vectors, but little use of column vectors. It is a matter of preference and tradition: "most" texts use column vectors more. In some more advanced textbooks, row vectors are considered to be "dual" to column vectors. Abstractly, a *dual vector* is an object that eats a vector and spits out a number. Here, we see that the way a row vector eats a column vector and produces a number is via multiplication.

$$1. \vec{u}\vec{x} = [1 \ 2 \ 3] \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 4 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} = 1(-2) + 2(4) + 3(3) = 15$$

$$2. \vec{v}\vec{y} = [2 \ 0 \ 1 \ -1] \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 5 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = 2(1) + 0(2) + 1(5) - 1(0) = 7$$

- 3. $\vec{u}\vec{y}$ is not defined; Definition 49 specifies that in order to multiply a row vector and column vector, they must have the same number of entries.
- 4. $\vec{u}\vec{v}$ is not defined; we only know how to multiply row vectors by column vectors. We haven't defined how to multiply two row vectors (in general, it can't be done).
- 5. The product $\vec{x}\vec{u}$ is defined, but we don't know how to do it yet. Right now, we only know how to multiply a row vector times a column vector; we don't know how to multiply a column vector times a row vector. (That's right: $\vec{u}\vec{x} \neq \vec{x}\vec{u}$!)

Now that we understand how to multiply a row vector by a column vector, we are ready to define matrix multiplication.

Definition 50 Matrix Multiplication

Let A be an $m \times r$ matrix, and let B be an $r \times n$ matrix. The *matrix product of A and B* , denoted $A \cdot B$, or simply AB , is the $m \times n$ matrix M whose entry in the i^{th} row and j^{th} column is the product of the i^{th} row of A and the j^{th} column of B .

It may help to illustrate it in this way. Let matrix A have rows $\vec{a}_1, \vec{a}_2, \dots, \vec{a}_m$ and let B have columns $\vec{b}_1, \vec{b}_2, \dots, \vec{b}_n$. Thus A looks like

$$\begin{bmatrix} - & \vec{a}_1 & - \\ - & \vec{a}_2 & - \\ \vdots & & \\ - & \vec{a}_m & - \end{bmatrix}$$

where the “-” symbols just serve as reminders that the \vec{a}_i represent rows, and B looks like

$$\begin{bmatrix} \vec{b}_1 & \vec{b}_2 & \cdots & \vec{b}_n \\ | & | & \cdots & | \end{bmatrix}$$

where again, the “|” symbols just remind us that the \vec{b}_i represent column vectors. Then

$$AB = \begin{bmatrix} \vec{a}_1\vec{b}_1 & \vec{a}_1\vec{b}_2 & \cdots & \vec{a}_1\vec{b}_n \\ \vec{a}_2\vec{b}_1 & \vec{a}_2\vec{b}_2 & \cdots & \vec{a}_2\vec{b}_n \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vec{a}_m\vec{b}_1 & \vec{a}_m\vec{b}_2 & \cdots & \vec{a}_m\vec{b}_n \end{bmatrix}.$$

Two quick notes about this definition. First, notice that in order to multiply A and B , the number of *columns* of A must be the same as the number of *rows* of B (we refer to these as the “inner dimensions”). Secondly, the resulting matrix

has the same number of *rows* as A and the same number of *columns* as B (we refer to these as the “outer dimensions”).

$$\overbrace{(m \times r) \times (r \times n)}^{\substack{\text{final dimensions are the outer} \\ \text{dimensions}}} \\ \underbrace{(m \times r) \times (r \times n)}_{\substack{\text{these inner dimensions} \\ \text{must match}}}$$

Of course, this will make much more sense when we see an example.

Example 83 A more general matrix product

Revisit the matrix product we saw at the beginning of this section; multiply

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 2 & 2 & -1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

SOLUTION Let’s call our first matrix A and the second B . We should first check to see that we can actually perform this multiplication. Matrix A is 2×2 and B is 2×3 . The “inner” dimensions match up, so we can compute the product; the “outer” dimensions tell us that the product will be 2×3 . Let

$$AB = \begin{bmatrix} m_{11} & m_{12} & m_{13} \\ m_{21} & m_{22} & m_{23} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Let’s find the value of each of the entries.

The entry m_{11} is in the first row and first column; therefore to find its value, we need to multiply the first row of A by the first column of B . Thus

$$m_{11} = [1 \ 2] \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} = 1(1) + 2(2) = 5.$$

So now we know that

$$AB = \begin{bmatrix} 5 & m_{12} & m_{13} \\ m_{21} & m_{22} & m_{23} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Finishing out the first row, we have

$$m_{12} = [1 \ 2] \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} = 1(-1) + 2(2) = 3$$

using the first row of A and the second column of B , and

$$m_{13} = [1 \ 2] \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} = 1(0) + 2(-1) = -2$$

using the first row of A and the third column of B . Thus we have

$$AB = \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 3 & -2 \\ m_{21} & m_{22} & m_{23} \end{bmatrix}.$$

To compute the second row of AB , we multiply with the second row of A . We find

$$\begin{aligned} m_{21} &= [3 \ 4] \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} = 11, \\ m_{22} &= [3 \ 4] \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} = 5, \text{ and} \\ m_{23} &= [3 \ 4] \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} = -4. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$AB = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 2 & 2 & -1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 3 & -2 \\ 11 & 5 & -4 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Example 84**Multiplying matrices**

Multiply

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 5 & 2 \\ -2 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 6 & 7 & 9 \end{bmatrix}.$$

SOLUTION Let's first check to make sure this product is defined. Again calling the first matrix A and the second B , we see that A is a 3×2 matrix and B is a 2×4 matrix; the inner dimensions match so the product is defined, and the product will be a 3×4 matrix,

$$AB = \begin{bmatrix} m_{11} & m_{12} & m_{13} & m_{14} \\ m_{21} & m_{22} & m_{23} & m_{24} \\ m_{31} & m_{32} & m_{33} & m_{34} \end{bmatrix}.$$

We will demonstrate how to compute some of the entries, then give the final answer. The reader can fill in the details of how each entry was computed.

$$m_{11} = [1 \quad -1] \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} = -1.$$

$$m_{13} = [1 \quad -1] \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 7 \end{bmatrix} = -6.$$

$$m_{23} = [5 \quad 2] \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 7 \end{bmatrix} = 19.$$

$$m_{24} = [5 \quad 2] \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 9 \end{bmatrix} = 23.$$

$$m_{32} = [-2 \quad 3] \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 6 \end{bmatrix} = 16.$$

$$m_{34} = [-2 \quad 3] \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 9 \end{bmatrix} = 25.$$

So far, we've computed this much of AB :

$$AB = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & m_{12} & -6 & m_{14} \\ m_{21} & m_{22} & 19 & 23 \\ m_{31} & 16 & m_{33} & 25 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The final product is

$$AB = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & -5 & -6 & -8 \\ 9 & 17 & 19 & 23 \\ 4 & 16 & 19 & 25 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Example 85**An undefined product**

Multiply, if possible,

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 9 & 8 & 7 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 6 \\ 5 & -1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

SOLUTION Again, we'll call the first matrix A and the second B . Checking the dimensions of each matrix, we see that A is a 2×3 matrix, whereas B is a 2×2 matrix. The inner dimensions do not match, therefore this multiplication is not defined.

Example 86 A vector product revisited

In Example 82, we were told that the product $\vec{x}\vec{u}$ was defined, where

$$\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 4 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \vec{u} = [1 \ 2 \ 3],$$

although we were not shown what that product was. Find $\vec{x}\vec{u}$.

SOLUTION Again, we need to check to make sure the dimensions work correctly (remember that even though we are referring to \vec{u} and \vec{x} as vectors, they are, in fact, just matrices).

The column vector \vec{x} has dimensions 3×1 , whereas the row vector \vec{u} has dimensions 1×3 . Since the inner dimensions do match, the matrix product is defined; the outer dimensions tell us that the product will be a 3×3 matrix, as shown below:

$$\vec{x}\vec{u} = \begin{bmatrix} m_{11} & m_{12} & m_{13} \\ m_{21} & m_{22} & m_{23} \\ m_{31} & m_{32} & m_{33} \end{bmatrix}.$$

To compute the entry m_{11} , we multiply the first row of \vec{x} by the first column of \vec{u} . What is the first row of \vec{x} ? Simply the number -2 . What is the first column of \vec{u} ? Just the number 1 . Thus $m_{11} = -2$. (This does seem odd, but through checking, you can see that we are indeed following the rules.)

What about the entry m_{12} ? Again, we multiply the first row of \vec{x} by the first column of \vec{u} ; that is, we multiply $-2(2)$. So $m_{12} = -4$.

What about m_{23} ? Multiply the second row of \vec{x} by the third column of \vec{u} ; multiply $4(3)$, so $m_{23} = 12$.

One final example: m_{31} comes from multiplying the third row of \vec{x} , which is 3 , by the first column of \vec{u} , which is 1 . Therefore $m_{31} = 3$.

So far we have computed

$$\vec{x}\vec{u} = \begin{bmatrix} -2 & -4 & m_{13} \\ m_{21} & m_{22} & 12 \\ 3 & m_{32} & m_{33} \end{bmatrix}.$$

After performing all 9 multiplications, we find

$$\vec{x}\vec{u} = \begin{bmatrix} -2 & -4 & -6 \\ 4 & 8 & 12 \\ 3 & 6 & 9 \end{bmatrix}.$$

In this last example, we saw a “nonstandard” multiplication (at least, it felt nonstandard). Studying the entries of this matrix, it seems that there are several different patterns that can be seen amongst the entries. (Remember that mathematicians like to look for patterns. Also remember that we often guess wrong at first; don't be scared and try to identify some patterns.)

In Section 4.1, we identified the zero matrix $\mathbf{0}$ that had a nice property in relation to matrix addition (i.e., $A + \mathbf{0} = A$ for any matrix A). In the following example we'll identify a matrix that works well with multiplication as well as

some multiplicative properties. For instance, we've learned how $1 \cdot A = A$; is there a *matrix* that acts like the number 1? That is, can we find a matrix X where $X \cdot A = A$? (We made a guess in Section 4.1 that maybe a matrix of all 1s would work, but you can probably already see that this guess is doomed to failure.)

Example 87 Computing matrix products

Let

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 2 & -7 & 5 \\ -2 & -8 & 3 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$C = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad I = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Find the following products.

1. AB
3. $A\mathbf{0}_{3 \times 4}$
5. IA
7. BC
2. BA
4. AI
6. I^2
8. B^2

SOLUTION We will find each product, but we leave the details of each computation to the reader.

$$1. AB = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 2 & -7 & 5 \\ -2 & -8 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 6 & 6 & 6 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -7 & -7 & -7 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$2. BA = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 2 & -7 & 5 \\ -2 & -8 & 3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -13 & 11 \\ 1 & -13 & 11 \\ 1 & -13 & 11 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$3. A\mathbf{0}_{3 \times 4} = \mathbf{0}_{3 \times 4}.$$

$$4. AI = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 2 & -7 & 5 \\ -2 & -8 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 2 & -7 & 5 \\ -2 & -8 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$5. IA = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 2 & -7 & 5 \\ -2 & -8 & 3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 2 & -7 & 5 \\ -2 & -8 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

6. We haven't formally defined what I^2 means, but we could probably make the reasonable guess that $I^2 = I \cdot I$. Thus

$$I^2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$7. BC = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 3 & 3 \\ 3 & 3 & 3 \\ 3 & 3 & 3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 3 & 3 \\ 3 & 3 & 3 \\ 3 & 3 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$8. B^2 = BB = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 3 & 3 \\ 3 & 3 & 3 \\ 3 & 3 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$



This example is simply chock full of interesting ideas; it is almost hard to think about where to start.

Interesting Idea #1: Notice that in our example, $AB \neq BA$! When dealing with numbers, we were used to the idea that $ab = ba$. With matrices, multiplication is *not* commutative. (Of course, we can find special situations where it does work. In general, though, it doesn't.)

Interesting Idea #2: Right before this example we wondered if there was a matrix that "acted like the number 1," and guessed it may be a matrix of all 1s. However, we found out that such a matrix does not work in that way; in our example, $AB \neq A$. We did find that $AI = IA = A$. There is a Multiplicative Identity; it just isn't what we thought it would be. And just as $1^2 = 1$, $I^2 = I$.

Interesting Idea #3: When dealing with numbers, we are very familiar with the notion that "If $ax = bx$, then $a = b$." (As long as $x \neq 0$.) Notice that, in our example, $BB = BC$, yet $B \neq C$. In general, just because $AX = BX$, we *cannot* conclude that $A = B$.

Matrix multiplication is turning out to be a very strange operation. We are very used to multiplying numbers, and we know a bunch of properties that hold when using this type of multiplication. When multiplying matrices, though, we probably find ourselves asking two questions, "What *does* work?" and "What *doesn't* work?" We'll answer these questions; first we'll do an example that demonstrates some of the things that do work.

Example 88 Exploring properties of matrix multiplication

Let

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad C = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Find the following:

- | | |
|---------------|------------|
| 1. $A(B + C)$ | 3. $A(BC)$ |
| 2. $AB + AC$ | 4. $(AB)C$ |

SOLUTION We'll compute each of these without showing all the intermediate steps. Keep in mind order of operations: things that appear inside of parentheses are computed first.

1.

$$\begin{aligned} A(B + C) &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \right) \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 7 & 4 \\ 17 & 10 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

2.

$$\begin{aligned} AB + AC &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 3 & -1 \\ 7 & -1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 5 \\ 10 & 11 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 7 & 4 \\ 17 & 10 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

3.

$$\begin{aligned} A(BC) &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \right) \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 3 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 1 \\ 13 & 5 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

4.

$$\begin{aligned} (AB)C &= \left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \right) \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 3 & -1 \\ 7 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 1 \\ 13 & 5 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

In looking at our example, we should notice two things. First, it looks like the “distributive property” holds; that is, $A(B + C) = AB + AC$. This is nice as many algebraic techniques we have learned about in the past (when doing “ordinary algebra”) will still work. Secondly, it looks like the “associative property” holds; that is, $A(BC) = (AB)C$. This is nice, for it tells us that when we are multiplying several matrices together, we don’t have to be particularly careful in what order we multiply certain pairs of matrices together.

In leading to an important theorem, let’s define a matrix we saw in an earlier example.

Definition 51 Identity Matrix

The $n \times n$ matrix with 1’s on the diagonal and zeros elsewhere is the $n \times n$ *identity matrix*, denoted I_n . When the context makes the dimension of the identity clear, the subscript is generally omitted.

Definition 51 uses a term we won’t define until Definition 56 on page 236: *diagonal*. In short, a “diagonal matrix” is one in which the only nonzero entries are the “diagonal entries.” The examples given here and in the exercises should suffice until we meet the full definition later.

Note that while the zero matrix can come in all different shapes and sizes, the identity matrix is always a square matrix. We show a few identity matrices below.

$$I_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad I_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad I_4 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

In our examples above, we have seen examples of things that do and do not work. We should be careful about what examples *prove*, though. If someone were to claim that $AB = BA$ is always true, one would only need to show them one example where they were false, and we would know the person was wrong. However, if someone claims that $A(B + C) = AB + AC$ is always true, we can’t prove this with just one example. We need something more powerful; we need a true proof.

In this text, we forgo most proofs. The reader should know, though, that when we state something in a theorem, there is a proof that backs up what we state. Our justification comes from something stronger than just examples.

Now we give the good news of what does work when dealing with matrix multiplication.

Theorem 20 Properties of Matrix Multiplication

Let A , B and C be matrices with dimensions so that the following operations make sense, and let k be a scalar. The following equalities hold:

1. $A(BC) = (AB)C$ (Associative Property)
2. $A(B + C) = AB + AC$ and
 $(B + C)A = BA + CA$ (Distributive Property)
3. $k(AB) = (kA)B = A(kB)$
4. $AI = IA = A$

The above box contains some very good news, and probably some very surprising news. Matrix multiplication probably seems to us like a very odd operation, so we probably wouldn't have been surprised if we were told that $A(BC) \neq (AB)C$. It is a very nice thing that the Associative Property does hold.

As we near the end of this section, we raise one more issue of notation. We define $A^0 = I$. If n is a positive integer, we define

$$A^n = \underbrace{A \cdot A \cdot \cdots \cdot A}_{n \text{ times}}.$$

With numbers, we are used to $a^{-n} = \frac{1}{a^n}$. Do negative exponents work with matrices, too? The answer is yes, sort of. We'll have to be careful, and we'll cover the topic in detail once we define the inverse of a matrix. For now, though, we recognize the fact that $A^{-1} \neq \frac{1}{A}$, for $\frac{1}{A}$ makes no sense; we don't know how to "divide" by a matrix.

We end this section with a reminder of some of the things that do not work with matrix multiplication. The good news is that there are really only two things on this list.

1. Matrix multiplication is not commutative; that is, $AB \neq BA$.
2. In general, just because $AX = BX$, we cannot conclude that $A = B$.

The bad news is that these ideas pop up in many places where we don't expect them. For instance, we are used to

$$(a + b)^2 = a^2 + 2ab + b^2.$$

What about $(A + B)^2$? All we'll say here is that

$$(A + B)^2 \neq A^2 + 2AB + B^2;$$

we leave it to the reader to figure out why.

The next section is devoted to visualizing column vectors and "seeing" how some of these arithmetic properties work together.

Exercises 4.2

Problems

In Exercises 1 – 12, row and column vectors \vec{u} and \vec{v} are defined. Find the product $\vec{u}\vec{v}$, where possible.

$$1. \vec{u} = [1 \ -4] \quad \vec{v} = \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$2. \vec{u} = [2 \ 3] \quad \vec{v} = \begin{bmatrix} 7 \\ -4 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$3. \vec{u} = [1 \ -1] \quad \vec{v} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$4. \vec{u} = [0.6 \ 0.8] \quad \vec{v} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.6 \\ 0.8 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$5. \vec{u} = [1 \ 2 \ -1] \quad \vec{v} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$6. \vec{u} = [3 \ 2 \ -2] \quad \vec{v} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \\ 9 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$7. \vec{u} = [8 \ -4 \ 3] \quad \vec{v} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 4 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$8. \vec{u} = [-3 \ 6 \ 1] \quad \vec{v} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$9. \vec{u} = [1 \ 2 \ 3 \ 4]$$

$$\vec{v} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$10. \vec{u} = [6 \ 2 \ -1 \ 2]$$

$$\vec{v} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \\ 9 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$11. \vec{u} = [1 \ 2 \ 3] \quad \vec{v} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$12. \vec{u} = [2 \ -5] \quad \vec{v} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

In Exercises 13 – 27, matrices A and B are defined.

- (a) Give the dimensions of A and B . If the dimensions properly match, give the dimensions of AB and BA .

- (b) Find the products AB and BA , if possible.

$$13. A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ -1 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 5 \\ 3 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$14. A = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 7 \\ 2 & 5 \end{bmatrix} \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 3 & -3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$15. A = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & -1 \\ 2 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 7 \\ 4 & 2 & 9 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$16. A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \\ -2 & -4 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 0 \\ 3 & 8 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$17. A = \begin{bmatrix} 9 & 4 & 3 \\ 9 & -5 & 9 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 5 \\ -2 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$18. A = \begin{bmatrix} -2 & -1 \\ 9 & -5 \\ 3 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} -5 & 6 & -4 \\ 0 & 6 & -3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$19. A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 6 \\ 6 & 2 \\ 5 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} -4 & 5 & 0 \\ -4 & 4 & -4 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$20. A = \begin{bmatrix} -5 & 2 \\ -5 & -2 \\ -5 & -4 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -5 & 6 \\ -5 & -3 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$21. A = \begin{bmatrix} 8 & -2 \\ 4 & 5 \\ 2 & -5 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} -5 & 1 & -5 \\ 8 & 3 & -2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$22. A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 7 & 6 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & -5 & 5 \\ -2 & 1 & 3 & -5 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$23. A = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 5 \\ 6 & 7 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 5 & -3 & -4 & -4 \\ -2 & -5 & -5 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$24. A = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 2 & 1 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & -2 \\ 1 & 2 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$25. A = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 & -2 \\ 1 & 1 & -2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} -2 & -2 & -2 \\ 0 & -2 & 0 \\ -2 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$26. A = \begin{bmatrix} -4 & 3 & 3 \\ -5 & -1 & -5 \\ -5 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 5 & 0 \\ -5 & -4 & 3 \\ 5 & -4 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$27. A = \begin{bmatrix} -4 & -1 & 3 \\ 2 & -3 & 5 \\ 1 & 5 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 4 & 3 \\ -1 & 1 & -1 \\ 4 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

In Exercises 28 – 33, a *diagonal* matrix D and a matrix A are given. Find the products DA and AD , where possible.

$$28. D = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 4 \\ 6 & 8 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$29. D = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 0 \\ 0 & -3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$30. D = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 5 & 6 \\ 7 & 8 & 9 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$31. D = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 \\ -3 & -3 & -3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 5 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$32. D = \begin{bmatrix} d_1 & 0 \\ 0 & d_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}$$

$$33. D = \begin{bmatrix} d_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & d_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & d_3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a & b & c \\ d & e & f \\ g & h & i \end{bmatrix}$$

In Exercises 34 – 39, a matrix A and a vector \vec{x} are given. Find the product $A\vec{x}$.

$$34. A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 9 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$35. A = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 4 \\ 7 & 3 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$36. A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 3 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 3 & -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 4 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$37. A = \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 0 & 3 \\ 1 & 1 & -2 \\ 4 & 2 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 3 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$38. A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ 4 & 3 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$39. A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 1 & 0 & 2 \\ 2 & 3 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$40. \text{ Let } A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \text{ Find } A^2 \text{ and } A^3.$$

$$41. \text{ Let } A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix}. \text{ Find } A^2 \text{ and } A^3.$$

$$42. \text{ Let } A = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 5 \end{bmatrix}. \text{ Find } A^2 \text{ and } A^3.$$

$$43. \text{ Let } A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \text{ Find } A^2 \text{ and } A^3.$$

$$44. \text{ Let } A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \text{ Find } A^2 \text{ and } A^3.$$

45. In the text we state that $(A + B)^2 \neq A^2 + 2AB + B^2$. We investigate that claim here.

(a) Let $A = \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 3 \\ -3 & -2 \end{bmatrix}$ and let $B = \begin{bmatrix} -5 & -5 \\ -2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$. Compute $A + B$.

(b) Find $(A + B)^2$ by using your answer from (a).

(c) Compute $A^2 + 2AB + B^2$.

- (d) Are the results from (a) and (b) the same?
(e) Carefully expand the expression $(A + B)^2 = (A +$
 $B)(A + B)$ and show why this is not equal to $A^2 + 2AB + B^2$.

4.3 Visualizing Matrix Arithmetic in 2D

AS YOU READ . . .

1. T/F: Two vectors with the same length and direction are equal even if they start from different places.
2. One can visualize vector addition using what law?
3. T/F: Multiplying a vector by 2 doubles its length.
4. What do mathematicians do?
5. T/F: Multiplying a vector by a matrix always changes its length and direction.

When we first learned about adding numbers together, it was useful to picture a number line: $2 + 3 = 5$ could be pictured by starting at 0, going out 2 tick marks, then another 3, and then realizing that we moved 5 tick marks from 0. Similar visualizations helped us understand what $2 - 3$ meant and what 2×3 meant.

We now investigate a way to picture matrix arithmetic – in particular, operations involving column vectors. This not only will help us better understand the arithmetic operations, it will open the door to a great wealth of interesting study. Visualizing matrix arithmetic has a wide variety of applications, the most common being computer graphics. While we often think of these graphics in terms of video games, there are numerous other important applications. For example, chemists and biologists often use computer models to “visualize” complex molecules to “see” how they interact with other molecules.

We will start with vectors in two dimensions (2D) – that is, vectors with only two entries. We assume the reader is familiar with the Cartesian plane, that is, plotting points and graphing functions on “the x - y plane.” We graph vectors in a manner very similar to plotting points. Given the vector

$$\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix},$$

we draw \vec{x} by drawing an arrow whose tip is 1 unit to the right and 2 units up from its origin. (To help reduce clutter, in all figures each tick mark represents one unit.)




Figure 4.1: Various drawings of \vec{x}

When drawing vectors, we do not specify where you start drawing; all we specify is where the tip lies based on where we started. Figure 4.1 shows vector \vec{x} drawn 3 ways. In some ways, the “most common” way to draw a vector has the arrow start at the origin, but this is by no means the only way of drawing the vector.

Let’s practice this concept by drawing various vectors from given starting points.

Example 89 Sketching vectors

Let

$$\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \vec{y} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \vec{z} = \begin{bmatrix} -3 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Draw \vec{x} starting from the point $(0, -1)$; draw \vec{y} starting from the point $(-1, -1)$, and draw \vec{z} starting from the point $(2, -1)$.

SOLUTION To draw \vec{x} , start at the point $(0, -1)$ as directed, then move to the right one unit and down one unit and draw the tip. Thus the arrow “points” from $(0, -1)$ to $(1, -2)$.

To draw \vec{y} , we are told to start at the point $(-1, -1)$. We draw the tip by moving to the right 2 units and up 3 units; hence \vec{y} points from $(-1, -1)$ to $(1, 2)$.

To draw \vec{z} , we start at $(2, -1)$ and draw the tip 3 units to the left and 2 units up; \vec{z} points from $(2, -1)$ to $(-1, 1)$.

Each vector is drawn as shown in Figure 4.2.




Figure 4.2: Drawing vectors \vec{x} , \vec{y} and \vec{z} in Example 89

How does one draw the zero vector, $\vec{0} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$? Following our basic procedure, we start by going 0 units in the x direction, followed by 0 units in the y direction. In other words, we don’t go anywhere. In general, we don’t actually draw $\vec{0}$. At best, one can draw a dark circle at the origin to convey the idea that $\vec{0}$, when starting at the origin, points to the origin.

In section 4.1 we learned about matrix arithmetic operations: matrix addition and scalar multiplication. Let’s investigate how we can “draw” these operations.

Vectors are just special types of matrices. The zero vector, $\vec{0}$, is a special type of zero matrix, $\mathbf{0}$. It helps to distinguish the two by using different notation.

Vector Addition

Given two vectors \vec{x} and \vec{y} , how do we draw the vector $\vec{x} + \vec{y}$? Let's look at this in the context of an example, then study the result.

Example 90 Sketching vectors and their sum

Let

$$\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \vec{y} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Sketch \vec{x} , \vec{y} and $\vec{x} + \vec{y}$.

SOLUTION A starting point for drawing each vector was not given; by default, we'll start at the origin. (This is in many ways nice; this means that the vector $\begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ "points" to the *point* $(3,1)$.) We first compute $\vec{x} + \vec{y}$:

$$\vec{x} + \vec{y} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Sketching each gives the picture in Figure 4.3.




Figure 4.3: Adding vectors \vec{x} and \vec{y} in Example 90

This example is pretty basic; we were given two vectors, told to add them together, then sketch all three vectors. Our job now is to go back and try to see a relationship between the drawings of \vec{x} , \vec{y} and $\vec{x} + \vec{y}$. Do you see any?

Here is one way of interpreting the adding of \vec{x} to \vec{y} . Regardless of where we start, we draw \vec{x} . Now, from the tip of \vec{x} , draw \vec{y} . The vector $\vec{x} + \vec{y}$ is the vector found by drawing an arrow from the *origin* of \vec{x} to the *tip* of \vec{y} . Likewise, we could start by drawing \vec{y} . Then, starting from the tip of \vec{y} , we can draw \vec{x} . Finally, draw $\vec{x} + \vec{y}$ by drawing the vector that starts at the origin of \vec{y} and ends at the tip of \vec{x} .

The picture in Figure 4.4 illustrates this. The gray vectors demonstrate drawing the second vector from the tip of the first; we draw the vector $\vec{x} + \vec{y}$ dashed to set it apart from the rest. We also lightly filled the *parallelogram* whose opposing sides are the vectors \vec{x} and \vec{y} . This highlights what is known as the *Parallelogram Law*.




Figure 4.4: Adding vectors graphically using the Parallelogram Law

Key Idea 18 Parallelogram Law

To draw the vector $\vec{x} + \vec{y}$, one can draw the parallelogram with \vec{x} and \vec{y} as its sides. The vector that points from the vertex where \vec{x} and \vec{y} originate to the vertex where \vec{x} and \vec{y} meet is the vector $\vec{x} + \vec{y}$.

Knowing all of this allows us to draw the sum of two vectors without knowing specifically what the vectors are, as we demonstrate in the following example.

Example 91 Addition of vectors

Consider the vectors \vec{x} and \vec{y} as drawn in Figure 4.5. Sketch the vector $\vec{x} + \vec{y}$.


SOLUTION

Figure 4.5: Vectors \vec{x} and \vec{y} in Example 91

We'll apply the Parallelogram Law, as given in Key Idea 18. As before, we draw $\vec{x} + \vec{y}$ dashed to set it apart. The result is given in Figure 4.6.




Figure 4.6: Vectors \vec{x} , \vec{y} and $\vec{x} + \vec{y}$ in Example 91

Scalar Multiplication

After learning about matrix addition, we learned about scalar multiplication. We apply that concept now to vectors and see how this is represented graphically.

Example 92 Visualizing scalar multiplication

Let

$$\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \vec{y} = \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$


Sketch \vec{x} , \vec{y} , $3\vec{x}$ and $-1\vec{y}$.

SOLUTION

We begin by computing $3\vec{x}$ and $-1\vec{y}$:

$$3\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad -1\vec{y} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

All four vectors are sketched in Figure 4.7.

Figure 4.7: Vectors \vec{x} , \vec{y} , $3\vec{x}$ and $-\vec{y}$ in Example 92

As we often do, let us look at the previous example and see what we can learn from it. We can see that \vec{x} and $3\vec{x}$ point in the same direction (they lie on the same line), but $3\vec{x}$ is just longer than \vec{x} . (In fact, it looks like $3\vec{x}$ is 3 times longer than \vec{x} . Is it? How do we measure length?)


We also see that \vec{y} and $-\vec{y}$ seem to have the same length and lie on the same line, but point in the opposite direction.

A vector inherently conveys two pieces of information: length and direction. Multiplying a vector by a positive scalar c stretches the vectors by a factor of c ; multiplying by a negative scalar c both stretches the vector and makes it point in the opposite direction.


Knowing this, we can sketch scalar multiples of vectors without knowing specifically what they are, as we do in the following example.

Example 93 Sketching scalar multiples

Let vectors \vec{x} and \vec{y} be as in Figure 4.8. Draw $3\vec{x}$, $-2\vec{x}$, and $\frac{1}{2}\vec{y}$.

Figure 4.8: Vectors \vec{x} and \vec{y} in Example 93

SOLUTION To draw $3\vec{x}$, we draw a vector in the same direction as \vec{x} , but 3 times as long. To draw $-2\vec{x}$, we draw a vector twice as long as \vec{x} in the opposite direction; to draw $\frac{1}{2}\vec{y}$, we draw a vector half the length of \vec{y} in the same direction as \vec{y} . We again use the default of drawing all the vectors starting at the origin. All of this is shown in Figure 4.9.

Figure 4.9: Vectors \vec{x} , \vec{y} , $3\vec{x}$, $-2\vec{x}$ and $\frac{1}{2}\vec{y}$ in Example 93

Vector Subtraction

The final basic operation to consider between two vectors is that of vector subtraction: given vectors \vec{x} and \vec{y} , how do we draw $\vec{x} - \vec{y}$?

If we know explicitly what \vec{x} and \vec{y} are, we can simply compute what $\vec{x} - \vec{y}$ is and then draw it. We can also think in terms of vector addition and scalar multiplication: we can *add* the vectors $\vec{x} + (-1)\vec{y}$. That is, we can draw \vec{x} and draw $-\vec{y}$, then add them as we did in Example 91. This is especially useful we don't know explicitly what \vec{x} and \vec{y} are.

Example 94 Sketching the difference of two vectors

Let vectors \vec{x} and \vec{y} be as in Figure 4.10. Draw $\vec{x} - \vec{y}$.




Figure 4.10: Vectors \vec{x} and \vec{y} in Example 94

SOLUTION To draw $\vec{x} - \vec{y}$, we will first draw $-\vec{y}$ and then apply the Parallel-gram Law to add \vec{x} to $-\vec{y}$. See Figure 4.11.




Figure 4.11: Vectors \vec{x} , \vec{y} and $\vec{x} - \vec{y}$ in Example 94

In Figure 4.12, we redraw Figure 4.11 from Example 94 but remove the gray vectors that tend to add clutter, and we redraw the vector $\vec{x} - \vec{y}$ dotted so that it starts from the tip of \vec{y} . (Remember that we can draw vectors starting from anywhere.) Note that the dotted version of $\vec{x} - \vec{y}$ points from \vec{y} to \vec{x} . This is a "shortcut" to drawing $\vec{x} - \vec{y}$; simply draw the vector that starts at the tip of \vec{y} and ends at the tip of \vec{x} . This is important so we make it a Key Idea.




Figure 4.12: Redrawing vector $\vec{x} - \vec{y}$

Key Idea 19 Vector Subtraction

To draw the vector $\vec{x} - \vec{y}$, draw \vec{x} and \vec{y} so that they have the same origin. The vector $\vec{x} - \vec{y}$ is the vector that starts from the tip of \vec{y} and points to the tip of \vec{x} .

Let's practice this once more with a quick example.

Example 95 Sketching a vector difference

Let \vec{x} and \vec{y} be as in Figure 4.13 (a). Draw $\vec{x} - \vec{y}$.

SOLUTION We simply apply Key Idea 19: we draw an arrow from \vec{y} to \vec{x} . We do so in Figure 4.13 (b); $\vec{x} - \vec{y}$ is dashed.




Figure 4.13: Vectors \vec{x} , \vec{y} and $\vec{x} - \vec{y}$ in Example 95

Vector Length

When we discussed scalar multiplication, we made reference to a fundamental question: How do we measure the length of a vector? Basic geometry gives us an answer in the two dimensional case that we are dealing with right now, and later we can extend these ideas to higher dimensions.

Consider Figure 4.14. A vector \vec{x} is drawn in black, and dashed and dotted lines have been drawn to make it the hypotenuse of a right triangle.




Figure 4.14: Measuring the length of a vector

It is easy to see that the dashed line has length 4 and the dotted line has length 3. We'll let c denote the length of \vec{x} ; according to the Pythagorean Theorem, $4^2 + 3^2 = c^2$. Thus $c^2 = 25$ and we quickly deduce that $c = 5$.

Notice that in our figure, \vec{x} goes to the right 4 units and then up 3 units. In other words, we can write

$$\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We learned above that the length of \vec{x} is $\sqrt{4^2 + 3^2}$. (Remember that $\sqrt{4^2 + 3^2} \neq 4 + 3$!) This hints at a basic calculation that works for all vectors \vec{x} , and we define the length of a vector according to this rule.

Definition 52 Vector Length

Let

$$\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The *length* of \vec{x} , denoted $\|\vec{x}\|$, is

$$\|\vec{x}\| = \sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2}.$$

Example 96 Calculating the length of vectors

Find the length of each of the vectors given below.

$$\vec{x}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \vec{x}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ -3 \end{bmatrix} \quad \vec{x}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} .6 \\ .8 \end{bmatrix} \quad \vec{x}_4 = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

SOLUTION

We apply Definition 52 to each vector.

$$\|\vec{x}_1\| = \sqrt{1^2 + 1^2} = \sqrt{2}.$$

$$\|\vec{x}_2\| = \sqrt{2^2 + (-3)^2} = \sqrt{13}.$$

$$\|\vec{x}_3\| = \sqrt{.6^2 + .8^2} = \sqrt{.36 + .64} = 1.$$

$$\|\vec{x}_4\| = \sqrt{3^2 + 0} = 3.$$

Now that we know how to compute the length of a vector, let's revisit a statement we made as we explored Examples 92 and 93: "Multiplying a vector by a positive scalar c stretches the vectors by a factor of c ..." At that time, we did not know how to measure the length of a vector, so our statement was unfounded. In the following example, we will confirm the truth of our previous statement.

Example 97 Measuring the effect of scalar multiplication on vector length

Let $\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$. Compute $\|\vec{x}\|$, $\|3\vec{x}\|$, $\|-2\vec{x}\|$, and $\|c\vec{x}\|$, where c is a scalar.

SOLUTION

We apply Definition 52 to each of the vectors.

$$\|\vec{x}\| = \sqrt{4 + 1} = \sqrt{5}.$$

Before computing the length of $\|3\vec{x}\|$, we note that $3\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 6 \\ -3 \end{bmatrix}$.

$$\|3\vec{x}\| = \sqrt{36 + 9} = \sqrt{45} = 3\sqrt{5} = 3\|\vec{x}\|.$$

Before computing the length of $\|-2\vec{x}\|$, we note that $-2\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} -4 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$.

$$\|-2\vec{x}\| = \sqrt{16 + 4} = \sqrt{20} = 2\sqrt{5} = 2\|\vec{x}\|.$$

Finally, to compute $\|c\vec{x}\|$, we note that $c\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 2c \\ -c \end{bmatrix}$. Thus:

$$\|c\vec{x}\| = \sqrt{(2c)^2 + (-c)^2} = \sqrt{4c^2 + c^2} = \sqrt{5c^2} = |c|\sqrt{5}.$$

This last line is true because the square root of any number squared is the *absolute value* of that number (for example, $\sqrt{(-3)^2} = 3$).

The last computation of our example is the most important one. It shows that, in general, multiplying a vector \vec{x} by a scalar c stretches \vec{x} by a factor of $|c|$ (and the direction will change if c is negative). This is important so we'll make it a Theorem.

Theorem 21 Vector Length and Scalar Multiplication

Let \vec{x} be a vector and let c be a scalar. Then the length of $c\vec{x}$ is

$$\|c\vec{x}\| = |c| \cdot \|\vec{x}\| .$$

We can multiply a 3×2 matrix by a 2D vector and get a 3D vector back, and this gives very interesting results. See section 7.2.

Matrix – Vector Multiplication

The last arithmetic operation to consider visualizing is matrix multiplication. Specifically, we want to visualize the result of multiplying a vector by a matrix. In order to multiply a 2D vector by a matrix and get a 2D vector back, our matrix must be a square, 2×2 matrix.

We'll start with an example. Given a matrix A and several vectors, we'll graph the vectors before and after they've been multiplied by A and see what we learn.

Example 98 Multiplying a vector by a matrix

Let A be a matrix, and \vec{x} , \vec{y} , and \vec{z} be vectors as given below.

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \vec{y} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \vec{z} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Graph \vec{x} , \vec{y} and \vec{z} , as well as $A\vec{x}$, $A\vec{y}$ and $A\vec{z}$.


SOLUTION

Figure 4.15: Multiplying vectors by a matrix in Example 98.

It is straightforward to compute:

$$A\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 5 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix}, \quad A\vec{y} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{and} \quad A\vec{z} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The vectors are sketched in Figure 4.15

There are several things to notice. When each vector is multiplied by A , the result is a vector with a different length (in this example, always longer), and in two of the cases (for \vec{y} and \vec{z}), the resulting vector points in a different direction.

This isn't surprising. In the previous section we learned about matrix multiplication, which is a strange and seemingly unpredictable operation. Would you expect to see some sort of immediately recognizable pattern appear from multiplying a matrix and a vector? (This is a rhetorical question; the expected answer is "No.") In fact, the surprising thing from the example is that \vec{x} and $A\vec{x}$ point in the same direction! Why does the direction of \vec{x} not change after multiplication by A ? (We'll answer this in Section 6.1 when we learn about something called "eigenvectors.")

Different matrices act on vectors in different ways. (That's one reason we call them "different.") Some always increase the length of a vector through multiplication, others always decrease the length, others increase the length of some vectors and decrease the length of others, and others still don't change the length at all. A similar statement can be made about how matrices affect the direction of vectors through multiplication: some change every vector's direction, some change "most" vector's direction but leave some the same, and others still don't change the direction of any vector.

How do we set about studying how matrix multiplication affects vectors? We could just create lots of different matrices and lots of different vectors, multiply, then graph, but this would be a lot of work with very little useful result. It would be too hard to find a pattern of behaviour in this. (Remember, that's what mathematicians do. We look for patterns.)

Instead, we'll begin by using a technique we've employed often in the past. We have a "new" operation; let's explore how it behaves with "old" operations. Specifically, we know how to sketch vector addition. What happens when we throw matrix multiplication into the mix? Let's try an example.

Example 99 Combining addition and matrix multiplication

Let A be a matrix and \vec{x} and \vec{y} be vectors as given below.

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \vec{y} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Sketch $\vec{x} + \vec{y}$, $A\vec{x}$, $A\vec{y}$, and $A(\vec{x} + \vec{y})$.

SOLUTION It is pretty straightforward to compute:

$$\vec{x} + \vec{y} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}; \quad A\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}; \quad A\vec{y} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad A(\vec{x} + \vec{y}) = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix}.$$

In Figure 4.16, we have graphed the above vectors and have included dashed gray vectors to highlight the additive nature of $\vec{x} + \vec{y}$ and $A(\vec{x} + \vec{y})$. Does anything strike you as interesting?




Figure 4.16: Vector addition and matrix multiplication in Example 99.

Let's not focus on things which don't matter right now: let's not focus on how long certain vectors became, nor necessarily how their direction changed. Rather, think about how matrix multiplication interacted with the vector addition.

In some sense, we started with three vectors, \vec{x} , \vec{y} , and $\vec{x} + \vec{y}$. This last vector is special; it is the sum of the previous two. Now, multiply all three by A . What happens? We get three new vectors, but the significant thing is this: the last vector is still the sum of the previous two! (We emphasize this by drawing dotted vectors to represent part of the Parallellogram Law.)

Of course, we knew this already: we already knew that $A\vec{x} + A\vec{y} = A(\vec{x} + \vec{y})$, for this is just the Distributive Property. However, now we get to see this graphically.

In Section 7.1 we'll study in greater depth how matrix multiplication affects vectors and the whole Cartesian plane. For now, we'll settle for simple practice: given a matrix and some vectors, we'll multiply and graph. Let's do one more example.

Example 100 Sketching the effect of matrix multiplication

Let A , \vec{x} , \vec{y} , and \vec{z} be as given below.

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \vec{y} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \vec{z} = \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Graph \vec{x} , \vec{y} and \vec{z} , as well as $A\vec{x}$, $A\vec{y}$ and $A\vec{z}$.


SOLUTION

Figure 4.17: Multiplying vectors by a matrix in Example 100.

It is straightforward to compute:

$$A\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad A\vec{y} = \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{and} \quad A\vec{z} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The vectors are sketched in Figure 4.17.

These results are interesting. While we won't explore them in great detail here, notice how \vec{x} got sent to the zero vector. Notice also that $A\vec{x}$, $A\vec{y}$ and $A\vec{z}$ are all in a line (as well as \vec{x} !). Why is that? Are \vec{x} , \vec{y} and \vec{z} just special vectors, or would any other vector get sent to the same line when multiplied by A ? (Don't just sit there, try it out!)

This section has focused on vectors in two dimensions. Later on in this book, we'll extend these ideas into three dimensions (3D).

In the next section we'll take a new idea (matrix multiplication) and apply it to an old idea (solving systems of linear equations). This will allow us to view an old idea in a new way – and we'll even get to “visualize” it.

Exercises 4.3

Problems

In Exercises 1 – 4, vectors \vec{x} and \vec{y} are given. Sketch \vec{x} , \vec{y} , $\vec{x} + \vec{y}$, and $\vec{x} - \vec{y}$ on the same Cartesian axes.





1. $\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \vec{y} = \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$

2. $\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \vec{y} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix}$

3. $\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \vec{y} = \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$

4. $\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \vec{y} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$

In Exercises 5 – 8, vectors \vec{x} and \vec{y} are drawn. Sketch $2\vec{x}$, $-\vec{y}$, $\vec{x} + \vec{y}$, and $\vec{x} - \vec{y}$ on the same Cartesian axes.



In Exercises 9 – 12, a vector \vec{x} and a scalar a are given. Using Definition 52, compute the lengths of \vec{x} and $a\vec{x}$, then compare these lengths.

9. $\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, a = 3$.

10. $\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 7 \end{bmatrix}, a = -2$.

11. $\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} -3 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix}, a = -1$.

12. $\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ -9 \end{bmatrix}, a = \frac{1}{3}$.

13. Four pairs of vectors \vec{x} and \vec{y} are given below. For each pair, compute $\|\vec{x}\|$, $\|\vec{y}\|$, and $\|\vec{x} + \vec{y}\|$. Use this information to answer: Is it always, sometimes, or never true that $\|\vec{x}\| + \|\vec{y}\| = \|\vec{x} + \vec{y}\|$? If it always or never true, explain why. If it is sometimes true, explain when it is true.

(a) $\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \vec{y} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$

(b) $\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix}, \vec{y} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ -6 \end{bmatrix}$

(c) $\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}, \vec{y} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix}$

(d) $\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \vec{y} = \begin{bmatrix} -4 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix}$

In Exercises 14 – 17, a matrix A is given. Sketch \vec{x} , \vec{y} , $A\vec{x}$ and $A\vec{y}$ on the same Cartesian axes, where

$$\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } \vec{y} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

14. $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$

15. $A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ -1 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$

16. $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$

17. $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ -1 & -2 \end{bmatrix}$

4.4 Vector Solutions to Linear Systems

AS YOU READ . . .

1. T/F: The equation $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$ is just another way of writing a system of linear equations.
2. T/F: In solving $A\vec{x} = \vec{0}$, if there are 3 free variables, then the solution will be “pulled apart” into 3 vectors.
3. T/F: A homogeneous system of linear equations is one in which all of the coefficients are 0.
4. Whether or not the equation $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$ has a solution depends on an intrinsic property of _____.

The first chapter of this text was spent finding solutions to systems of linear equations. We have spent the first two sections of this chapter learning operations that can be performed with matrices. One may have wondered “Are the ideas of the first chapter related to what we have been doing recently?” The answer is yes, these ideas are related. This section begins to show that relationship.

We have often hearkened back to previous algebra experience to help understand matrix algebra concepts. We do that again here. Consider the equation $ax = b$, where $a = 3$ and $b = 6$. If we asked one to “solve for x ,” what exactly would we be asking? We would want to find a number, which we call x , where a times x gives b ; in this case, it is a number, when multiplied by 3, returns 6.

Now we consider matrix algebra expressions. We’ll eventually consider solving equations like $AX = B$, where we know what the matrices A and B are and we want to find the matrix X . For now, we’ll only consider equations of the type $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$, where we know the matrix A and the vector \vec{b} . We will want to find what vector \vec{x} satisfies this equation; we want to “solve for \vec{x} .”

To help understand what this is asking, we’ll consider an example. Let

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 2 \\ 2 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \vec{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ -3 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

(We don’t know what \vec{x} is, so we have to represent its entries with the variables x_1 , x_2 and x_3 .) Let’s “solve for \vec{x} ,” given the equation $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$.

We can multiply out the left hand side of this equation. We find that

$$A\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 + x_2 + x_3 \\ x_1 - x_2 + 2x_3 \\ 2x_1 + x_3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Be sure to note that the product is just a vector; it has just one column.

Since $A\vec{x}$ is equal to \vec{b} , we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_1 + x_2 + x_3 \\ x_1 - x_2 + 2x_3 \\ 2x_1 + x_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ -3 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Knowing that two vectors are equal only when their corresponding entries are equal, we know

$$\begin{aligned}x_1 + x_2 + x_3 &= 2 \\x_1 - x_2 + 2x_3 &= -3 \\2x_1 + x_3 &= 1.\end{aligned}$$

This should look familiar; it is a system of linear equations! Given the matrix–vector equation $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$, we can recognize A as the coefficient matrix from a linear system and \vec{b} as the vector of the constants from the linear system. To solve a matrix–vector equation (and the corresponding linear system), we simply augment the matrix A with the vector \vec{b} , put this matrix into reduced row echelon form, and interpret the results.

We convert the above linear system into an augmented matrix and find the reduced row echelon form:

$$\left[\begin{array}{cccc} 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 1 & -1 & 2 & -3 \\ 2 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{\text{rref}} \left[\begin{array}{cccc} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \end{array} \right].$$

This tells us that $x_1 = 1$, $x_2 = 2$ and $x_3 = -1$, so

$$\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We should check our work; multiply out $A\vec{x}$ and verify that we indeed get \vec{b} :

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 2 \\ 2 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right] \left[\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 2 \\ -1 \end{array} \right] \text{ does equal } \left[\begin{array}{c} 2 \\ -3 \\ 1 \end{array} \right].$$

Example 101 Solving a matrix equation

Solve the equation $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$ for \vec{x} where

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ -1 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \vec{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 5 \\ -1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

SOLUTION The solution is rather straightforward, even though we did a lot of work before to find the answer. Form the augmented matrix $[A \ \vec{b}]$ and interpret its reduced row echelon form.

$$\left[\begin{array}{cccc} 1 & 2 & 3 & 5 \\ -1 & 2 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 2 \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{\text{rref}} \left[\begin{array}{cccc} 1 & 0 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{array} \right]$$

In previous sections we were fine stating that the result as

$$x_1 = 2, \quad x_2 = 0, \quad x_3 = 1,$$

but we were asked to find \vec{x} ; therefore, we state the solution as

$$\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

This probably seems all well and good. While asking one to solve the equation $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$ for \vec{x} seems like a new problem, in reality it is just asking that we solve a system of linear equations. Our variables x_1 , etc., appear not individually but as the entries of our vector \vec{x} . We are simply writing an old problem in a new way.

In line with this new way of writing the problem, we have a new way of writing the solution. Instead of listing, individually, the values of the unknowns, we simply list them as the elements of our vector \vec{x} .

These are important ideas, so we state the basic principle once more: solving the equation $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$ for \vec{x} is the same thing as solving a linear system of equations. Equivalently, any system of linear equations can be written in the form $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$ for some matrix A and vector \vec{b} .

Since these ideas are equivalent, we'll refer to $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$ both as a matrix-vector equation and as a system of linear equations: they are the same thing.

We've seen two examples illustrating this idea so far, and in both cases the linear system had exactly one solution. We know from Theorem 18 that any linear system has either one solution, infinite solutions, or no solution. So how does our new method of writing a solution work with infinite solutions and no solutions?

Certainly, if $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$ has no solution, we simply say that the linear system has no solution. There isn't anything special to write. So the only other option to consider is the case where we have infinite solutions. We'll learn how to handle these situations through examples.

Example 102 Finding the vector solution to a linear system

Solve the linear system $A\vec{x} = \vec{0}$ for \vec{x} and write the solution in vector form, where

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \vec{0} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

SOLUTION (Note: we didn't really need to specify that $\vec{0} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$, but we did just to eliminate any uncertainty.)

To solve this system, put the augmented matrix into reduced row echelon form, which we do below.

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 2 & 4 & 0 \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{\text{rref}} \left[\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right]$$

We interpret the reduced row echelon form of this matrix to write the solution as

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 &= -2x_2 \\ x_2 &\text{ is free.} \end{aligned}$$

We are not done; we need to write the solution in vector form, for our solution is the vector \vec{x} . Recall that

$$\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

From above we know that $x_1 = -2x_2$, so we replace the x_1 in \vec{x} with $-2x_2$. This gives our solution as

$$\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} -2x_2 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Now we pull the x_2 out of the vector (it is just a scalar) and write \vec{x} as

$$\vec{x} = x_2 \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

For reasons that will become more clear later, set

$$\vec{v} = \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Thus our solution can be written as

$$\vec{x} = x_2 \vec{v}.$$

Recall that since our system was consistent and had a free variable, we have infinite solutions. This form of the solution highlights this fact; pick any value for x_2 and we get a different solution.

For instance, by setting $x_2 = -1, 0$, and 5 , we get the solutions

$$\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{bmatrix} -10 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix},$$

respectively.

We should check our work; multiply each of the above vectors by A to see if we indeed get $\vec{0}$.

We have officially solved this problem; we have found the solution to $A\vec{x} = \vec{0}$ and written it properly. One final thing we will do here is *graph* the solution, using our skills learned in the previous section.

Our solution is

$$\vec{x} = x_2 \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

This means that any scalar multiple of the vector $\vec{v} = \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ is a solution; we know how to sketch the scalar multiples of \vec{v} . This is done in Figure 4.18.




Figure 4.18: The solution, as a line, to $A\vec{x} = \vec{0}$ in Example 102.

Here vector \vec{v} is drawn as well as the line that goes through the origin in the direction of \vec{v} . Any vector along this line is a solution. So in some sense, we can say that the solution to $A\vec{x} = \vec{0}$ is a *line*.

Let's practice this again.

Example 103 Another matrix equation

Solve the linear system $A\vec{x} = \vec{0}$ and write the solution in vector form, where

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -3 \\ -2 & 3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

SOLUTION Again, to solve this problem, we form the proper augmented matrix and we put it into reduced row echelon form, which we do below.

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccc} 2 & -3 & 0 \\ -2 & 3 & 0 \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{\text{rref}} \left[\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & -3/2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right]$$

We interpret the reduced row echelon form of this matrix to find that

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 &= 3/2x_2 \\ x_2 &\text{ is free.} \end{aligned}$$

As before,

$$\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since $x_1 = 3/2x_2$, we replace x_1 in \vec{x} with $3/2x_2$:

$$\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 3/2x_2 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Now we pull out the x_2 and write the solution as

$$\vec{x} = x_2 \begin{bmatrix} 3/2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

As before, let's set

$$\vec{v} = \begin{bmatrix} 3/2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

so we can write our solution as

$$\vec{x} = x_2 \vec{v}.$$

Again, we have infinite solutions; any choice of x_2 gives us one of these solutions. For instance, picking $x_2 = 2$ gives the solution

$$\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

(This is a particularly nice solution, since there are no fractions. . .)

As in the previous example, our solutions are multiples of a vector, and hence we can graph this, as done in Figure 4.19.




Figure 4.19: The solution, as a line, to $A\vec{x} = \vec{0}$ in Example 103.

Let's practice some more; this time, we won't solve a system of the form $A\vec{x} = \vec{0}$, but instead $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$, for some vector \vec{b} .

Example 104 A matrix equation with non-zero right-hand side

Solve the linear system $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$, where

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \vec{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 6 \end{bmatrix}.$$

SOLUTION (Note that this is the same matrix A that we used in Example 102. This will be important later.)

Our methodology is the same as before; we form the augmented matrix and put it into reduced row echelon form.

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 2 & 4 & 6 \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{\text{rref}} \left[\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right]$$

Interpreting this reduced row echelon form, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 &= 3 - 2x_2 \\ x_2 &\text{ is free.} \end{aligned}$$

Again,

$$\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix},$$

and we replace x_1 with $3 - 2x_2$, giving

$$\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 - 2x_2 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

This solution is different than what we've seen in the past two examples; we can't simply pull out a x_2 since there is a 3 in the first entry. Using the properties of matrix addition, we can "pull apart" this vector and write it as the sum of two vectors: one which contains only constants, and one that contains only " x_2 stuff." We do this below.

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{x} &= \begin{bmatrix} 3 - 2x_2 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} -2x_2 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + x_2 \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Once again, let's give names to the different component vectors of this solution (we are getting near the explanation of why we are doing this). Let

$$\vec{x}_p = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \vec{v} = \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We can then write our solution in the form

$$\vec{x} = \vec{x}_p + x_2 \vec{v}.$$

We still have infinite solutions; by picking a value for x_2 we get one of these solutions. For instance, by letting $x_2 = -1, 0$, or 2 , we get the solutions

$$\begin{bmatrix} 5 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We have officially solved the problem; we have solved the equation $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$ for \vec{x} and have written the solution in vector form. As an additional visual aid, we will graph this solution.

Each vector in the solution can be written as the sum of two vectors: \vec{x}_p and a multiple of \vec{v} . In Figure 4.20, \vec{x}_p is graphed and \vec{v} is graphed with its origin starting at the tip of \vec{x}_p . Finally, a line is drawn in the direction of \vec{v} from the tip of \vec{x}_p ; any vector pointing to any point on this line is a solution to $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$.




Figure 4.20: The solution, as a line, to $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$ in Example 104.

The previous examples illustrate some important concepts. One is that we can “see” the solution to a system of linear equations in a new way. Before, when we had infinite solutions, we knew we could arbitrarily pick values for our free variables and get different solutions. We knew this to be true, and we even practiced it, but the result was not very “tangible.” Now, we can view our solution as a vector; by picking different values for our free variables, we see this as multiplying certain important vectors by a scalar which gives a different solution.

Another important concept that these examples demonstrate comes from the fact that Examples 102 and 104 were only “slightly different” and hence had only “slightly different” answers. Both solutions had

$$x_2 \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

in them; in Example 104 the solution also had another vector added to this. Was this coincidence, or is there a definite pattern here?

Of course there is a pattern! Now . . . what exactly is it? First, we define a term.

Definition 53 Homogeneous Linear System of Equations

A system of linear equations is *homogeneous* if the constants in each equation are zero.

Note: a homogeneous system of equations can be written in vector form as $A\vec{x} = \vec{0}$.

The term *homogeneous* comes from two Greek words; *homo* meaning “same” and *genus* meaning “type.” A homogeneous system of equations is a system in which each equation is of the same type – all constants are 0. Notice that the system of equations in Examples 102 and 104 are homogeneous.

Note that $A\vec{0} = \vec{0}$; that is, if we set $\vec{x} = \vec{0}$, we have a solution to a homogeneous set of equations. This fact is important; the zero vector is *always* a solution to a homogeneous linear system. Therefore a homogeneous system is always consistent; we need only to determine whether we have exactly one solution (just $\vec{0}$) or infinite solutions. This idea is important so we give it its own box.

Key Idea 20 Homogeneous Systems and Consistency

All homogeneous linear systems are consistent.

How do we determine if we have exactly one or infinite solutions? Recall Key Idea 15: if the solution has any free variables, then it will have infinite solutions. How can we tell if the system has free variables? Form the augmented matrix $[A \quad \vec{0}]$, put it into reduced row echelon form, and interpret the result.

It may seem that we've brought up a new question, “When does $A\vec{x} = \vec{0}$ have exactly one or infinite solutions?” only to answer with “Look at the reduced row echelon form of A and interpret the results, just as always.” Why bring up a new question if the answer is an old one?

While the new question has an old solution, it does lead to a great idea. Let's refresh our memory; earlier we solved two linear systems,

$$A\vec{x} = \vec{0} \quad \text{and} \quad A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$$

where

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \vec{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 6 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The solution to the first system of equations, $A\vec{x} = \vec{0}$, is

$$\vec{x} = x_2 \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

and the solution to the second set of equations, $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$, is

$$\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + x_2 \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

for all values of x_2 .

Recalling our notation used earlier, set

$$\vec{x}_p = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and let} \quad \vec{v} = \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Thus our solution to the linear system $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$ is

$$\vec{x} = \vec{x}_p + x_2 \vec{v}.$$

Let us see how exactly this solution works; let's see why $A\vec{x}$ equals \vec{b} . Multiply $A\vec{x}$:

$$\begin{aligned} A\vec{x} &= A(\vec{x}_p + x_2\vec{v}) \\ &= A\vec{x}_p + A(x_2\vec{v}) \\ &= A\vec{x}_p + x_2(A\vec{v}) \\ &= A\vec{x}_p + x_2\vec{0} \\ &= A\vec{x}_p + \vec{0} \\ &= A\vec{x}_p \\ &= \vec{b} \end{aligned}$$

We know that the last line is true, that $A\vec{x}_p = \vec{b}$, since we know that \vec{x} was a solution to $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$. The whole point is that \vec{x}_p itself is a solution to $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$, and we could find more solutions by adding vectors “that go to zero” when multiplied by A . (The subscript p of “ \vec{x}_p ” is used to denote that this vector is a “particular” solution.)

Stated in a different way, let's say that we know two things: that $A\vec{x}_p = \vec{b}$ and $A\vec{v} = \vec{0}$. What is $A(\vec{x}_p + \vec{v})$? We can multiply it out:

$$\begin{aligned} A(\vec{x}_p + \vec{v}) &= A\vec{x}_p + A\vec{v} \\ &= \vec{b} + \vec{0} \\ &= \vec{b} \end{aligned}$$

and see that $A(\vec{x}_p + \vec{v})$ also equals \vec{b} .

So we wonder: does this mean that $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$ will have infinite solutions? After all, if \vec{x}_p and $\vec{x}_p + \vec{v}$ are both solutions, don't we have infinite solutions?

No. If $A\vec{x} = \vec{0}$ has exactly one solution, then $\vec{v} = \vec{0}$, and $\vec{x}_p = \vec{x}_p + \vec{v}$; we only have one solution.

So here is the culmination of all of our fun that started a few pages back. If \vec{v} is a solution to $A\vec{x} = \vec{0}$ and \vec{x}_p is a solution to $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$, then $\vec{x}_p + \vec{v}$ is also a solution to $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$. If $A\vec{x} = \vec{0}$ has infinite solutions, so does $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$; if $A\vec{x} = \vec{0}$ has only one solution, so does $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$. This culminating idea is of course important enough to be stated again.

Key Idea 21 Solutions of Consistent Systems

Let $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$ be a consistent system of linear equations.

1. If $A\vec{x} = \vec{0}$ has exactly one solution ($\vec{x} = \vec{0}$), then $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$ has exactly one solution.
2. If $A\vec{x} = \vec{0}$ has infinite solutions, then $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$ has infinite solutions.

A key word in the above statement is *consistent*. If $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$ is inconsistent (the linear system has no solution), then it doesn't matter how many solutions $A\vec{x} = \vec{0}$ has; $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$ has no solution.

Example 105 Solving a homogeneous and non-homogeneous system

Let

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 1 & 3 \\ 4 & 2 & 4 & 6 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \vec{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 10 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Solve the linear systems $A\vec{x} = \vec{0}$ and $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$ for \vec{x} , and write the solutions in vector form.

SOLUTION We'll tackle $A\vec{x} = \vec{0}$ first. We form the associated augmented matrix, put it into reduced row echelon form, and interpret the result.

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccccc} 1 & -1 & 1 & 3 & 0 \\ 4 & 2 & 4 & 6 & 0 \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{\text{rref}} \left[\begin{array}{ccccc} 1 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \end{array} \right]$$

$$x_1 = -x_3 - 2x_4$$

$$x_2 = x_4$$

x_3 is free

x_4 is free

To write our solution in vector form, we rewrite x_1 and x_2 in \vec{x} in terms of x_3 and x_4 .

$$\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ x_4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -x_3 - 2x_4 \\ x_4 \\ x_3 \\ x_4 \end{bmatrix}$$

Finally, we "pull apart" this vector into two vectors, one with the " x_3 stuff" and one with the " x_4 stuff."

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{x} &= \begin{bmatrix} -x_3 - 2x_4 \\ x_4 \\ x_3 \\ x_4 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} -x_3 \\ 0 \\ x_3 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} -2x_4 \\ x_4 \\ 0 \\ x_4 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= x_3 \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + x_4 \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= x_3 \vec{u} + x_4 \vec{v} \end{aligned}$$

We use \vec{u} and \vec{v} simply to give these vectors names (and save some space).

It is easy to confirm that both \vec{u} and \vec{v} are solutions to the linear system $A\vec{x} = \vec{0}$. (Just multiply $A\vec{u}$ and $A\vec{v}$ and see that both are $\vec{0}$.) Since both are solutions to a homogeneous system of linear equations, any linear combination of \vec{u} and \vec{v} will be a solution, too.

Now let's tackle $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$. Once again we put the associated augmented matrix into reduced row echelon form and interpret the results.

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccccc} 1 & -1 & 1 & 3 & 1 \\ 4 & 2 & 4 & 6 & 10 \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{\text{rref}} \left[\begin{array}{ccccc} 1 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 & 1 \end{array} \right]$$

$$x_1 = 2 - x_3 - 2x_4$$

$$x_2 = 1 + x_4$$

x_3 is free

x_4 is free

Writing this solution in vector form gives

$$\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ x_4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 - x_3 - 2x_4 \\ 1 + x_4 \\ x_3 \\ x_4 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Again, we pull apart this vector, but this time we break it into three vectors: one with “ x_3 ” stuff, one with “ x_4 ” stuff, and one with just constants.

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{x} &= \begin{bmatrix} 2 - x_3 - 2x_4 \\ 1 + x_4 \\ x_3 \\ x_4 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} -x_3 \\ 0 \\ x_3 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} -2x_4 \\ x_4 \\ 0 \\ x_4 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + x_3 \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + x_4 \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \underbrace{\vec{x}_p}_{\text{particular solution}} + \underbrace{\vec{x}_3 \vec{u} + x_4 \vec{v}}_{\substack{\text{solution to} \\ \text{homogeneous} \\ \text{equations } A\vec{x} = \vec{0}}} \end{aligned}$$

Note that $A\vec{x}_p = \vec{b}$; by itself, \vec{x}_p is a solution. To get infinite solutions, we add a bunch of stuff that “goes to zero” when we multiply by A ; we add the solution to the homogeneous equations.

Why don’t we graph this solution as we did in the past? Before we had only two variables, meaning the solution could be graphed in 2D. Here we have four variables, meaning that our solution “lives” in 4D. You *can* draw this on paper, but it is *very* confusing.

Example 106 Using matrices and vectors to solve a system of equations
Rewrite the linear system

$$\begin{array}{rcl} x_1 + 2x_2 - 3x_3 + 2x_4 + 7x_5 & = & 2 \\ 3x_1 + 4x_2 + 5x_3 + 2x_4 + 3x_5 & = & -4 \end{array}$$

as a matrix–vector equation, solve the system using vector notation, and give the solution to the related homogeneous equations.

SOLUTION Rewriting the linear system in the form of $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$, we have that

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & -3 & 2 & 7 \\ 3 & 4 & 5 & 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ x_4 \\ x_5 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \vec{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ -4 \end{bmatrix}.$$

To solve the system, we put the associated augmented matrix into reduced row echelon form and interpret the results.

$$\left[\begin{array}{cccccc} 1 & 2 & -3 & 2 & 7 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 & 5 & 2 & 3 & -4 \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{\text{rref}} \left[\begin{array}{cccccc} 1 & 0 & 11 & -2 & -11 & -8 \\ 0 & 1 & -7 & 2 & 9 & 5 \end{array} \right]$$

$$x_1 = -8 - 11x_3 + 2x_4 + 11x_5$$

$$x_2 = 5 + 7x_3 - 2x_4 - 9x_5$$

x_3 is free

x_4 is free

x_5 is free

We use this information to write \vec{x} , again pulling it apart. Since we have three free variables and also constants, we'll need to pull \vec{x} apart into four separate vectors.

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{x} &= \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ x_4 \\ x_5 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -8 - 11x_3 + 2x_4 + 11x_5 \\ 5 + 7x_3 - 2x_4 - 9x_5 \\ x_3 \\ x_4 \\ x_5 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} -8 \\ 5 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} -11x_3 \\ 7x_3 \\ x_3 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 2x_4 \\ -2x_4 \\ 0 \\ x_4 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 11x_5 \\ -9x_5 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ x_5 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} -8 \\ 5 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + x_3 \begin{bmatrix} -11 \\ 7 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + x_4 \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ -2 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + x_5 \begin{bmatrix} 11 \\ -9 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \underbrace{\vec{x}_p}_{\substack{\text{particular} \\ \text{solution}}} + \underbrace{x_3 \vec{u} + x_4 \vec{v} + x_5 \vec{w}}_{\substack{\text{solution to homogeneous} \\ \text{equations } A\vec{x} = \vec{0}}} \end{aligned}$$

So \vec{x}_p is a particular solution; $A\vec{x}_p = \vec{b}$. (Multiply it out to verify that this is true.) The other vectors, \vec{u} , \vec{v} and \vec{w} , that are multiplied by our free variables x_3 , x_4 and x_5 , are each solutions to the homogeneous equations, $A\vec{x} = \vec{0}$. Any linear combination of these three vectors, i.e., any vector found by choosing values for x_3 , x_4 and x_5 in $x_3 \vec{u} + x_4 \vec{v} + x_5 \vec{w}$ is a solution to $A\vec{x} = \vec{0}$.

Example 107 Finding vector solutions

Let

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 4 & 5 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \vec{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 6 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Find the solutions to $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$ and $A\vec{x} = \vec{0}$.

SOLUTION We go through the familiar work of finding the reduced row echelon form of the appropriate augmented matrix and interpreting the solution.

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 5 & 6 \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{\text{rref}} \left[\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 \end{array} \right]$$

$$\begin{aligned}x_1 &= -1 \\x_2 &= 2\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

This may strike us as a bit odd; we are used to having lots of different vectors in the solution. However, in this case, the linear system $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$ has exactly one solution, and we've found it. What is the solution to $A\vec{x} = \vec{0}$? Since we've only found one solution to $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$, we can conclude from Key Idea 21 the related homogeneous equations $A\vec{x} = \vec{0}$ have only one solution, namely $\vec{x} = \vec{0}$. We can write our solution vector \vec{x} in a form similar to our previous examples to highlight this:

$$\begin{aligned}\vec{x} &= \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}}_{\text{particular solution}} + \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}}_{\text{solution to } A\vec{x} = \vec{0}}.\end{aligned}$$

Example 108 Further vector solutions

Let

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \vec{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Find the solutions to $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$ and $A\vec{x} = \vec{0}$.

SOLUTION To solve $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$, we put the appropriate augmented matrix into reduced row echelon form and interpret the results.

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 2 & 1 \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{\text{rref}} \left[\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right]$$

We immediately have a problem; we see that the second row tells us that $0x_1 + 0x_2 = 1$, the sign that our system does not have a solution. Thus $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$ has no solution. Of course, this does not mean that $A\vec{x} = \vec{0}$ has no solution; it always has a solution.

To find the solution to $A\vec{x} = \vec{0}$, we interpret the reduced row echelon form of the appropriate augmented matrix.

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 2 & 0 \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{\text{rref}} \left[\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right]$$

$$\begin{aligned}x_1 &= -x_2 \\x_2 &\text{ is free}\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}\vec{x} &= \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} -x_2 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= x_2 \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= x_2 \vec{u}.\end{aligned}$$

We have no solution to $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$, but infinite solutions to $A\vec{x} = \vec{0}$.

The previous example may seem to violate the principle of Key Idea 21. After all, it seems that having infinite solutions to $A\vec{x} = \vec{0}$ should imply infinite solutions to $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$. However, we remind ourselves of the key word in the idea that we observed before: *consistent*. If $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$ is consistent and $A\vec{x} = \vec{0}$ has infinite solutions, then so will $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$. But if $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$ is not consistent, it does not matter how many solutions $A\vec{x} = \vec{0}$ has; $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$ is still inconsistent.

This whole section is highlighting a very important concept that we won't fully understand until after two sections, but we get a glimpse of it here. When solving any system of linear equations (which we can write as $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$), whether we have exactly one solution, infinite solutions, or no solution depends on an intrinsic property of A . We'll find out what that property is soon; in the next section we solve a problem we introduced at the beginning of this section, how to solve matrix equations $AX = B$.

Exercises 4.4

Problems

In Exercises 1 – 6, a matrix A and vectors \vec{b} , \vec{u} and \vec{v} are given. Verify that \vec{u} and \vec{v} are both solutions to the equation $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$; that is, show that $A\vec{u} = A\vec{v} = \vec{b}$.

$$1. A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 \\ -3 & 6 \end{bmatrix}, \vec{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \vec{u} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \vec{v} = \begin{bmatrix} -10 \\ -5 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$2. A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 \\ -3 & 6 \end{bmatrix}, \vec{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ -6 \end{bmatrix}, \vec{u} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}, \vec{v} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$3. A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \vec{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \vec{u} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}, \vec{v} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 59 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$4. A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \vec{b} = \begin{bmatrix} -3 \\ -6 \end{bmatrix}, \vec{u} = \begin{bmatrix} -3 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}, \vec{v} = \begin{bmatrix} -3 \\ 59 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$5. A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -3 & -1 & -3 \\ -4 & 2 & -3 & 5 \end{bmatrix}, \vec{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \vec{u} = \begin{bmatrix} 11 \\ 4 \\ -12 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \vec{v} = \begin{bmatrix} 9 \\ -12 \\ 0 \\ 12 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$6. A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -3 & -1 & -3 \\ -4 & 2 & -3 & 5 \end{bmatrix}, \vec{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 48 \\ 36 \end{bmatrix}, \vec{u} = \begin{bmatrix} -17 \\ -16 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \vec{v} = \begin{bmatrix} -8 \\ -28 \\ 0 \\ 12 \end{bmatrix}$$

In Exercises 7 – 9, a matrix A and vectors \vec{b} , \vec{u} and \vec{v} are given. Verify that $A\vec{u} = \vec{0}$, $A\vec{v} = \vec{b}$ and $A(\vec{u} + \vec{v}) = \vec{b}$.

$$7. A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -2 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 & -1 \\ -2 & 2 & -1 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\vec{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \vec{u} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \vec{v} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$8. A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 3 \\ 3 & -3 & -3 \\ -1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \vec{b} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ -3 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \vec{u} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \vec{v} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$9. A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -3 \\ 3 & 1 & -3 \end{bmatrix}, \vec{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ -4 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}, \vec{u} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 6 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}, \vec{v} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

In Exercises 10 – 24, a matrix A and vector \vec{b} are given.

(a) Solve the equation $A\vec{x} = \vec{0}$.

(b) Solve the equation $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$.

In each of the above, be sure to write your answer in vector format. Also, when possible, give 2 particular solutions to each equation.

$$10. A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ -1 & 3 \end{bmatrix}, \vec{b} = \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$11. A = \begin{bmatrix} -4 & -1 \\ -3 & -2 \end{bmatrix}, \vec{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$12. A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \vec{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -5 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$13. A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 5 & -4 \end{bmatrix}, \vec{b} = \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$14. A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -3 \\ -4 & 6 \end{bmatrix}, \vec{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$15. A = \begin{bmatrix} -4 & 3 & 2 \\ -4 & 5 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \vec{b} = \begin{bmatrix} -4 \\ -4 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$16. A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 5 & -2 \\ 1 & 4 & 5 \end{bmatrix}, \vec{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$17. A = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & -2 & -2 \\ 3 & 4 & -2 \end{bmatrix}, \vec{b} = \begin{bmatrix} -4 \\ -4 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$18. A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 5 & 5 & -3 \end{bmatrix}, \vec{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ -3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$19. A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 5 & -4 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & -2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \vec{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$20. A = \begin{bmatrix} -4 & 2 & -5 & 4 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 5 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\vec{b} = \begin{bmatrix} -3 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$21. A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 2 & 1 & 4 \\ -2 & -1 & -4 & -1 & 5 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\vec{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$22. A = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 0 & -2 & -4 & 5 \\ 2 & 3 & 2 & 0 & 2 \\ -5 & 0 & 4 & 0 & 5 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\vec{b} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ -5 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$23. A = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 3 & 1 & -3 & 4 \\ 3 & -3 & -1 & 1 & -4 \\ -2 & 3 & -2 & -3 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\vec{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ -5 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$24. A = \begin{bmatrix} -4 & -2 & -1 & 4 & 0 \\ 5 & -4 & 3 & -1 & 1 \\ 4 & -5 & 3 & 1 & -4 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\vec{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

In Exercises 25 – 28, a matrix A and vector \vec{b} are given. Solve the equation $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$, write the solution in vector format, and sketch the solution as the appropriate line on the Cartesian plane.

$$25. A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 4 \\ -1 & -2 \end{bmatrix}, \vec{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$26. A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 4 \\ -1 & -2 \end{bmatrix}, \vec{b} = \begin{bmatrix} -6 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$27. A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -5 \\ -4 & -10 \end{bmatrix}, \vec{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$28. A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -5 \\ -4 & -10 \end{bmatrix}, \vec{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

4.5 Solving Matrix Equations $AX = B$

AS YOU READ . . .

1. T/F: To solve the matrix equation $AX = B$, put the matrix $[A \ X]$ into reduced row echelon form and interpret the result properly.
2. T/F: The first column of a matrix product AB is A times the first column of B .
3. Give two reasons why one might solve for the columns of X in the equation $AX=B$ separately.

We began last section talking about solving numerical equations like $ax = b$ for x . We mentioned that solving matrix equations of the form $AX = B$ is of interest, but we first learned how to solve the related, but simpler, equations $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$. In this section we will learn how to solve the general matrix equation $AX = B$ for X .

We will start by considering the best case scenario when solving $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$; that is, when A is square and we have exactly one solution. For instance, suppose we want to solve $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$ where

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \vec{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We know how to solve this; put the appropriate matrix into reduced row echelon form and interpret the result.

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & 1 \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{\text{rref}} \left[\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \end{array} \right]$$

We read from this that

$$\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Written in a more general form, we found our solution by forming the augmented matrix

$$[A \ \vec{b}]$$

and interpreting its reduced row echelon form:

$$[A \ \vec{b}] \xrightarrow{\text{rref}} [I \ \vec{x}]$$

Notice that when the reduced row echelon form of A is the identity matrix I we have exactly one solution. This, again, is the best case scenario.

We apply the same general technique to solving the matrix equation $AX = B$ for X . We'll assume that A is a square matrix (B need not be) and we'll form the augmented matrix

$$[A \ B].$$

Putting this matrix into reduced row echelon form will give us X , much like we found \vec{x} before.

$$[A \ B] \xrightarrow{\text{rref}} [I \ X]$$

As long as the reduced row echelon form of A is the identity matrix, this technique works great. After a few examples, we'll discuss why this technique works, and we'll also talk just a little bit about what happens when the reduced row echelon form of A is not the identity matrix.

First, some examples.

Example 109 Solving a matrix equation

Solve the matrix equation $AX = B$ where

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 5 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} -8 & -13 & 1 \\ 32 & -17 & 21 \end{bmatrix}.$$

SOLUTION To solve $AX = B$ for X , we form the proper augmented matrix, put it into reduced row echelon form, and interpret the result.

$$\left[\begin{array}{cccc|c} 1 & -1 & -8 & -13 & 1 \\ 5 & 3 & 32 & -17 & 21 \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{\text{rref}} \left[\begin{array}{ccccc} 1 & 0 & 1 & -7 & 3 \\ 0 & 1 & 9 & 6 & 2 \end{array} \right]$$

We read from the reduced row echelon form of the matrix that

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -7 & 3 \\ 9 & 6 & 2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We can easily check to see if our answer is correct by multiplying $ttaX$.

Example 110 Another matrix equation

Solve the matrix equation $AX = B$ where

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & -1 & -2 \\ 2 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 2 \\ 2 & -6 \\ 2 & -4 \end{bmatrix}.$$

SOLUTION To solve, let's again form the augmented matrix

$$[A \ B],$$

put it into reduced row echelon form, and interpret the result.

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccc|cc} 1 & 0 & 2 & -1 & 2 \\ 0 & -1 & -2 & 2 & -6 \\ 2 & -1 & 0 & 2 & -4 \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{\text{rref}} \left[\begin{array}{ccccc} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 1 \end{array} \right]$$

We see from this that

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 4 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Why does this work? To see the answer, let's define five matrices.

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix}, \vec{u} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \vec{v} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \vec{w} = \begin{bmatrix} 5 \\ 6 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } X = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 5 \\ 1 & 1 & 6 \end{bmatrix}$$

Notice that \vec{u} , \vec{v} and \vec{w} are the first, second and third columns of X , respectively. Now consider this list of matrix products: $A\vec{u}$, $A\vec{v}$, $A\vec{w}$ and AX .

$$\begin{aligned} A\vec{u} &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} & A\vec{v} &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 7 \end{bmatrix} & &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} A\vec{w} &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 5 \\ 6 \end{bmatrix} & AX &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 5 \\ 1 & 1 & 6 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 17 \\ 39 \end{bmatrix} & &= \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 1 & 17 \\ 7 & 1 & 39 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

So again note that the columns of X are \vec{u} , \vec{v} and \vec{w} ; that is, we can write

$$X = [\vec{u} \quad \vec{v} \quad \vec{w}] .$$

Notice also that the columns of AX are $A\vec{u}$, $A\vec{v}$ and $A\vec{w}$, respectively. Thus we can write

$$\begin{aligned} AX &= A[\vec{u} \quad \vec{v} \quad \vec{w}] \\ &= [A\vec{u} \quad A\vec{v} \quad A\vec{w}] \\ &= \left[\begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 7 \end{bmatrix} \quad \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \begin{bmatrix} 17 \\ 39 \end{bmatrix} \right] \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 1 & 17 \\ 7 & 1 & 39 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

We summarize what we saw above in the following statement:

The columns of a matrix product AX are A times the columns of X .

How does this help us solve the matrix equation $AX = B$ for X ? Assume that A is a square matrix (that forces X and B to be the same size). We'll let $\vec{x}_1, \vec{x}_2, \dots, \vec{x}_n$ denote the columns of the (unknown) matrix X , and we'll let b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n denote the columns of B . We want to solve $AX = B$ for X . That is, we want X where

$$\begin{aligned} AX &= B \\ A[\vec{x}_1 \quad \vec{x}_2 \quad \cdots \quad \vec{x}_n] &= [\vec{b}_1 \quad \vec{b}_2 \quad \cdots \quad \vec{b}_n] \\ [Ax_1 \quad Ax_2 \quad \cdots \quad Ax_n] &= [b_1 \quad b_2 \quad \cdots \quad b_n] \end{aligned}$$

If the matrix on the left hand side is equal to the matrix on the right, then their respective columns must be equal. This means we need to solve n equations:

$$\begin{aligned} Ax_1 &= b_1 \\ Ax_2 &= b_2 \\ \vdots &= \vdots \\ Ax_n &= b_n \end{aligned}$$

We already know how to do this; this is what we learned in the previous section. Let's do this in a concrete example. In our above work we defined matrices A and X , and looked at the product AX . Let's call the product B ; that is, set $B = AX$. Now, let's pretend that we don't know what X is, and let's try to find the matrix X that satisfies the equation $AX = B$. As a refresher, recall that

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 1 & 17 \\ 7 & 1 & 39 \end{bmatrix} .$$

Since A is a 2×2 matrix and B is a 2×3 matrix, what dimensions must X be in the equation $AX = B$? The number of rows of X must match the number of columns of A ; the number of columns of X must match the number of columns of B . Therefore we know that X must be a 2×3 matrix.

We'll call the three columns of X \vec{x}_1 , \vec{x}_2 and \vec{x}_3 . Our previous explanation tells us that if $AX = B$, then:

$$\begin{aligned} AX &= B \\ A[\vec{x}_1 \quad \vec{x}_2 \quad \vec{x}_3] &= \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 1 & 17 \\ 7 & 1 & 39 \end{bmatrix} \\ [Ax_1 \quad Ax_2 \quad Ax_3] &= \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 1 & 17 \\ 7 & 1 & 39 \end{bmatrix} . \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$A\vec{x}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 7 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$A\vec{x}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$A\vec{x}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 17 \\ 39 \end{bmatrix}$$

To find \vec{x}_1 , we form the proper augmented matrix and put it into reduced row echelon form and interpret the results.

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 3 & 4 & 7 \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{\text{rref}} \left[\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{array} \right]$$

This shows us that

$$\vec{x}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

To find \vec{x}_2 , we again form an augmented matrix and interpret its reduced row echelon form.

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 3 & 4 & 1 \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{\text{rref}} \left[\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{array} \right]$$

Thus

$$\vec{x}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

which matches with what we already knew from above.

Before continuing on in this manner to find \vec{x}_3 , we should stop and think. If the matrix vector equation $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$ is consistent, then the steps involved in putting

$$[A \quad \vec{b}]$$

into reduced row echelon form depend only on A ; it does not matter what \vec{b} is. So when we put the two matrices

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 3 & 4 & 7 \end{array} \right] \quad \text{and} \quad \left[\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 3 & 4 & 1 \end{array} \right]$$

from above into reduced row echelon form, we performed exactly the same steps! (In fact, those steps are: $-3R_1 + R_2 \rightarrow R_2$; $-\frac{1}{2}R_2 \rightarrow R_2$; $-2R_2 + R_1 \rightarrow R_1$.)

Instead of solving for each column of X separately, performing the same steps to put the necessary matrices into reduced row echelon form three different times, why don't we just do it all at once? (Unless you enjoy doing unnecessary work.) Instead of individually putting

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 3 & 4 & 7 \end{array} \right], \quad \left[\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 3 & 4 & 1 \end{array} \right] \quad \text{and} \quad \left[\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 2 & 17 \\ 3 & 4 & 39 \end{array} \right]$$

into reduced row echelon form, let's just put

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccccc} 1 & 2 & 3 & 1 & 17 \\ 3 & 4 & 7 & 1 & 39 \end{array} \right]$$

into reduced row echelon form.

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccccc} 1 & 2 & 3 & 1 & 17 \\ 3 & 4 & 7 & 1 & 39 \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{\text{rref}} \left[\begin{array}{ccccc} 1 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 5 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 6 \end{array} \right]$$

By looking at the last three columns, we see X :

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 5 \\ 1 & 1 & 6 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Now that we've justified the technique we've been using in this section to solve $AX = B$ for X , we reinforce its importance by restating it as a Key Idea.

Key Idea 22 Solving $AX = B$

Let A be an $n \times n$ matrix, where the reduced row echelon form of A is I . To solve the matrix equation $AX = B$ for X ,

1. Form the augmented matrix $[A \quad B]$.
2. Put this matrix into reduced row echelon form. It will be of the form $[I \quad X]$, where X appears in the columns where B once was.

These simple steps cause us to ask certain questions. First, we specify above that A should be a square matrix. What happens if A isn't square? Is a solution still possible? Secondly, we only considered cases where the reduced row echelon form of A was I (and stated that as a requirement in our Key Idea). What if the reduced row echelon form of A isn't I ? Would we still be able to find a solution? (Instead of having exactly one solution, could we have no solution? Infinite solutions? How would we be able to tell?)

These questions are good to ask, and we leave it to the reader to discover their answers. Instead of tackling these questions, we instead tackle the problem of "Why do we care about solving $AX = B$?" The simple answer is that, for now, we only care about the special case when $B = I$. By solving $AX = I$ for X , we find a matrix X that, when multiplied by A , gives the identity I . That will be very useful.

Exercises 4.5

Problems

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} -2 & -10 & 19 \\ 13 & 2 & -2 \end{bmatrix}$$

In Exercises 1 – 12, matrices A and B are given. Solve the matrix equation $AX = B$.

$$1. A = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & -1 \\ -7 & 5 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 8 & -31 \\ -27 & 38 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$2. A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -3 \\ -3 & 6 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 12 & -10 \\ -27 & 27 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$3. A = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 3 \\ 6 & 4 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 15 & -39 \\ 16 & -66 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$4. A = \begin{bmatrix} -3 & -6 \\ 4 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 48 & -30 \\ 0 & -8 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$5. A = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & -2 \\ -2 & -3 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 13 & 4 & 7 \\ 22 & 5 & 12 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$6. A = \begin{bmatrix} -4 & 1 \\ -1 & -2 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$7. A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 3 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B = I_2$$

$$8. A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 \\ 3 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B = I_2$$

$$9. A = \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 0 & 4 \\ -5 & -4 & 5 \\ -3 & 5 & -3 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} -18 & 2 & -14 \\ -38 & 18 & -13 \\ 10 & 2 & -18 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$10. A = \begin{bmatrix} -5 & -4 & -1 \\ 8 & -2 & -3 \\ 6 & 1 & -8 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} -21 & -8 & -19 \\ 65 & -11 & -10 \\ 75 & -51 & 33 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$11. A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -2 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 & -3 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B = I_3$$

$$12. A = \begin{bmatrix} -3 & 3 & -2 \\ 1 & -3 & 2 \\ -1 & -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B = I_3$$

4.6 The Matrix Inverse

AS YOU READ ...

1. T/F: If A and B are square matrices where $AB = I$, then $BA = I$.
2. T/F: A matrix A has exactly one inverse, infinite inverses, or no inverse.
3. T/F: Everyone is special.
4. T/F: If A is invertible, then $A\vec{x} = \vec{0}$ has exactly 1 solution.
5. What is a corollary?
6. Fill in the blanks: _____ a matrix is invertible is useful; computing the inverse is _____.

Once again we visit the old algebra equation, $ax = b$. How do we solve for x ? We know that, as long as $a \neq 0$,

$$x = \frac{b}{a}, \text{ or, stated in another way, } x = a^{-1}b.$$

What is a^{-1} ? It is the number that, when multiplied by a , returns 1. That is,

$$a^{-1}a = 1.$$

Let us now think in terms of matrices. We have learned of the identity matrix I that “acts like the number 1.” That is, if A is a square matrix, then

$$IA = AI = A.$$

If we had a matrix, which we’ll call A^{-1} , where $A^{-1}A = I$, then by analogy to our algebra example above it seems like we might be able to solve the linear system $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$ for \vec{x} by multiplying both sides of the equation by A^{-1} . That is, perhaps

$$\vec{x} = A^{-1}\vec{b}.$$

Of course, there is a lot of speculation here. We don’t know that such a matrix like A^{-1} exists. However, we do know how to solve the matrix equation $AX = B$, so we can use that technique to solve the equation $AX = I$ for X . This seems like it will get us close to what we want. Let’s practice this once and then study our results.

Example 111 Solving $AX = I$

Let

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Find a matrix X such that $AX = I$.

SOLUTION We know how to solve this from the previous section: we form the proper augmented matrix, put it into reduced row echelon form and interpret the results.

$$\left[\begin{array}{cccc} 2 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{\text{rref}} \left[\begin{array}{cccc} 1 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 2 \end{array} \right]$$

We read from our matrix that

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Let's check our work:

$$\begin{aligned} AX &= \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= I \end{aligned}$$

Sure enough, it works.

Looking at our previous example, we are tempted to jump in and call the matrix X that we found " A^{-1} ." However, there are two obstacles in the way of us doing this.

First, we know that in general $AB \neq BA$. So while we found that $AX = I$, we can't automatically assume that $XA = I$.

Secondly, we have seen examples of matrices where $AB = AC$, but $B \neq C$. So just because $AX = I$, it is possible that another matrix Y exists where $AY = I$. If this is the case, using the notation A^{-1} would be misleading, since it could refer to more than one matrix.

These obstacles that we face are not insurmountable. The first obstacle was that we know that $AX = I$ but didn't know that $XA = I$. That's easy enough to check, though. Let's look at A and X from our previous example.

$$\begin{aligned} XA &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= I \end{aligned}$$

Perhaps this first obstacle isn't much of an obstacle after all. Of course, we only have one example where it worked, so this doesn't mean that it always works. We have good news, though: it always does work. The only "bad" news to come with this is that this is a bit harder to prove. We won't worry about proving it always works, but state formally that it does in the following theorem.

Theorem 22 Special Commuting Matrix Products

Let A be an $n \times n$ matrix.

1. If there is a matrix X such that $AX = I_n$, then $XA = I_n$.
2. If there is a matrix X such that $XA = I_n$, then $AX = I_n$.

The second obstacle is easier to address. We want to know if another matrix Y exists where $AY = I = YA$. Let's suppose that it does. Consider the expression XAY . Since matrix multiplication is associative, we can group this any way we choose. We could group this as $(XA)Y$; this results in

$$\begin{aligned} (XA)Y &= IY \\ &= Y. \end{aligned}$$

We could also group XAY as $X(AY)$. This tells us

$$\begin{aligned} X(AY) &= XI \\ &= X \end{aligned}$$

Combining the two ideas above, we see that $X = XAY = Y$; that is, $X = Y$. We conclude that there is only one matrix X where $XA = I = AX$. (Even if we think we have two, we can do the above exercise and see that we really just have one.)

We have just proved the following theorem.

Theorem 23 Uniqueness of Solutions to $AX = I_n$

Let A be an $n \times n$ matrix and let X be a matrix where $AX = I_n$. Then X is unique; it is the only matrix that satisfies this equation.

So given a square matrix A , if we can find a matrix X where $AX = I$, then we know that $XA = I$ and that X is the only matrix that does this. This makes X special, so we give it a special name.

Definition 54 Invertible Matrices and the Inverse of A

Let A and X be $n \times n$ matrices where $AX = I = XA$. Then:

1. A is *invertible*.
2. X is the *inverse* of A , denoted by A^{-1} .

Example 112 shows that not all square matrices (or even non-zero square matrices) are invertible, hence Definition 54 is necessary: why bother calling A “invertible” if every square matrix is? If everyone is special, then no one is. Then again, everyone *is* special.

Let's do an example.

Example 112 A non-invertible matrix

Find the inverse of $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$.

SOLUTION By solving the equation $AX = I$ for X will give us the inverse of A . Forming the appropriate augmented matrix and finding its reduced row echelon form gives us

$$\left[\begin{array}{cccc} 1 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 4 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{\text{rref}} \left[\begin{array}{cccc} 1 & 2 & 0 & 1/2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1/2 \end{array} \right]$$

Yikes! We were expecting to find that the reduced row echelon form of this matrix would look like

$$\left[\begin{array}{cc} I & A^{-1} \end{array} \right].$$

However, we don't have the identity on the left hand side. Our conclusion: A is not invertible.

We have just seen that not all matrices are invertible.

With this thought in mind, let's complete the array of boxes we started before the example. We've discovered that if a matrix has an inverse, it has only one. Therefore, we gave that special matrix a name, “*the inverse*.” Finally, we describe the most general way to find the inverse of a matrix, and a way to tell if it does not have one.

Key Idea 23 Finding A^{-1}

Let A be an $n \times n$ matrix. To find A^{-1} , put the augmented matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & I_n \end{bmatrix}$$

into reduced row echelon form. If the result is of the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} I_n & X \end{bmatrix},$$

then $A^{-1} = X$. If not, (that is, if the first n columns of the reduced row echelon form are not I_n), then A is not invertible.

Let's try again.

Example 113 Computing the inverse of a matrix

Find the inverse, if it exists, of $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$.

SOLUTION We'll try to solve $AX = I$ for X and see what happens.

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{\text{rref}} \left[\begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0.5 & 0.5 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0.2 & -0.4 & 0.2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -0.3 & 0.1 & 0.2 \end{array} \right]$$

We have a solution, so

$$A^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.5 & 0.5 & 0 \\ 0.2 & -0.4 & 0.2 \\ -0.3 & 0.1 & 0.2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Multiply AA^{-1} to verify that it is indeed the inverse of A .

In general, given a matrix A , to find A^{-1} we need to form the augmented matrix $[A \ I]$ and put it into reduced row echelon form and interpret the result. In the case of a 2×2 matrix, though, there is a shortcut. We give the shortcut in terms of a theorem.

Theorem 24 The Inverse of a 2×2 Matrix

Let

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}.$$

A is invertible if and only if $ad - bc \neq 0$.

If $ad - bc \neq 0$, then

$$A^{-1} = \frac{1}{ad - bc} \begin{bmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{bmatrix}.$$

We can't divide by 0, so if $ad - bc = 0$, we don't have an inverse. Recall Example 112, where

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 4 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We don't prove Theorem 24 here, but it really isn't hard to do. Put the matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & b & 1 & 0 \\ c & d & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

into reduced row echelon form and you'll discover the result of the theorem. Alternatively, multiply A by what we propose is the inverse and see that we indeed get I .

Here, $ad - bc = 1(4) - 2(2) = 0$, which is why A didn't have an inverse.
Although this idea is simple, we should practice it.

Example 114 Computing a 2×2 inverse using Theorem 24
Use Theorem 24 to find the inverse of

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 2 \\ -1 & 9 \end{bmatrix}$$

if it exists.

SOLUTION Since $ad - bc = 29 \neq 0$, A^{-1} exists. By the Theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} A^{-1} &= \frac{1}{3(9) - 2(-1)} \begin{bmatrix} 9 & -2 \\ 1 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \frac{1}{29} \begin{bmatrix} 9 & -2 \\ 1 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

We can leave our answer in this form, or we could “simplify” it as

$$A^{-1} = \frac{1}{29} \begin{bmatrix} 9 & -2 \\ 1 & 3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 9/29 & -2/29 \\ 1/29 & 3/29 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We started this section out by speculating that just as we solved algebraic equations of the form $ax = b$ by computing $x = a^{-1}b$, we might be able to solve matrix equations of the form $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$ by computing $\vec{x} = A^{-1}\vec{b}$. If A^{-1} does exist, then we *can* solve the equation $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$ this way. Consider:

$$\begin{array}{ll} A\vec{x} = \vec{b} & \text{(original equation)} \\ A^{-1}A\vec{x} = A^{-1}\vec{b} & \text{(multiply both sides *on the left* by } A^{-1}) \\ I\vec{x} = A^{-1}\vec{b} & \text{(since } A^{-1}A = I\text{)} \\ \vec{x} = A^{-1}\vec{b} & \text{(since } I\vec{x} = \vec{x}\text{)} \end{array}$$

Let's step back and think about this for a moment. The only thing we know about the equation $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$ is that A is invertible. We also know that solutions to $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$ come in three forms: exactly one solution, infinite solutions, and no solution. We just showed that if A is invertible, then $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$ has *at least* one solution. We showed that by setting \vec{x} equal to $A^{-1}\vec{b}$, we have a solution. Is it possible that more solutions exist?

No. Suppose we are told that a known vector \vec{v} is a solution to the equation $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$; that is, we know that $A\vec{v} = \vec{b}$. We can repeat the above steps:

$$\begin{aligned} A\vec{v} &= \vec{b} \\ A^{-1}A\vec{v} &= A^{-1}\vec{b} \\ I\vec{v} &= A^{-1}\vec{b} \\ \vec{v} &= A^{-1}\vec{b}. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that *all* solutions to $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$ are exactly $\vec{x} = A^{-1}\vec{b}$ when A is invertible. We have just proved the following theorem.

Theorem 25 Invertible Matrices and Solutions to $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$

Let A be an invertible $n \times n$ matrix, and let \vec{b} be any $n \times 1$ column vector. Then the equation $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$ has exactly one solution, namely

$$\vec{x} = A^{-1}\vec{b}.$$

A corollary to this theorem is: If A is not invertible, then $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$ does not have exactly one solution. It may have infinite solutions and it may have no solution, and we would need to examine the reduced row echelon form of the augmented matrix $[A \ \vec{b}]$ to see which case applies.

We demonstrate our theorem with an example.

Example 115 Using a matrix inverse to solve a system

Solve $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$ by computing $\vec{x} = A^{-1}\vec{b}$, where

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -3 \\ -3 & -4 & 10 \\ 4 & -5 & -11 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } \vec{b} = \begin{bmatrix} -15 \\ 57 \\ -46 \end{bmatrix}.$$

SOLUTION Without showing our steps, we compute

$$A^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 94 & 15 & -12 \\ 7 & 1 & -1 \\ 31 & 5 & -4 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We then find the solution to $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$ by computing $A^{-1}\vec{b}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{x} &= A^{-1}\vec{b} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 94 & 15 & -12 \\ 7 & 1 & -1 \\ 31 & 5 & -4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -15 \\ 57 \\ -46 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} -3 \\ -2 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

We can easily check our answer:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -3 \\ -3 & -4 & 10 \\ 4 & -5 & -11 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -3 \\ -2 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -15 \\ 57 \\ -46 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Knowing a matrix is invertible is incredibly useful. Among many other reasons, if you know A is invertible, then you know for sure that $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$ has a solution (as we just stated in Theorem 25). In the next section we'll demonstrate many different properties of invertible matrices, including stating several different ways in which we know that a matrix is invertible.

The method employed in Example 115 is useful in theory, but not in practice: the amount of work required to solve a system by hand is significant, especially when another method typically called the *standard* *work is done* the *only* the *theorems* and *should* *single* *collage* *the* *an* *easy* *to* *one* *system*. (aside from being asked to do so on a test!) is when there are *several* systems you need to solve that all have the same coefficient matrix.

As odd as it may sound, *knowing* a matrix is invertible is useful; actually computing the inverse isn't. This is discussed at the end of the next section.

Exercises 4.6

Problems

In Exercises 1 – 8, a matrix A is given. Find A^{-1} using Theorem 24, if it exists.

1.
$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 5 \\ -5 & -24 \end{bmatrix}$$

2.
$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -4 \\ 1 & -3 \end{bmatrix}$$

3.
$$\begin{bmatrix} 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 7 \end{bmatrix}$$

4.
$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 5 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$$

5.
$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -3 \\ -2 & 6 \end{bmatrix}$$

6.
$$\begin{bmatrix} 3 & 7 \\ 2 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$$

7.
$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

8.
$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

In Exercises 9 – 28, a matrix A is given. Find A^{-1} using Key Idea 23, if it exists.

9.
$$\begin{bmatrix} -2 & 3 \\ 1 & 5 \end{bmatrix}$$

10.
$$\begin{bmatrix} -5 & -2 \\ 9 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

11.
$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$$

12.
$$\begin{bmatrix} 5 & 7 \\ 5/3 & 7/3 \end{bmatrix}$$

13.
$$\begin{bmatrix} 25 & -10 & -4 \\ -18 & 7 & 3 \\ -6 & 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

14.
$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 & 4 \\ -3 & 6 & 9 \\ -1 & 9 & 13 \end{bmatrix}$$

15.
$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 4 & 1 & -7 \\ 20 & 7 & -48 \end{bmatrix}$$

16.
$$\begin{bmatrix} -4 & 1 & 5 \\ -5 & 1 & 9 \\ -10 & 2 & 19 \end{bmatrix}$$

17.
$$\begin{bmatrix} 5 & -1 & 0 \\ 7 & 7 & 1 \\ -2 & -8 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

18.
$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -5 & 0 \\ -2 & 15 & 4 \\ 4 & -19 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

19.
$$\begin{bmatrix} 25 & -8 & 0 \\ -78 & 25 & 0 \\ 48 & -15 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

20.
$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 7 & 5 & 8 \\ -2 & -2 & -3 \end{bmatrix}$$

21.
$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

22.
$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

23.
$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -19 & -9 & 0 & 4 \\ 33 & 4 & 1 & -7 \\ 4 & 2 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

24.
$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 27 & 1 & 0 & 4 \\ 18 & 0 & 1 & 4 \\ 4 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

25.
$$\begin{bmatrix} -15 & 45 & -3 & 4 \\ 55 & -164 & 15 & -15 \\ -215 & 640 & -62 & 59 \\ -4 & 12 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

26.
$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 2 & 8 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -4 & -29 & -110 \\ 0 & -3 & -5 & -19 \end{bmatrix}$$

27.
$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

28.
$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -4 \end{bmatrix}$$

In Exercises 29–36, a matrix A and a vector \vec{b} are given. Solve the equation $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$ using Theorem 25.

29. $A = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 5 \\ 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \vec{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 21 \\ 13 \end{bmatrix}$

30. $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -4 \\ 4 & -15 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \vec{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 21 \\ 77 \end{bmatrix}$

31. $A = \begin{bmatrix} 9 & 70 \\ -4 & -31 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \vec{b} = \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$

32. $A = \begin{bmatrix} 10 & -57 \\ 3 & -17 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \vec{b} = \begin{bmatrix} -14 \\ -4 \end{bmatrix}$

33. $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 12 \\ 0 & 1 & 6 \\ -3 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$

$$\vec{b} = \begin{bmatrix} -17 \\ -5 \\ 20 \end{bmatrix}$$

34. $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -3 \\ 8 & -2 & -13 \\ 12 & -3 & -20 \end{bmatrix},$

$$\vec{b} = \begin{bmatrix} -34 \\ -159 \\ -243 \end{bmatrix}$$

35. $A = \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 0 & -2 \\ -8 & 1 & 5 \\ -2 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$

$$\vec{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 33 \\ -70 \\ -15 \end{bmatrix}$$

36. $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -6 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 2 & -8 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$

$$\vec{b} = \begin{bmatrix} -69 \\ 10 \\ -102 \end{bmatrix}$$

4.7 Properties of the Matrix Inverse

AS YOU READ . . .

1. What does it mean to say that two statements are “equivalent?”
2. T/F: If A is not invertible, then $A\vec{x} = \vec{0}$ could have no solutions.
3. T/F: If A is not invertible, then $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$ could have infinite solutions.
4. What is the inverse of the inverse of A ?
5. T/F: Solving $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$ using Gaussian elimination is faster than using the inverse of A .

We ended the previous section by stating that invertible matrices are important. Since they are, in this section we study invertible matrices in two ways. First, we look at ways to tell whether or not a matrix is invertible, and second, we study properties of invertible matrices (that is, how they interact with other matrix operations).

We start with collecting ways in which we know that a matrix is invertible. We actually already know the truth of this theorem from our work in the previous section, but it is good to list the following statements in one place. As we move through other sections, we'll add on to this theorem.

Theorem 26 Invertible Matrix Theorem

Let A be an $n \times n$ matrix. The following statements are equivalent.

- (a) A is invertible.
- (b) There exists a matrix B such that $BA = I$.
- (c) There exists a matrix C such that $AC = I$.
- (d) The reduced row echelon form of A is I .
- (e) The equation $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$ has exactly one solution for every $n \times 1$ vector \vec{b} .
- (f) The equation $A\vec{x} = \vec{0}$ has exactly one solution (namely, $\vec{x} = \vec{0}$).

Let's make note of a few things about the Invertible Matrix Theorem.

1. First, note that the theorem uses the phrase “the following statements are *equivalent*.” When two or more statements are equivalent, it means that the truth of any one of them implies that the rest are also true; if any one of the statements is false, then they are all false. So, for example, if we determined that the equation $A\vec{x} = \vec{0}$ had exactly one solution (and A was an $n \times n$ matrix) then we would know that A was invertible, that $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$ had only one solution, that the reduced row echelon form of A was I , etc.
2. Let's go through each of the statements and see why we already knew they all said essentially the same thing.

- (a) This simply states that A is invertible – that is, that there exists a matrix A^{-1} such that $A^{-1}A = AA^{-1} = I$. We'll go on to show why all the other statements basically tell us “ A is invertible.”
- (b) If we know that A is invertible, then we already know that there is a matrix B where $BA = I$. That is part of the definition of invertible. However, we can also “go the other way.” Recall from Theorem 22 that even if all we know is that there is a matrix B where $BA = I$, then we also know that $AB = I$. That is, we know that B is the inverse of A (and hence A is invertible).
- (c) We use the same logic as in the previous statement to show why this is the same as “ A is invertible.”
- (d) If A is invertible, we can find the inverse by using Key Idea 23 (which in turn depends on Theorem 22). The crux of Key Idea 23 is that the reduced row echelon form of A is I ; if it is something else, we can't find A^{-1} (it doesn't exist). Knowing that A is invertible means that the reduced row echelon form of A is I . We can go the other way; if we know that the reduced row echelon form of A is I , then we can employ Key Idea 23 to find A^{-1} , so A is invertible.
- (e) We know from Theorem 25 that if A is invertible, then given any vector \vec{b} , $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$ has always has exactly one solution, namely $\vec{x} = A^{-1}\vec{b}$. However, we can go the other way; let's say we know that $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$ always has exactly one solution. How can we conclude that A is invertible?
Think about how we, up to this point, determined the solution to $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$. We set up the augmented matrix $[A \ \vec{b}]$ and put it into reduced row echelon form. We know that getting the identity matrix on the left means that we had a unique solution (and not getting the identity means we either have no solution or infinite solutions). So getting I on the left means having a unique solution; having I on the left means that the reduced row echelon form of A is I , which we know from above is the same as A being invertible.
- (f) This is the same as the above; simply replace the vector \vec{b} with the vector $\vec{0}$.

So we came up with a list of statements that are all *equivalent* to the statement “ A is invertible.” Again, if we know that if any one of them is true (or false), then they are all true (or all false).

Theorem 26 states formally that if A is invertible, then $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$ has exactly one solution, namely $A^{-1}\vec{b}$. What if A is not invertible? What are the possibilities for solutions to $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$?

We know that $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$ *cannot* have exactly one solution; if it did, then by our theorem it would be invertible. Recalling that linear equations have either one solution, infinite solutions, or no solution, we are left with the latter options when A is not invertible. This idea is important and so we'll state it again as a Key Idea.

Key Idea 24 Solutions to $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$ and the Invertibility of A

Consider the system of linear equations $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$.

1. If A is invertible, then $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$ has exactly one solution, namely $A^{-1}\vec{b}$.
2. If A is not invertible, then $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$ has either infinite solutions or no solution.

In Theorem 26 we've come up with a list of ways in which we can tell whether or not a matrix is invertible. At the same time, we have come up with a list of properties of invertible matrices – things we know that are true about them. (For instance, if we know that A is invertible, then we know that $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$ has only one solution.)

We now go on to discover other properties of invertible matrices. Specifically, we want to find out how invertibility interacts with other matrix operations. For instance, if we know that A and B are invertible, what is the inverse of $A + B$? What is the inverse of AB ? What is “the inverse of the inverse?” We'll explore these questions through an example.

Example 116 Exploring properties of the inverse

Let

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } B = \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Find:

- | | | |
|-------------|--------------------|-------------------|
| 1. A^{-1} | 3. $(AB)^{-1}$ | 5. $(A + B)^{-1}$ |
| 2. B^{-1} | 4. $(A^{-1})^{-1}$ | 6. $(5A)^{-1}$ |

In addition, try to find connections between each of the above.

SOLUTION

1. Computing A^{-1} is straightforward; we'll use Theorem 24.

$$A^{-1} = \frac{1}{3} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 \\ 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1/3 & -2/3 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

2. We compute B^{-1} in the same way as above.

$$B^{-1} = \frac{1}{-2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -1 & -2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -1/2 & 0 \\ 1/2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

3. To compute $(AB)^{-1}$, we first compute AB :

$$AB = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -4 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

We now apply Theorem 24 to find $(AB)^{-1}$.

$$(AB)^{-1} = \frac{1}{-6} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 \\ -1 & -4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -1/6 & 1/3 \\ 1/6 & 2/3 \end{bmatrix}$$

4. To compute $(A^{-1})^{-1}$, we simply apply Theorem 24 to A^{-1} :

$$(A^{-1})^{-1} = \frac{1}{1/3} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2/3 \\ 0 & 1/3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

5. To compute $(A + B)^{-1}$, we first compute $A + B$ then apply Theorem 24:

$$A + B = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Hence

$$(A + B)^{-1} = \frac{1}{0} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -2 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = !$$

Our last expression is really nonsense; we know that if $ad - bc = 0$, then the given matrix is not invertible. That is the case with $A + B$, so we conclude that $A + B$ is not invertible.

6. To compute $(5A)^{-1}$, we compute $5A$ and then apply Theorem 24.

$$(5A)^{-1} = \left(\begin{bmatrix} 15 & 10 \\ 0 & 5 \end{bmatrix} \right)^{-1} = \frac{1}{75} \begin{bmatrix} 5 & -10 \\ 0 & 15 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1/15 & -2/15 \\ 0 & 1/5 \end{bmatrix}$$

We now look for connections between A^{-1} , B^{-1} , $(AB)^{-1}$, $(A^{-1})^{-1}$ and $(A + B)^{-1}$.

3. Is there some sort of relationship between $(AB)^{-1}$ and A^{-1} and B^{-1} ? A first guess that seems plausible is $(AB)^{-1} = A^{-1}B^{-1}$. Is this true? Using our work from above, we have

$$A^{-1}B^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1/3 & -2/3 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -1/2 & 0 \\ 1/2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -1/2 & -2/3 \\ 1/2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Obviously, this is not equal to $(AB)^{-1}$. Before we do some further guessing, let's think about what the inverse of AB is supposed to do. The inverse – let's call it C – is supposed to be a matrix such that

$$(AB)C = C(AB) = I.$$

In examining the expression $(AB)C$, we see that we want B to somehow “cancel” with C . What “cancels” B ? An obvious answer is B^{-1} . This gives us a thought: perhaps we got the order of A^{-1} and B^{-1} wrong before. After all, we were hoping to find that

$$ABA^{-1}B^{-1} \stackrel{?}{=} I,$$

but algebraically speaking, it is hard to cancel out these terms. (Recall that matrix multiplication is not commutative: $AB \neq BA$ in general.) However, switching the order of A^{-1} and B^{-1} gives us some hope. Is $(AB)^{-1} = B^{-1}A^{-1}$? Let's see.

$$\begin{aligned} (AB)(B^{-1}A^{-1}) &= A(BB^{-1})A^{-1} && \text{(regrouping by the associative property)} \\ &= AIA^{-1} && (BB^{-1} = I) \\ &= AA^{-1} && (AI = A) \\ &= I && (AA^{-1} = I) \end{aligned}$$

Thus it seems that $(AB)^{-1} = B^{-1}A^{-1}$. Let's confirm this with our example matrices.

$$B^{-1}A^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} -1/2 & 0 \\ 1/2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1/3 & -2/3 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -1/6 & 1/3 \\ 1/6 & 2/3 \end{bmatrix} = (AB)^{-1}.$$

It worked!

4. Is there some sort of connection between $(A^{-1})^{-1}$ and A ? The answer is pretty obvious: they are equal. The “inverse of the inverse” returns one to the original matrix.
5. Is there some sort of relationship between $(A + B)^{-1}$, A^{-1} and B^{-1} ? Certainly, if we were forced to make a guess without working any examples, we would guess that

$$(A + B)^{-1} \stackrel{?}{=} A^{-1} + B^{-1}.$$

However, we saw that in our example, the matrix $(A + B)$ isn't even invertible. This pretty much kills any hope of a connection.

6. Is there a connection between $(5A)^{-1}$ and A^{-1} ? Consider:

$$\begin{aligned} (5A)^{-1} &= \begin{bmatrix} 1/15 & -2/15 \\ 0 & 1/5 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \frac{1}{5} \begin{bmatrix} 1/3 & -2/3 \\ 0 & 1/5 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \frac{1}{5} A^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

Yes, there is a connection!

The fact that invertibility works well with matrix multiplication should not come as a surprise. After all, saying that A is invertible makes a statement about the multiplicative properties of A . It says that I can multiply A with a special matrix to get I . Invertibility, in and of itself, says nothing about matrix addition, therefore we should not be too surprised that it doesn't work well with it.

Let's summarize the results of this example. If A and B are both invertible matrices, then so is their product, AB . We demonstrated this with our example, and there is more to be said. Let's suppose that A and B are $n \times n$ matrices, but we don't yet know if they are invertible. If AB is invertible, then each of A and B are; if AB is not invertible, then A or B is also not invertible.

In short, invertibility “works well” with matrix multiplication. However, we saw that it doesn't work well with matrix addition. Knowing that A and B are invertible does not help us find the inverse of $(A + B)$; in fact, the latter matrix may not even be invertible.

Let's do one more example, then we'll summarize the results of this section in a theorem.

Example 117 Computing the inverse of a diagonal matrix

Find the inverse of $A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -7 \end{bmatrix}$.

SOLUTION We'll find A^{-1} using Key Idea 23.

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 2 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -7 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{\text{rref}} \left[\begin{array}{ccc|ccc} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1/2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1/3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & -1/7 \end{array} \right]$$

Therefore

$$A^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1/2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1/3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1/7 \end{bmatrix}.$$



The matrix A in the previous example is a *diagonal* matrix: the only nonzero entries of A lie on the *diagonal*. The relationship between A and A^{-1} in the above example seems pretty strong, and it holds true in general. We'll state this and summarize the results of this section with the following theorem.

Theorem 27 Properties of Invertible Matrices

Let A and B be $n \times n$ invertible matrices. Then:

1. AB is invertible; $(AB)^{-1} = B^{-1}A^{-1}$.
2. A^{-1} is invertible; $(A^{-1})^{-1} = A$.
3. nA is invertible for any nonzero scalar n ; $(nA)^{-1} = \frac{1}{n}A^{-1}$.
4. If A is a diagonal matrix, with diagonal entries d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n , where none of the diagonal entries are 0, then A^{-1} exists and is a diagonal matrix. Furthermore, the diagonal entries of A^{-1} are $1/d_1, 1/d_2, \dots, 1/d_n$.

Furthermore,

1. If a product AB is not invertible, then A or B is not invertible.
2. If A or B are not invertible, then AB is not invertible.

We end this section with a comment about solving systems of equations “in real life.” Solving a system $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$ by computing $A^{-1}\vec{b}$ seems pretty slick, so it would make sense that this is the way it is normally done. However, in practice, this is rarely done. There are two main reasons why this is the case.

First, computing A^{-1} and $A^{-1}\vec{b}$ is “expensive” in the sense that it takes up a lot of computing time. Certainly, our calculators have no trouble dealing with the 3×3 cases we often consider in this textbook, but in real life the matrices being considered are very large (as in, hundreds of thousand rows and columns). Computing A^{-1} alone is rather impractical, and we waste a lot of time if we come to find out that A^{-1} does not exist. Even if we already know what A^{-1} is, computing $A^{-1}\vec{b}$ is computationally expensive – Gaussian elimination is faster.

Secondly, computing A^{-1} using the method we've described often gives rise to numerical roundoff errors. Even though computers often do computations with an accuracy to more than 8 decimal places, after thousands of computations, rounding off can cause big errors. (A “small” $1,000 \times 1,000$ matrix has $1,000,000$ entries! That's a lot of places to have roundoff errors accumulate!) It is not unheard of to have a computer compute A^{-1} for a large matrix, and then immediately have it compute AA^{-1} and *not* get the identity matrix. (The result is usually very close, with the numbers on the diagonal close to 1 and the other entries near 0. But it isn't exactly the identity matrix.)

Therefore, in real life, solutions to $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$ are usually found using the methods we learned in Section 4.4. It turns out that even with all of our advances in mathematics, it is hard to beat the basic method that Gauss introduced a long time ago.

Yes, real people do solve linear equations in real life. Not just mathematicians, but economists, engineers, and scientists of all flavours regularly need to solve linear equations, and the matrices they use are often *huge*.

Most people see matrices at work without thinking about it. Digital pictures are simply rectangular arrays of numbers representing colours – they are matrices of colours. Many of the standard image processing operations involve matrix operations. The author's wife has a “7 megapixel” camera which creates pictures that are 3072×2304 in size, giving over 7 million pixels, and that isn't even considered a “large” picture these days.

Exercises 4.7

Problems

In Exercises 1 – 4, matrices A and B are given. Compute $(AB)^{-1}$ and $B^{-1}A^{-1}$.

$$1. A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 5 \\ 2 & 5 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$2. A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} 7 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$3. A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 5 \\ 3 & 8 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$4. A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 4 \\ 2 & 5 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 \\ 6 & 5 \end{bmatrix}$$

In Exercises 5 – 8, a 2×2 matrix A is given. Compute A^{-1} and $(A^{-1})^{-1}$ using Theorem 24.

$$5. A = \begin{bmatrix} -3 & 5 \\ 1 & -2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$6. A = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 5 \\ 2 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$7. A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 7 \\ 1 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$8. A = \begin{bmatrix} 9 & 0 \\ 7 & 9 \end{bmatrix}$$

9. Find 2×2 matrices A and B that are each invertible, but $A + B$ is not.

10. Create a random 6×6 matrix A , then have a calculator or computer compute AA^{-1} . Was the identity matrix returned exactly? Comment on your results.

11. Use a calculator or computer to compute AA^{-1} , where

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 1 & 4 & 9 & 16 \\ 1 & 8 & 27 & 64 \\ 1 & 16 & 81 & 256 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Was the identity matrix returned exactly? Comment on your results.

5: OPERATIONS ON MATRICES

In the previous chapter we learned about matrix arithmetic: adding, subtracting, and multiplying matrices, finding inverses, and multiplying by scalars. In this chapter we learn about some operations that we perform *on* matrices. We can think of them as functions: you input a matrix, and you get something back. One of these operations, the transpose, will return another matrix. With the other operations, the trace and the determinant, we input matrices and get numbers in return, an idea that is different than what we have seen before.

5.1 The Matrix Transpose

AS YOU READ ...

1. T/F: If A is a 3×5 matrix, then A^T will be a 5×3 matrix.
2. Where are there zeros in an upper triangular matrix?
3. T/F: A matrix is symmetric if it doesn't change when you take its transpose.
4. What is the transpose of the transpose of A ?
5. Give 2 other terms to describe symmetric matrices besides "interesting."

We jump right in with a definition.

Definition 55 **Transpose**

Let A be an $m \times n$ matrix. The *transpose* of A , denoted A^T , is the $n \times m$ matrix whose columns are the respective rows of A .

Examples will make this definition clear.

Example 118 **Taking the transpose of a matrix**

Find the transpose of $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 5 & 6 \end{bmatrix}$.

SOLUTION Note that A is a 2×3 matrix, so A^T will be a 3×2 matrix. By the definition, the first column of A^T is the first row of A ; the second column of A^T is the second row of A . Therefore,

$$A^T = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 2 & 5 \\ 3 & 6 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Example 119 **Computing transposes**

Find the transpose of the following matrices.

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 7 & 2 & 9 & 1 \\ 2 & -1 & 3 & 0 \\ -5 & 3 & 0 & 11 \end{bmatrix} \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 10 & -2 \\ 3 & -5 & 7 \\ 4 & 2 & -3 \end{bmatrix} \quad C = [1 \quad -1 \quad 7 \quad 8 \quad 3]$$

SOLUTION We find each transpose using the definition without explanation. Make note of the dimensions of the original matrix and the dimensions of its transpose.

$$A^T = \begin{bmatrix} 7 & 2 & -5 \\ 2 & -1 & 3 \\ 9 & 3 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 11 \end{bmatrix} \quad B^T = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & 4 \\ 10 & -5 & 2 \\ -2 & 7 & -3 \end{bmatrix} \quad C^T = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

Notice that with matrix B , when we took the transpose, the *diagonal* did not change. We can see what the diagonal is below where we rewrite B and B^T with the diagonal in bold. We'll follow this by a definition of what we mean by "the diagonal of a matrix," along with a few other related definitions.

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{1} & 10 & -2 \\ 3 & \mathbf{-5} & 7 \\ 4 & 2 & \mathbf{-3} \end{bmatrix} \quad B^T = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{1} & 3 & 4 \\ 10 & \mathbf{-5} & 2 \\ -2 & 7 & \mathbf{-3} \end{bmatrix}$$

It is probably pretty clear why we call those entries "the diagonal." Here is the formal definition.

Definition 56 The Diagonal, a Diagonal Matrix, Triangular Matrices

Let A be an $m \times n$ matrix. The *diagonal* of A consists of the entries a_{11}, a_{22}, \dots of A .

A *diagonal matrix* is an $n \times n$ matrix in which the only nonzero entries lie on the diagonal.

An *upper (lower) triangular matrix* is a matrix in which any nonzero entries lie on or above (below) the diagonal.

Example 120 Classifying matrices

Consider the matrices A, B, C and I_4 , as well as their transposes, where

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 0 & 4 & 5 \\ 0 & 0 & 6 \end{bmatrix} \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 7 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \quad C = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 0 & 4 & 5 \\ 0 & 0 & 6 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Identify the diagonal of each matrix, and state whether each matrix is diagonal, upper triangular, lower triangular, or none of the above.

SOLUTION We first compute the transpose of each matrix.

$$A^T = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 4 & 0 \\ 3 & 5 & 6 \end{bmatrix} \quad B^T = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 7 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \quad C^T = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 4 & 0 & 0 \\ 3 & 5 & 6 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Note that $I_4^T = I_4$.

The diagonals of A and A^T are the same, consisting of the entries 1, 4 and 6. The diagonals of B and B^T are also the same, consisting of the entries 3, 7 and -1 . Finally, the diagonals of C and C^T are the same, consisting of the entries 1, 4 and 6.

The matrix A is upper triangular; the only nonzero entries lie on or above the diagonal. Likewise, A^T is lower triangular.

The matrix B is diagonal. By their definitions, we can also see that B is both upper and lower triangular. Likewise, I_4 is diagonal, as well as upper and lower triangular.

Finally, C is upper triangular, with C^T being lower triangular.

Make note of the definitions of diagonal and triangular matrices. We specify that a diagonal matrix must be square, but triangular matrices don't have to be. ("Most" of the time, however, the ones we study are.) Also, as we mentioned before in the example, by definition a diagonal matrix is also both upper and lower triangular. Finally, notice that by definition, the transpose of an upper triangular matrix is a lower triangular matrix, and vice-versa.

There are many questions to probe concerning the transpose operations. The first set of questions we'll investigate involve the matrix arithmetic we learned from last chapter. We do this investigation by way of examples, and then summarize what we have learned at the end.

Example 121 Adding transposed matrices

Let

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 5 & 6 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 3 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Find $A^T + B^T$ and $(A + B)^T$.

SOLUTION We note that

$$A^T = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 2 & 5 \\ 3 & 6 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } B^T = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 2 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} A^T + B^T &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 2 & 5 \\ 3 & 6 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 2 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 7 \\ 4 & 4 \\ 4 & 6 \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned} (A + B)^T &= \left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 5 & 6 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 3 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right)^T \\ &= \left(\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 4 & 4 \\ 7 & 4 & 6 \end{bmatrix} \right)^T \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 7 \\ 4 & 4 \\ 4 & 6 \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Remember, this is what mathematicians do. We learn something new, and then we ask lots of questions about it. Often the first questions we ask are along the lines of "How does this new thing relate to the old things I already know about?"

It looks like "the sum of the transposes is the transpose of the sum." (This is kind of fun to say, especially when said fast. Regardless of how fast we say it, we should think about this statement. The "is" represents "equals." The stuff before "is" equals the stuff afterwards.) This should lead us to wonder how the transpose works with multiplication.

Example 122 Multiplying transposed matrices

Let

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Find $(AB)^T$, $A^T B^T$ and $B^T A^T$.**SOLUTION**

We first note that

$$A^T = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 2 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } B^T = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Find $(AB)^T$:

$$\begin{aligned} (AB)^T &= \left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right)^T \\ &= \left(\begin{bmatrix} 3 & 2 & 1 \\ 7 & 6 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right)^T \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 7 \\ 2 & 6 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Now find $A^T B^T$:

$$\begin{aligned} A^T B^T &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 2 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \text{Not defined!} \end{aligned}$$

So we can't compute $A^T B^T$. Let's finish by computing $B^T A^T$:

$$\begin{aligned} B^T A^T &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 2 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 7 \\ 2 & 6 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

We may have suspected that $(AB)^T = A^T B^T$. We saw that this wasn't the case, though – and not only was it not equal, the second product wasn't even defined! Oddly enough, though, we saw that $(AB)^T = B^T A^T$. (Then again, maybe this isn't all that "odd." It is reminiscent of the fact that, when invertible, $(AB)^{-1} = B^{-1}A^{-1}$.) To help understand why this is true, look back at the work above and confirm the steps of each multiplication.

We have one more arithmetic operation to look at: the inverse.

Example 123 Inverting a transposed matrix

Let

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 7 \\ 1 & 4 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Find $(A^{-1})^T$ and $(A^T)^{-1}$.**SOLUTION**We first find A^{-1} and A^T :

$$A^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & -7 \\ -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } A^T = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 7 & 4 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Finding $(A^{-1})^T$:

$$\begin{aligned}(A^{-1})^T &= \begin{bmatrix} 4 & -7 \\ -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}^T \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 4 & -1 \\ -7 & 2 \end{bmatrix}\end{aligned}$$

Finding $(A^T)^{-1}$:

$$\begin{aligned}(A^T)^{-1} &= \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 7 & 4 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 4 & -1 \\ -7 & 2 \end{bmatrix}\end{aligned}$$

It seems that “the inverse of the transpose is the transpose of the inverse.” (Again, we should think about this statement. The part before “is” states that we take the transpose of a matrix, then find the inverse. The part after “is” states that we find the inverse of the matrix, then take the transpose. Since these two statements are linked by an “is,” they are equal.)

We have just looked at some examples of how the transpose operation interacts with matrix arithmetic operations. (These examples don’t prove anything, other than it worked in specific examples.) We now give a theorem that tells us that what we saw wasn’t a coincidence, but rather is always true.

Theorem 28 Properties of the Matrix Transpose

Let A and B be matrices where the following operations are defined.

Then:

1. $(A + B)^T = A^T + B^T$ and $(A - B)^T = A^T - B^T$
2. $(kA)^T = kA^T$
3. $(AB)^T = B^TA^T$
4. $(A^{-1})^T = (A^T)^{-1}$
5. $(A^T)^T = A$

We included in the theorem two ideas we didn’t discuss already. First, that $(kA)^T = kA^T$. This is probably obvious. It doesn’t matter when you multiply a matrix by a scalar when dealing with transposes.

The second “new” item is that $(A^T)^T = A$. That is, if we take the transpose of a matrix, then take its transpose again, what do we have? The original matrix.

Now that we know some properties of the transpose operation, we are tempted to play around with it and see what happens. For instance, if A is an $m \times n$ matrix, we know that A^T is an $n \times m$ matrix. So no matter what matrix A we start with, we can always perform the multiplication AA^T (and also A^TA) and the result is a square matrix!

Another thing to ask ourselves as we “play around” with the transpose: suppose A is a square matrix. Is there anything special about $A + A^T$? The following example has us try out these ideas.

Example 124 The matrices AA^T , $A + A^T$, and $A - A^T$

Let

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 3 \\ 2 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Find AA^T , $A + A^T$ and $A - A^T$.

SOLUTION Finding AA^T :

$$\begin{aligned} AA^T &= \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 3 \\ 2 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 3 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 14 & 6 & 5 \\ 6 & 4 & 3 \\ 5 & 3 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Finding $A + A^T$:

$$\begin{aligned} A + A^T &= \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 3 \\ 2 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 3 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 3 & -2 & 1 \\ 4 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Finding $A - A^T$:

$$\begin{aligned} A - A^T &= \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 3 \\ 2 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 3 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 & 2 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ -2 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Let’s look at the matrices we’ve formed in this example. First, consider AA^T . Something seems to be nice about this matrix – look at the location of the 6’s, the 5’s and the 3’s. More precisely, let’s look at the transpose of AA^T . We should notice that if we take the transpose of this matrix, we have the very same matrix. That is,

$$\left(\begin{bmatrix} 14 & 6 & 5 \\ 6 & 4 & 3 \\ 5 & 3 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \right)^T = \begin{bmatrix} 14 & 6 & 5 \\ 6 & 4 & 3 \\ 5 & 3 & 2 \end{bmatrix} !$$

We’ll formally define this in a moment, but a matrix that is equal to its transpose is called *symmetric*.

Look at the next part of the example; what do we notice about $A + A^T$? We should see that it, too, is symmetric. Finally, consider the last part of the example: do we notice anything about $A - A^T$?

We should immediately notice that it is not symmetric, although it does seem “close.” Instead of it being equal to its transpose, we notice that this matrix is the *opposite* of its transpose. We call this type of matrix *skew symmetric*. (Some mathematicians use the term *antisymmetric*) We formally define these matrices here.

Definition 57 Symmetric and Skew Symmetric Matrices

A matrix A is *symmetric* if $A^T = A$.

A matrix A is *skew symmetric* if $A^T = -A$.

Note that in order for a matrix to be either symmetric or skew symmetric, it must be square.

So why was AA^T symmetric in our previous example? Did we just luck out? (Of course not.) Let’s take the transpose of AA^T and see what happens.

$$\begin{aligned} (AA^T)^T &= (A^T)^T(A)^T && \text{transpose multiplication rule} \\ &= AA^T && (A^T)^T = A \end{aligned}$$

We have just *proved* that no matter what matrix A we start with, the matrix AA^T will be symmetric. Nothing in our string of equalities even demanded that A be a square matrix; it is always true.

We can do a similar proof to show that as long as A is square, $A + A^T$ is a symmetric matrix. (Why do we say that A has to be square?) We’ll instead show here that if A is a square matrix, then $A - A^T$ is skew symmetric.

$$\begin{aligned} (A - A^T)^T &= A^T - (A^T)^T && \text{transpose subtraction rule} \\ &= A^T - A \\ &= -(A - A^T) \end{aligned}$$

So we took the transpose of $A - A^T$ and we got $-(A - A^T)$; this is the definition of being skew symmetric.

We’ll take what we learned from Example 124 and put it in a box. (We’ve already proved most of this is true; the rest we leave to solve in the Exercises.)

Theorem 29 Symmetric and Skew Symmetric Matrices

1. Given any matrix A , the matrices AA^T and A^TA are symmetric.
2. Let A be a square matrix. The matrix $A + A^T$ is symmetric.
3. Let A be a square matrix. The matrix $A - A^T$ is skew symmetric.

Why do we care about the transpose of a matrix? Why do we care about symmetric matrices?

There are two answers that each answer both of these questions. First, we are interested in the transpose of a matrix and symmetric matrices because they are interesting. One particularly interesting thing about symmetric and skew symmetric matrices is this: consider the sum of $(A + A^T)$ and $(A - A^T)$:

$$(A + A^T) + (A - A^T) = 2A.$$

This gives us an idea: if we were to multiply both sides of this equation by $\frac{1}{2}$, then the right hand side would just be A . This means that

$$A = \underbrace{\frac{1}{2}(A + A^T)}_{\text{symmetric}} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{2}(A - A^T)}_{\text{skew symmetric}}.$$

That is, any matrix A can be written as the sum of a symmetric and skew symmetric matrix. That's interesting.

The second reason we care about them is that they are very useful and important in various areas of mathematics. The transpose of a matrix turns out to be an important operation; symmetric matrices have many nice properties that make solving certain types of problems possible.

Most of this text focuses on the preliminaries of matrix algebra, and the actual uses are beyond our current scope. One easy to describe example is curve fitting. Suppose we are given a large set of data points that, when plotted, look roughly quadratic. How do we find the quadratic that "best fits" this data? The solution can be found using matrix algebra, and specifically a matrix called the *pseudoinverse*. If A is a matrix, the pseudoinverse of A is the matrix $A^\dagger = (A^T A)^{-1} A^T$ (assuming that the inverse exists). We aren't going to worry about what all the above means; just notice that it has a cool sounding name and the transpose appears twice.

In the next section we'll learn about the trace, another operation that can be performed on a matrix that is relatively simple to compute but can lead to some deep results.

Exercises 5.1

Problems

In Exercises 1–24, a matrix A is given. Find A^T ; make note if A is upper/lower triangular, diagonal, symmetric and/or skew symmetric.

1.
$$\begin{bmatrix} -7 & 4 \\ 4 & -6 \end{bmatrix}$$

2.
$$\begin{bmatrix} 3 & 1 \\ -7 & 8 \end{bmatrix}$$

3.
$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 9 \end{bmatrix}$$

4.
$$\begin{bmatrix} 13 & -3 \\ -3 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

5.
$$\begin{bmatrix} -5 & -9 \\ 3 & 1 \\ -10 & -8 \end{bmatrix}$$

6.
$$\begin{bmatrix} -2 & 10 \\ 1 & -7 \\ 9 & -2 \end{bmatrix}$$

7.
$$\begin{bmatrix} 4 & -7 & -4 & -9 \\ -9 & 6 & 3 & -9 \end{bmatrix}$$

8.
$$\begin{bmatrix} 3 & -10 & 0 & 6 \\ -10 & -2 & -3 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

9.
$$[-7 \quad -8 \quad 2 \quad -3]$$

10.
$$[-9 \quad 8 \quad 2 \quad -7]$$

11.
$$\begin{bmatrix} -9 & 4 & 10 \\ 6 & -3 & -7 \\ -8 & 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

12.
$$\begin{bmatrix} 4 & -5 & 2 \\ 1 & 5 & 9 \\ 9 & 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

13.
$$\begin{bmatrix} 4 & 0 & -2 \\ 0 & 2 & 3 \\ -2 & 3 & 6 \end{bmatrix}$$

14.
$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 3 & -2 \\ 3 & -4 & 1 \\ -2 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

15.
$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & -5 & -3 \\ 5 & 5 & -6 \\ 7 & -4 & -10 \end{bmatrix}$$

16.
$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & -6 & 1 \\ 6 & 0 & 4 \\ -1 & -4 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

17.
$$\begin{bmatrix} 4 & 2 & -9 \\ 5 & -4 & -10 \\ -6 & 6 & 9 \end{bmatrix}$$

18.
$$\begin{bmatrix} 4 & 0 & 0 \\ -2 & -7 & 0 \\ 4 & -2 & 5 \end{bmatrix}$$

19.
$$\begin{bmatrix} -3 & -4 & -5 \\ 0 & -3 & 5 \\ 0 & 0 & -3 \end{bmatrix}$$

20.
$$\begin{bmatrix} 6 & -7 & 2 & 6 \\ 0 & -8 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -7 \end{bmatrix}$$

21.
$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

22.
$$\begin{bmatrix} 6 & -4 & -5 \\ -4 & 0 & 2 \\ -5 & 2 & -2 \end{bmatrix}$$

23.
$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & -2 \\ -1 & 0 & 4 \\ 2 & -4 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

24.
$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

5.2 The Matrix Trace

AS YOU READ . . .

1. T/F: We only compute the trace of square matrices.
2. T/F: One can tell if a matrix is invertible by computing the trace.

In the previous section, we learned about an operation we can perform on matrices, namely the transpose. Given a matrix A , we can “find the transpose of A ,” which is another matrix. In this section we learn about a new operation called the *trace*. It is a different type of operation than the transpose. Given a matrix A , we can “find the trace of A ,” which is not a matrix but rather a number. We formally define it here.

Definition 58 The Trace

Let A be an $n \times n$ matrix. The *trace* of A , denoted $\text{tr}(A)$, is the sum of the diagonal elements of A . That is,

$$\text{tr}(A) = a_{11} + a_{22} + \cdots + a_{nn}.$$

This seems like a simple definition, and it really is. Just to make sure it is clear, let’s practice.

Example 125 Computing the trace of a matrix

Find the trace of A , B , C and I_4 , where

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix}, B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 3 & 8 & 1 \\ -2 & 7 & -5 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } C = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 5 & 6 \end{bmatrix}.$$

SOLUTION To find the trace of A , note that the diagonal elements of A are 1 and 4. Therefore, $\text{tr}(A) = 1 + 4 = 5$.

We see that the diagonal elements of B are 1, 8 and -5, so $\text{tr}(B) = 1 + 8 - 5 = 4$.

The matrix C is not a square matrix, and our definition states that we must start with a square matrix. Therefore $\text{tr}(C)$ is not defined.

Finally, the diagonal of I_4 consists of four 1s. Therefore $\text{tr}(I_4) = 4$.

Now that we have defined the trace of a matrix, we should think like mathematicians and ask some questions. The first questions that should pop into our minds should be along the lines of “How does the trace work with other matrix operations?” (Recall that we asked a similar question once we learned about the transpose.) We should think about how the trace works with matrix addition, scalar multiplication, matrix multiplication, matrix inverses, and the transpose.

We’ll give a theorem that will formally tell us what is true in a moment, but first let’s play with two sample matrices and see if we can see what will happen. Let

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 3 \\ 2 & 0 & -1 \\ 3 & -1 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } B = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & -1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

It should be clear that $\text{tr}(A) = 5$ and $\text{tr}(B) = 3$. What is $\text{tr}(A + B)$?

$$\begin{aligned}\text{tr}(A + B) &= \text{tr} \left(\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 3 \\ 2 & 0 & -1 \\ 3 & -1 & 3 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \right) \\ &= \text{tr} \left(\begin{bmatrix} 4 & 1 & 4 \\ 1 & 2 & -1 \\ 3 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \right) \\ &= 8\end{aligned}$$

Something to think about: we know that not all square matrices are invertible. Would we be able to tell just by the trace? That seems unlikely.

So we notice that $\text{tr}(A + B) = \text{tr}(A) + \text{tr}(B)$. This probably isn't a coincidence.

How does the trace work with scalar multiplication? If we multiply A by 4, then the diagonal elements will be 8, 0 and 12, so $\text{tr}(4A) = 20$. Is it a coincidence that this is 4 times the trace of A ?

Let's move on to matrix multiplication. How will the trace of AB relate to the traces of A and B ? Let's see:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{tr}(AB) &= \text{tr} \left(\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 3 \\ 2 & 0 & -1 \\ 3 & -1 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \right) \\ &= \text{tr} \left(\begin{bmatrix} 3 & 8 & -1 \\ 4 & -2 & 3 \\ 7 & 4 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right) \\ &= 1\end{aligned}$$

It isn't exactly clear what the relationship is among $\text{tr}(A)$, $\text{tr}(B)$ and $\text{tr}(AB)$. Before moving on, let's find $\text{tr}(BA)$:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{tr}(BA) &= \text{tr} \left(\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 3 \\ 2 & 0 & -1 \\ 3 & -1 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \right) \\ &= \text{tr} \left(\begin{bmatrix} 7 & 1 & 9 \\ 2 & -1 & -5 \\ 1 & 1 & -5 \end{bmatrix} \right) \\ &= 1\end{aligned}$$

We notice that $\text{tr}(AB) = \text{tr}(BA)$. Is this coincidental?

How are the traces of A and A^{-1} related? We compute A^{-1} and find that

$$A^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1/17 & 6/17 & 1/17 \\ 9/17 & 3/17 & -8/17 \\ 2/17 & -5/17 & 2/17 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Therefore $\text{tr}(A^{-1}) = 6/17$. Again, the relationship isn't clear.

Finally, let's see how the trace is related to the transpose. We actually don't have to formally compute anything. Recall from the previous section that the diagonals of A and A^T are identical; therefore, $\text{tr}(A) = \text{tr}(A^T)$. That, we know for sure, isn't a coincidence.

We now formally state what equalities are true when considering the interaction of the trace with other matrix operations.

This example brings to light many interesting ideas that we'll flesh out just a little bit here.

- Notice that the elements of A are $1, -2, 1$ and 1 . Add the squares of these numbers: $1^2 + (-2)^2 + 1^2 + 1^2 = 7 = \text{tr}(A^T A)$.

There are many different ways to measure the size of a matrix, and this is just one of them. It refers to its dimension times some measurement of size (ref?) the magnitude of the elements in the matrix. Can you see why this is true? (Recall that when multiplying $A^T A$, focus only on where the elements on the diagonal come from since they are the only ones that matter when taking the trace.)

- You can confirm on your own that regardless of the dimensions of A , $\text{tr}(A^T A) = \text{tr}(AA^T)$. To see why this is true, consider the previous point. (Recall also that $A^T A$ and AA^T are always square, regardless of the dimensions of A .)

- Mathematicians are actually more interested in $\sqrt{\text{tr}(A^T A)}$ than just $\text{tr}(A^T A)$. The reason for this is a bit complicated; the short answer is that "it works better." The reason "it works better" is related to the Pythagorean Theorem, all of all things. If we know that the legs of a right triangle have length a and b , we are more interested in $\sqrt{a^2 + b^2}$ than just $a^2 + b^2$. Of course, this explanation raises more questions than it answers; our goal here is just to whet your appetite and get you to do some more reading. A Numerical Linear Algebra book would be a good place to start.

Theorem 30 Properties of the Matrix Trace

Let A and B be $n \times n$ matrices. Then:

- $\text{tr}(A + B) = \text{tr}(A) + \text{tr}(B)$
- $\text{tr}(A - B) = \text{tr}(A) - \text{tr}(B)$
- $\text{tr}(kA) = k \cdot \text{tr}(A)$
- $\text{tr}(AB) = \text{tr}(BA)$
- $\text{tr}(A^T) = \text{tr}(A)$

One of the key things to note here is what this theorem does *not* say. It says nothing about how the trace relates to inverses. The reason for the silence in these areas is that there simply is not a relationship.

We end this section by again wondering why anyone would care about the trace of matrix. One reason mathematicians are interested in it is that it can give a measurement of the "size" of a matrix.

Consider the following 2×2 matrices:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } B = \begin{bmatrix} 6 & 7 \\ 11 & -4 \end{bmatrix}.$$

These matrices have the same trace, yet B clearly has bigger elements in it. So how can we use the trace to determine a "size" of these matrices? We can consider $\text{tr}(A^T A)$ and $\text{tr}(B^T B)$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}(A^T A) &= \text{tr}\left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}\right) \\ &= \text{tr}\left(\begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 5 \end{bmatrix}\right) \\ &= 7 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}(B^T B) &= \text{tr}\left(\begin{bmatrix} 6 & 11 \\ 7 & -4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 6 & 7 \\ 11 & -4 \end{bmatrix}\right) \\ &= \text{tr}\left(\begin{bmatrix} 157 & -2 \\ -2 & 65 \end{bmatrix}\right) \\ &= 222 \end{aligned}$$

Our concern is not how to interpret what this "size" measurement means, but rather to demonstrate that the trace (along with the transpose) can be used to give (perhaps useful) information about a matrix.

Exercises 5.2

Problems

In Exercises 1 – 15, find the trace of the given matrix.

1.
$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -5 \\ 9 & 5 \end{bmatrix}$$

2.
$$\begin{bmatrix} -3 & -10 \\ -6 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$$

3.
$$\begin{bmatrix} 7 & 5 \\ -5 & -4 \end{bmatrix}$$

4.
$$\begin{bmatrix} -6 & 0 \\ -10 & 9 \end{bmatrix}$$

5.
$$\begin{bmatrix} -4 & 1 & 1 \\ -2 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & -2 & -5 \end{bmatrix}$$

6.
$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & -3 & 1 \\ 5 & -5 & 5 \\ -4 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

7.
$$\begin{bmatrix} -2 & -3 & 5 \\ 5 & 2 & 0 \\ -1 & -3 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

8.
$$\begin{bmatrix} 4 & 2 & -1 \\ -4 & 1 & 4 \\ 0 & -5 & 5 \end{bmatrix}$$

9.
$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 6 & 4 \\ -1 & 8 & -10 \end{bmatrix}$$

10.
$$\begin{bmatrix} 6 & 5 \\ 2 & 10 \\ 3 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

11.
$$\begin{bmatrix} -10 & 6 & -7 & -9 \\ -2 & 1 & 6 & -9 \\ 0 & 4 & -4 & 0 \\ -3 & -9 & 3 & -10 \end{bmatrix}$$

12.
$$\begin{bmatrix} 5 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\ -7 & 4 & -7 & -3 \\ 9 & -9 & -7 & 2 \\ -4 & 8 & -8 & -2 \end{bmatrix}$$

13.
$$I_4$$

14.
$$I_n$$

15. A matrix A that is skew symmetric.

In Exercises 16 – 19, verify Theorem 30 by:

1. Showing that $\text{tr}(A) + \text{tr}(B) = \text{tr}(A + B)$ and

2. Showing that $\text{tr}(AB) = \text{tr}(BA)$.

16.
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 9 & -6 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ -6 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

17.
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -8 \\ 1 & 8 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} -4 & 5 \\ -4 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

18.
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} -8 & -10 & 10 \\ 10 & 5 & -6 \\ -10 & 1 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} -10 & -4 & -3 \\ -4 & -5 & 4 \\ 3 & 7 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

19.
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} -10 & 7 & 5 \\ 7 & 7 & -5 \\ 8 & -9 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} -3 & -4 & 9 \\ 4 & -1 & -9 \\ -7 & -8 & 10 \end{bmatrix}$$

5.3 The Determinant

AS YOU READ ...

1. T/F: The determinant of a matrix is always positive.
2. T/F: To compute the determinant of a 3×3 matrix, one needs to compute the determinants of $3 2 \times 2$ matrices.
3. Give an example of a 2×2 matrix with a determinant of 3.

In this chapter so far we've learned about the transpose (an operation on a matrix that returns another matrix) and the trace (an operation on a square matrix that returns a number). In this section we'll learn another operation on square matrices that returns a number, called the *determinant*. We give a pseudo-definition of the determinant here.

The *determinant* of an $n \times n$ matrix A is a number, denoted $\det(A)$, that is determined by A .

That definition isn't meant to explain everything; it just gets us started by making us realize that the determinant is a number. The determinant is kind of a tricky thing to define. Once you know and understand it, it isn't that hard, but getting started is a bit complicated. (It's similar to learning to ride a bike. The riding itself isn't hard, it is getting started that's difficult.) We start simply; we define the determinant for 2×2 matrices.

Definition 59 Determinant of 2×2 Matrices

Let

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}.$$

The *determinant* of A , denoted by

$$\det(A) \text{ or } \begin{vmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{vmatrix},$$

is $ad - bc$.

We've seen the expression $ad - bc$ before. In Section 4.6, we saw that a 2×2 matrix A has inverse

$$\frac{1}{ad - bc} \begin{bmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{bmatrix}$$

as long as $ad - bc \neq 0$; otherwise, the inverse does not exist. We can rephrase the above statement now: If $\det(A) \neq 0$, then

$$A^{-1} = \frac{1}{\det(A)} \begin{bmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{bmatrix}.$$

A brief word about the notation: notice that we can refer to the determinant by using what *looks like* absolute value bars around the entries of a matrix. We discussed at the end of the last section the idea of measuring the "size" of a matrix, and mentioned that there are many different ways to measure size. The determinant is one such way. Just as the absolute value of a number measures

its size (and ignores its sign), the determinant of a matrix is a measurement of the size of the matrix. (Be careful, though: $\det(A)$ can be negative!)

Let's practice.

Example 126 Computing 2×2 determinants

Find the determinant of A , B and C where

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix}, B = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & -1 \\ 2 & 7 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } C = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -3 \\ -2 & 6 \end{bmatrix}.$$

SOLUTION Finding the determinant of A :

$$\begin{aligned} \det(A) &= \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{vmatrix} \\ &= 1(4) - 2(3) \\ &= -2. \end{aligned}$$

Similar computations show that $\det(B) = 3(7) - (-1)(2) = 23$ and $\det(C) = 1(6) - (-3)(-2) = 0$.

Finding the determinant of a 2×2 matrix is pretty straightforward. It is natural to ask next "How do we compute the determinant of matrices that are not 2×2 ?" We first need to define some terms.

Definition 60 Matrix Minor, Cofactor

Let A be an $n \times n$ matrix. The i,j minor of A , denoted $A_{i,j}$, is the determinant of the $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ matrix formed by deleting the i^{th} row and j^{th} column of A .

The i,j -cofactor of A is the number

$$C_{ij} = (-1)^{i+j} A_{i,j}.$$

Notice that this definition makes reference to taking the determinant of a matrix, while we haven't yet defined what the determinant is beyond 2×2 matrices. We recognize this problem, and we'll see how far we can go before it becomes an issue.

Example 127 Computing minors and cofactors

Let

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 5 & 6 \\ 7 & 8 & 9 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 0 & 8 \\ -3 & 5 & 7 & 2 \\ -1 & 9 & -4 & 6 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Find $A_{1,3}$, $A_{3,2}$, $B_{2,1}$, $B_{4,3}$ and their respective cofactors.

SOLUTION To compute the minor $A_{1,3}$, we remove the first row and third column of A then take the determinant.

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 5 & 6 \\ 7 & 8 & 9 \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{2} & \mathbf{3} \\ 4 & 5 & \mathbf{6} \\ 7 & 8 & \mathbf{9} \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 5 \\ 7 & 8 \end{bmatrix} \\ A_{1,3} &= \begin{vmatrix} 4 & 5 \\ 7 & 8 \end{vmatrix} = 32 - 35 = -3. \end{aligned}$$

The corresponding cofactor, $C_{1,3}$, is

$$C_{1,3} = (-1)^{1+3}A_{1,3} = (-1)^4(-3) = -3.$$

The minor $A_{3,2}$ is found by removing the third row and second column of A then taking the determinant.

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 5 & 6 \\ 7 & 8 & 9 \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 5 & 6 \\ \cancel{7} & \cancel{8} & \cancel{9} \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 4 & 6 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$A_{3,2} = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 4 & 6 \end{vmatrix} = 6 - 12 = -6.$$

The corresponding cofactor, $C_{3,2}$, is

$$C_{3,2} = (-1)^{3+2}A_{3,2} = (-1)^5(-6) = 6.$$

The minor $B_{2,1}$ is found by removing the second row and first column of B then taking the determinant.

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 0 & 8 \\ -3 & 5 & 7 & 2 \\ -1 & 9 & -4 & 6 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 0 & 8 \\ \cancel{-3} & \cancel{5} & \cancel{7} & \cancel{2} \\ -1 & 9 & -4 & 6 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 8 \\ 9 & -4 & 6 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$B_{2,1} = \begin{vmatrix} 2 & 0 & 8 \\ 9 & -4 & 6 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{vmatrix} \stackrel{!}{=} ?$$

We're a bit stuck. We don't know how to find the determinant of this 3×3 matrix. We'll come back to this later. The corresponding cofactor is

$$C_{2,1} = (-1)^{2+1}B_{2,1} = -B_{2,1},$$

whatever this number happens to be.

The minor $B_{4,3}$ is found by removing the fourth row and third column of B then taking the determinant.

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 0 & 8 \\ -3 & 5 & 7 & 2 \\ -1 & 9 & -4 & 6 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 0 & 8 \\ -3 & 5 & \cancel{7} & 2 \\ -1 & 9 & \cancel{-4} & 6 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 8 \\ -3 & 5 & 2 \\ -1 & 9 & 6 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$B_{4,3} = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 2 & 8 \\ -3 & 5 & 2 \\ -1 & 9 & 6 \end{vmatrix} \stackrel{!}{=} ?$$

Again, we're stuck. We won't be able to fully compute $C_{4,3}$; all we know so far is that

$$C_{4,3} = (-1)^{4+3}B_{4,3} = (-1)B_{4,3}.$$

Once we learn how to compute determinants for matrices larger than 2×2 we can come back and finish this exercise.

In our previous example we ran into a bit of trouble. By our definition, in order to compute a minor of an $n \times n$ matrix we needed to compute the determinant of a $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ matrix. This was fine when we started with a 3×3 matrix, but when we got up to a 4×4 matrix (and larger) we run into trouble.

We are almost ready to define the determinant for any square matrix; we need one last definition.

Definition 61 Cofactor Expansion

Let A be an $n \times n$ matrix.

The *cofactor expansion of A along the i^{th} row* is the sum

$$a_{i,1}C_{i,1} + a_{i,2}C_{i,2} + \cdots + a_{i,n}C_{i,n}.$$

The *cofactor expansion of A down the j^{th} column* is the sum

$$a_{1,j}C_{1,j} + a_{2,j}C_{2,j} + \cdots + a_{n,j}C_{n,j}.$$

The notation of this definition might be a little intimidating, so let's look at an example.

Example 128 Computing cofactor expansions

Let

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 5 & 6 \\ 7 & 8 & 9 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Find the cofactor expansions along the second row and down the first column.

SOLUTION By the definition, the cofactor expansion along the second row is the sum

$$a_{2,1}C_{2,1} + a_{2,2}C_{2,2} + a_{2,3}C_{2,3}.$$

(Be sure to compare the above line to the definition of cofactor expansion, and see how the “ i ” in the definition is replaced by “2” here.)

We'll find each cofactor and then compute the sum.

$$\begin{aligned} C_{2,1} &= (-1)^{2+1} \begin{vmatrix} 2 & 3 \\ 8 & 9 \end{vmatrix} = (-1)(-6) = 6 && \left(\begin{array}{l} \text{we removed the second row and} \\ \text{first column of } A \text{ to compute the} \\ \text{minor} \end{array} \right) \\ C_{2,2} &= (-1)^{2+2} \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 7 & 9 \end{vmatrix} = (1)(-12) = -12 && \left(\begin{array}{l} \text{we removed the second row and} \\ \text{second column of } A \text{ to compute} \\ \text{the minor} \end{array} \right) \\ C_{2,3} &= (-1)^{2+3} \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 7 & 8 \end{vmatrix} = (-1)(-6) = 6 && \left(\begin{array}{l} \text{we removed the second row and} \\ \text{third column of } A \text{ to compute the} \\ \text{minor} \end{array} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Thus the cofactor expansion along the second row is

$$\begin{aligned} a_{2,1}C_{2,1} + a_{2,2}C_{2,2} + a_{2,3}C_{2,3} &= 4(6) + 5(-12) + 6(6) \\ &= 24 - 60 + 36 \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

At the moment, we don't know what to do with this cofactor expansion; we've just successfully found it.

We move on to find the cofactor expansion down the first column. By the definition, this sum is

$$a_{1,1}C_{1,1} + a_{2,1}C_{2,1} + a_{3,1}C_{3,1}.$$

(Again, compare this to the above definition and see how we replaced the “ j ” with “1.”)

We find each cofactor:

$$C_{1,1} = (-1)^{1+1} \begin{vmatrix} 5 & 6 \\ 8 & 9 \end{vmatrix} = (1)(-3) = -3 \quad (\text{we removed the first row and first column of } A \text{ to compute the minor})$$

$$C_{2,1} = (-1)^{2+1} \begin{vmatrix} 2 & 3 \\ 8 & 9 \end{vmatrix} = (-1)(-6) = 6 \quad (\text{we computed this cofactor above})$$

$$C_{3,1} = (-1)^{3+1} \begin{vmatrix} 2 & 3 \\ 5 & 6 \end{vmatrix} = (1)(-3) = -3 \quad (\text{we removed the third row and first column of } A \text{ to compute the minor})$$

The cofactor expansion down the first column is

$$\begin{aligned} a_{1,1}C_{1,1} + a_{2,1}C_{2,1} + a_{3,1}C_{3,1} &= 1(-3) + 4(6) + 7(-3) \\ &= -3 + 24 - 21 \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Is it a coincidence that both cofactor expansions were 0? We'll answer that in a while.

This section is entitled "The Determinant," yet we don't know how to compute it yet except for 2×2 matrices. We finally define it now.

Definition 62 The Determinant

The *determinant* of an $n \times n$ matrix A , denoted $\det(A)$ or $|A|$, is a number given by the following:

- if A is a 1×1 matrix $A = [a]$, then $\det(A) = a$.
- if A is a 2×2 matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix},$$

then $\det(A) = ad - bc$.

- if A is an $n \times n$ matrix, where $n \geq 2$, then $\det(A)$ is the number found by taking the cofactor expansion along the first row of A . That is,

$$\det(A) = a_{1,1}C_{1,1} + a_{1,2}C_{1,2} + \cdots + a_{1,n}C_{1,n}.$$

Notice that in order to compute the determinant of an $n \times n$ matrix, we need to compute the determinants of $n(n-1) \times (n-1)$ matrices. This can be a lot of work. We'll later learn how to shorten some of this. First, let's practice.

Example 129 Computing a 3×3 determinant

Find the determinant of

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 5 & 6 \\ 7 & 8 & 9 \end{bmatrix}.$$

SOLUTION Notice that this is the matrix from Example 128. The cofactor expansion along the first row is

$$\det(A) = a_{1,1}C_{1,1} + a_{1,2}C_{1,2} + a_{1,3}C_{1,3}.$$

We'll compute each cofactor first then take the appropriate sum.

$$\begin{array}{l|l|l} C_{1,1} = (-1)^{1+1} A_{1,1} & C_{1,2} = (-1)^{1+2} A_{1,2} & C_{1,3} = (-1)^{1+3} A_{1,3} \\ = 1 \cdot \begin{vmatrix} 5 & 6 \\ 8 & 9 \end{vmatrix} & = (-1) \cdot \begin{vmatrix} 4 & 6 \\ 7 & 9 \end{vmatrix} & = 1 \cdot \begin{vmatrix} 4 & 5 \\ 7 & 8 \end{vmatrix} \\ = 45 - 48 & = (-1)(36 - 42) & = 32 - 35 \\ = -3 & = 6 & = -3 \end{array}$$

Therefore the determinant of A is

$$\det(A) = 1(-3) + 2(6) + 3(-3) = 0.$$

Example 130 Another 3×3 determinant

Find the determinant of

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 6 & 7 \\ 0 & 2 & -1 \\ 3 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

SOLUTION We'll compute each cofactor first then find the determinant.

$$\begin{array}{l|l|l} C_{1,1} = (-1)^{1+1} A_{1,1} & C_{1,2} = (-1)^{1+2} A_{1,2} & C_{1,3} = (-1)^{1+3} A_{1,3} \\ = 1 \cdot \begin{vmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{vmatrix} & = (-1) \cdot \begin{vmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 3 & 1 \end{vmatrix} & = 1 \cdot \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ 3 & -1 \end{vmatrix} \\ = 2 - 1 & = (-1)(0 + 3) & = 0 - 6 \\ = 1 & = -3 & = -6 \end{array}$$

Thus the determinant is

$$\det(A) = 3(1) + 6(-3) + 7(-6) = -57.$$

Example 131 Computing a 4×4 determinant

Find the determinant of

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 \\ -1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 8 & 5 & -3 & 1 \\ 5 & 9 & -6 & 3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

SOLUTION This, quite frankly, will take quite a bit of work. In order to compute this determinant, we need to compute 4 minors, each of which requires finding the determinant of a 3×3 matrix! Complaining won't get us any closer to the solution, (But it might make us feel a little better. Glance ahead: do you see how much work we have to do?!?) so let's get started. We first compute the cofactors:

$$\begin{aligned} C_{1,1} &= (-1)^{1+1} A_{1,1} \\ &= 1 \cdot \begin{vmatrix} 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 5 & -3 & 1 \\ 9 & -6 & 3 \end{vmatrix} && \text{(we must compute the determinant of this } 3 \times 3 \text{ matrix)} \\ &= 2 \cdot (-1)^{1+1} \begin{vmatrix} -3 & 1 \\ -6 & 3 \end{vmatrix} + 3 \cdot (-1)^{1+2} \begin{vmatrix} 5 & 1 \\ 9 & 3 \end{vmatrix} + 4 \cdot (-1)^{1+3} \begin{vmatrix} 5 & -3 \\ 9 & -6 \end{vmatrix} \\ &= 2(-3) + 3(-6) + 4(-3) \\ &= -36 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 C_{1,2} &= (-1)^{1+2} A_{1,2} \\
 &= (-1) \cdot \begin{vmatrix} -1 & 3 & 4 \\ 8 & -3 & 1 \\ 5 & -6 & 3 \end{vmatrix} \quad (\text{we must compute the determinant of this } 3 \times 3 \text{ matrix}) \\
 &= (-1) \underbrace{\left[(-1) \cdot (-1)^{1+1} \begin{vmatrix} -3 & 1 \\ -6 & 3 \end{vmatrix} + 3 \cdot (-1)^{1+2} \begin{vmatrix} 8 & 1 \\ 5 & 3 \end{vmatrix} + 4 \cdot (-1)^{1+3} \begin{vmatrix} 8 & -3 \\ 5 & -6 \end{vmatrix} \right]}_{\text{the determinate of the } 3 \times 3 \text{ matrix}} \\
 &= (-1) [(-1)(-3) + 3(-19) + 4(-33)] \\
 &= 186
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 C_{1,3} &= (-1)^{1+3} A_{1,3} \\
 &= 1 \cdot \begin{vmatrix} -1 & 2 & 4 \\ 8 & 5 & 1 \\ 5 & 9 & 3 \end{vmatrix} \quad (\text{we must compute the determinant of this } 3 \times 3 \text{ matrix}) \\
 &= (-1) \cdot (-1)^{1+1} \begin{vmatrix} 5 & 1 \\ 9 & 3 \end{vmatrix} + 2 \cdot (-1)^{1+2} \begin{vmatrix} 8 & 1 \\ 5 & 3 \end{vmatrix} + 4 \cdot (-1)^{1+3} \begin{vmatrix} 8 & 5 \\ 5 & 9 \end{vmatrix} \\
 &= (-1)(6) + 2(-19) + 4(47) \\
 &= 144
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 C_{1,4} &= (-1)^{1+4} A_{1,4} \\
 &= (-1) \cdot \begin{vmatrix} -1 & 2 & 3 \\ 8 & 5 & -3 \\ 5 & 9 & -6 \end{vmatrix} \quad (\text{we must compute the determinant of this } 3 \times 3 \text{ matrix}) \\
 &= (-1) \underbrace{\left[(-1) \cdot (-1)^{1+1} \begin{vmatrix} 5 & -3 \\ 9 & -6 \end{vmatrix} + 2 \cdot (-1)^{1+2} \begin{vmatrix} 8 & -3 \\ 5 & -6 \end{vmatrix} + 3 \cdot (-1)^{1+3} \begin{vmatrix} 8 & 5 \\ 5 & 9 \end{vmatrix} \right]}_{\text{the determinate of the } 3 \times 3 \text{ matrix}} \\
 &= (-1) [(-1)(-3) + 2(33) + 3(47)] \\
 &= -210
 \end{aligned}$$

We've computed our four cofactors. All that is left is to compute the cofactor expansion.

$$\det(A) = 1(-36) + 2(186) + 1(144) + 2(-210) = 60.$$

As a way of "visualizing" this, let's write out the cofactor expansion again but including the matrices in their place.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \det(A) &= a_{1,1}C_{1,1} + a_{1,2}C_{1,2} + a_{1,3}C_{1,3} + a_{1,4}C_{1,4} \\
 &= 1(-1)^2 \underbrace{\begin{vmatrix} 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 5 & -3 & 1 \\ 9 & -6 & 3 \end{vmatrix}}_{= -36} + 2(-1)^3 \underbrace{\begin{vmatrix} -1 & 3 & 4 \\ 8 & -3 & 1 \\ 5 & -6 & 3 \end{vmatrix}}_{= -186} \\
 &\quad + \\
 &\quad 1(-1)^4 \underbrace{\begin{vmatrix} -1 & 2 & 4 \\ 8 & 5 & 1 \\ 5 & 9 & 3 \end{vmatrix}}_{= 144} + 2(-1)^5 \underbrace{\begin{vmatrix} -1 & 2 & 3 \\ 8 & 5 & -3 \\ 5 & 9 & -6 \end{vmatrix}}_{= 210} \\
 &= 60
 \end{aligned}$$

That certainly took a while; it required more than 50 multiplications (we didn't count the additions). To compute the determinant of a 5×5 matrix, we'll need to compute the determinants of five 4×4 matrices, meaning that we'll need over 250 multiplications! Not only is this a lot of work, but there are just too many ways to make silly mistakes. (The author made three when the above example was originally typed.) There are some tricks to make this job easier, but regardless we see the need to employ technology. Even then, technology quickly bogs down. A 25×25 matrix is considered "small" by today's standards, but it is essentially impossible for a computer to compute its determinant by only using cofactor expansion; it too needs to employ "tricks."

In the next section we will learn some of these tricks as we learn some of the properties of the determinant. Right now, let's review the essentials of what we have learned.

1. The determinant of a square matrix is a number that is determined by the matrix.
2. We find the determinant by computing the cofactor expansion along the first row.
3. To compute the determinant of an $n \times n$ matrix, we need to compute n determinants of $(n - 1) \times (n - 1)$ matrices.

It is common for mathematicians, scientists and engineers to consider linear systems with thousands of equations and variables.

Exercises 5.3

Problems

In Exercises 1–8, find the determinant of the 2×2 matrix.

1.
$$\begin{bmatrix} 10 & 7 \\ 8 & 9 \end{bmatrix}$$

2.
$$\begin{bmatrix} 6 & -1 \\ -7 & 8 \end{bmatrix}$$

3.
$$\begin{bmatrix} -1 & -7 \\ -5 & 9 \end{bmatrix}$$

4.
$$\begin{bmatrix} -10 & -1 \\ -4 & 7 \end{bmatrix}$$

5.
$$\begin{bmatrix} 8 & 10 \\ 2 & -3 \end{bmatrix}$$

6.
$$\begin{bmatrix} 10 & -10 \\ -10 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

7.
$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -3 \\ 7 & 7 \end{bmatrix}$$

8.
$$\begin{bmatrix} -4 & -5 \\ -1 & -4 \end{bmatrix}$$

14.
$$\begin{bmatrix} 8 & -9 & -2 \\ -9 & 9 & -7 \\ 5 & -1 & 9 \end{bmatrix}$$

15.
$$\begin{bmatrix} -4 & 3 & -4 \\ -4 & -5 & 3 \\ 3 & -4 & 5 \end{bmatrix}$$

16.
$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 & 1 \\ 5 & 5 & 4 \\ 4 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

17.
$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -4 & 1 \\ 0 & 3 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

18.
$$\begin{bmatrix} 3 & -1 & 0 \\ -3 & 0 & -4 \\ 0 & -1 & -4 \end{bmatrix}$$

19.
$$\begin{bmatrix} -5 & 0 & -4 \\ 2 & 4 & -1 \\ -5 & 0 & -4 \end{bmatrix}$$

20.
$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

21.
$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

22.
$$\begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

23.
$$\begin{bmatrix} -5 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -3 & -5 & 2 & 5 \\ -2 & 4 & -3 & 4 \\ 5 & 4 & -3 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

24.
$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 & 4 & 4 \\ 3 & -3 & 3 & 2 \\ 0 & 4 & -5 & 1 \\ -2 & -5 & -2 & -5 \end{bmatrix}$$

25. Let A be a 2×2 matrix;

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}.$$

Show why $\det(A) = ad - bc$ by computing the cofactor expansion of A along the first row.

13.
$$\begin{bmatrix} 3 & 2 & 3 \\ -6 & 1 & -10 \\ -8 & -9 & -9 \end{bmatrix}$$

5.4 Properties of the Determinant

AS YOU READ . . .

1. Having the choice to compute the determinant of a matrix using cofactor expansion along any row or column is most useful when there are lots of what in a row or column?
2. Which elementary row operation does not change the determinant of a matrix?
3. Why do mathematicians rarely smile?
4. T/F: When computers are used to compute the determinant of a matrix, cofactor expansion is rarely used.

In the previous section we learned how to compute the determinant. In this section we learn some of the properties of the determinant, and this will allow us to compute determinants more easily. In the next section we will see one application of determinants.

We start with a theorem that gives us more freedom when computing determinants.

Theorem 31 Cofactor Expansion Along Any Row or Column

Let A be an $n \times n$ matrix. The determinant of A can be computed using cofactor expansion along any row or column of A .

We alluded to this fact way back after Example 128. We had just learned what cofactor expansion was and we practiced along the second row and down the third column. Later, we found the determinant of this matrix by computing the cofactor expansion along the first row. In all three cases, we got the number 0. This wasn't a coincidence. The above theorem states that all three expansions were actually computing the determinant.

How does this help us? By giving us freedom to choose any row or column to use for the expansion, we can choose a row or column that looks "most appealing." This usually means "it has lots of zeros." We demonstrate this principle below.

Example 132 Computing a 4×4 determinant

Find the determinant of

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 0 & 9 \\ 2 & -3 & 0 & 5 \\ 7 & 2 & 3 & 8 \\ -4 & 1 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

SOLUTION Our first reaction may well be "Oh no! Not another 4×4 determinant!" However, we can use cofactor expansion along any row or column that we choose. The third column looks great; it has lots of zeros in it. The cofactor expansion along this column is

$$\begin{aligned} \det(A) &= a_{1,3}C_{1,3} + a_{2,3}C_{2,3} + a_{3,3}C_{3,3} + a_{4,3}C_{4,3} \\ &= 0 \cdot C_{1,3} + 0 \cdot C_{2,3} + 3 \cdot C_{3,3} + 0 \cdot C_{4,3} \end{aligned}$$

The wonderful thing here is that three of our cofactors are multiplied by 0. We won't bother computing them since they will not contribute to the determinant. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}\det(A) &= 3 \cdot C_{3,3} \\ &= 3 \cdot (-1)^{3+3} \cdot \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 2 & 9 \\ 2 & -3 & 5 \\ -4 & 1 & 2 \end{vmatrix} \\ &= 3 \cdot (-147) \quad \left(\begin{array}{l} \text{we computed the determinant of the } 3 \times 3 \text{ matrix} \\ \text{without showing our work; it is } -147 \end{array} \right) \\ &= -447\end{aligned}$$

Wow. That was a lot simpler than computing all that we did in Example 131. Of course, in that example, we didn't really have any shortcuts that we could have employed. Our next example involves a 5×5 determinant. At first, this looks like trouble, until we realize that the matrix is *triangular*. As we'll see, this makes our job much easier.

Example 133 Computing the determinant of a 5×5 (triangular) matrix
Find the determinant of

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 \\ 0 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 \\ 0 & 0 & 10 & 11 & 12 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 13 & 14 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 15 \end{bmatrix}.$$

SOLUTION Since we can expand along any row or column, things are not as bad as they might at first seem. In fact, this problem is very easy. What row or column should we choose to find the determinant along? There are two obvious choices: the first column or the last row. Both have 4 zeros in them. We choose the first column. We omit most of the cofactor expansion, since most of it is just 0:

$$\det(A) = 1 \cdot (-1)^{1+1} \cdot \begin{vmatrix} 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 \\ 0 & 10 & 11 & 12 \\ 0 & 0 & 13 & 14 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 15 \end{vmatrix}.$$

Similarly, this determinant is not bad to compute; we again choose to use cofactor expansion along the first column. Note: technically, this cofactor expansion is $6 \cdot (-1)^{1+1} A_{1,1}$; we are going to drop the $(-1)^{1+1}$ terms from here on out in this example (it will show up a lot...).

$$\det(A) = 1 \cdot 6 \cdot \begin{vmatrix} 10 & 11 & 12 \\ 0 & 13 & 14 \\ 0 & 0 & 15 \end{vmatrix}.$$

You can probably see a trend. We'll finish out the steps without explaining each one.

$$\begin{aligned}\det(A) &= 1 \cdot 6 \cdot 10 \cdot \begin{vmatrix} 13 & 14 \\ 0 & 15 \end{vmatrix} \\ &= 1 \cdot 6 \cdot 10 \cdot 13 \cdot 15 \\ &= 11700\end{aligned}$$

We see that the final determinant is the product of the diagonal entries. This works for any triangular matrix (and since diagonal matrices are triangular,

it works for diagonal matrices as well). This is an important enough idea that we'll put it into a box.

Key Idea 25 The Determinant of Triangular Matrices

The determinant of a triangular matrix is the product of its diagonal elements.

It is now again time to start thinking like a mathematician. Remember, mathematicians see something new and often ask "How does this relate to things I already know?" So now we ask, "If we change a matrix in some way, how is its determinant changed?"

The standard way that we change matrices is through elementary row operations. If we perform an elementary row operation on a matrix, how will the determinant of the new matrix compare to the determinant of the original matrix?

Let's experiment first and then we'll officially state what happens.

Example 134 Row operations and determinants

Let

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Let B be formed from A by doing one of the following elementary row operations:

1. $2R_1 + R_2 \rightarrow R_2$
2. $5R_1 \rightarrow R_1$
3. $R_1 \leftrightarrow R_2$

Find $\det(A)$ as well as $\det(B)$ for each of the row operations above.

SOLUTION It is straightforward to compute $\det(A) = -2$.

Let B be formed by performing the row operation in 1) on A ; thus

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 5 & 8 \end{bmatrix}.$$

It is clear that $\det(B) = -2$, the same as $\det(A)$.

Now let B be formed by performing the elementary row operation in 2) on A ; that is,

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 10 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We can see that $\det(B) = -10$, which is $5 \cdot \det(A)$.

Finally, let B be formed by the third row operation given; swap the two rows of A . We see that

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 4 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

and that $\det(B) = 2$, which is $(-1) \cdot \det(A)$.

We've seen in the above example that there seems to be a relationship between the determinants of matrices "before and after" being changed by elementary row operations. Certainly, one example isn't enough to base a theory on, and we have not proved anything yet. Regardless, the following theorem is true.

Theorem 32 The Determinant and Elementary Row Operations

Let A be an $n \times n$ matrix and let B be formed by performing one elementary row operation on A .

1. If B is formed from A by adding a scalar multiple of one row to another, then $\det(B) = \det(A)$.
2. If B is formed from A by multiplying one row of A by a scalar k , then $\det(B) = k \cdot \det(A)$.
3. If B is formed from A by interchanging two rows of A , then $\det(B) = -\det(A)$.

Let's put this theorem to use in an example.

Example 135 Using row operations to compute a determinant

Let

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Compute $\det(A)$, then find the determinants of the following matrices by inspection using Theorem 32.

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad C = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 7 & 7 & 7 \end{bmatrix} \quad D = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & -2 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

SOLUTION Computing $\det(A)$ by cofactor expansion down the first column or along the second row seems like the best choice, utilizing the one zero in the matrix. We can quickly confirm that $\det(A) = 1$.

To compute $\det(B)$, notice that the rows of A were rearranged to form B . There are different ways to describe what happened; saying $R_1 \leftrightarrow R_2$ was followed by $R_1 \leftrightarrow R_3$ produces B from A . Since there were two row swaps, $\det(B) = (-1)(-1)\det(A) = \det(A) = 1$.

Notice that C is formed from A by multiplying the third row by 7. Thus $\det(C) = 7 \cdot \det(A) = 7$.

It takes a little thought, but we can form D from A by the operation $-3R_2 + R_1 \rightarrow R_1$. This type of elementary row operation does not change determinants, so $\det(D) = \det(A)$.

Let's continue to think like mathematicians; mathematicians tend to remember "problems" they've encountered in the past, and when they learn something new, in the backs of their minds they try to apply their new knowledge to solve their old problem. (This is why mathematicians rarely smile: they are remembering their problems)

What “problem” did we recently uncover? We stated in the last chapter that even computers could not compute the determinant of large matrices with cofactor expansion. How then can we compute the determinant of large matrices?

We just learned two interesting and useful facts about matrix determinants. First, the determinant of a triangular matrix is easy to compute: just multiply the diagonal elements. Secondly, we know how elementary row operations affect the determinant. Put these two ideas together: given any square matrix, we can use elementary row operations to put the matrix in triangular form, find the determinant of the new matrix (which is easy), and then adjust that number by recalling what elementary operations we performed.

Example 136 Using row operations to reduce a determinant to triangular form

Find the determinant of A by first putting A into a triangular form, where

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 4 & -2 \\ -1 & -2 & 5 \\ 3 & 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

SOLUTION In putting A into a triangular form, we need not worry about getting leading 1s, but it does tend to make our life easier as we work out a problem by hand. So let’s scale the first row by $1/2$:

$$\frac{1}{2}R_1 \rightarrow R_1 \quad \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & -1 \\ -1 & -2 & 5 \\ 3 & 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Now let’s get 0s below this leading 1:

$$\begin{array}{l} R_1 + R_2 \rightarrow R_2 \\ -3R_1 + R_3 \rightarrow R_3 \end{array} \quad \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 4 \\ 0 & -4 & 4 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We can finish in one step; by interchanging rows 2 and 3 we’ll have our matrix in triangular form.

$$R_2 \leftrightarrow R_3 \quad \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & -4 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & 4 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Let’s name this last matrix B . The determinant of B is easy to compute as it is triangular; $\det(B) = -16$. We can use this to find $\det(A)$.

Recall the steps we used to transform A into B . They are:

$$\begin{array}{l} \frac{1}{2}R_1 \rightarrow R_1 \\ R_1 + R_2 \rightarrow R_2 \\ -3R_1 + R_3 \rightarrow R_3 \\ R_2 \leftrightarrow R_3 \end{array}$$

The first operation multiplied a row of A by $\frac{1}{2}$. This means that the resulting matrix had a determinant that was $\frac{1}{2}$ the determinant of A .

The next two operations did not affect the determinant at all. The last operation, the row swap, changed the sign. Combining these effects, we know that

$$-16 = \det(B) = (-1)\frac{1}{2}\det(A).$$

Solving for $\det(A)$ we have that $\det(A) = 32$.

In practice, we don't need to keep track of operations where we add multiples of one row to another; they simply do not affect the determinant. Also, in practice, these steps are carried out by a computer, and computers don't care about leading 1s. Therefore, row scaling operations are rarely used. The only things to keep track of are row swaps, and even then all we care about are the number of row swaps. An odd number of row swaps means that the original determinant has the opposite sign of the triangular form matrix; an even number of row swaps means they have the same determinant.

Let's practice this again.

Example 137 Effect of elementary row operations on the determinant

The matrix B was formed from A using the following elementary row operations, though not necessarily in this order. Find $\det(B)$.

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 0 & 4 & 5 \\ 0 & 0 & 6 \end{bmatrix} \quad \begin{array}{l} 2R_1 \rightarrow R_1 \\ \frac{1}{3}R_3 \rightarrow R_3 \\ R_1 \leftrightarrow R_2 \\ 6R_1 + R_2 \rightarrow R_2 \end{array}$$

SOLUTION It is easy to compute $\det(B) = 24$. In looking at our list of elementary row operations, we see that only the first three have an effect on the determinant. Therefore

$$24 = \det(B) = 2 \cdot \frac{1}{3} \cdot (-1) \cdot \det(A)$$

and hence

$$\det(A) = -36.$$

In the previous example, we may have been tempted to "rebuild" A using the elementary row operations and then computing the determinant. This can be done, but in general it is a bad idea; it takes too much work and it is too easy to make a mistake.

Let's think some more like a mathematician. How does the determinant work with other matrix operations that we know? Specifically, how does the determinant interact with matrix addition, scalar multiplication, matrix multiplication, the transpose and the trace? We'll again do an example to get an idea of what is going on, then give a theorem to state what is true.

Example 138 Determinants and matrix operations

Let

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } B = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 3 & 5 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Find the determinants of the matrices A , B , $A + B$, $3A$, AB , A^T , A^{-1} . Can you find any connections between these values?

SOLUTION We can quickly compute that $\det(A) = -2$ and that $\det(B) = 7$.

$$\begin{aligned} \det(A - B) &= \det \left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 3 & 5 \end{bmatrix} \right) \\ &= \begin{vmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 \end{vmatrix} \\ &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

It's tough to find a connection between $\det(A - B)$, $\det(A)$ and $\det(B)$.

$$\begin{aligned}\det(3A) &= \left| \begin{array}{cc} 3 & 6 \\ 9 & 12 \end{array} \right| \\ &= -18\end{aligned}$$

We can figure this one out; multiplying one row of A by 3 increases the determinant by a factor of 3; doing it again (and hence multiplying both rows by 3) increases the determinant again by a factor of 3. Therefore $\det(3A) = 3 \cdot 3 \cdot \det(A)$, or $3^2 \cdot \det(A)$.

$$\begin{aligned}\det(AB) &= \det \left(\left[\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{array} \right] \left[\begin{array}{cc} 2 & 1 \\ 3 & 5 \end{array} \right] \right) \\ &= \left| \begin{array}{cc} 8 & 11 \\ 18 & 23 \end{array} \right| \\ &= -14\end{aligned}$$

This one seems clear; $\det(AB) = \det(A)\det(B)$.

$$\begin{aligned}\det(A^T) &= \left| \begin{array}{cc} 1 & 3 \\ 2 & 4 \end{array} \right| \\ &= -2\end{aligned}$$

Seeing that expansion along the first row agrees with expansion along the first column can be a bit tricky to think out in your head. Try it with a 3×3 matrix A and see how it works. All the 2×2 submatrices that are created in A^T are the transpose of those found in A ; this doesn't matter since it is easy to see that the determinant isn't affected by the transpose in a 2×2 matrix.

Obviously $\det(A^T) = \det(A)$; is this always going to be the case? If we think about it, we can see that the cofactor expansion along the first *row* of A will give us the same result as the cofactor expansion along the first *column* of A^T .

$$\begin{aligned}\det(A^{-1}) &= \left| \begin{array}{cc} -2 & 1 \\ 3/2 & -1/2 \end{array} \right| \\ &= 1 - 3/2 \\ &= -1/2\end{aligned}$$

It seems as though

$$\det(A^{-1}) = \frac{1}{\det(A)}.$$

We now state a theorem which will confirm our conjectures from the previous example.

Theorem 33 Determinant Properties

Let A and B be $n \times n$ matrices and let k be a scalar. The following are true:

1. $\det(kA) = k^n \cdot \det(A)$
2. $\det(A^T) = \det(A)$
3. $\det(AB) = \det(A)\det(B)$
4. If A is invertible, then

$$\det(A^{-1}) = \frac{1}{\det(A)}.$$

5. A matrix A is invertible if and only if $\det(A) \neq 0$.

This last statement of the above theorem is significant: what happens if $\det(A) = 0$? It seems that $\det(A^{-1}) = "1/0"$, which is undefined. There actually isn't a problem here; it turns out that if $\det(A) = 0$, then A is not invertible (hence part 5 of Theorem 33). This allows us to add on to our Invertible Matrix Theorem.

Theorem 34 Invertible Matrix Theorem

Let A be an $n \times n$ matrix. The following statements are equivalent.

- (a) A is invertible.
- (g) $\det(A) \neq 0$.

This new addition to the Invertible Matrix Theorem is very useful; we'll refer back to it in Chapter 6 when we discuss eigenvalues.

In the next section we'll see how the determinant can be used to solve systems of linear equations.

Exercises 5.4

Problems

In Exercises 1 – 14, find the determinant of the given matrix using cofactor expansion along any row or column you choose.

1.
$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ -5 & 0 & 3 \\ 4 & 0 & 6 \end{bmatrix}$$

2.
$$\begin{bmatrix} -4 & 4 & -4 \\ 0 & 0 & -3 \\ -2 & -2 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

3.
$$\begin{bmatrix} -4 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & -2 & -5 \end{bmatrix}$$

4.
$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & -3 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 5 \\ -4 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

5.
$$\begin{bmatrix} -2 & -3 & 5 \\ 5 & 2 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

6.
$$\begin{bmatrix} -2 & -2 & 0 \\ 2 & -5 & -3 \\ -5 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

7.
$$\begin{bmatrix} -3 & 0 & -5 \\ -2 & -3 & 3 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

8.
$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 4 & -4 \\ 3 & 1 & -3 \\ -3 & -4 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

9.
$$\begin{bmatrix} 5 & -5 & 0 & 1 \\ 2 & 4 & -1 & -1 \\ 5 & 0 & 0 & 4 \\ -1 & -2 & 0 & 5 \end{bmatrix}$$

10.
$$\begin{bmatrix} -1 & 3 & 3 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 4 & -5 & -2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

11.
$$\begin{bmatrix} -5 & -5 & 0 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 5 & 0 \\ 1 & 3 & 3 & 1 \\ -4 & -2 & -1 & -5 \end{bmatrix}$$

12.
$$\begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & -2 & 5 \\ 3 & -5 & 1 & -2 \\ -5 & -2 & -1 & -3 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

13.
$$\begin{bmatrix} 4 & 0 & 5 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 3 & 1 & 5 \\ 2 & 2 & 0 & 2 & 2 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 4 & 4 & 2 & 5 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

14.
$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 4 & 1 & 2 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 3 & 2 & 0 & 3 \\ 5 & 0 & 5 & 0 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$$

In Exercises 15 – 18, a matrix M and $\det(M)$ are given. Matrices A , B and C are formed by performing operations on M . Determine the determinants of A , B and C using Theorems 32 and 33, and indicate the operations used to form A , B and C .

15.
$$M = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 3 & 5 \\ 3 & 1 & 0 \\ -2 & -4 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \det(M) = -41.$$

(a)
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 3 & 5 \\ -2 & -4 & -1 \\ 3 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

(b)
$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 3 & 5 \\ 3 & 1 & 0 \\ 8 & 16 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$$

(c)
$$C = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 4 & 5 \\ 3 & 1 & 0 \\ -2 & -4 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

16.
$$M = \begin{bmatrix} 9 & 7 & 8 \\ 1 & 3 & 7 \\ 6 & 3 & 3 \end{bmatrix}, \det(M) = 45.$$

(a)
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 18 & 14 & 16 \\ 1 & 3 & 7 \\ 6 & 3 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

(b)
$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 9 & 7 & 8 \\ 1 & 3 & 7 \\ 96 & 73 & 83 \end{bmatrix}$$

(c)
$$C = \begin{bmatrix} 9 & 1 & 6 \\ 7 & 3 & 3 \\ 8 & 7 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

17.
$$M = \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 1 & 5 \\ 4 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 4 \end{bmatrix}, \det(M) = -16.$$

(a)
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 4 \\ 5 & 1 & 5 \\ 4 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

(b)
$$B = \begin{bmatrix} -5 & -1 & -5 \\ -4 & 0 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$(c) \ C = \begin{bmatrix} 15 & 3 & 15 \\ 12 & 0 & 6 \\ 0 & 0 & 12 \end{bmatrix} \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 4 & -4 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$18. \ M = \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 4 & 0 \\ 7 & 9 & 3 \\ 1 & 3 & 9 \end{bmatrix}, \det(M) = 120.$$

$$(a) \ A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & 9 \\ 7 & 9 & 3 \\ 5 & 4 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$(b) \ B = \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 4 & 0 \\ 14 & 18 & 6 \\ 3 & 9 & 27 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$(c) \ C = \begin{bmatrix} -5 & -4 & 0 \\ -7 & -9 & -3 \\ -1 & -3 & -9 \end{bmatrix}$$

In Exercises 19 – 22, matrices A and B are given. Verify part 3 of Theorem 33 by computing $\det(A)$, $\det(B)$ and $\det(AB)$.

$$19. \ A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -4 \\ 1 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$20. \ A = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & -1 \\ 4 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} -4 & -1 \\ -5 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$21. \ A = \begin{bmatrix} -4 & 4 \\ 5 & -2 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} -3 & -4 \\ 5 & -3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$22. \ A = \begin{bmatrix} -3 & -1 \\ 2 & -3 \end{bmatrix},$$

In Exercises 23 – 30, find the determinant of the given matrix.

$$23. \ \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 2 & 3 \\ -6 & 1 & -10 \\ -8 & -9 & -9 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$24. \ \begin{bmatrix} 8 & -9 & -2 \\ -9 & 9 & -7 \\ 5 & -1 & 9 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$25. \ \begin{bmatrix} -4 & 3 & -4 \\ -4 & -5 & 3 \\ 3 & -4 & 5 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$26. \ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 & 1 \\ 5 & 5 & 4 \\ 4 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$27. \ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -4 & 1 \\ 0 & 3 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$28. \ \begin{bmatrix} 3 & -1 & 0 \\ -3 & 0 & -4 \\ 0 & -1 & -4 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$29. \ \begin{bmatrix} -5 & 0 & -4 \\ 2 & 4 & -1 \\ -5 & 0 & -4 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$30. \ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

5.5 Cramer's Rule

AS YOU READ ...

1. T/F: Cramer's Rule is another method to compute the determinant of a matrix.
2. T/F: Cramer's Rule is often used because it is more efficient than Gaussian elimination.
3. Mathematicians use what word to describe the connections between seemingly unrelated ideas?

In the previous sections we have learned about the determinant, but we haven't given a really good reason *why* we would want to compute it. This section shows one application of the determinant: solving systems of linear equations. We introduce this idea in terms of a theorem, then we will practice.

Theorem 35 Cramer's Rule

Let A be an $n \times n$ matrix with $\det(A) \neq 0$ and let \vec{b} be an $n \times 1$ column vector. Then the linear system

$$A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$$

has solution

$$x_i = \frac{\det(A_i(\vec{b}))}{\det(A)},$$

where $A_i(\vec{b})$ is the matrix formed by replacing the i^{th} column of A with \vec{b} .

The closest we came to motivating the determinant is that if $\det(A) = 0$, then we know that A is not invertible. But it seems that there may be easier ways to check. It is interesting to note that despite the presentation given here, determinants actually pre-date the modern usage of matrices by more than a century. Cramer's rule was published by Cramer in 1750, and the term matrix was introduced by James Joseph Sylvester in 1850. (Even then, Sylvester's description of matrices was in terms of minors – that's right, determinants.) The interested reader is encouraged to read up on the history of the subject. (Wikipedia is not a bad place to start.)

Example 139 Using Cramer's Rule

Use Cramer's Rule to solve the linear system $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$ where

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 5 & -3 \\ 1 & 4 & 2 \\ 2 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \vec{b} = \begin{bmatrix} -36 \\ -11 \\ 7 \end{bmatrix}.$$

SOLUTION We first compute the determinant of A to see if we can apply Cramer's Rule.

$$\det(A) = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 5 & -3 \\ 1 & 4 & 2 \\ 2 & -1 & 0 \end{vmatrix} = 49.$$

Since $\det(A) \neq 0$, we can apply Cramer's Rule. Following Theorem 35, we compute $\det(A_1(\vec{b}))$, $\det(A_2(\vec{b}))$ and $\det(A_3(\vec{b}))$.

$$\det(A_1(\vec{b})) = \begin{vmatrix} -36 & 5 & -3 \\ -11 & 4 & 2 \\ 7 & -1 & 0 \end{vmatrix} = 49.$$

(We used a bold font to show where \vec{b} replaced the first column of A .)

$$\det(A_2(\vec{b})) = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & -36 & -3 \\ 1 & -11 & 2 \\ 2 & 7 & 0 \end{vmatrix} = -245.$$

$$\det(A_3(\vec{b})) = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 5 & -36 \\ 1 & 4 & -11 \\ 2 & -1 & 7 \end{vmatrix} = 196.$$

Therefore we can compute \vec{x} :

$$x_1 = \frac{\det(A_1(\vec{b}))}{\det(A)} = \frac{49}{49} = 1$$

$$x_2 = \frac{\det(A_2(\vec{b}))}{\det(A)} = \frac{-245}{49} = -5$$

$$x_3 = \frac{\det(A_3(\vec{b}))}{\det(A)} = \frac{196}{49} = 4$$

Therefore

$$\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -5 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Example 140 Using Cramer's Rule

Use Cramer's Rule to solve the linear system $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$ where

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } \vec{b} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

SOLUTION

The determinant of A is -2 , so we can apply Cramer's Rule.

$$\det(A_1(\vec{b})) = \begin{vmatrix} -1 & 2 \\ 1 & 4 \end{vmatrix} = -6.$$

$$\det(A_2(\vec{b})) = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 3 & 1 \end{vmatrix} = 4.$$

Therefore

$$x_1 = \frac{\det(A_1(\vec{b}))}{\det(A)} = \frac{-6}{-2} = 3$$

$$x_2 = \frac{\det(A_2(\vec{b}))}{\det(A)} = \frac{4}{-2} = -2$$

and

$$\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We learned in Section 5.4 that when considering a linear system $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$ where A is square, if $\det(A) \neq 0$ then A is invertible and $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$ has exactly one solution. We also stated in Key Idea 24 that if $\det(A) = 0$, then A is not invertible

and so therefore either $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$ has no solution or infinite solutions. Our method of figuring out which of these cases applied was to form the augmented matrix $[A \quad \vec{b}]$, put it into reduced row echelon form, and then interpret the results.

Cramer's Rule specifies that $\det(A) \neq 0$ (so we are guaranteed a solution). When $\det(A) = 0$ we are not able to discern whether infinite solutions or no solution exists for a given vector \vec{b} . Cramer's Rule is only applicable to the case when exactly one solution exists.

We end this section with a practical consideration. We have mentioned before that finding determinants is a computationally intensive operation. To solve a linear system with 3 equations and 3 unknowns, we need to compute 4 determinants. Just think: with 10 equations and 10 unknowns, we'd need to compute 11 really hard determinants of 10×10 matrices! That is a lot of work!

The upshot of this is that Cramer's Rule makes for a poor choice in solving numerical linear systems. It simply is not done in practice; it is hard to beat Gaussian elimination.

So why include it? *Because its truth is amazing.* The determinant is a very strange operation; it produces a number in a very odd way. It should seem incredible to the reader that by manipulating determinants in a particular way, we can solve linear systems.

In the next chapter we'll see another use for the determinant. Meanwhile, try to develop a deeper appreciation of math: odd, complicated things that seem completely unrelated often are intricately tied together. Mathematicians see these connections and describe them as "beautiful."

A version of Cramer's Rule is often taught in introductory differential equations courses as it can be used to find solutions to certain linear differential equations. In this situation, the entries of the matrices are functions, not numbers, and hence computing determinants is easier than using Gaussian elimination. Again, though, as the matrices get large, other solution methods are resorted to.

Exercises 5.5

Problems

In Exercises 1 – 12, matrices A and \vec{b} are given.

(a) Give $\det(A)$ and $\det(A_i)$ for all i .

(b) Use Cramer's Rule to solve $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$. If Cramer's Rule cannot be used to find the solution, then state whether or not a solution exists.

$$1. A = \begin{bmatrix} 7 & -7 \\ -7 & 9 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \vec{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 28 \\ -26 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$2. A = \begin{bmatrix} 9 & 5 \\ -4 & -7 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \vec{b} = \begin{bmatrix} -45 \\ 20 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$3. A = \begin{bmatrix} -8 & 16 \\ 10 & -20 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \vec{b} = \begin{bmatrix} -48 \\ 60 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$4. A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -6 \\ 9 & -10 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \vec{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 6 \\ -17 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$5. A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 10 \\ -1 & 3 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \vec{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 42 \\ 19 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$6. A = \begin{bmatrix} 7 & 14 \\ -2 & -4 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \vec{b} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$7. A = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 0 & -3 \\ 5 & 4 & 4 \\ 5 & 5 & -4 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \vec{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 24 \\ 0 \\ 31 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$8. A = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 9 & 3 \\ -5 & -2 & -13 \\ -1 & 10 & -13 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\vec{b} = \begin{bmatrix} -28 \\ 35 \\ 7 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$9. A = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & -4 & 0 \\ 5 & 1 & -1 \\ 3 & -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \vec{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 16 \\ 22 \\ 8 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$10. A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -10 \\ 4 & -3 & -10 \\ -9 & 6 & -2 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\vec{b} = \begin{bmatrix} -40 \\ -94 \\ 132 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$11. A = \begin{bmatrix} 7 & -4 & 25 \\ -2 & 1 & -7 \\ 9 & -7 & 34 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\vec{b} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ -3 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$12. A = \begin{bmatrix} -6 & -7 & -7 \\ 5 & 4 & 1 \\ 5 & 4 & 8 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\vec{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 58 \\ -35 \\ -49 \end{bmatrix}$$

6: EIGENVALUES AND EIGENVECTORS

We have often explored new ideas in matrix algebra by making connections to our previous algebraic experience. Adding two numbers, $x + y$, led us to adding vectors $\vec{x} + \vec{y}$ and adding matrices $A + B$. We explored multiplication, which then led us to solving the matrix equation $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$, which was reminiscent of solving the algebra equation $ax = b$.

This chapter is motivated by another analogy. Consider: when we multiply an unknown number x by another number such as 5, what do we know about the result? Unless, $x = 0$, we know that in some sense $5x$ will be “5 times bigger than x .” Applying this to vectors, we would readily agree that $5\vec{x}$ gives a vector that is “5 times bigger than \vec{x} .” Each entry in \vec{x} is multiplied by 5.

Within the matrix algebra context, though, we have two types of multiplication: scalar and matrix multiplication. What happens to \vec{x} when we multiply it by a matrix A ? Our first response is likely along the lines of “You just get another vector. There is no definable relationship.” We might wonder if there is ever the case where a matrix – vector multiplication is very similar to a scalar – vector multiplication. That is, do we ever have the case where $A\vec{x} = a\vec{x}$, where a is some scalar? That is the motivating question of this chapter.

6.1 Eigenvalues and Eigenvectors

AS YOU READ . . .

1. T/F: Given any matrix A , we can always find a vector \vec{x} where $A\vec{x} = \vec{x}$.
2. When is the zero vector an eigenvector for a matrix?
3. If \vec{v} is an eigenvector of a matrix A with eigenvalue of 2, then what is $A\vec{v}$?
4. T/F: If A is a 5×5 matrix, to find the eigenvalues of A , we would need to find the roots of a 5^{th} degree polynomial.

We start by considering the matrix A and vector \vec{x} as given below. (Recall this matrix and vector were used in Example 98 on page 194.)

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \quad \vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Multiplying $A\vec{x}$ gives:

$$\begin{aligned} A\vec{x} &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 5 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= 5 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}! \end{aligned}$$

Wow! It looks like multiplying $A\vec{x}$ is the same as $5\vec{x}$! This makes us wonder lots of things: Is this the only case in the world where something like this happens? (Probably not.) Is A somehow a special matrix, and $A\vec{x} = 5\vec{x}$ for any vector

\vec{x} we pick? (Probably not.) Or maybe \vec{x} was a special vector, and no matter what 2×2 matrix A we picked, we would have $A\vec{x} = 5\vec{x}$. (Again, probably not.)

A more likely explanation is this: given the matrix A , the number 5 and the vector \vec{x} formed a special pair that happened to work together in a nice way. It is then natural to wonder if other “special” pairs exist. For instance, could we find a vector \vec{x} where $A\vec{x} = 3\vec{x}$?

This equation is hard to solve *at first*; we are not used to matrix equations where \vec{x} appears on both sides of “ $=$.” Therefore we put off solving this for just a moment to state a definition and make a few comments.

Definition 63 Eigenvalues and Eigenvectors

Let A be an $n \times n$ matrix, \vec{x} a nonzero $n \times 1$ column vector and λ a scalar. If

$$A\vec{x} = \lambda\vec{x},$$

then \vec{x} is an *eigenvector* of A and λ is an *eigenvalue* of A .

The word “eigen” is German for “proper” or “characteristic.” Therefore, an *eigenvector* of A is a “characteristic vector of A .” This vector tells us something about A .

Why do we use the Greek letter λ (lambda)? It is pure tradition. Above, we used a to represent the unknown scalar, since we are used to that notation. We now switch to λ because that is how everyone else does it. (An example of mathematical peer pressure.) Don’t get hung up on this; λ is just a number.

Note that our definition requires that A be a square matrix. If A isn’t square then $A\vec{x}$ and $\lambda\vec{x}$ will have different sizes, and so they cannot be equal. Also note that \vec{x} must be nonzero. Why? What if $\vec{x} = \vec{0}$? Then *no matter what* λ is, $A\vec{x} = \lambda\vec{x}$. This would then imply that *every number* is an eigenvalue; if every number is an eigenvalue, then we wouldn’t need a definition for it. (Recall note 23 on page 222.) Therefore we specify that $\vec{x} \neq \vec{0}$.

Our last comment before trying to find eigenvalues and eigenvectors for given matrices deals with “why we care.” Did we stumble upon a mathematical curiosity, or does this somehow help us build better bridges, heal the sick, send astronauts into orbit, design optical equipment, and understand quantum mechanics? The answer, of course, is “Yes.” (Except for the “understand quantum mechanics” part. Nobody truly understands that stuff; they just *probably* understand it.) This is a wonderful topic in and of itself: we need no external application to appreciate its worth. At the same time, it has many, many applications to “the real world.” A simple Internet search on “applications of eigenvalues” will confirm this.

Back to our math. Given a square matrix A , we want to find a nonzero vector \vec{x} and a scalar λ such that $A\vec{x} = \lambda\vec{x}$. We will solve this using the skills we developed in Chapter 4.

$$\begin{aligned} A\vec{x} &= \lambda\vec{x} && \text{original equation} \\ A\vec{x} - \lambda\vec{x} &= \vec{0} && \text{subtract } \lambda\vec{x} \text{ from both sides} \\ (A - \lambda I)\vec{x} &= \vec{0} && \text{factor out } \vec{x} \end{aligned}$$

Think about this last factorization. We are likely tempted to say

$$A\vec{x} - \lambda\vec{x} = (A - \lambda)\vec{x},$$

but this really doesn’t make sense. After all, what does “a matrix minus a number” mean? We need the identity matrix in order for this to be logical.

Let us now think about the equation $(A - \lambda I)\vec{x} = \vec{0}$. While it looks complicated, it really is just matrix equation of the type we solved in Section 4.4. We are just trying to solve $B\vec{x} = \vec{0}$, where $B = (A - \lambda I)$.

We know from our previous work that this type of equation always has a solution, namely, $\vec{x} = \vec{0}$. (Recall this is a *homogeneous* system of equations.) However, we want \vec{x} to be an eigenvector and, by the definition, eigenvectors cannot be $\vec{0}$.

This means that we want solutions to $(A - \lambda I)\vec{x} = \vec{0}$ other than $\vec{x} = \vec{0}$. Recall that Theorem 25 says that if the matrix $(A - \lambda I)$ is invertible, then the *only* solution to $(A - \lambda I)\vec{x} = \vec{0}$ is $\vec{x} = \vec{0}$. Therefore, in order to have other solutions, we need $(A - \lambda I)$ to not be invertible.

Finally, recall from Theorem 33 that noninvertible matrices all have a determinant of 0. Therefore, if we want to find eigenvalues λ and eigenvectors \vec{x} , we need $\det(A - \lambda I) = 0$.

Let's start our practice of this theory by finding λ such that $\det(A - \lambda I) = 0$; that is, let's find the eigenvalues of a matrix.

Example 141 Computing the eigenvalues of a matrix

Find the eigenvalues of A , that is, find λ such that $\det(A - \lambda I) = 0$, where

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

SOLUTION (Note that this is the matrix we used at the beginning of this section.) First, we write out what $A - \lambda I$ is:

$$\begin{aligned} A - \lambda I &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix} - \lambda \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 - \lambda & 4 \\ 2 & 3 - \lambda \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \det(A - \lambda I) &= \begin{vmatrix} 1 - \lambda & 4 \\ 2 & 3 - \lambda \end{vmatrix} \\ &= (1 - \lambda)(3 - \lambda) - 8 \\ &= \lambda^2 - 4\lambda - 5 \end{aligned}$$

Since we want $\det(A - \lambda I) = 0$, we want $\lambda^2 - 4\lambda - 5 = 0$. This is a simple quadratic equation that is easy to factor:

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda^2 - 4\lambda - 5 &= 0 \\ (\lambda - 5)(\lambda + 1) &= 0 \\ \lambda &= -1, 5 \end{aligned}$$

According to our above work, $\det(A - \lambda I) = 0$ when $\lambda = -1, 5$. Thus, the eigenvalues of A are -1 and 5 .

Earlier, when looking at the same matrix as used in our example, we wondered if we could find a vector \vec{x} such that $A\vec{x} = 3\vec{x}$. According to this example, the answer is “No.” With this matrix A , the only values of λ that work are -1 and 5 .

Let's restate the above in a different way: It is pointless to try to find \vec{x} where $A\vec{x} = 3\vec{x}$, for there is no such \vec{x} . There are only 2 equations of this form that have a solution, namely

$$A\vec{x} = -\vec{x} \quad \text{and} \quad A\vec{x} = 5\vec{x}.$$

As we introduced this section, we gave a vector \vec{x} such that $A\vec{x} = 5\vec{x}$. Is this the only one? Let's find out while calling our work an example; this will amount to finding the eigenvectors of A that correspond to the eigenvector of 5.

Example 142 Computing an eigenvector corresponding to a given eigenvalue

Find \vec{x} such that $A\vec{x} = 5\vec{x}$, where

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

SOLUTION Recall that our algebra from before showed that if

$$A\vec{x} = \lambda\vec{x} \quad \text{then} \quad (A - \lambda I)\vec{x} = \vec{0}.$$

Therefore, we need to solve the equation $(A - \lambda I)\vec{x} = \vec{0}$ for \vec{x} when $\lambda = 5$.

$$\begin{aligned} A - 5I &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix} - 5 \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} -4 & 4 \\ 2 & -2 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

To solve $(A - 5I)\vec{x} = \vec{0}$, we form the augmented matrix and put it into reduced row echelon form:

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccc|c} -4 & 4 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & -2 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{\text{rref}} \left[\begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right].$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 &= x_2 \\ x_2 &\text{ is free} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} = x_2 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We have infinite solutions to the equation $A\vec{x} = 5\vec{x}$; any nonzero scalar multiple of the vector $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ is a solution. We can do a few examples to confirm this:

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} 10 \\ 10 \end{bmatrix} = 5 \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}; \\ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 7 \\ 7 \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} 35 \\ 35 \end{bmatrix} = 5 \begin{bmatrix} 7 \\ 7 \end{bmatrix}; \\ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -3 \\ -3 \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} -15 \\ -15 \end{bmatrix} = 5 \begin{bmatrix} -3 \\ -3 \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Our method of finding the eigenvalues of a matrix A boils down to determining which values of λ give the matrix $(A - \lambda I)$ a determinant of 0. In computing

$\det(A - \lambda I)$, we get a polynomial in λ whose roots are the eigenvalues of A . This polynomial is important and so it gets its own name.

Definition 64 Characteristic Polynomial

Let A be an $n \times n$ matrix. The *characteristic polynomial* of A is the n^{th} degree polynomial $p(\lambda) = \det(A - \lambda I)$.

Our definition just states *what* the characteristic polynomial is. We know from our work so far *why* we care: the roots of the characteristic polynomial of an $n \times n$ matrix A are the eigenvalues of A .

In Examples 141 and 142, we found eigenvalues and eigenvectors, respectively, of a given matrix. That is, given a matrix A , we found values λ and vectors \vec{x} such that $A\vec{x} = \lambda\vec{x}$. The steps that follow outline the general procedure for finding eigenvalues and eigenvectors; we'll follow this up with some examples.

Key Idea 26 Finding Eigenvalues and Eigenvectors

Let A be an $n \times n$ matrix.

1. To find the eigenvalues of A , compute $p(\lambda)$, the characteristic polynomial of A , set it equal to 0, then solve for λ .
2. To find the eigenvectors of A , for each eigenvalue solve the homogeneous system $(A - \lambda I)\vec{x} = \vec{0}$.

Example 143 Computing eigenvalues and eigenvectors

Find the eigenvalues of A , and for each eigenvalue, find an eigenvector where

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} -3 & 15 \\ 3 & 9 \end{bmatrix}.$$

SOLUTION To find the eigenvalues, we must compute $\det(A - \lambda I)$ and set it equal to 0.

$$\begin{aligned} \det(A - \lambda I) &= \begin{vmatrix} -3 - \lambda & 15 \\ 3 & 9 - \lambda \end{vmatrix} \\ &= (-3 - \lambda)(9 - \lambda) - 45 \\ &= \lambda^2 - 6\lambda - 27 - 45 \\ &= \lambda^2 - 6\lambda - 72 \\ &= (\lambda - 12)(\lambda + 6) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\det(A - \lambda I) = 0$ when $\lambda = -6$ and 12; these are our eigenvalues. (We should note that $p(\lambda) = \lambda^2 - 6\lambda - 72$ is our characteristic polynomial.) It sometimes helps to give them “names,” so we’ll say $\lambda_1 = -6$ and $\lambda_2 = 12$. Now we find eigenvectors.

For $\lambda_1 = -6$:

We need to solve the equation $(A - (-6)I)\vec{x} = \vec{0}$. To do this, we form the appropriate augmented matrix and put it into reduced row echelon form.

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccc} 3 & 15 & 0 \\ 3 & 15 & 0 \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{\text{rref}} \left[\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 5 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right].$$

Our solution is

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 &= -5x_2 \\ x_2 &\text{ is free;} \end{aligned}$$

in vector form, we have

$$\vec{x} = x_2 \begin{bmatrix} -5 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We may pick any nonzero value for x_2 to get an eigenvector; a simple option is $x_2 = 1$. Thus we have the eigenvector

$$\vec{x}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -5 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

(We used the notation \vec{x}_1 to associate this eigenvector with the eigenvalue λ_1 .)

We now repeat this process to find an eigenvector for $\lambda_2 = 12$:
In solving $(A - 12I)\vec{x} = \vec{0}$, we find

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccc} -15 & 15 & 0 \\ 3 & -3 & 0 \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{\text{rref}} \left[\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right].$$

In vector form, we have

$$\vec{x} = x_2 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Again, we may pick any nonzero value for x_2 , and so we choose $x_2 = 1$. Thus an eigenvector for λ_2 is

$$\vec{x}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

To summarize, we have:

$$\text{eigenvalue } \lambda_1 = -6 \text{ with eigenvector } \vec{x}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -5 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$\text{eigenvalue } \lambda_2 = 12 \text{ with eigenvector } \vec{x}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We should take a moment and check our work: is it true that $A\vec{x}_1 = \lambda_1\vec{x}_1$?

$$\begin{aligned} A\vec{x}_1 &= \begin{bmatrix} -3 & 15 \\ 3 & 9 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -5 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 30 \\ -6 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= (-6) \begin{bmatrix} -5 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \lambda_1\vec{x}_1. \end{aligned}$$

Yes; it appears we have truly found an eigenvalue/eigenvector pair for the matrix A .

Let's do another example.

Example 144 Computing eigenvalues and eigenvectors

Let $A = \begin{bmatrix} -3 & 0 \\ 5 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$. Find the eigenvalues of A and an eigenvector for each eigenvalue.

SOLUTION We first compute the characteristic polynomial, set it equal to 0, then solve for λ .

$$\det(A - \lambda I) = \begin{vmatrix} -3 - \lambda & 0 \\ 5 & 1 - \lambda \end{vmatrix} = (-3 - \lambda)(1 - \lambda)$$

From this, we see that $\det(A - \lambda I) = 0$ when $\lambda = -3, 1$. We'll set $\lambda_1 = -3$ and $\lambda_2 = 1$.

Finding an eigenvector for λ_1 :

We solve $(A - (-3)I)\vec{x} = \vec{0}$ for \vec{x} by row reducing the appropriate matrix:

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccc} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 5 & 4 & 0 \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{\text{rref}} \left[\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 5/4 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right].$$

Our solution, in vector form, is

$$\vec{x} = x_2 \begin{bmatrix} -5/4 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Again, we can pick any nonzero value for x_2 ; a nice choice would eliminate the fraction. Therefore we pick $x_2 = 4$, and find

$$\vec{x}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -5 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Finding an eigenvector for λ_2 :

We solve $(A - (1)I)\vec{x} = \vec{0}$ for \vec{x} by row reducing the appropriate matrix:

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccc} -4 & 0 & 0 \\ 5 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{\text{rref}} \left[\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right].$$

We've seen a matrix like this before, but we may need a bit of a refreshing. (See page 151. Our future need of knowing how to handle this situation is foretold in note 43.) Our first row tells us that $x_1 = 0$, and we see that no rows/equations involve x_2 . We conclude that x_2 is free. Therefore, our solution, in vector form, is

$$\vec{x} = x_2 \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We pick $x_2 = 1$, and find

$$\vec{x}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

To summarize, we have:

$$\text{eigenvalue } \lambda_1 = -3 \text{ with eigenvector } \vec{x}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -5 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$\text{eigenvalue } \lambda_2 = 1 \text{ with eigenvector } \vec{x}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

So far, our examples have involved 2×2 matrices. Let's do an example with a 3×3 matrix.

Example 145 Eigenvalues and eigenvectors for a 3×3 matrix
Find the eigenvalues of A , and for each eigenvalue, give one eigenvector, where

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} -7 & -2 & 10 \\ -3 & 2 & 3 \\ -6 & -2 & 9 \end{bmatrix}.$$

SOLUTION We first compute the characteristic polynomial, set it equal to 0, then solve for λ . A warning: this process is rather long. We'll use cofactor expansion along the first row; don't get bogged down with the arithmetic that comes from each step; just try to get the basic idea of what was done from step to step.

$$\begin{aligned} \det(A - \lambda I) &= \begin{vmatrix} -7 - \lambda & -2 & 10 \\ -3 & 2 - \lambda & 3 \\ -6 & -2 & 9 - \lambda \end{vmatrix} \\ &= (-7 - \lambda) \begin{vmatrix} 2 - \lambda & 3 \\ -2 & 9 - \lambda \end{vmatrix} - (-2) \begin{vmatrix} -3 & 3 \\ -6 & 9 - \lambda \end{vmatrix} + 10 \begin{vmatrix} -3 & 2 - \lambda \\ -6 & -2 \end{vmatrix} \\ &= (-7 - \lambda)(\lambda^2 - 11\lambda + 24) + 2(3\lambda - 9) + 10(-6\lambda + 18) \\ &= -\lambda^3 + 4\lambda^2 - \lambda - 6 \\ &= -(\lambda + 1)(\lambda - 2)(\lambda - 3) \end{aligned}$$

In the last step we factored the characteristic polynomial $-\lambda^3 + 4\lambda^2 - \lambda - 6$. Factoring polynomials of degree > 2 is not trivial; we'll assume the reader has access to methods for doing this accurately.

Our eigenvalues are $\lambda_1 = -1$, $\lambda_2 = 2$ and $\lambda_3 = 3$. We now find corresponding eigenvectors.

For $\lambda_1 = -1$:

We need to solve the equation $(A - (-1)I)\vec{x} = \vec{0}$. To do this, we form the appropriate augmented matrix and put it into reduced row echelon form.

$$\left[\begin{array}{cccc} -6 & -2 & 10 & 0 \\ -3 & 3 & 3 & 0 \\ -6 & -2 & 10 & 0 \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{\text{rref}} \left[\begin{array}{cccc} 1 & 0 & -1.5 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -.5 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right]$$

Our solution, in vector form, is

$$\vec{x} = x_3 \begin{bmatrix} 3/2 \\ 1/2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We can pick any nonzero value for x_3 ; a nice choice would get rid of the fractions. So we'll set $x_3 = 2$ and choose $\vec{x}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$ as our eigenvector.

For $\lambda_2 = 2$:

We need to solve the equation $(A - 2I)\vec{x} = \vec{0}$. To do this, we form the appropriate augmented matrix and put it into reduced row echelon form.

$$\left[\begin{array}{cccc} -9 & -2 & 10 & 0 \\ -3 & 0 & 3 & 0 \\ -6 & -2 & 7 & 0 \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{\text{rref}} \left[\begin{array}{cccc} 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -0.5 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right]$$

Our solution, in vector form, is

$$\vec{x} = x_3 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1/2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We can pick any nonzero value for x_3 ; again, a nice choice would get rid of the fractions. So we'll set $x_3 = 2$ and choose $\vec{x}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$ as our eigenvector.

For $\lambda_3 = 3$:

We need to solve the equation $(A - 3I)\vec{x} = \vec{0}$. To do this, we form the appropriate augmented matrix and put it into reduced row echelon form.

$$\left[\begin{array}{cccc} -10 & -2 & 10 & 0 \\ -3 & -1 & 3 & 0 \\ -6 & -2 & 6 & 0 \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{\text{rref}} \left[\begin{array}{cccc} 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right]$$

Our solution, in vector form, is (note that $x_2 = 0$):

$$\vec{x} = x_3 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We can pick any nonzero value for x_3 ; an easy choice is $x_3 = 1$, so $\vec{x}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$

as our eigenvector.

To summarize, we have the following eigenvalue/eigenvector pairs:

eigenvalue $\lambda_1 = -1$ with eigenvector $\vec{x}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$

eigenvalue $\lambda_2 = 2$ with eigenvector $\vec{x}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$

eigenvalue $\lambda_3 = 3$ with eigenvector $\vec{x}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$

Let's practice once more.

Example 146 Computing eigenvalues and eigenvectors

Find the eigenvalues of A , and for each eigenvalue, give one eigenvector, where

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 6 \\ 0 & 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix}.$$

SOLUTION We first compute the characteristic polynomial, set it equal to 0, then solve for λ . We'll use cofactor expansion down the first column (since it has lots of zeros).

$$\begin{aligned}\det(A - \lambda I) &= \begin{vmatrix} 2 - \lambda & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 - \lambda & 6 \\ 0 & 3 & 4 - \lambda \end{vmatrix} \\ &= (2 - \lambda) \begin{vmatrix} 1 - \lambda & 6 \\ 3 & 4 - \lambda \end{vmatrix} \\ &= (2 - \lambda)(\lambda^2 - 5\lambda - 14) \\ &= (2 - \lambda)(\lambda - 7)(\lambda + 2)\end{aligned}$$

Notice that while the characteristic polynomial is cubic, we never actually saw a cubic; we never distributed the $(2 - \lambda)$ across the quadratic. Instead, we realized that this was a factor of the cubic, and just factored the remaining quadratic. (This makes this example quite a bit simpler than the previous example.)

Our eigenvalues are $\lambda_1 = -2$, $\lambda_2 = 2$ and $\lambda_3 = 7$. We now find corresponding eigenvectors.

For $\lambda_1 = -2$:

We need to solve the equation $(A - (-2)I)\vec{x} = \vec{0}$. To do this, we form the appropriate augmented matrix and put it into reduced row echelon form.

$$\left[\begin{array}{cccc} 4 & -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 6 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 6 & 0 \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{\text{rref}} \left[\begin{array}{cccc} 1 & 0 & 3/4 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right]$$

Our solution, in vector form, is

$$\vec{x} = x_3 \begin{bmatrix} -3/4 \\ -2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We can pick any nonzero value for x_3 ; a nice choice would get rid of the fractions. So we'll set $x_3 = 4$ and choose $\vec{x}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -3 \\ -8 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}$ as our eigenvector.

For $\lambda_2 = 2$:

We need to solve the equation $(A - 2I)\vec{x} = \vec{0}$. To do this, we form the appropriate augmented matrix and put it into reduced row echelon form.

$$\left[\begin{array}{cccc} 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 6 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 2 & 0 \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{\text{rref}} \left[\begin{array}{cccc} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right]$$

This looks funny, so we'll look remind ourselves how to solve this. The first two rows tell us that $x_2 = 0$ and $x_3 = 0$, respectively. Notice that no row/equation uses x_1 ; we conclude that it is free. Therefore, our solution in vector form is

$$\vec{x} = x_1 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We can pick any nonzero value for x_1 ; an easy choice is $x_1 = 1$ and choose $\vec{x}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ as our eigenvector.

For $\lambda_3 = 7$:

We need to solve the equation $(A - 7I)\vec{x} = \vec{0}$. To do this, we form the appropriate augmented matrix and put it into reduced row echelon form.

$$\left[\begin{array}{cccc} -5 & -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -6 & 6 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & -3 & 0 \end{array} \right] \xrightarrow{\text{rref}} \left[\begin{array}{cccc} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right]$$

Our solution, in vector form, is (note that $x_1 = 0$):

$$\vec{x} = x_3 \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We can pick any nonzero value for x_3 ; an easy choice is $x_3 = 1$, so $\vec{x}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ as our eigenvector.

To summarize, we have the following eigenvalue/eigenvector pairs:

eigenvalue $\lambda_1 = -2$ with eigenvector $\vec{x}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -3 \\ -8 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}$

eigenvalue $\lambda_2 = 2$ with eigenvector $\vec{x}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$

eigenvalue $\lambda_3 = 7$ with eigenvector $\vec{x}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$

In this section we have learned about a new concept: given a matrix A we can find certain values λ and vectors \vec{x} where $A\vec{x} = \lambda\vec{x}$. In the next section we will continue to the pattern we have established in this text: after learning a new concept, we see how it interacts with other concepts we know about. That is, we'll look for connections between eigenvalues and eigenvectors and things like the inverse, determinants, the trace, the transpose, etc.

Exercises 6.1

Problems

In Exercises 1 – 6, a matrix A and one of its eigenvectors are given. Find the eigenvalue of A for the given eigenvector.

$$1. A = \begin{bmatrix} 9 & 8 \\ -6 & -5 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} -4 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$2. A = \begin{bmatrix} 19 & -6 \\ 48 & -15 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$3. A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 \\ -2 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$4. A = \begin{bmatrix} -11 & -19 & 14 \\ -6 & -8 & 6 \\ -12 & -22 & 15 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$5. A = \begin{bmatrix} -7 & 1 & 3 \\ 10 & 2 & -3 \\ -20 & -14 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -2 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$6. A = \begin{bmatrix} -12 & -10 & 0 \\ 15 & 13 & 0 \\ 15 & 18 & -5 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

In Exercises 7 – 11, a matrix A and one of its eigenvalues are given. Find an eigenvector of A for the given eigenvalue.

$$7. A = \begin{bmatrix} 16 & 6 \\ -18 & -5 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\lambda = 4$$

$$8. A = \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 6 \\ -9 & 13 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\lambda = 7$$

$$9. A = \begin{bmatrix} -16 & -28 & -19 \\ 42 & 69 & 46 \\ -42 & -72 & -49 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\lambda = 5$$

$$10. A = \begin{bmatrix} 7 & -5 & -10 \\ 6 & 2 & -6 \\ 2 & -5 & -5 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\lambda = -3$$

$$11. A = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 5 & -3 \\ -7 & -8 & 3 \\ 1 & -5 & 8 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\lambda = 2$$

In Exercises 12 – 28, find the eigenvalues of the given matrix. For each eigenvalue, give an eigenvector.

$$12. \begin{bmatrix} -1 & -4 \\ -3 & -2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$13. \begin{bmatrix} -4 & 72 \\ -1 & 13 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$14. \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -12 \\ 2 & -8 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$15. \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 12 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$16. \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 9 \\ -1 & -5 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$17. \begin{bmatrix} 3 & -1 \\ -1 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$18. \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 25 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$19. \begin{bmatrix} -3 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$20. \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 & -3 \\ 0 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$21. \begin{bmatrix} 5 & -2 & 3 \\ 0 & 4 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$22. \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 12 \\ 2 & -5 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$23. \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -18 \\ -4 & 3 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & -8 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$24. \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 18 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 5 & -3 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$25. \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 5 & -2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$26. \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 3 & 6 \\ 0 & 0 & 7 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$27. \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 5 & -5 \\ -2 & 3 & 2 \\ -2 & 5 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$28. \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

6.2 Properties of Eigenvalues and Eigenvectors

AS YOU READ . . .

1. T/F: A and A^T have the same eigenvectors.
2. T/F: A and A^{-1} have the same eigenvalues.
3. T/F: Marie Ennemond Camille Jordan was a guy.
4. T/F: Matrices with a trace of 0 are important, although we haven't seen why.
5. T/F: A matrix A is invertible only if 1 is an eigenvalue of A .

In this section we'll explore how the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of a matrix relate to other properties of that matrix. This section is essentially a hodgepodge of interesting facts about eigenvalues; the goal here is not to memorize various facts about matrix algebra, but to again be amazed at the many connections between mathematical concepts.

We'll begin our investigations with an example that will give a foundation for other discoveries.

Example 147 Eigenvalues of a triangular matrix

Let $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 0 & 4 & 5 \\ 0 & 0 & 6 \end{bmatrix}$. Find the eigenvalues of A .

SOLUTION To find the eigenvalues, we compute $\det(A - \lambda I)$:

$$\begin{aligned}\det(A - \lambda I) &= \begin{vmatrix} 1 - \lambda & 2 & 3 \\ 0 & 4 - \lambda & 5 \\ 0 & 0 & 6 - \lambda \end{vmatrix} \\ &= (1 - \lambda)(4 - \lambda)(6 - \lambda)\end{aligned}$$

Since our matrix is triangular, the determinant is easy to compute; it is just the product of the diagonal elements. Therefore, we found (and factored) our characteristic polynomial very easily, and we see that we have eigenvalues of $\lambda = 1, 4$, and 6 .

This examples demonstrates a wonderful fact for us: the eigenvalues of a triangular matrix are simply the entries on the diagonal. Finding the corresponding eigenvectors still takes some work, but finding the eigenvalues is easy.

With that fact in the backs of our minds, let us proceed to the next example where we will come across some more interesting facts about eigenvalues and eigenvectors.

Example 148 Exploring properties of eigenvalues

Let $A = \begin{bmatrix} -3 & 15 \\ 3 & 9 \end{bmatrix}$ and let $B = \begin{bmatrix} -7 & -2 & 10 \\ -3 & 2 & 3 \\ -6 & -2 & 9 \end{bmatrix}$ (as used in Examples 143 and 145, respectively). Find the following:

1. eigenvalues and eigenvectors of A and B
2. eigenvalues and eigenvectors of A^{-1} and B^{-1}
3. eigenvalues and eigenvectors of A^T and B^T
4. The trace of A and B
5. The determinant of A and B

SOLUTION We'll answer each in turn.

1. We already know the answer to these for we did this work in previous examples. Therefore we just list the answers.

For A , we have eigenvalues $\lambda = -6$ and 12 , with eigenvectors

$$\vec{x} = x_2 \begin{bmatrix} -5 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } x_2 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \text{ respectively.}$$

For B , we have eigenvalues $\lambda = -1$, 2 , and 3 with eigenvectors

$$\vec{x} = x_3 \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}, x_3 \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } x_3 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \text{ respectively.}$$

2. We first compute the inverses of A and B . They are:

$$A^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} -1/8 & 5/24 \\ 1/24 & 1/24 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad B^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} -4 & 1/3 & 13/3 \\ -3/2 & 1/2 & 3/2 \\ -3 & 1/3 & 10/3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Finding the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of these matrices is not terribly hard, but it is not "easy," either. Therefore, we omit showing the intermediate steps and go right to the conclusions.

For A^{-1} , we have eigenvalues $\lambda = -1/6$ and $1/12$, with eigenvectors

$$\vec{x} = x_2 \begin{bmatrix} -5 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } x_2 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \text{ respectively.}$$

For B^{-1} , we have eigenvalues $\lambda = -1$, $1/2$ and $1/3$ with eigenvectors

$$\vec{x} = x_3 \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}, x_3 \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } x_3 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \text{ respectively.}$$

3. Of course, computing the transpose of A and B is easy; computing their eigenvalues and eigenvectors takes more work. Again, we omit the intermediate steps.

For A^T , we have eigenvalues $\lambda = -6$ and 12 with eigenvectors

$$\vec{x} = x_2 \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } x_2 \begin{bmatrix} 5 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \text{ respectively.}$$

For B^T , we have eigenvalues $\lambda = -1, 2$ and 3 with eigenvectors

$$\vec{x} = x_3 \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, x_3 \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } x_3 \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \text{ respectively.}$$

- 4. The trace of A is 6 ; the trace of B is 4 .
- 5. The determinant of A is -72 ; the determinant of B is -6 .

Now that we have completed the “grunt work,” let’s analyze the results of the previous example. We are looking for any patterns or relationships that we can find.

The eigenvalues and eigenvectors of A and A^{-1} .

In our example, we found that the eigenvalues of A are -6 and 12 ; the eigenvalues of A^{-1} are $-1/6$ and $1/12$. Also, the eigenvalues of B are $-1, 2$ and 3 , whereas the eigenvalues of B^{-1} are $-1, 1/2$ and $1/3$. There is an obvious relationship here; it seems that if λ is an eigenvalue of A , then $1/\lambda$ will be an eigenvalue of A^{-1} . We can also note that the corresponding eigenvectors matched, too.

Why is this the case? Consider an invertible matrix A with eigenvalue λ and eigenvector \vec{x} . Then, by definition, we know that $A\vec{x} = \lambda\vec{x}$. Now multiply both sides by A^{-1} :

$$\begin{aligned} A\vec{x} &= \lambda\vec{x} \\ A^{-1}A\vec{x} &= A^{-1}\lambda\vec{x} \\ \vec{x} &= \lambda A^{-1}\vec{x} \\ \frac{1}{\lambda}\vec{x} &= A^{-1}\vec{x} \end{aligned}$$

We have just shown that $A^{-1}\vec{x} = 1/\lambda\vec{x}$; this, by definition, shows that \vec{x} is an eigenvector of A^{-1} with eigenvalue $1/\lambda$. This explains the result we saw above.

The eigenvalues and eigenvectors of A and A^T .

Our example showed that A and A^T had the same eigenvalues but different (but somehow similar) eigenvectors; it also showed that B and B^T had the same eigenvalues but unrelated eigenvectors. Why is this?

We can answer the eigenvalue question relatively easily; it follows from the properties of the determinant and the transpose. Recall the following two facts:

1. $(A + B)^T = A^T + B^T$ (Theorem 28) and
2. $\det(A) = \det(A^T)$ (Theorem 33).

We find the eigenvalues of a matrix by computing the characteristic polynomial; that is, we find $\det(A - \lambda I)$. What is the characteristic polynomial of A^T ? Consider:

$$\begin{aligned}\det(A^T - \lambda I) &= \det(A^T - \lambda I^T) && \text{since } I = I^T \\ &= \det((A - \lambda I)^T) && \text{Theorem 28} \\ &= \det(A - \lambda I) && \text{Theorem 33}\end{aligned}$$

So we see that the characteristic polynomial of A^T is the same as that for A . Therefore they have the same eigenvalues.

What about their respective eigenvectors? Is there any relationship? The simple answer is “No.”

The eigenvalues and eigenvectors of A and The Trace.

Note that the eigenvalues of A are -6 and 12 , and the trace is 6 ; the eigenvalues of B are -1 , 2 and 3 , and the trace of B is 4 . Do we notice any relationship?

It seems that the sum of the eigenvalues is the trace! Why is this the case?

The answer to this is a bit out of the scope of this text; we can justify part of this fact, and another part we’ll just state as being true without justification.

First, recall from Theorem 30 that $\text{tr}(AB) = \text{tr}(BA)$. Secondly, we state without justification that given a square matrix A , we can find a square matrix P such that $P^{-1}AP$ is an upper triangular matrix with the eigenvalues of A on the diagonal.

Thus $\text{tr}(P^{-1}AP)$ is the sum of the eigenvalues; also, using our Theorem 30, we know that $\text{tr}(P^{-1}AP) = \text{tr}(P^{-1}PA) = \text{tr}(A)$. Thus the trace of A is the sum of the eigenvalues.

Who in the world thinks up this stuff?
It seems that the answer is Marie Ennemond Camille Jordan, who, despite having at least two girl names, was a guy.

The eigenvalues and eigenvectors of A and The Determinant.

Again, the eigenvalues of A are -6 and 12 , and the determinant of A is -72 . The eigenvalues of B are -1 , 2 and 3 ; the determinant of B is -6 . It seems as though the product of the eigenvalues is the determinant.

This is indeed true; we defend this with our argument from above. We know that the determinant of a triangular matrix is the product of the diagonal elements. Therefore, given a matrix A , we can find P such that $P^{-1}AP$ is upper triangular with the eigenvalues of A on the diagonal. Thus $\det(P^{-1}AP)$ is the product of the eigenvalues. Using Theorem 33, we know that $\det(P^{-1}AP) = \det(P^{-1}PA) = \det(A)$. Thus the determinant of A is the product of the eigenvalues.

We summarize the results of our example with the following theorem.

Theorem 36 Properties of Eigenvalues and Eigenvectors

Let A be an $n \times n$ invertible matrix. The following are true:

1. If A is triangular, then the diagonal elements of A are the eigenvalues of A .
2. If λ is an eigenvalue of A with eigenvector \vec{x} , then $\frac{1}{\lambda}$ is an eigenvalue of A^{-1} with eigenvector \vec{x} .
3. If λ is an eigenvalue of A then λ is an eigenvalue of A^T .
4. The sum of the eigenvalues of A is equal to $\text{tr}(A)$, the trace of A .
5. The product of the eigenvalues of A is equal to $\det(A)$, the determinant of A .

There is one more concept concerning eigenvalues and eigenvectors that we will explore. We do so in the context of an example.

Example 149 Eigenvalues of a non-invertible matrix

Find the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the matrix $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$.

SOLUTION

To find the eigenvalues, we compute $\det(A - \lambda I)$:

$$\begin{aligned}\det(A - \lambda I) &= \begin{vmatrix} 1 - \lambda & 2 \\ 1 & 2 - \lambda \end{vmatrix} \\ &= (1 - \lambda)(2 - \lambda) - 2 \\ &= \lambda^2 - 3\lambda \\ &= \lambda(\lambda - 3)\end{aligned}$$

Our eigenvalues are therefore $\lambda = 0, 3$.

For $\lambda = 0$, we find the eigenvectors:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\text{rref}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

This shows that $x_1 = -2x_2$, and so our eigenvectors \vec{x} are

$$\vec{x} = x_2 \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

For $\lambda = 3$, we find the eigenvectors:

$$\begin{bmatrix} -2 & 2 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\text{rref}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

This shows that $x_1 = x_2$, and so our eigenvectors \vec{x} are

$$\vec{x} = x_2 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

One interesting thing about the above example is that we see that 0 is an eigenvalue of A ; we have not officially encountered this before. Does this mean anything significant?

Think about what an eigenvalue of 0 means: there exists an nonzero vector \vec{x} where $A\vec{x} = 0\vec{x} = \vec{0}$. That is, we have a nontrivial solution to $A\vec{x} = \vec{0}$. We know this only happens when A is not invertible.

So if A is invertible, there is no nontrivial solution to $A\vec{x} = \vec{0}$, and hence 0 is not an eigenvalue of A . If A is not invertible, then there is a nontrivial solution to $A\vec{x} = \vec{0}$, and hence 0 is an eigenvalue of A . This leads us to our final addition to the Invertible Matrix Theorem.

Theorem 37 Invertible Matrix Theorem

Let A be an $n \times n$ matrix. The following statements are equivalent.

- (a) A is invertible.
- (h) A does not have an eigenvalue of 0.

This section is about the properties of eigenvalues and eigenvectors. Of course, we have not investigated all of the numerous properties of eigenvalues and eigenvectors; we have just surveyed some of the most common (and most important) concepts. Here are four quick examples of the many things that still exist to be explored.

First, recall the matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

that we used in Example 141. Its characteristic polynomial is $p(\lambda) = \lambda^2 - 4\lambda - 5$. Compute $p(A)$; that is, compute $A^2 - 4A - 5I$. You should get something “interesting,” and you should wonder “does this always work?” (Yes.)

Second, in all of our examples, we have considered matrices where eigenvalues “appeared only once.” Since we know that the eigenvalues of a triangular matrix appear on the diagonal, we know that the eigenvalues of

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

are “1 and 1;” that is, the eigenvalue $\lambda = 1$ appears twice. What does that mean when we consider the eigenvectors of $\lambda = 1$? Compare the result of this to the matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

which also has the eigenvalue $\lambda = 1$ appearing twice.

Third, consider the matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

What are the eigenvalues? (Be careful; this matrix is *not* triangular.) We quickly compute the characteristic polynomial to be $p(\lambda) = \lambda^2 + 1$. Therefore the eigenvalues are $\pm\sqrt{-1} = \pm i$. What does this mean?

Finally, we have found the eigenvalues of matrices by finding the roots of the characteristic polynomial. We have limited our examples to quadratic and cubic polynomials; one would expect for larger sized matrices that a computer would be used to factor the characteristic polynomials. However, in general, this is *not* how the eigenvalues are found. Factoring high order polynomials is too unreliable, even with a computer – round off errors can cause unpredictable results.

To direct further study, it helps to know that mathematicians refer to this as the *multiplicity* of an eigenvalue. In each of these two examples, A has the eigenvalue $\lambda = 1$ with multiplicity of 2.

Also, to even compute the characteristic polynomial, one needs to compute the determinant, which is also expensive (as discussed in the previous chapter).

So how are eigenvalues found? There are *iterative* processes that can progressively transform a matrix A into another matrix that is *almost* an upper triangular matrix (the entries below the diagonal are almost zero) where the entries on the diagonal are the eigenvalues. The more iterations one performs, the better the approximation is.

These methods are so fast and reliable that some computer programs convert polynomial root finding problems into eigenvalue problems!

Most textbooks on Linear Algebra will provide direction on exploring the above topics and give further insight to what is going on. We have mentioned all the eigenvalue and eigenvector properties in this section for the same reasons we gave in the previous section. First, knowing these properties helps us solve numerous real world problems, and second, it is fascinating to see how rich and deep the theory of matrices is.

Exercises 6.2

Problems

In Exercises 1 – 6, a matrix A is given. For each,

- (a) Find the eigenvalues of A , and for each eigenvalue, find an eigenvector.
- (b) Do the same for A^T .
- (c) Do the same for A^{-1} .
- (d) Find $\text{tr}(A)$.
- (e) Find $\det(A)$.

Use Theorem 36 to verify your results.

$$1. \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 4 \\ -1 & 5 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$2. \begin{bmatrix} -2 & -14 \\ -1 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$3. \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 30 \\ -1 & -6 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$4. \begin{bmatrix} -4 & 72 \\ -1 & 13 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$5. \begin{bmatrix} 5 & -9 & 0 \\ 1 & -5 & 0 \\ 2 & 4 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$6. \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 25 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & -3 \end{bmatrix}$$

7: GRAPHICAL EXPLORATIONS OF VECTORS

We already looked at the basics of graphing vectors. In this chapter, we'll explore these ideas more fully. One often gains a better understanding of a concept by "seeing" it. For instance, one can study the function $f(x) = x^2$ and describe many properties of how the output relates to the input without producing a graph, but the graph can quickly bring meaning and insight to equations and formulae. Not only that, but the study of graphs of functions is in itself a wonderful mathematical world, worthy of exploration.

We've studied the graphing of vectors; in this chapter we'll take this a step further and study some fantastic graphical properties of vectors and matrix arithmetic. We mentioned earlier that these concepts form the basis of computer graphics; in this chapter, we'll see even better how that is true.

7.1 Transformations of the Cartesian Plane

AS YOU READ ...

1. To understand how the Cartesian plane is affected by multiplication by a matrix, it helps to study how what is affected?
2. Transforming the Cartesian plane through matrix multiplication transforms straight lines into what kind of lines?
3. T/F: If one draws a picture of a sheep on the Cartesian plane, then transformed the plane using the matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

one could say that the sheep was "sheared."

We studied in Section 4.3 how to visualize vectors and how certain matrix arithmetic operations can be graphically represented. We limited our visual understanding of matrix multiplication to graphing a vector, multiplying it by a matrix, then graphing the resulting vector. In this section we'll explore these multiplication ideas in greater depth. Instead of multiplying individual vectors by a matrix A , we'll study what happens when we multiply *every* vector in the Cartesian plane by A . (No, we won't do them one by one.)

Because of the Distributive Property, demonstrated way back in Example 99, we can say that the Cartesian plane will be *transformed* in a very nice, predictable way. Straight lines will be transformed into other straight lines (and they won't become curvy, or jagged, or broken). Curved lines will be transformed into other curved lines (perhaps the curve will become "straight," but it won't become jagged or broken).

One way of studying how the whole Cartesian plane is affected by multiplication by a matrix A is to study how the *unit square* is affected. The unit square is the square with corners at the points $(0, 0)$, $(1, 0)$, $(1, 1)$, and $(0, 1)$. Each corner can be represented by the vector that points to it; multiply each of these vectors by A and we can get an idea of how A affects the whole Cartesian plane.

Let's try an example.

Example 150 Visualizing a matrix transformation using vectors

Plot the vectors of the unit square before and after they have been multiplied by A , where

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

SOLUTION
the vectors

The four corners of the unit square can be represented by

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Multiplying each by A gives the vectors

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} 5 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix},$$

respectively.

(Hint: one way of using your calculator to do this for you quickly is to make a 2×4 matrix whose columns are each of these vectors. In this case, create a matrix

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then multiply B by A and read off the transformed vectors from the respective columns:

$$AB = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 5 & 4 \\ 0 & 2 & 5 & 3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

This saves time, especially if you do a similar procedure for multiple matrices A . Of course, we can save more time by skipping the first column; since it is the column of zeros, it will stay the column of zeros after multiplication by A .)

The unit square and its transformation are graphed in Figure 7.1, where the shaped vertices correspond to each other across the two graphs. Note how the square got turned into some sort of quadrilateral (it's actually a parallelogram). A really interesting thing is how the triangular and square vertices seem to have changed places – it is as though the square, in addition to being stretched out of shape, was flipped.




Figure 7.1: Transforming the unit square by matrix multiplication in Example 150.




Figure 7.2: Emphasizing straight lines going to straight lines in Example 150.

To stress how “straight lines get transformed to straight lines,” consider Figure 7.2. Here, the unit square has some additional points drawn on it which correspond to the shaded dots on the transformed parallelogram. Note how relative distances are also preserved; the dot halfway between the black and square dots is transformed to a position along the line, halfway between the black and square dots.

Much more can be said about this example. Before we delve into this, though, let’s try one more example.

Example 151 Visualizing a matrix transformation using a region

Plot the transformed unit square after it has been transformed by A , where

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

SOLUTION We’ll put the vectors that correspond to each corner in a matrix B as before and then multiply it on the left by A . Doing so gives:

$$\begin{aligned} AB &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

In Figure 7.3 the unit square is again drawn along with its transformation by A .




Figure 7.3: Transforming the unit square by matrix multiplication in Example 151.

Make note of how the square moved. It did not simply “slide” to the left; (mathematically, that is called a *translation*) nor did it “flip” across the y axis. Rather, it was *rotated* counterclockwise about the origin 90° . In a rotation, the

shape of an object does not change; in our example, the square remained a square of the same size.

We have broached the topic of how the Cartesian plane can be transformed via multiplication by a 2×2 matrix A . We have seen two examples so far, and our intuition as to how the plane is changed has been informed only by seeing how the unit square changes. Let's explore this further by investigating two questions:

1. Suppose we want to transform the Cartesian plane in a known way (for instance, we may want to rotate the plane counterclockwise 180°). How do we find the matrix (if one even exists) which performs this transformation?
2. How does knowing how the unit square is transformed really help in understanding how the entire plane is transformed?

These questions are closely related, and as we answer one, we will help answer the other.

To get started with the first question, look back at Examples 150 and 151 and consider again how the unit square was transformed. In particular, is there any correlation between where the vertices ended up and the matrix A ?

If you are just reading on, and haven't actually gone back and looked at the examples, go back now and try to make some sort of connection. Otherwise – you may have noted some of the following things:

1. The zero vector ($\vec{0}$, the “black” corner) never moved. That makes sense, though; $A\vec{0} = \vec{0}$.
2. The “square” corner, i.e., the corner corresponding to the vector $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$, is always transformed to the vector in the first column of A !
3. Likewise, the “triangular” corner, i.e., the corner corresponding to the vector $\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$, is always transformed to the vector in the second column of A !¹
4. The “white dot” corner is always transformed to the sum of the two column vectors of A .²

Let's now take the time to understand these four points. The first point should be clear; $\vec{0}$ will always be transformed to $\vec{0}$ via matrix multiplication. (Hence the hint in the middle of Example 150, where we are told that we can ignore entering in the column of zeros in the matrix B .)

We can understand the second and third points simultaneously. Let

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}, \quad \vec{e}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \vec{e}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

What are $A\vec{e}_1$ and $A\vec{e}_2$?

$$\begin{aligned} A\vec{e}_1 &= \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} a \\ c \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

¹Although this is less of a surprise, given the result of the previous point.

²This observation is a bit more obscure than the first three. It follows from the fact that this corner of the unit square is the “sum” of the other two nonzero corners.

$$\begin{aligned} A\vec{e}_2 &= \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} b \\ d \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

So by mere mechanics of matrix multiplication, the square corner \vec{e}_1 is transformed to the first column of A , and the triangular corner \vec{e}_2 is transformed to the second column of A . A similar argument demonstrates why the white dot corner is transformed to the sum of the columns of A .³

Revisit now the question “How do we find the matrix that performs a given transformation on the Cartesian plane?” The answer follows from what we just did. Think about the given transformation and how it would transform the corners of the unit square. Make the first column of A the vector where \vec{e}_1 goes, and make the second column of A the vector where \vec{e}_2 goes.

Let’s practice this in the context of an example.

Example 152 Determining a matrix transformation

Find the matrix A that flips the Cartesian plane about the x axis and then stretches the plane horizontally by a factor of two.

SOLUTION We first consider $\vec{e}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$. Where does this corner go to under the given transformation? Flipping the plane across the x axis does not change \vec{e}_1 at all; stretching the plane sends \vec{e}_1 to $\begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$. Therefore, the first column of A is $\begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$.

Now consider $\vec{e}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$. Flipping the plane about the x axis sends \vec{e}_2 to the vector $\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$; subsequently stretching the plane horizontally does not affect this vector. Therefore the second column of A is $\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$.

Putting this together gives

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

To help visualize this, consider Figure 7.4 where a shape is transformed under this matrix. Notice how it is turned upside down and is stretched horizontally by a factor of two. (The gridlines are given as a visual aid.)

³Another way of looking at all of this is to consider what $A \cdot I$ is: of course, it is just A . What are the columns of I ? Just \vec{e}_1 and \vec{e}_2 .




Figure 7.4: Transforming the Cartesian plane in Example 152

A while ago we asked two questions. The first was “How do we find the matrix that performs a given transformation?” We have just answered that question (although we will do more to explore it in the future). The second question was “How does knowing how the unit square is transformed really help us understand how the entire plane is transformed?”

Consider Figure 7.5 where the unit square (with vertices marked with shapes) is shown transformed under an unknown matrix. How does this help us understand how the whole Cartesian plane is transformed? For instance, how can we use this picture to figure out how the point $(2, 3)$ will be transformed?




Figure 7.5: The unit square under an unknown transformation.

There are two ways to consider the solution to this question. First, we know now how to compute the transformation matrix; the new position of \vec{e}_1 is the first column of A , and the new position of \vec{e}_2 is the second column of A . Therefore, by looking at the figure, we can deduce that

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}.^4$$

To find where the point $(2, 3)$ is sent, simply multiply

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 8 \end{bmatrix}.$$

⁴At least, A is close to that. The square corner could actually be at the point $(1.01, .99)$.

There is another way of doing this which isn't as computational – it doesn't involve computing the transformation matrix. Consider the following equalities:

$$\begin{aligned}\begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= 2 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + 3 \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= 2\vec{e}_1 + 3\vec{e}_2\end{aligned}$$

This last equality states something that is somewhat obvious: to arrive at the vector $\begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$, one needs to go 2 units in the \vec{e}_1 direction and 3 units in the \vec{e}_2 direction. To find where the point $(2, 3)$ is transformed, one needs to go 2 units in the *new* \vec{e}_1 direction and 3 units in the *new* \vec{e}_2 direction. This is demonstrated in Figure 7.6.




Figure 7.6: Finding the new location of the point $(2, 3)$.

We are coming to grips with how matrix transformations work. We asked two basic questions: “How do we find the matrix for a given transformation?” and “How do we understand the transformation without the matrix?”, and we've answered each accompanied by one example. Let's do another example that demonstrates both techniques at once.

Example 153 Determining and analyzing a matrix transformation

First, find the matrix A that transforms the Cartesian plane by stretching it vertically by a factor of 1.5, then stretches it horizontally by a factor of 0.5, then rotates it clockwise about the origin 90° . Secondly, using the new locations of \vec{e}_1 and \vec{e}_2 , find the transformed location of the point $(-1, 2)$.

SOLUTION To find A , first consider the new location of \vec{e}_1 . Stretching the plane vertically does not affect \vec{e}_1 ; stretching the plane horizontally by a factor of 0.5 changes \vec{e}_1 to $\begin{bmatrix} 1/2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$, and then rotating it 90° about the origin moves it

to $\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -1/2 \end{bmatrix}$. This is the first column of A .

Now consider the new location of \vec{e}_2 . Stretching the plane vertically changes it to $\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 3/2 \end{bmatrix}$; stretching horizontally does not affect it, and rotating 90° moves it to $\begin{bmatrix} 3/2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$. This is then the second column of A . This gives

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 3/2 \\ -1/2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Where does the point $(-1, 2)$ get sent to? The corresponding vector $\begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$ is found by going -1 units in the \vec{e}_1 direction and 2 units in the \vec{e}_2 direction. Therefore, the transformation will send the vector to -1 units in the new \vec{e}_1 direction and 2 units in the new \vec{e}_2 direction. This is sketched in Figure 7.7, along with the transformed unit square. We can also check this multiplicatively:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 3/2 \\ -1/2 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 1/2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Figure 7.8 shows the effects of the transformation on another shape.




Figure 7.7: Understanding the transformation in Example 153.




Figure 7.8: Transforming the Cartesian plane in Example 153

Right now we are focusing on transforming the Cartesian plane – we are making 2D transformations. Knowing how to do this provides a foundation for transforming 3D space,⁵ which, among other things, is very important when producing 3D computer graphics. Basic shapes can be drawn and then rotated, stretched, and/or moved to other regions of space. This also allows for things like “moving the camera view.”

What kinds of transformations are possible? We have already seen some of the things that are possible: rotations, stretches, and flips. We have also mentioned some things that are not possible. For instance, we stated that straight lines always get transformed to straight lines. Therefore, we cannot transform the unit square into a circle using a matrix.


Let’s look at some common transformations of the Cartesian plane and the matrices that perform these operations. In the following figures, a transformation matrix will be given alongside a picture of the transformed unit square. (The original unit square is drawn lightly as well to serve as a reference.)

2D Matrix Transformations

⁵Actually, it provides a foundation for doing it in 4D, 5D, . . . , 17D, etc. Those are just harder to visualize.


Horizontal stretch by a factor of k .

$$\begin{bmatrix} k & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$




Vertical stretch by a factor of k .

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & k \end{bmatrix}$$




Horizontal shear by a factor of k .

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & k \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$




Vertical shear by a factor of k .

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ k & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$




Horizontal reflection
across the y axis.

$$\begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$




Vertical reflection
across the x axis.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$




Diagonal reflection
across the line $y = x$.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$




Rotation around the
origin by an angle of θ .

$$\begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta & -\sin \theta \\ \sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{bmatrix}$$



Projection onto the x
axis.
(Note how the square
is “squashed” down
onto the x -axis.)

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$




Projection onto the y

axis.

(Note how the square is “squashed” over onto the y -axis.)

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$



Now that we have seen a healthy list of transformations that we can perform on the Cartesian plane, let’s practice a few more times creating the matrix that gives the desired transformation. In the following example, we develop our understanding one more critical step.

Example 154 Determining the matrix of a transformation

Find the matrix A that transforms the Cartesian plane by performing the following operations in order:

1. Vertical shear by a factor of 0.5
2. Counterclockwise rotation about the origin by an angle of $\theta = 30^\circ$
3. Horizontal stretch by a factor of 2
4. Diagonal reflection across the line $y = x$

SOLUTION Wow! We already know how to do this – sort of. We know we can find the columns of A by tracing where \vec{e}_1 and \vec{e}_2 end up, but this also seems difficult. There is so much that is going on. Fortunately, we can accomplish what we need without much difficulty by being systematic.

First, let’s perform the vertical shear. The matrix that performs this is

$$A_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0.5 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

After that, we want to rotate everything clockwise by 30° . To do this, we use

$$A_2 = \begin{bmatrix} \cos 30^\circ & -\sin 30^\circ \\ \sin 30^\circ & \cos 30^\circ \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{3}/2 & -1/2 \\ 1/2 & \sqrt{3}/2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

In order to do both of these operations, in order, we multiply $A_2 A_1$.⁶

To perform the final two operations, we note that

$$A_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad A_4 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

perform the horizontal stretch and diagonal reflection, respectively. Thus to per-

⁶The reader might ask, “Is it important to do multiply these in that order? Could we have multiplied $A_1 A_2$ instead?” Our answer starts with “Is matrix multiplication commutative?” The answer to our question is “No,” so the answers to the reader’s questions are “Yes” and “No,” respectively.

form all of the operations “at once,” we need to multiply by

$$\begin{aligned}
 A &= A_4 A_3 A_2 A_1 \\
 &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{3}/2 & -1/2 \\ 1/2 & \sqrt{3}/2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0.5 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\
 &= \begin{bmatrix} (\sqrt{3}+2)/4 & \sqrt{3}/2 \\ (2\sqrt{3}-1)/2 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \\
 &\approx \begin{bmatrix} 0.933 & 0.866 \\ 1.232 & -1 \end{bmatrix}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Let’s consider this closely. Suppose I want to know where a vector \vec{x} ends up. We claim we can find the answer by multiplying $A\vec{x}$. Why does this work? Consider:

$$\begin{aligned}
 A\vec{x} &= A_4 A_3 A_2 A_1 \vec{x} \\
 &= A_4 A_3 A_2 (A_1 \vec{x}) && \text{(performs the vertical shear)} \\
 &= A_4 A_3 (A_2 \vec{x}_1) && \text{(performs the rotation)} \\
 &= A_4 (A_3 \vec{x}_2) && \text{(performs the horizontal stretch)} \\
 &= A_4 \vec{x}_3 && \text{(performs the diagonal reflection)} \\
 &= \vec{x}_4 && \text{(the result of transforming } \vec{x} \text{)}
 \end{aligned}$$

Most readers are not able to visualize exactly what the given list of operations does to the Cartesian plane. In Figure 7.9 we sketch the transformed unit square; in Figure 7.10 we sketch a shape and its transformation.




Figure 7.9: The transformed unit square in Example 154.




Figure 7.10: A transformed shape in Example 154.

Once we know what matrices perform the basic transformations,⁷ performing complex transformations on the Cartesian plane really isn't that . . . complex. It boils down to multiplying by a series of matrices.

We've shown many examples of transformations that we can do, and we've mentioned just a few that we can't – for instance, we can't turn a square into a circle. Why not? Why is it that straight lines get sent to straight lines? We spent a lot of time within this text looking at invertible matrices; what connections, if any,⁸ are there between invertible matrices and their transformations on the Cartesian plane?

All these questions require us to think like mathematicians – we are being asked to study the *properties* of an object we just learned about and their connections to things we've already learned. We'll do all this (and more!) in the following section.





⁷or know where to find them

⁸By now, the reader should expect connections to exist.

Exercises 7.1

Problems

In Exercises 1 – 4, a sketch of transformed unit square is given. Find the matrix A that performs this transformation.



In Exercises 5 – 10, a list of transformations is given. Find the matrix A that performs those transformations, in order, on the Cartesian plane.

5. (a) vertical shear by a factor of 2

- (b) horizontal shear by a factor of 2

6. (a) horizontal shear by a factor of 2
(b) vertical shear by a factor of 2

7. (a) horizontal stretch by a factor of 3
(b) reflection across the line $y = x$

8. (a) counterclockwise rotation by an angle of 45°
(b) vertical stretch by a factor of $1/2$

9. (a) clockwise rotation by an angle of 90°
(b) horizontal reflection across the y axis
(c) vertical shear by a factor of 1

10. (a) vertical reflection across the x axis
(b) horizontal reflection across the y axis
(c) diagonal reflection across the line $y = x$

In Exercises 11 – 14, two sets of transformations are given. Sketch the transformed unit square under each set of transformations. Are the transformations the same? Explain why/why not.

11. (a) a horizontal reflection across the y axis, followed by a vertical reflection across the x axis, compared to
(b) a counterclockwise rotation of 180°

12. (a) a horizontal stretch by a factor of 2 followed by a reflection across the line $y = x$, compared to
(b) a vertical stretch by a factor of 2

13. (a) a horizontal stretch by a factor of $1/2$ followed by a vertical stretch by a factor of 3, compared to
(b) the same operations but in opposite order

14. (a) a reflection across the line $y = x$ followed by a reflection across the x axis, compared to
(b) a reflection across the y axis, followed by a reflection across the line $y = x$.

7.2 Properties of Linear Transformations

AS YOU READ ...

1. T/F: Translating the Cartesian plane 2 units up is a linear transformation.
2. T/F: If T is a linear transformation, then $T(\vec{0}) = \vec{0}$.

In the previous section we discussed standard transformations of the Cartesian plane – rotations, reflections, etc. As a motivational example for this section's study, let's consider another transformation – let's find the matrix that moves the unit square one unit to the right (see Figure 7.11). This is called a *translation*.




Figure 7.11: Translating the unit square one unit to the right.

Our work from the previous section allows us to find the matrix quickly. By looking at the picture, it is easy to see that \vec{e}_1 is moved to $\begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ and \vec{e}_2 is moved to $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$. Therefore, the transformation matrix should be

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

However, look at Figure 7.12 where the unit square is drawn after being transformed by A . It is clear that we did not get the desired result; the unit square was not translated, but rather stretched/sheared in some way.




Figure 7.12: Actual transformation of the unit square by matrix A .

What did we do wrong? We will answer this question, but first we need to develop a few thoughts and vocabulary terms.

We've been using the term "transformation" to describe how we've changed vectors. In fact, "transformation" is synonymous to "function." We are used to functions like $f(x) = x^2$, where the input is a number and the output is another number. In the previous section, we learned about transformations (functions) where the input was a vector and the output was another vector. If A is a "transformation matrix," then we could create a function of the form $T(\vec{x}) = A\vec{x}$. That is, a vector \vec{x} is the input, and the output is \vec{x} multiplied by A .

We used T instead of f to define the function $T(\vec{x}) = A\vec{x}$ to help differentiate it from "regular" functions. "Normally" functions are defined using lower case letters when the input is a number; when the input is a vector, we use upper case letters. (It also appears to be tradition to use the letter T to describe linear transformations, and mathematicians are suckers for tradition.)

When we defined $f(x) = x^2$ above, we let the reader assume that the input was indeed a number. If we wanted to be complete, we should have stated

$$f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \quad \text{where} \quad f(x) = x^2.$$

The first part of that line told us that the input was a real number (that was the first \mathbb{R}) and the output was also a real number (the second \mathbb{R}).

To define a transformation where a 2D vector is transformed into another 2D vector via multiplication by a 2×2 matrix A , we should write

$$T : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2 \quad \text{where} \quad T(\vec{x}) = A\vec{x}.$$

Here, the first \mathbb{R}^2 means that we are using 2D vectors as our input, and the second \mathbb{R}^2 means that a 2D vector is the output.

Consider a quick example:

$$T : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3 \quad \text{where} \quad T\left(\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix}\right) = \begin{bmatrix} x_1^2 \\ 2x_1 \\ x_1x_2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Notice that this takes 2D vectors as input and returns 3D vectors as output. For instance,

$$T\left(\begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix}\right) = \begin{bmatrix} 9 \\ 6 \\ -6 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We now define a special type of transformation (function).

Definition 65 Linear Transformation

A transformation $T : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ is a *linear transformation* if it satisfies the following two properties:

1. $T(\vec{x} + \vec{y}) = T(\vec{x}) + T(\vec{y})$ for all vectors \vec{x} and \vec{y} , and
2. $T(k\vec{x}) = kT(\vec{x})$ for all vectors \vec{x} and all scalars k .

If T is a linear transformation, it is often said that " T is *linear*."

Let's learn about this definition through some examples.

Example 155 Identifying linear transformations

Determine whether or not the transformation $T : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ is a linear transformation, where

$$T\left(\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix}\right) = \begin{bmatrix} x_1^2 \\ 2x_1 \\ x_1x_2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

SOLUTION We'll arbitrarily pick two vectors \vec{x} and \vec{y} :

$$\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \vec{y} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Let's check to see if T is linear by using the definition.

1. Is $T(\vec{x} + \vec{y}) = T(\vec{x}) + T(\vec{y})$? First, compute $\vec{x} + \vec{y}$:

$$\vec{x} + \vec{y} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Now compute $T(\vec{x})$, $T(\vec{y})$, and $T(\vec{x} + \vec{y})$:

$$\begin{aligned} T(\vec{x}) &= T\left(\begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix}\right) & T(\vec{y}) &= T\left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix}\right) & T(\vec{x} + \vec{y}) &= T\left(\begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}\right) \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 9 \\ 6 \\ -6 \end{bmatrix} & &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix} & &= \begin{bmatrix} 16 \\ 8 \\ 12 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Is $T(\vec{x} + \vec{y}) = T(\vec{x}) + T(\vec{y})$?

$$\begin{bmatrix} 9 \\ 6 \\ -6 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix} \stackrel{!}{=} \begin{bmatrix} 16 \\ 8 \\ 12 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Therefore, T is *not* a linear transformation.

So we have an example of something that *doesn't* work. Let's try an example where things *do* work.

Example 156 Identifying linear transformations

Determine whether or not the transformation $T : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ is a linear transformation, where $T(\vec{x}) = A\vec{x}$ and

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix}.$$

SOLUTION Let's start by again considering arbitrary \vec{x} and \vec{y} . Let's choose the same \vec{x} and \vec{y} from Example 155.

$$\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \vec{y} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix}.$$

If the linearity properties hold for these vectors, then *maybe* it is actually linear (and we'll do more work).

1. Is $T(\vec{x} + \vec{y}) = T(\vec{x}) + T(\vec{y})$? Recall:

$$\vec{x} + \vec{y} = \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Now compute $T(\vec{x})$, $T(\vec{y})$, and $T(\vec{x}) + T(\vec{y})$:

$$\begin{aligned} T(\vec{x}) &= T\left(\begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix}\right) & T(\vec{y}) &= T\left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix}\right) & T(\vec{x} + \vec{y}) &= T\left(\begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}\right) \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} & &= \begin{bmatrix} 11 \\ 23 \end{bmatrix} & &= \begin{bmatrix} 10 \\ 24 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Is $T(\vec{x} + \vec{y}) = T(\vec{x}) + T(\vec{y})$?

$$\begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 11 \\ 23 \end{bmatrix} \stackrel{!}{=} \begin{bmatrix} 10 \\ 24 \end{bmatrix}.$$

So far, so good: $T(\vec{x} + \vec{y})$ is equal to $T(\vec{x}) + T(\vec{y})$.

It's important to remember the following principle of logic: to show that something doesn't work, we just need to show one case where it fails, which we did in Example 155. To show that something *always* works, we need to show it works for *all* cases – simply showing it works for a few cases isn't enough. (An example is not a proof.) However, doing so can be helpful in understanding the situation better.

2. Is $T(k\vec{x}) = kT(\vec{x})$? Let's arbitrarily pick $k = 7$, and use \vec{x} as before.

$$\begin{aligned} T(7\vec{x}) &= T\left(\begin{bmatrix} 21 \\ -14 \end{bmatrix}\right) \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} -7 \\ 7 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= 7 \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= 7 \cdot T(\vec{x}) \quad ! \end{aligned}$$

So far it *seems* that T is indeed linear, for it worked in one example with arbitrarily chosen vectors and scalar. Now we need to try to show it is always true.

Consider $T(\vec{x} + \vec{y})$. By the definition of T , we have

$$T(\vec{x} + \vec{y}) = A(\vec{x} + \vec{y}).$$

By Theorem 20, part 2 (on page 181) we state that the Distributive Property holds for matrix multiplication. (Recall that a vector is just a special type of matrix, so this theorem applies to matrix–vector multiplication as well.) So $A(\vec{x} + \vec{y}) = A\vec{x} + A\vec{y}$. Recognize now that this last part is just $T(\vec{x}) + T(\vec{y})$! We repeat the above steps, all together:

$$\begin{aligned} T(\vec{x} + \vec{y}) &= A(\vec{x} + \vec{y}) && \text{(by the definition of } T \text{ in this example)} \\ &= A\vec{x} + A\vec{y} && \text{(by the Distributive Property)} \\ &= T(\vec{x}) + T(\vec{y}) && \text{(again, by the definition of } T) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, no matter what \vec{x} and \vec{y} are chosen, $T(\vec{x} + \vec{y}) = T(\vec{x}) + T(\vec{y})$. Thus the first part of the linearity definition is satisfied.

The second part is satisfied in a similar fashion. Let k be a scalar, and consider:

$$\begin{aligned} T(k\vec{x}) &= A(k\vec{x}) && \text{(by the definition of } T \text{ in this example)} \\ &= kA\vec{x} && \text{(by Theorem 20 part 3)} \\ &= kT(\vec{x}) && \text{(again, by the definition of } T) \end{aligned}$$

Since T satisfies both parts of the definition, we conclude that T is a linear transformation.

We have seen two examples of transformations so far, one which was not linear and one that was. One might wonder “Why is linearity important?”, which we’ll address shortly.

First, consider how we proved the transformation in Example 156 was linear. We defined T by matrix multiplication, that is, $T(\vec{x}) = A\vec{x}$. We proved T was linear using properties of matrix multiplication – we never considered the specific values of A ! That is, we didn’t just choose a good matrix for T ; *any* matrix A would have worked. This leads us to an important theorem. The first part we have essentially just proved; the second part we won’t prove, although its truth is very powerful.

Theorem 38 Matrices and Linear Transformations

1. Define $T : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ by $T(\vec{x}) = A\vec{x}$, where A is an $m \times n$ matrix. Then T is a linear transformation.
2. Let $T : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ be any linear transformation. Then there exists an unique $m \times n$ matrix A such that $T(\vec{x}) = A\vec{x}$.

The second part of the theorem says that *all* linear transformations can be described using matrix multiplication. Given *any* linear transformation, there is a matrix that completely defines that transformation. This important matrix gets its own name.

Definition 66 Standard Matrix of a Linear Transformation

Let $T : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ be a linear transformation. By Theorem 38, there is a matrix A such that $T(\vec{x}) = A\vec{x}$. This matrix A is called the *standard matrix of the linear transformation T* , and is denoted $[T]$.

While exploring all of the ramifications of Theorem 38 is outside the scope of this text, let it suffice to say that since 1) linear transformations are very, very important in economics, science, engineering and mathematics, and 2) the theory of matrices is well developed and easy to implement by hand and on computers, then 3) it is great news that these two concepts go hand in hand.

We have already used the second part of this theorem in a small way. In the previous section we looked at transformations graphically and found the matrices that produced them. At the time, we didn't realize that these transformations were linear, but indeed they were.

This brings us back to the motivating example with which we started this section. We tried to find the matrix that translated the unit square one unit to the right. Our attempt failed, and we have yet to determine why. Given our link between matrices and linear transformations, the answer is likely "the translation transformation is not a linear transformation." While that is a true statement, it doesn't really explain things all that well. Is there some way we could have recognized that this transformation wasn't linear? (That is, apart from applying the definition directly?)

Yes, there is. Consider the second part of the linear transformation definition. It states that $T(k\vec{x}) = kT(\vec{x})$ for all scalars k . If we let $k = 0$, we have $T(0\vec{x}) = 0 \cdot T(\vec{x})$, or more simply, $T(\vec{0}) = \vec{0}$. That is, if T is to be a linear transformation, it must send the zero vector to the zero vector.

This is a quick way to see that the translation transformation fails to be linear. By shifting the unit square to the right one unit, the corner at the point $(0, 0)$ was sent to the point $(1, 0)$, i.e.,

the vector $\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ was sent to the vector $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$.

This property relating to $\vec{0}$ is important, so we highlight it here.

The matrix-like brackets around T are intended to suggest that the standard matrix A is a matrix "with T inside."

Key Idea 27 Linear Transformations and $\vec{0}$

Let $T : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ be a linear transformation. Then:

$$T(\vec{0}_n) = \vec{0}_m.$$

That is, the zero vector in \mathbb{R}^n gets sent to the zero vector in \mathbb{R}^m .

The Standard Matrix of a Linear Transformation

It is often the case that while one can describe a linear transformation, one doesn't know what matrix performs that transformation (i.e., one doesn't know the standard matrix of that linear transformation). How do we systematically find it? We'll need a new definition.

Definition 67 Standard Unit Vectors

In \mathbb{R}^n , the *standard unit vectors* \vec{e}_i are the vectors with a 1 in the i^{th} entry and 0s everywhere else.

The idea that linear transformations “send zero to zero” has an interesting relation to terminology. The reader is likely familiar with functions like $f(x) = 2x + 3$ and would likely refer to this as a “linear function.” However, $f(0) \neq 0$, so f is *not* “linear” by our new definition of linear. We erroneously call f “linear” since its graph produces a line, though we should be careful to instead state that “the graph of f is a line.”

We've already seen these vectors in the previous section. In \mathbb{R}^2 , we identified

$$\vec{e}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \vec{e}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

In \mathbb{R}^4 , there are 4 standard unit vectors:

$$\vec{e}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \vec{e}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \vec{e}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{and} \quad \vec{e}_4 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

How do these vectors help us find the standard matrix of a linear transformation? Recall again our work in the previous section. There, we practised looking at the transformed unit square and deducing the standard transformation matrix A . We did this by making the first column of A the vector where \vec{e}_1 ended up and making the second column of A the vector where \vec{e}_2 ended up. One could represent this with:

$$A = [T(\vec{e}_1) \quad T(\vec{e}_2)] = [T].$$

That is, $T(\vec{e}_1)$ is the vector where \vec{e}_1 ends up, and $T(\vec{e}_2)$ is the vector where \vec{e}_2 ends up.

The same holds true in general. Given a linear transformation $T : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$, the standard matrix of T is the matrix whose i^{th} column is the vector where \vec{e}_i ends up. While we won't prove this is true, it is, and it is very useful. Therefore we'll state it again as a theorem.

Theorem 39 The Standard Matrix of a Linear Transformation

Let $T : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ be a linear transformation. Then $[T]$ is the $m \times n$ matrix:

$$[T] = [T(\vec{e}_1) \quad T(\vec{e}_2) \quad \cdots \quad T(\vec{e}_n)].$$

Let's practice this theorem in an example.

Example 157 Computing the matrix of a linear transformation

Define $T : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^4$ to be the linear transformation where

$$T \left(\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix} \right) = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 + x_2 \\ 3x_1 - x_3 \\ 2x_2 + 5x_3 \\ 4x_1 + 3x_2 + 2x_3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Find $[T]$.

SOLUTION T takes vectors from \mathbb{R}^3 into \mathbb{R}^4 , so $[T]$ is going to be a 4×3 matrix. Note that

$$\vec{e}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \vec{e}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \vec{e}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We find the columns of $[T]$ by finding where \vec{e}_1 , \vec{e}_2 and \vec{e}_3 are sent, that is, we find $T(\vec{e}_1)$, $T(\vec{e}_2)$ and $T(\vec{e}_3)$.

$$\begin{aligned} T(\vec{e}_1) &= T \left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right) & T(\vec{e}_2) &= T \left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right) & T(\vec{e}_3) &= T \left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 3 \\ 0 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix} & &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} & &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \\ 5 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$[T] = A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 3 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 2 & 5 \\ 4 & 3 & 2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Let's check this. Consider the vector

$$\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Strictly from the original definition, we can compute that

$$T(\vec{x}) = T \left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} \right) = \begin{bmatrix} 1+2 \\ 3-3 \\ 4+15 \\ 4+6+6 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 0 \\ 19 \\ 16 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Now compute $T(\vec{x})$ by computing $[T]\vec{x} = A\vec{x}$.

$$A\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 3 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 2 & 5 \\ 4 & 3 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 0 \\ 19 \\ 16 \end{bmatrix}.$$

They match! (Of course they do. That was the whole point.)

Let's do another example, one that is more application oriented.

Example 158 An application to baseball

A baseball team manager has collected basic data concerning his hitters. He has the number of singles, doubles, triples, and home runs they have hit over the past year. For each player, he wants two more pieces of information: the total number of hits and the total number of bases.

Using the techniques developed in this section, devise a method for the manager to accomplish his goal.

SOLUTION If the manager only wants to compute this for a few players, then he could do it by hand fairly easily. After all:

$$\text{total # hits} = \# \text{ of singles} + \# \text{ of doubles} + \# \text{ of triples} + \# \text{ of home runs},$$

and

$$\text{total # bases} = \# \text{ of singles} + 2 \times \# \text{ of doubles} + 3 \times \# \text{ of triples} + 4 \times \# \text{ of home runs}.$$

However, if he has a lot of players to do this for, he would likely want a way to automate the work. One way of approaching the problem starts with recognizing that he wants to input four numbers into a function (i.e., the number of singles, doubles, etc.) and he wants two numbers as output (i.e., number of hits and bases). Thus he wants a transformation $T : \mathbb{R}^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ where each vector in \mathbb{R}^4 can be interpreted as

$$\begin{bmatrix} \# \text{ of singles} \\ \# \text{ of doubles} \\ \# \text{ of triples} \\ \# \text{ of home runs} \end{bmatrix},$$

and each vector in \mathbb{R}^2 can be interpreted as

$$\begin{bmatrix} \# \text{ of hits} \\ \# \text{ of bases} \end{bmatrix}.$$

To find $[T]$, he computes $T(\vec{e}_1)$, $T(\vec{e}_2)$, $T(\vec{e}_3)$ and $T(\vec{e}_4)$.

$$\begin{aligned} T(\vec{e}_1) &= T\left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}\right) & T(\vec{e}_2) &= T\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}\right) \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} & &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} T(\vec{e}_3) &= T\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}\right) & T(\vec{e}_4) &= T\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}\right) \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} & &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

(What do these calculations mean? For example, finding $T(\vec{e}_3) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$ means that one triple counts as 1 hit and 3 bases.)

Thus our transformation matrix $[T]$ is

$$[T] = A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix}.$$

As an example, consider a player who had 102 singles, 30 doubles, 8 triples and 14 home runs. By using A , we find that

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 102 \\ 30 \\ 8 \\ 14 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 154 \\ 242 \end{bmatrix},$$

meaning the player had 154 hits and 242 total bases.

A question that we should ask concerning the previous example is “How do we know that the function the manager used was actually a linear transformation? After all, we were wrong before – the translation example at the beginning of this section had us fooled at first.”

This is a good point; the answer is fairly easy. Recall from Example 155 the transformation

$$T_{155} \left(\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} \right) = \begin{bmatrix} x_1^2 \\ 2x_1 \\ x_1 x_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

and from Example 157

$$T_{157} \left(\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix} \right) = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 + x_2 \\ 3x_1 - x_3 \\ 2x_2 + 5x_3 \\ 4x_1 + 3x_2 + 2x_3 \end{bmatrix},$$

where we use the subscripts for T to remind us which example they came from.

We found that T_{155} was not a linear transformation, but stated that T_{157} was (although we didn't prove this). What made the difference?

Look at the entries of $T_{155}(\vec{x})$ and $T_{157}(\vec{x})$. T_{155} contains entries where a variable is squared and where 2 variables are multiplied together – these prevent T_{155} from being linear. On the other hand, the entries of T_{157} are all of the form $a_1x_1 + \dots + a_nx_n$; that is, they are just sums of the variables multiplied by coefficients. T is linear if and only if the entries of $T(\vec{x})$ are of this form. (Hence linear transformations are related to linear equations, as defined in Section 3.1.) This idea is important.

Key Idea 28 Conditions on Linear Transformations

Let $T : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ be a transformation and consider the entries of

$$T(\vec{x}) = T \left(\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix} \right).$$

T is linear if and only if each entry of $T(\vec{x})$ is of the form $a_1x_1 + a_2x_2 + \dots + a_nx_n$.

Going back to our baseball example, the manager could have defined his transformation as

$$T \left(\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ x_4 \end{bmatrix} \right) = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4 \\ x_1 + 2x_2 + 3x_3 + 4x_4 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since that fits the model shown in Key Idea 28, the transformation T is indeed linear and hence we can find a matrix $[T]$ that represents it.

Let's practice this concept further in an example.

Example 159 Using Key Idea 28 to identify linear transformations

Using Key Idea 28, determine whether or not each of the following transformations is linear.

$$T_1 \left(\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} \right) = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 + 1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} \quad T_2 \left(\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} \right) = \begin{bmatrix} x_1/x_2 \\ \sqrt{x_2} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$T_3 \left(\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} \right) = \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{7}x_1 - x_2 \\ \pi x_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

SOLUTION T_1 is not linear! This may come as a surprise, but we are not allowed to add constants to the variables. By thinking about this, we can see that this transformation is trying to accomplish the translation that got us started in this section – it adds 1 to all the x values and leaves the y values alone, shifting everything to the right one unit. However, this is not linear; again, notice how \vec{o} does not get mapped to \vec{o} .

T_2 is also not linear. We cannot divide variables, nor can we put variables inside the square root function (among other other things; again, see Section 3.1). This means that the baseball manager would not be able to use matrices to compute a batting average, which is (number of hits)/(number of at bats).

T_3 is linear. Recall that $\sqrt{7}$ and π are just numbers, just coefficients.

We've mentioned before that we can draw vectors other than 2D vectors, although the more dimensions one adds, the harder it gets to understand. In the next section we'll learn about graphing vectors in 3D – that is, how to draw on paper or a computer screen a 3D vector.

Exercises 7.2

Problems

In Exercises 1 – 5, a transformation T is given. Determine whether or not T is linear; if not, state why not.

$$1. T\left(\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix}\right) = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 + x_2 \\ 3x_1 - x_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$2. T\left(\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix}\right) = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 + x_2^2 \\ x_1 - x_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$3. T\left(\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix}\right) = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 + 1 \\ x_2 + 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$4. T\left(\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix}\right) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$5. T\left(\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix}\right) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

In Exercises 6 – 11, a linear transformation T is given. Find $[T]$.

$$6. T\left(\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix}\right) = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 + x_2 \\ x_1 - x_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$7. T\left(\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix}\right) = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 + 2x_2 \\ 3x_1 - 5x_2 \\ 2x_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$8. T\left(\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix}\right) = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 + 2x_2 - 3x_3 \\ 0 \\ x_1 + 4x_3 \\ 5x_2 + x_3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$9. T\left(\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix}\right) = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 + 3x_3 \\ x_1 - x_3 \\ x_1 + x_3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$10. T\left(\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix}\right) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$11. T\left(\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ x_4 \end{bmatrix}\right) = [x_1 + 2x_2 + 3x_3 + 4x_4]$$

7.3 Visualizing Vectors: Vectors in Three Dimensions

AS YOU READ . . .

1. T/F: The viewpoint of the reader makes a difference in how vectors in 3D look.
2. T/F: If two vectors are not near each other, then they will not appear to be near each other when graphed.
3. T/F: The parallelogram law only applies to adding vectors in 2D.

We ended the last section by stating we could extend the ideas of drawing 2D vectors to drawing 3D vectors. Once we understand how to properly draw these vectors, addition and subtraction is relatively easy. We'll also discuss how to find the length of a vector in 3D.

We start with the basics of drawing a vector in 3D. Instead of having just the traditional x and y axes, we now add a third axis, the z axis. Without any additional vectors, a generic 3D coordinate system can be seen in Figure 7.13.




Figure 7.13: The 3D coordinate system

In 2D, the point $(2, 1)$ refers to going 2 units in the x direction followed by 1 unit in the y direction. In 3D, each point is referenced by 3 coordinates. The point $(4, 2, 3)$ is found by going 4 units in the x direction, 2 units in the y direction, and 3 units in the z direction.

How does one sketch a vector on this coordinate system? As one might expect, we can sketch the vector $\vec{v} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$ by drawing an arrow from the origin (the point $(0,0,0)$) to the point $(1, 2, 3)$. (Of course, we don't have to start at the origin; all that really matters is that the tip of the arrow is 1 unit in the x direction, 2 units in the y direction, and 3 units in the z direction from the origin of the arrow.) The only "tricky" part comes from the fact that we are trying to represent three dimensional space on a two dimensional sheet of paper. However, it isn't really hard. We'll discover a good way of approaching this in the context of an example.

Example 160 Sketching vectors in standard position

Sketch the following vectors with their origin at the origin.

$$\vec{v} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \vec{u} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 3 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

SOLUTION We'll start with \vec{v} first. Starting at the origin, move 2 units in the x direction. This puts us at the point $(2, 0, 0)$ on the x axis. Then, move 1 unit in the y direction. (In our method of drawing, this means moving 1 unit directly to the right. Of course, we don't have a grid to follow, so we have to make a good approximation of this distance.) Finally, we move 3 units in the z direction. (Again, in our drawing, this means going straight "up" 3 units, and we must use our best judgement in a sketch to measure this.)

This allows us to locate the point $(2, 1, 3)$; now we draw an arrow from the origin to this point. In Figure 7.14 we have all 4 stages of this sketch. The dashed lines show us moving down the x axis in (a); in (b) we move over in the y direction; in (c) we move up in the z direction, and finally in (d) the arrow is drawn.





Figure 7.14: Stages of sketching the vector \vec{v} for Example 160.

Drawing the dashed lines help us find our way in our representation of three dimensional space. Without them, it is hard to see how far in each direction the vector is supposed to have gone.

To draw \vec{u} , we follow the same procedure we used to draw \vec{v} . We first locate the point $(1, 3, -1)$, then draw the appropriate arrow. In Figure 7.15 we have \vec{u} drawn along with \vec{v} . We have used different dashed and dotted lines for each vector to help distinguish them.

Notice that this time we had to go in the negative z direction; this just means we moved down one unit instead of up a unit.

Figure 7.15: Vectors \vec{v} and \vec{u} in Example 160.

As in 2D, we don't usually draw the zero vector,

$$\vec{0} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$


It doesn't point anywhere. It is a conceptually important vector that does not have a terribly interesting visualization.

Our method of drawing 3D objects on a flat surface – a 2D surface – is pretty clever. It isn't perfect, though; visually, drawing vectors with negative components (especially negative x coordinates) can look a bit odd. Also, two very different vectors can point to the same place. We'll highlight this with our next two examples.

Example 161 Sketching a 3D vector

Sketch the vector $\vec{v} = \begin{bmatrix} -3 \\ -1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$.

SOLUTION We use the same procedure we used in Example 160. Starting at the origin, we move in the negative x direction 3 units, then 1 unit in the negative y direction, and then finally up 2 units in the z direction to find the point $(-3, -1, 2)$. We follow by drawing an arrow. Our sketch is found in Figure 7.16; \vec{v} is drawn in two coordinate systems, once with the helpful dashed lines, and once without. The second drawing makes it pretty clear that the dashed lines truly are helpful.

Figure 7.16: Vector \vec{v} in Example 161.

Example 162 Sketching vectors in 3D

Draw the vectors $\vec{v} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 4 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$ and $\vec{u} = \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$ on the same coordinate system.

SOLUTION We follow the steps we've taken before to sketch these vectors, shown in Figure 7.17. The dashed lines are aides for \vec{v} and the dotted lines are aides for \vec{u} . We again include the vectors without the dashed and dotted lines; but without these, it is very difficult to tell which vector is which!




Figure 7.17: Vectors \vec{v} and \vec{u} in Example 162.

Our three examples have demonstrated that we have a pretty clever, albeit imperfect, method for drawing 3D vectors. The vectors in Example 162 look similar because of our *viewpoint*. In Figure 7.18 (a), we have rotated the coordinate axes, giving the vectors a different appearance. (Vector \vec{v} now looks like it lies on the y axis.)

Another important factor in how things look is the scale we use for the x , y , and z axes. In 2D, it is easy to make the scale uniform for both axes; in 3D, it can be a bit tricky to make the scale the same on the axes that are “slanted.” Figure 7.18 (b) again shows the same 2 vectors found in Example 162, but this time the scale of the x axis is a bit different. The end result is that again the vectors appear a bit different than they did before. These facts do not necessarily pose a big problem; we must merely be aware of these facts and not make judgements about 3D objects based on one 2D image.

The human brain uses both eyes to convey 3D, or depth, information. With one eye closed (or missing), we can have a very hard time with “depth perception.” Two objects that are far apart can seem very close together. A simple example of this problem is this: close one eye, and place your index finger about a foot above this text, directly above this **WORD**. See if you were correct by dropping your finger straight down. Did you actually hit the proper spot? Try it again with both eyes, and you should see a noticeable difference in your accuracy.

Looking at 3D objects on paper is a bit like viewing the world with one eye closed.




Figure 7.18: Vectors \vec{v} and \vec{u} in Example 162 with a different viewpoint (a) and x axis scale (b).

We now investigate properties of vector arithmetic: what happens (i.e., how do we draw) when we add 3D vectors and multiply by a scalar? How do we compute the length of a 3D vector?

Vector Addition and Subtraction

In 2D, we saw that we could add vectors together graphically using the Parallelogram Law. Does the same apply for adding vectors in 3D? We investigate in an example.

Example 163 Sketching a vector sum

Let $\vec{v} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$ and $\vec{u} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 3 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$. Sketch $\vec{v} + \vec{u}$.

SOLUTION We sketched each of these vectors previously in Example 160. We sketch them, along with $\vec{v} + \vec{u} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 4 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$, in Figure 7.19 (a). (We use loosely dashed lines for $\vec{v} + \vec{u}$.)

Does the Parallelogram Law still hold? In Figure 7.19 (b), we draw additional representations of \vec{v} and \vec{u} to form a parallelogram (without all the dotted lines), which seems to affirm the fact that the Parallelogram Law does indeed hold.




Figure 7.19: Vectors \vec{v} , \vec{u} , and $\vec{v} + \vec{u}$ Example 163.

We also learned that in 2D, we could subtract vectors by drawing a vector from the tip of one vector to the other. (Recall that it is important which vector we used for the origin and which was used for the tip.) Does this also work in 3D? We'll investigate again with an example, using the familiar vectors \vec{v} and \vec{u} from before.

Example 164 Sketching a vector difference

Let $\vec{v} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$ and $\vec{u} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 3 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$. Sketch $\vec{v} - \vec{u}$.

SOLUTION It is simple to compute that $\vec{v} - \vec{u} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -2 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}$. All three of these vectors are sketched in Figure 7.20 (a), where again \vec{v} is guided by the dashed, \vec{u} by the dotted, and $\vec{v} - \vec{u}$ by the loosely dashed lines.

Does the 2D subtraction rule still hold? That is, can we draw $\vec{v} - \vec{u}$ by drawing an arrow from the tip of \vec{u} to the tip of \vec{v} ? In Figure 7.20 (b), we translate the drawing of $\vec{v} - \vec{u}$ to the tip of \vec{u} , and sure enough, it looks like it works. (And in fact, it really does.)




Figure 7.20: Vectors \vec{v} , \vec{u} , and $\vec{v} - \vec{u}$ from Example 164.

The previous two examples highlight the fact that even in 3D, we can sketch vectors without explicitly knowing what they are. We practice this one more time in the following example.

Example 165 Vector addition and subtraction in 3D

Vectors \vec{v} and \vec{u} are drawn in Figure 7.21. Using this drawing, sketch the vectors $\vec{v} + \vec{u}$ and $\vec{v} - \vec{u}$.




Figure 7.21: Vectors \vec{v} and \vec{u} for Example 165.

SOLUTION Using the Parallelogram Law, we draw $\vec{v} + \vec{u}$ by first drawing a gray version of \vec{u} coming from the tip of \vec{v} ; $\vec{v} + \vec{u}$ is drawn dashed in Figure 7.22.

To draw $\vec{v} - \vec{u}$, we draw a dotted arrow from the tip of \vec{u} to the tip of \vec{v} .




Figure 7.22: Vectors \vec{v} , \vec{u} , $\vec{v} + \vec{u}$ and $\vec{v} - \vec{u}$ for Example 165.

Scalar Multiplication


Given a vector \vec{v} in 3D, what does the vector $2\vec{v}$ look like? How about $-\vec{v}$? After learning about vector addition and subtraction in 3D, we are probably gaining confidence in working in 3D and are tempted to say that $2\vec{v}$ is a vector twice as long as \vec{v} , pointing in the same direction, and $-\vec{v}$ is a vector of the same length as \vec{v} , pointing in the opposite direction. We would be right. We demonstrate this in the following example.

Example 166 Sketching scalar multiples of a vector

Sketch \vec{v} , $2\vec{v}$, and $-\vec{v}$, where

$$\vec{v} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

SOLUTION

Figure 7.23: Sketching scalar multiples of \vec{v} in Example 166.

It is easy to compute

$$2\vec{v} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 4 \\ 6 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad -\vec{v} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ -2 \\ -3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

These are drawn in Figure 7.23. This figure is, in many ways, a mess, with all the dashed and dotted lines. They are useful though. Use them to see how each vector was formed, and note that $2\vec{v}$ at least looks twice as long as \vec{v} , and it looks like $-\vec{v}$ points in the opposite direction. (Our previous work showed that looks can be deceiving, but it is indeed true in this case.)

Vector Length

How do we measure the length of a vector in 3D? In 2D, we were able to answer this question by using the Pythagorean Theorem. Does the Pythagorean Theorem apply in 3D? In a sense, it does.

Consider the vector $\vec{v} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$, as drawn in Figure 7.24 (a), with guiding dashed lines. Now look at part (b) of the same figure. Note how two lengths of

the dashed lines have now been drawn gray, and another dotted line has been added.




Figure 7.24: Computing the length of \vec{v}

These gray dashed and dotted lines form a right triangle with the dotted line forming the hypotenuse. We can find the length of the dotted line using the Pythagorean Theorem.

$$\text{length of the dotted line} = \sqrt{\text{sum of the squares of the dashed line lengths}}$$

$$\text{That is, the length of the dotted line} = \sqrt{1^2 + 2^2} = \sqrt{5}.$$

Now consider this: the vector \vec{v} is the hypotenuse of another right triangle: the one formed by the dotted line and the vertical dashed line. Again, we employ the Pythagorean Theorem to find its length.

$$\text{length of } \vec{v} = \sqrt{(\text{length of dashed gray line})^2 + (\text{length of black dashed line})^2}$$

Thus, the length of \vec{v} is (recall, we denote the length of \vec{v} with $\|\vec{v}\|$):

$$\begin{aligned} \|\vec{v}\| &= \sqrt{(\text{length of gray line})^2 + (\text{length of black line})^2} \\ &= \sqrt{\sqrt{5}^2 + 3^2} \\ &= \sqrt{5 + 3^2} \end{aligned}$$

Let's stop for a moment and think: where did this 5 come from in the previous equation? It came from finding the length of the gray dashed line – it came from $1^2 + 2^2$. Let's substitute that into the previous equation:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\vec{v}\| &= \sqrt{5 + 3^2} \\ &= \sqrt{1^2 + 2^2 + 3^2} \\ &= \sqrt{14} \end{aligned}$$

The key comes from the middle equation: $\|\vec{v}\| = \sqrt{1^2 + 2^2 + 3^2}$. Do those numbers 1, 2, and 3 look familiar? They are the component values of \vec{v} ! This is

very similar to the definition of the length of a 2D vector. After formally defining this, we'll practice with an example.

Definition 68 Vector Length in 3D

Let

$$\vec{v} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The *length* of \vec{v} , denoted $\|\vec{v}\|$, is

$$\|\vec{v}\| = \sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2}.$$

Example 167 Computing vector length in 3D

Find the lengths of vectors \vec{v} and \vec{u} , where

$$\vec{v} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ -3 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \vec{u} = \begin{bmatrix} -4 \\ 7 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

SOLUTION

We apply Definition 68 to each vector:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\vec{v}\| &= \sqrt{2^2 + (-3)^2 + 5^2} \\ &= \sqrt{4 + 9 + 25} \\ &= \sqrt{38} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\vec{u}\| &= \sqrt{(-4)^2 + 7^2 + 0^2} \\ &= \sqrt{16 + 49} \\ &= \sqrt{65} \end{aligned}$$

Here we end our investigation into the world of graphing vectors. Extensions into graphing 4D vectors and beyond *can* be done, but they truly are confusing and not really done except for abstract purposes.

There are further things to explore, though. Just as in 2D, we can transform 3D space by matrix multiplication. Doing this properly – rotating, stretching, shearing, etc. – allows one to manipulate 3D space and create incredible computer graphics.

Exercises 7.3

Problems

In Exercises 1 – 4, vectors \vec{x} and \vec{y} are given. Sketch \vec{x} , \vec{y} , $\vec{x} + \vec{y}$, and $\vec{x} - \vec{y}$ on the same Cartesian axes.





1. $\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}, \vec{y} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$

2. $\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 4 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}, \vec{y} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ -3 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$

3. $\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}, \vec{y} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 3 \\ 6 \end{bmatrix}$

4. $\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \vec{y} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$

In Exercises 5 – 8, vectors \vec{x} and \vec{y} are drawn. Sketch $2\vec{x}$, $-\vec{y}$, $\vec{x} + \vec{y}$, and $\vec{x} - \vec{y}$ on the same Cartesian axes.



In Exercises 9 – 12, a vector \vec{x} and a scalar a are given. Using Definition 68, compute the lengths of \vec{x} and $a\vec{x}$, then compare these lengths.

9. $\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -2 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix}, a = 2$

10. $\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} -3 \\ 4 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}, a = -1$

11. $\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 7 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, a = 5$


12. $\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix}, a = 3$

A: ANSWERS TO SELECTED PROBLEMS

Chapter 1

Section 1.1

1. (a), (c), (d)
3. n
5. y
7. n
9. n
11. n
13. $x = 2, y = \frac{1}{3}$
15. $x = 1, y = 0, z = 0$



Section 1.2

1. 6
3. $\frac{2}{21}$
5. $-\frac{1}{3}$
7. $\frac{3}{5}$
9. $-\frac{7}{8}$
11. 0
13. $\frac{23}{9}$
15. $-\frac{24}{7}$
17. $\frac{243}{32}$
19. $\frac{9}{22}$
21. 5
23. $\frac{107}{27}$
25. $\sqrt{10}$
27. $\sqrt{7}$
29. -1
31. $\frac{15}{16}$
33. $-\frac{385}{12}$

Section 1.3

1. The required points $A(-3, -7)$, $B(1.3, -2)$, $C(\pi, \sqrt{10})$, $D(0, 8)$, $E(-5.5, 0)$, $F(-8, 4)$, $G(9.2, -7.8)$, and $H(7, 5)$ are plotted in the Cartesian Coordinate Plane below.

3. $d = 5, M = \left(-1, \frac{7}{2}\right)$
5. $d = \sqrt{26}, M = \left(1, \frac{3}{2}\right)$
7. $d = \sqrt{74}, M = \left(\frac{13}{10}, -\frac{13}{10}\right)$
9. $d = \sqrt{83}, M = \left(4\sqrt{5}, \frac{5\sqrt{3}}{2}\right)$
11. $(3 + \sqrt{7}, -1), (3 - \sqrt{7}, -1)$
13. $(-1 + \sqrt{3}, 0), (-1 - \sqrt{3}, 0)$
15. $(-3, -4)$, 5 miles, $(4, -4)$
- 17.
- 19.
- 21.

Section 1.4

1. For $z = 2 + 3i$ and $w = 4i$
 - $z + w = 2 + 7i$
 - $zw = -12 + 8i$
 - $z^2 = -5 + 12i$
 - $\frac{1}{z} = \frac{2}{13} - \frac{3}{13}i$
 - $\frac{z}{w} = \frac{3}{4} - \frac{1}{2}i$
 - $\frac{w}{z} = \frac{12}{13} + \frac{8}{13}i$
 - $\bar{z} = 2 - 3i$
 - $z\bar{z} = 13$
 - $(\bar{z})^2 = -5 - 12i$

3. For $z = i$ and $w = -1 + 2i$

- $z + w = -1 + 3i$
- $zw = -2 - i$
- $z^2 = -1$
- $\frac{1}{z} = -i$
- $\frac{z}{w} = \frac{2}{5} - \frac{1}{5}i$
- $\frac{w}{z} = 2 + i$

- $\bar{z} = -i$
- $z\bar{z} = 1$
- $(\bar{z})^2 = -1$

5. For $z = 3 - 5i$ and $w = 2 + 7i$

- $z + w = 5 + 2i$
- $zw = 41 + 11i$
- $z^2 = -16 - 30i$
- $\frac{1}{z} = \frac{3}{34} + \frac{5}{34}i$
- $\frac{z}{w} = -\frac{29}{53} - \frac{31}{53}i$
- $\frac{w}{z} = -\frac{29}{34} + \frac{31}{34}i$
- $\bar{z} = 3 + 5i$
- $z\bar{z} = 34$
- $(\bar{z})^2 = -16 + 30i$

7. For $z = \sqrt{2} - i\sqrt{2}$ and $w = \sqrt{2} + i\sqrt{2}$

- $z + w = 2\sqrt{2}$
- $zw = 4$
- $z^2 = -4i$
- $\frac{1}{z} = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{4} + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{4}i$
- $\frac{z}{w} = -i$
- $\frac{w}{z} = i$
- $\bar{z} = \sqrt{2} + i\sqrt{2}$
- $z\bar{z} = 4$
- $(\bar{z})^2 = 4i$

9. For $z = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}i$ and $w = -\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}i$

- $z + w = i\sqrt{3}$
- $zw = -1$
- $z^2 = -\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}i$
- $\frac{1}{z} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}i$
- $\frac{z}{w} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}i$
- $\frac{w}{z} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}i$
- $\bar{z} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}i$
- $z\bar{z} = 1$
- $(\bar{z})^2 = -\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}i$

11. $7i$

13. -10

15. -12

17. 3

19. $i^5 = i^4 \cdot i = 1 \cdot i = i$

21. $i^7 = i^4 \cdot i^3 = 1 \cdot (-i) = -i$

23. $i^{15} = (i^4)^3 \cdot i^3 = 1 \cdot (-i) = -i$

25. $i^{117} = (i^4)^{29} \cdot i = 1 \cdot i = i$

27. $x = \frac{2 \pm i\sqrt{14}}{3}$

29. $y = \pm 2, \pm i$


31. $y = \pm \frac{3i\sqrt{2}}{2}$

33. $x = \frac{\sqrt{5} \pm i\sqrt{3}}{2}$


35. $z = \pm 2, \pm 2i$

Section 1.5


1. $\left(2, \frac{\pi}{3}\right), \left(-2, \frac{4\pi}{3}\right)$
 $\left(2, -\frac{5\pi}{3}\right), \left(2, \frac{7\pi}{3}\right)$




3. $\left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{3\pi}{2}\right), \left(-\frac{1}{3}, \frac{\pi}{2}\right)$
 $\left(\frac{1}{3}, -\frac{\pi}{2}\right), \left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{7\pi}{2}\right)$




5. $\left(12, -\frac{7\pi}{6}\right), \left(-12, \frac{11\pi}{6}\right)$
 $\left(12, -\frac{19\pi}{6}\right), \left(12, \frac{17\pi}{6}\right)$




7. $(2\sqrt{2}, -\pi), (-2\sqrt{2}, 0)$
 $(2\sqrt{2}, -3\pi), (2\sqrt{2}, 3\pi)$




9. $(-20, 3\pi), (-20, \pi)$
 $(20, -2\pi), (20, 4\pi)$




11. $\left(-1, \frac{2\pi}{3}\right), \left(-1, \frac{2\pi}{3}\right)$
 $\left(1, -\frac{\pi}{3}\right), \left(1, \frac{11\pi}{3}\right)$



13. $\left(-3, -\frac{11\pi}{6}\right), \left(-3, \frac{\pi}{6}\right)$
 $\left(3, -\frac{5\pi}{6}\right), \left(3, \frac{19\pi}{6}\right)$



15. $\left(-\sqrt{5}, -\frac{4\pi}{3}\right), \left(-\sqrt{5}, \frac{2\pi}{3}\right)$
 $\left(\sqrt{5}, -\frac{\pi}{3}\right), \left(\sqrt{5}, \frac{11\pi}{3}\right)$



17. $\left(\frac{5\sqrt{2}}{2}, -\frac{5\sqrt{2}}{2}\right)$

19. $\left(-\frac{11\sqrt{3}}{2}, \frac{11}{2}\right)$

21. $\left(0, \frac{3}{5}\right)$

23. $(0, -9)$

25. $(21\sqrt{3}, 21)$

27. $\left(\frac{6\sqrt{5}}{5}, \frac{12\sqrt{5}}{5}\right)$

29. $\left(-\frac{9}{5}, -\frac{12}{5}\right)$

31. $\left(-\frac{4\sqrt{5}}{5}, \frac{2\sqrt{5}}{5}\right)$

33. $\left(\frac{4}{5}, \frac{3}{5}\right)$

35. $\left(\frac{\pi}{\sqrt{1+\pi^2}}, \frac{\pi^2}{\sqrt{1+\pi^2}}\right)$

37. $\left(5, \frac{\pi}{2}\right)$

39. $\left(7\sqrt{2}, \frac{7\pi}{4}\right)$

41. $(3, \pi)$

43. $\left(8, \frac{4\pi}{3}\right)$

45. $\left(\frac{3}{5}, \frac{4\pi}{3}\right)$

47. $\left(10, \arctan\left(\frac{4}{3}\right)\right)$

49. $\left(\sqrt{65}, \pi - \arctan\left(\frac{1}{8}\right)\right)$

51. $\left(13, \pi + \arctan\left(\frac{12}{5}\right)\right)$

53. $\left(25, 2\pi - \arctan\left(\frac{7}{24}\right)\right)$

55. $\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}, \frac{\pi}{3}\right)$

57. $r = 6 \sec(\theta)$

59. $r = 7 \csc(\theta)$

61. $\theta = \frac{3\pi}{4}$

63. $\theta = \arctan(2)$

65. $r = \sqrt{117}$
67. $x = \frac{1}{\cos(\theta) - 3\sin(\theta)}$
69. $r = 4 \csc(\theta) \cot(\theta)$
71. $r = 4 \cos(\theta)$
73. $r = 7 \sin(\theta)$
75. $r = 6 \sin(\theta)$
77. $x^2 + y^2 = 49$
79. $x^2 + y^2 = 2$
81. $y = -\sqrt{3}x$
83. $x = 0$
85. $5x^2 + 5y^2 = x$ or $\left(x - \frac{1}{10}\right)^2 + y^2 = \frac{1}{100}$
87. $x^2 + y^2 = -2y$ or $x^2 + (y+1)^2 = 1$
89. $y = \frac{1}{12}$
91. $y = -\sqrt{5}$
93. $y^2 = -x$
95. $(x^2 + 2x + y^2)^2 = x^2 + y^2$
97. Any point of the form $(0, \theta)$ will work, e.g. $(0, \pi), (0, -117), \left(0, \frac{23\pi}{4}\right)$ and $(0, 0)$.
- Section 1.6**
- $z = 9 + 9i = 9\sqrt{2} \operatorname{cis}\left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right)$, $\operatorname{Re}(z) = 9$, $\operatorname{Im}(z) = 9$, $|z| = 9\sqrt{2}$, $\arg(z) = \{\frac{\pi}{4} + 2\pi k \mid k \text{ is an integer}\}$ and $\operatorname{Arg}(z) = \frac{\pi}{4}$.
 - $z = 6i = 6 \operatorname{cis}\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)$, $\operatorname{Re}(z) = 0$, $\operatorname{Im}(z) = 6$, $|z| = 6$, $\arg(z) = \{\frac{\pi}{2} + 2\pi k \mid k \text{ is an integer}\}$ and $\operatorname{Arg}(z) = \frac{\pi}{2}$.
 - $z = -6\sqrt{3} + 6i = 12 \operatorname{cis}\left(\frac{5\pi}{6}\right)$, $\operatorname{Re}(z) = -6\sqrt{3}$, $\operatorname{Im}(z) = 6$, $|z| = 12$, $\arg(z) = \{\frac{5\pi}{6} + 2\pi k \mid k \text{ is an integer}\}$ and $\operatorname{Arg}(z) = \frac{5\pi}{6}$.
 - $z = -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} - \frac{1}{2}i = \operatorname{cis}\left(\frac{7\pi}{6}\right)$, $\operatorname{Re}(z) = -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}$, $\operatorname{Im}(z) = -\frac{1}{2}$, $|z| = 1$, $\arg(z) = \{\frac{7\pi}{6} + 2\pi k \mid k \text{ is an integer}\}$ and $\operatorname{Arg}(z) = -\frac{5\pi}{6}$.
 - $z = -5i = 5 \operatorname{cis}\left(\frac{3\pi}{2}\right)$, $\operatorname{Re}(z) = 0$, $\operatorname{Im}(z) = -5$, $|z| = 5$, $\arg(z) = \{\frac{3\pi}{2} + 2\pi k \mid k \text{ is an integer}\}$ and $\operatorname{Arg}(z) = -\frac{\pi}{2}$.
 - $z = 6 = 6 \operatorname{cis}(0)$, $\operatorname{Re}(z) = 6$, $\operatorname{Im}(z) = 0$, $|z| = 6$, $\arg(z) = \{2\pi k \mid k \text{ is an integer}\}$ and $\operatorname{Arg}(z) = 0$.
 - $z = 3 + 4i = 5 \operatorname{cis}\left(\arctan\left(\frac{4}{3}\right)\right)$, $\operatorname{Re}(z) = 3$, $\operatorname{Im}(z) = 4$, $|z| = 5$, $\arg(z) = \{\arctan\left(\frac{4}{3}\right) + 2\pi k \mid k \text{ is an integer}\}$ and $\operatorname{Arg}(z) = \arctan\left(\frac{4}{3}\right)$.
 - $z = -7 + 24i = 25 \operatorname{cis}\left(\pi - \arctan\left(\frac{24}{7}\right)\right)$, $\operatorname{Re}(z) = -7$, $\operatorname{Im}(z) = 24$, $|z| = 25$, $\arg(z) = \{\pi - \arctan\left(\frac{24}{7}\right) + 2\pi k \mid k \text{ is an integer}\}$ and $\operatorname{Arg}(z) = \pi - \arctan\left(\frac{24}{7}\right)$.
 - $z = -12 - 5i = 13 \operatorname{cis}\left(\pi + \arctan\left(\frac{5}{12}\right)\right)$, $\operatorname{Re}(z) = -12$, $\operatorname{Im}(z) = -5$, $|z| = 13$, $\arg(z) = \{\pi + \arctan\left(\frac{5}{12}\right) + 2\pi k \mid k \text{ is an integer}\}$ and $\operatorname{Arg}(z) = \arctan\left(\frac{5}{12}\right) - \pi$.
 - $z = 4 - 2i = 2\sqrt{5} \operatorname{cis}\left(\arctan\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)\right)$, $\operatorname{Re}(z) = 4$, $\operatorname{Im}(z) = -2$, $|z| = 2\sqrt{5}$, $\arg(z) = \{\arctan\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right) + 2\pi k \mid k \text{ is an integer}\}$ and $\operatorname{Arg}(z) = \arctan\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right) = -\arctan\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)$.
 - $z = 6 \operatorname{cis}(0) = 6$
 - $z = 7\sqrt{2} \operatorname{cis}\left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right) = 7 + 7i$
 - $z = 4 \operatorname{cis}\left(\frac{2\pi}{3}\right) = -2 + 2i\sqrt{3}$
 - $z = 9 \operatorname{cis}(\pi) = -9$
 - $z = 7 \operatorname{cis}\left(-\frac{3\pi}{4}\right) = -\frac{7\sqrt{2}}{2} - \frac{7\sqrt{2}}{2}i$
 - $z = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{cis}\left(\frac{7\pi}{4}\right) = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{4} - i\frac{\sqrt{2}}{4}$
 - $z = 8 \operatorname{cis}\left(\frac{\pi}{12}\right) = 4\sqrt{2 + \sqrt{3}} + 4i\sqrt{2 - \sqrt{3}}$
 - $z = 5 \operatorname{cis}\left(\arctan\left(\frac{4}{3}\right)\right) = 3 + 4i$
 - $z = 15 \operatorname{cis}(\arctan(-2)) = 3\sqrt{5} - 6i\sqrt{5}$
 - $z = 50 \operatorname{cis}\left(\pi - \arctan\left(\frac{7}{24}\right)\right) = -48 + 14i$
 41. Since $z = -\frac{3\sqrt{3}}{2} + \frac{3}{2}i = 3 \operatorname{cis}\left(\frac{5\pi}{6}\right)$ and $w = 3\sqrt{2} - 3i\sqrt{2} = 6 \operatorname{cis}\left(-\frac{\pi}{4}\right)$, we have $zw = 18 \operatorname{cis}\left(\frac{7\pi}{12}\right)$
 43. Since $z = 3 \operatorname{cis}\left(\frac{5\pi}{6}\right)$ and $w = 6 \operatorname{cis}\left(-\frac{\pi}{4}\right)$, $\frac{w}{z} = 2 \operatorname{cis}\left(\frac{11\pi}{12}\right)$
 45. Since $z = 3 \operatorname{cis}\left(\frac{5\pi}{6}\right)$ and $w = 6 \operatorname{cis}\left(-\frac{\pi}{4}\right)$, $w^3 = 216 \operatorname{cis}\left(-\frac{3\pi}{4}\right)$
 47. Since $z = 3 \operatorname{cis}\left(\frac{5\pi}{6}\right)$ and $w = 6 \operatorname{cis}\left(-\frac{\pi}{4}\right)$, $z^3 w^2 = 972 \operatorname{cis}(0)$
 49. Since $z = 3 \operatorname{cis}\left(\frac{5\pi}{6}\right)$ and $w = 6 \operatorname{cis}\left(-\frac{\pi}{4}\right)$, $\frac{w^2}{z^2} = \frac{2}{3} \operatorname{cis}\left(\frac{\pi}{12}\right)$
 51. Since $z = 3 \operatorname{cis}\left(\frac{5\pi}{6}\right)$ and $w = 6 \operatorname{cis}\left(-\frac{\pi}{4}\right)$, $\frac{w^2}{z^3} = \frac{4}{3} \operatorname{cis}(\pi)$
 53. $(-2 + 2i\sqrt{3})^3 = 64$
 55. $(-3 + 3i)^4 = -324$
 57. $\left(\frac{5}{2} + \frac{5}{2}i\right)^3 = -\frac{125}{4} + \frac{125}{4}i$
 59. $\left(\frac{3}{2} - \frac{3}{2}i\right)^3 = -\frac{27}{4} - \frac{27}{4}i$
 61. $\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}i\right)^4 = -1$
 63. $(\sqrt{3} - i)^5 = -16\sqrt{3} - 16i$
 65. Since $z = 4i = 4 \operatorname{cis}\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)$ we have
 $w_0 = 2 \operatorname{cis}\left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right) = \sqrt{2} + i\sqrt{2}$
 $w_1 = 2 \operatorname{cis}\left(\frac{5\pi}{4}\right) = -\sqrt{2} - i\sqrt{2}$
 67. Since $z = 1 + i\sqrt{3} = 2 \operatorname{cis}\left(\frac{\pi}{3}\right)$ we have
 $w_0 = \sqrt{2} \operatorname{cis}\left(\frac{\pi}{6}\right) = \frac{\sqrt{6}}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}i$
 $w_1 = \sqrt{2} \operatorname{cis}\left(\frac{7\pi}{6}\right) = -\frac{\sqrt{6}}{2} - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}i$
 69. Since $z = 64 = 64 \operatorname{cis}(0)$ we have
 $w_0 = 4 \operatorname{cis}(0) = 4$
 $w_1 = 4 \operatorname{cis}\left(\frac{2\pi}{3}\right) = -2 + 2i\sqrt{3}$
 $w_2 = 4 \operatorname{cis}\left(\frac{4\pi}{3}\right) = -2 - 2i\sqrt{3}$
 71. Since $z = i = \operatorname{cis}\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)$ we have
 $w_0 = \operatorname{cis}\left(\frac{\pi}{6}\right) = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} + \frac{1}{2}i$
 $w_1 = \operatorname{cis}\left(\frac{5\pi}{6}\right) = -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} + \frac{1}{2}i$
 $w_2 = \operatorname{cis}\left(\frac{3\pi}{2}\right) = -i$
 73. Since $z = 16 = 16 \operatorname{cis}(0)$ we have
 $w_0 = 2 \operatorname{cis}(0) = 2$
 $w_1 = 2 \operatorname{cis}\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right) = 2i$
 $w_2 = 2 \operatorname{cis}\left(\frac{\pi}{4}\right) = -2$
 $w_3 = 2 \operatorname{cis}\left(\frac{3\pi}{2}\right) = -2i$
 75. Since $z = 64 = 64 \operatorname{cis}(0)$ we have
 $w_0 = 2 \operatorname{cis}(0) = 2$
 $w_1 = 2 \operatorname{cis}\left(\frac{\pi}{3}\right) = 1 + \sqrt{3}i$
 $w_2 = 2 \operatorname{cis}\left(\frac{2\pi}{3}\right) = -1 + \sqrt{3}i$
 $w_3 = 2 \operatorname{cis}(\pi) = -2$
 $w_4 = 2 \operatorname{cis}\left(-\frac{2\pi}{3}\right) = -1 - \sqrt{3}i$
 $w_5 = 2 \operatorname{cis}\left(-\frac{\pi}{3}\right) = 1 - \sqrt{3}i$

77. Note: In the answers for w_0 and w_1 the first rectangular form comes from applying the appropriate Sum or Difference Identity ($\frac{\pi}{12} = \frac{\pi}{3} - \frac{\pi}{4}$ and $\frac{17\pi}{12} = \frac{2\pi}{3} + \frac{3\pi}{4}$, respectively) and the second comes from using the Half-Angle Identities.

$$w_0 = \sqrt[3]{2} \operatorname{cis} \left(\frac{\pi}{12} \right) = \sqrt[3]{2} \left(\frac{\sqrt{6}+\sqrt{2}}{4} + i \left(\frac{\sqrt{6}-\sqrt{2}}{4} \right) \right) =$$

$$\sqrt[3]{2} \left(\frac{\sqrt{2}+\sqrt{3}}{2} + i \frac{\sqrt{2}-\sqrt{3}}{2} \right)$$

$$w_1 = \sqrt[3]{2} \operatorname{cis} \left(\frac{3\pi}{4} \right) = \sqrt[3]{2} \left(-\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}i \right)$$

$$w_2 = \sqrt[3]{2} \operatorname{cis} \left(\frac{17\pi}{12} \right) = \sqrt[3]{2} \left(\frac{\sqrt{2}-\sqrt{6}}{4} + i \left(\frac{-\sqrt{2}-\sqrt{6}}{4} \right) \right) =$$

$$\sqrt[3]{2} \left(\frac{\sqrt{2}-\sqrt{3}}{2} + i \frac{\sqrt{2}+\sqrt{3}}{2} \right)$$

79.

81.

Chapter 2


Section 2.1

1. right hand
3. curve (a parabola); surface (a cylinder)
5. a hyperboloid of two sheets
7. $\|\overline{AB}\| = \sqrt{6}$; $\|\overline{BC}\| = \sqrt{17}$; $\|\overline{AC}\| = \sqrt{11}$. Yes, it is a right triangle as $\|\overline{AB}\|^2 + \|\overline{AC}\|^2 = \|\overline{BC}\|^2$.
9. Center at $(4, -1, 0)$; radius = 3
11. Interior of a sphere with radius 1 centered at the origin.
13. The first octant of space; all points (x, y, z) where each of x, y and z are positive. (Analogous to the first quadrant in the plane.)

Section 2.2


1. Answers will vary.
3. A vector with magnitude 1.
5. It stretches the vector by a factor of 2, and points it in the opposite direction.
7. $\vec{v}PQ = \langle -4, 4 \rangle = -4\vec{i} + 4\vec{j}$
9. $\vec{v}PQ = \langle 2, 2, 0 \rangle = 2\vec{i} + 2\vec{j}$
11. (a) $\vec{u} + \vec{v} = \langle 3, 2, 1 \rangle$; $\vec{u} - \vec{v} = \langle -1, 0, -3 \rangle$;
 $\pi\vec{u} - \sqrt{2}\vec{v} = \langle \pi - 2\sqrt{2}, \pi - \sqrt{2}, -\pi - 2\sqrt{2} \rangle$.
(c) $\vec{x} = \langle -1, 0, -3 \rangle$.

13.



Sketch of $\vec{u} - \vec{v}$ shifted for clarity.

15.



$$17. \|\vec{u}\| = \sqrt{17}, \|\vec{v}\| = \sqrt{3}, \|\vec{u} + \vec{v}\| = \sqrt{14}, \|\vec{u} - \vec{v}\| = \sqrt{26}$$

$$19. \|\vec{u}\| = 7, \|\vec{v}\| = 35, \|\vec{u} + \vec{v}\| = 42, \|\vec{u} - \vec{v}\| = 28$$

$$21. \vec{u} = \langle 3/\sqrt{30}, 7/\sqrt{30} \rangle$$

$$23. \vec{u} = \langle 1/3, -2/3, 2/3 \rangle$$

$$25. \vec{u} = \langle \cos 50^\circ, \sin 50^\circ \rangle \approx \langle 0.643, 0.766 \rangle.$$

27.

$$\begin{aligned} \|\vec{u}\| &= \sqrt{\sin^2 \theta \cos^2 \varphi + \sin^2 \theta \sin^2 \varphi + \cos^2 \theta} \\ &= \sqrt{\sin^2 \theta (\cos^2 \varphi + \sin^2 \varphi) + \cos^2 \theta} \\ &= \sqrt{\sin^2 \theta + \cos^2 \theta} \\ &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

29. The force on each chain is 100lb.

31. The force on each chain is 50lb.

33. $\theta = 5.71^\circ$; the weight is lifted 0.005 ft (about 1/16th of an inch).

35. $\theta = 84.29^\circ$; the weight is lifted 9 ft.

Section 2.3

1. Scalar
3. By considering the sign of the dot product of the two vectors. If the dot product is positive, the angle is acute; if the dot product is negative, the angle is obtuse.
5. -22
7. 3
9. not defined
11. Answers will vary.
13. $\theta = 0.3218 \approx 18.43^\circ$
15. $\theta = \pi/4 = 45^\circ$
17. Answers will vary; two possible answers are $\langle -7, 4 \rangle$ and $\langle 14, -8 \rangle$.
19. Answers will vary; two possible answers are $\langle 1, 0, -1 \rangle$ and $\langle 4, 5, -9 \rangle$.
21. $\operatorname{proj}_{\vec{v}} \vec{u} = \langle -1/2, 3/2 \rangle$.
23. $\operatorname{proj}_{\vec{v}} \vec{u} = \langle -1/2, -1/2 \rangle$.
25. $\operatorname{proj}_{\vec{v}} \vec{u} = \langle 1, 2, 3 \rangle$.
27. $\vec{u} = \langle -1/2, 3/2 \rangle + \langle 3/2, 1/2 \rangle$.
29. $\vec{u} = \langle -1/2, -1/2 \rangle + \langle -5/2, 5/2 \rangle$.
31. $\vec{u} = \langle 1, 2, 3 \rangle + \langle 0, 3, -2 \rangle$.
33. 1.96lb
35. 141.42ft-lb
37. 500ft-lb
39. 500ft-lb

Section 2.4

1. vector
3. "Perpendicular" is one answer.
5. Torque
7. $\vec{u} \times \vec{v} = \langle 11, 1, -17 \rangle$
9. $\vec{u} \times \vec{v} = \langle 47, -36, -44 \rangle$
11. $\vec{u} \times \vec{v} = \langle 0, 0, 0 \rangle$
13. $\vec{i} \times \vec{k} = -\vec{j}$
15. Answers will vary.

17. 5
 19. 0
 21. $\sqrt{14}$
 23. 3
 25. $5\sqrt{2}/2$
 27. 1
 29. 7
 31. 2
 33. $\pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \langle 1, 1, -2 \rangle$
 35. $\langle 0, \pm 1, 0 \rangle$
 37. 87.5ft-lb
 39. $200/3 \approx 66.67$ ft-lb
 41. With $\vec{u} = \langle u_1, u_2, u_3 \rangle$ and $\vec{v} = \langle v_1, v_2, v_3 \rangle$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\vec{u} \cdot (\vec{u} \times \vec{v}) &= \langle u_1, u_2, u_3 \rangle \cdot (\langle u_2 v_3 - u_3 v_2, -(u_1 v_3 - u_3 v_1), u_1 v_2 - u_2 v_1 \rangle) \\ &= u_1(u_2 v_3 - u_3 v_2) - u_2(u_1 v_3 - u_3 v_1) + u_3(u_1 v_2 - u_2 v_1) \\ &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

Section 2.5

1. A point on the line and the direction of the line.
 3. parallel, skew
 5. vector: $\ell(t) = \langle 2, -4, 1 \rangle + t \langle 9, 2, 5 \rangle$
 parametric: $x = 2 + 9t, y = -4 + 2t, z = 1 + 5t$
 symmetric: $(x - 2)/9 = (y + 4)/2 = (z - 1)/5$
 7. Answers can vary: vector: $\ell(t) = \langle 2, 1, 5 \rangle + t \langle 5, -3, -1 \rangle$
 parametric: $x = 2 + 5t, y = 1 - 3t, z = 5 - t$
 symmetric: $(x - 2)/5 = -(y - 1)/3 = -(z - 5)$
 9. Answers can vary; here the direction is given by $\vec{d}_1 \times \vec{d}_2$: vector:
 $\ell(t) = \langle 0, 1, 2 \rangle + t \langle -10, 43, 9 \rangle$
 parametric: $x = -10t, y = 1 + 43t, z = 2 + 9t$
 symmetric: $-x/10 = (y - 1)/43 = (z - 2)/9$
 11. Answers can vary; here the direction is given by $\vec{d}_1 \times \vec{d}_2$: vector:
 $\ell(t) = \langle 7, 2, -1 \rangle + t \langle 1, -1, 2 \rangle$
 parametric: $x = 7 + t, y = 2 - t, z = -1 + 2t$
 symmetric: $x - 7 = 2 - y = (z + 1)/2$
 13. vector: $\ell(t) = \langle 1, 1 \rangle + t \langle 2, 3 \rangle$
 parametric: $x = 1 + 2t, y = 1 + 3t$
 symmetric: $(x - 1)/2 = (y - 1)/3$
 15. parallel
 17. intersecting, $\vec{\ell}_1(3) = \vec{\ell}_2(4) = \langle 9, -5, 13 \rangle$
 19. skew
 21. same
 23. $\sqrt{41}/3$
 25. $5\sqrt{2}/2$
 27. $3/\sqrt{2}$
 29. Since both P and Q are on the line, $\vec{v}PQ$ is parallel to \vec{d} . Thus $\vec{v}PQ \times \vec{d} = \vec{0}$, giving a distance of 0.
 31. (a) The distance formula cannot be used because since \vec{d}_1 and \vec{d}_2 are parallel, \vec{c} is $\vec{0}$ and we cannot divide by $\|\vec{0}\|$.
 (b) Since \vec{d}_1 and \vec{d}_2 are parallel, $\vec{v}P_1P_2$ lies in the plane formed by the two lines. Thus $\vec{v}P_1P_2 \times \vec{d}_2$ is orthogonal to this plane, and $\vec{c} = (\vec{v}P_1P_2 \times \vec{d}_2) \times \vec{d}_2$ is parallel to the plane, but still orthogonal to both \vec{d}_1 and \vec{d}_2 . We desire the length of the projection of $\vec{v}P_1P_2$ onto \vec{c} , which is what the formula provides.

- (c) Since the lines are parallel, one can measure the distance between the lines at any location on either line (just as to find the distance between straight railroad tracks, one can use a measuring tape anywhere along the track, not just at one specific place.) Let $P = P_1$ and $Q = P_2$ as given by the equations of the lines, and apply the formula for distance between a point and a line.

Section 2.6

1. A point in the plane and a normal vector (i.e., a direction orthogonal to the plane).
 3. Answers will vary.
 5. Answers will vary.
 7. Standard form: $3(x - 2) - (y - 3) + 7(z - 4) = 0$
 general form: $3x - y + 7z = 31$
 9. Answers may vary;
 Standard form: $8(x - 1) + 4(y - 2) - 4(z - 3) = 0$
 general form: $8x + 4y - 4z = 4$
 11. Answers may vary;
 Standard form: $-7(x - 2) + 2(y - 1) + (z - 2) = 0$
 general form: $-7x + 2y + z = -10$
 13. Answers may vary;
 Standard form: $2(x - 1) - (y - 1) = 0$
 general form: $2x - y = 1$
 15. Answers may vary;
 Standard form: $2(x - 2) - (y + 6) - 4(z - 1) = 0$
 general form: $2x - y - 4z = 6$
 17. Answers may vary;
 Standard form: $(x - 5) + (y - 7) + (z - 3) = 0$
 general form: $x + y + z = 15$
 19. Answers may vary;
 Standard form: $3(x + 4) + 8(y - 7) - 10(z - 2) = 0$
 general form: $3x + 8y - 10z = 24$
 21. Answers may vary:

$$\ell = \begin{cases} x = 14t \\ y = -1 - 10t \\ z = 2 - 8t \end{cases}$$

 23. $(-3, -7, -5)$
 25. No point of intersection; the plane and line are parallel.
 27. $\sqrt{5/7}$
 29. $1/\sqrt{3}$
 31. If P is any point in the plane, and Q is also in the plane, then $\vec{v}PQ$ lies parallel to the plane and is orthogonal to \vec{n} , the normal vector. Thus $\vec{n} \cdot \vec{v}PQ = 0$, giving the distance as 0.

Chapter 3

Section 3.1

1. y
 3. y
 5. n
 7. y
 9. y
 11. $x = 1, y = -2$
 13. $x = -1, y = 0, z = 2$
 15. 29 chickens and 33 pigs

Section 3.2

1. $\begin{bmatrix} 3 & 4 & 5 & 7 \\ -1 & 1 & -3 & 1 \\ 2 & -2 & 3 & 5 \end{bmatrix}$

3. $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & -4 & 5 & 17 \\ -1 & 0 & 4 & 8 & 1 \\ 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 \end{bmatrix}$

5. $x_1 + 2x_2 = 3$
 $-x_1 + 3x_2 = 9$

7. $x_1 + x_2 - x_3 - x_4 = 2$
 $2x_1 + x_2 + 3x_3 + 5x_4 = 7$

9. $x_1 + x_3 + 7x_5 = 2$
 $x_2 + 3x_3 + 2x_4 = 5$

11. $\begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 & 7 \\ 5 & 0 & 3 \\ 0 & 4 & -2 \end{bmatrix}$

13. $\begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 & 7 \\ 0 & 4 & -2 \\ 5 & 8 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$

15. $\begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 & 7 \\ 0 & 4 & -2 \\ 0 & 5/2 & -29/2 \end{bmatrix}$

17. $R_1 + R_2 \rightarrow R_2$

19. $R_1 \leftrightarrow R_2$

21. $x = 2, y = 1$

23. $x = -1, y = 0$

25. $x_1 = -2, x_2 = 1, x_3 = 2$

Section 3.3

1. (a) yes (c) no
(b) no (d) yes

3. (a) no (c) yes
(b) yes (d) yes

5. $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$

7. $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$

9. $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 3 \\ 0 & 1 & 7 \end{bmatrix}$

11. $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$

13. $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$

15. $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$

17. $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$

19. $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 3 \\ 0 & 1 & -2 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$

21. $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 3 & 1 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$

Section 3.4

1. $x_1 = 1 - 2x_2$; x_2 is free. Possible solutions: $x_1 = 1, x_2 = 0$ and $x_1 = -1, x_2 = 1$.

3. $x_1 = 1; x_2 = 2$

5. No solution; the system is inconsistent.

7. $x_1 = -11 + 10x_3$; $x_2 = -4 + 4x_3$; x_3 is free. Possible solutions: $x_1 = -11, x_2 = -4, x_3 = 0$ and $x_1 = -1, x_2 = 0$ and $x_3 = 1$.

9. $x_1 = 1 - x_2 - x_4$; x_2 is free; $x_3 = 1 - 2x_4$; x_4 is free. Possible solutions: $x_1 = 1, x_2 = 0, x_3 = 1, x_4 = 0$ and $x_1 = -2, x_2 = 1, x_3 = -3, x_4 = 2$

11. No solution; the system is inconsistent.

13. $x_1 = \frac{1}{3} - \frac{4}{3}x_3$; $x_2 = \frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{3}x_3$; x_3 is free. Possible solutions: $x_1 = \frac{1}{3}, x_2 = \frac{1}{3}, x_3 = 0$ and $x_1 = -1, x_2 = 0, x_3 = 1$

15. Never exactly 1 solution; infinite solutions if $k = 2$; no solution if $k \neq 2$.

17. Exactly 1 solution if $k \neq 2$; no solution if $k = 2$; never infinite solutions.

Section 3.5

1. 29 chickens and 33 pigs

3. 42 grande tables, 22 venti tables

5. 30 men, 15 women, 20 kids

7. $f(x) = -2x + 10$

9. $f(x) = \frac{1}{2}x^2 + 3x + 1$

11. $f(x) = 3$

13. $f(x) = x^3 + 1$

15. $f(x) = \frac{3}{2}x + 1$

17. The augmented matrix from this system is

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 8 \\ 6 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 24 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

From this we find the solution

$$t = \frac{8}{3} - \frac{1}{3}f$$

$$x = \frac{8}{3} - \frac{1}{3}f$$

$$w = \frac{8}{3} - \frac{1}{3}f.$$

The only time each of these variables are nonnegative integers is when $f = 2$ or $f = 8$. If $f = 2$, then we have 2 touchdowns, 2 extra points and 2 two point conversions (and 2 field goals); this doesn't make sense since the extra points and two point conversions follow touchdowns. If $f = 8$, then we have no touchdowns, extra points or two point conversions (just 8 field goals). This is the only solution; all points were scored from field goals.

19. Let x_1, x_2 and x_3 represent the number of free throws, 2 point and 3 point shots taken. The augmented matrix from this system is

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 30 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & 80 \end{bmatrix}.$$

From this we find the solution

$$x_1 = -20 + x_3$$

$$x_2 = 50 - 2x_3.$$

In order for x_1 and x_2 to be nonnegative, we need $20 \leq x_3 \leq 25$. Thus there are 6 different scenarios: the "first" is where 20 three point shots are taken, no free throws, and 10 two point shots; the "last" is where 25 three point shots are taken, 5 free throws, and no two point shots.

21. Let $y = ax + b$; all linear functions through (1,3) come in the form $y = (3-b)x + b$. Examples: $b = 0$ yields $y = 3x$; $b = 2$ yields $y = x + 2$.

23. Let $y = ax^2 + bx + c$; we find that $a = -\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}c$ and $b = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{3}{2}c$.
 Examples: $c = 1$ yields $y = -x + 1$; $c = 3$ yields $y = x^2 - 4x + 3$.

Chapter 4

Section 4.1

1. $\begin{bmatrix} -2 & -1 \\ 12 & 13 \end{bmatrix}$

3. $\begin{bmatrix} 2 & -2 \\ 14 & 8 \end{bmatrix}$

5. $\begin{bmatrix} 9 & -7 \\ 11 & -6 \end{bmatrix}$

7. $\begin{bmatrix} -14 \\ 6 \end{bmatrix}$

9. $\begin{bmatrix} -15 \\ -25 \end{bmatrix}$

11. $X = \begin{bmatrix} -5 & 9 \\ -1 & -14 \end{bmatrix}$

13. $X = \begin{bmatrix} -5 & -2 \\ -9/2 & -19/2 \end{bmatrix}$

15. $a = 2, b = 1$

17. $a = 5/2 + 3/2b$

19. No solution.

21. No solution.

Section 4.2

1. -22

3. 0

5. 5

7. 15

9. -2

11. Not possible.

13. $AB = \begin{bmatrix} 8 & 3 \\ 10 & -9 \end{bmatrix}$

$BA = \begin{bmatrix} -3 & 24 \\ 4 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$

15. $AB = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & -2 & 12 \\ 10 & 4 & 32 \end{bmatrix}$

BA is not possible.

17. AB is not possible.

$BA = \begin{bmatrix} 27 & -33 & 39 \\ -27 & -3 & -15 \end{bmatrix}$

19. $AB = \begin{bmatrix} -32 & 34 & -24 \\ -32 & 38 & -8 \\ -16 & 21 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$

$BA = \begin{bmatrix} 22 & -14 \\ -4 & -12 \end{bmatrix}$

21. $AB = \begin{bmatrix} -56 & 2 & -36 \\ 20 & 19 & -30 \\ -50 & -13 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$

$BA = \begin{bmatrix} -46 & 40 \\ 72 & 9 \end{bmatrix}$

23. $AB = \begin{bmatrix} -15 & -22 & -21 & -1 \\ 16 & -53 & -59 & -31 \end{bmatrix}$

BA is not possible.

25. $AB = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 4 \\ 6 & 4 & -2 \\ 2 & -4 & -6 \end{bmatrix}$

$BA = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -2 & 6 \\ 2 & 2 & 4 \\ 4 & 0 & -6 \end{bmatrix}$

27. $AB = \begin{bmatrix} 21 & -17 & -5 \\ 19 & 5 & 19 \\ 5 & 9 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$

$BA = \begin{bmatrix} 19 & 5 & 23 \\ 5 & -7 & -1 \\ -14 & 6 & 18 \end{bmatrix}$

29. $DA = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & -6 \\ 4 & -6 \end{bmatrix}$

$AD = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 8 \\ -3 & -6 \end{bmatrix}$

31. $DA = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 & 2 \\ -6 & -6 & -6 \\ -15 & -15 & -15 \end{bmatrix}$ $AD = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -3 & 5 \\ 4 & -6 & 10 \\ -6 & 9 & -15 \end{bmatrix}$

33. $DA = \begin{bmatrix} d_1a & d_1b & d_1c \\ d_2d & d_2e & d_2f \\ d_3g & d_3h & d_3i \end{bmatrix}$ $AD = \begin{bmatrix} d_1a & d_2b & d_3c \\ d_1d & d_2e & d_3f \\ d_1g & d_2h & d_3i \end{bmatrix}$

35. $A\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} -6 \\ 11 \end{bmatrix}$

37. $A\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} -5 \\ 5 \\ 21 \end{bmatrix}$

39. $A\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 + 2x_2 + 3x_3 \\ x_1 + 2x_3 \\ 2x_1 + 3x_2 + x_3 \end{bmatrix}$

41. $A^2 = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 0 \\ 0 & 9 \end{bmatrix}; A^3 = \begin{bmatrix} 8 & 0 \\ 0 & 27 \end{bmatrix}$

43. $A^2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}; A^3 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$

45. (a) $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & -2 \\ -5 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$

(b) $\begin{bmatrix} 10 & 2 \\ 5 & 11 \end{bmatrix}$

(c) $\begin{bmatrix} -11 & -15 \\ 37 & 32 \end{bmatrix}$


(d) No.

(e) $(A+B)(A+B) = AA+AB+BA+BB = A^2+AB+BA+B^2$.

Section 4.3


1. $\vec{x} + \vec{y} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}, \vec{x} - \vec{y} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix}$

Sketches will vary depending on choice of origin of each vector.




3. $\vec{x} + \vec{y} = \begin{bmatrix} -3 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}, \vec{x} - \vec{y} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$


Sketches will vary depending on choice of origin of each vector.



5. Sketches will vary depending on choice of origin of each vector.



7. Sketches will vary depending on choice of origin of each vector.



9. $\|\vec{x}\| = \sqrt{5}; \|\alpha\vec{x}\| = \sqrt{45} = 3\sqrt{5}$. The vector $a\vec{x}$ is 3 times as long as \vec{x} .

11. $\|\vec{x}\| = \sqrt{34}; \|\alpha\vec{x}\| = \sqrt{34}$. The vectors $a\vec{x}$ and \vec{x} are the same length (they just point in opposite directions).



13. (a) $\|\vec{x}\| = \sqrt{2}; \|\vec{y}\| = \sqrt{13}; \|\vec{x} + \vec{y}\| = 5$.

(b) $\|\vec{x}\| = \sqrt{5}; \|\vec{y}\| = 3\sqrt{5}; \|\vec{x} + \vec{y}\| = 4\sqrt{5}$.

(c) $\|\vec{x}\| = \sqrt{10}; \|\vec{y}\| = \sqrt{29}; \|\vec{x} + \vec{y}\| = \sqrt{65}$.

(d) $\|\vec{x}\| = \sqrt{5}; \|\vec{y}\| = 2\sqrt{5}; \|\vec{x} + \vec{y}\| = \sqrt{5}$.

The equality holds sometimes; only when \vec{x} and \vec{y} point along the same line, in the same direction.



17.

Section 4.4

1. Multiply $A\vec{u}$ and $A\vec{v}$ to verify.

3. Multiply $A\vec{u}$ and $A\vec{v}$ to verify.

5. Multiply $A\vec{u}$ and $A\vec{v}$ to verify.

7. Multiply $A\vec{u}$, $A\vec{v}$ and $A(\vec{u} + \vec{v})$ to verify.

9. Multiply $A\vec{u}$, $A\vec{v}$ and $A(\vec{u} + \vec{v})$ to verify.

11. (a) $\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$

(b) $\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 2/5 \\ -13/5 \end{bmatrix}$

13. (a) $\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$

(b) $\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ -9/4 \end{bmatrix}$

15. (a) $\vec{x} = x_3 \begin{bmatrix} 5/4 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$

(b) $\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + x_3 \begin{bmatrix} 5/4 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$

17. (a) $\vec{x} = x_3 \begin{bmatrix} 14 \\ -10 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$

(b) $\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} -4 \\ 2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + x_3 \begin{bmatrix} 14 \\ -10 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$

19. (a) $\vec{x} = x_3 \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 2/5 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + x_4 \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 2/5 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$

(b) $\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 2/5 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + x_3 \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 2/5 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + x_4 \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 2/5 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$


21. (a) $\vec{x} = x_2 \begin{bmatrix} -1/2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + x_4 \begin{bmatrix} 1/2 \\ 0 \\ -1/2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + x_5 \begin{bmatrix} 13/2 \\ 0 \\ -2 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$

(b) $\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} -5 \\ 0 \\ 3/2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + x_2 \begin{bmatrix} -1/2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + x_4 \begin{bmatrix} 1/2 \\ 0 \\ -1/2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + x_5 \begin{bmatrix} 13/2 \\ 0 \\ -2 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$


23. (a) $\vec{x} = x_4 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 13/9 \\ -1/3 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + x_5 \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \\ -1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$

$$(b) \vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1/9 \\ 5/3 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + x_4 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 13/9 \\ -1/3 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + x_5 \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \\ -1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$25. \vec{x} = x_2 \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = x_2 \vec{v}$$



$$27. \vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.5 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + x_2 \begin{bmatrix} 2.5 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \vec{x}_p + x_2 \vec{v}$$



Section 4.5

$$1. X = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -9 \\ -4 & -5 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$3. X = \begin{bmatrix} -2 & -7 \\ 7 & -6 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$5. X = \begin{bmatrix} -5 & 2 & -3 \\ -4 & -3 & -2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$7. X = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 3 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$9. X = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & -3 & 3 \\ 2 & -2 & -3 \\ -3 & -1 & -2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$11. X = \begin{bmatrix} 5/3 & 2/3 & 1 \\ -1/3 & 1/6 & 0 \\ 1/3 & 1/3 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Section 4.6

$$1. \begin{bmatrix} -24 & -5 \\ 5 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$3. \begin{bmatrix} 1/3 & 0 \\ 0 & 1/7 \end{bmatrix}$$

5. A^{-1} does not exist.

$$7. \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$9. \begin{bmatrix} -5/13 & 3/13 \\ 1/13 & 2/13 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$11. \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 1 \\ 3/2 & -1/2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$13. \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & -2 \\ 0 & 1 & -3 \\ 6 & 10 & -5 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$15. \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 52 & -48 & 7 \\ 8 & -7 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

17. A^{-1} does not exist.

$$19. \begin{bmatrix} 25 & 8 & 0 \\ 78 & 25 & 0 \\ -30 & -9 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$21. \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$23. \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -3 & -1 & 0 & -4 \\ -35 & -10 & 1 & -47 \\ -2 & -2 & 0 & -9 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$25. \begin{bmatrix} 28 & 18 & 3 & -19 \\ 5 & 1 & 0 & -5 \\ 4 & 5 & 1 & 0 \\ 52 & 60 & 12 & -15 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$27. \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$29. \vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$31. \vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} -8 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$33. \vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} -7 \\ 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$35. \vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ -1 \\ -9 \end{bmatrix}$$

Section 4.7

$$1. (AB)^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 3 \\ 1 & -1.4 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$3. (AB)^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 29/5 & -18/5 \\ -11/5 & 7/5 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$5. A^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} -2 & -5 \\ -1 & -3 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$(A^{-1})^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} -3 & 5 \\ 1 & -2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$7. A^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} -3 & 7 \\ 1 & -2 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$(A^{-1})^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 7 \\ 1 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

9. Solutions will vary.

11. Likely some entries that should be 0 will not be exactly 0, but rather very small values.

Chapter 5

Section 5.1

$$1. A \text{ is symmetric. } \begin{bmatrix} -7 & 4 \\ 4 & -6 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$3. A \text{ is diagonal, as is } A^T. \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 9 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$5. \begin{bmatrix} -5 & 3 & -10 \\ -9 & 1 & -8 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$7. \begin{bmatrix} 4 & -9 \\ -7 & 6 \\ -4 & 3 \\ -9 & -9 \end{bmatrix}$$

9. $\begin{bmatrix} -7 \\ -8 \\ 2 \\ -3 \end{bmatrix}$

11. $\begin{bmatrix} -9 & 6 & -8 \\ 4 & -3 & 1 \\ 10 & -7 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$

13. A is symmetric. $\begin{bmatrix} 4 & 0 & -2 \\ 0 & 2 & 3 \\ -2 & 3 & 6 \end{bmatrix}$

15. $\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 5 & 7 \\ -5 & 5 & -4 \\ -3 & -6 & -10 \end{bmatrix}$

17. $\begin{bmatrix} 4 & 5 & -6 \\ 2 & -4 & 6 \\ -9 & -10 & 9 \end{bmatrix}$

19. A is upper triangular; A^T is lower triangular. $\begin{bmatrix} -3 & 0 & 0 \\ -4 & -3 & 0 \\ -5 & 5 & -3 \end{bmatrix}$

21. A is diagonal, as is A^T . $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$

23. A is skew symmetric. $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 & 2 \\ 1 & 0 & -4 \\ -2 & 4 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$

Section 5.2

1. 6

3. 3

5. -9

7. 1

9. Not defined; the matrix must be square.

11. -23

13. 4

15. 0

17. (a) $\text{tr}(A)=8$; $\text{tr}(B)=-2$; $\text{tr}(A+B)=6$

(b) $\text{tr}(AB)=53=\text{tr}(BA)$

19. (a) $\text{tr}(A)=-1$; $\text{tr}(B)=6$; $\text{tr}(A+B)=5$

(b) $\text{tr}(AB)=201=\text{tr}(BA)$

Section 5.3

1. 34

3. -44

5. -44

7. 28

9. (a) The submatrices are $\begin{bmatrix} 7 & 6 \\ 6 & 10 \end{bmatrix}$, $\begin{bmatrix} 3 & 6 \\ 1 & 10 \end{bmatrix}$, and $\begin{bmatrix} 3 & 7 \\ 1 & 6 \end{bmatrix}$, respectively.

(b) $C_{1,2}=34$, $C_{1,2}=-24$, $C_{1,3}=11$

11. (a) The submatrices are $\begin{bmatrix} 3 & 10 \\ 3 & 9 \end{bmatrix}$, $\begin{bmatrix} -3 & 10 \\ -9 & 9 \end{bmatrix}$, and $\begin{bmatrix} -3 & 3 \\ -9 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$, respectively.

(b) $C_{1,2}=-3$, $C_{1,2}=-63$, $C_{1,3}=18$

13. -59

15. 15

17. 3

19. 0

21. 0

23. -113

25. Hint: $C_{1,1}=d$.

Section 5.4

1. 84

3. 0

5. 10

7. 24

9. 175

11. -200

13. 34

15. (a) $\det(A)=41$; $R_2 \leftrightarrow R_3$

(b) $\det(B)=164$; $-4R_3 \rightarrow R_3$

(c) $\det(C)=-41$; $R_2+R_1 \rightarrow R_1$

17. (a) $\det(A)=-16$; $R_1 \leftrightarrow R_2$ then $R_1 \leftrightarrow R_3$

(b) $\det(B)=-16$; $-R_1 \rightarrow R_1$ and $-R_2 \rightarrow R_2$

(c) $\det(C)=-432$; $C=3*M$

19. $\det(A)=4$, $\det(B)=4$, $\det(AB)=16$

21. $\det(A)=-12$, $\det(B)=29$, $\det(AB)=-348$

23. -59

25. 15

27. 3

29. 0

Section 5.5

1. (a) $\det(A)=14$, $\det(A_1)=70$, $\det(A_2)=14$

$$(b) \vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 5 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

3. (a) $\det(A)=0$, $\det(A_1)=0$, $\det(A_2)=0$

(b) Infinite solutions exist.

5. (a) $\det(A)=16$, $\det(A_1)=-64$, $\det(A_2)=80$

$$(b) \vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} -4 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix}$$

7. (a) $\det(A)=-123$, $\det(A_1)=-492$, $\det(A_2)=123$, $\det(A_3)=492$

$$(b) \vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ -1 \\ -4 \end{bmatrix}$$

9. (a) $\det(A)=56$, $\det(A_1)=224$, $\det(A_2)=0$, $\det(A_3)=-112$

$$(b) \vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 0 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix}$$

11. (a) $\det(A)=0$, $\det(A_1)=147$, $\det(A_2)=-49$, $\det(A_3)=-49$

(b) No solution exists.

Chapter 6

Section 6.1

1. $\lambda = 3$
3. $\lambda = 0$
5. $\lambda = 3$
7. $\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$
9. $\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ -7 \\ 7 \end{bmatrix}$
11. $\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$
13. $\lambda_1 = 4$ with $\vec{x}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 9 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$;
 $\lambda_2 = 5$ with $\vec{x}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 8 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$
15. $\lambda_1 = -3$ with $\vec{x}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$;
 $\lambda_2 = 5$ with $\vec{x}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 6 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$
17. $\lambda_1 = 2$ with $\vec{x}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$;
 $\lambda_2 = 4$ with $\vec{x}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$
19. $\lambda_1 = -1$ with $\vec{x}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$;
 $\lambda_2 = -3$ with $\vec{x}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$
21. $\lambda_1 = 3$ with $\vec{x}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -3 \\ 0 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$;
 $\lambda_2 = 4$ with $\vec{x}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -5 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$
 $\lambda_3 = 5$ with $\vec{x}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$
23. $\lambda_1 = -5$ with $\vec{x}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 24 \\ 13 \\ 8 \end{bmatrix}$;
 $\lambda_2 = -2$ with $\vec{x}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 6 \\ 5 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$
 $\lambda_3 = 3$ with $\vec{x}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$
25. $\lambda_1 = -2$ with $\vec{x}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$;
 $\lambda_2 = 1$ with $\vec{x}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 3 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix}$
 $\lambda_3 = 5$ with $\vec{x}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 28 \\ 7 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$
27. $\lambda_1 = -2$ with $\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$;
 $\lambda_2 = 3$ with $\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$;

$$\lambda_3 = 5 \text{ with } \vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Section 6.2

1. (a) $\lambda_1 = 1$ with $\vec{x}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$;
 $\lambda_2 = 4$ with $\vec{x}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$
- (b) $\lambda_1 = 1$ with $\vec{x}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$;
 $\lambda_2 = 4$ with $\vec{x}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}$
- (c) $\lambda_1 = 1/4$ with $\vec{x}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$;
 $\lambda_2 = 1$ with $\vec{x}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$
- (d) 5
- (e) 4
3. (a) $\lambda_1 = -1$ with $\vec{x}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -5 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$;
 $\lambda_2 = 0$ with $\vec{x}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -6 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$
- (b) $\lambda_1 = -1$ with $\vec{x}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 6 \end{bmatrix}$;
 $\lambda_2 = 0$ with $\vec{x}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix}$
- (c) A is not invertible.
- (d) -1
- (e) 0
5. (a) $\lambda_1 = -4$ with $\vec{x}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -7 \\ -7 \\ 6 \end{bmatrix}$;
 $\lambda_2 = 3$ with $\vec{x}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$
 $\lambda_3 = 4$ with $\vec{x}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 9 \\ 1 \\ 22 \end{bmatrix}$
- (b) $\lambda_1 = -4$ with $\vec{x}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 9 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$;
 $\lambda_2 = 3$ with $\vec{x}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -20 \\ 26 \\ 7 \end{bmatrix}$
 $\lambda_3 = 4$ with $\vec{x}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$
- (c) $\lambda_1 = -1/4$ with $\vec{x}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -7 \\ -7 \\ 6 \end{bmatrix}$;
 $\lambda_2 = 1/3$ with $\vec{x}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$
 $\lambda_3 = 1/4$ with $\vec{x}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 9 \\ 1 \\ 22 \end{bmatrix}$
- (d) 3
- (e) -48

Chapter 7

Section 7.1

1. $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$

3. $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$

5. $A = \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$

7. $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 3 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$

9. $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$

11. Yes, these are the same; the transformation matrix in each is $\begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$.

13. Yes, these are the same. Each produces the transformation matrix $\begin{bmatrix} 1/2 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$.

Section 7.2

1. Yes

3. No; cannot add a constant.

5. Yes.

7. $[T] = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & -5 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$


9. $[T] = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 3 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$

11. $[T] = [1 \ 2 \ 3 \ 4]$

Section 7.3


1. $\vec{x} + \vec{y} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}, \vec{x} - \vec{y} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ -4 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$

Sketches will vary slightly depending on orientation.




3. $\vec{x} + \vec{y} = \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 4 \\ 8 \end{bmatrix}, \vec{x} - \vec{y} = \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ -2 \\ -4 \end{bmatrix}$


Sketches will vary slightly depending on orientation.



5. Sketches may vary slightly.



7. Sketches may vary slightly.



9. $\|\vec{x}\| = \sqrt{30}, \|\alpha\vec{x}\| = \sqrt{120} = 2\sqrt{30}$

11. $\|\vec{x}\| = \sqrt{54} = 3\sqrt{6}, \|\alpha\vec{x}\| = \sqrt{270} = 15\sqrt{6}$

Index

- \in , 2
- \notin , 2
- n^{th} root
 - principal, 20
- n^{th} Roots of Unity, 70
- n^{th} root
 - of a complex number, 66, 67
- x-axis, 26
- x-coordinate, 26
- y-axis, 26
- y-coordinate, 26
- abscissa, 26
- antisymmetric, 265
- argument
 - of a complex number
 - definition of, 56
 - properties of, 60
- augmented matrix, 132
- base, 17
- basic variable, 155
- Cartesian coordinate plane, 26
- Cartesian coordinates, 26
- characteristic polynomial, 307
- $\text{cis}(\theta)$, 60
- cofactor, 276
 - expansion, 279, 287
- Complex Factorization Theorem, 40
- complex number
 - n^{th} root, 66, 67
 - n^{th} Roots of Unity, 70
 - argument
 - definition of, 56
 - properties of, 60
 - complex conjugate
 - definition of, 36
 - conjugate
 - properties of, 36
 - definition of, 4, 34, 56
 - imaginary part, 56
 - imaginary unit, i , 34
 - modulus
 - definition of, 56
 - properties of, 58
 - polar form
 - cis-notation, 61
 - principal argument, 56
 - real part, 56
 - rectangular form, 56
 - set of, 4
- complex numbers, 34
- complex plane, 56
- conjugate
 - complex conjugate
 - definition of, 36
- conjugate of a complex number
 - properties of, 36
- consistent, 152, 219, 220
- coordinates
 - Cartesian, 26
 - polar, 42
 - rectangular, 42
- Cramer's Rule, 299
- cross product
 - applications, 102
 - area of parallelogram, 103
 - torque, 104
 - volume of parallelepiped, 104
 - definition, 99
 - properties, 101
- DeMoivre's Theorem, 62
- determinant
 - and elementary row operations, 290
 - definition, 280
 - of 2×2 matrices, 275
 - of triangular matrices, 289
 - properties, 295
- diagonal, 254
 - definition, 258
- diagram
 - Venn Diagram, 3
- distance
 - between lines, 113
 - between point and line, 113
 - between point and plane, 121
 - between points in space, 74
- distance
 - definition, 29
 - distance formula, 30
- dot product
 - definition, 89
 - properties, 90
- eigenvalue
 - definition, 304
 - finding, 308
 - properties, 324
- eigenvector, *see eigenvalue*
- elementary row operations, 140
 - and determinants, 290

empty set, 3, 4
exponent, 17

factorization
 over the complex numbers, 40
free variable, 154, 155
Fundamental Theorem of Algebra, 39

Gaussian elimination, 141, 144
 backward steps, 144
 forward steps, 143

Head To Tail Rule, 80
homogeneous, 219, 220

identity matrix, 191
imaginary axis, 56
imaginary part of a complex number, 56
imaginary unit, i , 34
inconsistent, 152
index of a root, 20
initial point, 78
integer
 definition of, 4
 set of, 4
intersection of two sets, 2

interval
 definition of, 6
 notation for, 6

inverse
 computing, 242
 definition, 241
 Invertible Matrix Theorem, 249
 properties, 249, 255
 uniqueness, 241

Invertible Matrix Theorem, 249, 295, 325

irrational number
 definition of, 4
 set of, 4

leading one, 140, 155, 156

linear equation, 127

linear transformation
 and \vec{O} , 350
 conditions on, 355
 definition, 345
 standard matrix of, 349, 351

lines, 108
 distances between, 113
 equations for, 109
 intersecting, 110
 parallel, 110
 skew, 110

magnitude of vector, 78

matrix
 addition, 174
 arithmetic properties, 177
 augmented, 132
 cofactor, 276
 definition, 132

determinant, 275, 280
diagonal, 258
equality, 173
identity matrix, 191
inverse, 241, 242
minor, 276
multiplication, 182
 properties, 191
scalar multiplication, 175
the zero matrix, 177
transpose, 257
triangular, 258

midpoint
 definition of, 31
 midpoint formula, 31

minor, 276

modulus of a complex number
 definition of, 56
 properties of, 58

natural number
 definition of, 4
 set of, 4

norm, 78

normal vector, 117

numbers
 complex, 34

ordered pair, 26

ordinate, 26

origin, 26

orthogonal, 92
 decomposition, 95
orthogonal decomposition of vectors, 95

orthogonal projection, 94

parallel vectors, 83

Parallelogram Law, 80, 199

particular solution, 157

perpendicular, *see* orthogonal

planes
 coordinate plane, 75
 distance between point and plane, 121
 equations of, 118
 introduction, 75
 normal vector, 117

polar coordinates
 conversion into rectangular, 48
 definition of, 42
 equivalent representations of, 47
 polar axis, 42
 pole, 42

polar form of a complex number, 61

power rule
 for complex numbers, 62
 for radicals, 21
 for the modulus of a complex number, 58

principal n^{th} root, 20

principal argument of a complex number, 56

problem solving, 165

product rule

for complex numbers, 62
for radicals, 21
for the modulus of a complex number, 58
pseudoinverse, 266

quadrants, 28
quotient rule
 for complex numbers, 62
 for radicals, 21
 for the modulus of a complex number, 58

\mathbb{R} , 78
radical
 properties of, 21
radicand, 20
rational exponent, 21
rational number
 definition of, 4
 set of, 4
real axis, 56
real number
 definition of, 3, 4
 set of, 3, 4
real part of a complex number, 56
rectangular coordinates
 also known as Cartesian coordinates, 42
 conversion into polar, 48
rectangular form of a complex number, 56
reduced echelon form, 140
reduced row echelon form, 140
reflection
 of a point, 29
relatively prime, 13
right hand rule
 of Cartesian coordinates, 73
root
 index, 20
 radicand, 20
Roots of Unity, 70
row echelon form, 140

set
 definition of, 1
 empty, 3, 4
 exclusion, 2
 inclusion, 2
 intersection, 2
 roster method, 1
 set-builder notation, 1
sets of numbers, 4
union, 2
 verbal description, 1
set-builder notation, 1
skew symmetric, 264
 definition, 265
 theorem, 265
solution, 127
 infinite, 152, 155, 220
 none, 152
 particular, 157
 types, 152

unique, 152, 220, 244
sphere, 74
standard unit vector, 350
subset
 definition of, 2
symmetric, 264
 definition, 265
 theorem, 265
symmetry
 about the x -axis, 28
 about the y -axis, 28
 about the origin, 28
system of linear equations
 consistent, 152, 155, 219, 220
 definition, 127
 homogeneous, 219
 inconsistent, 152, 156
 solution, 127

terminal point, 78
theorem
 Fundamental Theorem of Algebra, 39
trace
 definition, 269
 properties, 271
transpose, 257
 definition, 257
 properties, 263
 skew-symmetric, 265
 symmetric, 265
triangular matrix
 definition, 258
 determinant, 289
trichotomy, 6

union of two sets, 2
unit vector, 82
 properties, 84
 standard unit vector, 85

variable
 basic, 155
 dependent, 155
 free, 154, 155
 independent, 155

vector
 column, 181
 length, 204, 367
 row, 181

vectors, 78
 algebra of, 80
 algebraic properties, 82
 component form, 79
 cross product, 99, 101
 definition, 78
 dot product, 89, 90
 Head To Tail Rule, 80
 magnitude, 78
 norm, 78
 normal vector, 117
 orthogonal, 92

orthogonal decomposition, 95

orthogonal projection, 94

parallel, 83

Parallelogram Law, 80

resultant, 80

standard unit vector, 85

unit vector, 82, 84

zero vector, 80

Venn Diagram, 3

work, 97

zero matrix, 177