

Student ID: 17111610/1

Name: Ng Hao Siong

My Heuristic Analysis Function

Code:

```
def my_custom_heuristic(game, player):  
    if game.is_loser(player):  
        return float("-inf")  
  
    if game.is_winner(player):  
        return float("inf")  
  
    my_moves = len(game.get_legal_moves(player))  
    opponent_moves = len(game.get_legal_moves(game.get_opponent(player)))  
  
    non_blank_spaces = 49 - len(game.get_blank_spaces())  
  
    center_spaces = [(3, 3)]  
  
    if non_blank_spaces == 3:  
        if game.get_player_location(player) in center_spaces:  
            return float("inf")  
  
    return float(my_moves - opponent_moves - non_blank_spaces * 0.01)
```

Explanation:

The player tends to have positional advantages at the center when the number of movable spaces is less as it can reach more spaces around it. So, in my heuristic function, I will force the player to move to the center of the board which is position (3, 3) when the number of movable spaces is 3, else, the heuristic functions will choose the step which will lead to lesser opponent's moves and lesser movable spaces.

Result Analysis:

Below are the scores of the heuristic function developed by my team members. My heuristic function managed to achieve an average winning rate of 66.00% after 25 rounds of play.

Evaluating: ID_Improved

Playing Matches:

Match 1: ID_Improved vs Random	Result: 82 to 18
Match 2: ID_Improved vs MM_Null	Result: 70 to 30
Match 3: ID_Improved vs MM_Open	Result: 54 to 46
Match 4: ID_Improved vs MM_Improved	Result: 39 to 61
Match 5: ID_Improved vs AB_Null	Result: 62 to 38
Match 6: ID_Improved vs AB_Open	Result: 62 to 38
Match 7: ID_Improved vs AB_Improved	Result: 54 to 46

Results:

ID_Improved 60.43%

Evaluating: Student Robinson

Playing Matches:

Match 1: Student Robinson vs Random	Result: 84 to 16
Match 2: Student Robinson vs MM_Null	Result: 70 to 30
Match 3: Student Robinson vs MM_Open	Result: 58 to 42
Match 4: Student Robinson vs MM_Improved	Result: 53 to 47
Match 5: Student Robinson vs AB_Null	Result: 70 to 30
Match 6: Student Robinson vs AB_Open	Result: 66 to 34
Match 7: Student Robinson vs AB_Improved	Result: 62 to 38

Results:

Student Robinson 66.14%

Evaluating: Student Jun Shou

Playing Matches:

Match 1: Student Jun Shou vs Random	Result: 84 to 16
Match 2: Student Jun Shou vs MM_Null	Result: 74 to 26
Match 3: Student Jun Shou vs MM_Open	Result: 59 to 41
Match 4: Student Jun Shou vs MM_Improved	Result: 55 to 45
Match 5: Student Jun Shou vs AB_Null	Result: 71 to 29
Match 6: Student Jun Shou vs AB_Open	Result: 70 to 30
Match 7: Student Jun Shou vs AB_Improved	Result: 60 to 40

Results:

Student Jun Shou 67.57%

Evaluating: Student Hao Siong

Playing Matches:

Match 1: Student Hao Siong vs Random	Result: 86 to 14
Match 2: Student Hao Siong vs MM_Null	Result: 77 to 23
Match 3: Student Hao Siong vs MM_Open	Result: 55 to 45
Match 4: Student Hao Siong vs MM_Improved	Result: 51 to 49
Match 5: Student Hao Siong vs AB_Null	Result: 68 to 32
Match 6: Student Hao Siong vs AB_Open	Result: 66 to 34
Match 7: Student Hao Siong vs AB_Improved	Result: 59 to 41

Results:

Student Hao Siong 66.00%

Evaluating: Student Marina

Playing Matches:

Match 1: Student Marina vs Random	Result: 87 to 13
Match 2: Student Marina vs MM_Null	Result: 65 to 35
Match 3: Student Marina vs MM_Open	Result: 52 to 48
Match 4: Student Marina vs MM_Improved	Result: 40 to 60
Match 5: Student Marina vs AB_Null	Result: 70 to 30
Match 6: Student Marina vs AB_Open	Result: 58 to 42
Match 7: Student Marina vs AB_Improved	Result: 57 to 43

Results:

Student Marina 61.29%