

CSC 520, Spring 2020

Principles of Programming Languages

Michelle Strout



Today's Plan

- **Do an example derivation in Impcore operational semantics**
- **Metatheory enables us to prove things about ALL programs in a language**
- **Induction on derivations**
- **Last time**
 - Operational semantics for Impcore constructs
 - A valid derivation defines the execution of a single program.

For reference: AST definition for Impcore

- **The abstract-syntax tree (AST)**

```
exp = LITERAL (Value)
      | VAR (Name)
      | SET (Name name, Exp exp)
      | IFX (Exp cond, Exp true, Exp false)
      | WHILEX (Exp cond, Exp exp)
      | BEGIN (Explist)
      | APPLY (Name name, Explist actuals)
```

- **One kind of “application” for both user-defined and primitive functions.**

Algorithm for building derivations

Want to solve

$$\langle e, \xi, \phi, \rho \rangle \Downarrow ?$$

What rule can I use to prove it?

1. **Syntactic form** of e narrows to a few choices
(usually 1 or 2)
2. Look for form in **conclusion**
3. Now check **premises**
4. When premise is evaluation judgment,
build sub-derivation recursively

Derivation is written \mathcal{D}

Exercise: Evaluate (digit? 7)

⋮

$\langle (\text{and } (\leq 0 \ n) (< n \ 10)) , \xi, \phi, \{n \mapsto 7\} \rangle \Downarrow ?$

- **Derivations (aka syntactic proofs) enable meta-reasoning**
 - Derivation D is a data structure
 - Got a fact about all derivations?
 - It's a fact about all terminating evaluations
 - They are in 1 to 1 correspondence
 - Prove facts by structural induction over derivations
 - Example: Evaluation an expression doesn't change the set of global variables
- **Metatheorems often help implementors**
 - Ok to mutate environments if you use a stack
 - Interactive browser doesn't leak space (POPL 2012)
 - Device driver can't harm kernel (Microsoft Singularity)

Metatheorems come in stylized form

For any $e, \xi, \phi, \rho, v, \xi'$, and ρ' such that

$$\langle e, \xi, \phi, \rho \rangle \Downarrow \langle v, \xi', \phi, \rho' \rangle,$$

FACT

Exercise (30 seconds): how to say “evaluation doesn’t change the set of global variables”?

Metatheorems are proved by induction

- **Induction over structure (or height) of derivation trees**
 - These are “math-class proofs” (not derivations)
- **Proof**
 - Has one case for each rule
 - Has multiple cases for some syntactic forms
 - Assumes the induction hypothesis for any proper sub-derivation (derivation of a premise)

Evaluation does not add or remove a global variable

For any $e, \xi, \phi, \rho, v, \xi'$, and ρ' such that

$$\langle e, \xi, \phi, \rho \rangle \Downarrow \langle v, \xi', \phi, \rho' \rangle,$$

we can prove

$$\text{dom } \xi = \text{dom } \xi'$$

“Evaluation doesn’t change the global domain”

Assume the existence of a derivation

Could terminate in **any** rule!

Base case:

$$\langle \text{LITERAL}(v), \xi, \phi, \rho \rangle \Downarrow \langle v, \xi, \phi, \rho \rangle$$

Both sides identical!

$$\text{dom } \xi = \text{dom } \xi$$

Holds for formal-parameter lookup

Another base case:

$$\frac{x \in \text{dom } \rho}{\langle \text{VAR}(x), \xi, \phi, \rho \rangle \Downarrow \langle \rho(x), \xi, \phi, \rho \rangle}$$

Both sides identical!

$$\text{dom } \xi = \text{dom } \xi$$

Inductive case: good sub-derivation

Assignment to formal parameter

$$\frac{\mathcal{D} \quad \frac{x \in \text{dom } \rho \quad \langle e, \xi, \phi, \rho \rangle \Downarrow \langle v, \xi', \phi, \rho' \rangle}{\langle \text{SET}(x, e), \xi, \phi, \rho \rangle \Downarrow \langle v, \xi', \phi, \rho' \{x \mapsto v\} \rangle}}{\langle \text{SET}(x, e), \xi, \phi, \rho \rangle \Downarrow \langle v, \xi', \phi, \rho' \{x \mapsto v\} \rangle}$$

By induction hypothesis on \mathcal{D} , $\text{dom } \xi = \text{dom } \xi'$

Both sides have same domain!

Inductive case: good sub-derivation

True conditional

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \mathcal{D}_1 \qquad \qquad \qquad \mathcal{D}_2 \\
 \hline
 \langle e_1, \xi, \phi, \rho \rangle \Downarrow \langle v_1, \xi', \phi, \rho' \rangle \quad v_1 \neq 0 \quad \langle e_2, \xi', \phi, \rho' \rangle \Downarrow \langle v_2, \xi'', \phi, \rho'' \rangle \\
 \hline
 \langle \text{IF}(e_1, e_2, e_3), \xi, \phi, \rho \rangle \Downarrow \langle v_2, \xi'', \phi, \rho'' \rangle
 \end{array}$$

By induction hypothesis on \mathcal{D}_1 , $\text{dom } \xi = \text{dom } \xi'$

By induction hypothesis on \mathcal{D}_2 , $\text{dom } \xi' = \text{dom } \xi''$

Therefore, both sides have same domain:

$$\text{dom } \xi = \text{dom } \xi''$$

The only interesting case: assign to global

$$\frac{\mathcal{D} \quad \begin{array}{c} x \notin \text{dom } \rho \quad x \in \text{dom } \xi \quad \langle e, \xi, \phi, \rho \rangle \Downarrow \langle v, \xi', \phi, \rho' \rangle \\ \hline \langle \text{SET}(x, e), \xi, \phi, \rho \rangle \Downarrow \langle v, \xi' \{x \mapsto v\}, \phi, \rho' \rangle \end{array}}{\quad}$$

Do both sides have same domain?

- **Does** $\text{dom } \xi = \text{dom}(\xi' \{x \mapsto v\})$?

By induction hypothesis on \mathcal{D} , $\text{dom } \xi = \text{dom } \xi'$

And $\text{dom}(\xi' \{x \mapsto v\}) = \text{dom } \xi' \cup \{x\} = \text{dom } \xi \cup \{x\}$

But $x \in \text{dom } \xi$! **So** $\text{dom } \xi \cup \{x\} = \text{dom } \xi$

Practice writing operational semantics

- **Impcore can be extended with new syntactic forms for short-circuit conditionals**
 - To evaluate expression $(\&\& e_1 e_2)$, first evaluate e_1 .
 - If the result of evaluation e_1 is nonzero, evaluate e_2 , and the result of evaluation e_2 is the result of evaluating the entire $\&\&$ expression.
 - If the result of evaluation e_1 is zero, then e_2 is not evaluated, and the result of evaluating the entire $\&\&$ expression is zero.
- **→ Write as many inference rules as needed to specify the behavior of short-circuit $\&\&$**
- **→ Piazza: write inference rules for short-circuit $\|\|$**

Metatheory exercise from book

- **15. Use the operational semantics to show that there exist environments ξ , ϕ , ρ , ξ' , and ρ' and a value $v1$ such that**

$\langle \text{if}(\text{var}(x), \text{var}(x), \text{literal}(0)), \xi, \phi, \rho \rangle \Downarrow \langle v1, \xi', \phi, \rho' \rangle$

if and only if there exist environments ξ , ϕ , ρ , ξ'' , and ρ'' and a value $v2$ such that

$\langle \text{var}(x), \xi, \phi, \rho \rangle \Downarrow \langle v2, \xi'', \phi, \rho'' \rangle$.

- **Give necessary and sufficient conditions on the environments ξ , ϕ , and ρ such that both expressions evaluate successfully**

Metatheory exercise from book

- **16. Prove that the value of a while expression is always zero.**
 - That is, given any \mathbf{xi} , \mathbf{phi} , \mathbf{rho} , $\mathbf{e1}$, and $\mathbf{e2}$, prove that if there exist a $\mathbf{xi'}$, $\mathbf{rho'}$, and \mathbf{v} such that there is a derivation of

$$\langle \text{while}(\mathbf{e1}, \mathbf{e2}), \mathbf{\xi}, \mathbf{\phi}, \mathbf{\rho} \rangle \Downarrow \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{\xi'}, \mathbf{\phi}, \mathbf{\rho'} \rangle,$$

- Then $\mathbf{v}=0$.
- **Use structural induction on the derivation.**