**CSC 520, Spring 2020** 

## Principles of Programming Languages

Michelle Strout



## **New Evaluation Rules**



$$\frac{x \in \operatorname{dom} \rho \qquad \rho(x) \in \operatorname{dom} \sigma}{\langle \operatorname{VAR}(x), \rho, \sigma \rangle \Downarrow \langle \sigma(\rho(x)), \sigma \rangle} \tag{VAR}$$

$$\frac{x \in \text{dom}\,\rho \qquad \rho(x) = \ell \qquad \langle e, \rho, \sigma \rangle \Downarrow \langle v, \sigma' \rangle}{\langle \text{SET}(x, e), \rho, \sigma \rangle \Downarrow \langle v, \sigma' \{\ell \mapsto v\} \rangle}$$
(ASSIGN)

#### Semantics of Lambda



**Key Issue: Values of free variables** 

## Static scoping:

Where lambda occurs, "look outward" for  $\rho$ ; Capture that  $\rho$  for future reference.

```
\langle \mathsf{LAMBDA}(\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle, e), \rho, \sigma \rangle \Downarrow \langle \langle \mathsf{LAMBDA}(\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle, e), \rho \rangle, \sigma \rangle
(MKCLOSURE)
```

Create closure in C implementation of eval by

#### case LAMBDAX:

return mkClosure(e->u.lambdax, env);

#### Plan



#### Announcements

- HW5 is due Friday Saturday
- Study guide

#### Last time

- Scheme Semantics
  - Stores
  - Lambdas evaluate to closures (will finish today)
  - Application (will finish today)

## • Today

- Scheme wrap up
- ML intro

# Function Application (mistake in notes now fixed)



#### · Which "even" is referenced with f is called?

```
(val even (lambda (x) (= 0 (mod x 2))))
(val f (lambda (y) (if (even y) 5 15)))
(val even 3)
(f 10)
```

$$x \in \operatorname{dom} \rho$$

$$\frac{\langle e, \rho, \sigma \rangle \Downarrow \langle v, \sigma' \rangle}{\langle \operatorname{VAL}(x, e), \rho, \sigma \rangle \to \langle \rho, \sigma' \{ \rho(x) \mapsto v \} \rangle}$$

(DefineOldGlobal)

$$x \not\in \operatorname{dom} \rho \qquad \ell \not\in \operatorname{dom} \sigma$$

$$\frac{\langle e, \rho\{x \mapsto \ell\}, \sigma\{\ell \mapsto \operatorname{unspecified}\}\rangle \Downarrow \langle v, \sigma'\rangle}{\langle \operatorname{VAL}(x, e), \rho, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \langle \rho\{x \mapsto \ell\}, \sigma'\{\ell \mapsto v\}\rangle}$$

(DefineNewGlobal)

## **Applying Closures**



Captured environment for free variables
Arguments for bound variables (≡ formal parameters)

```
\langle e, \rho, \sigma \rangle \Downarrow \langle \{ LAMBDA(\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle, e_c), \rho_c \}, \sigma_0 \rangle
                                                \langle e_1, \rho, \sigma_0 \rangle \downarrow \langle v_1, \sigma_1 \rangle
                                             \langle e_n, \rho, \sigma_{n-1} \rangle \downarrow \langle v_n, \sigma_n \rangle
                                               \ell_1,\ldots,\ell_n\notin\mathrm{dom}\,\sigma_n
\langle e_c, \rho_c \{x_1 \mapsto \ell_1, \dots, x_n \mapsto \ell_n \}, \sigma_n \{\ell_1 \mapsto \nu_1, \dots, \ell_n \mapsto \nu_n \} \rangle \Downarrow \langle \nu, \sigma' \rangle
                                \langle \mathsf{APPLY}(e, e_1, \dots, e_n), \rho, \sigma \rangle \Downarrow \langle v, \sigma' \rangle
                                                                                                (APPLYCLOSURE)
nl = f.u.closure.lambda.formals;
return eval(f.u.closure.lambda.body,
                                bindalloclist(nl, vl, f.u.closure.env));
```

## Why not use rho\_c to evaluate actuals?



• Key idea: formal parameters are given new locations

```
(val x 7)
(val f (lambda (x) (lambda (y) (+ x y))))
(val f3 (f 3))
(f3 x)
```



## **Locations in Closures**

#### Key is shared mutable state.

```
-> (val resettable-counter-from
     (lambda (n)
        (list2
          (lambda () (set n (+ n 1)))
          (lambda () (set n 0)))))
-> (val twenty (resettable-counter-from 20))
-> ((car twenty))
21
-> ((car twenty))
22
-> ((cadr twenty))
0
-> ((car twenty))
```

## **Closure Optimizations**



- Only copy part of rho mappings for free variables in lambda expression
- Keep closures on the stack
- Share closures
- Eliminate closures (when functions don't escape)



## uscheme and the Five Questions

Abstract syntax: imperative core, let, lambda

Values: S-expressions

(especially cons cells, function closures)

#### **Environments:**

A name stands for a mutable location holding value

Evaluation rules: lambda captures environment

Initial basis: yummy higher-order functions

#### Common Lisp, Scheme



## Advantages

- High-level data structures
- Automatic memory management (garbage collection)
- Programs as data!
- Hygenic macros for extending the language
- Used in AI applications

## Disadvantages

- Hard to talk about data
- Hard to detect errors at compile time

#### All about the lambda

- Major win
- Real implementation cost (heap allocation)

#### Common List, Scheme



## Advantages

- High-level data structures
- Automatic memory management (garbage collection)
- Programs as data!
- Hygenic macros for extending the language
- Used in AI applications

## Disadvantages

- Hard to talk about data
- Hard to detect errors at compile time

#### All about the lambda

- Major win
- Real implementation cost (heap allocation)

## Scheme as it really is: Macros, Cond exp, Mutation, ...



#### Macros!

- A Scheme program is just another S-expression
- Function define-syntax manipulates syntax at compile time
- Macros are hygienic-name classes are impossible
- Let, and, many others implemented as macros

## Scheme as it really is: Macros, Cond exp, Mutation, ...



#### Real Scheme: Conditionals

## Scheme as it really is: Macros, Cond exp, Mutation, ...



- Real Scheme: Mutation
  - Not only variables can be mutated
  - Mutate heap-allocated cons cell

```
(set-car! '(a b c) 'd) → (d b c)
```

#### **ML Overview**



- Designed for programs, logic, symbolic data
- Theme: Precise ways to describe data
- ML = uScheme + pattern matching + exceptions + static types
- Three new ideas
  - (1) Pattern matching is big and important. You might really like it.
  - (2) Exceptions are easy
  - (3) Static types get two to three weeks in their own right

#### uScheme -> ML Rosetta Stone



```
uScheme
                              SML
(cons x xs)
                                x :: xs
                                nil
(lambda (x) e)
                                fn x => e
(lambda (x y z) e)
                                fn(x, y, z) \Rightarrow e
                                andalso orelse
  &&
(let* ([x e1]) e2)
                                let val x = e1 in e2 end
(let* ([x1 e1]
                                let val x1 = e1
       [x2 e2]
                                    val x2 = e2
       [x3 e3]) e)
                                    val x3 = e3
                                in
                                    e
                                end
```

## **Example: The length function**



#### Algebraic laws

```
length [] = 0
length (x::xs) = 1 + length xs
```

#### Code

```
fun length [] = 0
| length (x::xs) = 1 + length xs
```

#### Notice

- No parentheses! (Yay!)
- Function application by juxtaposition
- Infix operators
- Function application has higher precedence than any infix operator
- Compiler checks all the cases