

# Do investors care about biodiversity?

作者： Alexandre, et al.

阅读： 程心烨

## 1. What are the research questions ?

- How to use a valid indicator to quantify a firm's negative impact on biodiversity?
- Is the CBF priced by investors in the international stock market?
- What economic mechanisms drive the potential relationship between CBF and stock returns?

## 2. Why are the research questions interesting?

• Biodiversity finance understudied.Exploring how financial markets price biodiversity risks fills a vital academic void.// CBF and its pricing provides actionable tools for investors to assess risks and for regulators to design policies .// Helps stakeholders avoid “trade-offs” between the two crises(Biodiversity protection&climate actions), by isolating biodiversity risks from carbon risks.

## 3. What is the paper's contribution?

• Developing a science-based CBF metric, making it a reliable tool for future research.// Uncovering the pricing of biodiversity risks.Biodiversity footprint premium emerges after major policy events.// Identifying the transition risk premium channel.Confirms that the CBF-return relationship is driven by biodiversity transition risk premiums.

## 4. What hypotheses are tested in the paper? List them explicitly.

- H1: The corporate biodiversity footprint (CBF) is unrelated to the cross-section of stock returns .
- H2: After major policy events, a positive relationship emerges between CBF and stock returns.
- H3: Mechanism hypotheses.Three competing mechanisms are tested:Investor preference shift/Unexpected cash flow shock/Biodiversity transition risk premium
- H4: The biodiversity footprint premium is larger in countries with low biodiversity protection .

(a) Do these hypotheses follow from and answer the research questions? • Yes.

(b) Do these hypotheses follow from theory or are they otherwise adequately developed? • Yes.These hypotheses have solid theoretical and literature foundations.

## 5. Sample: comment on the appropriateness of the sample selection procedures.

- The sample period (2018–2022) is short, but the authors justify this by noting that major biodiversity policies and CBF data are only available in this window.

## 6. Dependent and independent variables: comment on the appropriateness of variable definition and measurement.

- CBF relies on sector averages for some calculations, but the authors note this is unavoidable with current data and confirm results hold for intensity measures.

## 7. Regression/prediction model specification: comment on the appropriateness of the regression/ prediction model specification.

- Standard errors are double-clustered at the year-month and firm levels or country levels, addresses serial correlation and cross-sectional correlation, ensuring unbiased statistical inference.

## 8. What difficulties arise in drawing inferences from the empirical work?

- Omitted variable bias// Short sample period// Difficulty in identifying the mechanism.

## 9. Describe at least one publishable and feasible extension of this research.

- Split scope 3 CBF into "upstream" "midstream" and "downstream"CBF.Examine whether investors price biodiversity risks differently across layers.// Construct a "Biodiversity Disclosure Quality Index" to test whether high-quality disclosure reduces the CBF premium.

# Biodiversity Risk

作者: Stefano,et al. 阅读: 程心烨

## 1. What are the research questions ?

- How to construct and validate scientific measures of aggregate biodiversity risk and firm/industry-level exposure to such risk?
- Whether and to what extent have biodiversity risks been reflected in equity prices? How do these pricing effects compare with those of climate risks?
- Do market participants perceive the current pricing of biodiversity risks in major financial markets as adequate?

## 2. Why are the research questions interesting?

- Biodiversity risk lacks systematic quantitative research. Address this gap by exploring biodiversity risk's unique impact on assets.// Helps investors, regulators, and firms manage risks.// Clarifying differences between biodiversity and climate risks avoids conflating two interconnected but distinct risks, ensuring more accurate risk assessment and hedging.

## 3. What is the paper's contribution?

- Develops an aggregate biodiversity risk index and four firm/industry-level exposure measures, all publicly available to spur follow-up research.// Finds that biodiversity risks are partially priced in U.S. equities.// Distinguishing biodiversity from climate risk.

## 4. What hypotheses are tested in the paper? List them explicitly.

- H1: Aggregate biodiversity risk can be quantified using a news-based index, and index will spike around major events. // H2: Biodiversity risk exposures vary substantially across industries, with sectors dependent on ecosystem services having higher exposures than non-dependent sectors. // H3: Biodiversity risk is partially priced in equity markets.// H4: Biodiversity risk is distinct from climate risk. // H5: Current pricing of biodiversity risks in financial markets is inadequate.

(a) Do these hypotheses follow from and answer the research questions? • Yes.

(b) Do these hypotheses follow from theory or are they otherwise adequately developed? • Yes. These hypotheses have solid theoretical and literature foundations.

## 5. Sample: comment on the appropriateness of the sample selection procedures.

- The U.S.-centric sample may limit generalizability to emerging markets; survey response rate (4.5%) is comparable to finance surveys (7.5%) but still low.

## 6. Dependent and independent variables: comment on the appropriateness of variable definition and measurement.

- All variables are meaningfully correlated, confirming consistency. Limitation: 10-K and CDP data rely on voluntary/mandatory disclosure, which may be incomplete for small firms.

## 7. Regression/prediction model specification: comment on the appropriateness of the regression/ prediction model specification.

- The model does not explicitly estimate risk premia, but it's a reasonable trade-off for testing pricing existence.

## 8. What difficulties arise in drawing inferences from the empirical work?

- Endogeneity concerns//Introduce noise.// Short time series// Voluntary disclosure bias.

## 9. Describe at least one publishable and feasible extension of this research.

- Analyze the relationship between global ESG funds' position changes and corporate stock price fluctuations, to test if ESG funds act as price discoverers in biodiversity risk pricing.