Kernel Method and Receptive field of a Neuron

Quan Wen

Dec 9 2020

Functional Derivative

The response of a sensory neuron in the early sensory system at time t can be viewed as a functional r of the sensory stimuli $\mathcal{S}$. What I mean more precisely is that stimulus is a function, and $r : \mathcal{S} \mapsto \Re$, namely the response of a neuron at time t maps the space of smooth functions $\int$ to a real number (e.g., the firing rate). This is because in general the response of the neuron evaluated at t could depends on the entire stimulus history of $s(t - \tau)$, where $\tau \in [0, \infty]$.

We can discretize time into bins with bin size Δt, whose centers are at positions $\tau_i, i = 0, 1, 2, ...,$, and define $s_i = s(t - \tau_i)$. Now $\tilde{r}(s_0, s_1, s_2, ...)$ is a multivariable function depending on s_i. By Taylor series expansion, we have

$$\tilde{r}(\mathbf{s} + \delta\mathbf{s}) = \tilde{r}(\mathbf{s}) + \sum_i \frac{\partial\tilde{r}}{\partial s_i}\delta s_i + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i,j}\frac{\partial^2\tilde{r}}{\partial s_i\partial s_j}\delta s_i\delta s_j + ..., \tag{1}$$

To take off the hat and regain the functional r, we can replace $\sum_i \Delta t \to \int dt$. This can be done in the following way

$$r[s + \delta s] = r(s) + \int d\tau \frac{\delta r(t)}{\delta s(t - \tau)}\delta s(t - \tau)$$
$$+\frac{1}{2}\int d\tau d\tau' \frac{\delta^2 r(t)}{\delta s(t - \tau)\delta s(t - \tau')}\delta s(t - \tau)\delta s(t - \tau') + ... \tag{2}$$

Here

$$\frac{\delta r}{\delta s(t - \tau)} = \frac{\delta r}{\delta s(\tau)} = \lim_{\Delta t \to 0}\frac{\partial\tilde{r}}{\partial s_i}\frac{1}{\Delta t}$$
$$\frac{\delta^2 r}{\delta s(t - \tau)\delta s(t - \tau')} = \frac{\delta^2 r}{\delta s(\tau)\delta s(\tau')} = \lim_{\Delta t \to 0}\frac{\partial^2\tilde{r}}{\partial s_i\partial s_j}\frac{1}{\Delta t^2}. \tag{3}$$

A formal definition of the functional derivative can now be written down as

$$\frac{\delta r}{\delta s(\tau)} = \lim_{\Delta t \to 0}\lim_{\epsilon \to 0}\frac{\tilde{r}(s_1, ..., s_i + \epsilon, ...) - \tilde{r}(s_1, ..., s_i, ...)}{\Delta t\epsilon} \tag{4}$$

1

In the physics literature, we are getting used to define the functional derivative by considering a test function

$$\frac{\delta r}{\delta s(\tau')} = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{r[s(\tau) + \epsilon \delta(\tau - \tau')] - r[s(\tau)]}{\epsilon} \tag{5}$$

where $\delta(\tau - \tau')$ is the delta function.

Functional derivative is very similar to function derivative, and there are convenient rules we would like to follow. For example, if h and f are both functions, we have

$$\frac{\delta f(x)}{\delta f(y)} = \delta(x - y)$$
$$\frac{\delta h(f(x))}{\delta f(y)} = h' \frac{\delta f(x)}{\delta f(y)} = h' \delta(x - y) \tag{6}$$

Another familiar example is what you have learned in classical mechanics.

$$S(q, \dot{q}, t) = \int_a^b L(q, \dot{q}, t) dt \tag{7}$$

where $\dot{q} = dq/dt$ and the values of $q(a)$ and $q(b)$ are specified. We would like to identify the function q that minimizes or maximizes S. Just like in the multivariate calculus, the necessary condition is

$$\frac{\delta S}{\delta q(t')} = 0 \tag{8}$$

To compute

$$\frac{\delta S}{\delta q(t')} = \int_a^b dt \left[\frac{\partial L(q, \dot{q}, t)}{\partial q} \delta(t - t') + \frac{\partial L(q, \dot{q}, t)}{\partial \dot{q}} \dot{\delta}(t - t') \right]$$
$$= \frac{\partial L(q, \dot{q}, t')}{\partial q} + \int_a^b dt \left[\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial L(q, \dot{q}, t)}{\partial \dot{q}} \delta(t - t') \right) - \delta(t - t') \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial L(q, \dot{q}, t)}{\partial \dot{q}} \right) \right]$$
$$= \frac{\partial L(q, \dot{q}, t')}{\partial q} - \frac{d}{dt'} \left(\frac{\partial L(q, \dot{q}, t')}{\partial \dot{q}} \right)$$

As a result, we obtain the famous Euler-Lagrange equation

$$\frac{\partial L(q, \dot{q}, t)}{\partial q} - \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial L(q, \dot{q}, t)}{\partial \dot{q}} \right) = 0 \tag{9}$$

Optimal Wiener Kernel

Now, let us go back to computational neuroscience. Consider the problem that from the history of the stimulus, we would like to estimate or predict the response of this sensory neuron $r_{est}(t)$. Let us consider the simplest functional

2

model, namely

$$r_{est}(t) = r_0 + \int_0^\infty D(\tau)s(t-\tau)d\tau \qquad (10)$$

r_0 is considered to be a constant. As we can see, if the functional derivative of r with respect to s does not depend on the choice of t, then

$$\frac{\delta r}{\delta s(t-\tau)} = D(\tau), \qquad (11)$$

$D(\tau)$ is also called the first Wiener Kernel in the literature.

Now let us define an error function,

$$E = \int_0^T dt\, (r_{est}(t) - r(t))^2 \qquad (12)$$

Our goal is to minimize the error function and find the optimal kernel.

Therefore, we have

$$\frac{\delta E}{\delta D} = 0 = \int_0^T dt (r_{est}(t) - r(t)) \frac{\delta r_{est}}{\delta D}.$$
$$\frac{\delta r_{est}}{\delta D(\tau)} = \int_0^T d\tau' s(t-\tau')\delta(\tau'-\tau) = s(t-\tau). \qquad (13)$$

As a result, we have

$$\int_0^T dt \int_0^\infty d\tau' D(\tau')s(t-\tau')s(t-\tau) = \int_0^T dt(r(t)-r_0)s(t-\tau) \qquad (14)$$

Rearranging the integral on the left, we have

$$\int_0^\infty D(\tau')d\tau' \int_0^T dt s(t-\tau')s(t-\tau) = \int_0^T dt(r(t)-r_0)s(t-\tau) \qquad (15)$$

Now, we can define the autocorrelation function of the stimulus as

$$Q_{ss}(\tau) = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T s(t)s(t+\tau)dt, \qquad (16)$$

We can also define the correlation function between stimulus and response as

$$Q_{rs}(\tau) = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T r(t)s(t+\tau)dt. \qquad (17)$$

Then,

$$\int_0^\infty D(\tau')d\tau' Q_{ss}(\tau - \tau') = Q_{rs}(-\tau) \qquad (18)$$

3

Now consider the simplest case in which the stimuli is white noise. In this case $Q_{ss}(\tau) = \sigma^2\delta(\tau)$. Then

$$\int_0^\infty D(\tau')\sigma^2\delta(\tau - \tau')d\tau' = D(\tau)\sigma^2 \tag{19}$$

As a result, we find the optimal kernel has an explicit expression

$$D(\tau) = \frac{Q_{rs}(-\tau)}{\sigma^2} \tag{20}$$

When the stimuli is not white noise, it is hard to calculate the kernel explicitly. However, if we ignore the causality, and make the assumption that response not only depends on the past but also the future of the stimulus, then things become much easier. performing Fourier transform, we found that in the frequency domain

$$\tilde{D}(\omega)\tilde{Q}_{ss}(\omega) = \tilde{Q}_{rs}(-\omega) \tag{21}$$

The Spike-Triggered Average

We are now at a position to measure the receptive field of a neuron. Before doing that, let me introduce the concept of spike triggered average, which is defined as

$$C(\tau) = \left\langle \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n s(t_i - \tau) \right\rangle \tag{22}$$

Here t_i is the time when a spike occurs. The average $\langle ... \rangle$ is over different trials during which we are presenting exactly the same stimulus. The timing of the spike, however, can be variable. Below I would like to show that the spike triggered average has a direct connection the stimulus response correlation function $Q_{rs}(-\tau)$, provided that r is defined as the firing rate of a neuron. Given the density function

$$\rho(t) = \sum_i \delta(t - t_i) \tag{23}$$

It is easy to see that the

$$\sum_{i=1}^n s(t_i - \tau) = \int_0^T \rho(t)s(t - \tau)dt$$

Thus,

$$C(\tau) = \left\langle \frac{1}{n}\int_0^T \rho(t)s(t - \tau)dt \right\rangle$$

4

Formally, the firing rate of a neuron $r(t)$ is defined as

$$r(t) = \frac{1}{\Delta t} \int_t^{t+\Delta t} d\tau \langle \rho(\tau) \rangle, \tag{24}$$

where the average $\langle ... \rangle$ is also over different trials. Next, we want to make an important approximation by replacing the number of spikes n within a single trial with the mean number of spikes across all trials $\langle n \rangle$ during the period T. Then, because we are using exactly the same stimulus on each trial, the spike triggered average can be reduced to

$$
\begin{aligned}
C(\tau) &\approx \frac{1}{\langle n \rangle} \int_0^T \langle \rho(t) \rangle s(t-\tau) dt \\
&= \frac{1}{\langle n \rangle} \int_0^T r(t) s(t-\tau) dt \\
&= \frac{T}{\langle n \rangle} Q_{rs}(-\tau) \\
&= \frac{1}{\langle r \rangle} Q_{rs}(-\tau)
\end{aligned}
\tag{25}
$$