



Assessed Coursework

Course Name	Advanced Programming 3			
Coursework Number	1			
Deadline	Time:	16:30	Date:	30 October 2013
% Contribution to final course mark	10%			
Solo or Group ✓	Solo	✓	Group	
Anticipated Hours	10			
Submission Instructions	Described in section 5, page 7, of the attached handout.			
Please Note: This Coursework cannot be Re-Done				

Code of Assessment Rules for Coursework Submission

Deadlines for the submission of coursework which is to be formally assessed will be published in course documentation, and work which is submitted later than the deadline will be subject to penalty as set out below.

The primary grade and secondary band awarded for coursework which is submitted after the published deadline will be calculated as follows:

- (i) in respect of work submitted not more than five working days after the deadline
 - a. the work will be assessed in the usual way;
 - b. the primary grade and secondary band so determined will then be reduced by two secondary bands for each working day (or part of a working day) the work was submitted late.
- (ii) work submitted more than five working days after the deadline will be awarded Grade H.

Penalties for late submission of coursework will not be imposed if good cause is established for the late submission. You should submit documents supporting good cause via MyCampus.

Penalty for non-adherence to Submission Instructions is 2 bands

You must complete an "Own Work" form via

<https://webapps.dcs.gla.ac.uk/ETHICS> for all coursework

UNLESS submitted via Moodle

Web Site Monitoring

Due at 16:30 on Wednesday, 30 October 2013, worth 10% of course.

1 Requirement

Each Web server routinely logs accesses from other Web servers and browsers. The log is a text file in which each line contains a date and a hostname. Each date is logged in the format `dd/mm/yyyy`. Each hostname ends with a 2-letter country code such as `uk` or `fr` (or a 3-letter code such as `com`) preceded by a dot/period/full-stop (`'.'`). The final token in a hostname is usually called the “top level domain”, or TLD for short. The log might look like this:

```
05/11/1999 www.intel.com
12/12/1999 www.dcs.gla.ac.uk
05/11/2001 www.mit.edu
31/12/1999 www.cms.rgu.ac.uk
25/12/1999 www.informatik.tum.de
01/04/2000 www.wiley.uk
01/01/1999 www.fiat.it
14/02/2000 www.valentine.com
```

A new EU regulation requires that we track access by country, being able to demonstrate the percentage of accesses from each country over a given time period. The politicians have allowed that tracking accesses by TLD is sufficient to satisfy the regulation. If the period of interest is 01/08/1999 to 31/07/2000, given the above log, the output from the program should look like this:

```
33.33 com
16.67 de
50.00 uk
```

Since the program is to execute on a Linux platform, there is no requirement that the summary statistics be output in any particular order, as we can pipe the output of the program into `sort` to yield the ordering desired.

2 Specification

Given a start date, an end date, and one or more log files, the program is to determine the percentage of access from each TLD during that period, outputting the final percentages on standard output, as shown above.

Hostnames, and therefore, top level domain names, are case-insensitive. Therefore, accesses by `X.Y.UK` and `a.b.uk` are both accesses from the same TLD.

3 Design

I am providing you with the source file for `main()`, and header files for two abstract data types – `date.h` and `tldlist.h`.

3.1 *date.h*

```
#ifndef _DATE_H_INCLUDED_
#define _DATE_H_INCLUDED_

typedef struct date Date;

/*
 * date_create creates a Date structure from `datestr`
 * `datestr` is expected to be of the form "dd/mm/yyyy"
 * returns pointer to Date structure if successful,
 * NULL if not (syntax error)
 */
Date *date_create(char *datestr);

/*
 * date_duplicate creates a duplicate of `d`
 * returns pointer to new Date structure if successful,
 * NULL if not (memory allocation failure)
 */
Date *date_duplicate(Date *d);

/*
 * date_compare compares two dates, returning <0, 0, >0 if
 * date1<date2, date1==date2, date1>date2, respectively
 */
int date_compare(Date *date1, Date *date2);

/*
 * date_destroy returns any storage associated with `d` to the system
 */
void date_destroy(Date *d);

#endif /* _DATE_H_INCLUDED_ */
```

The **struct date**, and the corresponding typedef **Date**, define an opaque data structure for a date.

The constructor for this ADT is **date_create()**; it converts a **datestring** in the format “dd/mm/yyyy” to a **Date** structure. You will have to use **malloc()** to allocate this **Date** structure to return to the user.

date_duplicate() is known as a copy constructor; it duplicates the **Date** argument on the heap (using **malloc()**) and returns it to the user.

date_compare() compares two **Date** structures, returning <0, 0, >0 if **date1**<**date2**, **date1**==**date2**, **date1**>**date2**, respectively.

date_destroy() returns the heap storage associated with the **Date** structure.

3.2 tldlist.h

```

#ifndef _TLDLIST_H_INCLUDED_
#define _TLDLIST_H_INCLUDED_

#include "date.h"

typedef struct tldlist TLDList;
typedef struct tldnode TLDNode;
typedef struct tlditerator TLDIterator;

/*
 * tldlist_create generates a list structure for storing counts against
 * top level domains (TLDs)
 *
 * creates a TLDList that is constrained to the `begin' and `end' Date's
 * returns a pointer to the list if successful, NULL if not
 */
TLDList *tldlist_create(Date *begin, Date *end);

/*
 * tldlist_destroy destroys the list structure in `tld'
 *
 * all heap allocated storage associated with the list is returned to the heap
 */
void tldlist_destroy(TLDList *tld);

/*
 * tldlist_add adds the TLD contained in `hostname' to the tldlist if
 * `d' falls in the begin and end dates associated with the list;
 * returns 1 if the entry was counted, 0 if not
 */
int tldlist_add(TLDList *tld, char *hostname, Date *d);

/*
 * tldlist_count returns the number of successful tldlist_add() calls since
 * the creation of the TLDList
 */
long tldlist_count(TLDList *tld);

/*
 * tldlist_iter_create creates an iterator over the TLDList; returns a pointer
 * to the iterator if successful, NULL if not
 */
TLDIterator *tldlist_iter_create(TLDList *tld);

/*
 * tldlist_iter_next returns the next element in the list; returns a pointer
 * to the TLDNode if successful, NULL if no more elements to return
 */
TLDNode *tldlist_iter_next(TLDIterator *iter);

/*
 * tldlist_iter_destroy destroys the iterator specified by `iter'
 */
void tldlist_iter_destroy(TLDIterator *iter);

/*
 * tldnode_tldname returns the tld associated with the TLDNode
 */
char *tldnode_tldname(TLDNode *node);

/*

```

AP3 Assessed Exercise 1

```
* tldnode_count returns the number of times that a log entry for the
* corresponding tld was added to the list
*/
long tldnode_count(TLDNode *node);

#endif /* _TDLIST_H_INCLUDED_ */
```

TLDList, **TLDIterator**, and **TLDNode** are opaque data structures that you can only manipulate using methods in this class.

tldlist_create() creates a **TLDList** which can be used to store the counts of log entries against TLD strings; the begin and end date arguments enable filtering of added entries to be in the preferred date range.

tldlist_destroy() returns the heap storage associated with the **TLDList** structure.

tldlist_add() will count the log entry if the associated date is within the preferred data range.

tldlist_count() returns the number of log entries that have been counted in the list.

tldlist_iter_create() creates an iterator to enable you to iterate over the entries, independent of the data structure chosen for representing the list.

tldlist_iter_next() returns the next **TLDNode** in the list, or NULL if there are no more entries.

tldnode_tldname() returns the string for the TLD represented by this node.

tldnode_count() returns the number of log entries that were counted for that TLD.

3.3 tldmonitor.c

```

#include "date.h"
#include "tldlist.h"
#include <stdio.h>
#include <string.h>

#define USAGE "usage: %s begin_datestamp end_datestamp [file] ...\n"

static void process(FILE *fd, TLDList *tld) {
    char bf[1024], sbf[1024];
    Date *d;
    while (fgets(bf, sizeof(bf), fd) != NULL) {
        char *q, *p = strchr(bf, ' ');
        if (!p) {
            fprintf(stderr, "Illegal input line: %s", bf);
            return;
        }
        strcpy(sbf, bf);
        *p++ = '\0';
        while (*p == ' ')
            p++;
        q = strchr(p, '\n');
        if (!q) {
            fprintf(stderr, "Illegal input line: %s", sbf);
            return;
        }
        *q = '\0';
        d = date_create(bf);
        (void) tldlist_add(tld, p, d);
        date_destroy(d);
    }
}

int main(int argc, char *argv[]) {
    Date *begin, *end;
    int i;
    FILE *fd;
    TLDList *tld;
    TLDIterator *it;
    TLDNode *n;
    double total;

    if (argc < 3) {
        fprintf(stderr, USAGE, argv[0]);
        return -1;
    }
    begin = date_create(argv[1]);
    if (!begin) {
        fprintf(stderr, USAGE, argv[0]);
        return -1;
    }
    end = date_create(argv[2]);
    if (!end) {
        fprintf(stderr, USAGE, argv[0]);
        return -1;
    }
    tld = tldlist_create(begin, end);
    if (!tld) {
        fprintf(stderr, "Unable to create TLD list\n");
        return -2;
    }
    if (argc == 3)
        process(stdin, tld);
}

```

AP3 Assessed Exercise 1

```
else {
    for (i = 3; i < argc; i++) {
        if (strcmp(argv[i], "-") == 0)
            fd = stdin;
        else
            fd = fopen(argv[i], "r");
        if (! fd) {
            fprintf(stderr, "Unable to open %s\n", argv[i]);
            continue;
        }
        process(fd, tld);
        if (fd != stdin)
            fclose(fd);
    }
}
total = (double)tldlist_count(tld);
it = tldlist_iter_create(tld);
if (! it) {
    fprintf(stderr, "Unable to create iterator\n");
    return -2;
}
while ((n = tldlist_iter_next(it))) {
    printf("%6.2f %s\n", 100.0 * (double)tldnode_count(n)/total, tldnode_tldname(n));
}
tldlist_iter_destroy(it);
tldlist_destroy(tld);
date_destroy(begin);
date_destroy(end);
return 0;
}
```

The main program is invoked as

```
./tldmonitor begin_date end_date [file] ...
```

If no file is present in the arguments, `stdin` will be processed. Additionally, if a filename is the string "-", the program will process `stdin` at that point.

The mainline functionality of `tldmonitor.c` consists of the following pseudocode:

```
process the arguments
create a TLD list
if no file args are provided
    process stdin
else for each file in the argument list
    open the file
    process the file
    close the file
create an iterator
while there is another entry in the iterator
    print out the percentage associated with that TLD
destroy the iterator
destroy the Date structures
destroy the TLDList
```

A static function (`process`) is provided to process all of the log entries in a particular log file.

4 Implementation

You are to implement `date.c` and `tldlist.c`. The implementations must match the function prototypes in the headers listed in section 3 above.

You have two options for your implementation of `tldlist.c`: 1) you can use a binary search tree (BST) as the basis of your list; in this case, you can earn at most 70% of the marks for the assignment, or 2) you can use a balanced binary search tree (AVL), based upon the Adelson-Velskii and Landis algorithm; in this case, you can earn all 100% of the marks for the assignment.

Your marks for each source file will depend upon its design, implementation, and its ability to perform correctly when executed. Memory leaks will be penalized. `tldmonitor` will be tested against some VERY LARGE, ALREADY SORTED log files to see if you have correctly implemented your AVL tree. N.B. If your code does not compile, you will not receive **any** marks for that file. A complete mark scheme is appended to the handout.

In addition to `tldmonitor.c`, `date.h` and `tldlist.h`, I have also provided `tldlistLL.o`, which is a linked list implementation of `tldlist.c`, on the Moodle page. This will permit you to test your implementation of `date.c` against a working, albeit inefficient, implementation of `tldlist`. I have also provided sample input files and the output that your program should generate for that input file.¹

5 Submission

You will submit your solutions electronically by attaching the following three files to an email to joseph.sventek@glasgow.ac.uk (Glasgow) or frankie.cha@glasgow.ac.uk (Singapore):

- `date.c`
- `tldlist.c`
- a document, either raw text or PDF, describing the state of your solution, and documenting anything of which we should be aware when marking your solution.

Each of your source files must start with an “authorship statement” as follows:

- state your name, your login, and the title of the assignment (AP3 Exercise 1)
- state either “This is my own work as defined in the Academic Ethics agreement I have signed.” or “This is my own work except that ...”, as appropriate.

You must complete an “Own Work” form via <https://webapps.dcs.gla.ac.uk/ETHICS>.

We will be checking for collusion; better to turn in an incomplete solution that is your own work than a copy of someone else’s work. We have very good tools for detecting collusion. The penalty for collusion is to receive an H (0) for the assignment.

¹ The following commands should yield **NO** output if you have implemented your ADTs correctly:

```
% ./tldmonitor 01/01/2000 01/09/2013 <small.txt | sort -n | diff - small.out
% ./tldmonitor 01/01/2000 01/09/2013 <large.txt | sort -n | diff - large.out
```


Marking Scheme for AP3, Exercise 1

Your submission will be marked on a 100 point scale. Substantial emphasis is placed upon **WORKING** submissions, and you will note that a large fraction of the points are reserved for this aspect. It is to your advantage to ensure that whatever you submit compiles, links, and runs correctly. The information returned to you will indicate the number of points awarded for the submission, and will also inform you the band associated with that number of points. Note that the mapping from points to bands is different for Designated Degree students than for Honours, so you should not be surprised if the same number of points yield different bands when comparing notes with your classmates.

You must be sure that your code works correctly on the lab 64-bit Linux systems (Glasgow)/64-bit Linux virtual machines (Singapore), regardless of which platform you use for development and testing. Leave enough time in your development to fully test on the lab machines/Singapore cloud before submission.

As indicated in the handout, you can choose to turn in two forms of tldlist:

- if it is implemented using a binary search tree (BST), only 70 of the 100 total points are available to you
- if it is implemented using an Adelson-Velskii Landis (AVL) tree, all 100 total points are available to you.

I have described two marking schemes below, one for each possible choice.

The BST marking scheme is as follows:

Points	Description
10	Your report – honestly describes the state of your submission
20	<u>Date ADT</u> 6 for workable solution (looks like it should work) 2 if it successfully compiles 2 if it compiles with no warnings 6 if it works correctly (when tested with an unseen driver program) 4 if there are no memory leaks
40	<u>TLDList ADT</u> 12 for workable solution (looks like it should work) 2 if it successfully compiles 2 if it compiles with no warnings 2 if it successfully links with tldmonitor 2 if it links with no warnings 6 if it works correctly with small.txt and large.txt 4 if it works correctly with 10,000 entry unseen log file 4 if it works correctly with 1,000,000 entry unseen log file 6 if there are no memory leaks

The AVL marking scheme is as follows:

Points	Description
10	Your report – honestly describes the state of your submission
20	<u>Date ADT</u> 6 for workable solution (looks like it should work) 2 if it successfully compiles 2 if it compiles with no warnings 6 if it works correctly (when tested with an unseen driver program) 4 if there are no memory leaks
70	<u>TLDList ADT</u> 24 for workable solution (looks like it should work) 2 if it successfully compiles 2 if it compiles with no warnings 2 if it successfully links with tldmonitor 2 if it links with no warnings 18 if it works correctly with small.txt and large.txt 4 if it works correctly with 10,000 entry unseen log file 4 if it works correctly with 1,000,000 entry unseen log file 6 if it works correctly with sorted 1,000,000 entry unseen log file 6 if there are no memory leaks

Several things should be noted about the marking schemes:

- Your report needs to be honest. Stating that everything works and then finding that it won't even compile is offensive. The 10 points associated with the report are probably the easiest 10 points you will ever earn as long as you are honest.
- If your solution does not look workable, then the points associated with successful compilation and lack of compilation errors are **not** available to you. This prevents you from handing in a stub implementation for each of the methods in each ADT and receiving points because they compile without errors, but do nothing.
- The points associated with “workable solution” are the maximum number of points that can be awarded. If it is deemed that only part of the solution looks workable, then you will be awarded a portion of the points in that category.