

Domino Magic Threefold Problem Set

Andreas Bärtschi, Daniel Graf

ETH Zürich

November 25, 2015

Fetch two sheets of paper at the entrance!

Threefold Problem Set

Goal: simulate the thinking steps of a six hour exam in one hour.

First Hour:

- 3 problems on paper
- think about them
- sketch your solution on paper
- no coding required
- provide us with some feedback

Second Hour:

- solution discussion
- algocöon discussion



Domino Snake – The problem

Given:

- $h \times w$ grid with obstacles
- p queries of point pairs $((q, r), (s, t))$

Wanted:

- y or n per query:
Does a domino snake between the two points exist?



Rephrased in graph theory language:

What corresponds to a *domino snake*?


- a (q, r) - (s, t) -path on the 4-neighborhood grid graph with holes
- this path needs to have even length

Is even length necessary and sufficient?

- *necessary*: odd length paths can not be tiled in to dominos of area 2
- *sufficient*: for path $P = (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_l)$ we can tile into dominoes of the form (p_1, p_2) , (p_3, p_4) , \dots , (p_{l-1}, p_l) .

Domino Snake – Handling a single query


BFS can answer if any path from (q, r) to (s, t) exists and if possible gives us the shortest such path.



What if this path is of odd length?

Can we add a detour to find a path of the right parity?

No! Chessboard coloring argument.
We require: $(q + r) \not\equiv_2 (s + t)$



Domino Snake – Handling multiple queries

$p = 1$: check that BFS from (q, r) visits (s, t) and $(q + r) \not\equiv_2 (s + t)$.

$p > 1$:

- Running BFS over and over is expensive $\rightarrow \mathcal{O}(hwp)$
- We do not really need the shortest path.
- We just ask: Are (q, r) and (s, t) in the same connected component?
- Precompute the connected components in $\mathcal{O}(hw)$.
- Each query can then be answered in $\mathcal{O}(1)$ by checking
 - $\text{component}((q, r)) = \text{component}((s, t))$
 - $(q + r) \not\equiv_2 (s + t)$.

Overall runtime: $\mathcal{O}(hw + p)$

New Tiles – The problem

Problem

Given a $h \times w$ matrix of 0's and 1's.

Find the maximum number of non-overlapping 2×2 matrices of the form:

$$\begin{matrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{matrix}$$

Is there a greedy solution, ideas?

Greedily take every 2×2 free space.

Counterexample:

0110

1111

1111

Greedy gives answer 1. Maximum is 2.

(We ignore the zeros at the boundary from now on.)

New Tiles – Dynamic programming

State of the subproblem: $[i, bitmask]$, $1 \leq i \leq h$, $0 \leq bitmask \leq 2^w - 1$

$DP[i][bitmask]$ = maximum number of 2x2 matrices possible, if we consider the first $i - 1$ rows completely and in the i -th row only the cells with column j if $bitmask$ has the j -th bit set to 1.

Initialization:

- In a single row, no tiles fit: $DP[1][bitmask] = 0$, for any $bitmask$
- If we do not use the new row at all: $DP[i][0] = \max_{bitmask} (DP[i - 1][bitmask])$
- We might always leave a square of the new row empty:
 $DP[i][bitmask] =$
 $\max_{j \in \{j | j\text{-th bit is set in } bitmask\}} DP[i][bitmask \text{ with } j\text{-th bit set to } 0]$
- If we compute the entries in lexicographical order, we avoid all dependencies.

New Tiles – Dynamic programming

Recurrent formula for placing new tiles:

We have to take some 2×2 matrices in $i, i + 1$ strip

1	1	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	lookup in row $i-1$
0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	state in row i

0	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	row $i-1$ of the input
0	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	row i of the input

Try those *bitmasks* that have even number of consecutive 1s.

All others are covered by the initialization (by a case with some of the bits removed).

Check whether we this maximal amount of 2×2 matrices is compatible with the input matrix. If yes, check whether this would lead to a larger tiling.

New Tiles – Dynamic programming

Recurrent formula for placing new tiles:

We have to take some 2×2 matrices in $i, i + 1$ strip

1	1	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	lookup in row $i-1$
0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	state in row i

0	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	row $i-1$ of the input
0	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	row i of the input

For the example:

$$DP[i][000011011110011] = \max(DP[i][000011011110011], \\ DP[i-1][111100100001100] + 4).$$

New Tiles – Dynamic programming

Recurrent formula for placing new tiles:

We have to take some 2×2 matrices in $i, i + 1$ strip

1	1	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	lookup in row i-1
0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	state in row i

0	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	row i-1 of the input
0	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	row i of the input

In general: if the bitmask fits in row i and $i - 1$ of the input, perform this step:

$$DP[i][bitmask] = \max(DP[i][bitmask], \\ DP[i - 1][negated\ bitmask] + bitcount(bitmask)/2)$$

New Tiles – Dynamic programming

Runtime:

Size of the table: $2^w \cdot h$.

Update step per entry: two loops over w (one in the initialization, one for the check).

Runtime: $\mathcal{O}(2^w \cdot h \cdot w)$, which is fast enough.

Slower solution for first subtask:

For smaller w , we could also check for a given bitmask all of its subsets, resulting in running time $\mathcal{O}(3^w \cdot w \cdot h)$.



Snakes strike back – The problem

Given:

- $h \times w$ grid with obstacles (snake cages) and entrance/exit pair.
- Minimum path width p , safety distance $p/2$. (Cases: $p = 1$, $p = 2$, $p \leq 20$)

Wanted:


- yes or no: Is there a path between the entrance and the exit with minimum width p which keeps clear from obstacles by $p/2$?



Snakes strike back – Case $p = 1$

Rough Idea:

Use graph from Domino Snake, but delete squares which are adjacent to snake cages.




Problem: Fails, graph is disconnected!

Need better grasp on path width p and safety distance $p/2$.

Equivalent: **Curve** (has 0 width!),
Safety distance: p .

Solution Approach:

If there is a curve: Shift and bend, such that it runs along the boundary of cells which are not adjacent to snake cages.




Solution ($p = 1$):

BFS on grid graph given by vertices and by boundary segments with distance ≥ 1 to snake cage boundaries.

Can we adapt for larger p ?

Snakes strike back – Case $p = 2$

Rough Idea (first case solution adapted):
BFS on grid graph given by vertices and
by boundary segments with distance ≥ 2
to snake cage boundaries.




Problem: When can we pass between
two obstacles / their vertices?

- Δx or Δy at least $2p$, or
- $\sqrt{(\Delta x)^2 + (\Delta y)^2} \geq 2p$.

Solution Approach:

Because $p = 2$, second case only appears
for $\Delta x = \Delta y = 3$. Hence add diagonals
between vertices u, v of the same cell.



Solution ($p = 2$):

BFS on grid graph given by

- vertices and boundary segments
with safety distance ≥ 2 ,
- diagonals between vertices if they
belong to the same cell.

Snakes strike back – Arbitrary p

Question: Can we extend the $p = 2$ solution to arbitrary p ?

Recall: Main problem for $p = 2$ were obstacles which lie diagonally apart, i.e. pairs $(\Delta x, \Delta y)$ such that $\sqrt{(\Delta x)^2 + (\Delta y)^2} \geq 2p$, but $\Delta x, \Delta y < 2p$.

Answer: For $p > 2$ there will be many different kinds of pairs $(\Delta x, \Delta y)$, not only one! Things start to get messy!

Look at the problem statement again:

Originally: Is there a path of width p which keeps clear from obstacles by $p/2$?

Redefined: Is there a path of width 0 which keeps clear from obstacles by p ?

Redefinition II: Is there a path of width $2p$ which keeps clear from obstacles by 0?

Snakes strike back – Arbitrary p

Draw path with a brush of Diameter $2p$.

This sounds familiar:

H1N1 – How to move a disk D without colliding with a given point set P ?

Move disk along Voronoi Diagram edges / in the Delaunay triangulation.

Problem: Here our obstacles are cells, not points.

Solution: Replace every snake cage square by its 4 vertices.

Careful:

- We need vertices of each square, vertices of full obstacle are not enough!
- Delaunay triangulation takes longer than usual: four points per circle!

