



Strictly positive definite multivariate covariance functions on spheres

Jean Carlo Guella^{a,*}, Valdir Antonio Menegatto^a, Emilio Porcu^{b,c}

^a Departamento de Matemática, ICMC-USP - São Carlos, Caixa Postal 668, 13560-970 São Carlos SP, Brazil

^b School of Mathematics, Statistics and Physics, Newcastle University, NE1 7RU, United Kingdom

^c Departamento de Matemática, Universidad técnica Federico Santa María, 2360102 Valparaíso, Chile

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 14 August 2017

Available online 10 March 2018

AMS 2010 subject classifications:

62J10

86A32

42A82

43A35

Keywords:

Covariance functions

Isotropy

Spheres

Strictly positive definite

ABSTRACT

We study the strict positive definiteness of matrix-valued covariance functions associated to multivariate random fields defined over d -dimensional spheres of the $(d + 1)$ -dimensional Euclidean space. Characterization of strict positive definiteness is crucial to both estimation and cokriging prediction in classical geostatistical routines. We provide characterization theorems for high dimensional spheres as well as for the Hilbert sphere. We offer a necessary condition for positive definiteness on the circle. Finally, we discuss a parametric example which might turn to be useful for geostatistical applications.

© 2018 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Positive definite functions are fundamental to many disciplines, such as mathematical analysis, probability theory, approximation theory, numerical analysis, machine learning techniques, and spatial statistics. They are crucial for the simulation of Gaussian random fields on subsets of Euclidean spaces as well as for the construction of certain classes of spatial point processes.

Spatial data observed over a subset of the d -dimensional Euclidean space are often modeled as a realization of a stationary and isotropic Gaussian field. This approach requires the fitting of a covariance model. As noted by Gneiting [17], a candidate function C is fitted to the observed correlations such that

$$\Sigma = [C(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j)]_{i,j=1}^N$$

is the covariance matrix for the random field restricted to arbitrary points $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N$ in \mathbb{R}^d . The matrix Σ is positive semidefinite, and this is achieved for all N and all the points if and only if the kernel function C defined above is positive definite on \mathbb{R}^d . In the last years, the statistical analysis of vector-valued fields has become ubiquitous, and the reader is referred to the review in [16] as well to [6] and [12] for more details. Under such a framework, for a multivariate random field with p components, a candidate matrix-valued function \mathbf{C} , is fitted to the observations so that the block matrix

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: jeanguella@gmail.com (J.C. Guella), menegatt@icmc.usp.br (V.A. Menegatto), georgepolya01@gmail.com (E. Porcu).

$$\Sigma = \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma_{11} & \cdots & \Sigma_{1j} & \cdots & \Sigma_{1p} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \Sigma_{i1} & \cdots & \Sigma_{ij} & \cdots & \Sigma_{ip} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \Sigma_{p1} & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \Sigma_{pp} \end{bmatrix} \quad (1)$$

is the matrix-valued covariance block matrix for the p -valued random field restricted to any finite set $\{\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N\}$ of points in \mathbb{R}^d . Observe that each block Σ_{ij} reflects the cross covariance between the i th and j th components of the vector-valued random field. The blocks on the diagonal of Σ are called auto covariances.

The most popular estimation techniques, such as likelihood [24], typically rely on a Cholesky factorization of the matrices Σ . Calculation of the inverse is also the crux for getting the kriging predictor, which is basically the best linear unbiased predictor in the geostatistical context. If the function \mathbf{C} is not strictly positive definite, then the associated matrices Σ might be singular. Such a problem has inspired constructive criticism in several branches of spatial statistics and numerical analysis, and the reader is referred to [3,4,11,13–15,19,28,33] for the geostatistical context, and to [9,25–27,36] for a mathematical treatise.

Recently, there has been an intense activity around scalar and vector-valued fields defined globally over the whole of planet Earth, which is usually represented as a sphere. Motivating examples can be found in [1,2,8,22,29]. In this case, the matrix-valued function \mathbf{C} described above should depend on the geodesic distance, being the arc joining any pair of points located over the spherical shell. Positive definite real-valued functions over spheres have a long history and we refer the reader to the review by Gneiting [18] as well as the recent extensions in [5,20]. Their strict positive definiteness has been studied extensively in [10,25–27,34]. Positive definiteness for vector-valued random fields has been discussed in [3,30].

Characterization of strict positive definiteness for the matrix-valued case has been elusive so far. Our efforts in this paper are devoted to such a characterization. The plan of the paper is the following. Section 2 contains the necessary background material. Section 3 is devoted to the original results of this paper: we provide characterization theorems for high-dimensional spheres as well as for the Hilbert sphere. We offer a necessary condition for strict positive definiteness on the circle. In Section 4 we apply the main result in the paper to describe a large class of strictly positive definite multivariate covariance functions on all spheres.

2. Background and notation

We denote with \mathbb{S}^d the d -dimensional sphere embedded in \mathbb{R}^{d+1} and with θ the geodesic distance on \mathbb{S}^d uniquely defined, for all $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{S}^d$, through the relation

$$\theta(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \arccos(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}),$$

with \cdot being the canonical dot product in \mathbb{R}^{d+1} . We follow [29] when defining Ψ_d^p as the class of mappings $\psi : [0, \pi] \rightarrow M^p$, with M^p being the set of $p \times p$ real matrices, such that the mapping $\mathbf{C} : \mathbb{S}^d \times \mathbb{S}^d \rightarrow M^p$ defined, for all $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{S}^d$, through

$$\mathbf{C}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \psi\{\theta(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})\}, \quad (2)$$

is symmetric and positive definite. The latter means that

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^N \mathbf{c}_i^T \mathbf{C}(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j) \mathbf{c}_j \geq 0$$

for any integer $N \geq 1$, distinct points $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N$ on \mathbb{S}^d and vectors $\mathbf{c}_1, \dots, \mathbf{c}_N$ in \mathbb{R}^p . If the last inequality is strict when $\mathbf{c}_i \neq 0$ for at least one $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$, then \mathbf{C} is called *strictly positive definite*. Rephrased, \mathbf{C} is the covariance function of some vector-valued Gaussian field $\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{x}) = (Z_1(\mathbf{x}), \dots, Z_p(\mathbf{x}))^T$ on \mathbb{S}^d . Thus, a necessary requirement is that the diagonal members C_{ii} evaluated at zero, and being the variance of Z_i , are strictly positive.

Condition (2) in the definition above reflects the geodesic isotropy of \mathbf{C} . In particular, the entries C_{ij} with $i, j \in \{1, \dots, p\}$ of an isotropic matrix function \mathbf{C} satisfy, for all $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{S}^d$, $A \in \mathcal{O}_d$ and $i, j \in \{1, \dots, p\}$,

$$C_{ij}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = C_{ij}(A\mathbf{x}, A\mathbf{y}), \quad (3)$$

where \mathcal{O}_d is the group of all orthogonal transformations on \mathbb{R}^{d+1} , a well-known fact from the analysis on spheres. We shall abuse notation when using equivalently θ or $\theta(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ whenever no confusion can arise. The term geodesic isotropy is coined to distinguish from Euclidean isotropy or radial symmetry as in [12]. A relevant fact is that the mapping $C_{ij} : [0, \pi] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $i \neq j$, is not, in general, positive definite (for $i = j$, it obviously is). This in turn implies that the block Σ_{ij} in the matrix Σ in Eq. (1) is not necessarily positive semidefinite.

The case $p = 1$ has been largely discussed since the seminal paper [31], where it is established that a continuous function $\psi : [0, \pi] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $\psi(0) = 1$ such that $C(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \psi(\theta)$ belongs to the class $\Psi_d = \Psi_d^1$ if and only if

$$\forall_{\theta \in [0, \pi]} \quad \psi(\theta) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_{k,d} c_k(d, \cos \theta), \quad (4)$$

with $\{b_{k,d}\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ being a uniquely determined probability mass sequence. Following [5] and other references as well, we call $\{b_{k,d}\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ a d -Schoenberg sequence. Here, $c_k(d, \cos \theta)$ is the normalized Gegenbauer polynomial, defined, for all $x \in [-1, 1]$, through

$$c_k(d, x) = P_k^{(d-1)/2}(x)/P_k^{(d-1)/2}(1),$$

with P_k^{λ} , $\lambda > 0$, generated by the intrinsic relation

$$(1 + r^2 - 2r \cos \theta)^{-\lambda} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} r^k P_k^{\lambda}(\cos \theta)$$

valid for all $r \in (-1, 1)$ and $\theta \in [0, \pi]$. The following well-known assertions [32] hold for $d \geq 2$:

- (i) $|c_k(d, \cos \theta)| = 1$ if and only if either $\theta = 0$ or $\theta = \pi$.
- (ii) For $\theta \in (0, \pi)$, $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} c_k(d, \cos \theta) = 0$.

We shall make use of these properties through subsequent sections.

Taking advantage of the work of Schoenberg we can also define the limit class Ψ_{∞} consisting instead of those continuous members ψ with $\psi(0) = 1$ being identified through the series representation, valid for all $\theta \in [0, \pi]$,

$$\psi(\theta) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_k \cos^k \theta, \quad (5)$$

which clearly shows that $\psi \in \Psi_{\infty}$ if and only if $\psi(\arccos X)$ is the probability generating function of some discrete random variable X with support on \mathbb{Z}_+ and distributed according to the probability mass system $\{b_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$.

We call $\tilde{\Psi}_d^p$ the subclass of Ψ_d^p whose continuous members are the radial part of mappings \mathbf{C} as in (2), being strictly positive definite. A characterization of the class $\tilde{\Psi}_d = \tilde{\Psi}_d^1$ has emerged thanks to [10,25,27]. In particular, we have that $\psi \in \tilde{\Psi}_d$, for $d \geq 2$, if and only if the d -Schoenberg coefficients $b_{n,d}$ in the expansion (4) are strictly positive for infinitely many even and infinitely many odd k s. The class $\tilde{\Psi}_1$ consists of those members of Ψ_1 such that for every integer $n \geq 1$ and $j \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}$, there exists an integer k such that $b_{[j+kn],1}$ is strictly positive. The analogue of the result obtained for the class $\tilde{\Psi}_d$, for $d \geq 2$, holds for the class $\tilde{\Psi}_{\infty}$ in terms of infinitely many even and infinitely many odd positive coefficients b_k as defined through the expansion (5).

A characterization for the class Ψ_d^p was essentially obtained in [21] and [35]. A recent alternative proof can be found in [7].

Theorem 1. The continuous mapping $\psi : [0, \pi] \rightarrow M^p$ belongs to the class Ψ_d^p if and only if

$$\forall \theta \in [0, \pi] \quad \psi(\theta) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A_{k,d} c_k(d, \cos \theta), \quad (6)$$

where $\{A_{k,d}\} \subset M^p$, each A_k is positive semidefinite and $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A_{k,d} < \infty$.

A characterization for the analogous limit class Ψ_{∞}^p has been described in [23]. However, characterizations for the classes $\tilde{\Psi}_d^p$ and $\tilde{\Psi}_{\infty}^p$ have been elusive and we are going to make them explicit in the next section. The matrix sequence $\{A_{k,d}\}$ will be called a d -Schoenberg matrix sequence of ψ throughout. It is worth mentioning that the matrices A_k in the representation provided by the theorem above have real entries.

A neat exposition of the subsequent results relies on some notation. For a given $d \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, let ψ be a member of the class Ψ_d^p with d -Schoenberg matrix sequence $\{A_{k,d}\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ as in representation (6). We define

$$J(\psi, p) = \{k : A_{k,d} \neq 0\}.$$

Apparently, the function J depends on the dimension d , but this will not be emphasized in our notation because d is fixed throughout. We shall be sloppy when using J instead of $J(\psi, p)$ whenever no confusion can arise. The set $J(\psi, 1)$ with $\psi \in \Psi_d$ has already been studied and the related result is reported below. It rephrases the findings of [10] and [27], respectively.

Theorem 2. Let d be a positive integer and let $\psi \in \tilde{\Psi}_d$. Then, the following assertions hold:

- (i) $\psi \in \tilde{\Psi}_d$, $d \geq 2$, if and only if $J(\psi, 1)$ contains infinitely many even and infinitely many odd integers.
- (ii) $\psi \in \tilde{\Psi}_1$ if and only if the set $\{k : |k| \in J(\psi, 1)\}$ intersects every full arithmetic progression in \mathbb{Z} .

3. Results

We start by noting that, for every $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and a positive semidefinite $A \in M^p$, the mapping $Ac_k(d, \cos \theta)$ belongs to the class $\Psi_d^p \setminus \Psi_{d+1}^p$. Thus, the proof of the following statement is obvious and omitted.

Lemma 1. Let d and p be positive integers and $\psi \in \Psi_d^p$ with d -Schoenberg matrix sequence $\{A_{k,d}\}_{k=0}^\infty$. Then, for distinct points $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N$ on \mathbb{S}^d and $\{\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_N\} \subset \mathbb{R}^p$, the following assertions are equivalent:

- (i) $\sum_{i,j=1}^N \mathbf{w}_i^\top \psi\{\theta(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j)\} \mathbf{w}_j = 0$.
- (ii) $\sum_{i,j=1}^N \mathbf{w}_i^\top A_{k,d} \mathbf{w}_j c_k\{d, \cos \theta(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j)\} = 0$ for all $k \in J$.

Another formal statement along the same lines follows subsequently. A technical proof is needed in order to prove its validity.

Lemma 2. Let d and p be positive integers and $\psi \in \Psi_d^p$ with d -Schoenberg matrix sequence $\{A_{k,d}\}_{k=0}^\infty$. Then, the following assertions are equivalent:

- (i) $\psi \in \tilde{\Psi}_d^p$.
- (ii) For any integer $N \geq 1$ and distinct points $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N$ on \mathbb{S}^d , no two of which are antipodal, the only solution $(\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{v}_1), \dots, (\mathbf{u}_N, \mathbf{v}_N) \in \mathbb{R}^{2p}$ of the system

$$\forall_{k \in J} \sum_{i,j=1}^N \{\mathbf{u}_i + (-1)^k \mathbf{v}_i\}^\top A_{k,d} \{\mathbf{u}_j + (-1)^k \mathbf{v}_j\} c_k\{d, \cos \theta(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j)\} = 0,$$

is the trivial one, i.e., $\mathbf{u}_1 = \mathbf{v}_1 = 0, \dots, \mathbf{u}_N = \mathbf{v}_N = 0$.

Proof. We give a constructive proof. Given distinct points $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N$ on \mathbb{S}^d , we define

$$\mathbf{y}_i = \begin{cases} \mathbf{x}_i & \text{if } i \in \{1, \dots, N\}, \\ -\mathbf{x}_{i-N} & \text{if } i \in \{N+1, \dots, 2N\}. \end{cases}$$

We note that $\{\mathbf{y}_1, \dots, \mathbf{y}_{2N}\}$ is a set of $2N$ distinct points on \mathbb{S}^d . If (i) holds, Lemma 1 shows that the system

$$\forall_{k \in J} \sum_{i,j=1}^{2N} \mathbf{w}_i^\top A_{k,d} \mathbf{w}_j c_k\{d, \cos \theta(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j)\} = 0,$$

has a unique solution $\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_{2N}$ in \mathbb{R}^p , being namely, the trivial one. Direct inspection shows that the system is precisely the one described in (ii), with $\mathbf{u}_i = \mathbf{w}_i$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ and $\mathbf{v}_i = \mathbf{w}_{i+N}$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$. Conversely, if (i) does not hold, we can pick distinct points $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N$ in \mathbb{S}^d for which the system

$$\forall_{k \in J} \sum_{i,j=1}^N \mathbf{w}_i^\top A_{k,d} \mathbf{w}_j c_k\{d, \cos \theta(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j)\} = 0,$$

has more than one solution. But, if we consider m points $\mathbf{y}_1, \dots, \mathbf{y}_m$ in \mathbb{S}^d with $m \leq N$, no two of which are antipodal, so that $\{\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N\} \subset \{\pm \mathbf{y}_1, \dots, \pm \mathbf{y}_m\}$, it is easily seen that the system

$$\forall_{k \in J} \sum_{i,j=1}^m \{\mathbf{u}_i + (-1)^k \mathbf{v}_i\}^\top A_{k,d} \{\mathbf{u}_j + (-1)^k \mathbf{v}_j\} c_k\{d, \cos \theta(\mathbf{y}_i, \mathbf{y}_j)\} = 0,$$

has, likewise, a nontrivial solution $(\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{v}_1), \dots, (\mathbf{u}_m, \mathbf{v}_m)$. \square

The following reformulation of Lemma 2 takes into account the evenness of the elements of J .

Proposition 1. Let d and p be positive integers and let $\psi \in \Psi_d^p$ with d -Schoenberg matrix sequence $\{A_{k,d}\}_{k=0}^\infty$. Then, the following assertions are equivalent:

- (i) $\psi \in \tilde{\Psi}_d^p$.
- (ii) For any integer $N \geq 1$ and distinct points $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N$ on \mathbb{S}^d , no two of which are antipodal, the only solution $(\mathbf{u}_1^o, \mathbf{u}_1^e), \dots, (\mathbf{u}_N^o, \mathbf{u}_N^e) \in \mathbb{R}^{2p}$ of the system

$$\begin{cases} \sum_{i,j=1}^N (\mathbf{u}_i^o)^\top A_{k,d} \mathbf{u}_j^o c_k(d, \cos \theta(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j)) = 0 & \text{if } k \in J \cap (2\mathbb{Z}_+ + 1), \\ \sum_{i,j=1}^N (\mathbf{u}_i^e)^\top A_{k,d} \mathbf{u}_j^e c_k(d, \cos \theta(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j)) = 0 & \text{if } k \in J \cap 2\mathbb{Z}_+, \end{cases}$$

is the trivial one.

Proof. If (ii) does not hold, we can find N distinct points $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N$ on \mathbb{S}^d , no two of which are antipodal, and N vectors $(\mathbf{u}_i^o, \mathbf{u}_i^e) \in \mathbb{R}^{2p}$, with at least one of them being nonzero, so that

$$\begin{cases} \sum_{i,j=1}^N (\mathbf{u}_i^o)^\top A_{k,d} \mathbf{u}_j^o c_k \{d, \cos \theta(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j)\} = 0 & \text{if } k \in J \cap (2\mathbb{Z}_+ + 1), \\ \sum_{i,j=1}^N (\mathbf{u}_i^e)^\top A_{k,d} \mathbf{u}_j^e c_k \{d, \cos \theta(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j)\} = 0 & \text{if } k \in J \cap 2\mathbb{Z}_+, \end{cases}$$

holds. Now, consider

$$\begin{cases} 2\mathbf{u}_i = \mathbf{u}_i^o + \mathbf{u}_i^e & \text{if } i \in \{1, \dots, N\}, \\ 2\mathbf{v}_i = \mathbf{u}_i^o - \mathbf{u}_i^e & \text{if } i \in \{1, \dots, N\}. \end{cases}$$

Then, at least one pair $(\mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{v}_i)$ is nonzero and, in addition,

$$\forall_{k \in J} \sum_{i,j=1}^N \{\mathbf{u}_i + (-1)^k \mathbf{v}_i\}^\top A_{k,d} \{\mathbf{u}_j + (-1)^k \mathbf{v}_j\} c_k \{d, \cos \theta(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j)\} = 0.$$

In particular, the arguments in Lemma 2 show that (i) does not hold. Conversely, if $\psi \in \Psi_d^p \setminus \tilde{\Psi}_d^p$, we can make use of Lemma 2 and select N distinct points $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N$ in \mathbb{S}^d , no two of which are antipodal, and vectors $(\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{v}_1), \dots, (\mathbf{u}_N, \mathbf{v}_N) \in \mathbb{R}^{2p}$, with at least one of them being nonzero, so that

$$\forall_{k \in J} \sum_{i,j=1}^N \{\mathbf{u}_i + (-1)^k \mathbf{v}_i\}^\top A_{k,d} \{\mathbf{u}_j + (-1)^k \mathbf{v}_j\} c_k \{d, \cos \theta(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j)\} = 0.$$

Let us now define

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{u}_i^o = \mathbf{u}_i - \mathbf{v}_i & \text{if } i \in \{1, \dots, N\}, \\ \mathbf{u}_i^e = \mathbf{u}_i + \mathbf{v}_i & \text{if } i \in \{1, \dots, N\}. \end{cases}$$

Then, either $\mathbf{u}_i^o \neq 0$ for some i , or $\mathbf{u}_i^e \neq 0$ for some i . This in turn implies that $(\mathbf{u}_1^o, \mathbf{u}_1^e), \dots, (\mathbf{u}_N^o, \mathbf{u}_N^e)$ is a nontrivial solution of the system in (ii). The proof is complete. \square

The following result offers a bridge from the class Ψ_d^p , with $p > 1$ to the class Ψ_d .

Lemma 3. Let d, p be positive integers. For $\psi \in \Psi_d^p$ and $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^p$, define, for all $\theta \in [0, \pi]$,

$$g_{\mathbf{v}}(\theta) = \mathbf{v}^\top \psi(\theta) \mathbf{v}. \quad (7)$$

Then, every function $g_{\mathbf{v}}$ belongs to Ψ_d . Further, if $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^p \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$ and $\psi \in \tilde{\Psi}_d^p$, then $g_{\mathbf{v}} \in \tilde{\Psi}_d$.

Proof. The first assertion follows from the fact that, for distinct points $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N$ on \mathbb{S}^d and real scalars c_1, \dots, c_N , we have

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^N c_i g_{\mathbf{v}} \{\theta(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j)\} c_j = \sum_{i,j=1}^N (c_i \mathbf{v})^\top \psi \{\theta(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j)\} (c_j \mathbf{v}).$$

The second one follows from the fact that, if $\psi \in \tilde{\Psi}_d^p$, then the quadratic form above is zero if and only if $c_i \mathbf{v} = 0$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$. If $\mathbf{v} \neq \mathbf{0}$, the last condition reduces to $c_i = 0$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$. \square

We are now able to illustrate the main result of this section.

Theorem 3. Let $d \geq 2$ and p be a positive integer. Let $\psi \in \Psi_d^p$ with d -Schoenberg matrix sequence $\{A_{k,d}\}_{k=0}^\infty$. For $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^p \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$, let $g_{\mathbf{v}}$ be defined through Eq. (7). Then, the following assertions are equivalent:

- (i) $\psi \in \tilde{\Psi}_d^p$.
- (ii) For every $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^p \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$, the function $g_{\mathbf{v}}$ belongs to the class $\tilde{\Psi}_d$.
- (iii) For every $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^p \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$, the set $\{k : \mathbf{v}^\top A_{k,d} \mathbf{v} > 0\}$ contains infinitely many even and infinitely many odd integers.

Proof. The implication (i) \Rightarrow (ii) follows from Lemma 3.

We proceed by contradiction to prove (ii) \Rightarrow (iii). Let $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^p \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$ be such that $\{k : \mathbf{v}^\top A_{k,d} \mathbf{v} > 0\}$ does not contain infinitely many even and infinitely many odd integers. Invoking again Lemma 3, we have that $g_{\mathbf{v}}$ as defined through Eq. (7) is a member of the class Ψ_d for all \mathbf{v} fixed. Also, we have $J(g_{\mathbf{v}}, 1) = \{k : \mathbf{v}^\top A_{k,d} \mathbf{v} > 0\}$. In particular, $J(g_{\mathbf{v}}, 1)$ fails to contain infinitely many even and infinitely many odd integers. By invoking Theorem 2, we conclude that $g_{\mathbf{v}} \in \Psi_d \setminus \tilde{\Psi}_d$. Thus, (ii) implies (iii).

The implication (iii) \Rightarrow (i) is also shown by contradiction. In view of Proposition 1, there exist distinct points $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N$ in \mathbb{S}^d , no two of which are antipodal, such that the system

$$\begin{cases} \sum_{i,j=1}^N (\mathbf{u}_i^o)^\top A_{k,d} \mathbf{u}_j^o c_k\{d, \cos \theta(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j)\} = 0 & \text{if } k \in J \cap (2\mathbb{Z}_+ + 1), \\ \sum_{i,j=1}^N (\mathbf{u}_i^e)^\top A_{k,d} \mathbf{u}_j^e c_k\{d, \cos \theta(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j)\} = 0 & \text{if } k \in J \cap 2\mathbb{Z}_+, \end{cases}$$

has a nontrivial solution $(\mathbf{u}_1^o, \mathbf{u}_1^e), \dots, (\mathbf{u}_N^o, \mathbf{u}_N^e)$ in \mathbb{R}^{2p} . We now proceed assuming that at least one \mathbf{u}_i^e is nonzero, and that the following holds:

$$\forall_{k \in J \cap 2\mathbb{Z}_+} \sum_{i,j=1}^N (\mathbf{u}_i^e)^\top A_{k,d} \mathbf{u}_j^e c_k\{d, \cos \theta(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j)\} = 0. \quad (8)$$

The case in which at least one \mathbf{u}_i^o is nonzero and the other equality above holds can be handled in a similar fashion. Without loss of generality, we can now assume that $\mathbf{u}_i^e \neq \mathbf{0}$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$. Indeed, otherwise we just work with less than N points. Due to assertion (iii), the set $\{k : (\mathbf{u}_1^e)^\top A_{k,d} \mathbf{u}_1^e > 0\}$ contains infinitely many even integers. Since $\{k \in J : (\mathbf{u}_1^e)^\top A_{k,d} \mathbf{u}_1^e > 0\}$ is infinite and $\{1, \dots, N\}$ is finite, we can select an infinite subset K of $2\mathbb{Z}_+ \cap \{k : (\mathbf{u}_1^e)^\top A_{k,d} \mathbf{u}_1^e > 0\}$ and $i_0 \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ so that, for all $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ and $k \in K$,

$$(\mathbf{u}_{i_0}^e)^\top A_{k,d} \mathbf{u}_{i_0}^e \geq (\mathbf{u}_i^e)^\top A_{k,d} \mathbf{u}_i^e.$$

Dividing both sides in Eq. (8) by $(\mathbf{u}_{i_0}^e)^\top A_{k,d} \mathbf{u}_{i_0}^e$, we can deduce that, for all $k \in K$,

$$0 = \sum_{i,j=1}^N \frac{(\mathbf{u}_i^e)^\top A_{k,d} \mathbf{u}_j^e}{(\mathbf{u}_{i_0}^e)^\top A_{k,d} \mathbf{u}_{i_0}^e} c_k\{d, \cos \theta(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j)\} = 1 + \sum_{i_0 \neq i=1}^N \frac{(\mathbf{u}_i^e)^\top A_{k,d} \mathbf{u}_i^e}{(\mathbf{u}_{i_0}^e)^\top A_{k,d} \mathbf{u}_{i_0}^e} + \sum_{i \neq j}^N \frac{(\mathbf{u}_i^e)^\top A_{k,d} \mathbf{u}_j^e}{(\mathbf{u}_{i_0}^e)^\top A_{k,d} \mathbf{u}_{i_0}^e} c_k\{d, \cos \theta(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j)\}.$$

Since each $A_{k,d}$ is positive semidefinite, one has, for all $k \in K$ and $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$,

$$\frac{(\mathbf{u}_i^e)^\top A_{k,d} \mathbf{u}_i^e}{(\mathbf{u}_{i_0}^e)^\top A_{k,d} \mathbf{u}_{i_0}^e} \in [0, 1].$$

From the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality, we also know that, for all $k \in K$ and $i \neq j$,

$$|(\mathbf{u}_i^e)^\top A_{k,d} \mathbf{u}_j^e| \leq \sqrt{(\mathbf{u}_i^e)^\top A_{k,d} \mathbf{u}_i^e} \sqrt{(\mathbf{u}_j^e)^\top A_{k,d} \mathbf{u}_j^e} \leq \sqrt{(\mathbf{u}_{i_0}^e)^\top A_{k,d} \mathbf{u}_{i_0}^e} \sqrt{(\mathbf{u}_{i_0}^e)^\top A_{k,d} \mathbf{u}_{i_0}^e} = (\mathbf{u}_{i_0}^e)^\top A_{k,d} \mathbf{u}_{i_0}^e.$$

Hence, for all $k \in K$ and $i \neq j$,

$$\left| \frac{(\mathbf{u}_i^e)^\top A_{k,d} \mathbf{u}_j^e}{(\mathbf{u}_{i_0}^e)^\top A_{k,d} \mathbf{u}_{i_0}^e} \right| \leq 1.$$

Now, we can let $k \rightarrow \infty$ in order to deduce that $0 \geq 1$, a contradiction. \square

Example 1. Let ψ_1, ψ_2 be in the class $\tilde{\Psi}_d$ with $J(\psi_1, 1) = 4\mathbb{Z}_+ \cup (4\mathbb{Z}_+ + 1)$ and $J(\psi_2, 1) = (4\mathbb{Z}_+ + 2) \cup (4\mathbb{Z}_+ + 3)$. We have immediately that $\psi : [0, \pi] \rightarrow M^2$ defined, for all $\theta \in [0, \pi]$, through

$$\psi(\theta) = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1(\theta) & 0 \\ 0 & \psi_2(\theta) \end{pmatrix},$$

belongs to the class $\tilde{\Psi}_d^2$. However, none of the matrices $A_{k,d}$ from the d -Schoenberg expansion of ψ are positive definite.

3.1. The class $\tilde{\Psi}_1^p$: strict positive definiteness on the circle

We start with a technical lemma that opens for a new result.

Lemma 4. Let p be a positive integer and $\psi \in \tilde{\Psi}_1^p$ with 1-Schoenberg matrix sequence $\{A_{k,1}\}_{k=0}^\infty$. Then, the following assertions are equivalent:

- (i) $\psi \in \tilde{\Psi}_1^p$.
- (ii) For any integer $N \geq 1$ and distinct points z_1, \dots, z_N in $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$, the only solution $(\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_N) \in \mathbb{R}^{pN}$ of the system

$$\forall_{k \in J} \left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^N z_{\alpha}^k \mathbf{w}_{\alpha} \right)^{\top} A_{k,1} \left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^N z_{\alpha}^{-k} \mathbf{w}_{\alpha} \right) = 0,$$

is the trivial one.

Proof. Due to Lemma 1, Assertion (i) is equivalent to the following condition: if N is a positive integer and $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N$ are distinct points on S^1 , then the only solution $(\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_N) \in \mathbb{R}^p$ of the system

$$\forall_{k \in J} \sum_{\alpha, \beta=1}^N \mathbf{w}_{\alpha}^{\top} A_{k,1} \mathbf{w}_{\beta} c_k \{1, \cos \theta(\mathbf{x}_{\alpha}, \mathbf{x}_{\beta})\} = 0$$

is the trivial one. If we write $\mathbf{x}_{\alpha} = (\cos \theta_{\alpha}, \sin \theta_{\alpha})$ for all $\theta_{\alpha} \in [0, 2\pi)$ and $\alpha \in \{1, \dots, N\}$, the proof will resume as long as we show that the system above corresponds to that in (ii). Since $P_k^1(\cos \theta) = 2k^{-1} \cos k\theta$ for all $\theta \in [0, \pi]$, the previous equality becomes

$$\forall_{k \in J} \sum_{\alpha, \beta=1}^N \mathbf{w}_{\alpha}^{\top} A_{k,1} \mathbf{w}_{\beta} (\cos k\theta_{\alpha} \cos k\theta_{\beta} + \sin \theta_{\alpha} \sin \theta_{\beta}) = 0.$$

Let $a_{ij,k,1}$ be the (i, j) th element of $A_{k,1}$, $k \in \{0, \dots\}$. Then, we can write each $a_{ij,k,1}$ in Gram format, i.e.,

$$\forall_{i,j \in \{1, \dots, p\}} a_{ij,k,1} = \mathbf{a}_{i,k} \cdot \mathbf{a}_{j,k},$$

where $\mathbf{a}_{i,k} \in \mathbb{C}^p$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$, and \cdot is the inner product in \mathbb{C}^p , and the previous equality takes the form

$$\forall_{k \in J} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^p \sum_{\alpha=1}^N w_{\alpha}^i (\cos k\theta_{\alpha}) \mathbf{a}_{i,k} \right\|^2 + \left\| \sum_{i=1}^p \sum_{\alpha=1}^N w_{\alpha}^i (\sin k\theta_{\alpha}) \mathbf{a}_{i,k} \right\|^2 = 0,$$

where $\mathbf{w}_{\alpha} = (w_{\alpha}^1, \dots, w_{\alpha}^p)$ for all $\alpha \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ and $\|\cdot\|$ is the usual norm in \mathbb{C}^p . However, this last equality is equivalent to

$$\forall_{k \in J} \sum_{\mu=1}^p \sum_{\alpha=1}^N w_{\alpha}^{\mu} e^{ik\theta_{\alpha}} \mathbf{a}_{\mu,k} = 0.$$

After eliminating the Gram entries of the matrix, we are reduced to

$$\forall_{k \in J} \sum_{\mu=1}^p \sum_{\alpha=1}^N \sum_{v=1}^p \sum_{\beta=1}^N w_{\alpha}^{\mu} w_{\beta}^v e^{ik(\theta_{\alpha} - \theta_{\beta})} a_{\mu v, k, 1} = 0,$$

which is equivalent to

$$\forall_{k \in J} \left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^N e^{ik\theta_{\alpha}} \mathbf{w}_{\alpha} \right)^{\top} A_{k,1} \left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^N e^{-ik\theta_{\alpha}} \mathbf{w}_{\alpha} \right) = 0.$$

The proof is complete. \square

Theorem 3 has the following cousin in the case $d = 1$.

Theorem 4. Let p be a positive integer and let $\psi \in \tilde{\Psi}_1^p$ with 1-Schoenberg matrix sequence $\{A_{k,1}\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$. Then, for each $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^p \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$, the set $\{k : \mathbf{v}^{\top} A_{|k|,1} \mathbf{v} > 0\}$ intersects every full arithmetic progression in \mathbb{Z} .

Proof. Assume $\psi \in \tilde{\Psi}_1^p$. Let $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^p \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$ and define $g_{\mathbf{v}}(\theta) = \mathbf{v}^{\top} \psi(\theta) \mathbf{v}$ for all $\theta \in [0, \pi]$. It is manifest that $g_{\mathbf{v}}$ is a member of the class $\tilde{\Psi}_1$. Thanks to Theorem 2, we have that $\{k : |k| \in J(\psi, 1)\}$ intersects every arithmetic progression in \mathbb{Z} . However, since $J(\psi, 1) = \{k : \mathbf{v}^{\top} A_{k,1} \mathbf{v} > 0\}$, the set $\{k : \mathbf{v}^{\top} A_{|k|,1} \mathbf{v} > 0\}$ must intersect each arithmetic progression in \mathbb{Z} . The proof is complete. \square

A proof for the sufficiency of the condition in the previous theorem is still elusive.

3.2. The class $\tilde{\Psi}_{\infty}^p$

Our findings are now completed by considering the class $\tilde{\Psi}_{\infty}^p$

Theorem 5. Let p be a positive integer and let ψ be a member of the class Ψ_{∞}^p having a uniquely determined expansion

$$\psi(\theta) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A_k \cos^k \theta,$$

valid for all $\theta \in [0, \pi]$, with Schoenberg matrix sequence $\{A_k\} \subset M^p$ of positive semidefinite matrices such that $\sum_k A_k < \infty$. Then, the following assertions are equivalent:

- (i) $\psi \in \tilde{\Psi}_\infty^p$.
- (ii) For every $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^p \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$, both sets $\{k : \mathbf{v}^\top A_k \mathbf{v} > 0\} \cap 2\mathbb{Z}_+$ and $\{k : \mathbf{v}^\top A_k \mathbf{v} > 0\} \cap (2\mathbb{Z}_+ + 1)$ are infinite.

Proof. Assume (i) holds and let $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^\ell$. For $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^p \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$, let us consider the function $g_{\mathbf{v}} : [0, \pi] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined through Eq. (7). It is easy to see that $g_{\mathbf{v}} \in \tilde{\Psi}_\infty$ for all \mathbf{v} . By (i) and from Theorem 2.8 in [25] we can write, for all $\theta \in [0, \pi]$,

$$g_{\mathbf{v}}(\theta) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_k \cos^k \theta,$$

with $b_k \geq 0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_k < \infty$, and both sets $\{k : b_k > 0\} \cap 2\mathbb{Z}_+$ and $\{k : b_k > 0\} \cap (2\mathbb{Z}_+ + 1)$ being infinite. Since

$$g_{\mathbf{v}}(\theta) = \mathbf{v}^\top \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A_k \cos^k \theta \right) \mathbf{v} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (\mathbf{v}^\top A_k \mathbf{v}) \cos^k \theta,$$

for all $\theta \in [0, \pi]$, we then have that (ii) follows by uniqueness of MacLaurin series representations. Conversely, assume (ii) holds. It suffices to show that $\psi \in \tilde{\Psi}_d^p$ for all d . Fix $d \geq 1$ and write

$$(\cos \theta)^k = \sum_{0 \leq 2j \leq k} b(d, k, j) P_{k-2j}^{(d-1)/2}(\cos \theta),$$

for all $\theta \in [0, \pi]$, with all the $b(d, k, j)$ being positive. It follows that, for all $\theta \in [0, \pi]$,

$$\psi(\theta) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A_k (\cos \theta)^k = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A_k \left\{ \sum_{0 \leq 2j \leq k} b(d, k, j) P_{k-2j}^{(d-1)/2}(\cos \theta) \right\} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A'_k C_k^{(d-1)/2}(\cos \theta),$$

where

$$A'_k = \begin{cases} \sum_{\substack{j=k \\ j \in 2\mathbb{Z}_+}}^{\infty} b(d, k, j) A_j & \text{if } k \text{ is even,} \\ \sum_{\substack{j=k \\ j \in 2\mathbb{Z}_+ + 1}}^{\infty} b(d, k, j) A_j & \text{if } k \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Each A'_k is positive semidefinite. If $\mathbf{v}^\top A_k \mathbf{v} > 0$ for some k , then

$$\mathbf{v}^\top A'_k \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}^\top \left\{ \sum_{\substack{j=k \\ j \in 2\mathbb{Z}_+}}^{\infty} b(d, k, j) A_j \right\} \mathbf{v} = \sum_{\substack{j=k \\ j \in 2\mathbb{Z}_+}}^{\infty} b(d, k, j) \mathbf{v}^\top A_j \mathbf{v} = b(d, k, j) \mathbf{v}^\top A_k \mathbf{v} + \sum_{\substack{j=k+2 \\ j \in 2\mathbb{Z}_+}}^{\infty} b(d, k, j) A_j > 0,$$

i.e., $\{k : \mathbf{v}^\top A_k \mathbf{v} > 0\} \cap 2\mathbb{Z}_+ \subset \{k : \mathbf{v}^\top A'_k \mathbf{v} > 0\} \cap 2\mathbb{Z}_+$. By assumption (ii), the set above is infinite. Proceeding analogously, we conclude that $\{k : \mathbf{v}^\top A'_k \mathbf{v} > 0\} \cap (2\mathbb{Z}_+ + 1)$ is likewise infinite. Invoking the main theorem in [10], we conclude that $\psi \in \tilde{\Psi}_\infty^p$. \square

An alternative proof of the previous theorem can be achieved fulfilling the details in these arguments: $\psi \in \tilde{\Psi}_\infty^p$ if and only if $\psi \in \tilde{\Psi}_d^p$, for every d . However, Theorem 3 shows that the previous assertion is equivalent to $g_{\mathbf{v}} \in \tilde{\Psi}_d$, for $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^p \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$ and every d . By the definition of $\tilde{\Psi}_d$, this is also equivalent to $g_{\mathbf{v}} \in \tilde{\Psi}_\infty$, for $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^p \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$.

3.3. Complex matrix functions

The setting in Section 2 can be slightly changed by letting M^p be the set of all complex $p \times p$ matrices instead. In that case, we need to use complex vectors \mathbf{c} in the definition of positive definiteness and we may suppress the symmetry assumption on the matrix functions \mathbf{C} . Indeed, in this new setting, a positive definite matrix function is automatically hermitian. Theorem 1 still holds, but the matrices $A_{k,d}$ may have off diagonal complex entries. All the results in Section 3 remain, with some pertinent adaptations in the proofs: the replacement of real vectors with complex ones and the replacement of the transposition operation with conjugate-transposition. The details will not be included here.

4. An application

We consider an example based on the class Ψ_∞ . Let $\psi : [0, \pi] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ belong to the class Ψ_∞ , with probability mass system $\{a_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$. Let $B = [B_{ij}] \in M^p$ be a nonzero positive semidefinite matrix with diagonal entries $B_{kk} \in [0, 1]$. We denote by $B^{(k)}$ the k th Hadamard power of B . We consider the matrix-valued function ψ with Schoenberg matrix sequence $\{a_k B^{(k)}\}$. Clearly, we have that $\psi \in \Psi_p^\infty$. Since B is nonzero, we also have that $J(\psi, p) = J(\psi, 1)$. As an application of Theorem 3, we can prove the following.

Theorem 6. Let ψ , ψ and B be as in the previous paragraph. Write B in Gram format, i.e., $B = [\mathbf{x}_\mu \cdot \mathbf{x}_\nu]_{\mu, \nu=1}^p$, where $\mathbf{x}_\mu \in \mathbb{R}^p$ for all $\mu \in \{1, \dots, p\}$. Then, the following assertions are equivalent:

- (i) $\psi \in \tilde{\Psi}_\infty^p$.
- (ii) $\psi \in \tilde{\Psi}_\infty^p$, the \mathbf{x}_i are nonzero and $\mathbf{x}_\mu \neq \pm \mathbf{x}_\nu$, $\mu \neq \nu$.

Proof. If $\psi \in \tilde{\Psi}_\infty^p$, the equality $J(\psi, p) = J(\psi, 1)$ reveals that each function g_ν , $\nu \in \mathbb{R}^p \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$, belongs to $\tilde{\Psi}_\infty$. That being said, write $\{\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_p\}$ to denote the canonical basis of \mathbb{R}^p . If $\mathbf{x}_i = \mathbf{0}$ for some i , direct computation reveals that $g_{\mathbf{e}_i}$ is a constant function and that is a contradiction. If $\mathbf{x}_i = \mathbf{x}_j$ for some pair (i, j) with $i \neq j$, then another calculation reveals that $g_{\mathbf{e}_i - \mathbf{e}_j} = 0$, another contradiction. Finally, if $\mathbf{x}_i = -\mathbf{x}_j$ for some pair (i, j) with $i \neq j$, then yet another calculation reveals that $g_{\mathbf{e}_i + \mathbf{e}_j}$ is an odd function, a contradiction as well. These arguments resolve the implication (i) \Rightarrow (ii). In order to prove the converse implication, we will assume (ii) holds and that $\psi \notin \tilde{\Psi}_\infty^p$ and will reach a contradiction. The second assumption above reveals that the function g_ν does not belong to $\tilde{\Psi}_\infty^p$, for some nonzero vector $\nu = (v_1, \dots, v_p) \in \mathbb{R}^p$. In particular, the set

$$\{k : a_k \nu^\top B^{(k)} \nu > 0\} = \{k \in J(\psi, 1) : \nu^\top B^{(k)} \nu > 0\}$$

must fail to contain infinitely many even and infinitely many odd integers. We will proceed assuming that it contains finitely many odd integers, the other case being similar. Pick $k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ so that, for all $k \geq k_0$ and $k \in 2\mathbb{Z}_+ \cap J(\psi, 1)$,

$$0 = \nu^\top B^{(k)} \nu = \sum_{\mu, \nu=1}^p v_\mu v_\nu (\mathbf{x}_\mu \cdot \mathbf{x}_\nu)^k.$$

Without loss of generality, we can assume that $\mathbf{x}_1 \cdot \mathbf{x}_1 \geq \mathbf{x}_\mu \cdot \mathbf{x}_\mu$ for all $\mu \in \{1, \dots, p\}$. For $\mu \neq \nu$, we have that $\mathbf{x}_\mu \neq \pm \mathbf{x}_\nu$ and, consequently,

$$(\mathbf{x}_\mu + \mathbf{x}_\nu) \cdot (\mathbf{x}_\mu + \mathbf{x}_\nu) > 0 > -(\mathbf{x}_\mu - \mathbf{x}_\nu) \cdot (\mathbf{x}_\mu - \mathbf{x}_\nu).$$

Hence,

$$2|\mathbf{x}_\mu \cdot \mathbf{x}_\nu| < \mathbf{x}_\mu \cdot \mathbf{x}_\mu + \mathbf{x}_\nu \cdot \mathbf{x}_\nu \leq 2\mathbf{x}_1 \cdot \mathbf{x}_1$$

and we can conclude that, for all $\mu \neq \nu$,

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\mathbf{x}_\mu \cdot \mathbf{x}_\nu|^k}{(\mathbf{x}_1 \cdot \mathbf{x}_1)^k} = 0.$$

Next, define $E_1 = \{\mu : \mathbf{x}_\mu \cdot \mathbf{x}_\mu = \mathbf{x}_1 \cdot \mathbf{x}_1\}$. If $\mu \notin E_1$, it is promptly seen that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\mathbf{x}_\mu \cdot \mathbf{x}_\mu|^k}{(\mathbf{x}_1 \cdot \mathbf{x}_1)^k} = 0.$$

It is now clear that letting $k \rightarrow \infty$ with $k \in 2\mathbb{Z}_+ \cap J(\psi, 1)$ in the equality

$$\sum_{\mu, \nu=1}^p v_\mu v_\nu \frac{(\mathbf{x}_\mu \cdot \mathbf{x}_\nu)^k}{(\mathbf{x}_1 \cdot \mathbf{x}_1)^k} = 0,$$

valid for all $k \in 2\mathbb{Z}_+ \cap J(\psi, 1)$ and $k \geq k_0$, results in

$$\sum_{\mu \in E_1} v_\mu v_\mu = 0,$$

i.e., $v_\mu = 0$, $\mu \in E_1$. In particular, $v_1 = 0$. The procedure can be repeated to the set $E_2 = \{\mu \notin E_1 : \mathbf{x}_\mu \cdot \mathbf{x}_\mu = \mathbf{x}_{\mu_1} \cdot \mathbf{x}_{\mu_1}\}$ after we pick $\mu_1 \notin E_1$ and assume that $\mathbf{x}_\mu \cdot \mathbf{x}_\mu \leq \mathbf{x}_{\mu_1} \cdot \mathbf{x}_{\mu_1}$, $\mu \notin E_1$. The conclusion is

$$\sum_{\mu \in E_2} v_\mu v_\mu = 0$$

so that $v_{\mu_1} = 0$. After finitely many steps, we reach $v_1 = \dots = v_p = 0$, i.e., $\nu = 0$, an obvious contradiction. \square

Acknowledgments

J.C. Guella was partially supported by CAPES and CNPq, under grant 154360/2016-3. V.A. Menegatto supported in part by FAPESP, Grant 2016/09906-0. Research work of E. Porcu was partially supported by grant FONDECYT 1130647 from the Chilean Government.

References

- [1] A. Alegria, M. Bevilacqua, E. Porcu, Likelihood-based inference for multivariate space-time wrapped-Gaussian fields, *J. Stat. Comput. Simul.* 86 (2016) 2583–2597.
- [2] A. Alegria, E. Porcu, R. Furrer, J. Mateu, Covariance functions for multivariate Gaussian fields evolving temporally over planet Earth, Technical Report, 2017, [arXiv:1701.06010v1](https://arxiv.org/abs/1701.06010v1).
- [3] C.E. Alonso-Malaver, E. Porcu, R. Giraldo, Multivariate and multiradial Schoenberg measures with their dimension walks, *J. Multivariate Anal.* 133 (2015) 251–265.
- [4] S. Banerjee, On geodetic distance computations in spatial modeling, *Biometrics* 61 (2005) 617–625.
- [5] C. Berg, E. Porcu, From Schoenberg coefficients to Schoenberg functions, *Constr. Approx.* 45 (2017) 217–241.
- [6] M. Bevilacqua, A.S. Hering, E. Porcu, On the flexibility of multivariate covariance models: Comment on the paper by Genton and Kleiber, *Statist. Sci.* 30 (2015) 167–169.
- [7] R.N. Bonfim, V.A. Menegatto, Strict positive definiteness of multivariate covariance functions on compact two-point homogeneous spaces, *J. Multivariate Anal.* 152 (2016) 237–248.
- [8] S. Castruccio, J. Guinness, An evolutionary spectrum approach to incorporate large-scale geographical descriptors on global processes, *J. R. Stat. Soc. Ser. C* 66 (2017) 329–344.
- [9] K.-F. Chang, Strictly positive definite functions, *J. Approx. Theory* 87 (1996) 148–158.
- [10] D. Chen, V.A. Menegatto, X. Sun, A necessary and sufficient condition for strictly positive definite functions on spheres, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 131 (2003) 2733–2740.
- [11] N. Cressie, Fitting variogram models by weighted least squares, *J. Int. Assoc. Math. Geol.* 17 (1985) 563–586.
- [12] D.J. Daley, E. Porcu, M. Bevilacqua, Classes of compactly supported covariance functions for multivariate random fields, *Stoch. Environ. Res. Risk Assess.* 29 (2015) 1249–1263.
- [13] S. De Iaco, D.E. Myers, D. Posa, On strict positive definiteness of product and product-sum covariance models, *J. Statist. Plann. Inference* 141 (2011) 1132–1140.
- [14] S. De Iaco, D.E. Myers, D. Posa, Strict positive definiteness of a product of covariance functions, *Comm. Statist. Theory Methods* 40 (2011) 4400–4408.
- [15] S. De Iaco, P. Posa, Strict positive definiteness in geostatistics, *Stoch. Environ. Res. Risk Assess.* 32 (2018) 577–590.
- [16] M.G. Genton, W. Kleiber, Cross-covariance functions for multivariate geostatistics, *Statist. Sci.* 30 (2015) 147–163.
- [17] T. Gneiting, Compactly supported correlation functions, *J. Multivariate Anal.* 83 (2002) 493–508.
- [18] T. Gneiting, Strictly and non-strictly positive definite functions on spheres, *Bernoulli* 19 (2013) 1327–1349.
- [19] P. Gregori, E. Porcu, J. Mateu, Z. Sasvári, On potentially negative space time covariances obtained as sum of products of marginal ones, *Ann. Inst. Statist. Math.* 60 (2008) 865–882.
- [20] J.C. Guella, V.A. Menegatto, From Schoenberg coefficients to Schoenberg functions: A unifying framework, Preprint, 2016.
- [21] E.J. Hannan, *Multiple Time Series*, Wiley, New York, 1970.
- [22] M. Jun, M.L. Stein, Nonstationary covariance models for global data, *Ann. Appl. Stat.* 2 (2008) 1271–1289.
- [23] C. Ma, Stationary and isotropic vector random fields on spheres, *Math. Geosci.* 44 (2012) 765–778.
- [24] K.V. Mardia, R.J. Marshall, Maximum likelihood estimation of models for residual covariance in spatial regression, *Biometrika* 71 (1984) 135–146.
- [25] V.A. Menegatto, Strictly positive definite kernels on the Hilbert sphere, *Appl. Anal.* 55 (1994) 91–101.
- [26] V.A. Menegatto, Strictly positive definite kernels on the circle, *Rocky Mountain J. Math.* 25 (1995) 1149–1163.
- [27] V.A. Menegatto, C.P. Oliveira, A.P. Peron, Strictly positive definite kernels on subsets of the complex plane, *Comput. Math. Appl.* 51 (2006) 1233–1250.
- [28] D.E. Myers, A. Journel, Variograms with zonal anisotropies and noninvertible kriging systems, *Math. Geol.* 22 (1990) 779–785.
- [29] E. Porcu, M. Bevilacqua, M.G. Genton, Spatio-temporal covariance and cross-covariance functions of the great circle distance on a sphere, *J. Amer. Statist. Assoc.* 111 (2016) 888–898.
- [30] E. Porcu, V. Zastavnyi, Characterization theorems for some classes of covariance functions associated to vector-valued random fields, *J. Multivariate Anal.* 102 (2011) 1293–1301.
- [31] I.J. Schoenberg, Positive definite functions on spheres, *Duke Math. J.* 9 (1942) 96–108.
- [32] G. Szegő, *Orthogonal Polynomials*, fourth ed., in: American Mathematical Society, Colloquium Publications, vol. XXIII, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1975.
- [33] T. Xie, D.E. Myers, Fitting matrix-valued variogram models by simultaneous diagonalization I, *Theory Math. Geol.* 27 (1995) 867–875.
- [34] Y. Xu, E.W. Cheney, Strictly positive definite functions on spheres, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 116 (1992) 977–981.
- [35] A.M. Yaglom, *Correlation Theory of Stationary and Related Random Functions*, Vol. I, Basic Results, Springer, New York, 1987.
- [36] W. Zu Castell, F. Filbir, R. Szwarc, Strictly positive definite functions in \mathbb{R}^d , *J. Approx. Theory* 137 (2005) 277–280.