

# 18.650 – Fundamentals of Statistics

## 4. Hypothesis testing

# Goals

We have seen the basic notions of hypothesis testing:

- ▶ Hypotheses  $H_0/H_1$ ,
- ▶ Type 1/Type 2 error, level and power
- ▶ Test statistics and rejection region
- ▶ p-value

Our tests were based on CLT (and sometimes Slutsky)...

- ▶ What if data is Gaussian,  $\sigma^2$  is unknown and Slutsky does not apply? *T-test*
- ▶ Can we use asymptotic normality of MLE? *Wald's test*
- ▶ Tests about multivariate parameters  $\theta = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_d)$  (e.g.:  $\theta_1 = \theta_2$ )? *Implicit hypotheses*
- ▶ More complex tests: "Does my data follow a Gaussian distribution"? *Goodness of fit.*

# **Parametric hypothesis testing**

# Clinical trials

Let us go through an example to remind the main notions of hypothesis testing.

- ▶ Pharmaceutical companies use hypothesis testing to test if a new drug is efficient.
- ▶ To do so, they administer a drug to a group of patients (test group) and a placebo to another group (control group).
- ▶ We consider testing a drug that is supposed to lower LDL (low-density lipoprotein), a.k.a "bad cholesterol" among patients with a high level of LDL (above 200 mg/dL)

# Notation and modelling

- ▶ Let  $\Delta_d > 0$  denote the expected decrease of LDL level (in mg/dL) for a patient that has used the drug.
- ▶ Let  $\Delta_c \geq 0$  denote the expected decrease of LDL level (in mg/dL) for a patient that has used the placebo.
- ▶ We want to know if  $\Delta_d > \Delta_c$
- ▶ We observe two independent samples:
  - ▶  $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(\Delta_d, \sigma_d^2)$  from the test group and
  - ▶  $Y_1, \dots, Y_m \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(\Delta_c, \sigma_c^2)$  from the control group.

# Hypothesis testing

- Hypotheses:

$$H_0 : \Delta_c = \Delta_d \quad \text{vs.} \quad H_1 : \Delta_d > \Delta_c$$

- Since the data is Gaussian by assumption we don't need the CLT

- We have

$$\bar{X}_n \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\Delta_d, \frac{\sigma_d^2}{n}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{Y}_m \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\Delta_c, \frac{\sigma_c^2}{m}\right)$$

- Therefore

$$\frac{\bar{X}_n - \bar{Y}_m - (\Delta_d - \Delta_c)}{\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_d^2}{n} + \frac{\sigma_c^2}{m}}} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$$

# Asymptotic test

- ▶ Assume that  $m = cn$  and  $n \rightarrow \infty$
- ▶ Using Slutsky's lemma, we also have

$$\frac{\bar{X}_n - \bar{Y}_m - (\Delta_d - \Delta_c)}{\sqrt{\frac{\hat{\sigma}_d^2}{n} + \frac{\hat{\sigma}_c^2}{m}}} \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{(d)} \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$$

where

$$\hat{\sigma}_d^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X}_n)^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{\sigma}_c^2 = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m (Y_i - \bar{Y}_m)^2$$

- ▶ We get the following test at asymptotic level  $\alpha$ :

$$R_\psi = \left\{ \frac{\bar{X}_n - \bar{Y}_m}{\sqrt{\frac{\hat{\sigma}_d^2}{n} + \frac{\hat{\sigma}_c^2}{m}}} > q_\alpha \right\}$$

$\stackrel{=}{\phantom{=}}$   
 $q_\alpha$  is  $(1-\alpha)$   
 quantile  
 of  $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$

- ▶ This is one-sided, two-sample test.

# Asymptotic test

- ▶ Example  $n = 70, m = 50, \bar{X}_n = 156.4, \bar{Y}_m = 132.7, \hat{\sigma}_d^2 = 5198.4, \hat{\sigma}_c^2 = 3867.0,$

$$\frac{156.4 - 132.7}{\sqrt{\frac{5198.4}{70} + \frac{3867.0}{50}}} = 1.57$$

Since  $q_{5\%} = 1.645$ , we *fail to reject  $H_0$*

- ▶ We can also compute the p-value:

$$\text{p-value} = \text{P}(N(0,1) > 1.57) = 0.0582$$

## PHARMACOLOGICAL DRUG TRIAL RESULTS



OUR TRIALS SHOW THAT  
THE NEW DRUG PERFORMS  
NO BETTER THAN PLACEBO

MAYBE WE SHOULD  
INVEST IN PLACEBOS

CHRIS  
MADDEN

## Small sample size

- ▶ What if  $n = 20, m = 12$ ?
- ▶ We cannot realistically apply Slutsky's lemma
- ▶ We needed it to find the (asymptotic) distribution of quantities of the form

$$\frac{\bar{X}_n - \mu}{\sqrt{\hat{\sigma}^2}}$$

when  $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$ .

- ▶ It turns out that this distribution *does not depend on  $\mu$  or  $\sigma$*  so we can compute its *quantiles*

# The $\chi^2$ distribution

## Definition


For a positive integer  $d$ , the  $\chi^2$  (*pronounced “Kai-squared”*) *distribution with  $d$  degrees of freedom* is the law of the random variable  $Z_1^2 + Z_2^2 + \dots + Z_d^2$ , where  $Z_1, \dots, Z_d \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ .


## Examples:


- If  $Z \sim \mathcal{N}_d(\mathbf{0}, I_d)$ , then  $\|Z\|_2^2 \sim \chi_d^2$
- $\chi_2^2 = \text{Exp}(1/2)$ .


pdf of  $X \sim \chi_k^2 = \Gamma(\frac{k}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$


$$\frac{1}{2^{k/2}\Gamma(\frac{k}{2})} x^{k/2-1} e^{-x/2}, x > 0$$

















## Properties $\chi^2$ distribution (2)

### Definition

For a positive integer  $d$ , the  $\chi^2$  (*pronounced “Kai-squared”*) *distribution with  $d$  degrees of freedom* is the law of the random variable  $Z_1^2 + Z_2^2 + \dots + Z_d^2$ , where  $Z_1, \dots, Z_d \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ .

Properties: If  $V \sim \chi_k^2$ , then

- $\mathbb{E}[V] = \mathbb{E}[Z_1^2] + \dots + \mathbb{E}[Z_d^2] = d$
- $\text{var}[V] = \text{Var}[Z_1^2] + \dots + \text{Var}[Z_d^2] = 2d$

$$\text{Var}[Z_1^2] = \mathbb{E}[Z_1^4] - 1 = 3 - 1 = 2$$

## Important example: the sample variance

- Recall that the sample variance is given by

$$S_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X}_n)^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2 - (\bar{X}_n)^2$$

- Cochran's theorem states that for  $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$ , if  $S_n$  is the sample variance, then

- $\bar{X}_n \perp\!\!\!\perp S_n$ ; for all  $n$ .

- $\frac{nS_n}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi_{n-1}^2$ .

- We often prefer the unbiased estimator of  $\sigma^2$ :

$$\tilde{S}_n = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X}_n)^2 = \frac{n}{n-1} S_n$$

$$E[\tilde{S}_n] = \frac{n}{n-1} E\left[\frac{\sigma^2}{n} \chi_{n-1}^2\right] = \frac{n\sigma^2}{n-1} \frac{n-1}{n} = \sigma^2$$

# Student's T distribution

## Definition

For a positive integer  $d$ , the *Student's T distribution with  $d$  degrees of freedom* (denoted by  $t_d$ ) is the law of the random variable  $\frac{Z}{\sqrt{V/d}}$ , where  $Z \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ ,  $V \sim \chi_d^2$  and  $Z \perp\!\!\!\perp V$  ( $Z$  is independent of  $V$ ).

# BIOMETRIKA.

---

## THE PROBABLE ERROR OF A MEAN.

BY STUDENT.

### *Introduction.*

ANY experiment may be regarded as forming an individual of a "population" of experiments which might be performed under the same conditions. A series of experiments is a sample drawn from this population.

Now any series of experiments is only of value in so far as it enables us to form a judgment as to the statistical constants of the population to which the experiments belong. In a great number of cases the question finally turns on the value of a mean, either directly, or as the mean difference between the two quantities.

If the number of experiments be very large we may have precise information

# Who was Student?



This distribution was introduced by **William Sealy Gosset** (1876–1937) in 1908 while he worked for the Guinness brewery in Dublin, Ireland.

<https://www.irishexaminer.com/breakingnews/lifestyle/international-stout-day-7-things-you-need-to-know-about-this-dark-beer-882510.html>

Citation/Attribution-- Image © Irish Examiner Ltd

<https://medium.com/value-stream-design/the-curious-tale-of-william-sealy-gosset-b3178a9f6ac8> Citation/Attribution - Image from article on Medium (c) Max Pagels.


## Student's $T$ test (one sample, two-sided)

- Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$  where both  $\mu$  and  $\sigma^2$  are unknown
- We want to test:

$$H_0 : \mu = 0, \quad \text{vs} \quad H_1 : \mu \neq 0$$

- Test statistic:

$$\tilde{S}_n = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X}_n)^2 T_n = \frac{\bar{X}_n}{\sqrt{\tilde{S}_n/n}} =$$



- Since  $\sqrt{n} \bar{X}_n / \sigma \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$  (under  $H_0$ ) and  $\tilde{S}_n / \sigma^2 \sim \chi_{n-1}^2$  are independent by Cochran's theorem, we have:

$$T_n \sim t_{n-1}$$

- Student's test with (non asymptotic) level  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ :

$$\psi_\alpha = \mathbb{I}\{|T_n| > q_{\alpha/2}\},$$

where  $q_{\alpha/2}$  is the  $(1 - \alpha/2)$ -quantile of  $t_{n-1}$ .

## Student's T test (one sample, one-sided)

- We want to test:

$$H_0 : \mu \leq \mu_0, \quad \text{vs} \quad H_1 : \mu > \mu_0$$

- Test statistic:

$$T_n = \frac{\sqrt{n}(\bar{X}_n - \mu_0)}{\sqrt{\tilde{S}_n}} \sim t_{n-1} \quad \text{under } H_0$$

under  $H_0$ .

- Student's test with (non asymptotic) level  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ :

$$\psi_\alpha = \mathbb{I}\left\{ T_n > q_\alpha \right\},$$

where  $q_\alpha$  is the  $(1-\alpha)$ -quantile of  $t_{n-1}$

## Two-sample T-test

- ▶ Back to our cholesterol example. What happens for small sample sizes?
- ▶ We want to know the distribution of

$$\frac{\bar{X}_n - \bar{Y}_m - (\Delta_d - \Delta_c)}{\sqrt{\frac{\hat{\sigma}_d^2}{n} + \frac{\hat{\sigma}_c^2}{m}}}$$

- ▶ We have approximately

$$\frac{\bar{X}_n - \bar{Y}_m - (\Delta_d - \Delta_c)}{\sqrt{\frac{\hat{\sigma}_d^2}{n} + \frac{\hat{\sigma}_c^2}{m}}} \sim t_N$$

where

$$N = \frac{\left(\hat{\sigma}_d^2/n + \hat{\sigma}_c^2/m\right)^2}{\frac{\hat{\sigma}_d^4}{n^2(n-1)} + \frac{\hat{\sigma}_c^4}{m^2(m-1)}} \geq \min(n, m)$$

(Welch-Satterthwaite formula)

## Non-asymptotic test

- ▶ Example  $n = 70, m = 50, \bar{X}_n = 156.4, \bar{Y}_m = 132.7, \hat{\sigma}_d^2 = 5198.4, \hat{\sigma}_c^2 = 3867.0,$

$$\frac{156.4 - 132.7}{\sqrt{\frac{5198.4}{70} + \frac{3867.0}{50}}} = 1.57$$

- ▶ Using the shorthand formula  $N = \min(n, m) = 50$ , we get  $q_{5\%} = 1.68$  and

$$\text{p-value} = \text{P}[t_{50} > 1.57] = 0.0614$$

- ▶ Using the W-S formula

$$N = \frac{\left(\frac{5198.4}{70} + \frac{3867.0}{50}\right)^2}{\frac{5198.4^2}{70^2(70-1)} + \frac{3867.0^2}{50^2(50-1)}} = 113.78$$

we round to 113.

- ▶ We get

$$\text{p-value} = \text{P}[t_{113} > 1.57] = 0.0596$$

## Non-asymptotic test

- ▶ Example  $n = 20$ ,  $m = 12$ ,  $\bar{X}_n = 156.4$ ,  $\bar{Y}_m = 132.7$ ,  $\hat{\sigma}_d^2 = 5198.4$ ,  $\hat{\sigma}_c^2 = 3867.0$ ,

$$\frac{156.4 - 132.7}{\sqrt{\frac{5198.4}{20} + \frac{3867.0}{12}}} = 0.982$$

- ▶ Using the shorthand formula  $N = \min(n, m) = 12$ , we get  $q_{5\%} = 1.73$  and

$$\text{p-value} = P[t_{12} > 0.982] = 17.27\%$$

- ▶ Using the W-S formula

$$N = \frac{\left(\frac{5198.4}{20} + \frac{3867.0}{12}\right)^2}{\frac{5198.4^2}{20^2(20-1)} + \frac{3867.0^2}{12^2(12-1)}} = 26.07$$

we round to 26.

- ▶ We get

$$\text{p-value} = P[t_{12} > 0.982] = 16.75\%$$

# Discussion

Advantage of Student's test: Non asymptotic / Can be run on small samples + *Can always use it for large sample sizes.*

Drawback of Student's test: It relies on the assumption that the sample is Gaussian (soon we will see how to test this assumption)

## A test based on the MLE

- ▶ Consider an i.i.d. sample  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  with statistical model  $(E, (\mathbb{P}_\theta)_{\theta \in \Theta})$ , where  $\Theta \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$  ( $d \geq 1$ ) and let  $\theta_0 \in \Theta$  be fixed and given.  $\theta^*$  is the true parameter
- ▶ Consider the following hypotheses:

$$\begin{cases} H_0 : \theta^* = \theta_0 \\ H_1 : \theta^* \neq \theta_0. \end{cases}$$

- ▶ Let  $\hat{\theta}^{MLE}$  be the MLE. Assume the MLE technical conditions are satisfied.
- ▶ If  $H_0$  is true, then

$$\sqrt{n} \frac{\mathcal{I}(\hat{\theta}_n)}{\mathcal{I}(\theta^*)} \times \left( \hat{\theta}_n^{MLE} - \theta_0 \right) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{(d)} \mathcal{N}_d(0, I_d)$$

$\sqrt{n} \frac{\mathcal{I}(\hat{\theta}_n)}{\mathcal{I}(\theta^*)}$   
 $\sqrt{n} \frac{\mathcal{I}(\hat{\theta}_n^{MLE})}{\mathcal{I}(\theta^*)}$

## Wald's test

- ▶ Hence,

$$\underbrace{n \left( \hat{\theta}_n^{MLE} - \theta_0 \right)^\top I(\hat{\theta}^{MLE}) \left( \hat{\theta}_n^{MLE} - \theta_0 \right)}_{T_n} \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{(d)} \chi_d^2$$

- ▶ Wald's test with asymptotic level  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ :

$$\psi = \mathbb{I}\{T_n > q_\alpha\},$$

where  $q_\alpha$  is the  $(1 - \alpha)$ -quantile of  $\chi_d^2$  (see tables).

- ▶ Remark: Wald's test is also **valid** if  $H_1$  has the form “ $\theta > \theta_0$ ” or “ $\theta < \theta_0$ ” or “ $\theta = \theta_1$ ”...

*But less powerful*

## A test based on the log-likelihood

- ▶ Consider an i.i.d. sample  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  with statistical model  $(E, (\mathbb{P}_\theta)_{\theta \in \Theta})$ , where  $\Theta \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$  ( $d \geq 1$ ).
- ▶ Suppose the null hypothesis has the form

$$H_0 : (\theta_{r+1}, \dots, \theta_d) = (\theta_{r+1}^{(0)}, \dots, \theta_d^{(0)}),$$

$\ddots$

for some fixed and given numbers  $\theta_{r+1}^{(0)}, \dots, \theta_d^{(0)}$ .

- ▶ Let

$$\hat{\theta}_n = \operatorname{argmax}_{\theta \in \Theta} \ell_n(\theta) \quad (\text{MLE})$$

and

$$\hat{\theta}_n^c = \operatorname{argmax}_{\theta \in \Theta_0} \ell_n(\theta) \quad (\text{"constrained MLE"})$$

where  $\Theta_0 = \left\{ \theta \in \Theta : (\theta_{r+1}, \dots, \theta_d) = (\theta_{r+1}^{(0)}, \dots, \theta_d^{(0)}) \right\}$

# Likelihood ratio test

Test statistic:

$$T_n = 2 \left( \ell_n(\hat{\theta}_n) - \ell_n(\hat{\theta}_n^c) \right).$$

## Wilks' Theorem

Assume  $H_0$  is true and the MLE technical conditions are satisfied.

Then,

$$T_n \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{(d)} \chi_{d-r}^2$$

Likelihood ratio test with asymptotic level  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ :

$$\psi = \mathbb{I}\{T_n > q_\alpha\},$$

where  $q_\alpha$  is the  $(1 - \alpha)$ -quantile of  $\chi_{d-r}^2$  (see tables).

# Implicit hypotheses

- ▶ Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be i.i.d. random variables and let  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^d$  be a parameter associated with the distribution of  $X_1$  (e.g. a moment, the parameter of a statistical model, etc...)
- ▶ Let  $g : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$  be continuously differentiable (with  $k < d$ ).
- ▶ Consider the following hypotheses:

$$\begin{cases} H_0 : & g(\theta) = 0 \\ H_1 : & g(\theta) \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

- ▶ E.g.  $g(\theta) = (\theta_1, \theta_2)$  ( $k = 2$ ), or  $g(\theta) = \theta_1 - \theta_2$  ( $k = 1$ ), or...

## Delta method

- ▶ Suppose an asymptotically normal estimator  $\hat{\theta}_n$  is available:

$$\sqrt{n} (\hat{\theta}_n - \theta) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{(d)} \mathcal{N}_d(0, \Sigma(\theta)).$$

- ▶ Delta method:

$$\sqrt{n} (g(\hat{\theta}_n) - g(\theta)) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{(d)} \mathcal{N}_k(0, \Gamma(\theta)),$$

where  $\Gamma(\theta) = \nabla g(\theta)^\top \Sigma(\theta) \nabla g(\theta) \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times k}$ .

- ▶ Assume  $\Sigma(\theta)$  is invertible and  $\nabla g(\theta)$  has rank  $k$ . So,  $\Gamma(\theta)$  is invertible and

$$\sqrt{n} \Gamma(\theta)^{-1/2} (g(\hat{\theta}_n) - g(\theta)) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{(d)} \mathcal{N}_k(0, \underline{\Gamma_k}).$$

## Wald's test for implicit hypotheses

- ▶ Then, by Slutsky's theorem, if  $\Gamma(\theta)$  is continuous in  $\theta$ ,

$$\sqrt{n} \Gamma(\hat{\theta}_n)^{-1/2} \left( g(\hat{\theta}_n) - g(\theta) \right) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{(d)} \mathcal{N}_k (0, I_k).$$

- ▶ Hence, if  $H_0$  is true, i.e.,  $g(\theta) = 0$ ,

$$\underbrace{ng(\hat{\theta}_n)^\top \Gamma^{-1}(\hat{\theta}_n) g(\hat{\theta}_n)}_{T_n} \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{(d)} \chi_k^2.$$

- ▶ Test with asymptotic level  $\alpha$ :

$$\psi = \mathbb{I}\{\overline{T_n} > q_\alpha\},$$

where  $q_\alpha$  is the  $(1 - \alpha)$ -quantile of  $\chi_k^2$  (see tables).

# **Goodness of fit**

## Goodness of fit tests

Let  $X$  be a r.v. Given i.i.d copies of  $X$  we want to answer the following types of questions:

- ▶ Does  $X$  have distribution  $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ ? (Cf. Student's T distribution)
- ▶ Does  $X$  have distribution  $\mathcal{U}([0, 1])$ ?
- ▶ Does  $X$  have PMF  $p_1 = 0.3, p_2 = 0.5, p_3 = 0.2$


These are all *goodness of fit* (GoF) tests: we want to know if the hypothesized distribution is a good fit for the data.

Key characteristic of GoF tests: no parametric modeling.

# The zodiac sign of the most powerful people is....

Can your zodiac sign predict how successful you will be later in life?

Fortune magazine collected the signs of 256 heads of the Fortune 500.



Fyi:  
 $256/12 = 21.33$

Sign	Count
Aries	23
Taurus	20
Gemini	18
Cancer	23
Leo	20
Virgo	19
Libra	18
Scorpio	21
Sagittarius	19
Capricorn	22
Aquarius	24
Pisces	29

# The zodiac sign of the most successful people is....

Sign	Count
Aries	23
Taurus	20
Gemini	18
Cancer	23
Leo	20
Virgo	19
Libra	18
Scorpio	21
Sagittarius	19
Capricorn	22
Aquarius	24
Pisces	29

In view of this data, is there statistical evidence that successful people are more likely to be born under some sign than others?

$$p^o = \left( \frac{1}{12}, \dots, \frac{1}{12} \right) \in \Delta_{12}$$

12 times

275 jurors with identified racial group.

We want to know if the jury is representative of the population of this county.

$$p^o = (0.72, 0.07, 0.12, 0.09)$$

$$K = 4$$

Race	White	Black	Hispanic	Other	Total
# jurors	205	26	25	19	275
proportion in county	0.72	0.07	0.12	0.09	1

# Discrete distribution

Let  $E = \{a_1, \dots, a_K\}$  be a finite space and  $(\mathbb{P}_p)_{p \in \Delta_K}$  be the family of all probability distributions on  $E$ :

$$p_j = \mathbb{P}[X = a_j]$$

- $\Delta_K = \left\{ p = (p_1, \dots, p_K) \in (0, 1)^K : \sum_{j=1}^K p_j = 1 \right\}.$
- For  $p \in \Delta_K$  and  $X \sim \mathbb{P}_p$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}_p[X = a_j] = p_j, \quad j = 1, \dots, K.$$

## Goodness of fit test

- ▶ Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} P_p$ , for some unknown  $p \in \Delta_K$ , and let  $p^0 \in \Delta_K$  be fixed.
- ▶ We want to test:

$$H_0: p = p^0 \text{ vs. } H_1: p \neq p^0$$

with asymptotic level  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ .

- ▶ Example: If  $p^0 = (1/K, 1/K, \dots, 1/K)$ , we are testing whether  $P_p$  is *the uniform distribution* on  $E$ .

# Multinomial likelihood

$K = \# \text{ of modalities}$

- Likelihood of the model:

$$X \sim \text{Multinomial}(\underbrace{p_1, \dots, p_K}_{\vec{p}})$$

$$L_n(X_1, \dots, X_n, \mathbf{p}) = p_1^{N_1} p_2^{N_2} \cdots p_K^{N_K},$$

where  $N_j = \#\{i = 1, \dots, n : X_i = a_j\}$ .

- Let  $\hat{\mathbf{p}}$  be the MLE:

$$\hat{p}_j = \frac{N_j}{n}, \quad j = 1, \dots, K.$$



$\hat{\mathbf{p}}$  maximizes  $\log L_n(X_1, \dots, X_n, \mathbf{p})$  under the constraint

## $\chi^2$ test

- If  $H_0$  is true, then  $\sqrt{n}(\hat{\mathbf{p}} - \mathbf{p}^0)$  is asymptotically normal, and the following holds.

$$\sqrt{n} I(\hat{\mathbf{p}})(\hat{\mathbf{p}} - \mathbf{p}^0) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{\text{D}} N_{K-1}(0, I_{K-1})$$

Theorem Under  $H_0$ :

$$\underbrace{n \sum_{j=1}^K \frac{(\hat{\mathbf{p}}_j - \mathbf{p}_j^0)^2}{\mathbf{p}_j^0}}_{T_n} \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{(d)} \chi_{K-1}^2.$$

- $\chi^2$  test with asymptotic level  $\alpha$ :  $\psi_\alpha = \mathbb{I}\{T_n > q_\alpha\}$ , where  $q_\alpha$  is the  $(1 - \alpha)$ -quantile of  $\chi_{K-1}^2$ .
- Asymptotic  $p$ -value of this test:  $p\text{-value} = \mathbb{P}[Z > T_n | T_n]$ , where  $Z \sim \chi_{K-1}^2$  and  $Z \perp T_n$ .

# CDF and empirical CDF

Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be i.i.d. real random variables. Recall the cdf of  $X_1$  is defined as:

$$F(t) = \mathbb{P}[X_1 \leq t], \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

**It completely characterizes the distribution of  $X_1$ .**

## Definition

The *empirical cdf* of the sample  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  is defined as:

(a.k.a sample cdf)

$$\begin{aligned} F_n(t) &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{I}\{X_i \leq t\} \\ &= \frac{\#\{i = 1, \dots, n : X_i \leq t\}}{n}, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}. \end{aligned}$$

# Consistency

By the LLN, for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$F_n(t) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{a.s.} F(t).$$

Glivenko-Cantelli Theorem (*Fundamental theorem of statistics*)

$$\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} |F_n(t) - F(t)| \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{a.s.} 0.$$

# Asymptotic normality

By the CLT, for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\sqrt{n} (F_n(t) - F(t)) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{(d)} \mathcal{N}(0, F(t)(1-F(t))).$$

## Donsker's Theorem

If  $F$  is continuous, then

$$\sqrt{n} \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} |F_n(t) - F(t)| \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{(d)} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |\mathbb{B}(t)|,$$

where  $\mathbb{B}$  is a Brownian bridge on  $[0, 1]$ .

# Goodness of fit for continuous distributions

- ▶ Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be i.i.d. real random variables with unknown cdf  $F$  and let  $F^0$  be a continuous cdf.
- ▶ Consider the two hypotheses:

$$H_0 : F = F^0 \quad \text{v.s.} \quad H_1 : F \neq F^0.$$

- ▶ Let  $F_n$  be the empirical cdf of the sample  $X_1, \dots, X_n$ .
- ▶ If  $F = F^0$ , then  $F_n(t) \approx F^0(t)$ , for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ .  $\mathbb{R}$

# Kolmogorov-Smirnov test

- Let  $T_n = \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \sqrt{n} |F_n(t) - F^0(t)|$ .
- By Donsker's theorem, if  $H_0$  is true, then  $T_n \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{(d)} Z$ , where  $Z$  has a known distribution (supremum of a Brownian bridge).
- **KS test with asymptotic level  $\alpha$ :**

$$\delta_\alpha^{KS} = \mathbb{I}\{T_n > q_\alpha\},$$

where  $q_\alpha$  is the  $(1 - \alpha)$ -quantile of  $Z$  (obtained in tables).

- p-value of KS test:  $\mathbb{P}[Z > T_n | T_n]$ .

# Computational issues

- ▶ In practice, how to compute  $T_n$  ?
- ▶  $F^0$  is non decreasing,  $F_n$  is piecewise constant, with jumps at  $t_i = X_i, i = 1, \dots, n.$
- ▶ Let  $X_{(1)} \leq X_{(2)} \leq \dots \leq X_{(n)}$  be the reordered sample.
- ▶ The expression for  $T_n$  reduces to the following practical formula:

$$T_n = \sqrt{n} \max_{i=1,\dots,n} \left\{ \max \left( \left| \frac{i-1}{n} - F^0(X_{(i)}) \right|, \left| \frac{i}{n} - F^0(X_{(i)}) \right| \right) \right\}.$$

# Pivotal distribution

- ▶  $T_n$  is called a *pivotal statistic*: If  $H_0$  is true, the distribution of  $T_n$  does not depend on the distribution of the  $X_i$ 's and it is easy to reproduce it in simulations.
- ▶ Indeed, let  $U_i = F^0(X_i)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$  and let  $G_n$  be the empirical cdf of  $U_1, \dots, U_n$ .
- ▶ If  $H_0$  is true, then  $U_1, \dots, U_n \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} \text{Unif}([0, 1])$   
and  $T_n = \sup_{0 \leq x \leq 1} \sqrt{n} |G_n(x) - x|$ .

# Quantiles and p-values

- ▶ For some large integer  $M$ :
  - ▶ Simulate  $M$  i.i.d. copies  $T_n^1, \dots, T_n^M$  of  $T_n$ ;
  - ▶ Estimate the  $(1 - \alpha)$ -quantile  $q_\alpha^{(n)}$  of  $T_n$  by taking the sample  $(1 - \alpha)$ -quantile  $\hat{q}_\alpha^{(n,M)}$  of  $T_n^1, \dots, T_n^M$ .

- ▶ Test with approximate level  $\alpha$ :

$$\delta_\alpha = \mathbb{I}\{T_n > \hat{q}_\alpha^{(n,M)}\}.$$

- ▶ Approximate p-value of this test:

$$\text{p-value} \approx \frac{\#\{j = 1, \dots, M : T_n^j > T_n\}}{M}.$$

# K-S table

## Kolmogorov–Smirnov Tables

---

Critical values,  $d_{alpha};(n)^a$ , of the maximum absolute difference between sample  $F_n(x)$  and population  $F(x)$  cumulative distribution.

---

Number of trials, $n$	Level of significance, $\alpha$			
	0.10	0.05	0.02	0.01
1	0.95000	0.97500	0.99000	0.99500
2	0.77639	0.84189	0.90000	0.92929
3	0.63604	0.70760	0.78456	0.82900
4	0.56522	0.62394	0.68887	0.73424
5	0.50945	0.56328	0.62718	0.66853
6	0.46799	0.51926	0.57741	0.61661
7	0.43607	0.48342	0.53844	0.57581
8	0.40962	0.45427	0.50654	0.54179
9	0.38746	0.43001	0.47960	0.51332
10	0.36866	0.40925	0.45662	0.48893

# Other goodness of fit tests

We want to measure the distance between two functions:  $F_n(t)$  and  $F(t)$ . There are other ways, leading to other tests:

- Kolmogorov-Smirnov:

$$d(F_n, F) = \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} |F_n(t) - F(t)| \quad L_\infty$$

- Cramér-Von Mises:

$$d^2(F_n, F) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} [F_n(t) - F(t)]^2 dF(t) \quad L_2$$

$\stackrel{\text{:=}}{=} \mathbb{E}_{X \sim F} [\bar{F}_n(X) - \bar{F}(X)]^2$

- Anderson-Darling:

$$d^2(F_n, F) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{[F_n(t) - F(t)]^2}{F(t)(1 - F(t))} dF(t)$$

# Composite goodness of fit tests

What if I want to test: "Does  $X$  have Gaussian distribution?" but I don't know the parameters?

Simple idea: plug-in

$$\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} |F_n(t) - \Phi_{\hat{\mu}, \hat{\sigma}^2}(t)|$$

where

$$\hat{\mu} = \bar{X}_n, \quad \hat{\sigma}^2 = S_n^2$$

and  $\Phi_{\hat{\mu}, \hat{\sigma}^2}(t)$  is the cdf of  $\mathcal{N}(\hat{\mu}, \hat{\sigma}^2)$ .

In this case Donsker's theorem is *no longer valid*. This is a common and serious mistake!

## Kolmogorov-Lilliefors test (1)

Instead, we compute the quantiles for the test statistic:

$$\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} |F_n(t) - \Phi_{\hat{\mu}, \hat{\sigma}^2}(t)|$$

They do not depend on unknown parameters!

This is the Kolmogorov-Lilliefors test.

# K-L table

Sample Size <i>N</i>	Level of Significance for $D = \text{Max}  F^*(X) - S_N(X) $				
	.20	.15	.10	.05	.01
4	.300	.319	.352	.381	.417
5	.285	.299	.315	.337	.405
6	.265	.277	.294	.319	.364
7	.247	.258	.276	.300	.348
8	.233	.244	.261	.285	.331
9	.223	.233	.249	.271	.311
10	.215	.224	.239	.258	.294
11	.206	.217	.230	.249	.284
12	.199	.212	.223	.242	.275
13	.190	.202	.214	.234	.268
14	.183	.194	.207	.227	.261
15	.177	.187	.201	.220	.257
16	.173	.182	.195	.213	.250
17	.169	.177	.189	.206	.245
18	.166	.173	.184	.200	.239
19	.163	.169	.179	.195	.235
20	.160	.166	.174	.190	.231

LILIEFORS




VON RISES



WALD



KOLLOKOBV



SIRNOV



WILKS



ANDERSON



DARLING



CRAMER

# Quantile-Quantile (QQ) plots (1)

- ▶ Provide a visual way to perform GoF tests
- ▶ Not formal test but quick and easy check to see if a distribution is plausible.
- ▶ Main idea: we want to check visually if the plot of  $F_n$  is close to that of  $F$  or equivalently if the plot of  $F_n^{-1}$  is close to that of  $F^{-1}$ .
- ▶ More convenient to check if the points

$$\left( F^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{n}\right), F_n^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \right), \left( F^{-1}\left(\frac{2}{n}\right), F_n^{-1}\left(\frac{2}{n}\right) \right), \dots, \left( F^{-1}\left(\frac{n-1}{n}\right), F_n^{-1}\left(\frac{n-1}{n}\right) \right)$$

are near the line  $y = x$ .

- ▶  $F_n$  is not technically invertible but we define

$$F_n^{-1}(i/n) = X_{(i)},$$

the  $i$ th largest observation.

# Quantile-Quantile (QQ) plots (2)




Figure 1: QQ-plots for samples of sizes 10, 50, 100, 1000, 5000, 10000 from a standard normal distribution. The upper-left figure is for sample size 10, the lower-right is for sample 10000.

# Quantile-Quantile (QQ) plots (3)




Figure 2: QQ-plots for samples of sizes 10, 50, 100, 1000, 5000, 10000 from a  $t_{15}$  distribution. The upper-left figure is for sample size 10, the lower-right is for sample 10000.

Unit 4

Slide # 9

Object Source / URL\*

<https://thesciencedog.com/2017/01/12/consider-the-placebo-sugar-pills-and-your-dog/>

Citation/Attribution -- Cartoon by Chris Madden © CartoonStock Ltd. 2019 All Rights Reserved.

Unit 4

Slide # 22

Object Source / URL\*

<https://medium.com/value-stream-design/the-curious-tale-of-william-sealy-gosset-b3178a9f6ac8>

Citation/Attribution - Image from article on Medium (c) Max Pagels.

Unit 4

Slide # 23

Object Source / URL\*

<https://medium.com/value-stream-design/the-curious-tale-of-william-sealy-gosset-b3178a9f6ac8>

Citation/Attribution-- Image (c) Medium Corporation; all rights reserved.

Unit 4

Slide #23

Object Source / URL\*

<https://www.irishexaminer.com/breakingnews/lifestyle/international-stout-day-7-things-you-need-to-know-about-this-dark-beer-882510.html>

Citation/Attribution-- Image © Irish Examiner Ltd

Unit 4

Slide # 59c.

Object Source / URL\*

[https://cruel.org/econthought/profiles/wald.html;](https://cruel.org/econthought/profiles/wald.html)

[https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:Abraham\\_Wald.jpg](https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:Abraham_Wald.jpg)

Citation/Attribution -- Image on Wikimedia by Konrad Jacobs, Erlangen, Copyright is MFO. (CC BY-SA) 2.0

Unit 4

Slide # 59b.

Object Source / URL\*

<http://webdept.fiu.edu/~statdept/sss.htm>

Citation/Attribution -- Photo (c) Samuel Shapiro.

Unit 4

Slide # 59c.

Object Source /

URL\*

<https://www.sapaviva.com/andrey-kolmogorov/>

Citation/Attribution - Image from Sapaviva.com © 2000.

2017 Valentine O. Oduenyi

Unit 4

Slide # 59d.

Object Source / URL\*

<https://www.causeweb.org/cause/resources/fun/quotes/wellswilks-statistical-thinking>

Citation/Attribution -- Image © 2019 Consortium for the Advancement of Undergraduate Statistics Education. (CC BY NC SA) 4.0

Unit 4

Slide # 59e.

Object Source / URL\*

[https://www.statmania.info/2017/09/Harald-](https://www.statmania.info/2017/09/Harald-cramer.html)

[cramer.html](https://www.statmania.info/2017/09/Harald-cramer.html) Citation/Attribution -- Image (c) 2016 Stat Mania

Unit 4

Slide # 59a.

Object Source / URL\*

<https://ral.ucar.edu/projects/extremes/Extremes/history.html>;

[https://photos.aip.org/history-programs/niehs-bohr-library/photos/von-mises-richard-](https://photos.aip.org/history-programs/niehs-bohr-library/photos/von-mises-richard-a12)

[a12](https://photos.aip.org/history-programs/niehs-bohr-library/photos/von-mises-richard-a12) Citation/Attribution -- Image from the AIP Emilio Segrè Visual Archives, Von Mises Collection. (c) © 2019 American Institute of Physics