

Deep Learning for Object Detection

Lluis Gomez i Bigorda

Deep learning for object detection: Outline

- Introduction
- Basic blocks and concepts
- Models

Deep learning for object detection: Outline

- Introduction
- Basic blocks and concepts
- Models

Computer Vision Tasks

Classification




CAT

Classification + Localization



CAT

Object Detection



CAT, DOG, DUCK

Instance Segmentation



CAT, DOG, DUCK

Single object



Multiple objects

Firs Localization and Detection Datasets




VOC Challenge: 2005-2012

- Classification
- Detection
- Segmentation
- 20 categories
- 6k training images (17k objects)
- 6k validation + 10k test






Localization



Detection



Detection from Video



- 1000 categories
- 1.2M training images
- 150k val + test images

- 200 categories
- 456K training images
- 60K val + test images

- 30 categories
- 6K videos



Detection



Segmentation



- 80 categories
- 160K training images
- 1M instances

<http://presentations.cocodataset.org/COCO17-Detect-Overview.pdf>

Recent Datasets

Open Images Dataset V5+ (2019)

- 15,851,536 boxes on 600 categories
- 2,785,498 instance segmentations on 350 categories
- 36,464,560 image-level labels on 19,959 categories

Objects365 (2019)

- 365 categories
- 600k images
- 10 million bounding boxes

Visual Genome (2017)

- 108,077 Images
- 5.4 Million Region Descriptions
- 3.8 Million Object Instances
- 2.8 Million Attributes
- 2.3 Million Relationships





++

LVIS: Large Vocabulary Instance Segmentation (2019)


- 1200+ Categories
- 164k images.
- Long Tail (large number of rare categories)
- > 2 million high quality instance segmentation masks.

Computer Vision Tasks

Let's define an **input** and an **output** for each task




Evaluation



<https://www.pyimagesearch.com/2016/11/07/intersection-over-union-iou-for-object-detection/>

Intersection over Union (IoU)

$$\text{IoU} = \frac{\text{Area of Overlap}}{\text{Area of Union}}$$



<https://www.pyimagesearch.com/2016/11/07/intersection-over-union-iou-for-object-detection/>

Intersection over Union (IoU)

```
Intersection over Union (IoU) for object detection Python
def bb_intersection_over_union(boxA, boxB):
    # determine the (x, y)-coordinates of the intersection rectangle
    xA = max(boxA[0], boxB[0])
    yA = max(boxA[1], boxB[1])
    xB = min(boxA[2], boxB[2])
    yB = min(boxA[3], boxB[3])

    # compute the area of intersection rectangle
    interArea = max(0, xB - xA + 1) * max(0, yB - yA + 1)

    # compute the area of both the prediction and ground-truth
    # rectangles
    boxAArea = (boxA[2] - boxA[0] + 1) * (boxA[3] - boxA[1] + 1)
    boxBArea = (boxB[2] - boxB[0] + 1) * (boxB[3] - boxB[1] + 1)

    # compute the intersection over union by taking the intersection
    # area and dividing it by the sum of prediction + ground-truth
    # areas - the intersection area
    iou = interArea / float(boxAArea + boxBArea - interArea)


    # return the intersection over union value
    return iou
```

<https://www.pyimagesearch.com/2016/11/07/intersection-over-union-iou-for-object-detection/>

Intersection over Union (IoU)


■ Ground-truth ■ Prediction

IoU = 0.4034




Poor

IoU = 0.7330



Good


IoU = 0.9264



Excellent

<https://www.pyimagesearch.com/2016/11/07/intersection-over-union-iou-for-object-detection/>

Correct/Incorrect detections: IoU threshold




Correct/Incorrect detections: IoU threshold

Being **correct**, being **wrong**

Predicted Values

		Actual Values	
		Positive (1)	Negative (0)
Positive (1)	Positive (1)	Blue	Orange
	Negative (0)	Orange	Blue

$\text{IoU} > \text{threshold}$; $\text{threshold} = 0.5$



<https://www.pyimagesearch.com/2016/11/07/intersection-over-union-iou-for-object-detection/>


Correct/Incorrect detections: IoU threshold

Being **correct**, being **wrong**

Predicted Values


Actual Values			
		Positive (1)	Negative (0)
Positive (1)			
Negative (0)			?

$\text{IoU} > \text{threshold}$; threshold = 0.5



<https://www.pyimagesearch.com/2016/11/07/intersection-over-union-iou-for-object-detection/>

Object Localization evaluation



Wrong class or bad localization ($\text{IoU} < 0.5$)

Steel drum



Output



Output (bad localization)



Output (bad classification)



Object Localization evaluation

Wrong class or bad localization ($\text{IoU} < 0.5$)

Steel drum




Output



$$\text{Error} = \frac{1}{100,000} \sum_{\substack{100,000 \\ \text{images}}} 1[\text{incorrect on image } i]$$

http://image-net.org/challenges/talks/2016/ILSVRC2016_10_09_clsloc.pdf

Object Detection evaluation



Metrics:

- 1) Average Precision (AP)
- 2) Average Recall (AR)

Object Detection evaluation

Computing Average Precision
(A four step procedure)

1. Order the predictions using confidence
2. Compute Precision and Recall
3. Plot Precision Recall plot (optional)
4. Compute Average Precision (AP)

Object Detection evaluation

		Actual Values	
		Positive (1)	Negative (0)
Predicted Values	Positive (1)		
	Negative (0)		?

TP = True positive

TN = True negative

FP = False positive

FN = False negative

$$\text{Precision} = \frac{TP}{TP + FP}$$

$$\text{Recall} = \frac{TP}{TP + FN}$$

$$F1 = 2 \cdot \frac{\text{precision} \cdot \text{recall}}{\text{precision} + \text{recall}}$$

1. Predictions Ordering





Image
Annotations
Predictions



2. Compute Precision and Recall





Image
Annotations
Predictions



TP	1	1	1
FP	0	1	1
FN	0	0	1
Precision	1	.5	.5
Recall	.5	.5	1


2. Compute Precision and Recall

Example: the whole dataset contains 5 objects, our model has predicted 10 bounding boxes.

Rank	Correct?	Precision	Recall
1	True	1.0	0.2
2	True	1.0	0.4
3	False	0.67	0.4
4	False	0.5	0.4
5	False	0.4	0.4
6	True	0.5	0.6
7	True	0.57	0.8
8	False	0.5	0.8
9	False	0.44	0.8
10	True	0.5	1.0


https://medium.com/@jonathan_hui/map-mean-average-precision-for-object-detection-45c121a31173

3. Precision - Recall plots




https://medium.com/@jonathan_hui/map-mean-average-precision-for-object-detection-45c121a31173

4. Average Precision (AP)




https://medium.com/@jonathan_hui/map-mean-average-precision-for-object-detection-45c121a31173

4. Average Precision (AP)




https://medium.com/@jonathan_hui/map-mean-average-precision-for-object-detection-45c121a31173

4. Average Precision (AP)



https://medium.com/@jonathan_hui/map-mean-average-precision-for-object-detection-45c121a31173

Interpolated AP



https://medium.com/@jonathan_hui/map-mean-average-precision-for-object-detection-45c121a31173

Object Detection evaluation



The following 12 metrics are used for characterizing the performance of an object detector on COCO:

Average Precision (AP) :

AP	% AP at IoU=.50:.05:.95 (primary challenge metric)
AP ^{IoU=.50}	% AP at IoU=.50 (PASCAL VOC metric)
AP ^{IoU=.75}	% AP at IoU=.75 (strict metric)

AP Across Scales:

AP ^{small}	% AP for small objects: area < 32^2
AP ^{medium}	% AP for medium objects: 32^2 < area < 96^2
AP ^{large}	% AP for large objects: area > 96^2

Average Recall (AR) :

AR ^{max=1}	% AR given 1 detection per image
AR ^{max=10}	% AR given 10 detections per image
AR ^{max=100}	% AR given 100 detections per image

AR Across Scales:

AR ^{small}	% AR for small objects: area < 32^2
AR ^{medium}	% AR for medium objects: 32^2 < area < 96^2
AR ^{large}	% AR for large objects: area > 96^2

Average Recall



Other Scores: AR

- Measures the maximum recall over a fixed number of detections allowed in the image of 1, 10, 100.
- AR is averaged over small ($A < 32 \times 32$), medium ($32 \times 32 < A < 96 \times 96$) and large ($A > 96 \times 96$) instances of objects.



Average Recall (AR) :

$AR^{max=1}$ % AR given 1 detection per image
 $AR^{max=10}$ % AR given 10 detections per image
 $AR^{max=100}$ % AR given 100 detections per image

AR Across Scales:

AR^{small} % AR for small objects: area $< 32^2$
 AR^{medium} % AR for medium objects: $32^2 < area < 96^2$
 AR^{large} % AR for large objects: area $> 96^2$


Object Localization/Detection evolution



http://image-net.org/challenges/talks_2017/ILSVRC2017_overview.pdf

<http://presentations.cocodataset.org/COCO17-Detect-Overview.pdf>


Object Localization/Detection evolution



[Zou, Zhengxia, et al. "Object detection in 20 years: A survey."](https://arxiv.org/abs/1905.05055)
arXiv preprint arXiv:1905.05055 (2019).

Object Localization/Detection evolution

Number of Publications in Object Detection




[Zou, Zhengxia, et al. "Object detection in 20 years: A survey." arXiv preprint arXiv:1905.05055 \(2019\).](https://arxiv.org/abs/1905.05055)

Deep learning for object detection: Outline


- Introduction
- Basic blocks and concepts
- Models

What is an outcome of an object detector?

We expect:



We get:



Lots of FP.

Image credit: <https://pjreddie.com/darknet/yolo/>

The unbalanced nature of detection. Hard Negative Mining

Build a head detector.




Positive	1
Negative	70
Hard negative	6


<https://medium.com/@ageitgey/machine-learning-is-fun-part-3-deep-learning-and-convolutional-neural-networks-f40359318721#.dnbyjd6zg>

Object detection pipeline

Given the unbalanced nature of detection. What do we need?



Initial guess



Features (in the past)

Histogram of Oriented Gradients



Probably the best pre-deep learning features...



http://sharky93.github.io/docs/gallery/auto_examples/plot_hog.html

Features (currently)

Use pre-trained neural networks!







Image source: <https://www.cs.toronto.edu/~frossard/post/vgg16/>

Region proposals (Class agnostic classifiers)




Scales



Region proposals (Class agnostic classifiers)



Objectness [1]
Selective search [2]
Category-independent object proposals [3]
Constrained parametric min-cuts (CPMC) [4]
Multi-scale combinatorial grouping [5]



[1] B.Alexe et al. Measuring the objectness of image windows.

[2] J. Uijlings et al. Selective search for object recognition

[3] I. Endres and D. Hoiem. Category independent object proposals

[4] J. Carreira and C. Sminchisescu. CPMC: Automatic object segmentation using constrained parametric min-cuts


[5] P.Arbelaez et al. Multiscale combinatorial grouping

Image: <https://ivi.fnwi.uva.nl/isis/publications/bibtexbrowser.php?key=UijlingsIJCV2013&bib=all.bib>

Region Proposals. Selective search.




(Object specific) Classifier



Classifier (in the past)

Support Vector Machines



Classifier (currently)






Image source: <https://www.cs.toronto.edu/~frossard/post/vgg16/>

What happens with FP?





Regressor (Refinement)

Regressor is used to adjust the position of class specific bounding boxes.



Bounding box regression



Regression error

$$(t_x, t_y, t_w, t_h)$$

$$t_x = (A_x - P_x) / P_w$$

$$t_y = (A_y - P_y) / P_h$$


$$t_w = \log(A_w / P_w)$$

$$t_h = \log(A_h / P_h)$$

Why divide by P_w and P_h ?

$$\text{Regression loss} = \text{loss}(t_x) + \text{loss}(t_y) + \text{loss}(t_w) + \text{loss}(t_h)$$

Regressor (Refinement)



Non-Maximum Suppression (NMS)





Image
Predictions



- 1) Order bb by confidence
- 2) Pick the most confident bb
- 3) Remove all bb with $\text{IoU} > \text{th}$





Image
Predictions

Detection: Loss Functions



- Classification losses:
 - Cross entropy (softmax)
 - Hinge loss (SVM)
- Regression losses:
 - L1
 - Smooth L1
 - L2



Deep learning for object detection: Outline

- Introduction
- Basic blocks and concepts
- Models

OverFeat (2013, ICLR2014)



[Sermanet, Pierre, et al. "Overfeat: Integrated recognition, localization and detection using convolutional networks." arXiv preprint arXiv:1312.6229 \(2013\).](https://arxiv.org/abs/1312.6229)


OverFeat: Training

Two stage training:

1. Train the classifier (cross entropy)
2. Train the regressor (L2)




Image:
 $3 \times 221 \times 221$



[Sermanet, Pierre, et al. "Overfeat: Integrated recognition, localization and detection using convolutional networks." arXiv preprint arXiv:1312.6229 \(2013\).](#)



OverFeat: Inference

Apply the network at all positions and scales and predict:




[Sermanet, Pierre, et al. "Overfeat: Integrated recognition, localization and detection using convolutional networks."](https://arxiv.org/abs/1312.6229) arXiv preprint arXiv:1312.6229 (2013).

OverFeat: Results




R-CNN (2013, CVPR2014)



[Girshick, Ross, et al. "Rich feature hierarchies for accurate object detection and semantic segmentation." Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition. 2014.](#)

R-CNN: Training




1. Pre-train network on Imagenet (image classification task)
2. Finetune network with softmax classifier (log loss)
3. Extract features
4. Train linear SVMs with hard negative mining (hinge loss)
5. Train bounding box regressions (least squares)

Training is slow (84h) !!!!

[Girshick, Ross, et al. "Rich feature hierarchies for accurate object detection and semantic segmentation." Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition. 2014.](#)

R-CNN: Inference




1. Extract 2000 region proposals per image
2. Extract features for each proposal
3. Infer class, confidence and bounding box for each proposal

Inference is slow (2k passes of CNN per image).

[Girshick, Ross, et al. "Rich feature hierarchies for accurate object detection and semantic segmentation." Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition. 2014.](#)

R-CNN: Results





Why do we need to warp images?




Convolutional layers

Last topological feature map




Flattened feature map



Fully connected layers + classifier


Spatial Pyramid Pooling (2014, TPAMI2015)



http://kaiminghe.com/eccv14sppnet/sppnet_ilsvrc2014.pdf


He, Kaiming, et al. "Spatial pyramid pooling in deep convolutional networks for visual recognition." IEEE transactions on pattern analysis and machine intelligence 37.9 (2015).

Spatial Pyramid Pooling (2014, TPAMI2015)





[He, Kaiming, et al. "Spatial pyramid pooling in deep convolutional networks for visual recognition." IEEE transactions on pattern analysis and machine intelligence 37.9 \(2015\).](#)

Spatial Pyramid Pooling (2014, TPAMI2015)



[He, Kaiming, et al. "Spatial pyramid pooling in deep convolutional networks for visual recognition." IEEE transactions on pattern analysis and machine intelligence 37.9 \(2015\).](#)

SPP: Training





1. Pre-train network on Imagenet (image classification task)
(Including Conv + SPP + FC layers)
1. Finetune network with softmax classifier (log loss)
2. Extract features
3. Train linear SVMs with hard negative mining (hinge loss)
4. Train bounding box regressions (least squares)

Training is (faster than R-CNN but) still slow!

26/2/18

He, Kaiming, et al. "Spatial pyramid pooling in deep convolutional networks for visual recognition." IEEE transactions on pattern analysis and machine intelligence 37.9 (2015).

SPP: Inference




1. Compute the feature map of an image
2. Compute region proposals (2k per image)
3. Project region proposals into feature map
4. Infer class, confidence and bounding box for each proposal

Inference time is OK (up to 100x faster than R-CNN).


26/2/18

He, Kaiming, et al. "Spatial pyramid pooling in deep convolutional networks for visual recognition." IEEE transactions on pattern analysis and machine intelligence 37.9 (2015).

SPP: Results








Fast R-CNN (2015, ICCV2015)





[Girshick, Ross. "Fast r-cnn." Proceedings of the IEEE international conference on computer vision. 2015.](#)

Fast R-CNN: ROI Pooling



<https://deepsense.ai/region-of-interest-pooling-explained/>

Fast R-CNN: Training





Joint loss: log loss + smooth L1 loss

1. Pre-train network on Imagenet classification task
2. Train the model with joint loss

**Training is elegant and fast.
Region Proposals are still required....**

Fast R-CNN: Inference





1. Extract feature map
2. Extract region proposals
3. Infer class, confidence and bounding box for each proposal

Inference is fast.


Now the bottleneck is in Selective Search!

26/2/18

Fast R-CNN: Results




Faster R-CNN (2015, NIPS2015)




[Ren, Shaoqing, et al. "Faster R-CNN: Towards Real-Time Object Detection with Region Proposal Networks." NIPS. 2015.](#)

Faster R-CNN: Region Proposal Network (RPN)



[Ren, Shaoqing, et al. "Faster R-CNN: Towards Real-Time Object Detection with Region Proposal Networks." NIPS. 2015.](#)



Faster R-CNN: Region Proposal Network (RPN)



- The k proposals are parameterized relative to k reference boxes (Anchors) generated with cluster analysis over the training set.
- An anchor is centered at the sliding window in question, and is associated with a scale and aspect ratio.
- Faster R-CNN uses 3 scales and 3 aspect ratios by default, yielding $k= 9$ anchors at each sliding position.

[Ren, Shaoqing, et al. "Faster R-CNN: Towards Real-Time Object Detection with Region Proposal Networks." NIPS. 2015.](#)



Faster R-CNN: Training



One network, four losses (TPAMI version)



1. **RPN classification (anchor good / bad)**
2. **RPN regression (anchor \rightarrow proposal)**
3. Fast R-CNN classification (over classes)
4. Fast R-CNN regression (proposal \rightarrow box)

Faster R-CNN: Inference




1. Extract feature map and region proposals
2. Infer class, confidence and bounding box for each proposal

Faster R-CNN: Results



Faster R-CNN + ResNets (2015, CVPR2016)



Faster R-CNN baseline	mAP@.5	mAP@.5:.95
VGG-16	41.5	21.5
ResNet-101	48.4	27.2



coco detection results

Other ideas (covered in next class)

Feature Pyramid Networks for Object Detection

Tsung-Yi Lin^{1,2}, Piotr Dollár¹, Ross Girshick¹,
Kaiming He¹, Bharath Hariharan¹, and Serge Belongie²

¹Facebook AI Research (FAIR)
²Cornell University and Cornell Tech




Focal Loss for Dense Object Detection

Tsung-Yi Lin Priya Goyal Ross Girshick Kaiming He Piotr Dollár
Facebook AI Research (FAIR)

Mask R-CNN

Kaiming He Georgia Gkioxari Piotr Dollár Ross Girshick
Facebook AI Research (FAIR)



References

- Ross B. Girshick, Jeff Donahue, Trevor Darrell and Jitendra Malik; Rich feature hierarchies for accurate object detection and semantic segmentation.
- Pierre Sermanet, David Eigen, Xiang Zhang, Michael Mathieu, Rob Fergus, Yann LeCun; OverFeat: Integrated Recognition, Localization and Detection using Convolutional Networks.
- Kaiming He and Xiangyu Zhang and Shaoqing Ren and Jian Sun; Spatial Pyramid Pooling in Deep Convolutional Networks for Visual Recognition.
- Ross B. Girshick; Fast R-CNN.
- Shaoqing Ren, Kaiming He, Ross B. Girshick and Jian Sun; Faster R-CNN: Towards Real-Time Object Detection with Region Proposal Networks.
- Kaiming He, Xiangyu Zhang, Shaoqing Ren, Jian Sun; Deep Residual Learning for Image Recognition.
- M. Oquab and L. Bottou and I. Laptev and J. Sivic; Is object localization for free? - Weakly-supervised learning with convolutional neural networks.