

ASEN 5070  
Statistical Orbit determination I

Fall 2012



Professor George H. Born  
Professor Jeffrey S. Parker

Lecture 10: Batch Processors



University of Colorado  
Boulder

# Announcements

- ▶ Homework 3 Graded
  - Comments included on D2L
  - Any questions, talk with us this week
- ▶ Homework 4 due Today
- ▶ Homework 5 due next week
- ▶ Bobby Braun will be a guest speaker next Thursday.
  - NASA Chief Technologist
  - Now at Georgia Tech.
- ▶ Exam on 10/11.
- ▶ I'll be out of the state 10/9 - 10/16; we'll have guest lecturers then.



- ▶ We're right on track with the syllabus.
- ▶ Topics today:
  - Least Squares
  - Weighted Least Squares
  - Minimum Norm
  - A Priori
  - Minimum Variance
  - The Batch Processor
  - Bayesian

# Quiz Results

## Question 1 (1 point)

The least squares solution minimizes the sum of the residuals.

True

False

$$\min \left( \sum_{i=1}^N \epsilon_i \right) ?$$



# Quiz Results

## Question 1 (1 point)

The least squares solution minimizes the sum of the residuals.

True

False

$$\min \left( \sum_{i=1}^N \epsilon_i \right) ?$$

$$\min \left( \sum_{i=1}^N \epsilon_i^2 \right)$$



# Quiz Results

## Question 2 (1 point)

What is the rank of the following H-matrix?

$H =$

[2 4 1;

6 12 3]

(If it's unclear, that's a matrix with two rows and three columns. First row = [2, 4, 1]. 2nd row = [6, 12, 3].)

0

1

2

3

$$H = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 4 & 1 \\ 6 & 12 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$



# Quiz Results

## Question 2 (1 point)

What is the rank of the following H-matrix?

$H =$

[2 4 1]

[6 12 3]

(If it's unclear, that's a matrix with two rows and three columns. First row = [2, 4, 1]. 2nd row = [6, 12, 3].)

0

1

2

3

$$H = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 4 & 1 \\ 6 & 12 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$



# Quiz Results

## Question 3 (1 point)

If we have perfect observations and no errors in the system, then we can map each observation to a state deviation vector using the relationship:  $y(t) = H^*x(t_0)$  (notice there's no "epsilon" error).

True/False: If our state "X" has 7 parameters in it, we can deterministically solve for X using only 7 linearly independent observations.

- True
- False



# Quiz Results

**Question 3 (1 point)**

If we have perfect observations and no errors in the system, then we can map each observation to a state deviation vector using the relationship:  $y(t) = H^*x(t_0)$  (notice there's no "epsilon" error).

True/False: If our state "X" has 7 parameters in it, we can deterministically solve for X using only 7 linearly independent observations.

- True
- False

$$y(t) = H\mathbf{x}(t_0)$$

$$y_1 = \tilde{H}\Phi_{10}\mathbf{x}(t_0)_{7 \times 1}$$

$$y_2 = \tilde{H}\Phi_{20}\mathbf{x}(t_0)_{7 \times 1}$$

$$\vdots \quad \vdots \quad \vdots$$

$$y_7 = \tilde{H}\Phi_{70}\mathbf{x}(t_0)_{7 \times 1}$$



# Quiz Results

**Question 3 (1 point)**

If we have perfect observations and no errors in the system, then we can map each observation to a state deviation vector using the relationship:  $y(t) = H^*x(t_0)$  (notice there's no "epsilon" error).

True/False: If our state "X" has 7 parameters in it, we can deterministically solve for X using only 7 linearly independent observations.

 True False

$$y(t) = H\mathbf{x}(t_0)$$

$$y_1 = \tilde{H}\Phi_{10}\mathbf{x}(t_0)_{7 \times 1}$$

$$y_2 = \tilde{H}\Phi_{20}\mathbf{x}(t_0)_{7 \times 1}$$

$$\vdots \quad \vdots \quad \vdots$$

$$y_7 = \tilde{H}\Phi_{70}\mathbf{x}(t_0)_{7 \times 1}$$



# Quiz Results

## Question 4 (1 point)

We have a state vector "x" of 6 parameters that we're estimating. We have 10 range observations made at different times that each include an error. We're able to map each range observation to a particular epoch  $t_{\text{obs}}$  using the relationship  $y(t) = H^T x(t_{\text{obs}}) + \epsilon(t)$ .

How many unknowns are present in this system?

- 6
- 10
- 16
- 60



# Quiz Results

## Question 4 (1 point)

We have a state vector "x" of 6 parameters that we're estimating. We have 10 range observations made at different times that each include an error. We're able to map each range observation to a particular epoch  $t_{\text{obs}}$  using the relationship  $y(t) = \tilde{H}\Phi(t_{\text{obs}})x + \epsilon(t)$ .

How many unknowns are present in this system?

 6

$$y_1 = \tilde{H}\Phi_{1,0} \mathbf{x}(t_0)_{6 \times 1} + \epsilon_1$$

 10

$$y_2 = \tilde{H}\Phi_{2,0} \mathbf{x}(t_0)_{6 \times 1} + \epsilon_2$$

 16

$$\vdots \quad \vdots \quad \vdots$$

 60

$$y_{10} = \tilde{H}\Phi_{10,0} \mathbf{x}(t_0)_{6 \times 1} + \epsilon_{10}$$



# Quiz Results

## Question 4 (1 point)

We have a state vector "x" of 6 parameters that we're estimating. We have 10 range observations made at different times that each include an error. We're able to map each range observation to a particular epoch  $t_{\text{obs}}$  using the relationship  $y(t) = \tilde{H}\Phi(t_{\text{obs}})x + \epsilon(t)$ .

How many unknowns are present in this system?

 6

 10

 16

 60

$$y_1 = \tilde{H}\Phi_{1,0} \mathbf{x}(t_0)_{6 \times 1} + \epsilon_1$$

$$y_2 = \tilde{H}\Phi_{2,0} \mathbf{x}(t_0)_{6 \times 1} + \epsilon_2$$

$$\vdots \quad \vdots \quad \vdots$$

$$y_{10} = \tilde{H}\Phi_{10,0} \mathbf{x}(t_0)_{6 \times 1} + \epsilon_{10}$$



# Stat OD Filters

- ▶ Given:
  - Nominal state at some time  $\mathbf{X}^*(t_0)$
  - Dynamical Models
  - Observations  $\mathbf{y}(t)$
- ▶ Want:
  - Best estimate of state at some (other) time  $\hat{\mathbf{X}}(t_i)$
  - Covariance of that state  $\hat{P}(t_i)$
- ▶ Optional:
  - *a priori* information about state or covariance  $\bar{\mathbf{X}}(t_0)$   $\bar{P}(t_0)$
  - Weighting matrix for observations
  - Statistical information about observations

# Information

- ▶ The state has  $n$  parameters
  - $n$  unknowns at any given time.
- ▶ There are  $l$  observations of any given type.
- ▶ There are  $p$  types of observations (range, range-rate, angles, etc)
- ▶ We have  $p \times l = m$  total equations.
- ▶ Three situations:
  - $n < m$ : Least Squares
  - $n = m$ : Deterministic
  - $n > m$ : Minimum Norm



# Least Squares

- ▶ The state deviation vector that minimizes the least-squares cost function:

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}}_k = (H^T H)^{-1} H^T \mathbf{y}$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{X}}(t_k) = \mathbf{X}^*(t_k) + \hat{\mathbf{x}}(t_k)$$

- ▶ Additional Details:
  - $H^T H$  is called the *normal matrix*
  - If  $H$  is full rank, then this will be positive definite.
    - If it's not then we don't have a least squares estimate!



# Least Squares

- ▶ The state deviation vector that minimizes the least-squares cost function:

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}}_k = (H^T H)^{-1} H^T \mathbf{y}$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{X}}(t_k) = \mathbf{X}^*(t_k) + \hat{\mathbf{x}}(t_k)$$

- ▶ Additional Details:

- $P_k = (H^T H)^{-1}$  is related to the variance-covariance matrix
- If it exists (i.e., if  $H^T H$  is invertible), then  $P_k$  is symmetric and positive definite.



## ► The Minimum Norm Solution



University of Colorado  
Boulder

For the least squares solution

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}} = (H^T H)^{-1} H^T \mathbf{y}$$

to exist  $m \geq n$  and  $H$  be of rank  $n$

Consider a case with  $m \leq n$  and rank  $H < n$

There are more unknowns than linearly independent observations



# Minimum Norm

Option 1: specify any  $n - m$  of the  $n$  components of  $\mathbf{x}$  and solve for remaining  $m$  components of  $\mathbf{x}$  using observation equations with  $\epsilon = 0$

Result: an infinite number of solutions for  $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$

Option 2: use the minimum norm criterion to uniquely determine  $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$

Using the generally available nominal/initial guess for  $\mathbf{x}$  the minimum norm criterion chooses  $\mathbf{x}$  to minimize the sum of the squares of the difference between  $\mathbf{X}$  and  $\mathbf{X}^*$  with the constraint that  $\epsilon = 0$



Recall:  $\boldsymbol{x} = \boldsymbol{X} - \boldsymbol{X}^*$

Want to minimize the sum of the squares of  
the difference given  $\epsilon = 0$

That is  $\boldsymbol{y} = H\boldsymbol{x}$



Hence the performance index becomes:

$$J(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) = 1/2\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{x} + \boldsymbol{\lambda}^T (\mathbf{y} - H\mathbf{x})$$



Hence the performance index becomes:

$$J(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) = 1/2\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{x} + \boldsymbol{\lambda}^T (\mathbf{y} - H\mathbf{x})$$

$$\frac{\partial J(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\lambda})}{\partial \mathbf{x}} = 0 = \mathbf{x} - H^T \boldsymbol{\lambda}$$

$$\frac{\partial J(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\lambda})}{\partial \boldsymbol{\lambda}} = 0 = \mathbf{y} - H\mathbf{x}$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}} = H^T (H H^T)^{-1} \mathbf{y}$$



# Pseudo-Inverses

Apply when there are more unknowns than equations or more equations than unknowns

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}} = (H^T H)^{-1} H^T \mathbf{y}, \quad \text{if } m > n$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}} = H^{-1} \mathbf{y}, \quad \text{if } m = n$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}} = H^T (H H^T)^{-1} \mathbf{y}, \quad \text{if } m < n.$$



## ► Weighted Least Squares



University of Colorado  
Boulder

# Weighted Least Squares

- ▶ If we have information about how to weigh different observations in the filter

$$\mathbf{y}_1 = H_1 \mathbf{x}_k + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_1; \quad w_1$$

$$\mathbf{y}_2 = H_2 \mathbf{x}_k + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_2; \quad w_2$$

$$\vdots \;\; \vdots \;\; \vdots$$

$$\mathbf{y}_\ell = H_\ell \mathbf{x}_k + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_\ell; \quad w_\ell$$

$$H_i = \tilde{H}_i \Phi(t_i, t_k).$$



# Weighted Least Squares Solution

$$\mathbf{y} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{y}_1 \\ \mathbf{y}_2 \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{y}_\ell \end{bmatrix}; \quad H = \begin{bmatrix} H_1 \\ H_2 \\ \vdots \\ H_\ell \end{bmatrix};$$

$$\boldsymbol{\epsilon} = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_1 \\ \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_2 \\ \vdots \\ \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_\ell \end{bmatrix}; \quad W = \begin{bmatrix} w_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & w_2 & \cdots & 0 \\ & & \ddots & \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & w_\ell \end{bmatrix}$$



# Weighted Least Squares Solution

$$\mathbf{y} = H\mathbf{x}_k + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}; \quad W.$$

$$J(\mathbf{x}_k) = 1/2\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^T W \boldsymbol{\epsilon} = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} 1/2\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_i^T w_i \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_i.$$

$$J(\mathbf{x}_k) = 1/2(\mathbf{y} - H\mathbf{x}_k)^T W (\mathbf{y} - H\mathbf{x}_k).$$



# Weighted Least Squares Solution

$$J(\mathbf{x}_k) = 1/2(\mathbf{y} - H\mathbf{x}_k)^T W (\mathbf{y} - H\mathbf{x}_k).$$

$$\frac{\partial J}{\partial \mathbf{x}_k} = 0 =$$



# Weighted Least Squares Solution

$$J(\mathbf{x}_k) = \underbrace{1/2(\mathbf{y} - H\mathbf{x}_k)^T W (\mathbf{y} - H\mathbf{x}_k)}_{1/2 \left[ A^T B \right]}$$

$$\frac{\partial J}{\partial \mathbf{x}_k} = 0 = 1/2 \left[ A^T \frac{\partial B}{\partial X} + B^T \frac{\partial A}{\partial X} \right]$$



# Weighted Least Squares Solution

$$J(\mathbf{x}_k) = \underbrace{1/2(\mathbf{y} - H\mathbf{x}_k)^T W (\mathbf{y} - H\mathbf{x}_k)}_{1/2 \begin{bmatrix} A^T & B \end{bmatrix}}$$

$$\frac{\partial J}{\partial \mathbf{x}_k} = 0 = 1/2 \left[ A^T \frac{\partial B}{\partial X} + B^T \frac{\partial A}{\partial X} \right]$$

$$A^T = (\mathbf{y} - H\mathbf{x}_k)^T$$

$$\frac{\partial B}{\partial X} = W(-H) = -WH$$

$$B^T = (W(\mathbf{y} - H\mathbf{x}_k))^T = (\mathbf{y} - H\mathbf{x}_k)^T W^T$$

$$\frac{\partial A}{\partial X} = -H$$



# Weighted Least Squares Solution

$$J(\mathbf{x}_k) = \underbrace{1/2(\mathbf{y} - H\mathbf{x}_k)^T W (\mathbf{y} - H\mathbf{x}_k)}_{1/2 \begin{bmatrix} A^T & B \end{bmatrix}}$$

$$\frac{\partial J}{\partial \mathbf{x}_k} = 0 = 1/2 \left[ A^T \frac{\partial B}{\partial X} + B^T \frac{\partial A}{\partial X} \right]$$

$$A^T = (\mathbf{y} - H\mathbf{x}_k)^T$$

$$\frac{\partial B}{\partial X} = W(-H) = -WH$$

$$B^T = (W(\mathbf{y} - H\mathbf{x}_k))^T = (\mathbf{y} - H\mathbf{x}_k)^T W^T$$

$$\frac{\partial A}{\partial X} = -H$$



# Weighted Least Squares Solution

$$J(\mathbf{x}_k) = \underbrace{1/2(\mathbf{y} - H\mathbf{x}_k)^T W (\mathbf{y} - H\mathbf{x}_k)}_{1/2 \begin{bmatrix} A^T & B \end{bmatrix}}.$$

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial J}{\partial \mathbf{x}_k} &= 0 = 1/2 \left[ (\mathbf{y} - H\mathbf{x}_k)^T (-WH) + (\mathbf{y} - H\mathbf{x}_k)^T W^T (-H) \right] \\ &= 1/2 \left[ -(\mathbf{y} - H\mathbf{x}_k)^T WH - (\mathbf{y} - H\mathbf{x}_k)^T WH \right] \\ &= -(\mathbf{y} - H\mathbf{x}_k)^T WH\end{aligned}$$



# Weighted Least Squares Solution

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{\partial J}{\partial \mathbf{x}_k} &= 0 = 1/2 \left[ (\mathbf{y} - H\mathbf{x}_k)^T (-WH) + (\mathbf{y} - H\mathbf{x}_k)^T W^T (-H) \right] \\
 &= 1/2 \left[ -(\mathbf{y} - H\mathbf{x}_k)^T WH - (\mathbf{y} - H\mathbf{x}_k)^T WH \right] \\
 &= -(\mathbf{y} - H\mathbf{x}_k)^T WH
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 &= (\mathbf{y} - H\mathbf{x}_k)^T WH \\
 &= \left[ (\mathbf{y} - H\mathbf{x}_k)^T WH \right]^T \\
 &= H^T W (\mathbf{y} - H\mathbf{x}_k) \\
 &= H^T W \mathbf{y} - H^T W H \mathbf{x}_k
 \end{aligned}$$



# Weighted Least Squares Solution

$$\frac{\partial J}{\partial \mathbf{x}_k} = 0 = -(y - H\mathbf{x}_k)^T W H = -H^T W (y - H\mathbf{x}_k)$$

$$(H^T W H) \mathbf{x}_k = H^T W \mathbf{y}.$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}}_k = (H^T W H)^{-1} H^T W \mathbf{y}.$$



# Least Squares

## ► Least Squares

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}}_k = (H^T H)^{-1} H^T \mathbf{y}$$

## ► Weighted Least Squares

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}}_k = (H^T W H)^{-1} H^T W \mathbf{y}$$



# Least Squares

## ► Least Squares

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}}_k = (H^T H)^{-1} H^T \mathbf{y}$$

$$P_k = (H^T H)^{-1}$$

## ► Weighted Least Squares

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}}_k = (H^T W H)^{-1} H^T W \mathbf{y}$$

$$P_k = (H^T W H)^{-1}$$

Note: These  $P$  matrices are not necessarily the covariance matrices.  
 They ARE if  $W$  is properly selected.



- ▶ Weighted Least Squares with *a priori* information

# Least Squares with *a priori* Information

If an apriori value is available for  $x_k$  (call it  $\bar{x}_k$ ) and an associated symmetric weighting matrix  $\bar{w}_k$ , the weighted least squares estimate of  $\hat{x}_k$  can be obtained.



# Least Squares with *a priori* Information

Given

$$\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{H}\mathbf{x}_k + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$$

$$\bar{\mathbf{x}}_k = \mathbf{x}_k + \boldsymbol{\eta}_k$$

Where  $\boldsymbol{\eta}_k$  is the error in  $\bar{\mathbf{x}}_k$  and its influence on  $\hat{\mathbf{x}}_k$  is reflected in the weighting matrix  $\bar{\mathbf{W}}_k$

and  $[\mathbf{y}]_{m \times 1}, [\bar{\mathbf{x}}_k]_{n \times 1}$

Choose  $\hat{\mathbf{x}}_k$  to minimize the performance index

$$J(\mathbf{x}_k) = \frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^T \mathbf{w} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} + \frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{\eta}_k \bar{\mathbf{W}}_k \boldsymbol{\eta}_k^T$$



# Least Squares with *a priori* Information

Writing  $J(x_k)$  explicitly in terms of  $x_k$

$$J(x_k) = \frac{1}{2} (y - Hx_k)^T w (y - Hx_k) + \frac{1}{2} (\bar{x}_k - x_k)^T \bar{w}_k (\bar{x}_k - x_k)$$

$$\frac{\partial J(x_k)}{\partial x_k} = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial J(x_k)}{\partial x_k} = -(y - Hx_k)^T wH - (\bar{x}_k - x_k)^T w_k = 0$$

$$= -y^T wH + {x_k}^T H^T wH - {\bar{x}_k}^T w_k + {x_k}^T w_k = 0$$



# Least Squares with *a priori* Information

Solving for  $x_k$  yields  $\hat{x}_k$

$$x_k^T (H^T w H + w_k) = y^T w H + \bar{x}_k^T w_k$$

$$\hat{x}_k^T = (y^T w H + \bar{x}_k^T w_k) (H^T w H + w_k)^{-1}$$

$$\hat{x}_k = (H^T w H + w_k)^{-1} (H^T w y + w_k \bar{x}_k)$$



Note that  $(H^T w H + w_k)^{-1}$  is symmetric

also

$$\frac{\partial^2 J(x_k)}{\partial x_k^2} = H^T w H + w_k$$

which will be positive definite if  $H$  and/or  $w_k$  is full rank.  
Hence,  $\hat{x}_k$  minimizes  $J(x_k)$ .



# Summary so far

- ▶ Least Squares

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}}_k = (H^T H)^{-1} H^T \mathbf{y}$$

- ▶ Weighted Least Squares

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}}_k = (H^T W H)^{-1} H^T W \mathbf{y}$$

- ▶ Least Squares with *a priori*

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}}_k = (H^T W H + \bar{W}_k)^{-1} (H^T W \mathbf{y} + \bar{W}_k \bar{\mathbf{x}}_k)$$

## ► Minimum Variance Estimate



University of Colorado  
Boulder

# Minimum Variance

- ▶ We can use the Minimum Variance Estimator to generate the best estimate of the state, given any statistical information about the observations.
  - Varying standard deviations
  - Correlations of observations over time
  - Observation drift
  - Etc.



# Minimum Variance

- Given: System of state-propagation equations and observation state equations:

$$\mathbf{x}_i = \Phi(t_i, t_k) \mathbf{x}_k$$

$$\mathbf{y}_i = \tilde{H}_i \mathbf{x}_i + \epsilon_i \quad i = 1, \dots, l.$$

- Find: The linear, unbiased, minimum variance estimate,  $\hat{\mathbf{X}}_k$ , of the state  $\mathbf{x}_k$ .

# Minimum Variance

- ▶ Given: System of state-propagation equations and observation state equations:

$$\mathbf{x}_i = \Phi(t_i, t_k) \mathbf{x}_k$$

$$\mathbf{y}_i = \tilde{H}_i \mathbf{x}_i + \epsilon_i \quad i = 1, \dots, l.$$

- ▶ Find: The linear, unbiased, minimum variance estimate,  $\hat{\mathbf{X}}_k$ , of the state  $\mathbf{x}_k$ .
- ▶ Linear:



# Minimum Variance

- ▶ Given: System of state-propagation equations and observation state equations:

$$\mathbf{x}_i = \Phi(t_i, t_k) \mathbf{x}_k$$

$$\mathbf{y}_i = \tilde{H}_i \mathbf{x}_i + \epsilon_i \quad i = 1, \dots, l.$$

- ▶ Find: The linear, unbiased, minimum variance estimate,  $\hat{\mathbf{X}}_k$ , of the state  $\mathbf{x}_k$ .
- ▶ Unbiased:

# Minimum Variance

- ▶ Given: System of state-propagation equations and observation state equations:

$$\mathbf{x}_i = \Phi(t_i, t_k) \mathbf{x}_k$$

$$\mathbf{y}_i = \tilde{H}_i \mathbf{x}_i + \epsilon_i \quad i = 1, \dots, l.$$

- ▶ Find: The linear, unbiased, minimum variance estimate,  $\hat{\mathbf{X}}_k$ , of the state  $\mathbf{x}_k$ .
- ▶ Min Variance Estimate:
- ▶ (Jump from the derivation to 4.4.17 – see book for details)



# Minimum Variance

- ▶ Given: System of state-propagation equations and observation state equations:

$$\mathbf{x}_i = \Phi(t_i, t_k) \mathbf{x}_k$$

$$\mathbf{y}_i = \tilde{H}_i \mathbf{x}_i + \epsilon_i \quad i = 1, \dots, l.$$

- ▶ Find: The linear, unbiased, minimum variance estimate,  $\hat{\mathbf{X}}_k$ , of the state  $\mathbf{X}_k$ .
- ▶ Best Estimate:

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}}_k = (H^T R^{-1} H)^{-1} H^T R^{-1} \mathbf{y}$$

# Summary so far

- ▶ Least Squares

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}}_k = (H^T H)^{-1} H^T \mathbf{y}$$

- ▶ Weighted Least Squares

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}}_k = (H^T W H)^{-1} H^T W \mathbf{y}$$

- ▶ Least Squares with *a priori*

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}}_k = (H^T W H + \bar{W}_k)^{-1} (H^T W \mathbf{y} + \bar{W}_k \bar{\mathbf{x}}_k)$$

- ▶ Min Variance

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}}_k = (H^T R^{-1} H)^{-1} H^T R^{-1} \mathbf{y}$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}}_k = P_k H^T R^{-1} \mathbf{y}$$

# Propagating the estimate and covariance

$$\bar{\mathbf{x}}_k = \Phi(t_k, t_j) \hat{\mathbf{x}}$$

$$\bar{P}_k \equiv E \left[ (\bar{\mathbf{x}}_k - \mathbf{x}_k)(\bar{\mathbf{x}}_k - \mathbf{x}_k)^T \right]$$

$$\bar{P}_k = \Phi(t_k, t_j) P_j \Phi^T(t_k, t_j)$$



# More Options

- ▶ Least Squares

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}}_k = (H^T H)^{-1} H^T \mathbf{y}$$

- ▶ Weighted Least Squares

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}}_k = (H^T W H)^{-1} H^T W \mathbf{y}$$

- ▶ Least Squares with *a priori*

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}}_k = (H^T W H + \bar{W}_k)^{-1} (H^T W \mathbf{y} + \bar{W}_k \bar{\mathbf{x}}_k)$$

- ▶ Min Variance

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}}_k = (H^T R^{-1} H)^{-1} H^T R^{-1} \mathbf{y}$$

- ▶ Min Variance with *a priori*

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}}_k = (\tilde{H}_k^T R^{-1} \tilde{H}_k + \bar{P}_k^{-1})^{-1} (\tilde{H}_k^T R^{-1} \mathbf{y}_k + \bar{P}_k^{-1} \bar{\mathbf{x}}_k)$$

# Announcements

- ▶ Homework 3 Graded
  - Comments included on D2L
  - Any questions, talk with us this week
- ▶ Homework 4 due Today
- ▶ Homework 5 due next week
- ▶ Bobby Braun will be a guest speaker next Thursday.
  - NASA Chief Technologist
  - Now at Georgia Tech.
- ▶ Exam on 10/11.
- ▶ I'll be out of the state 10/9 - 10/16; we'll have guest lecturers then.

