Untitled

Zhiwei Lin

2023-01-24

```
library(tidyverse)
## -- Attaching packages ------ tidyverse 1.3.2 --
## v ggplot2 3.4.0
                  v purrr 0.3.4
## v tibble 3.1.8
                    v dplyr 1.0.10
          1.2.1 v stringr 1.4.1
2.1.3 v forcats 0.5.2
## v tidyr
## v readr
## -- Conflicts ----- tidyverse_conflicts() --
## x dplyr::filter() masks stats::filter()
## x dplyr::lag() masks stats::lag()
library(skimr)
library(corrplot)
## corrplot 0.92 loaded
library(car)
## Loading required package: carData
## Attaching package: 'car'
## The following object is masked from 'package:dplyr':
##
##
      recode
## The following object is masked from 'package:purrr':
##
##
      some
library(MASS)
##
## Attaching package: 'MASS'
## The following object is masked from 'package:dplyr':
##
##
      select
```

```
library(GGally)
## Registered S3 method overwritten by 'GGally':
##
    method from
##
    +.gg
           ggplot2
library(ggpmisc)
## Loading required package: ggpp
## Attaching package: 'ggpp'
## The following object is masked from 'package:ggplot2':
##
##
      annotate
load dataset
data<-read.csv("/Users/zhiweilin/Downloads/insurance.csv", header =T, na.string=c("","NA"))
head(data)
##
                  bmi children smoker
    age
                                         region
                                                  charges
           sex
## 1 19 female 27.900
                       0 yes southwest 16884.924
          male 33.770
                                  no southeast 1725.552
## 2 18
                             1
                                no southeast 4449.462
          male 33.000
## 3
     28
                             3
## 4 33
          male 22.705
                             0 no northwest 21984.471
## 5 32
          male 28.880
                             0 no northwest 3866.855
## 6 31 female 25.740
                             0
                                   no southeast 3756.622
str(data)
                   1338 obs. of 7 variables:
## 'data.frame':
          : int 19 18 28 33 32 31 46 37 37 60 ...
## $ age
             : chr "female" "male" "male" "male" ...
## $ sex
## $ bmi
             : num 27.9 33.8 33 22.7 28.9 ...
## $ children: int 0 1 3 0 0 0 1 3 2 0 ...
                    "yes" "no" "no" "no" ...
## $ smoker : chr
   $ region : chr "southwest" "southeast" "southeast" "northwest" ...
##
## $ charges : num 16885 1726 4449 21984 3867 ...
View the first 6 rows of the dataset and the class of each variable in the dataset.
```

data <- mutate_at(data, vars(sex,smoker,region), as.factor)</pre>

The variables 'sex', 'smoker', and 'region' should be converted from character variables to factor variables as they have a limited number of levels.

data<-distinct(data)</pre>

Remove duplicate rows based on all columns

Data Summarization

summary(data)

```
##
                        sex
                                      bmi
                                                    children
                                                                 smoker
         age
##
   Min.
          :18.00
                    female:662
                                        :15.96
                                                        :0.000
                                                                 no :1063
                                 Min.
                                                Min.
##
    1st Qu.:27.00
                   male :675
                                 1st Qu.:26.29
                                                 1st Qu.:0.000
                                                                 yes: 274
                                                Median :1.000
##
   Median :39.00
                                 Median :30.40
   Mean
          :39.22
                                 Mean :30.66
                                                Mean
                                                       :1.096
##
    3rd Qu.:51.00
                                 3rd Qu.:34.70
                                                 3rd Qu.:2.000
##
          :64.00
                                       :53.13
                                                       :5.000
   Max.
                                 Max.
                                                Max.
##
         region
                       charges
## northeast:324
                   Min.
                         : 1122
##
   northwest:324
                    1st Qu.: 4746
##
    southeast:364
                   Median: 9386
##
   southwest:325
                          :13279
                   Mean
##
                    3rd Qu.:16658
##
                           :63770
                    Max.
```

 ${\tt skim_without_charts(data)} \ \textit{\# another summary function}$

Table 1: Data summary

Name	data
Number of rows	1337
Number of columns	7
Column type frequency:	
factor	3
numeric	4
Group variables	None

Variable type: factor

skim_variable	n_missing	complete_rate	ordered	n_unique	top_counts
sex	0	1	FALSE	2	mal: 675, fem: 662
smoker	0	1	FALSE	2	no: 1063, yes: 274
region	0	1	FALSE	4	sou: 364, sou: 325, nor: 324, nor: 324

Variable type: numeric


skim_variable n	_missing comple	ete_rate	mean	sd	p0	p25	p50	p75	p100
age	0	1	39.22	14.04	18.00	27.00	39.00	51.00	64.00
bmi	0	1	30.66	6.10	15.96	26.29	30.40	34.70	53.13
children	0	1	1.10	1.21	0.00	0.00	1.00	2.00	5.00
charges	0	1	13279.12	12110.36	1121.87	4746.34	9386.16	16657.72	63770.43

The summary function shows that there is no missing values in the dataset.

Data Visualization

```
df_sex<-data %>%
  group_by(sex) %>%
  summarise(
    count=n()
df_sex$percentage <- 100*prop.table(df_sex$count)</pre>
print(df_sex)
## # A tibble: 2 x 3
          count percentage
     <fct> <int>
                       <dbl>
## 1 female 662
                        49.5
## 2 male
              675
                        50.5
ggplot(df_sex, aes(x="", y=percentage, fill=sex)) +
  geom_bar(width=1,stat="identity") +
  coord_polar(theta="y", start=0) +
  theme_void()+
  labs(title="Pie Chart of Female vs. Male ", fill="sex")+
  geom_text(aes(label = paste(round(percentage,2), "%")),position = position_stack(vjust = 0.5),color
```


Pie Chart of Female vs. Male



The dataset shows a roughly equal proportion of male and female participants, with 49.51% being female and 50.49% being male, indicating that the data is not biased towards any one gender.

```
df_region<-data %>%
  group_by(region) %>%
  summarise(
    count=n()
df_region$percentage <- 100*prop.table(df_region$count)</pre>
print(df_region)
## # A tibble: 4 x 3
     region
               count percentage
##
     <fct>
                          <dbl>
               <int>
## 1 northeast
                 324
                           24.2
## 2 northwest
                 324
                           24.2
## 3 southeast
                 364
                           27.2
## 4 southwest
                 325
                           24.3
ggplot(df_region, aes(x="", y=percentage, fill=region)) +
  geom_bar(width=1,stat="identity") +
  coord_polar(theta="y", start=0) +
  theme_void()+
  labs(title="Pie Chart of Female vs. Male ", fill="region")+
   geom_text(aes(label = paste(round(percentage,2), "%")),position = position_stack(vjust = 0.5),color
```

Pie Chart of Female vs. Male




the dataset shows roughly equal proportion of four regions.

```
df_age<-data %>%
  group_by(age) %>%
  summarise(
    number=n()
print(df_age)
## # A tibble: 47 x 2
##
        age number
##
      <int> <int>
##
   1
         18
                69
##
         19
                67
         20
                29
##
   3
         21
                28
##
##
   5
         22
                28
##
   6
         23
                28
   7
         24
                28
##
##
   8
         25
                28
   9
         26
                28
##
## 10
         27
                28
## # ... with 37 more rows
ggplot(df_age, aes(x=age,y=number, fill=age)) +
  geom_bar(stat="identity") +
```


```
geom_text(aes(label = number), position = position_stack(vjust=1.1), angle = 90, size = 3)+
ggtitle("Age Distribution") +
xlab("Age") +
ylab("Count")
```

Age Distribution




The dataset includes participants aged between 18 and 64, with a majority of around 25 participants per age group. Notably, the age groups of 18 and 19 stand out with more participants, about 65 individuals, than the other age groups.

ggpairs(data)




scatterplot matrix with all variables in the dataset


 $\verb|ggplot(data,aes(x=age,y=charges,fill=smoker, color=smoker)) + \verb|geom_point() + stat_poly_line() + stat_p$




ggplot(data,aes(x=age,y=charges,fill=sex, color=sex))+geom_point()+ stat_poly_line() + stat_poly_eq()



 ${\tt ggplot(data,aes(x=age,y=charges,group=children,\ fill=children,\ color=\ children\))+geom_point()+\ stat_point()+\ stat_$




 $\verb|ggplot(data,aes(x=bmi,y=charges,fill=region, color=region)) + \verb|geom_point() + \verb|stat_poly_line(se=FALSE)| + stat_poly_line(se=FALSE)| + stat_$




the scatter plots of charges vs. age, with each plot filled by a categorical variable such as "smoker", "sex", "children" and "region" The group of individuals who is smoker or having 5 children appears to have a high R-squared value.


ggplot(data,aes(x=bmi,y=charges,fill=smoker, color=smoker))+geom_point()+ stat_poly_line() + stat_poly_




ggplot(data,aes(x=bmi,y=charges,fill=sex, color=sex))+geom_point()+ stat_poly_line() + stat_poly_eq()



 $\verb|ggplot(data,aes(x=bmi,y=charges,group=children, fill=children, color= children)) + \verb|geom_point()| + \verb|stat_point()| + \verb|geom_point()| + $|geom_point()| + $|geom_point()|$



 $\verb|ggplot(data,aes(x=bmi,y=charges,fill=region, color=region)) + \verb|geom_point() + \verb|stat_poly_line(se=FALSE)| + stat_poly_line(se=FALSE)| + stat_$



the scatter plots of charges vs. bmi, with each plot filled by a categorical variable such as "smoker", "sex", "children" and "region" The group of individuals who is smoker appears to have a high R-squared value.

multiple linear regression


```
fit <- lm(charges ~ age + sex + bmi + children + smoker + region, data=data)
summary(fit)</pre>
```

```
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = charges ~ age + sex + bmi + children + smoker +
##
       region, data = data)
##
## Residuals:
##
        Min
                       Median
                                     3Q
                                             Max
                  1Q
  -11305.1 -2850.3
                       -979.9
                                1395.0
                                        29992.8
##
## Coefficients:
                    Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
##
## (Intercept)
                   -11936.56
                                 988.23 -12.079 < 2e-16 ***
                      256.76
                                  11.91 21.555 < 2e-16 ***
## age
## sexmale
                     -129.48
                                 333.20 -0.389 0.697630
                                  28.61 11.857
## bmi
                      339.25
                                                 < 2e-16 ***
## children
                      474.82
                                 137.90
                                          3.443 0.000593 ***
                    23847.33
                                 413.35 57.693 < 2e-16 ***
## smokeryes
```

```
476.82
                                         -0.732 0.464053
## regionnorthwest
                     -349.23
## regionsoutheast
                    -1035.27
                                 478.87
                                         -2.162 0.030804 *
                                 478.11
                                         -2.008 0.044836 *
## regionsouthwest
                     -960.08
##
                     '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
## Signif. codes:
##
## Residual standard error: 6064 on 1328 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared: 0.7507, Adjusted R-squared: 0.7492
## F-statistic:
                  500 on 8 and 1328 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16
```

age, bmi children, smokeryes, southeast and southwest are the variables or levels which apper to have significant impact on the insurance charges. However, we must check the assumption of this multiple linear regession before making conclusion.

```
par(mfrow=c(2,2))
plot(fit)
```



```
residual <- residuals(fit)
shapiro.test(residual) # check for normality assumption.
```

```
##
## Shapiro-Wilk normality test
##
## data: residual
## W = 0.89909, p-value < 2.2e-16</pre>
```


vif(fit)

```
##
                 GVIF Df GVIF^(1/(2*Df))
                                 1.008362
## age
            1.016794
                                 1.004462
##
   sex
            1.008944
                       1
## bmi
            1.106742
                                 1.052018
## children 1.004017
                                 1.002006
                       1
## smoker
            1.012100
                       1
                                 1.006032
## region
            1.099037
                       3
                                 1.015864
```


Assumption: Linearity: The residual vs. fitted plot indicates that the residuals are not randomly scattered around the horizontal line of zero. This indicates that the linear model may not be the best fit for the data. Not satisify Normality: the Normal Q-Q plot and shapiro test indicate the residuals are not normally distributed. Not satisify No multicollinearity: vif test shows there are no multicollinearity in the model, which is good Homogeneity: scale-location plot shows red line is not horintonal with ponts spread equally across the plot. This indicates heteroscedasticity exists.

box-cox tranforamtion

```
bc <- boxcox(charges ~ age + sex + bmi + children + smoker + region , data=data)</pre>
```



```
(lambda <- bc$x[which.max(bc$y)])</pre>
## [1] 0.1414141
new_model <- lm(((charges^lambda-1)/lambda) ~ age + sex + bmi + children + smoker + region, data=data)</pre>
summary(new_model)
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = ((charges^lambda - 1)/lambda) ~ age + sex + bmi +
       children + smoker + region, data = data)
##
## Residuals:
       Min
                1Q Median
                               3Q
                                      Max
## -3.4625 -0.7628 -0.2405 0.1752 7.8156
## Coefficients:
                   Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept)
                  11.272017
                              0.258363 43.629 < 2e-16 ***
                              0.003114 37.972 < 2e-16 ***
## age
                   0.118258
                              0.087111 -2.621 0.008867 **
## sexmale
                   -0.228318
                              0.007480 7.379 2.80e-13 ***
## bmi
                   0.055196
## children
                   0.326474
                              0.036052 9.056 < 2e-16 ***
## smokeryes
                   5.895636
                              0.108066 54.556 < 2e-16 ***
## regionnorthwest -0.209865
                              0.124661 -1.683 0.092517 .
## regionsoutheast -0.525042
                             0.125195 -4.194 2.93e-05 ***
## regionsouthwest -0.439757
                              0.124996 -3.518 0.000449 ***
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Residual standard error: 1.585 on 1328 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared: 0.7759, Adjusted R-squared: 0.7745
## F-statistic: 574.7 on 8 and 1328 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16
par(mfrow=c(2,2))
plot(new_model)
```



Transforming variables does not to satisfy the assumptions of linear model. Hence, we should perform decision tree-based method like random forest.

Random forest regression

```
library(randomForest)

## randomForest 4.7-1.1

## Type rfNews() to see new features/changes/bug fixes.

##

## Attaching package: 'randomForest'

## The following object is masked from 'package:dplyr':

##

## combine

## The following object is masked from 'package:ggplot2':

##

## margin
```

split dataset into train and test data, with 80% being train data and 20% being test data.

```
set.seed(123)
model <- train(
  charges ~., data = train.data, method = "rf",
  trControl = trainControl("cv", number = 10),
  importance = TRUE
  )</pre>
```


10-fold cross-validation would be used

```
model$bestTune #best set of tuning parameter.

## mtry
## 2 5


varImpPlot(model$finalModel, type = 1)
```

model\$finalModel



varImpPlot(model\$finalModel, type = 2)

model\$finalModel



varImp(model)

```
## rf variable importance
##
##
                    Overall
## smokeryes
                    100.0000
## bmi
                    51.1292
## age
                    50.7188
## children
                     4.9639
## regionsoutheast
                     2.0844
## regionsouthwest
                     0.3861
## regionnorthwest
                     0.3150
## sexmale
                     0.0000
```

Smokeryes is the most significant variable in determining insurance charges, followed by BMI and age. Other factors have minor or no impact on the charges

```
predictions <- model %>% predict(test.data)
head(predictions)

## 5 9 14 19 25 28
## 4877.633 7903.622 12120.191 13150.115 6576.011 12628.450
```

RMSE(predictions, test.data\$charges)

[1] 4806.051

The root mean squared error between the predicted charges and the actual charges in the test data is 4806.051.