

# STAT 3690 Lecture 21

zhiyanggeezhou.github.io

Zhiyang Zhou (zhiyang.zhou@umanitoba.ca)

Mar 21, 2022

## Dimension reduction

- $p$ -dimensional  $\mathbf{X} = [X_1, \dots, X_p]^\top \sim (\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$
- Looking for a transformation  $h : \mathbb{R}^p \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^s$  with  $s \leq p$  such that  $h(\mathbf{X})$  retains “as much information as possible” about  $\mathbf{X}$

## Population principal component analysis (PCA)

- Population PCA (based upon covariance matrix  $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ )
  - Looking for a linear transformation  $h(\mathbf{X}) = \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{W}$  with  $\mathbf{W} = [\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_s]_{p \times s}$  and  $\mathbf{w}_j \in \mathbb{R}^p$  such that

$\mathbf{w}_j^\top \mathbf{w}_j = 1$  and  $\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{w}_j$  has the maximal variance and is uncorrelated with  $\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{w}_{j-1}$ ,

i.e.,

$$\mathbf{w}_1 = \arg \max_{\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^p} \text{var}(\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{w}) \text{ subject to } \mathbf{w}_1^\top \mathbf{w}_1 = 1$$

and, for  $j \geq 2$ ,

$$\mathbf{w}_j = \arg \max_{\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^p} \text{var}(\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{w})$$

subject to  $\mathbf{w}_j^\top \mathbf{w}_j = 1$  and  $\text{cov}(\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{w}_j, \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{w}_{j'}) = 0$  for  $j' = 1, \dots, j-1$

- (PCA Theorem) Let  $\lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_p$  be eigenvalues of  $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ . Then the above  $\mathbf{w}_j$  is the eigenvector corresponding to  $\lambda_j$ .

- ① To maximize  $\text{var}(X^T w) = w^T \Sigma w$  subject to  $w^T w = 1$   
 By the Lagrange multipliers, we may maximize the unconstrained problem

$$\phi(w, \theta) = w^T \Sigma w - \theta (w^T w - 1)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial w} \phi(w, \theta) = 2 \Sigma w - 2 \theta w = 0$$

$$\text{Let } \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \phi(w, \theta) = w^T w - 1 = 0 \quad \text{Then,}$$

the desired maximizer, say  $(\theta^*, w^*)$ , satisfies that

$$\begin{cases} \Sigma w^* = \theta^* w^* \\ w^{*T} w^* = 1 \end{cases}$$

$\therefore (\theta^*, w^*)$  is an (eigenvalue, eigenvector)-pair for  $\Sigma$

$$\text{and } \text{var}(X^T w^*) = w^{*T} \Sigma w^* = \theta^* w^{*T} w^* = \theta^*$$

$\therefore \theta^*$  must be the first eigenvalue of  $\Sigma$ , say  $\lambda_1$ ,

$\therefore w^*$  is the eigenvector corresponding to  $\lambda_1$ , say  $w_1$ .

- ② To maximize  $\text{var}(X^T w) = w^T \Sigma w$  subject to  $w^T w = 1$  and  $\text{cov}(X^T w, X^T w_1) = w^T \Sigma w_1 = 0$

By the Lagrange multipliers, we may consider maximizing

$$\phi(w, \theta_1, \theta_2) = w^T \Sigma w - \theta_1 (w^T w - 1) - \theta_2 w^T \Sigma w_1$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial w} \phi(w, \theta_1, \theta_2) = 2 \Sigma w - 2 \theta_1 w - \theta_2 \Sigma w_1 = 0$$

$$\text{Let } \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_1} \phi(w, \theta_1, \theta_2) = w^T w - 1 = 0.$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_2} \phi(w, \theta_1, \theta_2) = w^T \Sigma w_1 = 0$$

Then the maximizer  $(w^*, \theta_1^*, \theta_2^*)$  satisfies that

$$\begin{cases} 2 \Sigma w^* - 2 \theta_1^* w^* - \theta_2^* \Sigma w_1 = 0 & \textcircled{a} \\ w^{*T} w^* = 1 & \textcircled{b} \\ w^{*T} \Sigma w_1 = 0 & \textcircled{c} \end{cases}$$

Plug  $\Sigma w_1 = \lambda_1 w_1$  into  $\textcircled{a}$  and obtain  $\lambda_1 w^{*T} w_1 = 0 (\Leftrightarrow w^{*T} w_1 = 0)$

Plug  $\Sigma w_1 = \lambda_1 w_1$  into  $\textcircled{a}$  and obtain that

$$2 \Sigma w^* - 2 \theta_1^* w^* - \theta_2^* \lambda_1 w_1 = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{2 w^{*T} \Sigma w^*}{2} - \frac{2 \theta_1^* w^{*T} w^*}{2} - \frac{\theta_2^* \lambda_1 w_1^T \Sigma w_1}{\lambda_1^2} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \theta_2^* = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \Sigma w^* = \theta_1^* w^*$$

$\Rightarrow (\theta_1^*, w^*)$  is an (eigenvalue, eigenvector)-pair of  $\Sigma$

$$\therefore \text{var}(X^T w^*) = w^{*T} \Sigma w^* = \theta_1^* w^{*T} w^* = \theta_1^*$$

$\therefore \theta_1^*$  is the one largest eigenvalue of  $\Sigma$ , say  $\lambda_2$

$\therefore w^*$  is the eigenvector corresponding to  $\lambda_2$ , say  $w_2$ .

#### - Vocabulary

\*  $w_j$ : the  $j$ th vector of loadings

\*  $Z_j = (\mathbf{X} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^T w_j \sim N(0, \lambda_j)$ : the  $j$ th principal component (PC) of  $\mathbf{X}$

#### - Identities

\*  $w_j^T w_{j'} = 1$  if  $j = j'$  and 0 otherwise, i.e.,  $\{w_1, \dots, w_p\}$  is an orthogonal basis of  $\mathbb{R}^p$

•  $\mathbf{X} = \boldsymbol{\mu} + \sum_{j=1}^p Z_j w_j$  (reconstruct the original  $\mathbf{X}$  through loadings and PCs)

\*  $\text{cov}(Z_j, Z_{j'}) = w_j^T \Sigma w_{j'} = \lambda_j$  if  $j = j'$  and 0 otherwise

\*  $\sum_{j=1}^p \text{var}(Z_j) = \sum_{j=1}^p \lambda_j = \text{tr}(\Sigma) = \sum_{j=1}^p \text{var}(X_j)$

\*  $Z_j$  contributes  $\lambda_j / \sum_{j=1}^p \lambda_j \times 100\%$  of the overall variance

• Scree plot: displaying the amount of variation in each PC

• Stopping rule (to determine  $s$ )

$$s = \min\{k \in \mathbb{Z}^+ : \sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_j / \sum_{j=1}^p \lambda_j \geq 90\% \text{ (or another preset threshold)}\}$$

```

options(digits = 2)
Sigma <- matrix(
  c(10, 5, 1,
    5, 6, 5,
    1, 5, 8),
  ncol = 3)

# pca based upon covariance matrix
pca1 = eigen(Sigma, symmetric = T)
pca1$vectors # loadings
variation1 = data.frame(
  idx = 1:length(pca1$values),
  var = pca1$values
)
plot(variation1, type='b') # scree plot
cumsum(pca1$values)/sum(pca1$values) # cumulative contribution of PCs

```

- 
- Population PCA (based upon correlation matrix  $\mathbf{R}$ )
    - (Pearson) correlation matrix

$$\mathbf{R} = [\text{corr}(X_i, X_j)]_{p \times p} = \begin{bmatrix} \{\text{var}(X_1)\}^{-1/2} & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & \{\text{var}(X_p)\}^{-1/2} \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{\Sigma} \begin{bmatrix} \{\text{var}(X_1)\}^{-1/2} & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & \{\text{var}(X_p)\}^{-1/2} \end{bmatrix}$$

- Loadings and PCs from  $\mathbf{R}$  are not identical to those obtained from  $\mathbf{\Sigma}$
- General advice: use  $\mathbf{S}$  when entries of  $\mathbf{X}$  are of the same units and comparable; use  $\mathbf{R}$  otherwise.
  - \* Using  $\mathbf{R}$  rather than  $\mathbf{\Sigma} \Leftrightarrow$  normalizing entries of  $\mathbf{X}$  (i.e.,  $\{X_i - E(X_i)\}/\sqrt{\text{var}(X_i)}$ ) before carrying on PCA
  - \* Without normalizing, the component with the “smallest” units (e.g., centimeter vs. meter) could be driving most of overall variance.

---

```

# pca based upon correlation matrix
pca2 = eigen(cov2cor(Sigma), symmetric = T)
pca2$vectors # loadings
variation2 = data.frame(
  idx = 1:length(pca2$values),
  var = pca2$values
); plot(variation2, type='b') # scree plot
cumsum(pca2$values)/sum(pca2$values) # cumulative contribution of PCs

```