

STAT 3690 Lecture 13

zhiyanggeezhou.github.io

Zhiyang Zhou (zhiyang.zhou@umanitoba.ca)

Feb 28, 2022

Testing for equality of population means (one-way multivariate analysis of variance (1-way MANOVA), J&W Sec. 6.4)

- Generalization of two-sample problem
 - Model: m independent samples, where
 - * $\mathbf{X}_{11}, \dots, \mathbf{X}_{1n_1} \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} MVN_p(\boldsymbol{\mu}_1, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$
 - * \vdots
 - * $\mathbf{X}_{m1}, \dots, \mathbf{X}_{mn_m} \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} MVN_p(\boldsymbol{\mu}_m, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$
 - Hypotheses $H_0 : \boldsymbol{\mu}_1 = \dots = \boldsymbol{\mu}_m$ v.s. $H_1 : \text{otherwise}$
- Alternatively
 - Model: m independent samples, where
 - * $\mathbf{X}_{11}, \dots, \mathbf{X}_{1n_1} \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} MVN_p(\boldsymbol{\mu} + \boldsymbol{\tau}_1, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$
 - * \vdots
 - * $\mathbf{X}_{m1}, \dots, \mathbf{X}_{mn_m} \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} MVN_p(\boldsymbol{\mu} + \boldsymbol{\tau}_m, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$
 - Identifiability: $\sum_i \boldsymbol{\tau}_i = \mathbf{0}$ otherwise there are infinitely many models that lead to the same data-generating mechanism.
 - Hypotheses $H_0 : \boldsymbol{\tau}_1 = \dots = \boldsymbol{\tau}_m = \mathbf{0}$ v.s. $H_1 : \text{otherwise}$
- Alternatively
 - Model: $\mathbf{X}_{ij} = \boldsymbol{\mu} + \boldsymbol{\tau}_i + \mathbf{E}_{ij}$ with $\mathbf{E}_{ij} \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} MVN_p(\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$
 - * Identifiability: $\sum_i \boldsymbol{\tau}_i = \mathbf{0}$
 - Hypotheses $H_0 : \boldsymbol{\tau}_1 = \dots = \boldsymbol{\tau}_m = \mathbf{0}$ v.s. $H_1 : \text{otherwise}$

-
- Sample means and sample covariances
 - Sample mean for the i th sample $\bar{\mathbf{X}}_i = n_i^{-1} \sum_j \mathbf{X}_{ij}$
 - Sample covariance for the i th sample $\mathbf{S}_i = (n_i - 1)^{-1} \sum_j (\mathbf{X}_{ij} - \bar{\mathbf{X}}_i)(\mathbf{X}_{ij} - \bar{\mathbf{X}}_i)^\top$
 - Grand mean $\bar{\mathbf{X}} = \sum_i n_i \bar{\mathbf{X}}_i / \sum_i n_i = \sum_{ij} \mathbf{X}_{ij} / \sum_i n_i$
 - Sum of squares and cross products matrix (SSP)
 - * Within-group SSP

$$\mathbf{SSP}_w = \sum_i (n_i - 1) \mathbf{S}_i = \sum_{ij} (\mathbf{X}_{ij} - \bar{\mathbf{X}}_i)(\mathbf{X}_{ij} - \bar{\mathbf{X}}_i)^\top$$

- * Between-group SSP

$$\mathbf{SSP}_b = \sum_i n_i (\bar{\mathbf{X}}_i - \bar{\mathbf{X}})(\bar{\mathbf{X}}_i - \bar{\mathbf{X}})^\top$$

- * Total (corrected) SSP

$$\mathbf{SSP}_{\text{cor}} = \sum_{ij} (\mathbf{X}_{ij} - \bar{\mathbf{X}})(\mathbf{X}_{ij} - \bar{\mathbf{X}})^\top = \mathbf{SSP}_w + \mathbf{SSP}_b$$

- Exercise: verify the decomposition $\mathbf{SSP}_{\text{cor}} = \mathbf{SSP}_w + \mathbf{SSP}_b$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{SSP}_{\text{cor}} &= \sum_{i,j} (\mathbf{x}_{ij} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}) (\mathbf{x}_{ij} - \bar{\mathbf{x}})^T \\
&= \sum_{i,j} (\mathbf{x}_{ij} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}_i + \bar{\mathbf{x}}_i - \bar{\mathbf{x}}) (\mathbf{x}_{ij} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}_i + \bar{\mathbf{x}}_i - \bar{\mathbf{x}})^T \\
&= \sum_{i,j} \left\{ (\mathbf{x}_{ij} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}_i) (\mathbf{x}_{ij} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}_i)^T + (\mathbf{x}_{ij} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}_i) (\bar{\mathbf{x}}_i - \bar{\mathbf{x}})^T + (\bar{\mathbf{x}}_i - \bar{\mathbf{x}}) (\mathbf{x}_{ij} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}_i)^T + (\bar{\mathbf{x}}_i - \bar{\mathbf{x}}) (\bar{\mathbf{x}}_i - \bar{\mathbf{x}})^T \right\} \\
&= \underbrace{\sum_{i,j} (\mathbf{x}_{ij} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}_i) (\mathbf{x}_{ij} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}_i)^T}_{\mathbf{SSP}_w} + \underbrace{\sum_{i,j} (\mathbf{x}_{ij} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}_i) (\bar{\mathbf{x}}_i - \bar{\mathbf{x}})^T}_{\textcircled{1}} + \underbrace{\sum_{i,j} (\bar{\mathbf{x}}_i - \bar{\mathbf{x}}) (\mathbf{x}_{ij} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}_i)^T}_{\textcircled{2}} + \underbrace{\sum_{i,j} (\bar{\mathbf{x}}_i - \bar{\mathbf{x}}) (\bar{\mathbf{x}}_i - \bar{\mathbf{x}})^T}_{\mathbf{SSP}_b}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\textcircled{1} = \sum_i \underbrace{\left\{ \sum_j (\mathbf{x}_{ij} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}_i) \right\}}_{\mathbf{0}_{p \times 1}} (\bar{\mathbf{x}}_i - \bar{\mathbf{x}})^T = \mathbf{0}_{p \times p}$$

$$\textcircled{2} = \textcircled{1}^T = \mathbf{0}_{p \times p}$$

-
- MLE of $(\boldsymbol{\mu}_1, \dots, \boldsymbol{\mu}_m, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$
 - Under H_0
 - * $\hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_i = \bar{\mathbf{X}}$ for each i
 - * $\hat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}} = (\sum_i n_i)^{-1} \mathbf{SSP}_{\text{cor}}$
 - Otherwise
 - * $\hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_i = \bar{\mathbf{X}}_i = n_i^{-1} \sum_j \mathbf{X}_{ij}$
 - * $\hat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}} = (\sum_i n_i)^{-1} \mathbf{SSP}_w$
 - Likelihood ratio

$$\lambda = \left\{ \frac{\det(\mathbf{SSP}_w)}{\det(\mathbf{SSP}_{\text{cor}})} \right\}^{\sum_i n_i / 2}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \ln L(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m, \Sigma) \\
&= \text{const} - \frac{\sum_i n_i}{\Sigma} \ln(\det \Sigma) - \frac{1}{2} \underbrace{\sum_{ij} (x_{ij} - \mu_i) \Sigma^{-1} (x_{ij} - \mu_j)}_{\mathcal{O}} \\
&\textcircled{1} = \sum_{ij} \text{tr} \left\{ \Sigma^{-1} (x_{ij} - \mu_i) (x_{ij} - \mu_j)^T \right\} \\
&= \text{tr} \left[\Sigma^{-1} \sum_{ij} (x_{ij} - \mu_i) (x_{ij} - \mu_j)^T \right] \\
&\text{So, } \ln L(\bar{x}, \dots, \bar{x}, (\Sigma_i n_i)^{-1} SSP_{cor}) \\
&= \text{const} - \frac{\sum_i n_i}{\Sigma} \ln \{ \det \{ (\Sigma_i n_i)^{-1} SSP_{cor} \} \} - \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \{ (\Sigma_i n_i) SSP_{cor}^{-1} SSP_{cor} \} \\
&= \text{const} - \frac{\sum_i n_i}{\Sigma} \ln \{ (\Sigma_i n_i)^{-p} \} - \frac{\sum_i n_i}{\Sigma} \ln \{ \det (SSP_{cor}) \} - \frac{\sum_i n_i}{\Sigma} \cdot p \\
&\text{Similarly, } \ln L(\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_m, (\Sigma_i n_i)^{-1} SSP_w) \\
&= \text{const} - \frac{\sum_i n_i}{\Sigma} \ln \{ (\Sigma_i n_i)^{-p} \} - \frac{\sum_i n_i}{\Sigma} \ln \{ \det (SSP_w) \} - \frac{\sum_i n_i}{\Sigma} \cdot p
\end{aligned}$$

Further, likelihood ratio λ

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{L(\bar{x}, \dots, \bar{x}, (\Sigma_i n_i)^{-1} SSP_{cor})}{L(\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_m, (\Sigma_i n_i)^{-1} SSP_w)} \\
&= \exp \{ \ln L(\bar{x}, \dots, \bar{x}, (\Sigma_i n_i)^{-1} SSP_{cor}) - \ln L(\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_m, (\Sigma_i n_i)^{-1} SSP_w) \} \\
&= \exp \left[\frac{\sum_i n_i}{\Sigma} \{ \ln \det (SSP_w) - \ln \det (SSP_{cor}) \} \right] \\
&= \left\{ \det (SSP_w) / \det (SSP_{cor}) \right\}^{\sum_i n_i / 2}
\end{aligned}$$

- Wilk's lambda test statistic

$$\Lambda = \lambda^{2/\sum_i n_i} = \frac{\det(\mathbf{SSP}_w)}{\det(\mathbf{SSP}_{cor})}$$

- Under H_0 : $\Lambda \sim$ Wilk's lambda distribution $\Lambda(\Sigma, \sum_i n_i - m, m - 1)$
 - * Since $\mathbf{SSP}_w \sim W_p(\Sigma, \sum_i n_i - m)$ and $\mathbf{SSP}_b \sim W_p(\Sigma, m - 1)$
 - * When $\sum_i n_i - m$ is large (i.e., $(p + m)/2 - \sum_i n_i + 1 \ll 0$), Bartlett's approximation

$$\{(p + m)/2 - \sum_i n_i + 1\} \ln \Lambda \approx \chi^2(p(m - 1))$$

- Rejection region at level α

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\{ x_{11}, \dots, x_{1n_1}, x_{21}, \dots, x_{mn_m} : \{(p + m)/2 - \sum_i n_i + 1\} \ln \Lambda \geq \chi_{1-\alpha, p(m-1)}^2 \right\} \\
&= \left\{ x_{11}, \dots, x_{1n_1}, x_{21}, \dots, x_{mn_m} : \Lambda \leq \exp \left\{ \frac{\chi_{1-\alpha, p(m-1)}^2}{(p + m)/2 - \sum_i n_i + 1} \right\} \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

- p -value

$$1 - F_{\chi^2(p(m-1))} \left[\{(p + m)/2 - \sum_i n_i + 1\} \ln \Lambda \right]$$

- Exercise: factors in producing plastic film
 - W. J. Krzanowski (1988) *Principles of Multivariate Analysis. A User's Perspective*. Oxford UP, pp. 381.
 - Three response variables (tear, gloss and opacity) describing measured characteristics of the resultant film
 - A total of 20 runs
 - One factor RATE (rate of extrusion, 2-level, low or high) in the production test

```
options(digits = 4)
install.packages('car')
tear <- c(
  6.5, 6.2, 5.8, 6.5, 6.5, 6.9, 7.2, 6.9, 6.1, 6.3,
  6.7, 6.6, 7.2, 7.1, 6.8, 7.1, 7.0, 7.2, 7.5, 7.6
)
gloss <- c(
  9.5, 9.9, 9.6, 9.6, 9.2, 9.1, 10.0, 9.9, 9.5, 9.4,
  9.1, 9.3, 8.3, 8.4, 8.5, 9.2, 8.8, 9.7, 10.1, 9.2
)
opacity <- c(
  4.4, 6.4, 3.0, 4.1, 0.8, 5.7, 2.0, 3.9, 1.9, 5.7,
  2.8, 4.1, 3.8, 1.6, 3.4, 8.4, 5.2, 6.9, 2.7, 1.9
)
(X <- cbind(tear, gloss, opacity))
(rate <- factor(gl(2,10,length=nrow(X)), labels=c("Low", "High"))))

# Bartlett's approximation to Wilks lambda distribution
X_low <- X[rate == 'Low',]
X_high <- X[rate == 'High',]
n <- nrow(X); p <- ncol(X); m <- 2
SSPcor = (n-1)*cov(X)
SSPw <- (nrow(X_low) - 1)*cov(X_low) + (nrow(X_high) - 1)*cov(X_high)
(Lambda <- det(SSPw)/det(SSPcor))
(crit.point = exp(qchisq(0.95, p*(m-1))/((p+m)/2-n+1)))
Lambda <= crit.point
(p.val = 1-pchisq(((p+m)/2-n+1)*log(Lambda), p*(m-1)))

# Rao's approximation to Wilks lambda distribution
summary(manova(X ~ rate), test = 'Wilks')
summary(car::Manova(lm(X ~ rate)), test.statistic='Wilks')
```

- Report: Testing hypotheses H_0 : no RATE effect on film characteristics v.s. H_1 : otherwise, we carried on the Wilk's lambda test and obtained 0.4136 as the value of test statistic. The corresponding p -value (resp. rejection region) was 0.002227 (resp. $[0.6227, \infty)$). So, at the .05 level, there was no strong statistical evidence against H_0 , i.e., we did not reject H_0 and believed that there is no effect from RATE on film characteristics.