# STAT 3100 Lecture Note

Week Eight (Oct 25 & 27, 2022)

Zhiyang Zhou (zhiyang.zhou@umanitoba.ca, zhiyanggeezhou.github.io)

2022/Oct/31 20:44:25

# Hypothesis Testing (con'd)

UMP level  $\alpha$  test for one-sided hypotheses  $(H_0: \theta^* \leq \theta_0 \text{ (or } \theta^* = \theta_0) \text{ vs } H_1: \theta^* > \theta_0)$ 

- Consider cases with only one unknown parameter
- Monotone likelihood ratio (MLR, CB Def 8.3.16): for each pair  $\theta_2 > \theta_1$ ,  $f(t \mid \theta_2)/f(t \mid \theta_1)$  is nondecreasing with respect to t for univariate pdfs/pmfs  $\{f(t \mid \theta) : \theta \in \Theta \subset \mathbb{R}\}$ 
  - One-parameter exponential family with  $w(\theta)$  nondecreasing w.r.t.  $\theta$  bears MLR (why?)
- Karlin-Rubin (CB Thm 8.3.17): Suppose T is sufficient for  $\theta$  and T follows  $f_T(t \mid \theta)$  bearing MLR. A UMP level  $\alpha$  test for  $H_0: \theta^* \leq \theta_0$  (or  $\theta^* = \theta_0$ ) vs.  $H_1: \theta^* > \theta_0$  is

$$\phi_{\lambda}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \begin{cases} 1, & T(\boldsymbol{x}) > \lambda, \\ 0, & T(\boldsymbol{x}) < \lambda, \end{cases}$$

where  $\lambda$  is a real number such that  $\beta_{\phi}(\theta_0) = \mathbb{E}\{\phi_{\lambda}(\mathbf{X}) \mid \theta^* = \theta_0\} = \Pr\{T(\mathbf{X}) > \lambda \mid \theta^* = \theta_0\} = \alpha$ .

• NOTE: in the Karlin-Rubin theorem, if the hypotheses become  $H_0: \theta^* \ge \theta_0$  (or  $\theta^* = \theta_0$ ) vs.  $H_1: \theta^* < \theta_0$ , then change the signs in the test function, i.e.,

$$\phi_{\lambda}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \begin{cases} 1, & T(\boldsymbol{x}) < \lambda, \\ 0, & T(\boldsymbol{x}) > \lambda, \end{cases}$$

where  $\lambda$  is a real number such that  $\beta_{\phi}(\theta_0) = \Pr\{T(\mathbf{X}) < \lambda \mid \theta^* = \theta_0\} = \alpha$ .

#### Example Lec14.1

- iid  $X_1, \ldots, X_n \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, 1)$ . Construct UMP level  $\alpha$  test for following hypotheses.
  - a.  $H_0: \mu = \mu_0 \text{ vs } H_1: \mu = \mu_1 \text{ with } \mu_0 < \mu_1;$
  - b.  $H_0: \mu = \mu_0 \text{ vs } H_1: \mu > \mu_0;$
  - c.  $H_0: \mu \ge \mu_0 \text{ vs } H_1: \mu < \mu_0;$
  - d.  $H_0: \mu = \mu_0 \text{ vs } H_1: \mu \neq \mu_0.$

Nonexistence of UMP test for two-sided hypotheses  $H_0: \theta^* = \theta_0$  vs  $H_1: \theta^* \neq \theta_0$ 

• (Optional) uniformly most powerful unbiased (UMPU) level  $\alpha$  test

### Likehood ratio test (LRT, Sec 8.2.1 & 10.3.1)

- $H_0: \boldsymbol{\theta}^* \in \boldsymbol{\Theta}_0 \text{ vs. } H_1: \boldsymbol{\theta}^* \in \boldsymbol{\Theta}_1$
- $\Theta = \Theta_0 \cup \Theta_1$
- Test statistic

$$\lambda(\boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{\sup_{\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \boldsymbol{\Theta}_0} L(\boldsymbol{\theta} \mid \boldsymbol{x})}{\sup_{\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \boldsymbol{\Theta}} L(\boldsymbol{\theta} \mid \boldsymbol{x})} = \frac{L(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{0,\mathrm{ML}} \mid \boldsymbol{x})}{L(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{\mathrm{ML}} \mid \boldsymbol{x})}$$

- $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{0,\mathrm{ML}}$ : (constrained) MLE for  $\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \boldsymbol{\Theta}_0$
- $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{\mathrm{ML}}$ : MLE for  $\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \boldsymbol{\Theta}$
- Rejection region

$$R = \{ \boldsymbol{x} : \lambda(\boldsymbol{x}) \le c \},$$

where c is chosen to make sure the size is  $\alpha$ , i.e.,

$$\sup_{\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \boldsymbol{\Theta}_0} \beta_{\phi}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \sup_{\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \boldsymbol{\Theta}_0} \Pr\{\lambda(\mathbf{X}) \le c \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}\} = \alpha.$$

• Asymptotic rejection region (CB Thm 10.3.3)

$$R = \{ x : -2 \ln \lambda(x) \ge \chi_{\nu, 1-\alpha}^2 \} = \{ x : \lambda(x) \le \exp(-\chi_{\nu, 1-\alpha}^2/2) \},$$

where  $\chi^2_{\nu,1-\alpha}$  is the  $1-\alpha$  quantile of  $\chi^2(\nu)$ .

- (CB Thm 10.3.1) Because, asymptotically (i.e., as  $n \to \infty$ ), under  $H_0$ ,

$$-2 \ln \lambda(\mathbf{X}) \xrightarrow{d} \chi^2(\nu),$$

where  $\nu =$  the difference of numbers of free parameters between  $\Theta_0$  and  $\Theta$ .

• (CB Ex. 8.24) For simple hypotheses, is the LRT equivalent to the UMP test?

### Example Lec14.3

- iid  $X_1, ..., X_n \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$ . Test  $H_0 : \mu \leq \mu_0$  vs.  $H_1 : \mu > \mu_0$ .
  - a.  $\sigma^2$  is known. Suppose test  $\phi$  has rejection region  $\{x : \bar{x} > \mu_0 + z_{1-\alpha}\sqrt{\sigma^2/n}\}$ , where  $z_{1-\alpha}$  is the  $(1-\alpha)$  quantile of standard normal. Show that  $\phi$  is a UMP level  $\alpha$  test and is equivalent to the LRT.
  - b.  $\sigma^2$  is unknown. Suppose test  $\phi$  has rejection region  $\{x: \bar{x} > \mu_0 + t_{n-1,1-\alpha} \sqrt{s^2/n}\}$ , where  $t_{n-1,1-\alpha}$  is the  $(1-\alpha)$  quantile of t(n-1). Show that  $\phi$  is of size  $\alpha$  and is equivalent to the LRT.

Take-home exercises (NOT to be submitted; to be potentially covered in labs)

CB Ex 8.6(a-b), 8.16, 8.28(a-b), 8.33(a), 8.41