STAT 4100 Lecture Note

Week Six (Oct 12 & 14, 2022)

Zhiyang Zhou (zhiyang.zhou@umanitoba.ca, zhiyanggeezhou.github.io)

2022/Oct/16 11:33:16

Evaluating estimators (con'd)

Completeness (CB Def 6.2.21)

- Only consider one-dimensional cases
- T is a complete statistic if we have the following identity: for any (measurable) function g,

$$E(g(T) \mid \theta) = 0$$
 for all $\theta \in \Theta \Rightarrow Pr(g(T) = 0 \mid \theta) = 1$ for all $\theta \in \Theta$.

- Geometrical interpretation: span $\{f_{T|\theta}(t \mid \theta) : \theta \in \Theta\} = \{g(\cdot) : (\text{measurable}) \ g \text{ is defined on } \text{supp}(T)\}$
- (CB Thm 6.2.28) Minimal sufficient statistics exist \Rightarrow complete sufficient statistics are minimally sufficient
- (HMC Thm 7.5.2) iid $X_1, \ldots, X_n \sim f(x \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}) = h(x)c(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \exp\left\{\sum_{i=1}^k w_i(\boldsymbol{\theta})t_i(x)\right\}$, i.e., following the exponential family, $\Rightarrow (\sum_{i=1}^n t_1(X_i), \ldots, \sum_{i=1}^n t_k(X_i))$ is complete sufficient

Example Lec9.2

• Find the complete statistic for the following scenarios:

a. iid
$$X_1, \ldots, X_n \sim f(x \mid \theta) = (x!)^{-1} \theta^x e^{-\theta} \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{R}^+ \times \{0,1,\ldots\}}(\theta, x);$$

b. iid $X_1, \ldots, X_n \sim \text{Unif}\{1, \ldots, \theta\}$, integer $\theta \geq 2$.

Lehmann-Scheffe (CB Thm 7.3.23 & 7.5.1; HMC Thm 7.4.1)

- The unbiased estimator only depending on complete sufficient statistics is the UMVUE.
- Application to the construction of UMVUE
 - 1. Find the minimal sufficient T.
 - 2. Check the completeness of T.
 - 3. Find unbiased g(T), e.g.,
 - $E(W \mid T)$ with certain unbiased W
 - debiased MLE (if it is a function of T).

Example Lec9.3

• Suppose that iid X_1, \ldots, X_n are following Unif $\{1, \ldots, \theta\}$, integer $\theta \ge 2$. Prove that $[X_{(n)}^{n+1} - (X_{(n)} - 1)^{n+1}]/[X_{(n)}^n - (X_{(n)} - 1)^n]$ is the UMVUE for θ .

Verifying the independence

Ancillary Statistics

• Statistics whose distribution does not depend on unknown θ .

Example Lec10.1

- Verify the following statistics are ancillary for θ .
 - a. Range $X_{(n)} X_{(1)}$ with $X_1, \ldots, X_n \sim \text{Unif}(\theta, \theta + 1)$.
 - b. X_1/X_2 with $X_1, X_2 \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \theta^2)$.

Basu's theorem (CB Thm 6.2.4)

- T is complete and sufficient, while S is ancillary. Then T and S are independent of each other.
 - The completeness of T can be relaxed to be bounded completeness.

Example Lec10.2

• Let iid $X_1, \ldots, X_n \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$. Deduce the independence of \bar{X} and S^2 by applying Basu's theorem for the case with unknown μ and known σ^2 .

How to verify the independence of X and Y

- Joint cdf: $F_{X,Y}(x,y) = F_X(x)F_Y(y)$
- Joint pdf or pmf: $f_{X,Y}(x,y) = f_X(x)f_Y(y)$
- conditional pdf or pmf: $f_{X|Y}(x \mid y) = f_X(x)$
- mgf: $E(e^{t_1X+t_2Y}) = E(e^{t_1X})E(e^{t_2Y})$
- cf: $E(e^{it_1X + it_2Y}) = E(e^{it_1X})E(e^{it_2Y})$
- Basu's theorem
 - Sometimes it is even more complex to find complete statistics than to obtain the joint pdf
- Zero covariance matrix for normal cases

Review for midterm

Find the distribution of Y = g(X) given the distribution of X

- First figure out support(Y)
- Univariate transformation
 - For discrete Y: find the pmf of Y by definition
 - For continuous Y: find the cdf by definition OR by CB Ex. 2.7(b),

$$f_Y(y) = \sum_{k=1}^K f_X\{g_k^{-1}(y)\} \left| J_{g_k^{-1}}(y) \right| \mathbf{1}_{B_k}(y)$$

- * Partition supp(X) into K intervals A_1, \ldots, A_K such that
 - $\bigcup_{k=1}^K A_k = \operatorname{supp}(X) \text{ and } A_k \cap A_{k'} = \emptyset \text{ if } k \neq k'$
 - · g is strictly monotonic and continuously differentiable on A_k
- $* g_k = g_k(x) = g(x), x \in A_k$

* Jacobian of transformation g_k^{-1}

$$J_{g_k^{-1}} = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}y} g_k^{-1}(y)$$

$$* B_k = \{g(x) : x \in A_k\}$$

- Bivariate transformation
 - By definition, e.g., find the cdf of $Y = \min\{X_1, X_2\}$
 - Polar coordinate system, e.g., find the pdf of $Y = X_1^2 + X_2^2$
 - For one-to-one correspondence g
 - * $\mathbf{g}(\cdot) = (g_1(\cdot), g_2(\cdot)) : \operatorname{supp}(\mathbf{X}) \to \operatorname{supp}(\mathbf{Y}), \text{ i.e.,}$
 - $y = (y_1, y_2) = (g_1(x_1, x_2), g_2(x_1, x_2)) = g(x_1, x_2)$
 - $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2) = \mathbf{g}^{-1}(y_1, y_2) = (h_1(y_1, y_2), h_2(y_1, y_2))$
 - * If g^{-1} is continuously differentiable,

$$f_{\mathbf{Y}}(y_1, y_2) = f_{\mathbf{X}}\{g^{-1}(y_1, y_2)\} | \det\{\mathbf{J}_{g^{-1}}(y_1, y_2)\} | \mathbf{1}_{\text{supp}(\mathbf{Y})}(y_1, y_2)$$

 $\det\{\mathbf{J}_{g^{-1}}(y_1, y_2)\} = 1/\det[\mathbf{J}_{g}\{g^{-1}(y_1, y_2)\}], \text{ because}$

$$\mathbf{J}_{\boldsymbol{g}^{-1}}(y_1, y_2) = \left[\frac{\partial h_i(y_1, y_2)}{\partial y_j}\right]_{2 \times 2} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial h_1(y_1, y_2)}{\partial y_1} & \frac{\partial h_1(y_1, y_2)}{\partial y_2} \\ \frac{\partial h_2(y_1, y_2)}{\partial y_1} & \frac{\partial h_2(y_1, y_2)}{\partial y_2} \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{J}_{\boldsymbol{g}}^{-1} \{\boldsymbol{g}^{-1}(y_1, y_2)\}$$

Bivariate normal (BVN) distribution

• Random 2-vector $\mathbf{X} = [X_1, X_2]^{\top} \sim \text{BVN}(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{X} = \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{1/2} \mathbf{Z} + \boldsymbol{\mu} \text{ with } \mathbf{Z} = \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1/2} (\mathbf{X} - \boldsymbol{\mu}) \sim \text{BVN}(0, \mathbf{I}_2) \Rightarrow$

$$\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{X}) = [\mathbf{E}(X_1), \mathbf{E}(X_2)]^{\top} = \boldsymbol{\mu} \text{ and } \cos(\mathbf{X}) = [\cos(X_i, X_j)]_{2 \times 2} = \boldsymbol{\Sigma}$$

- Random 2-vector $\mathbf{X} \sim \text{BVN}(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) \Rightarrow \mathbf{B}\mathbf{X} + \boldsymbol{b} \sim \text{BVN}(\mathbf{B}\boldsymbol{\mu} + \boldsymbol{b}, \mathbf{B}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}\mathbf{B}^{\top})$
- If $[X_1, X_2]^{\top}$ is of BVN, then the marginal distributions of X_1 and X_2 are both normal. The inverse proposition does NOT hold.

Normal sampling theory

- $\sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2 \sim \chi^2(n)$ if iid $X_1, \dots, X_n \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1)$
- $X/\sqrt{Y/n} \sim t(n)$ if $X \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1)$ and $Y \sim \chi^2(n)$ are independent
- $(X/m)/(Y/n) \sim F(m,n)$ if $X \sim \chi^2(m)$ and $Y \sim \chi^2(n)$ are independent
- $n^{1/2}(\bar{X}-\mu)/\sigma \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1)$ if iid $X_1,\ldots,X_n \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu,\sigma^2)$
- $(n-1)S^2/\sigma^2 \sim \chi^2(n-1)$ if iid $X_1, ..., X_n \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$
- \bar{X} and S^2 are independent of each other if iid $X_1, \ldots, X_n \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$
- $n^{1/2}(\bar{X}-\mu)/S \sim t(n-1)$ if iid $X_1, \dots, X_n \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$

Generating functions

- Univariate mgf $M_X(t) = \mathbb{E}\{\exp(tX)\}\$ if $\mathbb{E}\{\exp(tX)\}\$ $<\infty$ for all t inside a neighbourhood of 0
 - Characterizing distributions: identical mgfs implying identical distributions
 - $-M_Y(t) = \exp(bt) \prod_i M_{X_i}(a_i t)$ if $Y = b + \sum_i a_i X_i$, where b and a_i are constants, X_1, \ldots, X_p are independent, and each $M_{X_i}(\cdot)$ exists

Parametric model

- iid $X_1, \ldots, X_n \sim f(x \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}_0) \in \{ f(x \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}) : \boldsymbol{\theta} \in \boldsymbol{\Theta} \}$ - fixed unknown θ_0 to be estimated
- Exponential family
 - If the pdf or pmf of X is of the following form

$$f(x \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}) = h(x)c(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \exp \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{k} w_i(\boldsymbol{\theta})t_i(x) \right\}$$

- (CB Example 3.4.4) $\mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$ with μ and σ^2 both unknown $* h(x) = \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{R}}(x)$ * $c(\mu, \sigma) = (2\pi\sigma^2)^{-1/2} \exp\{-\mu^2/(2\sigma^2)\} \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^+}(\mu, \sigma)$ * $w_1(\mu, \sigma) = \sigma^{-2} \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{R}^+}(\sigma)$ * $w_2(\mu, \sigma) = \mu \sigma^{-2} \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{R}^+}(\sigma)$ * $t_1(x) = -x^2/2$

 - $* t_2(x) = x$
- (CB Example 3.4.1) Binom(n,p) with known n and unknown p
 - * $h(x) = \binom{n}{x} \mathbf{1}_{\{0,\dots,n\}}(x)$ (What happens if n is also an unknown parameter?)
 - * $c(p) = (1-p)^n \mathbf{1}_{(0,1)}(p)$
 - * $w_1(p) = \ln\{p/(1-p)\}\mathbf{1}_{(0,1)}(p)$
 - $* t_1(x) = x$
- Other special cases of exponential family: gamma, beta, Poisson, negative binomial
- $-\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} t_1(X_i), \ldots, \sum_{i=1}^{n} t_k(X_i)\right)$ is sufficient complete

Point estimation

- Method of moments (MOM)
 - Equate raw moments to their empirical counterparts (Why is it reasonable?)
 - Pros and cons
- Maximum likelihood (ML)
 - $-\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{\mathrm{ML}}$ is a statistic such that

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{\mathrm{ML}} = \arg\max_{\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \boldsymbol{\Theta}} L(\boldsymbol{\theta}; \boldsymbol{x}) = \arg\max_{\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \boldsymbol{\Theta}} \ell(\boldsymbol{\theta}; \boldsymbol{x})$$

- Maximizing $L(\boldsymbol{\theta}; \boldsymbol{x})$ or $\ell(\boldsymbol{\theta}; \boldsymbol{x})$ with respect to $\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \boldsymbol{\Theta}$
 - * For discrete Θ : compare $L(\theta; x)$ or $\ell(\theta; x)$ over all the possible values of θ
 - * For continuous Θ :
 - · If $S(\theta)$ has no zero point: utilize the monotonicity of $L(\theta;x)$ or $\ell(\theta;x)$
 - · If $S(\theta)$ has zero point: solve $S(\theta) = 0$ for θ (to obtain stationary points) and then compare $L(\boldsymbol{\theta}; \boldsymbol{x})$ or $\ell(\boldsymbol{\theta}; \boldsymbol{x})$ over all the stationary points and boundary points
- Invariance property: $g(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})_{\text{ML}} = g(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{\text{ML}})$

Evaluating estimators

- Mean squared error (MSE): $E(\hat{\theta} \theta)^2 = \{E(\hat{\theta}) \theta\}^2 + var(\hat{\theta})$
 - UMVUE/MVUE/Best unbiased estimator: minimize MSE subject to $E(\hat{\theta}) = \theta$
- Cramer-Rao lower bound (one-dimensional case): $var(\hat{\theta}) > \{(d/d\theta)E(\hat{\theta})\}^2/I(\theta)$
 - Fisher information: $I(\theta) = \text{var}\{S(\theta; \mathbf{X})\} = \mathbb{E}[\{S(\theta; \mathbf{X})\}^2] = -\mathbb{E}\{H(\theta; \mathbf{X})\}$
 - * $I(\theta) = n \text{var}\{S(\theta; X_1)\} = n \text{E}[\{S(\theta; X_1)\}^2] = -n \text{E}\{H(\theta; X_1)\}$ for iid sample $\mathbf{X} = [X_1, \dots, X_n]$
 - For unbiased estimators
 - * $\operatorname{var}(\hat{\theta}) > 1/I(\theta)$
 - * The unbiased estimator attaining the lower bound is UMVUE
- Alternative ways to find UMVUE

- Rao-Blackwellization with sufficient complete statistics
 - * Minimal sufficiency: find the sufficient and necessary condition for the likelihood ratio to be free of unknown parameters
 - * Completeness: find sufficient complete statistics for exponential family
- Debiasing MLE if the MLE is a function only based on sufficient complete statistics

Checking independence

- Joint cdf: $F_{X,Y}(x,y) = F_X(x)F_Y(y)$
- Joint pdf or pmf: $f_{X,Y}(x,y) = f_X(x)f_Y(y)$
- conditional pdf or pmf: $f_{X|Y}(x \mid y) = f_X(x)$
- mgf: $E(e^{t_1X+t_2Y}) = E(e^{t_1X})E(e^{t_2Y})$
- cf: $E(e^{it_1X + it_2Y}) = E(e^{it_1X})E(e^{it_2Y})$
- Basu's theorem
 - Sometimes it is even more complex to find complete statistics than to obtain the joint pdf
- Zero cov(X, Y) for joint normal (X, Y)

Take-home exercises (NOT to be submitted; to be potentially covered in labs)

- CB Ex. 7.46, 7.48, 7.58, 7.66
- HMC Ex. 7.9.4, 7.9.13 (a-d)