

# STAT 3690 Lecture Note

Week Five (Feb 6, 8, & 10, 2023)

Zhiyang Zhou (zhiyang.zhou@umanitoba.ca, zhiyanggeezhou.github.io)

2023/Feb/08 11:02:11

---

## Multivariate normal (MVN) distribution (con'd)

### Checking/testing the normality (con'd, J&W Sec 4.6)

- Checking the univariate normality
  - Normal Q-Q plot
    - \* qqnorm(); car::qqPlot()
  - Univariate normality test
    - \* shapiro.test(); nortest::ad.test(); MVN::mvn()
- Checking the multivariate normality
  - $\chi^2$  Q-Q plot
    - \*  $D_i^2 = (\mathbf{X}_i - \bar{\mathbf{X}})^\top \mathbf{S}^{-1} (\mathbf{X}_i - \bar{\mathbf{X}}) \approx \chi^2(p)$  if  $\mathbf{X}_i \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} \text{MVN}_p(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$
    - \* qqplot(); car::qqPlot()
  - Multivariate normality test
    - \* MVN::mvn()

---

```
options(digits = 4)
library(datasets)
data(iris)
head(iris)
(iris_setosa = iris[iris$Species=='setosa', 1:3])
p = ncol(iris_setosa)
n = nrow(iris_setosa)

# Marginal normal Q-Q plot
car::qqPlot(rnorm(n), id = F)
car::qqPlot(iris_setosa[,1], id = F)
car::qqPlot(iris_setosa[,2], id = F)
car::qqPlot(iris_setosa[,3], id = F)

# Univariate normality test
## Shapiro-Wilk Normality Test
shapiro.test(rnorm(n))
shapiro.test(iris_setosa[,1])
shapiro.test(iris_setosa[,2])
shapiro.test(iris_setosa[,3])
## Anderson-Darling test for normality
```

```

nortest::ad.test(iris_setosa[,1])
nortest::ad.test(iris_setosa[,2])
nortest::ad.test(iris_setosa[,3])
## via MVN::mvn()
MVN::mvn(
  iris_setosa,
  univariateTest = "AD" # "SW"/"CVM"/"Lillie"/"SF"/"AD"
)$univariateNormality

# chi^2 Q-Q plot
d_square = diag(
  as.matrix(sweep(iris_setosa, 2, colMeans(iris_setosa))) %*%
    solve(var(iris_setosa)) %*%
    t(as.matrix(sweep(iris_setosa, 2, colMeans(iris_setosa))))
)
car::qqPlot(d_square, dist="chisq", df = p, id = F)
MVN::mvn(
  iris_setosa,
  multivariatePlot = "qq"
)

# Multivariate normality test
MVN::mvn(
  iris_setosa,
  mvnTest = "dh" # "mardia"/"hz"/"royston"/"dh"/"energy"
)$multivariateNormality

```

## Detecting outliers (J&W Sec 4.7)

- Scatter plot of standardized values
- Checking the points farthest from the origin in  $\chi^2$  Q-Q plot

## Improving normality (J&W Sec 4.8)

- (Original) Box-Cox (power) transformation: transform positive  $x$  into

$$X^* = \begin{cases} (X^\lambda - 1)/\lambda & \lambda \neq 0 \\ \ln(X) & \lambda = 0 \end{cases}$$

with  $\lambda$  selected with certain criterion

- If  $X \leq 0$ , change it to be positive first.
- See J. Tukey (1977). *Exploratory Data Analysis*. Boston: Addison-Wesley.

```

library(datasets)
data(iris)
head(iris)
iris_setosa = iris[iris$Species=='setosa', 1:3]

iris_setosa = iris_setosa - min(iris_setosa) + 1 # make sure all the entries are positive

(lambda = EnvStats::boxcox(iris_setosa[,2], optimize=T)$lambda)
if (lambda != 0){
  df_new = (iris_setosa[,2]^lambda-1)/lambda
}else df_new = log(iris_setosa[,2])

```

```
car::qqPlot(df_new, id = F)
shapiro.test(df_new)
nortest::ad.test(df_new)
```

- Multivariate Box-Cox transformation

```
(lambdas = MVN::mvn(
  iris_setosa,
  bc = T,
  bcType = 'optimal'
)$BoxCoxPowerTransformation)
for (i in 1:length(lambdas)){
  if (lambdas[i] != 0){
    iris_setosa_new[,i] = (iris_setosa[,i]^lambdas[i]-1)/lambdas[i]
  }else iris_setosa_new[,i] = log(iris_setosa[,i])
}
MVN::mvn(
  iris_setosa_new,
  mvnTest = "energy" # "mardia"/"hz"/"royston"/"dh"/"energy"
)$multivariateNormality
```

## Maximum likelihood (ML) estimation of $\mu$ and $\Sigma$ (J&W Sec 4.3)

- Sample:  $\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_n \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} \text{MVN}_p(\mu, \Sigma)$ ,  $n > p$
- Likelihood function

$$\begin{aligned} L(\mu, \Sigma) &= \prod_{i=1}^n \left[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^p \det(\Sigma)}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{X}_i - \mu)^\top \Sigma^{-1} (\mathbf{X}_i - \mu) \right\} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^{np} \{\det(\Sigma)\}^n}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n (\mathbf{X}_i - \mu)^\top \Sigma^{-1} (\mathbf{X}_i - \mu) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

- Log likelihood

$$\ell(\mu, \Sigma) = \ln L(\mu, \Sigma) = -\frac{np}{2} \ln(2\pi) - \frac{n}{2} \ln\{\det(\Sigma)\} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n (\mathbf{X}_i - \mu)^\top \Sigma^{-1} (\mathbf{X}_i - \mu)$$

- ML estimator

$$(\hat{\mu}_{\text{ML}}, \hat{\Sigma}_{\text{ML}}) = \arg \max_{\mu \in \mathbb{R}^p, \Sigma \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times p}, \Sigma > 0} \ell(\mu, \Sigma) = (\bar{X}, \frac{n-1}{n} \mathbf{S})$$

- Consistency:  $(\hat{\mu}_{\text{ML}}, \hat{\Sigma}_{\text{ML}})$  approaches  $(\mu, \Sigma)$  (in certain sense) as  $n \rightarrow \infty$
- Efficiency: the covariance matrix of  $(\hat{\mu}_{\text{ML}}, \hat{\Sigma}_{\text{ML}})$  is approximately optimal (in certain sense) as  $n \rightarrow \infty$
- Invariance: for any function  $g$ , the ML estimator of  $g(\mu, \Sigma)$  is  $g(\hat{\mu}_{\text{ML}}, \hat{\Sigma}_{\text{ML}})$ .

## Sampling distributions of $\bar{X}$ and $\mathbf{S}$ (J&W Sec 4.4)

- Recall the univariate case: if  $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$ , then
  - $s^2 \perp\!\!\!\perp \bar{X}$
  - \* Sample variance  $s^2 = (n-1)^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2$

- $\sqrt{n}(\bar{X} - \mu)/\sigma \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$
  - $(n-1)s^2/\sigma^2 \sim \chi^2(n-1)$
  - $\sqrt{n}(\bar{X} - \mu)/s \sim t(n-1)$
  - The multivariate case: if  $\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_n \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} \text{MVN}_p(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$ ,  $n > p$ , then
    - $\mathbf{S} \perp\!\!\!\perp \bar{\mathbf{X}}$ , i.e.,  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_{\text{ML}} \perp\!\!\!\perp \hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}_{\text{ML}}$
    - $\sqrt{n}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1/2}(\bar{\mathbf{X}} - \boldsymbol{\mu}) \sim \text{MVN}_p(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I})$
    - $(n-1)\mathbf{S} = n\hat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_{\text{ML}} \sim W_p(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}, n-1)$
    - $n(\bar{\mathbf{X}} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^\top \mathbf{S}^{-1}(\bar{\mathbf{X}} - \boldsymbol{\mu}) \sim \text{Hotelling's } T^2(p, n-1)$
- 
- Wishart distribution
    - $W_p(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}, n)$  is the distribution of  $\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{Y}_i \mathbf{Y}_i^\top$  with  $\mathbf{Y}_1, \dots, \mathbf{Y}_n \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} \text{MVN}_p(\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$ 
      - \* A generalization of  $\chi^2$ -distribution:  $W_p(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}, n) = \chi^2(n)$  if  $p = \boldsymbol{\Sigma} = \mathbf{I}$
    - Properties
      - \*  $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}^\top > 0$  and  $\mathbf{W} \sim W_p(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}, n) \Rightarrow \mathbf{A}\mathbf{W}\mathbf{A}^\top \sim W_p(\mathbf{A}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}\mathbf{A}^\top, n)$
      - \*  $\mathbf{W}_i \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} W_p(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}, n_i) \Rightarrow \mathbf{W}_1 + \mathbf{W}_2 \sim W_p(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}, n_1 + n_2)$
      - \*  $\mathbf{W}_1 \perp\!\!\!\perp \mathbf{W}_2$ ,  $\mathbf{W}_1 + \mathbf{W}_2 \sim W_p(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}, n)$  and  $\mathbf{W}_1 \sim W_p(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}, n_1) \Rightarrow \mathbf{W}_2 \sim W_p(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}, n - n_1)$
      - \*  $\mathbf{W} \sim W_p(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}, n)$  and  $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}^p \Rightarrow$ 

$$\frac{\mathbf{a}^\top \mathbf{W} \mathbf{a}}{\mathbf{a}^\top \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \mathbf{a}} \sim \chi^2(n)$$
      - \*  $\mathbf{W} \sim W_p(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}, n)$ ,  $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}^p$  and  $n \geq p \Rightarrow$ 

$$\frac{\mathbf{a}^\top \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \mathbf{a}}{\mathbf{a}^\top \mathbf{W}^{-1} \mathbf{a}} \sim \chi^2(n - p + 1)$$
      - \*  $\mathbf{W} \sim W_p(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}, n) \Rightarrow$ 

$$\text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} \mathbf{W}) \sim \chi^2(np)$$
- 

- Hotelling's  $T^2$  distribution
    - A generalization of (Student's)  $t$ -distribution
    - If  $\mathbf{X} \sim \text{MVN}_p(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I})$  and  $\mathbf{W} \sim W_p(\mathbf{I}, n)$ , then
 
$$\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{W}^{-1} \mathbf{X} \sim T^2(p, n)$$
    - $Y \sim T^2(p, n) \Leftrightarrow \frac{n-p+1}{np} Y \sim F(p, n-p+1)$
- 

- Wilk's lambda distribution
  - Wilks's lambda is to Hotelling's  $T^2$  as  $F$  distribution is to Student's  $t$  in univariate statistics.
  - Given independent  $\mathbf{W}_1 \sim W_p(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}, n_1)$  and  $\mathbf{W}_2 \sim W_p(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}, n_2)$  with  $n_1 \geq p$ ,

$$\Lambda = \frac{\det(\mathbf{W}_1)}{\det(\mathbf{W}_1 + \mathbf{W}_2)} = \frac{1}{\det(\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{W}_1^{-1} \mathbf{W}_2)} \sim \Lambda(p, n_1, n_2)$$

- \* Resort to an approximation in computation:  $\{(p - n_2 + 1)/2 - n_1\} \ln \Lambda(p, n_1, n_2) \approx \chi^2(n_2 p)$

## Inference on $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ (under the normality assumption)

### Likelihood ratio test (LRT)

- Minimize the type II error rate subject to a capped type I error rate (under certain classical circumstances)
- Test statistic

$$\lambda(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{L(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_0; \mathbf{x})}{L(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}; \mathbf{x})}$$

- $\mathbf{x}$ : all the observations
- $L$ : the likelihood function
- $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ : the unknown parameter(s)
- $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_0$ : ML estimator for  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$  under  $H_0$
- $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$ : ML estimator for  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$
- (Asymptotic) rejection region
 
$$R_\alpha = \{\mathbf{x} : -2 \ln \lambda(\mathbf{x}) \geq \chi^2_{\nu, 1-\alpha}\}$$
  - I.e., reject  $H_0$  when  $-2 \ln \lambda(\mathbf{x}) \geq \chi^2_{\nu, 1-\alpha}$
  - $\chi^2_{\nu, 1-\alpha}$  is the  $(1 - \alpha)$ -quantile of  $\chi^2(\nu)$
  - $\nu$ : the difference in numbers of free parameters between  $H_0$  and  $H_1$
- (Asymptotic)  $p$ -value
 
$$p(\mathbf{x}) = 1 - F_{\chi^2(\nu)}\{-2 \ln \lambda(\mathbf{x})\}$$
  - $F_{\chi^2(\nu)}(\cdot)$  is the cdf of  $\chi^2(\nu)$

### Testing $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ (J&W Sec. 5.2 & 5.3)

- Sample  $\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_n \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} \text{MVN}_p(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$ ,  $n > p$
- $H_0 : \boldsymbol{\mu} = \boldsymbol{\mu}_0$  v.s.  $H_1 : \boldsymbol{\mu} \neq \boldsymbol{\mu}_0$
- Recall the univariate case ( $p = 1$ )
  - The model reduces to  $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$
  - Hypotheses reduces to  $H_0 : \mu = \mu_0$  v.s.  $H_1 : \mu \neq \mu_0$
  - $\bar{X}$  and  $s^2$  are sample mean and sample variance, respectively
  - Known  $\sigma^2$ 
    - \* Name of approach: Z-test (equiv. LRT)
    - \* Test statistic:  $T = \sqrt{n}(\bar{X} - \mu_0)/\sigma$  ( $\sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$  under  $H_0$ )
    - \* Level  $\alpha$  Rejection region:  $R_\alpha = \{t : |t| \geq \Phi_{1-\alpha/2}^{-1}\}$ , i.e., reject  $H_0$  if  $|T| \geq \Phi_{1-\alpha/2}^{-1}$
    - $\Phi_{1-\alpha/2}^{-1}$ : the  $(1 - \alpha/2)$ -quantile of  $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$
  - Unknown  $\sigma^2$ 
    - \* Name of approach:  $t$ -test (equiv. LRT)
    - \* Test statistic:  $T = \sqrt{n}(\bar{X} - \mu_0)/s$  ( $\sim t(n-1)$  under  $H_0$ )
    - \* Level  $\alpha$  rejection region:  $R_\alpha = \{t : |t| \geq t_{1-\alpha/2, n-1}\}$ , i.e., reject  $H_0$  if  $|T| \geq t_{1-\alpha/2, n-1}$
    - $t_{1-\alpha/2, n-1}$ : the  $(1 - \alpha/2)$ -quantile of  $t(n-1)$

- 
- Multivariate case (with known  $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ )
    - Name of approach: LRT
    - Test statistic:  $T = n(\bar{\mathbf{X}} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_0)^\top \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}(\bar{\mathbf{X}} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_0)$  ( $\sim \chi^2(p)$  under  $H_0$ )
    - Level  $\alpha$  rejection region:  $R_\alpha = \{t : t \geq \chi^2_{1-\alpha, p}\}$ , i.e., reject  $H_0$  if  $T \geq \chi^2_{1-\alpha, p}$ 
      - \*  $\chi^2_{1-\alpha, p}$ : the  $(1 - \alpha)$ -quantile of  $\chi^2(p)$
    - $p$ -value:  $p(\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_n) = 1 - F_{\chi^2(p)}(T)$ 
      - \*  $F_{\chi^2(p)}(\cdot)$ : the cdf of  $\chi^2(p)$

- 
- Report: Testing hypotheses  $H_0 : \boldsymbol{\mu} = [25, 50, 3]^\top$  v.s.  $H_1 : \boldsymbol{\mu} \neq [25, 50, 3]^\top$ , we carried on the LRT and obtained 450477 as the value of test statistic and  $[7.815, \infty)$  as the level .05 rejection region. Correspondingly, the  $p$ -value was around 0. So, at the .05 level, there was a strong statistical evidence implying the rejection of  $H_0$ , i.e., we believed that the population mean vector was not  $[25, 50, 3]^\top$ .

- 
- Multivariate case (with unknown  $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ )

- Name of approach: LRT
- Test statistic:  $T = n(\bar{\mathbf{X}} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_0)^\top \mathbf{S}^{-1}(\bar{\mathbf{X}} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_0) (\sim T^2(p, n-1) = \frac{(n-1)p}{n-p} F(p, n-p)$  under  $H_0$ )
- Level  $\alpha$  rejection region:  $R = \{t : \frac{n-p}{p(n-1)}t \geq F_{1-\alpha, p, n-p}\}$ , i.e., reject  $H_0$  if  $\frac{n-p}{p(n-1)}T \geq F_{1-\alpha, p, n-p}$ 
  - \*  $F_{1-\alpha, p, n-p}$ : the  $(1-\alpha)$ -quantile of  $F(p, n-p)$
- $p$ -value:  $p(\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_n) = 1 - F_{F(p, n-p)}\{\frac{n-p}{p(n-1)}T\}$ 
  - \*  $F_{F(p, n-p)}$ : the cdf of  $F(p, n-p)$

- Report: Testing hypotheses  $H_0 : \boldsymbol{\mu} = [25, 50, 3]^\top$  v.s.  $H_1 : \boldsymbol{\mu} \neq [25, 50, 3]^\top$ , we carried on the LRT and obtained 249718 as the value of test statistic with  $[7.819, \infty)$  as the level .05 rejection region. Correspondingly, the  $p$ -value was almost 0. So, at the .05 level, there was a strong statistical evidence implying the rejection of  $H_0$ , i.e., we believed that the population mean vector was not  $[25, 50, 3]^\top$ .

### Testing on $\mathbf{A}\boldsymbol{\mu}$ (J&W pp. 279)

- $\mathbf{A}$  is of  $q \times p$  and  $\text{rk}(\mathbf{A}) = q$ , i.e.,  $\mathbf{A}\Sigma\mathbf{A}^\top > 0$
- Model: iid  $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{X}_i \sim MVN_q(\mathbf{A}\boldsymbol{\mu}, \mathbf{A}\Sigma\mathbf{A}^\top)$ .
- LRT for  $H_0 : \mathbf{A}\boldsymbol{\mu} = \boldsymbol{\nu}_0$  v.s.  $H_1 : \mathbf{A}\boldsymbol{\mu} \neq \boldsymbol{\nu}_0$ 
  - Test statistic:  $n(\mathbf{A}\bar{\mathbf{X}} - \boldsymbol{\nu}_0)^\top (\mathbf{A}\mathbf{S}\mathbf{A}^\top)^{-1}(\mathbf{A}\bar{\mathbf{X}} - \boldsymbol{\nu}_0) \sim T^2(q, n-1) = \frac{(n-1)q}{n-q} F(q, n-q)$  under  $H_0$
  - Rejection region at level  $\alpha$ :  $R = \{\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n : \frac{n(n-q)}{q(n-1)}(\mathbf{A}\bar{\mathbf{x}} - \boldsymbol{\nu}_0)^\top (\mathbf{A}\mathbf{S}\mathbf{A}^\top)^{-1}(\mathbf{A}\bar{\mathbf{x}} - \boldsymbol{\nu}_0) \geq F_{1-\alpha, q, n-q}\}$
  - $p$ -value:  $p(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n) = 1 - F_{F(q, n-q)}\{\frac{n(n-q)}{q(n-1)}(\mathbf{A}\bar{\mathbf{x}} - \boldsymbol{\nu}_0)^\top (\mathbf{A}\mathbf{S}\mathbf{A}^\top)^{-1}(\mathbf{A}\bar{\mathbf{x}} - \boldsymbol{\nu}_0)\}$
- Multiple comparison
  - Interested in  $H_0 : \mu_1 = \dots = \mu_p$  v.s.  $H_1$  : Not all entries of  $\boldsymbol{\mu}$  are equal.
    - \*  $\mu_k$ : the  $k$ th entry of  $\boldsymbol{\mu}$
  - Take
 
$$\boldsymbol{\nu}_0 = \mathbf{0}_{(p-1) \times 1}, \quad \mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & -1 \end{bmatrix}_{(p-1) \times p}.$$
  - $p = 2$  (i.e.,  $\mathbf{A} = [1, -1]$ ): the case of A/B testing

- Report: Testing hypotheses  $H_0$ : the average life expectancy over south american countries doesn't vary with time v.s.  $H_1$ : otherwise, we carried on the LRT and obtained 628.5 as the value of test statistic and  $[132.9, \infty)$  as the level .05 rejection region. The corresponding  $p$ -value was .002858. So, at the .05 level, there was a strong statistical evidence against  $H_0$ , i.e., we believed that the average life expectancy over south american countries does vary with time.

### $(1 - \alpha) \times 100\%$ confidence region (CR) for $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ (J&W Sec. 5.4)

- $\Pr((1 - \alpha) \times 100\% \text{CR covers } \boldsymbol{\mu}) = 1 - \alpha$ 
  - CR is a set made of observations and is hence random
  - $\boldsymbol{\mu}$  is fixed
  - $(1 - \alpha) \times 100\%$  CR covers  $\boldsymbol{\mu}$  with probability  $(1 - \alpha) \times 100\%$
- Equivalent to the testing of  $H_0 : \boldsymbol{\mu} = \boldsymbol{\mu}_0$  v.s.  $H_1 : \boldsymbol{\mu} \neq \boldsymbol{\mu}_0$  at the  $\alpha$  level
  - Translated from rejection region. Steps:
    1. Take  $R$  as a function of  $\boldsymbol{\mu}_0$ ;
    2. Replace  $\boldsymbol{\mu}_0$  with  $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ ;
    3. Take the complement.

- $(1 - \alpha) \times 100\%$  CR =  $\{\boldsymbol{\mu} : n(\bar{\mathbf{x}} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^\top \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}(\bar{\mathbf{x}} - \boldsymbol{\mu}) < \chi_{1-\alpha, p}^2\}$  if  $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$  is known
- $(1 - \alpha) \times 100\%$  CR =  $\{\boldsymbol{\mu} : \frac{n(n-p)}{p(n-1)}(\bar{\mathbf{x}} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^\top \mathbf{S}^{-1}(\bar{\mathbf{x}} - \boldsymbol{\mu}) < F_{1-\alpha, p, n-p}\}$  if  $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$  is not known

**$(1 - \alpha) \times 100\%$  CR for  $\boldsymbol{\nu} = \mathbf{A}\boldsymbol{\mu}$**

- $\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_n \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} MVN_p(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$ 
  - Unknown  $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$
  - $n > p$
- $\mathbf{A}$  is of  $q \times p$  and  $\text{rk}(\mathbf{A}) = q$ , i.e.,  $\mathbf{A}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}\mathbf{A}^\top > 0$
- Then iid  $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{X}_i \sim MVN_q(\boldsymbol{\nu}, \mathbf{A}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}\mathbf{A}^\top)$
- $(1 - \alpha) \times 100\%$  CR for  $\boldsymbol{\nu}$  is  $\{\boldsymbol{\nu} : \frac{n(n-q)}{q(n-1)}(\mathbf{A}\bar{\mathbf{x}} - \boldsymbol{\nu})^\top (\mathbf{A}\mathbf{S}\mathbf{A}^\top)^{-1}(\mathbf{A}\bar{\mathbf{x}} - \boldsymbol{\nu}) < F_{1-\alpha, q, n-q}\}$
- Special case:  $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}^p$ 
  - $(1 - \alpha) \times 100\%$  confidence interval (CI) for scalar  $\nu = \mathbf{a}^\top \boldsymbol{\mu}$  is

$$\{\nu : n(\mathbf{a}^\top \bar{\mathbf{x}} - \nu)^2 (\mathbf{a}^\top \mathbf{S} \mathbf{a})^{-1} < F_{1-\alpha, 1, n-1}\} = \left( \mathbf{a}^\top \bar{\mathbf{x}} - t_{1-\alpha/2, n-1} \sqrt{\mathbf{a}^\top \mathbf{S} \mathbf{a} / n}, \mathbf{a}^\top \bar{\mathbf{x}} + t_{1-\alpha/2, n-1} \sqrt{\mathbf{a}^\top \mathbf{S} \mathbf{a} / n} \right)$$