STAT 4100 Lecture Note

Week Six (Oct 12 & 14, 2022)

Zhiyang Zhou (zhiyang.zhou@umanitoba.ca, zhiyanggeezhou.github.io)

2022/Oct/09 15:40:15

Evaluating estimators (con'd)

Completeness (CB Def 6.2.21)

- Only consider one-dimensional cases
- T is a complete statistic if we have the following identity: for any (measurable) function g,

$$E(g(T) \mid \theta) = 0$$
 for all $\theta \in \Theta \Rightarrow Pr(g(T) = 0 \mid \theta) = 1$ for all $\theta \in \Theta$.

- Geometrical interpretation: span $\{f_{T|\theta}(t \mid \theta) : \theta \in \Theta\} = \{g(\cdot) : (\text{measurable}) \ g \text{ is defined on } \text{supp}(T)\}$
- Bounded completeness: restricted to bounded g only
- (CB Thm 6.2.28) Minimal sufficient statistics exist ⇒ complete sufficient statistics are minimally sufficient
- (HMC Thm 7.5.2) iid $X_1, \ldots, X_n \sim f(x \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}) = h(x)c(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \exp\left\{\sum_{i=1}^k w_i(\boldsymbol{\theta})t_i(x)\right\}$, i.e., following the exponential family, $\Rightarrow (\sum_{i=1}^n t_1(X_i), \ldots, \sum_{i=1}^n t_k(X_i))$ is complete sufficient

Example Lec9.2

• Find the complete statistic for the following scenarios:

a. iid
$$X_1, \ldots, X_n \sim f(x \mid \theta) = (x!)^{-1} \theta^x e^{-\theta} \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{R}^+ \times \{0,1,\ldots\}}(\theta, x);$$

b. iid $X_1, \ldots, X_n \sim \text{Unif}\{1, \ldots, \theta\}$, integer $\theta \geq 2$.

Lehmann-Scheffe (CB Thm 7.3.23 & 7.5.1; HMC Thm 7.4.1)

- The unbiased estimator only depending on complete sufficient statistics is the UMVUE.
- Application to the construction of UMVUE
 - 1. Find the minimal sufficient T.
 - 2. Check the completeness of T.
 - 3. Find unbiased g(T), e.g.,
 - $E(W \mid T)$ with certain unbiased W
 - debiased MLE (if it is a function of T).

Example Lec9.3

• Suppose that iid X_1, \ldots, X_n are following Unif $\{1, \ldots, \theta\}$, integer $\theta \ge 2$. Prove that $[X_{(n)}^{n+1} - (X_{(n)} - 1)^{n+1}]/[X_{(n)}^n - (X_{(n)} - 1)^n]$ is the UMVUE for θ .

Verifying the independence

Ancillary Statistics

• Statistics whose distribution does not depend on unknown θ .

Example Lec10.1

- Verify the following statistics are ancillary for θ .
 - a. Range $X_{(n)} X_{(1)}$ with $X_1, \ldots, X_n \sim \text{Unif}(\theta, \theta + 1)$.
 - b. X_1/X_2 with $X_1, X_2 \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \theta^2)$.

Basu's theorem (CB Thm 6.2.4)

- T is complete and sufficient, while S is ancillary. Then T and S are independent of each other.
 - The completeness of T can be relaxed to be bounded completeness.

Example Lec10.2

• Let iid $X_1, \ldots, X_n \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$. Deduce the independence of \bar{X} and S^2 by applying Basu's theorem for the case with unknown μ and known σ^2 .

How to verify the independence of X and Y

- Joint cdf: $F_{X,Y}(x,y) = F_X(x)F_Y(y)$
- Joint pdf or pmf: $f_{X,Y}(x,y) = f_X(x)f_Y(y)$
- conditional pdf or pmf: $f_{X|Y}(x \mid y) = f_X(x)$
- mgf: $E(e^{t_1X+t_2Y}) = E(e^{t_1X})E(e^{t_2Y})$
- cf: $E(e^{it_1X + it_2Y}) = E(e^{it_1X})E(e^{it_2Y})$
- Basu's theorem
 - Sometimes it is even more complex to find complete statistics than to obtain the joint pdf
- Zero covariance matrix for normal cases

Review for midterm

Find the distribution of Y = g(X) given the distribution of X

- First figure out support(Y)
- Univariate transformation
 - For discrete Y: find the pmf of Y by definition
 - For continuous Y: by CB Ex. 2.7(b),

$$f_Y(y) = \sum_{k=1}^K f_X\{g_k^{-1}(y)\} \left| J_{g_k^{-1}}(y) \right| \mathbf{1}_{B_k}(y)$$

- * Partition supp(X) into K intervals A_1, \ldots, A_K such that
 - $\bigcup_{k=1}^K A_k = \operatorname{supp}(X) \text{ and } A_k \cap A_{k'} = \emptyset \text{ if } k \neq k'$
 - · g is strictly monotonic and continuously differentiable on A_k
- $* g_k = g_k(x) = g(x), x \in A_k$

* Jacobian of transformation g_k^{-1}

$$J_{g_k^{-1}} = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}y} g_k^{-1}(y)$$

$$* B_k = \{g(x) : x \in A_k\}$$

- Bivariate transformation
 - Consider
 - * X and Y both two-dimensional
 - * $\mathbf{g}(\cdot) = (g_1(\cdot), g_2(\cdot)) : \operatorname{supp}(\mathbf{X}) \to \operatorname{supp}(\mathbf{Y})$ is one-to-one, i.e.,

$$y = (y_1, y_2) = (g_1(x_1, x_2), g_2(x_1, x_2)) = g(x_1, x_2)$$

$$x = (x_1, x_2) = g^{-1}(y_1, y_2) = (h_1(y_1, y_2), h_2(y_1, y_2))$$

- If g^{-1} is continuously differentiable,

$$f_{\mathbf{Y}}(y_1, y_2) = f_{\mathbf{X}} \{ \mathbf{g}^{-1}(y_1, y_2) \} | \det \{ \mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{g}^{-1}}(y_1, y_2) \} | \mathbf{1}_{\text{supp}(\mathbf{Y})}(y_1, y_2)$$

* Jacobian matrix of transformation g^{-1}

$$\mathbf{J}_{\boldsymbol{g}^{-1}}(y_1, y_2) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial h_i(y_1, y_2)}{\partial y_j} \end{bmatrix}_{2 \times 2} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial h_1(y_1, y_2)}{\partial y_1} & \frac{\partial h_1(y_1, y_2)}{\partial y_2} \\ \frac{\partial h_2(y_1, y_2)}{\partial y_1} & \frac{\partial h_2(y_1, y_2)}{\partial y_2} \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{J}_{\boldsymbol{g}}^{-1} \{ \boldsymbol{g}^{-1}(y_1, y_2) \}$$

- · Hence $\det\{\mathbf{J}_{g^{-1}}(y_1, y_2)\} = 1/\det[\mathbf{J}_{g}\{g^{-1}(y_1, y_2)\}]$
- Polar coordinate system

Bivariate normal (BVN) distribution

• Random 2-vector $\mathbf{X} = [X_1, X_2]^{\top} \sim \mathrm{BVN}(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{X} = \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{1/2} \mathbf{Z} + \boldsymbol{\mu} \text{ with } \mathbf{Z} = \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1/2} (\mathbf{X} - \boldsymbol{\mu}) \sim$ $BVN(0, \mathbf{I}_2) \Rightarrow$

$$\mathrm{E}(\mathbf{X}) = [\mathrm{E}(X_1), \mathrm{E}(X_2)]^{\top} = \boldsymbol{\mu} \quad \mathrm{and} \quad \mathrm{cov}(\mathbf{X}) = [\mathrm{cov}(X_i, X_j)]_{2 \times 2} = \boldsymbol{\Sigma}$$

- Random 2-vector $\mathbf{X} \sim \mathrm{BVN}(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) \Rightarrow \mathbf{B}\mathbf{X} + \boldsymbol{b} \sim \mathrm{BVN}(\mathbf{B}\boldsymbol{\mu} + \boldsymbol{b}, \mathbf{B}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}\mathbf{B}^{\top})$
- If $[X_1, X_2]^{\top}$ is of BVN, then the marginal distributions of X_1 and X_2 are both normal. The inverse proposition does NOT hold.
- Normal sampling theory

 - $-\sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2 \sim \chi^2(n)$ if iid $X_1, \dots, X_n \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ $-X/\sqrt{Y/n} \sim t(n)$ if $X \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ and $Y \sim \chi^2(n)$ are independent
 - $(X/\psi)/(Y/n) \sim F(m,n) \text{ if } X \sim \chi^2(m) \text{ and } Y \sim \chi^2(n) \text{ are independent}$ $(X/m)/(Y/n) \sim F(m,n) \text{ if } X \sim \chi^2(m) \text{ and } Y \sim \chi^2(n) \text{ are independent}$ $n^{1/2}(\bar{X} \mu)/\sigma \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1) \text{ if iid } X_1, \dots, X_n \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$ $(n-1)S^2/\sigma^2 \sim \chi^2(n-1) \text{ if iid } X_1, \dots, X_n \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$ $\bar{X} \text{ and } S^2 \text{ are independent of each other if iid } X_1, \dots, X_n \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$ $n^{1/2}(\bar{X} \mu)/S \sim t(n-1) \text{ if iid } X_1, \dots, X_n \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$

Generating functions

- Univariate mgf $M_X(t) = \mathbb{E}\{\exp(tX)\}\$ if $\mathbb{E}\{\exp(tX)\}\$ $<\infty$ for all t inside a neighbourhood of 0
 - Characterizing distributions: identical mgfs implying identical distributions
 - $-M_Y(t) = \exp(bt) \prod_i M_{X_i}(a_i t)$ if $Y = b + \sum_i a_i X_i$, where b and a_i are constants, X_1, \ldots, X_p are independent, and each $M_{X_i}(\cdot)$ exists

Exponential family

• If the pdf or pmf of X is of the following form

$$f(x \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}) = h(x)c(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \exp \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{k} w_i(\boldsymbol{\theta})t_i(x) \right\}$$

- $\mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$ (CB Example 3.4.4):
 - $-h(x) = \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{R}}(x)$
 - $-c(\mu,\sigma) = (2\pi\sigma^2)^{-1/2} \exp\{-\mu^2/(2\sigma^2)\} \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^+}(\mu,\sigma)$
 - $-w_1(\mu,\sigma)=\sigma^{-2}\mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{R}^+}(\sigma)$
 - $w_2(\mu, \sigma) = \mu \sigma^{-2} \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{R}^+}(\sigma)$
 - $-t_1(x) = -x^2/2$
 - $-t_{2}(x)=x$
- Binom(n, p) (CB Example 3.4.1):
 - $-h(x)=\binom{n}{x}\mathbf{1}_{\{0,\dots,n\}}(x)$ (What happens if n is also an unknown parameter?) $-c(p)=(1-p)^n\mathbf{1}_{(0,1)}(p)$

 - $w_1(p) = \ln\{p/(1-p)\}\mathbf{1}_{(0,1)}(p)$
 - $-t_1(x) = x$
- Other special cases of exponential family: gamma, beta, Poisson, negative binomial
- $(\sum_{i=1}^n t_1(X_i), \dots, \sum_{i=1}^n t_k(X_i))$ is sufficient complete

Point estimation

- Method of moments (MOM)
 - Equate raw moments to their empirical counterparts (Why is it reasonable?)
 - Pros and cons
- Maximum likehood (ML)
 - $-\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{\mathrm{ML}}$ is a statistic such that

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{\mathrm{ML}} = \arg\max_{\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \boldsymbol{\Theta}} L(\boldsymbol{\theta}; \boldsymbol{x}) = \arg\max_{\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \boldsymbol{\Theta}} \ell(\boldsymbol{\theta}; \boldsymbol{x})$$

- Invariance property: $\widehat{g(\boldsymbol{\theta})}_{\mathrm{ML}} = g(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{\mathrm{ML}})$
- Maximizing $L(\boldsymbol{\theta}; \boldsymbol{x})$ or $\ell(\boldsymbol{\theta}; \boldsymbol{x})$ with respect to $\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \boldsymbol{\Theta}$
 - * For discrete Θ : compare $L(\theta; x)$ or $\ell(\theta; x)$ over all the possible values of θ
 - * For continuous Θ : is a continuous set Solving simultaneous equations $\mathbf{S}(\theta) = \mathbf{0}$ for θ
 - · If $S(\theta) = 0$ does not exist: utilize the monotonicity
 - · If $S(\theta) = 0$ exists: compare $L(\theta; x)$ or $\ell(\theta; x)$ over all the stationary points and boundary points

Evaluating estimators

- Mean squared error (MSE): $E(\hat{\theta} \theta)^2 = \{E(\hat{\theta}) \theta\}^2 + var(\hat{\theta})$
 - UMVUE/MVUE/Best unbiased estimator: minimize $var(\hat{\theta})$ subject to $E(\hat{\theta}) = \theta$
- Cramer-Rao lower bound (one-dimensional case): $var(\hat{\theta}) \geq \{(d/d\theta)E(\hat{\theta})\}^2/I(\theta)$
 - Fisher information: $I(\theta) = \text{var}\{S(\theta; \mathbf{X})\} = \mathbb{E}[\{S(\theta; \mathbf{X})\}^2] = -\mathbb{E}\{H(\theta; \mathbf{X})\}$
 - * $I(\theta) = n \text{var}\{S(\theta; X_1)\} = n \mathbb{E}[\{S(\theta; X_1)\}^2] = -n \mathbb{E}\{H(\theta; X_1)\}$ for iid sample $\mathbf{X} = [X_1, \dots, X_n]$
 - For unbiased estimators
 - * $\operatorname{var}(\hat{\theta}) > 1/I(\theta)$
 - * The unbiased estimator attaining the lower bound is UMVUE
- Alternative ways to find UMVUE

- $\,-\,$ Rao-Blackwellization with sufficient complete statistics
- Debiased MLE if the MLE is a function only based on sufficient complete statistics

Take-home exercises (NOT to be submitted; to be potentially covered in labs)

CB Ex. 7.46, 7.48, 7.57, 7.58, 7.66