STAT 3690 Lecture Note

Part VI: Linear model

Zhiyang Zhou (zhiyang.zhou@umanitoba.ca, zhiyanggeezhou.github.io)

2023/Mar/08 14:37:31

Multivariate linear regression

What is a linear model?

• Responses are linear functions with respect to unknown parameters.

Univariate/multiple linear regression (J&W Sec. 7.2–7.5)

• Model (population version):

$$Y \mid X_1, \dots, X_q \sim \left(\sum_{j=1}^q X_j \beta_j, \sigma^2\right)$$

- Equiv. $Y = \sum_{j=1}^{q} X_j \beta_j + \varepsilon$ with $\varepsilon \perp \!\!\! \perp [X_1, \ldots, X_q]^{\top}$ and $\varepsilon \sim (0, \sigma^2)$
- Univariate linear regression: q = 2 with $X_1 = 1$
- Multiple linear regression: q > 2 with $X_1 = 1$
- Model (sample version):

$$Y = X\beta + \varepsilon$$

$$-\mathbf{Y} = [Y_1, \dots, Y_n]^{\top}$$

- Design matrix

$$\boldsymbol{X} = \begin{bmatrix} X_{11} & \cdots & X_{1q} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ X_{n1} & \cdots & X_{nq} \end{bmatrix}_{n \times q}$$

*
$$\operatorname{rk}(\boldsymbol{X}) = q$$

 $-\boldsymbol{\beta} = [\beta_1, \dots, \beta_q]^{\top}$
 $-\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} = [\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n]^{\top} \sim (\mathbf{0}_n, \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}_n)$, independent of \boldsymbol{X}

- Least squares (LS) estimation (no need of normality)
 - $-\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{\mathrm{LS}} = (\boldsymbol{X}^{\top}\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}^{\top}\boldsymbol{Y}$ $* E(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{\mathrm{LS}} \mid \boldsymbol{X}) = \boldsymbol{\beta}$ $-\hat{\sigma}_{\mathrm{LS}}^{2} = (n-q)^{-1}(\boldsymbol{Y} \boldsymbol{X}\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{\mathrm{LS}})^{\top}(\boldsymbol{Y} \boldsymbol{X}\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{\mathrm{LS}}) = (n-q)^{-1}\boldsymbol{Y}^{\top}(\mathbf{I} \mathbf{H})\boldsymbol{Y}$ $* n \times n \text{ hat matrix } \mathbf{H} = \boldsymbol{X}(\boldsymbol{X}^{\top}\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}^{\top}$ $* E(\hat{\sigma}_{\mathrm{LS}}^{2} \mid \boldsymbol{X}) = \sigma^{2}$
- ML estimation (under normality)

$$\begin{split} & - \ \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{\mathrm{ML}} = (\boldsymbol{X}^{\top}\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}^{\top}\boldsymbol{Y} = \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{\mathrm{LS}} \\ & * \ \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{\mathrm{ML}} \mid \boldsymbol{X} \sim \mathrm{MVN}_{q}(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \sigma^{2}(\boldsymbol{X}^{\top}\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}) \\ & - \ \hat{\sigma}_{\mathrm{ML}}^{2} = n^{-1}\boldsymbol{Y}(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{H})\boldsymbol{Y} = n^{-1}(n-q)\hat{\sigma}_{\mathrm{LS}}^{2} \\ & * \ \mathrm{Given} \ \boldsymbol{X}, \ n\hat{\sigma}_{\mathrm{ML}}^{2}/\sigma^{2} = (n-q)\hat{\sigma}_{\mathrm{LS}}^{2}/\sigma^{2} \sim \chi^{2}(n-q) \end{split}$$

- Inference (under normality)
 - To infer $\boldsymbol{a}^{\top}\boldsymbol{\beta}$, given $\boldsymbol{a} \in \mathbb{R}^q$ (e.g., to compare β_1 and β_2 by checking $\boldsymbol{a}^{\top}\boldsymbol{\beta} = \beta_1 \beta_2$ with $\boldsymbol{a} = [1, -1, 0, \dots, 0]^{\top}$)
 - * Estimator: $\boldsymbol{a}^{\top} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{\mathrm{ML}}$
 - * $100 \times (1-\alpha)\%$ confidence interval for $\boldsymbol{a}^{\top}\boldsymbol{\beta}$:

$$oldsymbol{a}^{ op}\hat{eta}_{\mathrm{ML}}\pm\hat{\sigma}_{\mathrm{LS}}\cdot t_{1-lpha/2,n-q}\sqrt{oldsymbol{a}^{ op}(oldsymbol{X}^{ op}oldsymbol{X})^{-1}oldsymbol{a}}$$

- To predict $Y_0 = \boldsymbol{X}_0^{\top} \boldsymbol{\beta} + \varepsilon_0$ with \boldsymbol{X}_0 different from each row of \boldsymbol{X}
 - * Prediction: $\hat{Y}_0 = \boldsymbol{X}_0^{\top} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{\mathrm{ML}}$
 - * $100 \times (1 \alpha)\%$ prediction interval for Y_0

$$\boldsymbol{X}_0^{\top} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{\mathrm{ML}} \pm \hat{\sigma}_{\mathrm{LS}} \cdot t_{1-\alpha/2,n-q} \sqrt{1 + \boldsymbol{X}_0^{\top} (\boldsymbol{X}^{\top} \boldsymbol{X})^{-1} \boldsymbol{X}_0}$$

Multivariate linear regression

• Model (population version):

$$Y_1,\ldots,Y_p\mid X_1,\ldots,X_q\sim([X_1,\ldots,X_q]\mathbf{B},\mathbf{\Sigma})$$

- Equiv. $[Y_1, \dots, Y_p] = [X_1, \dots, X_q] \mathbf{B} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{\top}$ with *p*-vector $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \perp \!\!\! \perp [X_1, \dots, X_q]$ and $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \sim (\mathbf{0}_p, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$ * Unknown coefficients

$$\mathbf{B} = \left[egin{array}{ccc} b_{11} & \cdots & b_{1p} \ dots & \ddots & dots \ b_{q1} & \cdots & b_{qp} \end{array}
ight]_{q imes p} = \left[egin{array}{ccc} oldsymbol{b}_{1.}^ op \ oldsymbol{b}_{q.}^ op \end{array}
ight] = \left[egin{array}{ccc} oldsymbol{b}_{.1} & \cdots & oldsymbol{b}_{.p} \end{array}
ight]$$

- $\cdot b_{i}^{\top}$: the *i*th row of **B**
- · $\boldsymbol{b}_{\cdot j}$: the jth column of \mathbf{B}
- Model (sample version):

$$\frac{\boldsymbol{Y}}{n\times p} = \frac{\boldsymbol{X}}{n\times q} \frac{\boldsymbol{B}}{q\times p} + \frac{\boldsymbol{E}}{n\times p}$$

- Response

$$oldsymbol{Y} = \left[egin{array}{ccc} Y_{11} & \cdots & Y_{1p} \\ dots & \ddots & dots \\ Y_{n1} & \cdots & Y_{np} \end{array}
ight]_{n imes p}$$

- Design matrix

$$\boldsymbol{X} = \left[\begin{array}{ccc} X_{11} & \cdots & X_{1q} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ X_{n1} & \cdots & X_{nq} \end{array} \right]_{n \times q}$$

*
$$\operatorname{rk}(\boldsymbol{X}) = q$$

- Error

$$m{E} = \left[egin{array}{ccc} e_{11} & \cdots & e_{1q} \ dots & \ddots & dots \ e_{n1} & \cdots & e_{nq} \end{array}
ight]_{n imes q} = \left[egin{array}{c} m{e}_{1}^{ op} \ dots \ m{e}_{n}^{ op} \end{array}
ight]$$

*
$$\boldsymbol{e}_i$$
. $\perp \!\!\! \perp [X_{i1}, \dots, X_{iq}]$
* \boldsymbol{e}_i . $\stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} (\mathbf{0}_p, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$

- Relationship with MANOVA
 - MANOVA models can be expressed as multivariate linear regression with a carefully selected X.
- Exercise 6.1: rephrase the following one-way MANOVA model

$$Y_{ij} = \mu + \tau_i + E_{ij}, \quad j = 1, \dots, n_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, m$$

into a multivariate linear regression model, where $E_{ij} \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} \text{MVN}_p(\mathbf{0}, \Sigma)$ and $\sum_i \tau_i = 0$.

• LS estimation (no need of normality)

$$\begin{aligned} & - \hat{\mathbf{B}}_{\mathrm{LS}} = (\hat{\boldsymbol{X}}^{\top} \boldsymbol{X})^{-1} \boldsymbol{X}^{\top} \boldsymbol{Y} \\ & * \mathrm{E}(\hat{\mathbf{B}}_{\mathrm{LS}} \mid \boldsymbol{X}) = \mathbf{B} \\ & - \hat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_{\mathrm{LS}} = (n-q)^{-1} (\boldsymbol{Y} - \boldsymbol{X} \hat{\mathbf{B}}_{\mathrm{LS}})^{\top} (\boldsymbol{Y} - \boldsymbol{X} \hat{\mathbf{B}}_{\mathrm{LS}}) = (n-q)^{-1} \boldsymbol{Y}^{\top} (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{H}) \boldsymbol{Y} \\ & * \mathrm{E}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_{\mathrm{LS}} \mid \boldsymbol{X}) = \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \end{aligned}$$

- ML estimation (under normality)
 - $\hat{\mathbf{B}}_{\mathrm{ML}} = (\boldsymbol{X}^{\top} \boldsymbol{X})^{-1} \boldsymbol{X}^{\top} \boldsymbol{Y} = \hat{\mathbf{B}}_{\mathrm{LS}}$ $-\hat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_{\mathrm{ML}} = \hat{\boldsymbol{n}}^{-1} \boldsymbol{Y}^{\top} (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{H}) \boldsymbol{Y} = n^{-1} (n - q) \hat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_{\mathrm{LS}}$ * Given \boldsymbol{X} , $n\hat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_{\mathrm{ML}} \sim W_p(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}, n-q)$
- Inference (under normality)
 - To infer $\mathbf{B}^{\top} a$, given $a \in \mathbb{R}^q$ (e.g., to compare the 1st and 2nd rows of \mathbf{B} , i.e., b_1 and b_2 , by checking $\mathbf{B}^{\top} a = b_1 - b_2$ with $a = [1, -1, 0, \dots, 0]^{\top}$
 - * Estimator: $\hat{\mathbf{B}}_{\mathrm{ML}}^{\top} \boldsymbol{a}$
 - * $100 \times (1-\alpha)\%$ confidence region for $\mathbf{B}^{\top} \boldsymbol{a}$

$$\left\{ \boldsymbol{u} \in \mathbb{R}^p : (\boldsymbol{u} - \hat{\mathbf{B}}_{\mathrm{ML}}^{\top} \boldsymbol{a})^{\top} \hat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_{\mathrm{LS}}^{-1} (\boldsymbol{u} - \hat{\mathbf{B}}_{\mathrm{ML}}^{\top} \boldsymbol{a}) \leq \boldsymbol{a}^{\top} (\boldsymbol{X}^{\top} \boldsymbol{X})^{-1} \boldsymbol{a} \cdot \frac{p(n-q)}{n-p-q+1} F_{1-\alpha,p,n-p-q+1} \right\}$$

- To predict $\boldsymbol{Y}_0 = \mathbf{B}^{\top} \boldsymbol{X}_0 + \boldsymbol{E}_0$ with newly observed $\boldsymbol{X}_0 \in \mathbb{R}^q$ * Prediction: $\hat{\boldsymbol{Y}}_0 = \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{ML}}^{\top} \boldsymbol{X}_0$ * $100 \times (1 \alpha)\%$ prediction region for \boldsymbol{Y}_0

$$\left\{ \boldsymbol{u} \in \mathbb{R}^p : (\boldsymbol{u} - \hat{\boldsymbol{Y}}_0)^{\top} \hat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_{LS}^{-1} (\boldsymbol{u} - \hat{\boldsymbol{Y}}_0) \leq \{1 + \boldsymbol{X}_0^{\top} (\boldsymbol{X}^{\top} \boldsymbol{X})^{-1} \boldsymbol{X}_0\} \cdot \frac{p(n-q)}{n-p-q+1} F_{1-\alpha,p,n-p-q+1} \right\}$$

- To infer $\boldsymbol{a}^{\top}\boldsymbol{Y}_{0}=\boldsymbol{a}^{\top}(\mathbf{B}^{\top}\boldsymbol{X}_{0}+\boldsymbol{E}_{0})$, given $\boldsymbol{a}\in\mathbb{R}^{p}$ and newly observed $\boldsymbol{X}_{0}\in\mathbb{R}^{q}$
 - * Prediction: $\boldsymbol{a}^{\top} \hat{\boldsymbol{Y}}_{0} = \boldsymbol{a}^{\top} \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{ML}}^{\top} \boldsymbol{X}_{0}$
 - * $100 \times (1-\alpha)\%$ prediction interval for $\boldsymbol{a}^{\top} \boldsymbol{Y}_0$

$$oldsymbol{a}^{ op} \hat{oldsymbol{Y}}_0 \pm \sqrt{oldsymbol{a}^{ op} \hat{oldsymbol{\Sigma}}_{ ext{LS}} oldsymbol{a} \cdot \{1 + oldsymbol{X}_0^{ op} (oldsymbol{X}^{ op} oldsymbol{X})^{-1} oldsymbol{X}_0\} \cdot t_{1-lpha/2,n-q}}$$

- $-100 \times (1-\alpha)\%$ simultaneous prediction intervals for $\boldsymbol{a}_k^{\top} \boldsymbol{Y}_0, k = 1, \dots, m$, given $\boldsymbol{a}_1, \dots, \boldsymbol{a}_m \in \mathbb{R}^p$ and newly observed $X_0 \in \mathbb{R}^q$
 - * (Bonferroni)

$$\boldsymbol{a}_k^{\top} \hat{\boldsymbol{Y}}_0 \pm \sqrt{\boldsymbol{a}_k^{\top} \hat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_{\mathrm{LS}} \boldsymbol{a}_k \cdot \{1 + \boldsymbol{X}_0^{\top} (\boldsymbol{X}^{\top} \boldsymbol{X})^{-1} \boldsymbol{X}_0\} \cdot t_{1-\alpha/(2m),n-q}}$$

* (Scheffé's)

$$\boldsymbol{a}_k^{\top} \hat{\boldsymbol{Y}}_0 \pm \sqrt{\boldsymbol{a}_k^{\top} \hat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_{\mathrm{LS}} \boldsymbol{a}_k \cdot \{1 + \boldsymbol{X}_0^{\top} (\boldsymbol{X}^{\top} \boldsymbol{X})^{-1} \boldsymbol{X}_0\} \cdot \frac{p(n-q)}{n-p-q+1}} F_{1-\alpha,p,n-p-q+1}$$

Testing for nested models

- $\bullet \ H_0: \mathrm{E}(\boldsymbol{Y} \mid \boldsymbol{X}) = \boldsymbol{X}_{(0)} \mathbf{B}_{(0)} \text{ (nested model) vs. } H_1: \mathrm{E}(\boldsymbol{Y} \mid \boldsymbol{X}) = \boldsymbol{X}_{(0)} \mathbf{B}_{(0)} + \boldsymbol{X}_{(1)} \mathbf{B}_{(1)} \text{ (full model)}$
 - When $X_{(0)}$ has only the column of ones, we are testing the empty model (i.e., only the intercept) against the full model.
 - When $X_{(1)}$ only contains one column, we are testing for the significance of that variable.
- Likelihood ratio

$$\lambda = \left(\frac{\det \hat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_{\mathrm{ML}, H_0}}{\det \hat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_{\mathrm{ML}}}\right)^{-n/2} = \left[\det \left\{ (\hat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_{\mathrm{ML}, H_0} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_{\mathrm{ML}}) \hat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_{\mathrm{ML}}^{-1} + \mathbf{I} \right\} \right]^{-n/2}$$

- Alternatives to the likelihood ratio
 - Suppose $\eta_1 \ge \cdots \ge \eta_p$ are eigenvalues of $(\hat{\Sigma}_{\mathrm{ML},H_0} \hat{\Sigma}_{\mathrm{ML}})\hat{\Sigma}_{\mathrm{ML}}^{-1}$
 - Wilks' lambda: $\prod_{i} (1 + \eta_i)^{-1}$
 - Pillai's trace: $\sum_{i} \{ \eta_i (1 + \eta_i)^{-1} \}$
 - Hotelling-Lawley trace: $\sum_i \eta_i$
 - Roy's largest root: $\eta_1(1+\eta_1)^{-1}$
 - When $X_{(1)}$ has only one column, all four tests are equivalent; as n increases, all four tests give similar results.

Information criteria

- Akaike's information criterion (AIC)
 - $-\ln Likelihood + 2 \times \text{number of parameters to estimate}$
 - Number of parameters to estimate in **B** and Σ : pq + p(p+1)/2
 - The smaller, the better.
- Bayesian information criterion (BIC)
 - $-\ln Likelihood + \ln n \times \text{number of parameters to estimate}$
- Model selection using information criteria proceeds as follows
 - Select models of interest M_1, \ldots, M_K . They do not need to be nested.
 - * Candidate models should be selected using domain-specific expertise, if possible. Or, you can go through all possible models.
 - Compute the specific information criterion for each model.
 - Select the model with the smallest value of the information criterion.

Multivariate influence measures

- Hat matrix $\mathbf{H} = [h_{ij}]_{n \times n} = \mathbf{X} (\mathbf{X}^{\top} \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^{\top}$
- Leverage: the influence of $\mathbf{Y}_{i\cdot}^{\top}$ (the *i*th row of \mathbf{Y}) on $\hat{\mathbf{Y}}_{i\cdot}$ (= $h_{ii}\mathbf{Y}_{i\cdot} + \sum_{j\neq i} h_{ij}\mathbf{Y}_{j\cdot}$); specifically, $\mathbf{Y}_{i\cdot}$ is said to have a high leverage if h_{ii} is large compared to the other diagonal entries of hat matrix \mathbf{H}
- (Externally) Studentized residuals

$$T_i^2 = rac{\hat{oldsymbol{e}}_{i\cdot}^{ op}\hat{oldsymbol{\Sigma}}_{ ext{LS},(-i)}^{-1}\hat{oldsymbol{e}}_{i\cdot}}{1-h_{ii}}$$

- $\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_{i\cdot}^{\top} \colon$ the ith row of residual matrix $\hat{\boldsymbol{E}} = (\mathbf{I} \mathbf{H})\boldsymbol{Y}$
- $-\stackrel{\circ}{\hat{E}_{(-i)}^\top}$: the remaining part of $\hat{\pmb{E}}$ with Row i removed
- $-\hat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_{\mathrm{LS},(-i)} = (n-q-1)^{-1}\hat{\boldsymbol{E}}_{(-i)}^{\top}.\hat{\boldsymbol{E}}_{(-i)}$: LS estimator of $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ where we have removed Row i from the residual matrix
- The ith observation may be considered as a potential outlier if

$$T_i^2 > \frac{p(n-q-1)}{n-p-q} F_{1-\alpha,p,n-q-1}$$

- * $F_{1-\alpha,p,n-q-1}$: the $1-\alpha$ quantile of F(p,n-q-1)
- (Multivariate) Cook's distance

$$D_i = \frac{h_{ii}}{q(1 - h_{ii})^2} \hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_{i\cdot}^{\top} \hat{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_{\mathrm{LS}}^{-1} \hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_{i\cdot}$$

- The Cut-off is far from unique even for multiple linear regression (i.e., the case with p=1)
- Pay attention to a small set of observations that has substantially higher values than the remaining observations

Normality of residuals

- Check the normality of residuals following Lecture Note Part 3
- Apply Box-Cox transformation to colums of \boldsymbol{Y}