STAT 4100 Lecture Note

Week Eleven (Nov 21, 23, & 25, 2022)

Zhiyang Zhou (zhiyang.zhou@umanitoba.ca, zhiyanggeezhou.github.io)

2022/Nov/27 02:29:04

Asymptotic properties of MLE (con'd)

Consistency of MLE (univariate case, CB Thm 10.1.6)

- $\hat{\theta}_{\text{ML}} \xrightarrow{p} \theta_0$, provided that $\hat{\theta}_{\text{ML}}$ is the MLE for θ_0 , under (sufficient) regularity conditions (CB Sec 10.6.2):
 - iid $X_1, \ldots, X_n \sim f(x \mid \theta_0);$
 - for $\theta_1, \theta_2 \in \Theta$, if $\theta_1 \neq \theta_2$, then $f_X(x \mid \theta_1) \neq f_X(x \mid \theta_2)$;
 - $-f_X(x \mid \theta)$ has a common support for each $\theta \in \Theta$ and is differentiable with respect to θ ;
 - * Violated by, e.g., $Unif(0, \theta)$;
 - $-\theta_0$ is an interior point of parameter space Θ .

Example of inconsistent MLE

There are independent $X_{i1}, X_{i2} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_i, \sigma^2), i = 1, \dots, n$. Then $\widehat{\sigma^2}_{ML}$ is NOT consistent for σ^2 .

Examples of consistent MLE with the regularity conditions violated

- iid $X_1, \ldots, X_n \sim \text{Ber}(1)$
- iid $X_1, \ldots, X_n \sim \text{Unif}(0, \theta)$

Efficiency

- (HMC Def 6.2.2) For an estimator, say T_n , unbiased for $\tau(\theta)$, the (finite-sample) efficiency of T_n is the ratio of the CRLB to $var(T_n)$, i.e., $[\{\tau'(\theta)\}^2/I_n(\theta)]/var(T_n \mid \theta)$.
 - The higher efficiency the better;
 - the efficiency = $1 \iff$ an efficient estimator.
- (CB Def 10.1.9) If $k_n\{T_n \tau(\theta)\} \stackrel{d}{\to} \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$, then σ^2 is the asymptotic variance of T_n .
- (CB Def 10.1.11) T_n is asymptotically efficient for $\tau(\theta) \iff \sqrt{n}\{T_n \tau(\theta)\} \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0, \{\tau'(\theta)\}^2/I_1(\theta)),$ where

$$I_1(\theta) = -\mathbb{E}\left\{\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} \ln f(X_i \mid \theta) \mid \theta\right\} = -\mathbb{E}\{H(\theta; X_i) \mid \theta\}$$
 is the Fisher information of one single observation.

- i.e., the asymptotic variance of T_n is $\{\tau'(\theta)\}^2/I_1(\theta)$, attaining the CRLB
- (CB Def 10.1.16 & HMC Def 6.2.3(c)) Denote by T_n and W_n two estimators for $\tau(\theta)$. Suppose that $\sqrt{n}\{T_n \tau(\theta)\} \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_T^2)$ and $\sqrt{n}\{W_n \tau(\theta)\} \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_W^2)$. The asymptotic relative efficiency (ARE)

of T_n with respect to W_n is defined as

$$ARE(T_n, W_n) = \sigma_W^2 / \sigma_T^2.$$

- T_n is asymptotically more efficient than $W_n \iff ARE(T_n, W_n) > 1$ T_n is asymptotically efficient $\iff \{\tau'(\theta)\}^2/\{I_1(\theta)\sigma_T^2\} = 1$

Asymptotic efficiency of MLE (CB Thm 10.1.12 & Ex. 10.7)

- $\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta}_{\text{ML}} \theta_0) \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0, 1/I_1(\theta_0))$, provided that $\hat{\theta}_{\text{ML}}$ is the MLE for θ_0 , we have the previous four regularity conditions (for the consistency of MLE) plus the following two more (CB Sec 10.6.2):
 - For each $x \in \text{supp}(X)$, $f(x \mid \theta)$ is three time continuously differentiable with respect to θ ; and $\int f(x \mid \theta) dx$ can be differentiated three times under the integral sign;
 - for each $\theta \in \Theta$, there exists $c(\theta) > 0$ and $M(x, \theta)$ such that $\left| \frac{\partial^3}{\partial \theta^3} \ln f_X(x \mid \theta) \right| \leq M(x, \theta)$ for all $x \in \operatorname{supp}(X)$ and $\theta \in (\theta c(\theta), \theta + c(\theta))$.
- In practice,
 - $nI_1(\theta_0) = I_n(\theta_0) \approx I_n(\hat{\theta}_{\mathrm{ML}}) \approx \hat{I}_n(\hat{\theta}_{\mathrm{ML}})$

 - * (Expected) Fisher information (number) $I_n(\theta_0) = -\mathbb{E}\{H(\theta_0; \mathbf{X})\}\$ * Observed Fisher information (number) $\hat{I}_n(\hat{\theta}_{\mathrm{ML}}) = -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} \ln L(\theta; \boldsymbol{x})\big|_{\theta = \hat{\theta}_{\mathrm{ML}}} = -H(\hat{\theta}_{\mathrm{ML}}; \boldsymbol{x})$
 - Hence $\operatorname{var}(\hat{\theta}_{\mathrm{ML}}) \approx 1/I_n(\theta_0) \approx 1/I_n(\hat{\theta}_{\mathrm{ML}}) \approx 1/\hat{I}_n(\hat{\theta}_{\mathrm{ML}})$

Delta method

• (CB Thm 5.5.24, delta method) If $\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta) \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$, τ is NOT a function of n, and $\tau'(\theta) \neq 0$,

$$\sqrt{n}\{\tau(\hat{\theta}_n) - \tau(\theta)\} \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0, \{\tau'(\theta)\}^2 \sigma^2).$$

- Hence $\operatorname{var}\{\tau(\hat{\theta}_n)\} \approx \{\tau'(\hat{\theta}_n)\}^2 \sigma^2/n \text{ if } \tau'(\theta) \neq 0$
- (CB Thm 5.5.26, second-order delta method) If $\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta}_n \theta) \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$, τ is NOT a function of n, $\tau'(\theta) = 0$, and $\tau''(\theta) \neq 0$, then

$$n\{\tau(\hat{\theta}_n) - \tau(\theta)\} \xrightarrow{d} \frac{\tau''(\theta)\sigma^2}{2}\chi^2(1).$$

- Hence $\operatorname{var}\{\tau(\hat{\theta}_n)\} \approx \{\tau''(\hat{\theta}_n)\}^2 \sigma^4/(2n^2)$ if $\tau'(\theta) = 0$ but $\tau''(\theta) \neq 0$

CB Example 10.1.17 & Ex. 10.9

- iid $X_1, \ldots, X_n \sim p(x \mid \lambda) = \lambda^x \exp(-\lambda)/x!, x \in \mathbb{Z}^+, \lambda > 0$. To estimate $\Pr(X_i = 0) = \exp(-\lambda)$.
 - a. Consider $T_n = n^{-1} \sum_i \mathbf{1}_{\{0\}}(X_i)$ and MLE $W_n = \exp(-\bar{X}_n)$. Compute ARE (T_n, W_n) , the ARE of T_n with respect to $\overline{W_n}$.
 - b. Find the UMVUE for $Pr(X_i = 0)$, say U_n , and then calculate $ARE(U_n, W_n)$.
 - Hint: $\sqrt{n}(U_n W_n) \xrightarrow{p} 0$ (derived from S. Portnoy, *The Annals of Statistics*, 1977, 5, pp. 522–529, Theorem 1) and $\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i \sim \text{Poisson}(n\lambda)$

Take-home exercises (NOT to be submitted; to be potentially covered in labs)

• CB Ex. 10.3