STAT 4100 Lecture Note

Week Seven (Oct 17, 19, & 21, 2022)

Zhiyang Zhou (zhiyang.zhou@umanitoba.ca, zhiyanggeezhou.github.io)

2022/Oct/22 17:31:17

Review for midterm (con'd)

To cover CB Ex. 7.66, 7.58, & 7.57

Hypothesis Testing

Binary classification

- Assume $\mathbf{X} = [X_1, \ldots, X_n]^\top \sim f(\boldsymbol{x} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}^*) \in \{f(\boldsymbol{x} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}) : \boldsymbol{\theta} \in \boldsymbol{\Theta}\}$
  - Fixed unknown $\boldsymbol{\theta}^*$ to be inferred
- Make a decision on $\boldsymbol{\theta}^*$ between two hypotheses $H_0 : \boldsymbol{\theta}^* \in \boldsymbol{\Theta}_0$ and $H_1 : \boldsymbol{\theta}^* \in \boldsymbol{\Theta}_1$
  - $\boldsymbol{\Theta}_0 \cup \boldsymbol{\Theta}_1 = \boldsymbol{\Theta}$
  - $\boldsymbol{\Theta}_0 \cap \boldsymbol{\Theta}_1 = \emptyset$

- Decision and correctness
  - True positive (TP) = H_0 correctly rejected
  - False positive (FP, type I error) = H_0 incorrectly rejected
  - True negative (TN) = H_0 is correctly accepted
  - False negative (FN, type II error) = H_0 incorrectly accepted
- E.g., H_0 : healthy vs H_1 : sick
  - TP: sick people identified as sick
  - FP: healthy people identified as sick
  - TN: healthy people identified as healthy
  - FN: sick people identified as healthy

	Accept H_0	Reject H_0
H_0 is true	True negative (TN)	False positive (FP, type I error)
H_0 is false	False negative (FN, type II error)	True positive (TP)

- Misclassification rate = $\Pr(\text{FP}) + \Pr(\text{FN})$

- False discovery rate (FDR) = $\Pr(\text{FP})/\{\Pr(\text{FP}) + \Pr(\text{TP})\}$

– FDR controlling for sequential/simultaneous testing

- Receiver operating characteristic curve (ROC curve): plot of TPR vs FPR
  - True positive rate (TPR, sensitivity) = Pr(TP)/{Pr(TP) + Pr(FN)}
  - False positive rate (FPR) = Pr(FP)/{Pr(FP) + Pr(FN)}
  - Area under the ROC curve (AUC)
- True negative rate (TNR, specificity) = Pr(TN)/{Pr(TN) + Pr(FP)}
- The optimal hypothesis testing seeking to minimize Pr(FN) subject to capped Pr(FP), i.e.,

$$\min \Pr(\text{type II error}) \text{ subject to } \Pr(\text{type I error}) \leq \alpha$$

Power function

- Rejection/critical region: $R = \{\boldsymbol{x} : \text{data } \boldsymbol{x} \text{ corresponding to the rejection of } H_0\}$
  - Typically specified in terms of a function of the sample (called the *test statistic*); e.g., if $R = \{\boldsymbol{x} : \bar{x} \geq 3\}$, then $\bar{X}$ is the test statistic.
- Test function $\phi : \text{supp}(\mathbf{X}) \to \{0, 1\}$ defined as $\phi(\boldsymbol{x}) = \mathbf{1}_R(\boldsymbol{x})$
  - $\phi(\boldsymbol{x}) = 1$ implying the rejection of H_0
- Each test function ϕ corresponds to a unique rejection region $R_\phi = \{\boldsymbol{x} : \phi(\boldsymbol{x}) = 1\}$
  - Two tests considered to be equivalent if they correpond to the same rejection region/test function
- Power function (for ϕ): $\beta_\phi(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \Pr(\mathbf{X} \in R_\phi \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \mathrm{E}\{\phi(\mathbf{X}) \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}\}$
  - $\Pr(\text{type I error}) = \beta_\phi(\boldsymbol{\theta}^*)$ if H_0 is correct ($\boldsymbol{\theta}^* \in \boldsymbol{\Theta}_0$)
  - $\Pr(\text{type II error}) = 1 - \beta_\phi(\boldsymbol{\theta}^*)$ if H_1 is correct ($\boldsymbol{\theta}^* \in \boldsymbol{\Theta}_1$)
- Prefer larger $\beta_\phi(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ for all $\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \boldsymbol{\Theta}_1$ and smaller $\beta_\phi(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ for all $\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \boldsymbol{\Theta}_0$ (because $\boldsymbol{\theta}^*$ is unknown)

Example Lec14.2

- iid $X_1, \ldots, X_n \sim N(\theta, \sigma_0^2)$ with known σ_0. Consider a test for $H_0 : \theta = \theta_0$ vs $H_1 : \theta \neq \theta_0$ with rejection region $\{\boldsymbol{x} : \sqrt{n}|\bar{\boldsymbol{x}} - \theta_0|/\sigma_0 > c\}$.
  a. Elaborate the power function.
  b. Find sample size n and threshold c if one desires that the type I error rate is 5% and the type II error rate at $\theta_0 + \sigma_0$ is 25%.

Uniformly most powerful (UMP) level α test (CB Sec 8.3.2)

- ϕ is of level α iff $\sup_{\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \boldsymbol{\Theta}_0} \beta_\phi(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \leq \alpha$
  - ϕ is of size α iff $\sup_{\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \boldsymbol{\Theta}_0} \beta_\phi(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \alpha$
- Let ϕ is a level α test for $H_0 : \boldsymbol{\theta}^* \in \boldsymbol{\Theta}_0$ vs $H_1 : \boldsymbol{\theta}^* \in \boldsymbol{\Theta}_1$. If $\beta_\phi(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \geq \beta_{\phi'}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ for all $\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \boldsymbol{\Theta}_1$ and all ϕ' of level α, then ϕ is a UMP level α test.
- If ϕ is a UMP level α test, then $\beta_\phi(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \geq \alpha \geq \sup_{\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \boldsymbol{\Theta}_0} \beta_\phi(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ for all $\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \boldsymbol{\Theta}_1$ (unbiasedness for testing, CB Def 8.3.9)

UMP level α test for simple hypotheses ($H_0 : \boldsymbol{\theta}^* = \boldsymbol{\theta}_0$ vs $H_1 : \boldsymbol{\theta}^* = \boldsymbol{\theta}_1$)

- To maximize $\beta_\phi(\boldsymbol{\theta}_1)$ with respect to ϕ subject to $\beta_\phi(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0) \leq \alpha$
- Neymann-Pearson (NP) Lemma (CB Thm 8.3.12): ϕ is the UMP test of level α for simple hypotheses $\iff \exists c > 0$ such that $\beta_\phi(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0) = \mathrm{E}\{\phi_c(\mathbf{X}) \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}^* = \boldsymbol{\theta}_0\} = \alpha$, where

$$\phi_c(\boldsymbol{x}) = \begin{cases} 1, & f(\boldsymbol{x} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}_1) > c f(\boldsymbol{x} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}_0), \\ 0, & f(\boldsymbol{x} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}_1) < c f(\boldsymbol{x} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}_0). \end{cases}$$

In practice (especially for discrete distributions), c is taken as the largest real number such that

$$\Pr\{f(\mathbf{X} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}_1)/f(\mathbf{X} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}_0) \geq c \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}^* = \boldsymbol{\theta}_0\} \geq \alpha$$

and

$$\Pr\{f(\mathbf{X} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}_1)/f(\mathbf{X} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}_0) \leq c \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}^* = \boldsymbol{\theta}_0\} \geq 1 - \alpha.$$

- According to NP Lemma, for simple hypotheses, UMP test at level $\alpha \iff$ UMP test at size α.
- UMP test and sufficiency (CB Coro 8.3.13): sufficient statistics can be taken as test statistics for UMP test.