

STAT 3100 Lecture Note

Week Six (Oct 11 & 13, 2022)

Zhiyang Zhou (zhiyang.zhou@umanitoba.ca, zhiyanggeezhou.github.io)

2022/Oct/10 19:19:15

Evaluating estimators (con'd)

Completeness (CB Def 6.2.21)

- Only consider one-dimensional cases
- T is a complete statistic if we have the following identity: for any (measurable) function g ,

$$E(g(T) \mid \theta) = 0 \text{ for all } \theta \in \Theta \Rightarrow \Pr(g(T) = 0 \mid \theta) = 1 \text{ for all } \theta \in \Theta.$$

- Geometrical interpretation: $\text{span}\{f_{T|\theta}(t \mid \theta) : \theta \in \Theta\} = \{g(\cdot) : (\text{measurable}) \text{ } g \text{ is defined on } \text{supp}(T)\}$
- Bounded completeness: restricted to bounded g only
- (CB Thm 6.2.28) Minimal sufficient statistics exist \Rightarrow complete sufficient statistics are minimally sufficient
- (HMC Thm 7.5.2) iid $X_1, \dots, X_n \sim f(x \mid \theta) = h(x)c(\theta) \exp\left\{\sum_{i=1}^n w_i(\theta)t_i(x)\right\}$, i.e., following the exponential family, $\Rightarrow (\sum_{i=1}^n t_1(X_i), \dots, \sum_{i=1}^n t_k(X_i))$ is complete sufficient

Example Lec9.2

- Find the complete statistic for the following scenarios:
 - a. iid $X_1, \dots, X_n \sim f(x \mid \theta) = (x!)^{-1} \theta^x e^{-\theta} \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{R}^+ \times \{0,1,\dots\}}(\theta, x)$;
 - b. iid $X_1, \dots, X_n \sim \text{Unif}\{1, \dots, \theta\}$, integer $\theta \geq 2$.

Lehmann-Scheffe (CB Thm 7.3.23 & 7.5.1; HMC Thm 7.4.1)

- The unbiased estimator only depending on complete sufficient statistics is the UMVUE.
- Application to the construction of UMVUE
 1. Find the minimal sufficient T .
 2. Check the completeness of T .
 3. Find unbiased $g(T)$, e.g.,
 - $E(W \mid T)$ with certain unbiased W
 - debiased MLE (if it is a function of T).

Example Lec9.3

- Suppose that iid X_1, \dots, X_n are following $\text{Unif}\{1, \dots, \theta\}$, integer $\theta \geq 2$. Prove that $[X_{(n)}^{n+1} - (X_{(n)} - 1)^{n+1}] / [X_{(n)}^n - (X_{(n)} - 1)^n]$ is the UMVUE for θ .

Verifying the independence

Ancillary Statistics

- Statistics whose distribution does not depend on unknown θ .

Example Lec10.1

- Verify the following statistics are ancillary for θ .
 - Range $X_{(n)} - X_{(1)}$ with $X_1, \dots, X_n \sim \text{Unif}(\theta, \theta + 1)$.
 - X_1/X_2 with $X_1, X_2 \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \theta^2)$.

Basu's theorem (CB Thm 6.2.4)

- T is complete and sufficient, while S is ancillary. Then T and S are independent of each other.
 - The completeness of T can be relaxed to be bounded completeness.

Example Lec10.2

- Let iid $X_1, \dots, X_n \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$. Deduce the independence of \bar{X} and S^2 by applying Basu's theorem for the case with unknown μ and known σ^2 .

How to verify the independence of X and Y

- Joint cdf: $F_{X,Y}(x, y) = F_X(x)F_Y(y)$
- Joint pdf or pmf: $f_{X,Y}(x, y) = f_X(x)f_Y(y)$
- conditional pdf or pmf: $f_{X|Y}(x | y) = f_X(x)$
- mgf: $E(e^{t_1X+t_2Y}) = E(e^{t_1X})E(e^{t_2Y})$
- cf: $E(e^{it_1X+it_2Y}) = E(e^{it_1X})E(e^{it_2Y})$
- Basu's theorem
 - Sometimes it is even more complex to find complete statistics than to obtain the joint pdf
- Zero covariance matrix for normal cases

Review for midterm

Find the distribution of $Y = g(X)$ given the distribution of X

- First figure out $\text{support}(Y)$
- Univariate transformation
 - For discrete Y : find the pmf of Y by definition
 - For continuous Y : find the cdf by definition OR by CB Ex. 2.7(b),

$$f_Y(y) = \sum_{k=1}^K f_X\{g_k^{-1}(y)\} \left| J_{g_k^{-1}}(y) \right| \mathbf{1}_{B_k}(y)$$

- * Partition $\text{supp}(X)$ into K intervals A_1, \dots, A_K such that
 - $\bigcup_{k=1}^K A_k = \text{supp}(X)$ and $A_k \cap A_{k'} = \emptyset$ if $k \neq k'$
 - g is strictly monotonic and continuously differentiable on A_k
- * $g_k = g_k(x) = g(x)$, $x \in A_k$

- * Jacobian of transformation g_k^{-1}

$$J_{g_k^{-1}} = \frac{d}{dy} g_k^{-1}(y)$$

- * $B_k = \{g(x) : x \in A_k\}$

- Bivariate transformation

- Consider

- * \mathbf{X} and \mathbf{Y} both two-dimensional

- * $\mathbf{g}(\cdot) = (g_1(\cdot), g_2(\cdot)) : \text{supp}(\mathbf{X}) \rightarrow \text{supp}(\mathbf{Y})$ is one-to-one, i.e.,

$$\cdot \mathbf{y} = (y_1, y_2) = (g_1(x_1, x_2), g_2(x_1, x_2)) = \mathbf{g}(x_1, x_2)$$

$$\cdot \mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2) = \mathbf{g}^{-1}(y_1, y_2) = (h_1(y_1, y_2), h_2(y_1, y_2))$$

- If \mathbf{g}^{-1} is continuously differentiable,

$$f_{\mathbf{Y}}(y_1, y_2) = f_{\mathbf{X}}\{\mathbf{g}^{-1}(y_1, y_2)\} |\det\{\mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{g}^{-1}}(y_1, y_2)\}| \mathbf{1}_{\text{supp}(\mathbf{Y})}(y_1, y_2)$$

- * Jacobian matrix of transformation \mathbf{g}^{-1}

$$\mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{g}^{-1}}(y_1, y_2) = \left[\frac{\partial h_i(y_1, y_2)}{\partial y_j} \right]_{2 \times 2} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial h_1(y_1, y_2)}{\partial y_1} & \frac{\partial h_1(y_1, y_2)}{\partial y_2} \\ \frac{\partial h_2(y_1, y_2)}{\partial y_1} & \frac{\partial h_2(y_1, y_2)}{\partial y_2} \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{g}}^{-1}\{\mathbf{g}^{-1}(y_1, y_2)\}$$

$$\cdot \text{Hence } \det\{\mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{g}^{-1}}(y_1, y_2)\} = 1 / \det[\mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{g}}\{\mathbf{g}^{-1}(y_1, y_2)\}]$$

- Polar coordinate system

Bivariate normal (BVN) distribution

- Random 2-vector $\mathbf{X} = [X_1, X_2]^\top \sim \text{BVN}(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{X} = \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{1/2} \mathbf{Z} + \boldsymbol{\mu}$ with $\mathbf{Z} = \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1/2}(\mathbf{X} - \boldsymbol{\mu}) \sim \text{BVN}(0, \mathbf{I}_2) \Rightarrow$

$$\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{X}) = [\mathbf{E}(X_1), \mathbf{E}(X_2)]^\top = \boldsymbol{\mu} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{cov}(\mathbf{X}) = [\text{cov}(X_i, X_j)]_{2 \times 2} = \boldsymbol{\Sigma}$$

- Random 2-vector $\mathbf{X} \sim \text{BVN}(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}) \Rightarrow \mathbf{B}\mathbf{X} + \mathbf{b} \sim \text{BVN}(\mathbf{B}\boldsymbol{\mu} + \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{B}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}\mathbf{B}^\top)$
- If $[X_1, X_2]^\top$ is of BVN, then the marginal distributions of X_1 and X_2 are both normal. The inverse proposition does NOT hold.
- Normal sampling theory
 - $\sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2 \sim \chi^2(n)$ if iid $X_1, \dots, X_n \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$
 - $X/\sqrt{Y/n} \sim t(n)$ if $X \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ and $Y \sim \chi^2(n)$ are independent
 - $(X/m)/(Y/n) \sim F(m, n)$ if $X \sim \chi^2(m)$ and $Y \sim \chi^2(n)$ are independent
 - $n^{1/2}(\bar{X} - \mu)/\sigma \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ if iid $X_1, \dots, X_n \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$
 - $(n-1)S^2/\sigma^2 \sim \chi^2(n-1)$ if iid $X_1, \dots, X_n \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$
 - \bar{X} and S^2 are independent of each other if iid $X_1, \dots, X_n \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$
 - $n^{1/2}(\bar{X} - \mu)/S \sim t(n-1)$ if iid $X_1, \dots, X_n \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$

Generating functions

- Univariate mgf $M_X(t) = \mathbf{E}\{\exp(tX)\}$ if $\mathbf{E}\{\exp(tX)\} < \infty$ for all t inside a neighbourhood of 0
 - Characterizing distributions: identical mgfs implying identical distributions
 - $M_Y(t) = \exp(bt) \prod_i M_{X_i}(a_i t)$ if $Y = b + \sum_i a_i X_i$, where b and a_i are constants, X_1, \dots, X_p are independent, and each $M_{X_i}(\cdot)$ exists

Exponential family

- If the pdf or pmf of X is of the following form

$$f(x | \boldsymbol{\theta}) = h(x)c(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \exp \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^k w_i(\boldsymbol{\theta}) t_i(x) \right\}$$

- $\mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$ (CB Example 3.4.4):
 - $h(x) = \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{R}}(x)$
 - $c(\mu, \sigma) = (2\pi\sigma^2)^{-1/2} \exp\{-\mu^2/(2\sigma^2)\} \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^+}(\mu, \sigma)$
 - $w_1(\mu, \sigma) = \sigma^{-2} \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{R}^+}(\sigma)$
 - $w_2(\mu, \sigma) = \mu \sigma^{-2} \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{R}^+}(\sigma)$
 - $t_1(x) = -x^2/2$
 - $t_2(x) = x$
- $\text{Binom}(n, p)$ (CB Example 3.4.1):
 - $h(x) = \binom{n}{x} \mathbf{1}_{\{0, \dots, n\}}(x)$ (What happens if n is also an unknown parameter?)
 - $c(p) = (1-p)^n \mathbf{1}_{(0,1)}(p)$
 - $w_1(p) = \ln\{p/(1-p)\} \mathbf{1}_{(0,1)}(p)$
 - $t_1(x) = x$
- Other special cases of exponential family: gamma, beta, Poisson, negative binomial
- $(\sum_{i=1}^n t_1(X_i), \dots, \sum_{i=1}^n t_k(X_i))$ is sufficient complete

Point estimation

- Method of moments (MOM)
 - Equate raw moments to their empirical counterparts (Why is it reasonable?)
 - Pros and cons
- Maximum likelihood (ML)
 - $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{\text{ML}}$ is a statistic such that

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{\text{ML}} = \arg \max_{\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \boldsymbol{\Theta}} L(\boldsymbol{\theta}; \mathbf{x}) = \arg \max_{\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \boldsymbol{\Theta}} \ell(\boldsymbol{\theta}; \mathbf{x})$$

- Invariance property: $\widehat{g(\boldsymbol{\theta})}_{\text{ML}} = g(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_{\text{ML}})$
- Maximizing $L(\boldsymbol{\theta}; \mathbf{x})$ or $\ell(\boldsymbol{\theta}; \mathbf{x})$ with respect to $\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \boldsymbol{\Theta}$
 - * For discrete $\boldsymbol{\Theta}$: compare $L(\boldsymbol{\theta}; \mathbf{x})$ or $\ell(\boldsymbol{\theta}; \mathbf{x})$ over all the possible values of $\boldsymbol{\theta}$
 - * For continuous $\boldsymbol{\Theta}$: is a continuous set Solving simultaneous equations $\mathbf{S}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \mathbf{0}$ for $\boldsymbol{\theta}$
 - If $\mathbf{S}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \mathbf{0}$ does not exist: utilize the monotonicity
 - If $\mathbf{S}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \mathbf{0}$ exists: compare $L(\boldsymbol{\theta}; \mathbf{x})$ or $\ell(\boldsymbol{\theta}; \mathbf{x})$ over all the stationary points and boundary points

Evaluating estimators

- Mean squared error (MSE): $E(\hat{\theta} - \theta)^2 = \{E(\hat{\theta}) - \theta\}^2 + \text{var}(\hat{\theta})$
 - UMVUE/MVUE/Best unbiased estimator: minimize $\text{var}(\hat{\theta})$ subject to $E(\hat{\theta}) = \theta$
- Cramer-Rao lower bound (one-dimensional case): $\text{var}(\hat{\theta}) \geq \{(d/d\theta)E(\hat{\theta})\}^2 / I(\theta)$
 - Fisher information: $I(\theta) = \text{var}\{S(\theta; \mathbf{X})\} = E[\{S(\theta; \mathbf{X})\}^2] = -E\{H(\theta; \mathbf{X})\}$
 - * $I(\theta) = n \text{var}\{S(\theta; X_1)\} = nE[\{S(\theta; X_1)\}^2] = -nE\{H(\theta; X_1)\}$ for iid sample $\mathbf{X} = [X_1, \dots, X_n]$
 - For unbiased estimators
 - * $\text{var}(\hat{\theta}) \geq 1/I(\theta)$
 - * The unbiased estimator attaining the lower bound is UMVUE
- Alternative ways to find UMVUE

- Rao-Blackwellization with sufficient complete statistics
- Debiased MLE if the MLE is a function only based on sufficient complete statistics

Checking independence

- Joint cdf: $F_{X,Y}(x,y) = F_X(x)F_Y(y)$
- Joint pdf or pmf: $f_{X,Y}(x,y) = f_X(x)f_Y(y)$
- conditional pdf or pmf: $f_{X|Y}(x|y) = f_X(x)$
- mgf: $E(e^{t_1X+t_2Y}) = E(e^{t_1X})E(e^{t_2Y})$
- cf: $E(e^{it_1X+it_2Y}) = E(e^{it_1X})E(e^{it_2Y})$
- Basu's theorem
 - Sometimes it is even more complex to find complete statistics than to obtain the joint pdf
- Zero covariance matrix for normal cases

Take-home exercises (NOT to be submitted; to be potentially covered in labs)

- CB Ex. 7.46, 7.48, 7.57, 7.58, 7.66