

STAT 3100 Lecture Note

Week Seven (Oct 18 & 20, 2022)

Zhiyang Zhou (zhiyang.zhou@umanitoba.ca, zhiyanggeezhou.github.io)

2022/Oct/19 20:34:40

Review for midterm (con'd)

To cover CB Ex. 7.66, 7.58, & 7.57

Hypothesis Testing

Binary classification

- Assume $\mathbf{X} = [X_1, \dots, X_n]^\top \sim f(\mathbf{x} | \boldsymbol{\theta}^*) \in \{f(\mathbf{x} | \boldsymbol{\theta}) : \boldsymbol{\theta} \in \Theta\}$
 - Fixed unknown $\boldsymbol{\theta}^*$ to be inferred
- Make a decision on $\boldsymbol{\theta}^*$ between two hypotheses $H_0 : \boldsymbol{\theta}^* \in \Theta_0$ and $H_1 : \boldsymbol{\theta}^* \in \Theta_1$
 - $\Theta_0 \cup \Theta_1 = \Theta$
 - $\Theta_0 \cap \Theta_1 = \emptyset$
- Decision and correctness
 - True positive (TP) = H_0 correctly rejected
 - False positive (FP, type I error) = H_0 incorrectly rejected
 - True negative (TN) = H_0 is correctly accepted
 - False negative (FN, type II error) = H_0 incorrectly accepted
- E.g., H_0 : healthy vs H_1 : sick
 - TP: sick people identified as sick
 - FP: healthy people identified as sick
 - TN: healthy people identified as healthy
 - FN: sick people identified as healthy

	Accept H_0	Reject H_0
H_0 is true	True negative (TN)	False positive (FP, type I error)
H_0 is false	False negative (FN, type II error)	True positive (TP)

- Misclassification rate = $\Pr(\text{FP}) + \Pr(\text{FN})$
- False discovery rate (FDR) = $\Pr(\text{FP}) / \{\Pr(\text{FP}) + \Pr(\text{TP})\}$

- FDR controlling for sequential/simultaneous testing
- Receiver operating characteristic curve (ROC curve): plot of TPR vs FPR
 - True positive rate (TPR, sensitivity) = $\Pr(\text{TP})/\{\Pr(\text{TP}) + \Pr(\text{FN})\}$
 - False positive rate (FPR) = $\Pr(\text{FP})/\{\Pr(\text{FP}) + \Pr(\text{FN})\}$
 - Area under the ROC curve (AUC)
- True negative rate (TNR, specificity) = $\Pr(\text{TN})/\{\Pr(\text{TN}) + \Pr(\text{FP})\}$
- The optimal hypothesis testing seeking to minimize $\Pr(\text{FN})$ subject to capped $\Pr(\text{FP})$, i.e.,

$$\min \Pr(\text{type II error}) \text{ subject to } \Pr(\text{type I error}) \leq \alpha$$

Power function

- Rejection/critical region: $R = \{\mathbf{x} : \text{data } \mathbf{x} \text{ corresponding to the rejection of } H_0\}$
 - Typically specified in terms of a function of the sample (called the *test statistic*); e.g., if $R = \{\mathbf{x} : \bar{x} \geq 3\}$, then \bar{X} is the test statistic.
- Test function $\phi : \text{supp}(\mathbf{X}) \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ defined as $\phi(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{1}_R(\mathbf{x})$
 - $\phi(\mathbf{x}) = 1$ implying the rejection of H_0
- Each test function ϕ corresponds to a unique rejection region $R_\phi = \{\mathbf{x} : \phi(\mathbf{x}) = 1\}$
 - Two tests considered to be equivalent if they correspond to the same rejection region/test function
- Power function (for ϕ): $\beta_\phi(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \Pr(\mathbf{X} \in R_\phi \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \mathbb{E}\{\phi(\mathbf{X}) \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}\}$
 - $\Pr(\text{type I error}) = \beta_\phi(\boldsymbol{\theta}^*)$ if H_0 is correct ($\boldsymbol{\theta}^* \in \boldsymbol{\Theta}_0$)
 - $\Pr(\text{type II error}) = 1 - \beta_\phi(\boldsymbol{\theta}^*)$ if H_1 is correct ($\boldsymbol{\theta}^* \in \boldsymbol{\Theta}_1$)
- Prefer larger $\beta_\phi(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ for all $\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \boldsymbol{\Theta}_1$ and smaller $\beta_\phi(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ for all $\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \boldsymbol{\Theta}_0$ (because $\boldsymbol{\theta}^*$ is unknown)

Example Lec14.2

- iid $X_1, \dots, X_n \sim N(\theta, \sigma_0^2)$ with known σ_0 . Consider a test for $H_0 : \theta = \theta_0$ vs $H_1 : \theta \neq \theta_0$ with rejection region $\{\mathbf{x} : \sqrt{n}|\bar{x} - \theta_0|/\sigma_0 > c\}$.
 - Elaborate the power function.
 - Find sample size n and threshold c if one desires that the type I error rate is 5% and the type II error rate at $\theta_0 + \sigma_0$ is 25%.

Uniformly most powerful (UMP) level α test (CB Sec 8.3.2)

- ϕ is of level α iff $\sup_{\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \boldsymbol{\Theta}_0} \beta_\phi(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \leq \alpha$
 - ϕ is of size α iff $\sup_{\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \boldsymbol{\Theta}_0} \beta_\phi(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \alpha$
- Let ϕ is a level α test for $H_0 : \boldsymbol{\theta}^* \in \boldsymbol{\Theta}_0$ vs $H_1 : \boldsymbol{\theta}^* \in \boldsymbol{\Theta}_1$. If $\beta_\phi(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \geq \beta_{\phi'}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ for all $\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \boldsymbol{\Theta}_1$ and all ϕ' of level α , then ϕ is a UMP level α test.
- If ϕ is a UMP level α test, then $\beta_\phi(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \geq \alpha \geq \sup_{\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \boldsymbol{\Theta}_0} \beta_\phi(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ for all $\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \boldsymbol{\Theta}_1$ (unbiasedness for testing, CB Def 8.3.9)

UMP level α test for simple hypotheses ($H_0 : \boldsymbol{\theta}^* = \boldsymbol{\theta}_0$ vs $H_1 : \boldsymbol{\theta}^* = \boldsymbol{\theta}_1$)

- To maximize $\beta_\phi(\boldsymbol{\theta}_1)$ with respect to ϕ subject to $\beta_\phi(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0) \leq \alpha$
- Neymann-Pearson (NP) Lemma (CB Thm 8.3.12): ϕ is the UMP test of level α for simple hypotheses $\iff \exists k > 0$ such that $\beta_\phi(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0) = \mathbb{E}\{\phi(\mathbf{X}) \mid \boldsymbol{\theta} = \boldsymbol{\theta}_0\} = \alpha$, where

$$\phi(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases} 1, & f(\mathbf{x} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}_1) > kf(\mathbf{x} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}_0), \\ 0, & f(\mathbf{x} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}_1) < kf(\mathbf{x} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}_0). \end{cases}$$

In practice (especially for discrete distributions), k is the largest real number such that

$$\Pr\{f(\mathbf{X} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}_1)/f(\mathbf{X} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}_0) \geq k \mid \boldsymbol{\theta} = \boldsymbol{\theta}_0\} \geq \alpha$$

and

$$\Pr\{f(\mathbf{X} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}_1)/f(\mathbf{X} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}_0) \leq k \mid \boldsymbol{\theta} = \boldsymbol{\theta}_0\} \geq 1 - \alpha.$$

- What shall we do if $\Pr\{f(\mathbf{X} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}_1) = kf(\mathbf{X} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}_0) \mid \boldsymbol{\theta} = \boldsymbol{\theta}_0\} \neq 0$? Take a randomized test! Specifically, if $f_{\boldsymbol{\theta}_1}(\mathbf{X})/f_{\boldsymbol{\theta}_0}(\mathbf{X}) = k$, let $\phi(\mathbf{x}) = \gamma \in [0, 1]$ such that

$$\Pr\{f(\mathbf{X} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}_1)/f(\mathbf{X} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}_0) > k \mid \boldsymbol{\theta} = \boldsymbol{\theta}_0\} + \gamma \Pr\{f(\mathbf{X} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}_1)/f(\mathbf{X} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}_0) = k \mid \boldsymbol{\theta} = \boldsymbol{\theta}_0\} = \alpha.$$

That is, we reject H_0 with probability γ .

- For simple hypotheses, UMP test at level $\alpha \iff$ UMP test at size α .

- UMP test and sufficiency (CB Coro 8.3.13): sufficient statistics can be taken as test statistics for UMP ϕ .

UMP level α test for one-sided hypotheses ($H_0 : \theta^* \leq \theta_0$ (or $\theta^* = \theta_0$) vs $H_1 : \theta^* > \theta_0$)

- Consider cases with only one unknown parameter
- Monotone likelihood ratio (MLR, CB Def 8.3.16): fixing $\theta_1 > \theta_2$, $g(t \mid \theta_1)/g(t \mid \theta_2)$ is monotonic with respect to t for $\{g(t \mid \theta) : \theta \in \Theta \subset \mathbb{R}\}$
 - E.g., one-parameter exponential family bears MLR
- Karlin-Rubin (CB Thm 8.3.17): Suppose T is sufficient for θ and $T \sim g(t \mid \theta)$ bearing MLR. A UMP level α test for $H_0 : \theta^* \leq \theta_0$ (or $\theta^* = \theta_0$) vs $H_1 : \theta^* > \theta_0$ is

$$\phi(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases} 1, & T(\mathbf{x}) > t_\alpha, \\ 0, & T(\mathbf{x}) < t_\alpha, \end{cases}$$

where t_α is a real number such that $\beta_\phi(\theta_0) = E\{\phi(\mathbf{X}) \mid \theta = \theta_0\} = \Pr(T > t_\alpha \mid \theta = \theta_0) = \alpha$.

Example Lec14.1

- iid $X_1, \dots, X_n \sim N(\mu, 1)$. Construct UMP level α test for following hypotheses.
 - $H_0 : \mu = \mu_0$ vs $H_1 : \mu = \mu_1$ with $\mu_0 < \mu_1$;
 - $H_0 : \mu = \mu_0$ vs $H_1 : \mu > \mu_0$;
 - $H_0 : \mu \geq \mu_0$ vs $H_1 : \mu < \mu_0$;
 - $H_0 : \mu = \mu_0$ vs $H_1 : \mu \neq \mu_0$.