STAT 3690 Lecture 10

zhiyanggeezhou.github.io

Zhiyang Zhou (zhiyang.zhou@umanitoba.ca)

Feb 14, 2022

Testing on μ (J&W Sec. 5.2 & 5.3)

```
• \mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_n \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} MVN_n(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \Sigma) \ n > p
• Hypotheses: H_0: \boldsymbol{\mu} = \boldsymbol{\mu}_0 v.s. H_1: \boldsymbol{\mu} \neq \boldsymbol{\mu}_0
• Recall the univariate case (p=1)
         – The model reduces to X_1, \ldots, X_n \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} N(\mu, \sigma^2)
– Hypotheses reduces to H_0: \mu = \mu_0 v.s. H_1: \mu \neq \mu_0
         -\bar{X} and s^2 are sample mean and sample variance, respectively
         - Known \sigma^2
                  * Name of approach: Z-test (also LRT)
                  * Test statistic: \sqrt{n}(\bar{X} - \mu_0)/\sigma \sim N(0, 1) under H_0
                         · OR n(\bar{X} - \mu_0)^2/\sigma^2 \sim \chi(1) under H_0
                  * Rejection region at level \alpha: R = \{x_1, ..., x_n : \sqrt{n} | \bar{x} - \mu_0| / \sigma \ge \Phi_{1-\alpha/2}^{-1} \} = \{x_1, ..., x_n : \sqrt{n} | \bar{x} - \mu_0| / \sigma \ge \Phi_{1-\alpha/2}^{-1} \}
                      \begin{split} &n(\bar{x}-\mu_0)^2/\sigma^2 \geq \chi^2_{1-\alpha,1} \} \\ &\cdot \quad \Phi^{-1}_{1-\alpha/2} \text{: the } (1-\alpha/2) \text{-quantile of } N(0,1) \\ &\cdot \quad \chi^2_{1-\alpha,1} \text{: the } (1-\alpha) \text{-quantile of } \chi^2(1) \end{split}
         - Unknown \sigma^2
                  * Name of approach: t-test (also LRT)
                  * Test statistic: \sqrt{n}(\bar{X} - \mu_0)/s \sim t(n-1) under H_0
 \cdot OR n(\bar{X} - \mu_0)^2/s^2 \sim F(1, n-1) under H_0
                  * Rejection region at level \alpha: R = \{x_1, ..., x_n : \sqrt{n} | \bar{x} - \mu_0 | / s \ge t_{1-\alpha/2, n-1} \} = \{x_1, ..., x_n : \sqrt{n} | \bar{x} - \mu_0 | / s \ge t_{1-\alpha/2, n-1} \}
                      n(\bar{x} - \mu_0)^2 / s^2 \ge F_{1-\alpha,1,n-1}
                          t_{1-\alpha/2,n-1}: the (1-\alpha/2)-quantile of t(n-1)
                          F_{1-\alpha,1,n-1}: the (1-\alpha)-quantile of F(1,n-1)
```

Multivariate case (with known Σ)
 Name of approach: LRT

```
- Test statistic: n(\bar{\mathbf{X}} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_0)^{\top} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} (\bar{\mathbf{X}} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_0) \sim \chi^2(p) under H_0

- Rejction region at level \alpha: R = \{\boldsymbol{x}_1, \dots, \boldsymbol{x}_n : n(\bar{\boldsymbol{x}} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_0)^{\top} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} (\bar{\boldsymbol{x}} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_0) \geq \chi^2_{1-\alpha,p} \}

- p-value: p(\boldsymbol{x}_1, \dots, \boldsymbol{x}_n) = 1 - F_{\chi^2(p)} \{ n(\bar{\boldsymbol{x}} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_0)^{\top} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} (\bar{\boldsymbol{x}} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_0) \}

* F_{\chi^2(p)}: the cdf of \chi^2(p)
```

options(digits = 4)
install.packages(c("dslabs"))
library(dslabs)
data("gapminder")

```
head(gapminder)
dataset = as.matrix(gapminder[
  !is.na(gapminder$infant_mortality),
  c("infant_mortality", "life_expectancy", "fertility")])
# Assume we know Sigma
Sigma \leftarrow matrix(c(555, -170, 30,
                   -170, 65, -10,
                   30, -10, 2), ncol = 3)
(mu_hat <- colMeans(dataset))</pre>
\# Test mu = mu \ O
mu_0 \leftarrow c(25, 50, 3)
n = nrow(dataset)
p = ncol(dataset)
(test.stat <- drop(</pre>
   n * t(mu_hat - mu_0) %*% solve(Sigma) %*% (mu_hat - mu_0)
))
test.stat \geq qchisq(0.95, df=p)
(p.val = 1-pchisq(test.stat, df=p))
```

- Report: Testing hypotheses $H_0: \boldsymbol{\mu} = [25, 50, 3]^{\top}$ v.s. $H_1: \boldsymbol{\mu} \neq [25, 50, 3]^{\top}$, we carried on the LRT and obtained 450477 as the value of test statistic. The corresponding p-value (resp. rejection region) was 0 (resp. $[7.815, \infty)$). So, at the .05 (significance) level, there was a strong statistical evidence implying the rejection of H_0 , i.e., we believed that the mean vector is not $[25, 50, 3]^{\top}$.
- Multivariate case (with unknown Σ)
 - Name of approach: LRT
 - Test statistic: $n(\bar{\mathbf{X}} \boldsymbol{\mu}_0)^{\top} \mathbf{S}^{-1} (\bar{\mathbf{X}} \boldsymbol{\mu}_0) \sim T^2(p, n-1) = \frac{(n-1)p}{n-p} F(p, n-p)$ under H_0
 - Rejction region at level α : $R = \{\boldsymbol{x}_1, \dots, \boldsymbol{x}_n : \frac{n(n-p)}{p(n-1)}(\bar{\boldsymbol{x}} \boldsymbol{\mu}_0)^{\top} \mathbf{S}^{-1}(\bar{\boldsymbol{x}} \boldsymbol{\mu}_0) \ge F_{1-\alpha, p, n-p} \}$
 - p-value: $p(\boldsymbol{x}_1, \dots, \boldsymbol{x}_n) = 1 F_{F(p, n-p)} \{ \frac{n(n-p)}{p(n-1)} (\bar{\boldsymbol{x}} \boldsymbol{\mu}_0)^{\top} \mathbf{S}^{-1} (\bar{\boldsymbol{x}} \boldsymbol{\mu}_0) \}$ * $F_{F(p, n-p)}$: the cdf of F(p, n-p)

```
\sum_{(M, S) \in S} kravn: \sup_{(M, S) \in S} L(M, \Sigma) = L(X, \Sigma) = (2X)^{-rept} \left( \det \Sigma \right)^{-N} exp \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\pi}{4\pi} (X_1 - \overline{X})^T \Sigma^{-1} (X_1 - \overline{X})^T \right] 
\sum_{(M, S) \in S} k L(M, \overline{\Sigma}) = L(M_1, \overline{\Sigma}) = (2X)^{-rept} \left( \det \Sigma \right)^{-N} exp \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\pi}{4\pi} (X_1 - \overline{X})^T \Sigma^{-1} (X_1 - \overline{X})^T \right] 
\sum_{(M, S) \in S} k L(M, \overline{\Sigma}) = (2x)^{-rept} \left( \det \Sigma \right)^{-N} exp \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\pi}{4\pi} (X_1 - \overline{X})^T \Sigma^{-1} (X_1 - \overline{X})^T \Sigma^
```

```
dataset = as.matrix(gapminder[
  !is.na(gapminder$infant_mortality),
  c("infant_mortality", "life_expectancy", "fertility")])

(mu_hat <- colMeans(dataset))

# Test mu = mu_0
mu_0 <- c(25, 50, 3)
n = nrow(dataset)
p = ncol(dataset)
(test.stat <- drop(
    n * t(mu_hat - mu_0) %*% solve(cov(dataset)) %*% (mu_hat - mu_0)
))
(cri.point = (n-1)*p/(n-p)*qf(.95, p, n-p))
test.stat >= cri.point
(p.val = 1-pf((n-p)/(n-1)/p*test.stat, p, n-p))
```

• Report: Testing hypotheses $H_0: \boldsymbol{\mu} = [25, 50, 3]^{\top}$ v.s. $H_1: \boldsymbol{\mu} \neq [25, 50, 3]^{\top}$, we carried on the LRT and obtained 249718 as the value of test statistic. The corresponding p-value (resp. rejection region) was 0 (resp. $[7.819, \infty)$). So, at the .05 (significance) level, there was a strong statistical evidence implying the rejection of H_0 , i.e., we believed that the mean vector is not $[25, 50, 3]^{\top}$.