# PH 716 Applied Survival Analysis

Part VI: Diagnostics of Cox Proportional Hazards Models

Zhiyang Zhou (zhou67@uwm.edu, zhiyanggeezhou.github.io)

2024/Mar/27 12:33:37

## Types of residuals

- Cox-Snell residuals: assessing the overall fit of the final model
- Martingale residuals: determining the functional form of a covariate included in the model
- Deviance residuals: detecing outliers
- Schoenfeld residuals residuals: checking the appropriateness of the PH assumption

## Cox-Snell residuals

```
• Cox-Snell residuals: r_{i,CS} = \widehat{\Lambda}_{T_i}(\widetilde{T}_i)
        -\widehat{\Lambda}_{T_i}(\cdot): estimated \Lambda_{T_i}(\cdot) given by the Cox PH model
       – (For uncensored subjects) r_{i,\text{CS}} \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\approx} \exp(1)
       – (For all subjects) \widehat{\Lambda}_{H_i, NA}(\widetilde{t}_i) \approx \widetilde{t}_i
                * H_i = \widehat{\Lambda}_{T_i}(T_i)
                      · Note that r_{i,CS} \neq H_i and instead r_{i,CS} = \min\{H_i, \widehat{\Lambda}_{T_i}(C_i)\}
                * \hat{\Lambda}_{H_i,NA}(\cdot): NA estimator of \Lambda_{H_i}(\cdot) based on right-censored \{(r_{i,CS},\Delta_i): i=1,\ldots,n\}
• Cox-Snell residual plot
```

- - (For uncensored subjects) compare  $r_{i,CS}$  to exp(1) samples via Q-Q plot
  - (For all subjects) plot  $\Lambda_{H_i,NA}(\tilde{t}_i)$  against  $\tilde{t}_i$
  - Used to diagnose poor model fit
  - No insight into how model assumptions are violated
- Theoretical notes
  - Inverse cdf theorem: arbitrary r.v. X with cdf  $F_X(x) = \Pr(X \leq x) \Rightarrow F_X(X) \sim U(0,1)$
  - It follows that  $T_i \stackrel{\text{independent}}{\sim} S_{T_i}(\cdot) \Rightarrow S_{T_i}(T_i) \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} U(0,1) \Rightarrow \Lambda_{T_i}(T_i) = -\ln S_{T_i}(T_i) \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} \exp(1) \{(r_{i,\text{CS}}, \Delta_i) : i = 1, \dots, n\}$  is a right-censored dataset
  - - \*  $r_{i,CS} = \min(H_i, \widehat{\Lambda}_{T_i}(C_i)) \Leftarrow \widetilde{T}_i = \min(T_i, C_i)$  and monotonically ascending  $\widehat{\Lambda}_{T_i}(\cdot)$  $H_i = \widehat{\Lambda}_{T_i}(T_i) \approx \Lambda_{T_i}(T_i) \Rightarrow H_i \approx \exp(1) \Rightarrow \Lambda_{H_i}(\widetilde{t}_i) \approx \widetilde{t}_i$

## Ex. 6.1 [KM, Example 11.1]

- This multi-center acute leukemia study consists of 137 patients with acute myelocytic leukemia (AML) or acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL) aged 7 to 52 from March 1, 1984 to June 30, 1989 at four institutions.
- The disease-free survival time (t2) on study is defined as time (in days) to relapse or death
- d3 is the disease free survival indicator: 1 Dead or Relapsed, 0 Alive Disease Free.
- Focus on effects of the following 9 covariates on disease-free survival:

```
- z1: Patient age in years.

    z2: Donor age in years.

- z3: Patient sex: 1 - Male, 0 - Female.
- z4: Doner sex: 1 - Male, 0 - Female.
- z5: Patient Cytomegalovirus (CMV) status: 1 - CMV positive, 0 - CMV negative.
- z6: Donor CMV status: 1 - CMV positive, 0 - CMV negative.
- z7: Waiting time to transplant in days.
```

- z8: French-American-British classification (FAB): 1 - FAB Grade 4 or 5 and AML, 0 - otherwise.

- z10: Methotrexate (MTX): used as a Graft-Versus-Host-Prophylactic 1 - Yes, 0 - No. options(digits=4) library(survival) # model fitting # Cox-Snell residual

```
data.ex55 = read.csv("bmt.csv")
fit.ex55 <- coxph(Surv(t2,d3) ~ z1+z2+z3+z4+z5+z6+z7+z8+z10, data=data.ex55)
r.cs = data.ex55$d3-residuals(fit.ex55, type='martingale') # Cox-Snell
# Cox-Snell residual plot
set.seed(2024)
exp.rnd = rexp(10000)
qqplot(
  x = exp.rnd, y = r.cs[as.logical(data.ex55$d3)],
  xlab = "Theoretical Quantiles", ylab = "Sample Quantiles"
qqline(r.cs[as.logical(data.ex55$d3)], distribution = qexp)
cum.haz.r.cs <- basehaz(coxph(Surv(r.cs, d3)~1, data=data.ex55), centered = FALSE)</pre>
plot(
  x=cum.haz.r.cs[,2], y=cum.haz.r.cs[,1],
  xlab='t', ylab='Cumulative hazard of r.cs'
abline(a=0,b=1,col='red')
```

## Martingale residuals

- Martingale residuals:  $r_{i,M} = \Delta_i r_{i,CS}$ 
  - Zero-sum:  $\sum_{i} r_{i,M} = 0$
  - Estimated excess number of events seen in the data but not predicted by the model
    - \* Positive  $r_{i,M}$ : the patient died sooner than expected
    - \* Negative  $r_{i,M}$ : the patient lived longer than expected (or were censored)
  - Analogous to the residuals in linear models
    - \* But asymmetric and unbounded from below
- Examining the best functional form for a given covariate
  - 1. Partition covariates into two parts:
    - $-x_{i2},\ldots,x_{ip}$ : for which we know their proper functional form, say  $f_2(\cdot),\ldots,f_p(\cdot)$ , respectively  $-x_{i1}$ : a single covariate for which there is a potential functional form  $f_1(\cdot)$
  - 2. If  $f_1(\cdot)$  is best for  $x_{i1}$  and  $x_{i1}$  is independent of other covariates, then fit the Cox PH model without the jth covariate  $\lambda_{T_i}(t) = \lambda_0(t) \exp\{\sum_{j=2}^p f_j(x_{ij})\beta_j\}$  and compute martingale residuals
  - 3. Confirm  $f_1$  via the scatterplot of  $r_{i,M}$  against  $x_{i,1}$  with a fitted loess (locally estimated scatterplot smoothing) line
    - If the fitted loss line is linear, then no transformation of  $x_{i1}$  is needed; otherwise, a discretized

version/transformation of  $x_{i1}$  is indicated

- 4. Fitting  $\lambda_{T_i}(t) = \lambda_0(t) \exp\{\sum_{j=2}^p f_j(x_{ij})\beta_j\} \exp\{f_1(x_{i1}\beta_1)\}$  and check the scatterplot of updated  $r_{i,\mathrm{M}}$  against  $x_{i1}$  with a fitted loess (locally estimated scatterplot smoothing) line
  - If the fitted loess line is overlapping the x-axis, then no transformation of  $x_{i1}$  is needed.
- Theoretical notes:
  - Why would the martingale residuals reveal the correct functional forms of covariates?
    - \* Because  $E(r_{i,M}) \approx (n_D/n) \{ f_1(x_{i1}) C \}$  [KM, pp. 362]
      - ·  $n_D/n$ : the ratio of total number of events to total number of subjects
      - $\cdot$  C: a constant
  - Why is the residual bearing such a name?
    - \* Martingale: a stochastic process M(t) such that  $\mathrm{E}\{M(t)\}=0$  and  $\mathrm{E}\{M(t)\mid M(s)\}=M(s)$  for all s < t
    - \*  $r_{i,\mathrm{M}}$  obtained by evaluating a martingale at  $\tilde{t}_i$
  - The zero-sum of martingale residuals
    - \* Specific for Cox PH model with the Breslow estimator for the baseline cumulative hazard
    - \* Proof:  $\sum_{i} r_{i,CS} = \sum_{i} \sum_{k:t_{k} \leq \tilde{t}_{i}} \frac{d_{k} \exp(\sum_{j} x_{ij} \hat{\beta}_{j})}{\sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{R}(t_{k})} \exp(\sum_{j} x_{\ell j} \hat{\beta}_{j})} = \sum_{k} \sum_{i \in \mathcal{R}(t_{k})} \frac{d_{k} \exp(\sum_{j} x_{ij} \hat{\beta}_{j})}{\sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{R}(t_{k})} \exp(\sum_{j} x_{\ell j} \hat{\beta}_{j})} = \sum_{k} d_{k} = \sum_{i} \delta_{i}$

#### Revisit Ex. 6.1

```
options(digits=4)
library(survival)
# [DM, pp. 208] a function to add the smooth curve and confidence limits
smoothSEcurve <- function(yy, xx) {</pre>
 # use after a call to "plot"
 # fit a lowess curve and 95% confidence interval curve
 # make list of x values
 xx.list \leftarrow min(xx) + ((0:100)/100)*(max(xx) - min(xx))
 # Then fit loess function through the points (xx, yy)
 # at the listed values
 yy.xx <- predict(loess(yy ~ xx, span = 1), se=T, newdata=data.frame(xx=xx.list))
 lines(yy.xx$fit ~ xx.list, lwd=2)
 lines(yy.xx$fit -
 qt(0.975, yy.xx$df)*yy.xx$se.fit ~ xx.list, lty=2)
 lines(yy.xx$fit +
 qt(0.975, yy.xx$df)*yy.xx$se.fit ~ xx.list, lty=2)
# model fitting without z1
data.ex55 = read.csv("bmt.csv")
fit.ex55 \leftarrow coxph(Surv(t2,d3) \sim z2+z3+z4+z5+z6+z7+z8+z10, data=data.ex55, ties = 'exact')
# Martingale residual plot (for the model without z1) vs. z1
r.m = residuals(fit.ex55, type='martingale')
sum(r.m)
plot(
 x=data.ex55$z1, y=r.m,
 main = 'Martingale residuals \n (for the model without z1) \n versus z1')
smoothSEcurve(r.m, data.ex55$z1) # indicating a cubic function?
# model fitting with a cubic function of z1
```

```
# Martingale residual plot (for the model with a cubic function of z1) vs. z1
r.m.1 = residuals(fit.ex55.1, type='martingale')
plot(
    x=data.ex55$z1, y=r.m.1,
    main = 'Martingale residual \n (for the model with a cubic function of z1) \n versus z1')
smoothSEcurve(r.m.1, data.ex55$z1)
```

### Deviance residuals

- Outlier: an observation for which the outcome is not sufficiently well predicted by the fitted model
- Deviance residuals:  $r_{i,D} = \operatorname{sign}(r_{i,M}) \sqrt{-2\{r_{i,M} + \delta_i \ln(\delta_i r_{i,M})\}}$ 
  - Symmetrically distributed with expected value 0 (if the fitted model is correct); deskewed/transformed martingale residuals
    - \*  $r_{i,D} = 0 \Leftrightarrow r_{i,M} = 0$
    - \* Inflating  $r_{i,D}$  when  $r_{i,M}$  is close to 1
    - \* Shrinking large negative  $r_{i,M}$
  - Analogous to the deviance in GLMs
- Detecting outliers: plotting  $r_{i,D}$  against  $\sum_{j=1}^{p} x_{ij} \hat{\beta}_j$  (called linear predictors or risk scores)
  - With moderate (or less) censoring, this plot should look like randomly-distributed noise without discernible pattern
  - Large absolute values of deviance residuals indicating observations that are poorly explained by the model, potentially pointing to outliers or influential points
    - \* 95% of absolute deviance residuals  $\leq 2$
    - \* 99.7\% of absolute deviance residuals  $\leq 3$

#### Revisit Ex. 6.1

```
options(digits=4)
library(survival)
# [DM, pp. 208] a function to add the smooth curve and confidence limits
smoothSEcurve <- function(yy, xx) {</pre>
  # use after a call to "plot"
  # fit a lowess curve and 95% confidence interval curve
  # make list of x values
  xx.list \leftarrow min(xx) + ((0:100)/100)*(max(xx) - min(xx))
  # Then fit loess function through the points (xx, yy)
  # at the listed values
  yy.xx <- predict(loess(yy ~ xx, span = 1), se=T, newdata=data.frame(xx=xx.list))
  lines(yy.xx$fit ~ xx.list, lwd=2)
  lines(yy.xx$fit -
  qt(0.975, yy.xx$df)*yy.xx$se.fit ~ xx.list, lty=2)
 lines(yy.xx$fit +
  qt(0.975, yy.xx$df)*yy.xx$se.fit ~ xx.list, lty=2)
# model fitting
fit.ex55.1 \leftarrow coxph(
  Surv(t2,d3) \sim z1+I(z1^2)+I(z1^3)+z2+z3+z4+z5+z6+z7+z8+z10,
  data=data.ex55,
  x = T
)
```

```
# Two ways to calculate linear predictors
risk.score.1 = fit.ex55.1$x %*% coef(fit.ex55.1)
risk.score.2 = fit.ex55.1$linear.predictors
sum((risk.score.1-risk.score.2)^2) # seems distinct?
# Deviance residual plot vs. risk scores
r.d = residuals(fit.ex55.1, type='deviance')
plot(
  x=risk.score.1, y=r.d,
  main = 'Deviance residuals \n versus risk scores')
smoothSEcurve(yy=r.d, xx=risk.score.1)
abline(a=2,b=0,col='red')
abline(a=-2,b=0,col='red')
abline(a=3,b=0,col='red')
abline(a=-3,b=0,col='red')
(1:nrow(data.ex55))[abs(r.d) > 2] # Potential outliers
sum(abs(r.d) > 2)/nrow(data.ex55) # percent of r.d over 2
sum(abs(r.d) > 3)/nrow(data.ex55) # percent of r.d over 3
```

#### Schoenfeld residuals

• Schoenfeld residuals: for UNCENSORED subject i and the jth covariate,

$$r_{ij,S} = x_{ij} - \bar{x}_{\cdot j}$$

- $\bar{x}_{\cdot j} = \sum_{k \in \text{uncensored subjects}} w_{kj} z_{kj} \text{ with weights } w_{kj} = \frac{\exp(\sum_{j=1}^p x_{kj} \beta_j)}{\sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{R}(\bar{t}_k)} \exp(\sum_{j=1}^p x_{\ell j} \beta_j)}$
- [DM, Sec. 7.2.2] Schoenfeld residuals are components of the score function  $\Rightarrow \sum_{i \in \text{uncensored subjects}} r_{ij,S} = 0$  for each j
- Scaled Schoenfeld residuals

$$r_{ij,S}^* = d \cdot r_{ij,S} \cdot \text{var}(\hat{\beta}_j)$$

- -d: total number of events
- If the hazard ratio is constant over time, then  $E(r_{ij,S}^*) + \beta_j$  is time-invariant
- Investigating the PH assumption
  - Plotting  $r_{ij,S}$  versus the covariate  $x_{ij}$  for the j covariate
    - \* Points centered at zero if the PH assumption holds
    - \* Inconvenient to be implemented in R
  - Instead, checking the plot of  $r_{ii,S}^* + \hat{\beta}_i$  vs. t
    - \* Points without a time tendency if the PH assumption holds

#### Revisit Ex. 6.1

```
options(digits=4)
library(survival)

# model fitting
fit.ex55.1 <- coxph(
    Surv(t2,d3) ~ z1+I(z1^2)+I(z1^3)+z2+z3+z4+z5+z6+z7+z8+z10,
    data=data.ex55,
    x=T
)</pre>
```

```
# (unscaled) Schoenfeld residuals
r.s.unscaled = residuals(fit.ex55.1, type='schoenfeld')

# (scaled) Schoenfeld residual plot
plot(cox.zph(fit.ex55.1, transform="identity", terms=F, global=F))
```