# STAT 3100 Lecture Note

Week Seven (Oct 18 & 20, 2022)

Zhiyang Zhou (zhiyang.zhou@umanitoba.ca, zhiyanggeezhou.github.io)

2022/Oct/21 01:44:17

# Review for midterm (con'd)

To cover CB Ex. 7.66, 7.58, & 7.57

## Hypothesis Testing

### Binary classification

- Assume  $\mathbf{X} = [X_1, \dots, X_n]^\top \sim f(\boldsymbol{x} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}^*) \in \{f(\boldsymbol{x} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}) : \boldsymbol{\theta} \in \boldsymbol{\Theta}\}$ 
  - Fixed unknown  $\boldsymbol{\theta}^*$  to be inferred
- Make a decision on  $\theta^*$  between two hypotheses  $H_0: \theta^* \in \Theta_0$  and  $H_1: \theta^* \in \Theta_1$ 
  - $\mathbf{\Theta}_0 \cup \mathbf{\Theta}_1 = \mathbf{\Theta}$
  - $\mathbf{\Theta}_0 \cap \mathbf{\Theta}_1 = \emptyset$
- Decision and correctness
  - True positive (TP) =  $H_0$  correctly rejected
  - False positive (FP, type I error) =  $H_0$  incorrectly rejected
  - True negative (TN) =  $H_0$  is correctly accepted
  - False negative (FN, type II error) =  $H_0$  incorrectly accepted
- E.g.,  $H_0$ : healthy vs  $H_1$ : sick
  - TP: sick people identified as sick
  - FP: healthy people identified as sick
  - TN: healthy people identified as healthy
  - FN: sick people identified as healthy

	Accept $H_0$	Reject $H_0$
$H_0$ is true $H_0$ is false	True negative (TN) False negative (FN, type II error)	False positive (FP, type I error) True positive (TP)

- Misclassification rate = Pr(FP) + Pr(FN)
- False discovery rate  $(FDR) = Pr(FP)/\{Pr(FP) + Pr(TP)\}$

- FDR controlling for sequential/simultaneous testing
- Receiver operating characteristic curve (ROC curve): plot of TPR vs FPR
  - True positive rate (TPR, sensitivity) =  $Pr(TP)/\{Pr(TP) + Pr(FN)\}$
  - False positive rate  $(FPR) = Pr(FP)/\{Pr(FP) + Pr(FN)\}$
  - Area under the ROC curve (AUC)
- True negative rate (TNR, specificity) =  $Pr(TN)/\{Pr(TN) + Pr(FP)\}$
- The optimal hypothesis testing seeking to minimize Pr(FN) subject to capped Pr(FP), i.e.,

 $\min \Pr(\text{type II error}) \text{ subject to } \Pr(\text{type I error}) \leq \alpha$ 

#### Power function

- Rejection/critical region:  $R = \{x : \text{data } x \text{ corresponding to the rejection of } H_0\}$ 
  - Typically specified in terms of a function of the sample (called the *test statistic*); e.g., if  $R = \{x : \bar{x} \geq 3\}$ , then  $\bar{X}$  is the test statistic.
- Test function  $\phi : \text{supp}(\mathbf{X}) \to \{0,1\}$  defined as  $\phi(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{1}_R(\mathbf{x})$ 
  - $-\phi(\mathbf{x})=1$  implying the rejection of  $H_0$
- Each test function  $\phi$  corresponds to a unique rejection region  $R_{\phi} = \{x : \phi(x) = 1\}$ 
  - Two tests considered to be equivalent if they correpond to the same rejection region/test function
- Power function (for  $\phi$ ):  $\beta_{\phi}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \Pr(\mathbf{X} \in R_{\phi} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \mathrm{E}\{\phi(\mathbf{X}) \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}\}$ 
  - Pr(type I error) =  $\beta_{\phi}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^*)$  if  $H_0$  is correct  $(\boldsymbol{\theta}^* \in \boldsymbol{\Theta}_0)$
  - Pr(type II error) =  $1 \beta_{\phi}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^*)$  if  $H_1$  is correct  $(\boldsymbol{\theta}^* \in \boldsymbol{\Theta}_1)$
- Prefer larger  $\beta_{\phi}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$  for all  $\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \boldsymbol{\Theta}_1$  and smaller  $\beta_{\phi}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$  for all  $\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \boldsymbol{\Theta}_0$  (because  $\boldsymbol{\theta}^*$  is unknown)

### Example Lec14.2

- iid  $X_1, \ldots, X_n \sim N(\theta, \sigma_0^2)$  with known  $\sigma_0$ . Consider a test for  $H_0: \theta = \theta_0$  vs  $H_1: \theta \neq \theta_0$  with rejection region  $\{x: \sqrt{n}|\bar{x} \theta_0|/\sigma_0 > c\}$ .
  - a. Elaborate the power function.
  - b. Find sample size n and threshold c if one desires that the type I error rate is 5% and the type II error rate at  $\theta_0 + \sigma_0$  is 25%.

### Uniformly most powerful (UMP) level $\alpha$ test (CB Sec 8.3.2)

- $\phi$  is of level  $\alpha$  iff  $\sup_{\theta \in \Theta_0} \beta_{\phi}(\theta) \leq \alpha$ 
  - $-\phi$  is of size  $\alpha$  iff  $\sup_{\boldsymbol{\theta}\in\boldsymbol{\Theta}_0}\beta_{\phi}(\boldsymbol{\theta})=\alpha$
- Let  $\phi$  is a level  $\alpha$  test for  $H_0: \boldsymbol{\theta}^* \in \boldsymbol{\Theta}_0$  vs  $H_1: \boldsymbol{\theta}^* \in \boldsymbol{\Theta}_1$ . If  $\beta_{\phi}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \geq \beta_{\phi'}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$  for all  $\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \boldsymbol{\Theta}_1$  and all  $\phi'$  of level  $\alpha$ , then  $\phi$  is a UMP level  $\alpha$  test.
- If  $\phi$  is a UMP level  $\alpha$  test, then  $\beta_{\phi}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \geq \alpha \geq \sup_{\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \boldsymbol{\Theta}_0} \beta_{\phi}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$  for all  $\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \boldsymbol{\Theta}_1$  (unbiasedness for testing, CB Def 8.3.9)

## UMP level $\alpha$ test for simple hypotheses $(H_0: \theta^* = \theta_0 \text{ vs } H_1: \theta^* = \theta_1)$

- To maximize  $\beta_{\phi}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_1)$  with respect to  $\phi$  subject to  $\beta_{\phi}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0) \leq \alpha$
- Neymann-Pearson (NP) Lemma (CB Thm 8.3.12):  $\phi$  is the UMP test of level  $\alpha$  for simple hypotheses  $\iff \exists \lambda > 0$  such that  $\beta_{\phi}(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0) = \mathbb{E}\{\phi_{\lambda}(\mathbf{X}) \mid \boldsymbol{\theta} = \boldsymbol{\theta}_0\} = \alpha$ , where

$$\phi_{\lambda}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \begin{cases} 1, & f(\boldsymbol{x} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}_1) > \lambda f(\boldsymbol{x} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}_0), \\ 0, & f(\boldsymbol{x} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}_1) < \lambda f(\boldsymbol{x} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}_0). \end{cases}$$

In practice (especially for discrete distributions),  $\lambda$  is the largest real number such that

$$\Pr\{f(\mathbf{X} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}_1) / f(\mathbf{X} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}_0) \ge \lambda \mid \boldsymbol{\theta} = \boldsymbol{\theta}_0\} \ge \alpha$$

and

$$\Pr\{f(\mathbf{X} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}_1) / f(\mathbf{X} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}_0) \le \lambda \mid \boldsymbol{\theta} = \boldsymbol{\theta}_0\} \ge 1 - \alpha.$$

• (Optional) What shall we do if  $\Pr\{f(\mathbf{X} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}_1) = \lambda f(\mathbf{X} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}_0) \mid \boldsymbol{\theta} = \boldsymbol{\theta}_0\} \neq 0$ ? Take a randomized test! I.e., consider

$$\phi_{\lambda,\gamma}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \begin{cases} 1, & f(\boldsymbol{x} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}_1) > \lambda f(\boldsymbol{x} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}_0), \\ \gamma, & f(\boldsymbol{x} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}_1) = \lambda f(\boldsymbol{x} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}_0), \\ 0, & f(\boldsymbol{x} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}_1) < \lambda f(\boldsymbol{x} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}_0). \end{cases}$$

That is, reject  $H_0$  with probability  $\gamma \in [0,1]$  if  $f(\boldsymbol{x} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}_1) = \lambda f(\boldsymbol{x} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}_0)$ .  $\lambda$  and  $\gamma$  are set such that

$$\mathrm{E}\{\phi_{\lambda,\gamma}(\mathbf{X})\mid\boldsymbol{\theta}=\boldsymbol{\theta}_0\} = \mathrm{Pr}\{f(\mathbf{X}\mid\boldsymbol{\theta}_1)/f(\mathbf{X}\mid\boldsymbol{\theta}_0)>\lambda\mid\boldsymbol{\theta}=\boldsymbol{\theta}_0\} + \gamma\,\mathrm{Pr}\{f(\mathbf{X}\mid\boldsymbol{\theta}_1)/f(\mathbf{X}\mid\boldsymbol{\theta}_0)=\lambda\mid\boldsymbol{\theta}=\boldsymbol{\theta}_0\} = \alpha.$$

- For simple hypotheses, UMP test at level  $\alpha \iff$  UMP test at size  $\alpha$ .
- UMP test and sufficiency (CB Coro 8.3.13): sufficient statistics can be taken as test statistics for UMP  $\phi$ .