Example I

A completely randomised design experiment with 10 plots and 3 treatments gave the following results:

Plot No. Treatment: 10 2 9 8 3 4 5 6 A В Yield Ċ A В В C C A Α 5 4 7 1 4 3 5 3 1

Analyse the results for treatment effects.

Rearranging the data according to the treatments, we have the following table:

| | | | | | J N = 45 to r = 61 | | | | | | | |
|-----------|-----|---------|-------|----------------|--------------------|---------|--------|---------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Treatment | Yie | ld froi | n plo | ots (x_{ij}) | T_i | T_i^2 | n_i | $\frac{T_i^2}{n_i}$ | | | | |
| A | 5 | 7 | 3 | 1 | 16 | 256 | 4 | 64 | | | | |
| В | 4 | 4 | 7 | - 30.00 | 15 | 225 | 3 | 75 | | | | |
| | 3 | 5 | 1 | _ | 9 | 81 | 3 | 27 | | | | |
| | | A. (* | | Total | T = 40 | - 10° - | N = 10 | 166 | | | | |

$$\sum \sum x_{ij}^2 = (25 + 49 + 9 + 1) + (16 + 16 + 49) + (9 + 25 + 1)$$

= 84 + 81 + 35 = 200

٠.

$$Q = \sum \sum x_{ij}^{2} - \frac{T^{2}}{N} = 200 - \frac{40^{2}}{10} = 200 - 160 = 40$$

$$Q_{1} = \sum \frac{T_{i}^{2}}{n_{i}} - \frac{T^{2}}{N} = 166 - 160 = 6$$

$$Q_{2} = Q - Q_{1} = 40 - 6 = 34$$

ANOVA table

| <u>S.V.</u> | S.S. | d.f. | M.S. | F_0 |
|-----------------------------|------------|-----------|------|--------|
| Between classes | $Q_1 = 6$ | h - 1 = 2 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| (treatments) Within classes | $Q_2 = 34$ | N-h=7 | 4.86 | = 1.62 |
| Total | Q = 40 | N - 1 = 9 | | 46 800 |

From the *F*-table, $F_{5\%}$ ($v_1 = 7$, $v_2 = 2$) = 19.35

We note that $F_0 < F_{5\%}$

Let H_0 : The treatments do not differ significantly.

.. The null hypothesis is accepted.

i.e., the treatments are not significantly different.

Example 2

The following table shows the lives in hours of four brands of electric lamps: Brand

A: 1610, 1610, 1650, 1680, 1700, 1720, 1800

B: 1580, 1640, 1640, 1700, 1750

C: 1460, 1550, 1600, 1620, 1640, 1660, 1740, 1820

D: 1510, 1520, 1530, 1570, 1600, 1680

Perform an analysis of variance and test the homogeneity of the mean lives of the four brands of lamps.

We subtract 1640 (= the average of the extreme values) from the given values and work out with the new values of x_{ij}

| Brand | 127 | Lives o | of lan | ıps (x _{ij} |) | 4 | 1 1 | Ti | n_i | $\frac{T_i^2}{n_i}$ |
|---------------------|------|---------|--------|----------------------|----|------|-------|-------------|-------|---------------------|
| \overline{A} – 30 | - 30 | 10 | 40 | 60 | 80 | 160 | _ | 290 | 7 | 12014 |
| B - 60 | 0 | 0 | 60 | 110 | _ | _ | _ | 110 | 5 | 2420 |
| | | - 40 | - 20 | 0 | 20 | 100 | 180 | - 30 | 8 | 113 |
| D - 130 | | | | - 40 | 40 | _ | _ | -430 | 6 | 30817 |
| | | 4 7, 2 | AA | | | li i | Total | - 60 | 26 | 45364 |

$$\sum \sum x_{ij}^{2} = (900 + 900 + 100 + 1600 + 3600 + 6400 + 25600)$$

$$+ (3600 + 0 + 0 + 3600 + 12100)$$

$$+ (32400 + 8100 + 1600 + 400 + 0 + 400 + 10000 + 32400)$$

$$+ (16900 + 14400 + 12100 + 4900 + 1600 + 1600)$$

$$= 39100 + 19300 + 85300 + 51500 = 195200$$

$$Q = \sum \sum x_{ij}^{2} - \frac{T^{2}}{N} = 1,95,200 - 138 = 1,95,062$$

$$Q_{1} = \sum \frac{T_{i}^{2}}{n^{i}} - \frac{T^{2}}{n} = 45,364 - 138 = 45,226$$

$$Q_{2} = Q - Q_{1} = 1,95,062 - 45,226 = 1,49,836$$

ANOVA table

| S.V. | S.S. | d.f. | M.S. | F_0 |
|----------------|------------------|------------|--------|------------------------|
| Between brands | $Q_1 = 45,226$ | h - 1 = 3 | 15,075 | $\frac{15,075}{6,811}$ |
| Within brands | $Q_2 = 1,49,836$ | N-h=22 | 6,811 | = 2.21 |
| Total | Q = 1,95,062 | N - 1 = 25 | _ | _ |

From the F-tables,
$$F_{5\%}$$
 ($v_1 = 3$, $v_2 = 22$) = 3.06
 $F_0 < F_{5\%}$

Hence the null hypothesis H_0 , namely, the means of the lives of the four brands are homogeneous, is accepted viz., the lives of the four brands of lamps do not differ significantly.

Note We could have used a change of scale also. viz., we could have made the change $New \times_{ij} = \frac{old \ x_{ij} - 1640}{10}$

and simplified the numerical work still further

Example 3

A car rental agency, which uses 5 different brands of tyres in the process of deciding the brand of tyre to purchase as standard equipment for its fleet, finds that each of 5 tyres of each brand last the following number of kilometres (in thousands):

| | | Tyre brands | 41.7 | _ |
|----------|----------|-------------|------|----------------|
| | R | C | D | \overline{E} |
| <u>A</u> | <u> </u> | 35 | 45 | 41 |
| 36 | 46 | <i>33</i> | 36 | 30 |
| 37 | 39 | 42 | 39 | 39 |
| 42 | 35 | 37 | | 37 |
| 38 | 37 | 43 | 35 | 35 |
| 47 | 43 | 38 | 32 | 38 |
| 47 | 45 | | | |

Test the hypothesis that the five tyre brands have almost the same average life. We shift the origin to 40 and work out with the new values of x_{ij} .

| Tyre bra | nd | 81.1 | x_{ij} | : 8 ⁻³ - | -7.1 | T_i | n_i | $\frac{T_i^2}{n_i}$ | $\sum_{j=1}^{5} x_{ij}^2$ |
|------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------|------|----------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|
| A B C D | - 4 6 - 5 5 1 | -3 -1 2 -4 -1 | 2 -5 -3 -1 -3 | -2 -3 3 - -5 - -5 - | _ | 0 0 -5 -13 -10 | 5 5 5 5 5 | 0 0 5 33.8 20 | 82 80 51 131 40 |
| Vie. | | 7 | Total | | | -28. | 25 | 58.8 | 384 |

$$T = \sum_{i} T_{i} = -28; \sum_{i} \sum_{j} x_{ij}^{2} = \sum_{i} \left(\sum_{j} x_{ij}^{2}\right) = 384$$

$$Q = \sum_{i} \sum_{j} x_{ij}^{2} - \frac{T^{2}}{N} = 384 - \frac{(-28)^{2}}{25} = 352.64$$

$$Q_{1} = \sum_{i} \frac{T_{i}^{2}}{n_{i}} - \frac{T^{2}}{N} = 58.8 - 31.36 = 27.44$$

$$Q_{2} = Q - Q_{1} = 352.64 - 27.44 = 325.20$$

ANOVA table

| S.V. | S.S. | d.f. | M.S. | F_0 |
|---------------------|----------------|------------|-------|------------------------|
| Between tyre brands | $Q_1 = 27.44$ | h - 1 = 4 | 6.86 | $\frac{16.26}{6.86}$ |
| Within tyre brands | $Q_2 = 325.20$ | N-h=20 | 16.26 | = 2.37 |
| Total | Q = 352.64 | N - 1 = 24 | | Mark and Indignate and |

From the F-tables,
$$F_{5\%}$$
 ($v_1 = 20$, $v_2 = 4$) = 5.80 $F_0 < F_{5\%}$