## Question 1

The file 'german.fcfg' contains the modified grammar with the introduced sub-categorization on verbs into transitive and intransitive verbs. The former take an object while the latter doesn't.

```
(S[]
  (NP[AGR=[NUM='sg', PER=1], CASE='nom'] nbest parse(tokens):
        (PRO[AGR=[NUM='sg', PER=1], CASE='nom'] ich))
  (VP[AGR=[NUM='sg', PER=1]][]
        (V[AGR=[NUM='sg', PER=1]][]
        (V[AGR=[NUM='sg', PER=1], NOBJCASE='dat'; NOBJCASE='trans'] folge)
        (NP[AGR=[GND='fem', NUM='pl', PER=3], CASE='dat'] den)
        (Det[AGR=[NUM='pl', PER=3]] Katzen))))
        (N[AGR=[GND='fem', NUM='pl', PER=3]] Katzen))))
        (ASE='dat'] den)
        (N[AGR=[GND='fem', NUM='pl', PER=3]] Katzen))))
```

Figure 1: Parsing the sentence, 'ich folge den Katzen' using grammar in german.fcfg

I checked the grammar on the sentence 'ich folge den Katzen'.

'folge' is a transitive verb, the sub-categorization of which was introduced into the rules. The parse tree illustrates that the transitive verb takes an object in the dative case.

If we use a sentence such as 'ich komme', it can be seen from the parse tree that is generated that since the intransitive verb does not take any object, this sentence is parsed. However, a sentence such as 'ich komme den Katzen', which is grammatically incorrect considering there is an object following the intransitive verb 'komme', it isn't parsed by the chart parser. This verifies that our grammar captures the sub-categorization of verbs into transitive and intransitive verbs well.

## Question 2

The VP and NP rules for this Spanish grammar were introduced as in the file 'spanish.fcfg'. The analysis of the given sentences in the test suite based on the modified grammar is as that illustrated in Figure 2.

```
example analyses
Juan vió algo 1<sup>NI</sup>
Juan vió a algo Source Red
Juan vió alguien on Verbs <sup>1</sup>1<sup>to</sup>
```

Figure 2: Analysing sentences test-suite on modified Spanish grammar