

Introduction

- ▶ goal is to emulate a 2-year-old child
- ▶ an integrated robotics system for learning to play board games
- ▶ learn a full set of **rules**; learn to play, not to play well
- ▶ learn the initial board, legal-move generator, and outcome predicate
- ▶ two robots play a board game, while a third watches and takes over
- ▶ fully automatic with no human intervention
- ▶ no communication between the robots

Why games, and why learning?

- ▶ games are an idealized version of the real world
- ▶ most AI cannot deal with real-world complexity
- ▶ children learn from observation
- ▶ not only when rules are unavailable, c.f. Social Learning Theory
- ▶ have you read the rules for board games you've played?

Experimental setup

Robots

- ▶ custom robots with a 4-DOF arm, two fingers, and two eyes
- ▶ eyes on a 1-DOF pendulum arm that rotates around the game
- ▶ each eye can pan/tilt independently
- ▶ mounted in a custom housing
- ▶ parts primarily from Lynxmotion, enhanced with custom parts to provide greater support for the arm and eyes and increased efficacy of operation



Computer Vision

- ▶ reconstruct the game state from visual information
- ▶ must detect the board itself; this is a calibration step done once on startup where 9 ellipses arranged in a grid are found
- ▶ OPENCV ellipse finder is used with multiple thresholds and voting in order to detect Xs, Os, and empty board positions

Games

- ▶ off-the-shelf game hardware, but judiciously chosen to simplify robotic manipulation
- ▶ depressions in the board provide for easy piece placement
- ▶ large, easy-to-grab pieces
- ▶ **Tic-Tac-Toe** with standard rules learned
- ▶ **Hexapawn**; three pawns on opposing sides; win by queening, capture, or force an inability to move
- ▶ learned 5 variants of Hexapawn: regular, forward diagonal moves, forward and backward diagonal moves, vertical backward moves, vertical backward and sideways moves

Task



Rules

- ▶ PROGOL, an inductive-logic-programming (ILP) system, is used to learn the initial board, legal-move generator, and outcome predicate
- ▶ rules represented as logical formulae, i.e. Horn clauses
- ▶ learned rules are then directly executed with PROLOG
- ▶ system is given background knowledge about the world, such as: a board exists, pieces can be on the board, players own pieces, the concepts of linearity, forwards, sideways, and the frame axiom
- ▶ background knowledge is of the type any child would have
- ▶ search the space of possible initial board, legal-move generators, and outcome predicates for 3×3 games, given the evidence of n games, and find the most compressed rule set which best explains the observed games
- ▶ learn the initial board first, then the legal-move generator, and finally the outcome predicate
- ▶ use the previously acquired knowledge to learn the next item
- ▶ can learn a full game description in a modest number of games, typically 3–6

Results

- ▶ reliable robust operation was achieved for 62 games, approximately 2000 pick-up and put-down operations with fewer than 20 interventions
- ▶ robotic manipulation based on dead-reckoning due to non-linear relationship between servo control and angular position
- ▶ error detection by interleaving manipulation and visual reconstruction of board states
- ▶ learned Hexapawn rule set:


```
initial_board([[x,x,x],[none,none,none],[o,o,o]],player_x).
legal_move(A,B,C) :- row(D), col(E), owns(A,F), empty(G),
    forward(A,H,D), at(H,E,B,F,I), at(H,E,C,G,J),
    at(D,E,B,G,K), at(D,E,C,F,L), frame_obj(I,K,J,L,B,C).
legal_move(A,B,C) :- row(D), col(E), opponent(A,F),
    owns(A,G), empty(H), forward(A,I,D), owns(F,J),
    sideways(E,K), at(D,K,C,G,L), at(I,E,B,G,M),
    at(I,E,C,H,N), at(D,K,B,J,O), frame_obj(L,N,O,M,C,B).
outcome(A,B,C) :- row(D), opponent(A,E), forward(E,D,F),
    forward(E,F,G), owns_outcome(E,C), owns_piece(C,H),
    at(G,I,B,H,J).
outcome(A,B,C) :- opponent(A,D), has_no_move(A,B),
    owns_outcome(D,C).
```
- ▶ learned similar rule sets for all 6 games

Lincoln Logs & language

- ▶ assembly task using assembly toys, e.g. Lincoln Logs
- ▶ novel computer-vision system to recognize block assemblies from grammars by extracting features, fitting them to known grammars, and searching for implied necessary or possible blocks
- ▶ novel language component to describe assemblies in terms of walls and windows, and reconstruct the same structure out of different assembly toys
- ▶ more advanced robotic system, with custom grippers, farther reach, tactile sensors, and a palm-mounted camera
- ▶ more robust robotic manipulation using visual servoing

Future work

- ▶ complete the Lincoln Log task and move on to other assembly toys
- ▶ expand upon the current game learning and scale up to games of higher complexity, e.g. checkers
- ▶ learn the mapping from world state to game state
- ▶ learn Lincoln Log and other assembly-toy grammars
- ▶ integrate more sensors, e.g. a laser pointer and ultrasonic range finder
- ▶ stochastic ILP for fault tolerance
- ▶ a custom ILP system with better heuristics for learning games