ABHISHER SRVASTAVA 19BCE10071

PAGE NO . DATE: / /20

Tutorial-4

M. = 8

VS = M: M = 8, W = 0.01

M = 50 $\bar{x} = 7.8$ 6 = 0.5

2 = 50 - 40 = 7.8 - 8 = -2.82

6/Jn 0.5/J60

 $2=-2.83 \in R.R \rightarrow \text{ are right sho}$ at x=0.01 at

2> 2-575 or Z<-2.575

-Z1-0/2 = -Z0.995 = -2.575

= 2_{0.995} = 2.575 and

i.e. that u < 98 000. This is designated as the . The complementary statement is designated as to Thus the hypotheses to the dested are -+10: M> 28000 VS th: M< 28000 Z = 97463 - 98000 Zx = Zoro1 = -2.32(These is dufficient evidence to doubt the trucking firm's claim 3 X=9.3, 4=8.9, 9=1.6, n=50 (i) Null Hypothesis (Ho): 1, = 1/2 Alternate typothesis (H_2): H. + H2 (ii) Test statistic Z = X - 1 = 9.3-8.9 = 1.7678. 3/Jn 1.6 \50 (iii) herel of significance at 5%, i.e., $\alpha = 5\%$ or $\alpha = 0.05$ (iv) Critical Value \Rightarrow The value of z_{α} at 5% level of significance from the table is 1:36. (v) Decision: Since the computed value of 121=1.7670 is loss than the critical value $z_{2} = 1.36$, the null hypothesis is .. The claim is acceptable at 5% LOS

```
Hese given
      n = 64
      \overline{x} = 1038
     s = 146
     x = 0.05
Hypothesis is,
     Ho: U=1000
     Ha -: U > 1000
Here x=0.05 & the test is right tailed
test : tc = 1.669
 we reject to if + > 1.669
Test statistics,
        t - \frac{\overline{x} - u}{5/\sqrt{n}} = \frac{1038 - 1000}{146/\sqrt{64}}
       · + = 2.082
 Here t > 1-669
 we reject the null hypothesis
  we accept the alternative hypothesis
          Ha: U>1000
```

X = 2000 M = 1950 2000 - 1950 = 2-5 200/ 500 at 0 = 0.05, z = 1.96 Line Z= 2.5 doesnit lieu W/W -1.961 1.96 This sample didn't come perom those whose mean