Northear Programming with inequality constraints Consider the following constrained Optimization problem with inequality Constraints: " Etmosuis Min f(0) Subject to gi(x) 50, 1=1,2, -m where f: Rh - R and gi & Rh - R are defined and continuously differentiable Karush- Kulin Tucker Necessary Condes: Let & be a local minimum point of the problem at which basic constraint qualification holds. Then there exist multipliers (called XXT multipliers) AI, i=1,2,-m such that the following condrs hold-(i) $9(\pi) \leq 0$, $12\sqrt{1}, 2\sqrt{1} = 0$ (ii) $9(\pi) \leq 0$, $12\sqrt{1}, 2\sqrt{1} = 0$ (m) = 1,2; g; (50) = 0, 1= 1,2, = -m. (IV) 71 70 4:1: These conditions are called KKT condus.

Sufficient conde; Let (x, \$1, \$2, 5m) satisfy the KKT conde (1)-(4). Let of and g; be differentiable conver function. Then x Is a global minimizer point of the minimization problem. [x. kin f(x1, x2) = 2x1+x2 S.t. 24+22 54=7 91 (x1, x2)=x1+x2-46 x1-x2 60 => 92(x1, x2)=x1-x260 13 J2g = (20), A1=2, A2=270. f.g. is strictly convex. 92 is also conver V(x1, x2) + 21 (x2+x2-4) + 22 (V f(x, x) + x, (\78,(x,x2) + x2 \78,(x,x2)=0 =) $(\frac{2}{1}) + \overline{\lambda_1} \left(\frac{2\overline{\lambda_1}}{2\overline{\lambda_2}}\right) + \overline{\lambda_2} \left(\frac{1}{1}\right) = 0$ =) $2 + \overline{\lambda_1} \cdot 2\overline{\lambda_1} + \overline{\lambda_2} = 0$ — (1) $1 + \overline{\lambda_1} \cdot 2x_2 - \overline{\lambda_2} = 0$ (2) 71 g1 (x)=0=) 21 (xy2+x22-4)=0--(3) 742-4 60 - (5) My - N2 & O . - (6) 21,227,0 - (7). Case 1: $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 0$. — not possible Case 2: 12=0, 74= x2 - not possible. Cases: 2+22=4, 12=0 Case 4: Aprico x1+ 1/2 = 4, 1/2 = 1/2

Case 3 >> 24 21 = 1 42 (mk 15/16) 227 - 12 = 4 = (-1) 2+ (-12) = 4 いいいからいいからかりますというないからいかい =) 21 = 16 =) 21= 13. (3) TO (3) TO (3) (3) (3) Which also satisfies (5) - (6) condu. 18' which also satisfies P. So this point (- 1/3) satisfies of all KXT condis, So it is global minimum. Note - Without convergity, assumptions on f and g, the KKT condus are not sufficient for a point to be a local! global minimum. En. Min - 24

S. t. 24 + 2/2 = 4

(1) -24 + 2/2 = 0. The point (0,0) satisfies KXT condu but it is not a local Iglobal min point

Quadratic Programming Problems quadratic Programming Problems: Aquadratic programming problem (BPP) 1's the special case of nonlinear optimization problems in which the objective for is une linear. quadratic and all the constraints are linear. The general mathematical formulation of a app is as follows: (GPP): Min fox) = xTQx+ CTx where $Q = [Qij]_{n \times n}$ symmetric matrix which is positive semi definite c, xep, berm and A= [aij]mxn + To + oT Ex. Min f(x) = 32424 4722 +127472 - 224-324 3×4 + 2×2 66 M ni 4 x2 62 Equivalently: 24, x2 7,0 livalenty: (3, 14) (74) + (-2-3) (74) Min f(x) = (3/2) (3/4) (3/4) (3/2) $\begin{bmatrix} 3 & 2 \\ 3 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} n_4 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} \leq \begin{pmatrix} 6 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}$ (+24) \$ 2 (0) Q = (30 / y) Princépal minor D, =370 D2 = 3 1 = 8 >0 qu's positive definite

```
KKT conditions for APP!
               The problem GPP can be formulated as
                                        Min for = xT qu + e Tx -
                                                        S.t. Andb Jugam
                                                                                              9170 - 71 40 HO VERMO
              KKT cond's wie all properties lasting
                                                         Vf(x) + \( \sum_{\text{21}}^{\mathbb{M}} \gammaivg_i(\alpha) = \( \alpha - \). 2.1
                                                                            gi (x) =0 +1 10
                                                                                                    ALTER WALLED SE ST.
   In this case of QPP
                            2xTQ+CT+ uTA+ (FT) + o1. Now mg.
                                                                     ut (Ax-b) 7. Votac = 6.
                                                                                                      An & b & 0 + 1 x 8 + 2
                                                                                            u, v 7/0, 767/0 110
                        \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)^{T} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)^{T} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \right]^{T} \right]
\frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)^{T} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \right]^{T} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \right
                                                                         Ax 6-60 Ax-6+8=0
                          all variables 70 (. 11)
= \frac{29x + c + A^{T}u - \sqrt{1} = 0}{u^{T}(-s) - \sqrt{1}x = 0}
                                                      =) u^{T}S + v^{T}x = 0, v^{T}x = 0.
                 2) 1451+4252+ + 4msm=0 ) 4sing=0,121,2m
9174+ 12x2+ -+ 12n xn=0 ) 13ing=0,121,2-n
```