## Introduction to Duality Theory

Every LPP has a corresponding minor. image formulation called the dual.

If the original problem has n variables and m constraints, then its dual will have m variables and n constraints.

9f agiven LPP can be thought of resource allocation model in which the objective is to maximize revenue or profit subject to constraint on the consumption of resources, then its dual corresponds to an LPP which minimizes consumption of resources subject to some profit manimizing Constraints.

some interesting properties of dual LPP Any fearible solution of dual model provides bound on the objective to the original brimal model (11) Optimal solution of dual = Optimal solution of primal. (III) Dual of a Dual model is once again the original primal model. Primal-Dual formulation Porimal Max bTy Subject ATY & C' AXZb N 30 Max cTx Subject ATY 7/CT Subject Ax Sb 2170 To be more emplicitly, if the posimal problembe in the following form; Maximize Z = 474+62×2+--+ Cnxn Subject an My + a12 N2 + - + am Xn & b  $-+a_{2n}m \leq b_2$ a2174 + a22 7/2 + amizu + amzxz+ - + amnxn & bm 201, x2, -- 20 701. Then its dual will be

Minimize W= bivi+bzv2+-+ bmvm Subject to au vi + agg v2 t - + ami vm 79 a1241 + a2242+ -+ am2 cm 7/C2 ain v, + azn v2+ -+ amn vm 7, cn, V1, V2, - ~ > 0. From the above formulations of the primal and dual problems, WG observe the following: (a) Number of variables in the dual is equal to the number of constraints in the primal and vice-versa. (b) The elements of the requirement vector (not necessarily positive) in one problem are the respective prices in the objective function of the other problem (e) The now co-effecients of the primal constraints become the column coeffecient of dual (d) One of the problem seeks, maximization While other seeks minimization. (e) If the primal menimization type problem har & type constraints, the dual minimization problem has greater equals 2 type constraints. (f) The variable in both problems are non-negative.

primal problem be a perfect equality, then corresponding dual variable is unrestricted meoren: If any variable of the profinal problem be unrestricted in sign, then the corresponding constraint of the dual will be an equality. Example 1: Formulate the dual of the following primal problem. Maximize Z = 274-6x2 Subject to 24-3×2 56 224+422 28 24-322 2-6 24, 22 20 Ed The given problem is in manimization form. We first rewrite this problem into canonical from. Max Z= 294-6×2 5.t. 29-3×2 66 -224-422 =-8  $-24 + 322 \leq 6$ , 24, 22  $\approx 0$ . Thus the pointal is in form Max Z= eta S.+ Anc & b., 9070 c = (2 - 6)  $x = [x_1 x_2]$  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -3 \\ -2 & -4 \\ -1 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \qquad b = \begin{pmatrix} 6 \\ -8 \\ 6 \end{pmatrix}$ There are three constraints and two variables in primal problem

Thus the dual problem has 3 variables and 2 constraints. Let vii 121 offer be the ar variables associated of formulation dual. The corresponding dual formulation will be Minimize  $w = b'v = (6 - 8 - 46) \begin{pmatrix} v_2 \\ v_3 \end{pmatrix}$ Subject to A'v > c', v70  $A' = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -2 & -1 \\ -3 & -4 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \cdot e'_{-6} \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ -6 \end{pmatrix} \cdot$ This can be written as to the solder Min w = 601 + 802 - +6 3 Sit. V, - 202 - V3 7 2  $-3v_1 - 4v_2 + 3v_3 = 7/-6$ U1, U2, V3 7, 0 2. Max Z = 224 + 322 + 2/3 = 300 M Subject 4×4+3×2+×3=6 to x1 + 2x2 + 5x3 = 4 M1, X2, X3 70. -> First we convert the problem into canonical form. Max Z= 294+379+73 4x4+3x2+36 56  $-474-372+73 \leq -6$  $n_4 + 2n_2 + 5n_3 \leq 4$ -74 - 27/2 - 57/3 5-4 NI, 1/2, 23 7,0.

Whitten in matrix form Max = = = (2 3 1) ( 22) s.t. Ax & 66, x 70 b = (-6) where  $A = \begin{pmatrix} -4 & 3 & 1 \\ -4 & -3 & -1 \\ 1 & 2 & 5 \\ -1 & 2 & -5 \end{pmatrix}$ The primal has fown constraints and three variables. So the dual has three constraints and four b variables. The corresponding dual will be Min w = b'v = (6 S.t. A'v 7 c', v 70  $A' = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & -4 & 1 & -1 \\ 3 & -3 & 2 & -2 \\ 1 & -1 & 5 & -5 \end{pmatrix}$ In explicitly Min w = 60, -602 + 403 - 409 Sit. 44-442+43-44 72 341 - 342 + 243 - 244 73 V1- V2 + 5V3-5V4 71 N1, U2, V3, V4 70. The new vari 193-194 = U. Let 4-12=14,1 Min w = 64 + 44 St. 44+472 34+2473 y + 5u 7/1, J, u are unrestricted in sign-since ît is the difference between non-negative

3- Max Z = 274 + 3x2 + 4x3 Subject 34-572+372=7 224-572 53 3×2 - ×3 >5 unrestricted Sola Since x3 is unrestricted in cian In sign,  $n_3 = n_3' - n_3''$ In standard of canonical form, 4nn
Man  $Z = 2n_4 + 3n_2 + 4n_3''$ S.+. 24-5×2 + 3(x3'-23") 4572 - 24 + 5×12 + 3(x3' - 2(3")) 5-7 2×1-5×2 ≤3 30g - 372+ \$ (x3-73") = -5 M, N2, N3, N3" 7, 0 18-The dual problem will be Min W = 70, -702 +303 -504 S.L. 19, - 12 + 2 13 -5v, +5v2-5v3-3v4 7,3 30, - 3.02 + 04 -430, +302 -947-4, 0102, 03, 04 > 0Let U1-U2=11 Min w = 7u + 3v3 -5v4 u+2V3. 72 -511 -513 -314 73 3u + V4 7-4) 3u+ V4=4 -3u - V4 7-4) V3, VB 70 uis unrestricted Important result for solving primal-Dual problem:

Primal problem Dual Problem Conclusion
Frate optimal
Frate

Duality and Simplex Method: Suppose that an optimal solution to the Suppose that an optimal solution to the dual problem has been obtained by the application of simplex method.

Rule 1: If the proimal (dual) vooriable be related a slack or surplus variable in the dual problem, then its optimal in the dual problem, then its optimal solution is directly read off from the solution is directly of the optimal net evaluation row of the optimal as the net evaluation dual simplex table as the net evaluation dual simplex table as the and or corresponding to this slack and or corresponding to this slack and or

Rule 2: If the proimal variable be related to an artificial variable in the dual to an artificial variable in the dual problem, then its optimal value is direct problem, then its optimal value is direct as of the optimal dual simplem table as of the optimal dual simplem table as the net evaluation relating to this the net evaluation relating to this artificial variable after putting the

the boundty east M equal to zono Rule 3: It either problem (primal ordina) has unbounded solution, then the Other will have no feasible soly Example: Construct the dual of the following LPP and solve both the proimal and dual: Maximize Z = 321+4×2 Subject to 24 + x2 & 12 274 + 372 5 21 2 8 No 2004 ah-1-2/m xx2/15/6/2 xx11-10/9/1 94, M2 7,0. The dual of the profimal is Min w= 12 be + 21 be + 8 by + 6 by S.t. V1+2V2+V3+0V4 >3 19, +3 V2 +0 V3 + V4 7 A V1, V2, V3, V4 > 0 Introducing two surplus variables Vs, V6 to the constraints, Min w= 124, + 21 12 + 8 13 + 6 14 + 04 + 04 + 04 S.t. V1 + 2 × 2 + V3 + OV4 - V5 = 3 V1 + 31/2 +01/3 + 1/4 - 1/6 = 4

Here 13, 14 forms initial basis. B  $V_B$  b  $a_1$   $a_2$   $a_3$   $a_4$   $a_5$   $a_6$   $a_7$   $a_6$   $a_7$   $a_3$   $a_4$   $a_5$   $a_6$   $a_7$   $a_8$   $a_8$  2j-cj 2 13 0 0 -8 This is minimization problem and hence the vector with maximum most positive (2j-cj) will enter the basis. The optimality Will reach when Zj-Cj & O +j. In the next table, xo & v2 -> entering VA - leaving G 12 21 8 6 0 00 CB B VB b at az az az az az 8 a3 V3 V3 V3 V3 13 0 1 -243 -1 +243 21 az vz A/3 1/3 11 0 1/3 0 -1/3 Zj-Gj -73 0 0 -13 -8 -5/3 So, Zj-G' SO V j. Optimal Solution of the dual is  $v_1^{\dagger} = 0$ ,  $v_2^{\dagger} = 4/3$   $v_3^{\dagger} = 1/3$  $V_4^{\dagger} = 0$ . Whin =  $12\times0+21\times\frac{4}{3}+8\times\frac{1}{3}+6$ Optimal solt of the primal will be zite of columns of surplus variables, v5, v6 with Changed sign of dual optional table 1.  $x_1^{\dagger} = 8$ ,  $x_2^{\dagger} = \frac{5}{3}$ ,  $z_{man} = 3x8 + 4x5$ > 92 So Zmanz Wmin.