

# Expectation Maximization for State Space Models with Missing Data

Adam Coogan

September 29, 2017

## 1 State Space Models

A general state space model (SSM) has the form

$$\vec{x}_t = A_t \vec{x}_{t-1} + B_t \vec{u}_t + \vec{\varepsilon}_t \quad (1)$$

$$\vec{y}_t = C_t \vec{x}_t + D_t \vec{v}_t + \vec{\delta}_t, \quad (2)$$

where  $\vec{u}_t$  and  $\vec{v}_t$  are the state transition and observation controls and  $\vec{\varepsilon}_t \sim N(0, Q_t)$  and  $\vec{\delta}_t \sim N(0, R_t)$ . The dimensions of the vectors  $\vec{x}_t$ ,  $\vec{y}_t$ ,  $\vec{u}_t$ ,  $\vec{v}_t$  are  $n_{\text{LF}}$ ,  $N$ ,  $L$  and  $M$ .  $T$  denotes the number of observations and  $\mathcal{D}$  the set of observations.

In our scenario,  $N > n_{\text{LF}}$  (though the equations below apply regardless of this condition), and the hidden state  $\vec{x}_t$  does not have an obvious physical interpretation. This means we need to learn the parameters of the model  $\theta = A, B, C, D, Q, R$ . To improve numerical stability, I set  $Q = I$  and take  $R$  to be diagonal. (TODO: would also be good to set largest eigenvalue of  $A$  to 1.)

## 2 Expectation Maximization for SSMs

To estimate the model parameters and unobserved states, we alternate between computing the expectation value of the complete-data log likelihood

$$\mathcal{Q}(\theta^{(j)} | \theta^{(j-1)}) = \mathbb{E}[\log p(\vec{x}_{1:T}, \vec{y}_{1:T}) | \mathcal{D}, \theta^{(j-1)}] \quad (3)$$

and maximizing it to find a new estimate for the parameters

$$\theta^{(j)} = \arg \max_{\theta} \mathcal{Q}(\theta | \theta^{(j-1)}). \quad (4)$$

Note the notation  $\vec{a}_{1:n} = \{\vec{a}_1, \vec{a}_2, \dots, \vec{a}_n\}$ . I'll use  $\mathbb{E}_*[\cdot] = \mathbb{E}_*[\cdot | \mathcal{D}, \theta^{(j-1)}]$  below for convenience.

### 2.1 E-step

Note that  $\mathbb{E}_*[\cdot] = \mathbb{E}_*[\mathbb{E}_*[\cdot | \vec{x}_{1:T}]]$ . We can compute  $\hat{x}_t \equiv \mathbb{E}_*[\vec{x}_t]$  and  $P_t \equiv \Sigma_t | T - \hat{x} \hat{x}^T \equiv \mathbb{E}_*[\vec{x}_t \vec{x}_t^T]$  using the Kalman smoother (which I won't review here) with a key modification<sup>1</sup>. The procedure proceeds as normal

---

<sup>1</sup>see Shumway and Stoffer's 2017 book on time series

with the replacements

$$y_{ti} = \begin{cases} y_{ti} & \text{if observed} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

$$C_{ij}(D_{ij}) = \begin{cases} C_{ij}(D_{ij}) & y_{ti} \text{ observed} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

$$R_{ij} = \begin{cases} R_{ij} & y_{ti}, y_{tj} \text{ both observed} \\ 1 & y_{ti}, y_{tj} \text{ both unobserved} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

With the smoothed values and covariances in hand, we can now compute the expected values involving the missing components of  $\vec{y}_t$ :

$$\mathbb{E}_*[y_{ti}] = \begin{cases} y_{ti} & \text{if observed} \\ [C^{(j-1)}\hat{x}_t + D^{(j-1)}\vec{v}_t]_i & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

$$\mathbb{E}_*[y_{ti}x_{tj}] = \begin{cases} y_{ti}\hat{x}_{tj} & \text{if } y_{ti} \text{ observed} \\ [C^{(j-1)}P_t]_{ij} + [D^{(j-1)}\vec{v}_t]_i\hat{x}_{tj} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

$$\mathbb{E}_*[y_{ti}^2] = \begin{cases} (y_{ti})^2 & \text{if observed} \\ [C^{(j-1)}\hat{x}_t + D^{(j-1)}\vec{v}_t]_i^2 + [C^{(j-1)}\Sigma_{t|T}C^{(j-1)T}]_{ii}^2 + R_{ii}^{(j-1)} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

## 2.2 M-step

$A$  and  $B$  must be solved for simultaneously since they do not appear in separate terms in the likelihood:

$$(A^{(j)} \quad B^{(j)}) M_{AB} = N_{AB}, \quad (11)$$

$$M_{AB} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{I}_{n_{LF} \times n_{LF}} & \left[ \sum_{t=2}^T \hat{x}_{t-1} \vec{u}_t^T \right] \left[ \sum_{t=2}^T \vec{u}_t \vec{u}_t^T \right]^{-1} \\ \left[ \sum_{t=2}^T \vec{u}_t \hat{x}_{t-1}^T \right] \left[ \sum_{t=1}^{T-1} P_t \right]^{-1} & \mathbb{I}_{L \times L} \end{pmatrix} \quad (12)$$

$$N_{AB} = \begin{pmatrix} \left[ \sum_{t=2}^T P_{t,t-1} \right] \left[ \sum_{t=1}^{T-1} P_t \right]^{-1} & \left[ \sum_{t=2}^T \hat{x}_t \vec{u}_t^T \right] \left[ \sum_{t=2}^T \vec{u}_t \vec{u}_t^T \right]^{-1} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (13)$$

Similarly,  $C$  and  $D$  are obtained by solving

$$(C^{(j)} \quad D^{(j)}) M_{CD} = N_{CD}, \quad (14)$$

$$M_{CD} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{I}_{n_{LF} \times n_{LF}} & \left[ \sum_{t=1}^T \hat{x}_t \vec{v}_t^T \right] \left[ \sum_{t=1}^T \vec{v}_t \vec{v}_t^T \right]^{-1} \\ \left[ \sum_{t=1}^T \vec{v}_t \hat{x}_t^T \right] \left[ \sum_{t=1}^{T-1} P_t \right]^{-1} & \mathbb{I}_{M \times M} \end{pmatrix} \quad (15)$$

$$N_{CD} = \begin{pmatrix} \left[ \sum_{t=1}^T \mathbb{E}_*[\vec{y}_t \vec{x}_t^T] \right] \left[ \sum_{t=1}^T P_t \right]^{-1} & \left[ \sum_{t=1}^T \mathbb{E}_*[\vec{y}_t] \vec{v}_t^T \right] \left[ \sum_{t=1}^T \vec{v}_t \vec{v}_t^T \right]^{-1} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (16)$$

Maximizing  $\mathcal{Q}$  with respect to  $R$  gives

$$R_{ii}^{(j)} = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \left\{ \mathbb{E}_*[(y_{ti})^2] + [C^{(j)}P_t C^{(j)T}]_{ii} + [D^{(j)}\vec{v}_t]_i^2 \right. \quad (17)$$

$$\left. - 2(\mathbb{E}_*[\vec{y}_t \vec{x}_t^T] C^{(j)T})_{ii} - 2[D^{(j)}\vec{v}_t]_i \mathbb{E}_*[y_{ti}] + 2[C^{(j)}\hat{x}_t]_i [D^{(j)}\vec{v}_t]_i \right\}. \quad (18)$$

And finally, as usual we have

$$\pi_1^{(j)} = \hat{x}_1 \quad (19)$$

$$\Sigma_1^{(j)} = \Sigma_{1|T}. \quad (20)$$