

HW7

1.

| 1 st | 2 nd | 3 rd | 4 th | 5 th | 6 th | cost |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|------|
| A | -> B | -> D | -> C | -> E | -> F | 10 |
| | | | | -> F | | 12 |
| | | | -> E | -> C | -> F | 11 |
| | | | | -> F | | 7 |
| | | -> C | -> D | -> E | -> F | 11 |
| | | | -> E | -> F | | 8 |
| | | | -> F | | | 10 |
| | -> D | -> B | -> C | -> E | -> F | 9 |
| | | | | -> F | | 11 |
| | | -> C | -> E | -> F | | 7 |
| | | | -> F | | | 9 |
| | | -> E | -> C | -> F | | 8 |
| | | | -> F | | | 4 |
| | -> C | -> B | -> D | -> E | -> F | 13 |
| | | -> D | -> E | -> F | | 11 |
| | | -> E | -> F | | | 8 |
| | | -> F | | | | 10 |

2.

a.

| D^X | W | Y |
|-------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| W | 1 | $4 + \min(D^Y(W, n))$ |
| Y | $1 + \min(D^W(Y, n))$ | 4 |
| A | 6 | 10 |

b. $c(X, W) = 10$

$\Rightarrow D^X(A, W) = 10 + 5 = 15 > 10 = D^X(A, Y)$

$\Rightarrow \min(D^X(A, n)) = \min(10 + 5, 10) = 10 = D^X(A, Y)$

\Rightarrow new min_cost from X to A \Rightarrow X will inform its neighbors

c. $c(X, Y) = 3$

$\Rightarrow D^X(A, Y) = 3 + 6 = 9 > 6 = D^X(A, W)$

$\Rightarrow \min(D^X(A, n))$ will not change

\Rightarrow X will not inform its neighbors

3.

| | | |
|-------|-------|-------|
| D^X | Y | Z |
| Y | 2 | 7+1=8 |
| Z | 2+1=3 | 7 |
| D^Y | X | Z |
| X | 2 | 1+3=4 |
| Z | 2+3=5 | 1 |
| D^Z | X | Y |
| X | 7 | 1+2=3 |
| Y | 7+2=9 | 1 |

No nodes will send updated values to their neighbors because no min_cost value is changed from the previous iteration

4.

a.

| router | to | message |
|--------|----|-------------------|
| y | w | $D^y(x) = 4$ |
| | z | $D^y(x) = 4$ |
| z | y | $D^z(x) = 6$ |
| | w | $D^z(x) = \infty$ |
| w | y | $D^w(x) = \infty$ |
| | z | $D^w(x) = 5$ |

b. Yes there will be a count-to-infinity problem.

$c(x,y): 4 \Rightarrow 60$ between t_0 and t_1

| Time: | t0 | t1 | t2 | t3 |
|---------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Router: | | | | |
| y to w | $D^y(x) = 4$ | $D^y(x) = \infty$ | | |
| y to z | $D^y(x) = 4$ | $D^y(x) = 9$ | | |
| z to y | $D^z(x) = \infty$ | | $D^z(x) = \infty$ | |
| z to w | $D^z(x) = 5$ | | $D^z(x) = 10$ | |
| w to y | $D^w(x) = 6$ | | | $D^w(x) = 11$ |
| w to z | $D^w(x) = \infty$ | | | $D^w(x) = \infty$ |

The shortest path from z to x is 50. Z will stop updating when the cost reaches 50. The value of z is incremented by 5 every 3 iterations. Therefore, it will take up to 30 iterations for the cost to reach 50. Since the starting value is 5, it may take 27-30 iterations.

c. Increase the cost of the $y \leftrightarrow z$ path to ∞ . In other words, cut the path. The count-to-infinity problem occurs at $D^z(x, y)$

5.

a.

| Prefix | Link | Notation |
|--------------------|------|-----------|
| 11111110 | 0 | 254/8 |
| 11111111 0000 0000 | 1 | 255.0/16 |
| 11111111 | 2 | 255/8 |
| otherwise | 3 | otherwise |

b. First: match to 11111111 00000000 entry -> link interface 1

Second: match to 11111111 entry -> link interface 2

Third: doesn't match to link interface 0, 1, 2 -> link interface 3

6.

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|----|----|----|-----|
| 2 | 4 | 8 | 16 | 32 | 64 | 128 |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |

Subnet 1: 223.1.17.0/25 7 digits left => 128 interface

Subnet 2: 223.1.17.128/26 6 digits left => 64 interface

Subnet 3: 223.1.17.192/26 6 digits left => 64 interface

7.

a. Subnet A: 152.83.254/24 8 digits left => 256 interfaces > 250
10011000 01010011 11111110

Subnet B: 152.83.255.0/25 7 digits left => 128 interfaces > 120
10011000 01010011 11111111 0

Subnet C: 152.83.255.128/29 – 152.83.255.255/29 128-8=120 interfaces
10011000 01010011 11111111 1

Subnet D: 152.83.255.248/30 2 digits left => 4 interfaces > 2
10011000 01010011 11111111 111110

Subnet E: 152.83.255.252/31 1 digit left => 2 interfaces
10011000 01010011 11111111 111110

Subnet F: 152.83.254.255/31 1 digit left => 2 interfaces
10011000 01010011 11111111 111111

| b. Router | Prefix | Link Interface |
|-----------|------------------------------------|----------------|
| AFD | 10011000 01010011 11111110 | A |
| | 10011000 01010011 11111111 1111111 | F |
| | 10011000 01010011 11111111 111110 | D |
| CFE: | 10011000 01010011 11111111 1 | C |
| | 10011000 01010011 11111111 1111111 | F |
| | 10011000 01010011 11111111 111110 | E |
| DBE: | 10011000 01010011 11111111 111110 | D |
| | 10011000 01010011 11111111 0 | B |
| | 10011000 01010011 11111111 111110 | E |

8. IP header = 20 bytes

Original Datagram:

Length = 2400 (header (20 bytes) + message (2380 bytes))

Id = 422

Fragment = 0

Offset = 0

Fragment 1:

Length = 700 bytes

Id = 422

Fragment = 1

Offset = 0

Fragment 2:

Length = 700 bytes

Id = 422

Fragment = 1

Offset = 85

Fragment 3:

Length = 700 bytes

Id = 422

Fragment = 1

Offset = 170

Fragment 4:

Length = $2380 - (680 \times 3) = 340$ bytes

Id = 422

Fragment = 1

Offset = 255

Total fragments = $\text{floor}((2400-20) / (700-20)) = 4$

9. Yes

AS X has an agreement of peering with AS Y

AS Y has an agreement of peering with AS Z

- The BGP route trailers are held by each autonomous system
- AS X doesn't know that AS Y has a path to AS Z
- AS X never forwards traffic
- AS Y should communicate to AS X that it has no path to Z
- AS Z can transfer all of Y's traffic

Therefore yes, BGP alone can accomplish the task.

10.

a.

| | | |
|------------|------------|----------------------|
| Position=0 | Length=234 | Application data=214 |
|------------|------------|----------------------|

b. If one or more segments are lost or erroneous the whole datagram is discarded.
However, TSP will automatically invoke fast retransmission.