


Using Haskell Professionally

Alfredo Di Napoli

Quoting Steve Jobs..

Today, I'm gonna tell you three stories.



- My story
- My company story
- Your story

My story

Back in 2007, I had my first exposure to FP, under the form of a university course. The course taught us OCaml.

The early days

Back in 2007, I had my first exposure to FP, under the form of a university course. The course taught us OCaml.

After the initial enthusiasm, I drifted back to Python and other OOP languages.

Fast-forward 2009..

I was still in love with Python. At the time I was a regular attendees of **Python-it.org**, a popular Italian community.

I fell in love with Clojure.

Pushing myself forward in my holy grail search, I was exposed to a huge number of programming language, trying to find the “perfect” one: Scheme, Clojure, Common Lisp, **Haskell**, Io, Ruby, *put-yet-another-language-here*.

So, despite the interest, I did went back to Clojure and lisp-family languages.

Fast-forward 2011..

I was writing my masters degree dissertation on *Parallel and Distributed Computing*, using nothing but C++. The topic, together with the exposure to C++ revamped in me the holy flame of fast and compiled languages.

Fast-forward 2011.. (contd.)

I was writing my masters degree dissertation on *Parallel and Distributed Computing*, using nothing but C++. The topic, together with the exposure to C++ revamped in me the holy flame of fast and compiled languages.

Being Haskell a compiled language, I wanted to give it another go, so I bought *Learn You Some Haskell for Great Good* and worked my way through it.

Mid 2012

I started an internship with a company in the defense field, doing C++ in Rome. To hone my Haskell skills I tried to contribute to an Haskell open source project, the Snap framework.

Mid 2012

Being determined in earning a living with functional programming, I decided to concentrate my efforts only on three languages, based on different criteria (commercial users, personal preference, job offers abroad):

- Haskell
- OCaml
- Scala

The Manchester era



Why Haskell?

- Because software development is a marathon, not a sprint.

Why Haskell? (contd.)

- Because software development is a marathon, not a sprint.
- *"It took me more time writing the specs than implementing the feature itself"*

“Pros” of working in Haskell

- Refactoring is a dream
- EDSLs are a piece of cake
- High quality libraries

Refactoring is a dream

EDSLs are a piece of cake

```
fromPreset :: MediaFile -> MediaFile
  -> Maybe Atlas.VideoFilter
  -> VideoPreset -> Maybe VideoRotation
  -> LogLevel -> [T.Text]
fromPreset filename outFilePath flt vpres vi ll =
  let cli = ffmpegCLI $ mconcat [
    i $ toTextIgnore filename
    , loglevel ll
    , fromVideoPreset vpres
    , isVideoRotated vi <?> resetRotateMetadata
    , yuv420p
    , vf [rotateMb vi]
    , isJust flt <?> vf_technicolor
    , o_y_ext (toTextIgnore outFilePath) (Left vpres)
  ]
  in T.words cli
```

Snags of working in Haskell

- Slow(ish) Compilation
- Cabal Hell
- ???

Slow(ish) compilation

Insert here the xcd comic.

Slow compilation: the caveat

- It's a problem all non-interpreted languages have to deal with
- GHC indeed does incremental compilation, building only what's changed
- It's even slower if..
 - You have TH (Template Haskell) in your code
 - You are building with profiling enabled

If you want faster feedback loop, consider using ghci

The typical Sinatra hello world app...

```
require 'sinatra'

get '/hi' do
  "Hello World!"
end
```

...and the Snap equivalent

```
{-# LANGUAGE OverloadedStrings #-}  
  
import Snap  
  
main :: IO ()  
main = quickHttpServe $ do  
  route [("/hi", method GET $ writeBS "Hello World!")]
```


In a nutshell...

Haskell is a very pragmatic language...

In a nutshell...

Haskell is a very pragmatic language...
...but not all the people using it are!

Your story

What can **you** do (as a community) to embrace, support and spread functional programming?

Be pragmatic.

Keep an open mind.

Reject the status-quo.

Quoting Bret Victor's "The future of programming"

[..]So the most dangerous thought that you can have as a creative person is to think that you know what you're doing. Because once you think you know what you're doing, you stop looking around for other ways of doing things. And **you stop being able to see other ways** of doing things[..]

[..] if you don't want to be this guy, if you want to be open or receptive to new ways of thinking, to invent new ways of thinking, I think the first step is you have to say to yourself, ****“I don't know what I'm doing.”** [..] I think you have to say, “we don't know what programming is. We don't know what computing is. We don't even know what a computer is.”

And once you truly understand that - and once you truly believe that - then you're free.

Thank you.

Questions?