



Adoptium

Project Management Committee

Agenda & Minutes

“The PMC as a whole, and the PMC leads in particular, are ultimately responsible for ensuring that the Eclipse Development Process is understood and followed by their Projects. The PMC is additionally responsible for maintaining the Top-Level Project’s charter.” [\[ref\]](#)

Date: 2024-June-12

Agenda:

- Approval of [minutes](#) of the June 5th meeting.
- Review of outstanding actions.
- Review of [GitHub issues flagged for PMC agenda](#).
 - Distroless image support? ([containers#569](#))
- Ubuntu Noble support
- “Versionless provides” in our RPM installers ([Request from Fedora](#))
- Rounding out Trail of Bits Security Assessment
- Tracking downloads discussion
- Update on GHSA-xrvg-mgj7-p5wh.
- AoB
 - JFrog

Action items:

Date	Description	Status
24-Jan-2024	ACTION: gdams to discuss with JFrog how to use symlinks in Artifactory. Trial this with the ‘Rocky 9’ to ‘Rocky 8’ installer path with a view to further roll-out. (ref installer#801) Plus: Move yum repositories from SHA-1 to SHA256 signatures (installer#674)	In progress

Attendees

- ☐ George Adams
- ☒ Stewart Addison
- ☐ Carmen Delgado (guest)
- ☒ Tim Ellison
- ☐ Scott Fryer
- ☒ Severin Gehwolf
- ☒ Shelley Lambert
- ☒ Andrew Leonard
- ☐ San Hong Li
- ☒ John Oliver
- ☐ Martijn Verburg
- ☒ Lan Xia

Meeting called to order at 14:04 BST

Minutes:

- Approval of [minutes](#) of the June 5th meeting.
 - Minutes were approved.
- Review of outstanding actions.
 - Carmen reported that JFrog have made positive progress on outstanding requests.
 - Further engagement and requests can be made directly through the JFrog Slack channel. PMC members can request access as required.
- Review of [GitHub issues flagged for PMC agenda](#).
 - Distroless image support? ([containers#569](#))
 - i. Awaiting further information from George who is not available for this meeting.
- Ubuntu Noble support
 - Still running tests, and seeing an issue that may not be a blocker.
 - Once tests have completed and been triaged we will decide upon support for the release.
- “Versionless provides” in our RPM installers ([Request from Fedora](#))
 - Packages should not be declared to “provide Java” without specifying version numbers since this overrides the system default Java provider.
 - Preference is that we amend future packages to have specific Java version provision in their spec files. This would be a user-visible change.
 - i. Unknown how many people currently would depend upon Temurin being the provider of generic ‘java’.
 - ii. Recommended pattern is for packages to have specific version dependencies.

- We believe that this proposed change would bring Temurin in-line with other packaging of Java runtimes.
- This may also be addressed by upstream guidance on packaging for Java in OpenJDK where there is a preference for all distributors to align on packaging definitions.
- Proposal to go ahead and advise the users via a blog post about the nature of the changes to signal the proposed changes.
- Rounding out Trail of Bits Security Assessment
 - Requires finishing off documentation by describing final resolutions.
 - Expected publication this week, via the Trail of Bits formal report, a response from Adoptium PMC, and blogs by the project and Eclipse Foundation team.
- Tracking downloads discussion
 - Discussion of the correct way to count downloads of Temurin directly from the project.
 - dash.adoptium.net - shows all numbers for Temurin only.
 - dash.adoptopenjdk.net - shows numbers for historical AdoptOpenJDK binaries in addition to Temurin binaries, and all OpenJ9 binaries shipped via that site.
 - We do not capture the download numbers for packages.adoptium.net
- Update on GHSA-xrvg-mgj7-p5wh.
 - No update this week
- AoB
 - Private discussion item

Meeting closed at 14:42 BST