Rate matrix for an MG-style codon model

```
(\text{Rate})_{X,Y}(dt) = \begin{cases} \alpha & \pi_t dt &, \text{ one-step, synonymous substitution,} \\ \beta & \pi_t dt &, \text{ one-step, non-synonymous substitution,} \\ 0 & , \text{ multi-step.} \end{cases} X,Y = \text{AAA...TTT (excluding stop codons),} \\ \pi_t - \text{frequency of the target nucleotide.} \text{Example substitutions:} \\ \text{AAC} \rightarrow \text{AAT (one step, synonymous - Asparagine)}
```

 αR_{cc} βR_{cc}

 α (syn. rate) and β (non-syn. rate) are the key quantities for all selection analyses

CAC→GAC (one step, non-synonymous - Histidine to Aspartic Acid)

AAC→GTC (multi-step).

Computing the transition probabilities

- In order to recover transition probabilities **T(t)** from the rate matrix **Q**, one computes the matrix exponential **T(t)** = **exp(Qt)**, same as with standard nucleotide models, e.g. HKY85 or GTR.
- Because the computational complexity of matrix exponentiation scales as the cube of the matrix dimension, codon based models require roughly
 (61/4)³ ≈ 3500 more operations than nucleotide models.
- This explains why codon probabilistic models were not introduced until the 1990s, even though they are relatively straightforward extensions of 4x4 nucleotide models