The Value of Accepting the Null Hypothesis

Andy Grogan-Kaylor 2019-12-19

Important Substantive Cases

The Value of Accepting the Null Hypothesis ${\cal H}_0$

| case | description | H0 | example |
|------------------------------|---|---------------------------------------|---|
| Equivalence Testing | Equivalence Of 2 Treatments Or Interventions | $eta_1=eta_2$ | The effect of Treatment 1 is indistinguishable from the effect of Treatment 2 (especially important if one treatment is much more expensive, or time consuming than another). |
| Equivalence Testing | Equivalence Of 2 Groups On An Outcome | $ar{x_1} = ar{x_2}$ | Men and women are more similar than different wrt psychological processes (Hyde 2005). |
| Retiring Interventions | There Is No Evidence That Intervention X Is Effective | $\beta_{intervention} = 0$ | Evidence consistently suggests that a particular treatment has near zero effect. |
| Contextual Equivalence | Equivalence of a Predictor Across Contexts (Moderation) | $\beta_{interaction} = 0$ | Warm and supportive parenting is equally beneficial across different contexts or countries. |
| Family Member Equivalence | Equivalence of a Predictor Across Family Members | $\beta_{parent1} = \beta_{parent2}$ | Parenting from one parent is equivalent to parenting from another parent |
| Full Mediation | $x \to y$ Association Is Completely Mediated; No Direct Effect | $\beta_{xmy} \neq 0; \beta_{xy} = 0$ | The relationship of the treatment and the outcome is completely mediated by mechanism m . |
| Theory Simplification | Removing An Association From A Theory | $\beta_x = 0$ | There is no evidence that x is associated with y. |

References

Hyde, Janet Shibley. 2005. "The gender similarities hypothesis." American Psychologist 60 (6): 581–92. https://doi.org/ 10.1037/0003-066X.60.6.581.