

# The Value of Accepting the Null Hypothesis

Andy Grogan-Kaylor

2020-12-05

## Background

In standard frequentist models, we cannot formally accept the Null Hypothesis  $H_0$ , but can only reject, or fail to reject,  $H_0$ .

Bayesian models allow one to both accept and reject  $H_0$  (Kruschke and Liddell 2018).

Accepting  $H_0$  may have consequences for affirming similarity, universality, or treatment invariance (Gallistel 2009; Morey, Homer, and Proulx 2018). The ability to accept  $H_0$  may also lead to a lower likelihood of the publication bias that results from frequentist methods predicated upon the rejection of  $H_0$  (Kruschke and Liddell 2018).

## Important Substantive Cases

The Value of Accepting the Null Hypothesis  $H_0$

case	description	H_0	example
Equivalence Testing	Equivalence Of 2 Treatments Or Interventions	$\beta_1 = \beta_2$	The effect of Treatment 1 is indistinguishable from the effect of Treatment 2 (especially important if one treatment is much more expensive, or time consuming than another).
Equivalence Testing	Equivalence Of 2 Groups On An Outcome	$\bar{x}_1 = \bar{x}_2$	Men and women are more similar than different <i>wrt</i> psychological processes (Hyde 2005).
Retiring Interventions	There Is No Evidence That Intervention X Is Effective	$\beta_{intervention} = 0$	Evidence consistently suggests that a particular treatment has near zero effect.
Contextual Equivalence	Equivalence of a Predictor Across Contexts (Moderation)	$\beta_{interaction} = 0$	Warm and supportive parenting is equally beneficial across different contexts or countries.

case	description	H_0	example
Family Member Equivalence	Equivalence of a Predictor Across Family Members	$\beta_{parent1} = \beta_{parent2}$	Parenting from one parent is equivalent to parenting from another parent
Full Mediation	$x \rightarrow y$ Association Is Completely Mediated; No Direct Effect	$\beta_{xmy} \neq 0; \beta_{xy} = 0$	The relationship of the treatment and the outcome is completely mediated by mechanism $m$ .
Theory Simplification	Removing An Association From A Theory	$\beta_x = 0$	There is no evidence that $x$ is associated with $y$ .
Theory Rejection	Rejecting A Theory	$\beta_{theory} = 0$	There is strong evidence ( <i>contra</i> Theory X) that $x$ is not associated with $y$ .

## References

- Gallistel, C R. 2009. "The importance of proving the null." *Psychological Review* 116 (2): 439–53. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0015251>.
- Hyde, Janet Shibley. 2005. "The Gender Similarities Hypothesis." *American Psychologist* 60 (6): 581–92. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0003-066X.60.6.581>.
- Kruschke, John K, and Torrin M Liddell. 2018. "The Bayesian New Statistics: Hypothesis Testing, Estimation, Meta-Analysis, and Power Analysis from a Bayesian Perspective." *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review* 25 (1): 178–206. <https://doi.org/10.3758/s13423-016-1221-4>.
- Morey, Richard D., Saskia Homer, and Travis Proulx. 2018. "Beyond Statistics: Accepting the Null Hypothesis in Mature Sciences." *Advances in Methods and Practices in Psychological Science*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2515245918776023>.