Minimal Indirect Thread Code Forth

Alvaro G. S. Barcellos, agsb at github, version 0.9, 2023

the inner interpreter

": NEXT IP)+ W MOV W)+) JMP ;

Now Forth was complete. And I knew it."

Charles H. Moore, "Forth - The Early Years", PDP-11

The inner interpreter is Forth's heartbeat.

The dictionary is the Forth's DNA.

the dictionary

Ideally, there are two types of words (thread code): primitive, that contains only machine code without calls compound, that contains only references to words and all words have header, first-word, parameters, last-word. first-word: DOCODE for primitive and DOCOLON for compound parameters: could be pure code or a list of references last-word: EXIT for primitive and SEMIS for compound

the classic indirect thread code ITC

• aka, NEST is DOCOL and UNNEST is SEMIS

the ITC code

NEXT: Fetch the address pointed by IP onto WR Increment IP by address (cell) size Jump to address at WR NEST: (aka DOCOLON, at start of words) Push IP onto call stack Copy WR to IP Execute NEXT UNNEST: (aka SEMMIS, at end of words) Pull IP from call stack Execute NEXT EXIT: (at end of code) Execute NEXT

PS: non optimized pseudo code

ITC details

All compound words, does a push and three jumps. (A call is a push and a jmp)

All primitive words, does three jumps.

Any call or jump could cause a pipeline refill.

Also, in optimized implementations, NEXT is placed between UNNEST and NEST, and executed two times.

Using the term 'Execute' because could be implemented as a inline macro or a 'Jump to'.

A proposal of minimal indirect thread code

the minimal indirect thread code

PS. NULL is 0x000H, UNNEST is a primitive, LINK is 'jump _unnest'

the MITC code

NEXT:

Fetch the address pointed by IP onto WR Increment IP by address (cell) size if WR is NULL, then Execute JUMP else Execute NEST

NEST: (aka DOCOLON)
 Push IP onto call stack
 Copy WR to IP
 Execute NEXT

UNNEST: (aka DOSEMIS)
Pull IP from call stack
Execute NEXT

PS: non optimized pseudo code

the MITC code

```
JUMP:

Jump to address in IP

LINK: (Link is same as Exit)

Execute UNNEST

;
; both could also be hooks for debug
; IP and WR are free for any use
;
```

Details

The above code only performs a jump to a primitive word, whose header is NULL (0x0000).

Does only a compare per Forth word, to decide if executes a NEST or a JUMP.

All compound word references are placed and removed, onto the return stack, do not executing any jump.

All references are passed by return stack.

Uses 'jump and link' concept, as modern RISC-V processors does, and which C. H. Moore used in 60's

Small side effect

Small side effect, transparent inside implementation: >R must put value into second position on return stack R> must get value from second position on return stack R@ must copy the value at second position on return stack (Because first position is itself the return address for those) All words must only use >R R> R@ to access the return stack No need to keep IP or WR, all references are into return stack

```
header "UNNEST", "UNNEST"
    .word 0x0; nop
unnest: // .pull
    lw s6, 0(s5) // ITC
    addi s5, s5, 1*CELL
    // jal zero, next
next: // .next
    lw s9, 0(s6)
    addi s6, s6, CELL
    beq s9, zero, jump
    // jal zero, nest
_nest: // .push
    addi s5, s5, -1*CELL
    sw s6, 0(s5) // ITC
    add s6, s9, zero
    jal zero, next
```

```
_jump: // .cast
    // to insert debug info
    jalr zero, s6, 0

_link: // .pass
    // to insert debug info
    jal zero, _unnest

// not optimized :)
```

A inner example with RISC-V

RISC-V, R32i, word is 32 bit cell, inner interpreter

Extended Indirect Thread Code

s5, return stack (RS), ~ reserved s6, next reference (IP), ~ free for use outside s9, temporary (WK), ~ free for use outside zero, r0 register, is always zero, hardware wired CELL is cell size in bytes only 12 instructions for Forth inner interpreter

Conclusions

Using MITC,

The functionality of the classic ITC implementation is maintained.

The interpreter becomes more effective, because it only performs 'jump and link' to the primitive words.

The dictionary becomes more compact, it uses one less reference in all the compound words.

Ideas

In microcontrollers with Harvard architecture, a vocabulary with primitives words (machine code) stay in rom/flash, and vocabularies with compound words (pure references) stay in sram.

Could use a "trampoline" function for jump to a list of primitives as "token table". Use the number of primitives instead NULL for check for a primitive.

Vocabularies with compound words could be compiled and shared as relocatable libraries, because references 'tokens' to primitives words.

Small Notes

"Thou shalt not PICK; and Thou shalt never ROLL."

In the examples, the names NEXT, NEST, UNNEST, EXIT are classic names for Forth inner interpreter. JUMP and LINK are traditional.

In the dictionary view as a tree, a primitive word is a leaf and a compound word is a branch.

Fig-Forth, cmForth and e-Forth implementations are used as public resources.

Prefer do not mix references and code inside a word

A compound word is where two or more words merge to form a new one.

Some references

```
http://www.forth.org/fig-forth/fig-forth 6502.pdf
http://www.forth.org/fig-forth/fig-forth PDP-11.pdf
https://www.bradrodriguez.com/papers/moving1.htm
http://www.forth.org/OffeteStore/1010 SystemsGuideToFigForth.pd
f
http://www.forth.org/OffeteStore/1013 eForthAndZen.pdf
http://www.forth.org/OffeteStore/1003 InsideF83.pdf
https://muforth.nimblemachines.com/
https://muforth.nimblemachines.com/call-versus-branch-and-link/
```

https://github.com/agsb/immu

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Threaded_code

