

NV: Nessus Vulnerability Visualization for the Web




Figure 1: asdf

ABSTRACT

Network vulnerability is a critical component of network security. Yet vulnerability analysis has received relatively little attention from the security visualization community.

1. INTRODUCTION

In order to assess the security posture of the servers and workstations on their network, system administrators and security analysts use vulnerability assessment tools such as Nessus. Such tools probe machines to determine which network ports are open, what services are running on the ports, and, most importantly, what versions of those services are running. Identifying the services and the versions enables these tools to match them with known vulnerabilities. Nessus and similar tools can produce an overwhelming amount of data for large networks. Traditional reporting tools present the data tabularly, often with color coding to attempt to provide an overview of each vulnerability’s severity. But this data can be very large with little support for comparing individual or logical groupings of machines. Further, it can be difficult to determine whether the overall vulnerability state

of a network has increased or gotten worse between scans from different points in time.

Increasingly, vulnerability scanners such as Nessus are used by administrators to assess the state of their networks. While there are many alternative tools available, Nessus is currently the most commonly used choice, and has been for some time. (Cite: sectools did surveys according to wikipedia.) These tools generally do a very thorough job of gathering the information, but generally present it as simply a large list of individual results, which on larger networks can quickly become difficult to prioritize.

Nv intro...

Nv also allows analysts to specify logical groupings that reflect analyst’s situated knowledge [cite Goodall CSCW paper]. Additionally, nv enables analysts to capture criticality scores for individual and groups of machines in their network. This information is then used by to affect size and other visual features in the treemap, which helps ensure that high-value machines receive the most attention.

Specifically, our contributions to the field of security visualization are as follows:

- A visualization tool that supports security vulnerability awareness, analysis, and tracking; and
- A framework for building web-based visualizations that do not send sensitive data to servers

In the following section, we discuss related work in vulnerability visualization and analysis. Afterwards, we discuss the design of nv. We then present several case studies involving Nessus scans from multiple systems. We conclude with a brief discussion on web-based security visualization tools and on our future plans for nv.

2. RELATED WORK

Currently, most computer vulnerability analysis is done using graph-based techniques to model the state of the system. One such technique is known as Topological Vulnerability Analysis (TVA). TVA uses the network state and attack vectors between machines to create an attack graph that will model all possible attack paths in a network. To generate these attack graphs TVA uses information from scanning tools such as Nessus and Retina. These graphs generated




Figure 2: asdf

when comparing two Nessus scans, nodes are colored by the maximum count of issue states (fixed, open, or new issues) in their child nodes. A potential disadvantage of this approach is that a node could contain slightly more fixed issues than open issues, and yet will still be colored green. To alleviate this problem, we add the option to split the nodes by issue-state higher in the hierarchy. Both options are shown in figure (TODO make figure).

The advantage to separating issue-states higher is that the analyst can explore only the fixed issues or only the open issues. However, the disadvantage of this approach is that the IPs are then separated since they can appear in any branch of the hierarchy (fixed, open, and new). To our knowledge, there exists no widely accepted visual technique that can effectively represent multiple attributes at every level in a treemap. However, we plan to explore other common approaches such as glyphs and combined color scales in future versions of nv.

Since analysts can specify the criticality of both individual machines and groups of machines in nv, the treemap includes sizing by criticality as an option. The most critical machines therefore appear as larger nodes, while still being colored by severity. Other sizing options include severity (the default) and by issue counts. Dual encoding severity with both color and size can be useful, as the darkest colored and largest nodes appear at the top left in each level of the histogram.

The color scales in the treemap were created using ColorBrewer2 (TODO cite). While the primary color scales shown in the paper are designed to have semantic meanings (green for fixed, red for new, orange for open), we also include a colorblind-safe version, which is shown in figure (TODO fig-




Figure 3: asdf

ure).

Nv includes several histograms, including issue-type (note, hole, or open port), severity (CVSS score), top Nessus note ids, and top Nessus hole ids. These histograms serve dual purposes, as both overviews of the data and as filters by which sysadmins may guide their analysis. For instance, by brushing over the highest values in the severity histogram, the appropriate nodes in the treemap are highlighted. This works by examining each child of each element in the current level of the hierarchy. Another use of the histograms is to easily highlight the most commonly occurring issues in the network. A possible drawback of this approach is that sometimes the least common issues can be the most damaging. However, this issue is mitigated by the fact that the treemap can be sized and colored by severity, which makes the most damaging issues easy to find. The histograms also operate in conjunction (AND), meaning that the sysadmin can specify queries such as all issues of type hole with severity of 5 or greater.

The Nessus information area is updated when the sysadmin drills down to the level at which Nessus issue-identification numbers are shown. The area then updates with detailed information about the currently selected Nessus id, including a synopsis, detailed description, vulnerability family, and solution (when available). Based on this information, the sysadmin has the option to mark the vulnerability as either fixed or as a non-issue, which re-colors the node in the treemap. This functionality is intended to serve as a way for analysts to avoid revisiting issues that have been addressed.

3.4 Implementation

One significant requirement for this project was to not unnecessarily disclose the Nessus scan results to any third parties; because this information would be very valuable to any

attacker, the users of this tool would have an obvious concern to prevent its disclosure. To address this concern, the NV tool runs entirely in the browser client, without relying on any server-side functionality, and without loading any non-local resources. We were able to achieve this in a highly scalable implementation by combining several existing components, including the crossfilter data model library and the d3 library for data-driven DOM manipulation. We also developed a custom parser for the .nbe files, and related code to compare and merge these results. We were also able to handle these tasks in the browser with good performance. For additional peace-of-mind to any users, the entire technology stack is open source, and the NV tool itself will also soon be open sourced.(TODO make less 'meh')

One difficulty caused by the requirement of not leaking scan results was how to look up additional details about the results. Nessus provides an interface to access significant additional information about any specific vulnerability ID, including useful details such as related CVE and Bugtraq IDs, and information about how to patch or otherwise address each issue. However, using this directly could still give an adversary significant information; if they could observe any of this traffic, then they could still learn which vulnerabilities are present. To address this, we build a local cache of this information, which the client can access offline. (TODO presumably we won't be open-sourcing this part, heh. I guess that's obvious enough that we don't need to say it...)

The main treemap and the histograms were created using the d3 library (TODO cite), which is designed for "apply[ing] data-driven transformations" to the Document Object Model (DOM). D3 is fast, flexible, and supports large datasets, which were our main requirements. (TODO elaborate? Should we maybe say that it's awesome but also a pain? Not sure where to go with this ...)

The crossfilter library (TODO cite), designed for accessing "large multivariate datasets in the browser", was used to store and access our Nessus scan results and all related information about the machines and subnets on the network. This handles the data entirely in memory, and handles storage and access in an efficient manner. (TODO same issue as above.)

As with everything, jquery was used for massive convenience when manipulating elements and such. (TODO what else can we actually say about it? TODO merge these 3 into one paragraph?)

4. CASE STUDIES

We envision our system being useful for two types of use cases. The first is to analyze the current vulnerabilities associated with all machines on a network. This use case is to allow a system administrator to prioritize maintenance based on the value of the machines and the criticality of the vulnerabilities found on those machines using data from Nessus scans. The second use case is visualizing the changes to the vulnerability states of machines on a network after a system administrator performs maintenance.




Figure 4: asdf

4.1 Case Study 1: Dynamic Vulnerability State Network

The first use case for our system is to make it easier for administrators to visualize the state of all machines on a network before and after maintenance. The grouping functionality allows the administrator to group together related machines by subnet, purpose of functionality. In this example, virtual system machines are grouped into three different categories. One group is a set of twenty-two workstations split between ten Fedora workstations and twelve Ubuntu workstations. The second group is a set of five servers that serve the Wordpress blogging software. The last grouping is a set of five Linux Apache PostgreSQL PHP (LAPP) servers. Initially all of these groupings contain serious vulnerabilities. The LAPP servers are running a poorly configured file transfer protocol (FTP) server and both the LAPP and Wordpress servers have simple root passwords which Nessus shows as a security hole. The majority of the workstations are properly configured save for two that contain multiple security holes. Both of these workstations are running outdated versions of the Ubuntu operating system and have vulnerabilities such as an FTP server that allows a remote user to execute arbitrary code, an incorrectly configured Windows file sharing software, weak secure shell (SSH) keys and a Samba server that is vulnerable to buffer overflow attacks.

While in the criticality visualization mode the administrator's attention is drawn to the large LAPP server node. The size is an indication of the importance of the situation based on the number of security holes discovered, the severity of the security holes discovered, and the assigned criticality of the machines in the group. When the administrator zooms into the LAPP Server node of the treemap they see that all five of the machines seem to be equally at risk. To gain further insight, the administrator zooms into the node for a specific machine where each node represents a port with an associated vulnerability. At this specific port node the administrator can click on a vulnerability ID and the tool will display information about the vulnerability and potential solutions in the right-most panel of the tool. In this situation the LAPP servers all have the same weak root password security hole. The system administrator will also find that the Wordpress servers suffer from the same weak password vulnerability as the LAPP servers.




Figure 5: asdf




Figure 6: asdf

When the administrator zooms back out to the group view and switches the visualization to severity mode, the workstation's node grows bringing it into greater prominence. When the administrator zooms into the workstation group they can see that two IP addresses have much larger and darker nodes than any of the other workstations. If they zoom into one of these IP addresses, they see that the most severe of the vulnerabilities are associated with ports 445 and 80. The administrator can examine each port node's child, seeing information about the specific vulnerabilities in the right-most panel, discovering that the machine is running a poorly configured Apache Web Server and that a Windows share that can be accessed through the network.

After further exploring their network, the administrator patches the most critical vulnerabilities in the system. The Nessus Vulnerability Visualization system provides functionality to compare two nbe files to show changes between two vulnerability states, such as before and after applying patches. After patching their system the administrator can rescan the network, then explore and see the differences between the previous state and the newly patched system. The Nessus Visualization System shows corrected vulnerabilities in green, the remaining vulnerabilities in orange, and any new vulnerabilities in pink. The system administrator can easily see that the major workstations vulnerabilities have been patched. Zooming into the workstation node the system administrator sees that while they were patching the most severe vulnerabilities they inadvertently opened new vulnerabilities on the two machines and did not address some of the vulnerabilities seen earlier.

We simulated this use case using virtual machines (VM) communicating through a host-only network. Using a host-only network allowed us to use Nessus from the host to scan the VMs. We used one grouping of two different types of work station and two groupings of similar servers. Both groups of servers were using Ubuntu 10.10 LTS. Ten of the Ubuntu workstations were using Ubuntu 11.10 while the two workstations with the massive number of vulnerabilities were using Ubuntu 8.04 with purposely unpatched and misconfigured software. The Fedora workstations were running Fedora 15. We used the Metasploitable virtual machine image to simulate the two vulnerable workstations before they were upgraded to 11.10.




Figure 7: asdf

In this use case we did not patch all security notes that Nessus mentioned because this would not be realistic for an actual system administrator. Instead, the system administrator would only handle the most important vulnerabilities and system updates. In this simulated use case we improved the weak root passwords and corrected the poorly configured FTP server seen on the servers. We focused on updating and correcting the two most vulnerable workstations by updating them to be the same as the other ten Ubuntu workstations.

4.2 Case Study 2: Static Vulnerability State Network

To test visualizing a large static vulnerability state we use Nessus scan data from the VAST Challenge 2011 (TODO cite). This data is from a simulated network for the fictitious All Freight Corporation. The VAST Challenge gives us a large network dataset to test how the Nessus Vulnerability Visualization scales to a large data set that contains many vulnerabilities spread across a variety of machines and groups. This data set has more than one hundred-fifty unique IP addresses associated with various workstations in the scan. The Nessus scan shows that numerous machines on the network have some sort of security hole such as incorrectly configured telnet client, a font driver that allows privilege escalation, and a vulnerability in an outdated version of Microsoft Excel. The All Freight Corporation has other machines and servers but they were not included in the Nessus scan data.




Figure 8: asdf

We split the workstations into six groups with criticalities ranging from two to nine. The major security holes in the group are concentrated in group four with a criticality of nine and in group five with a criticality of two. When the system administrator looks at the groups level on the tree map it is immediately obvious where their attention is needed most. Groups four and five dominate the treemap in all three visualization modes. When the system administrator zooms into group four, they see that most of the vulnerabilities are located on two IP addresses. When they select IP address 192.168.2.172, they see that nearly all of the vulnerabilities are associated with port 445 and a Windows file sharing program. The system administrator can also explore the other dominate IP address 192.168.2.171 and see that this machines vulnerabilities come from port 139 and NetBIOS. The Nessus Vulnerability Visualization system makes the most critical and most severe vulnerabilities most prominent in the visualization. This exploration allows the system administrator to easily discover vulnerabilities in the system and prioritize repair accordingly. It also makes it easier to view large networks because the IP addresses are aggregated into nodes that can be expanded to view the individual IP addresses contained in that group.

5. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

We have introduced nv, a Nessus vulnerability visualization system.

Nv is designed to support sysadmins in the tasks of vulnerability discovery, analysis, and management through an interactive visualization.

5.1 References