Evaluating risk

Andy J. Wills

Sally Clark



- Solicitor; born 1964.
- Christopher died at 11 weeks.
- ▶ One year later, Harry died at 8 weeks.
- Little to no forensic evidence.
- ▶ No evidence she had been a violent or uncaring parent.

Roy Meadows



- Sally convicted of murder, spent three years in prison
- Central to conviction was evidence of expert witness Prof. Roy Meadows:
 - Probability of two cot deaths in the same family was 1 in 73 million
 - Less than once a century in the UK.
- Released on appeal, partly because Prof. Meadows's risk evaluation was demonstrably wrong.



Smoking and risk

Smoking kills

- "We all gotta die of something"
- ightharpoonup P(death|smoker) = 1
- ightharpoonup P(death|nonsmoker) = 1
- How about "smokers die younger?"

Smokers die younger (than non-smokers)



- "I knew a lady who smoked every day, and she lived until she was 93"
- ► If the claim is "ALL smokers die younger than ALL non-smokers" ...
- ▶ ...then this counter-example refutes it.
- Perhaps:
 - "On average, smokers die younger than non-smokers"
 - "Smokers have lower life expectancy"



Smokers have lower life expectancy

- ▶ 20% of smokers die before they are 60 years old
- ▶ Doll et al.,2004. Smoking habits of 34000 doctors born 1900-1930.
- Convinced?
- Any other information you need?

Smokers have lower life expectancy

- ▶ Think in terms of *hits* and *false alarms*
- You know P(DeathBeforeSixty|smoker) = 0.2 (hit rate)
- You also need to know P(DeathBeforeSixty | nonsmoker) (false alarm)
- ▶ P(DeathBeforeSixty|nonsmoker) = 0.1 (Doll et al., 2004)

Odds ratio

- ightharpoonup P(DeathBeforeSixty|smoker) = 0.2
- ightharpoonup P(DeathBeforeSixty|nonsmoker) = 0.1
- ightharpoonup Odds ratio, OR = 0.2/0.1
- \triangleright OR = 2
- Smoking doubles the risk of dying before sixty.

Life is risky



- "Yeah, but you could give up smoking and then die in a car accident"
- ...which possibly means...
 - Many activities have some level of risk.
 - It is impossible to avoid all risk.
 - So everything has to be a risk-benefit analysis otherwise you'd never do anything.

Life is risky? Yes, it is!

- Correct. Life is a risk-benefit analysis.
- Benefit is somewhat subjective what are the benefits of being a smoker? Or a car driver?
- ...but odds ratio can help quantify and compare risk.

Odds ratio

- ► Mokdad et al. (2004) USA data
 - Tobacco smoking is the cause of death for about 18% of people.
 - ► Car accidents are the cause of death for about 0.2% of people.
- ightharpoonup OR = 18/0.2 = 90
- Smoking is 90 times more likely to kill you than driving a car.
- Much more than that, actually, because only a minority smoke in the US, but most adults drive regularly.

I am an individual, not a statistic!



- Correct.
- ► These are samples across large numbers of people. They do not *determine* your future cause of death.
- But, risk calculations should inform our decisions. Example...

Russian Roulette



- ▶ Playing Russian Roulette once, P(death) = 0.17
- ▶ After you have played, P(death) = 1 or P(death) = 0

Inverse Russian Roulette



- Now imagine inverse Russian roulette (five bullets)
- ▶ Playing Inverse Russian Roulette once, P(death) = .83
- ▶ Again, after you have played, P(death) = 1 or P(death) = 0
- ▶ If you had to choose between the games, which would you pick ?
- ▶ The odds ratio here is .83/.17 = 5

Probability

Probability (by the simplest objective definition) is that property which allows us to calculate the frequency of an event in a very long run of events.



- ► Fair coin
 - P(heads) = 0.5, P(tails) = 0.5
 - ▶ Flip a fair coin 1000 times, you get close to 500 heads.
 - The more times you flip the more heads/flips tends towards 0.5.

Probability Exercise 1



- ▶ Rolling a six on a six-sided dice.
- ► Having to stand when 60 passengers board a bus with 40 seats.



Probability Exercise 2

- Of dying during 2021, across everyone living in England or Wales.
- Of getting 4 numbers in the next Lotto game if you buy one ticket.
- ▶ Of committing suicide if you live in England/Wales, and are aged 5-34.

GAME SHOW!



"Let's Make A Deal" with your host, Monty Hall.

Which player would you pass to?



- ► Player A: Score Score Miss Miss
- ▶ Player B: Miss Miss Score Score
- ► A, B, or doesn't matter?

Roulette



- ▶ Red Red Black Red Black Black Black
- ▶ Bet "red", bet "black", or doesn't matter?

Linda

- ▶ Linda is 31 years old, single, outspoken, and very bright. She majored in philosophy. As a student, she was deeply concerned with issues of discrimination and social justice, and also participated in anti-nuclear demonstrations.
- ► Which is more probable?
 - 1. Linda is a shop assistant.
 - 2. Linda is a feminist shop assistant.

Shared birthdays

- ▶ In a class of 30 children, what's the probability that there is a shared birthday in the class?
- ▶ More likely there is, or more likely there is not?

Conditional Probability and Randomness

- Probability of some event, given that some other event is known to have occurred.
- $ightharpoonup P(heads_t|heads_{t-1}) = 0.5$
- $ightharpoonup P(heads_t|tails_{t-1}) = 0.5$
- Events are independent if the conditional probabilities are equal to the unconditional probabilities (as close to an adequate definition of "random" as you're ever likely to get).
- Coin flips, roulette wheels, etc. are demonstrably independent.

Gamblers' fallacy



- ▶ Red Red Black Red Black Black Black
- ▶ Bet "red", or bet "black" ?

Hot hand fallacy

- ► Player A: Score Score Miss Miss
- ► Player B: Miss Miss Score Score
- ► A, B, or doesn't matter?
- Gilovich, Vallone & Tversky (1985) Shots in basketball are independent.

Independence - Summary

- Events are independent if the probabilities conditional on previous events are equal to the unconditional probabilities:
- ► For example: $P(heads_t|heads_{t-1}) = P(heads_t|tails_{t-1}) = P(heads) = 0.5$
- ► Failure to understand independence leads to Gamblers' Fallacy and Hot Hand Fallacy.

Conjunction fallacy

- ▶ Which is more probable?
 - 1. Linda is a shop assistant.
 - Linda is a shop assistant and is active in the feminist movement.

The conjunction rule

The probability of two *independent* events both occurring is the product of their individual probabilities.

- $ightharpoonup P(heads_{time1}) = 0.5$
- $ightharpoonup P(heads_{time2}) = 0.5$
- ► $P(heads_{times1and2}) = P(heads_{time1}) \times P(heads_{time2}) = 0.5 \times 0.5 = 0.25$
- ightharpoonup P(assistant) = .05, P(feminist) = .95
- $ightharpoonup P(assistant + feminist) = .05 \times .95 = .0475$
- ightharpoonup P(assistant + feminist) < P(feminist)

Shared birthdays

- ▶ In a class of 30 children, what's the probability that there is a shared birthday in the class?
- More likely there is, or more likely there is not?

More high-school maths

- Number of pairs: n(n-1)/2
- ► This gets very large quite quickly.
- ▶ Pairs in a group of 2: 2(1)/2 = 1
- ▶ Pairs in a group of 5: 5(4)/2 = 10
- Pairs in a group of 10: 10(9)/2 = 45
- Pairs in a group of 20: 20(19)/2 = 190
- Pairs of children in a class of 30: 30(29)/2 = 435
- Pairs in Year 1 psychology, approx: 200(199)/2 = 19900

Birthday example

- ▶ 365 days in the year (ignore Feb 29th).
- So, the chance of one pair of kids sharing a birthday is 1/365 = .003
- Thus, chance of not sharing is .997
- ▶ If no pair of kids share a birthday, then there is no shared birthday in the class.
- ► How many pairs in the class?
- $n(n-1)/2 = 30 \times 29/2 = 435.$
- ▶ Under conjunction rule, $p = .997^{435} = .17$
- ▶ Thus, probability of a shared birthday is 1-.17 = .83

Sally Clark



- Solicitor; born 1964.
- Christopher died at 11 weeks.
- ▶ One year later, Harry died at 8 weeks.
- Little to no forensic evidence.
- ▶ No evidence she had been a violent or uncaring parent.

Roy Meadows - expert witness

- ► Chances of a randomly chosen baby dying of cot death are 1 in 1303, p = .0008
- ▶ If the family is affluent, and the mother is over 26, then the chances are even lower; 1 in 8500, p = .0001
- ▶ Through the conjunction rule, the probability of two cot deaths in the same family is $.0001 \times .0001 = 1 \times 10^{-8}$
- ▶ 1 in 73 million
- Less than once a century in the UK.
- ► The idea that these deaths were by natural causes can be ruled out beyond *reasonable doubt*.

Roy Meadows - expert witness

- Chances of a randomly chosen baby dying of cot death are 1 in 1303, p = .0008
- ▶ If the family is affluent, and the mother is over 26, then the chances are even lower; 1 in 8500, p = .0001
- ▶ Through the conjunction rule, the probability of two cot deaths in the same family is $.0001 \times .0001 = 1 \times 10^{-8}$... COT DEATHS WITHIN THE SAME FAMILY ARE HIGHLY UNLIKELY TO BE INDEPENDENT EVENTS.
- ▶ 1 in 73 million
- Less than once a century in the UK.
- ► The idea that these deaths were by natural causes can be ruled out beyond *reasonable doubt*.

Key ideas in risk and probability

- Conjunction rule (Linda)
- Events are not always independent (Sally Clarke)
- ▶ If a lot of things all have to happen, this is quite unlikely, even if each event is individually very likely (all non-shared birthdays in a class of 30).
- The number of pairs in a large group is much bigger than you think it is. This can often lead us to make incorrect predictions about large groups.

Further Reading

Helpful background, only lecture content on these topics is examinable).

- ▶ Paulos (1988/2000). *Innumeracy*. Penguin.
- http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Conjunction_fallacy
- http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Sally_Clark
- http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Probability