
OTTO GROUP PRODUCT CLASSIFICATION CHALLENGE

Applied Artificial Intelligence System Project-5

Username: **Cyclops**

Domain: Machine Learning

INDEX

#	Topic	Page no
1.	Abstract	3
2.	Software Requirement	3
3.	Instructions	3
4.	Models Used	4
5.	Weka	4
6.	Python execution	7
7.	Result	8

Abstract:

Applied various machine learning models for the classification for Otto group classification challenge and compared their running time and log loss score.

Software requirements

To run the source code, you must have the below software installed in your machine.

Software	Download link
Anaconda (RECOMMENDED)	https://www.continuum.io/
OR	
Python 3.5	https://www.python.org/downloads/
sklearn	http://scikit-learn.org/stable/install.html
matplotlib	http://matplotlib.org/downloads.html
numpy	http://www.scipy.org/scipylib/download.html
OR	
jupyter notebook	http://jupyter.readthedocs.io/en/latest/install.html

Instructions:

After downloading the entire source code and the data folders, store it in any location. The python scripts are customized to automatically adjust and find the data files subject to both the 'script' and the 'data' folder are under the same parent folder.

Open a command terminal and type the following command:

cd<absolute path of the script directory>

python keras.py

.pynb File

I have included .pynb file also so you can run python notebook also using jupyter notebook.

Python file:

I tried multiple models but I have included only python file with keras model as it gave the best log loss score.

Output file

I have included one output files (otto.csv) as it gave the best result but I have included result summary with all models in the result page.

Models used

Weka:

AdaBoostM1

IB1 Classifier

Python:

K Nearest Neighbour

Logistic Regression

Random forest

Keras Model

Weka

First tried classification models available on Weka and got the following results based on training the data.

Following is the run information

=== Run information ===

AdaBoostM1

=== Evaluation on training set ===

Time taken to test model on training data: 0.41 seconds

=== Summary ===

Correctly Classified Instances	30257	48.8978 %
Incorrectly Classified Instances	31621	51.1022 %
Kappa statistic	0.3234	
Mean absolute error	0.1472	
Root mean squared error	0.2713	
Relative absolute error	79.7143 %	
Root relative squared error	89.2833 %	
Total Number of Instances	61878	

=== Detailed Accuracy By Class ===

TP Rate	FP Rate	Precision	Recall	F-Measure	MCC	ROC Area	PRC Area	Class
0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.753	0.061	Class_1
1.000	0.336	0.512	1.000	0.677	0.583	0.832	0.512	Class_2
0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.782	0.254	Class_3

0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.757	0.085	Class_4
0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.757	0.087	Class_5
1.000	0.341	0.465	1.000	0.635	0.554	0.830	0.465	Class_6
0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.767	0.093	Class_7
0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.795	0.278	Class_8
0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.777	0.163	Class_9
Weighted Avg.	0.489	0.165	0.240	0.489	0.321	0.278	0.803	0.337

=== Confusion Matrix ===

a	b	c	d	e	f	g	h	i	<-- classified as
0	1929	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	a = Class_1
0	16122	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	b = Class_2
0	8004	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	c = Class_3
0	2691	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	d = Class_4
0	2739	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	e = Class_5
0	0	0	0	0	14135	0	0	0	f = Class_6
0	0	0	0	0	2839	0	0	0	g = Class_7
0	0	0	0	0	8464	0	0	0	h = Class_8
0	0	0	0	0	4955	0	0	0	i = Class_9

IB1 Classifier

Time taken to build model: 0.08 seconds

=== Evaluation on test split ===

Time taken to test model on test split: 454.95 seconds

=== Summary ===

Correctly Classified Instances	15675	74.5045 %
Incorrectly Classified Instances	5364	25.4955 %
Kappa statistic	0.6927	
Mean absolute error	0.0567	
Root mean squared error	0.238	
Relative absolute error	30.6978 %	
Root relative squared error	78.3397 %	
Total Number of Instances	21039	

=== Detailed Accuracy By Class ===

TP Rate	FP Rate	Precision	Recall	F-Measure	MCC	ROC Area	PRC Area	Class
0.582	0.015	0.554	0.582	0.568	0.554	0.784	0.336	Class_1
0.717	0.107	0.705	0.717	0.711	0.606	0.805	0.580	Class_2
0.482	0.082	0.463	0.482	0.472	0.393	0.699	0.290	Class_3

0.394	0.026	0.403	0.394	0.398	0.372	0.684	0.185	Class_4
0.961	0.003	0.927	0.961	0.944	0.941	0.979	0.892	Class_5
0.918	0.023	0.920	0.918	0.919	0.896	0.947	0.864	Class_6
0.560	0.014	0.660	0.560	0.606	0.590	0.773	0.390	Class_7
0.863	0.020	0.870	0.863	0.866	0.845	0.921	0.769	Class_8
0.814	0.014	0.843	0.814	0.828	0.813	0.900	0.701	Class_9
Weighted Avg.	0.745	0.050	0.746	0.745	0.745	0.696	0.847	0.623

=== Confusion Matrix ===

```

a b c d e f g h i <-- classified as
382 21 10 1 4 44 24 86 84 | a = Class_1
5 3971 1170 254 18 13 53 32 24 | b = Class_2
3 1114 1305 189 8 14 52 21 4 | c = Class_3
3 292 205 353 9 23 9 1 1 | d = Class_4
0 16 12 2 862 0 1 2 2 | e = Class_5
63 41 13 33 5 4374 71 100 65 | f = Class_6
26 105 80 30 12 94 548 69 15 | g = Class_7
83 37 14 5 6 126 57 2475 66 | h = Class_8
124 39 7 9 6 64 15 58 1405 | i = Class_9

```

So with Weka Models the best correct classification on training data achieved was **74.5045 %** so to achieve better accuracy then I ran python script for multiple models.

Python Execution:

The file included is run on keras model but I have tried on multiple models and summarised their results below

K Nearest Neighbours

```
from sklearn.neighbors import KNeighborsClassifier
knn = KNeighborsClassifier(n_neighbors = 5, metric= 'minkowski', p=2)
knn.fit(X_trn, Y_trn)
```

Random forest

```
knn = RandomForestClassifier(n_jobs=10, random_state=36)
```

Logistic Regression

```
knn = LogisticRegression(penalty='l2', solver='lbfgs', n_jobs=-1, C=0.01, multi_class='multinomial')
```

Keras Model

```
def baseline_model():
    # create model
    model = Sequential()
    model.add(Dense(8, input_dim=93, activation='relu'))
    model.add(Dense(9, activation='softmax'))
    # Compile model
    model.compile(loss='categorical_crossentropy', optimizer='adam', metrics=['accuracy'])
    return model
knn = KerasClassifier(build_fn=baseline_model, epochs=200, batch_size=5, verbose=0)
knn.fit(X_trn, Y_trn)
```

Results

Following are results obtained with different models

Model	Parameters	Running Time	Space Required	Logloss Score
K Nearest Neighbour	5 Neighbors , metric= 'minkowski', p=2;	736.7s	6.08 MB	4.99941
K Nearest Neighbour	10 Neighbors , metric= 'minkowski', p=2;	107.6s	6.08 MB	1.46450
K Nearest Neighbour	256 Neighbors , metric= 'minkowski', p=2;	115.9s	10 MB	0.72287
K Nearest Neighbour	1024 Neighbors , metric= 'minkowski', p=2;	22.8	13.55	0.84514
Random Forest	n_jobs=10, random_state=36	210.4s	6.08 MB	1.50585
Logistic regression	penalty='l2', solver='lbfgs', n_jobs=-1, C=0.01, multi_class='multinomial	264.3s	27.41 MB	0.64041
Keras	build_fn=baseline_model, epochs=200, batch_size=5, verbose=0	86.4s	27.52 MB	0.60681