

- RANK-1 MATRIX APPROXIMATION THROUGH RANK-1 PCA

CONSIDER THE PROBLEM:

$$\min_{\substack{X \in \mathbb{R}^m \\ W \in \mathbb{R}^n}} \|M - XW^T\|_F^2, \quad M \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n} \quad (*)$$

HOW IS THIS RELATED TO MATRIX SENSING?

$$\begin{aligned} \|M - XW^T\|_F^2 &= \|\text{vec}(M) - \text{vec}(XW^T)\|_2^2 \\ &= \|y - \mathcal{A}(X)\|_2^2 \quad \text{WHERE } y \equiv \text{vec}(M) \quad (\text{FULL OBSERVATION SET}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathcal{A} \equiv \mathbf{I} \quad (\text{PERFECT ISOMETRY})$$

$$X \equiv XW^T \quad (\text{RANK-1})$$

BY SVD OF M : $M = \sum_{i=1}^{\min\{m,n\}} \sigma_i \cdot u_i v_i^T$, $\|u_i\|_2 = \|v_i\|_2 = 1$.
 $\sigma_1 > \sigma_2 \geq \dots \geq 0$.

EXPAND THE OBJECTIVE:

$$\begin{aligned} \|M - XW^T\|_F^2 &= \|M\|_F^2 - 2X^T M W + \|XW^T\|_F^2 \\ &= \|M\|_F^2 - 2X^T M W + \|X\|_2^2 \cdot \|W\|_2^2 \end{aligned}$$

THUS, (*) BECOMES:

$$\min_{\substack{X \in \mathbb{R}^m \\ W \in \mathbb{R}^n}} -2X^T M W + \|X\|_2^2 \cdot \|W\|_2^2$$

LET $f(x) = \min_{W \in \mathbb{R}^n} \left(\underbrace{-2x^T M W}_{\text{linear}} + \underbrace{\|x\|_2^2 \|W\|_2^2}_{\text{quadratic}} \right) \xrightarrow{\text{CONVEX}} \nabla_W = 0 \Rightarrow -2M^T x + 2\|x\|_2^2 \cdot W = 0$
 $\Rightarrow W = \frac{M^T x}{\|x\|_2^2}$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{THEN: } f(x) &= -2 \frac{x^T M M^T x}{\|x\|_2^2} + \|x\|_2^2 \cdot \frac{x^T M M^T x}{\|x\|_2^4} \\ &= - \frac{x^T M M^T x}{\|x\|_2^2} \end{aligned}$$

THUS, THE ORIGINAL PROBLEM IS EQUIVALENT TO:

$$\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^m} f(x) := - \frac{x^T M M^T x}{\|x\|_2^2}$$

ANY INTUITION
WHY THIS IS NON-CONVEX!

PCA OBJECTIVE!
(FOR RANK-1)

REMARK 1: LENGTH OF x DOES NOT MATTER - ONLY ITS DIRECTION.

(TO SEE THIS, DEFINE $y = \frac{x}{\|x\|_2}$. THEN

$$\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^m} f(x) \equiv \min_{\substack{y \in \mathbb{R}^m \\ \|y\|_2 = 1}} -y^T M M^T y$$

VIA THE INNER PRODUCT EXPRESSION:

$$\langle x, u_1 \rangle = \cos(\theta) \cdot \|u_1\| \cdot \|x\|_2$$

SINCE θ DEPENDS ON x , AND $\|u_1\|_2 = 1$, WE HAVE:

$$\theta(x) = \cos^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{\|x\|_2} \langle x, u_1 \rangle \right)$$

GRADIENT DESCENT ON $f(x)$:

$$x_{t+1} = x_t - \eta \cdot \nabla f(x_t)$$

$$\text{WHERE: } \nabla f(x_t) = \frac{1}{\|x\|_2^4} \left[\nabla_x (-x^T M M^T x) \cdot \|x\|_2^2 + x^T M M^T x \cdot \nabla_x \|x\|_2^2 \right] \quad (\text{QUOTIENT RULE})$$

$$= \frac{1}{\|x\|_2^4} \left[-2 \|x\|_2^2 \cdot M M^T x + 2 (x^T M M^T x) \cdot x \right]$$

$$= \frac{2}{\|x\|_2^4} \left[(x^T M M^T x) \cdot x - \|x\|_2^2 \cdot M M^T x \right]$$

KEY OBSERVATION FOR GD ON PCA IS THAT:

$$\text{IF } \langle x_t, u_1 \rangle = 0, \text{ THEN } \langle x_{t+1}, u_1 \rangle = 0.$$

TO SEE THIS:

$$\langle x_{t+1}, u_1 \rangle = \langle x_t - \eta \nabla f(x_t), u_1 \rangle = -\eta \langle \nabla f(x_t), u_1 \rangle$$

THEN:

$$\langle \nabla f(x_t), u_1 \rangle = \frac{2}{\|x_t\|_2^4} \left[(x_t^T M M^T x_t) \cancel{x_t^T u_1} - \|x_t\|_2^2 x_t^T M M^T u_1 \right]$$

$$= -\frac{2}{\|x_t\|_2^4} \cdot \|x_t\|_2^2 x_t^T M M^T u_\perp = -\frac{2}{\|x_t\|_2^2} \cdot x_t^T \cdot \sum_i G_i^2 u_i u_i^T u_\perp \quad (3)$$

$$= -\frac{2}{\|x_t\|_2^2} G_\perp^2 x_t^T u_\perp = 0.$$

IN WORDS : i) IF x_t IS ORTHOGONAL TO u_\perp , x_{t+1} IS ALSO ORTHOGONAL
(NO IMPROVEMENT)

ii) THIS FURTHER MEANS THAT IF WE START FROM A POINT SUCH THAT $\langle x_0, u_\perp \rangle = 0$, WE FAIL TO RECOVER u_\perp .

iii) HOWEVER, MAYBE THERE IS HOPE STARTING FROM A POINT NOT ORTHOGONAL TO u_\perp . (TO SEE THIS, A RANDOMLY SELECTED $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^m$ ALMOST SURELY HAS NON-ZERO COMPONENT ON THE SPAN OF u_\perp).

LET'S STUDY THE BEHAVIOR OF THE POTENTIAL FUNCTION:

$$\psi_{t+1} = 1 - \frac{\langle x_{t+1}, u_\perp \rangle^2}{\|x_{t+1}\|_2^2}$$

$$\text{INTUITION: IF } \psi_{t+1} \rightarrow 0, \frac{\langle x_{t+1}, u_\perp \rangle^2}{\|x_{t+1}\|_2^2} \rightarrow 1.$$

WHICH THE OPTIMAL THING TO ACHIEVE FOR NORMALIZED VECTORS

WE HAVE THE FOLLOWING:

$$\|x_{t+1}\|_2^2 = \|x_t - \eta \nabla f(x_t)\|_2^2 = \|x_t\|_2^2 - 2\eta x_t^T \nabla f(x_t) + \eta^2 \|\nabla f(x_t)\|_2^2$$

$$\left(\text{OBSERVE THAT: } x_t^T \nabla f(x_t) = \frac{2}{\|x_t\|_2^4} \left((x_t^T M M^T x_t) \cdot \|x_t\|_2^2 - \|x_t\|_2^2 (x_t^T M M^T x_t) \right) = 0. \right)$$

$$= \|x_t\|_2^2 + \eta^2 \|\nabla f(x_t)\|_2^2$$

$$\text{THEN: } \psi_{t+1} = 1 - \frac{\langle x_{t+1}, u_\perp \rangle^2}{\|x_{t+1}\|_2^2}$$

$$= 1 - \frac{\langle x_t, u_\perp \rangle^2 - 2\eta \cdot \langle x_t, u_\perp \rangle \langle \nabla f(x_t), u_\perp \rangle + \eta^2 \cdot \langle \nabla f(x_t), u_\perp \rangle^2}{\|x_t\|_2^2 + \eta^2 \|\nabla f(x_t)\|_2^2}$$

OBSERVE THAT:

(4)

$$\frac{\langle x_{t+1}, u_1 \rangle^2}{\|x_{t+1}\|_2^2} = \left\langle \frac{x_{t+1}}{\|x_{t+1}\|_2}, u_1 \right\rangle^2 = \cos^2(\theta(x_{t+1}))$$

$$\text{ALSO: } 1 - \cos^2(\theta(x_{t+1})) = \sin^2(\theta(x_{t+1})).$$

THUS:

$$\sin^2(\theta(x_{t+1})) = \frac{\|x_t\|_2^2 + \eta^2 \cdot \|\nabla f(x_t)\|_2^2 - \langle x_t, u_1 \rangle^2 + 2\eta \langle x_t, u_1 \rangle \langle \nabla f(x_t), u_1 \rangle - \eta^2 \langle \nabla f(x_t), u_1 \rangle^2}{\|x_t\|_2^2 + \eta^2 \cdot \|\nabla f(x_t)\|_2^2}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{\|x_t\|_2^2} \cdot \left(\|x_t\|_2^2 + \eta^2 \cdot \|\nabla f(x_t)\|_2^2 - \langle x_t, u_1 \rangle^2 + 2\eta \langle x_t, u_1 \rangle \langle \nabla f(x_t), u_1 \rangle - \eta^2 \langle \nabla f(x_t), u_1 \rangle^2 \right)$$

$$\leq \sin^2(\theta(x_t)) + \frac{\eta^2}{\|x_t\|_2^2} \cdot \|\nabla f(x_t)\|_2^2 + \frac{2\eta}{\|x_t\|_2^2} \cdot \langle x_t, u_1 \rangle \langle \nabla f(x_t), u_1 \rangle$$

• FOR THE INNER PRODUCT: $\langle \nabla f(x_t), u_1 \rangle$ WE HAVE:

$$\langle \nabla f(x_t), u_1 \rangle \leq -\frac{2}{\|x_t\|_2} (G_1^2 - G_2^2) \cdot \sin^2 \theta(x_t) \cdot \cos \theta(x_t) \leq 0.$$

(PROVE IT!)

• FOR $\|\nabla f(x_t)\|_2^2$ WE HAVE:

$$\|\nabla f(x_t)\|_2^2 \leq \frac{4}{\|x_t\|_2^2} (G_1^4 + G_2^4) \cdot \sin^2 \theta(x_t)$$

THEN:

$$\begin{aligned} \sin^2(\theta(x_{t+1})) &\leq \sin^2(\theta(x_t)) + \frac{4\eta^2}{\|x_t\|_2^4} (G_1^4 + G_2^4) \cdot \sin^2(\theta(x_t)) \\ &\quad - \frac{4\eta}{\|x_t\|_2^4} (G_1^2 - G_2^2) \cdot \sin^2(\theta(x_t)) \cdot \langle x_t, u_1 \rangle^2 \\ &= \sin^2(\theta(x_t)) \left(1 + \frac{4\eta^2}{\|x_t\|_2^4} (G_1^4 + G_2^4) - \frac{4\eta}{\|x_t\|_2^2} \cdot (G_1^2 - G_2^2) \cdot \frac{\langle x_t, u_1 \rangle^2}{\|x_t\|_2^2} \right) \end{aligned}$$

(5)

WE WILL PROVIDE LOCAL CONVERGENCE GUARANTEES: GIVEN A PROPER INITIALIZATION, WE GET CONVERGENCE TO GLOBAL MINIMUM.

IN PARTICULAR, IF $\left\langle \frac{x_t}{\|x_t\|_2}, u_\perp \right\rangle^2 \geq c$ (I.E., $x_t \nparallel u_\perp$), $0 \leq c < 1$

WE OBTAIN:

$$\sin^2 \theta(x_{t+1}) \leq \sin^2 \theta(x_t) \underbrace{\left(1 + \frac{4\eta^2}{\|x_t\|_2^4} (G_1^4 + G_2^4) - \frac{4\eta}{\|x_t\|_2^2} (G_1^2 - G_2^2) \cdot c \right)}_{\equiv p}$$

WE REQUIRE TO BE < 1 .

SELECT $\eta = \frac{c}{2} \cdot \frac{G_1^2 - G_2^2}{G_1^4 + G_2^4} \cdot \|x_t\|_2^2$. THEN:

$$p = 1 + c^2 \cdot \frac{G_1^2 - G_2^2}{G_1^4 + G_2^4} - 2c^2 \cdot \frac{G_1^2 - G_2^2}{G_1^4 + G_2^4} = 1 - c^2 \frac{(G_1^2 - G_2^2)^2}{(G_1^4 + G_2^4)} < 1.$$

$\rightarrow 0 \leq c < 1$.

THUS: $\sin^2 \theta(x_{t+1}) \leq p \cdot \sin^2 \theta(x_t)$
 LINEAR CONVERGENCE! $O\left(\log \frac{1}{\epsilon}\right)$

- PROOF FOR $\min_{U \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times r}} f(UU^T)$ WHERE f IS CONVEX, SMOOTH AND STR. CONVEX
 AND r IS THE RANK OF X^* .

THE ALGORITHM WE USE IS: $U_{t+1} = U_t - \eta \cdot \nabla f(U_t U_t^T) \cdot U_t$

WE DEFINE: $\text{DIST}(u, u^*) = \min_{R \in \mathbb{O}_r} \|u - u^* R\|_F$, WHERE $X^* = U^* U^{*T}$
 (REMEMBER, THERE CAN BE INFINITE U^*)

THEN, WE HAVE:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{DIST}(U_{t+1}, U^*)^2 &= \min_{R \in \mathbb{O}_r} \|U_{t+1} - U^* R\|_F^2 \leq \|U_{t+1} - U^* R_t\|_F^2 \quad \left| \begin{array}{l} \text{WHERE} \\ R_t = \min \|U_t - U^* R\|_F \end{array} \right. \\ &= \|U_{t+1} - U_t + U_t - U^* R_t\|_F^2 \\ &= \|U_{t+1} - U_t\|_F^2 + \|U_t - U^* R_t\|_F^2 + 2 \langle U_{t+1} - U_t, U_t - U^* R_t \rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$= \eta^2 \|\nabla f(u_t u_t^T) u_t\|_F^2 + \|u_t - u^* R_t\|_F^2$$

⑥

$$- 2\eta \langle \nabla f(u_t u_t^T) u_t, u_t - u^* R_t \rangle$$

→ DOES THIS RING A BELL?

KEY RESULT IS THE FACT THAT WE CAN PROVE A REGULATORY CONDITION:

$$\langle \nabla f(u u^T) u, u - u^* R \rangle \geq \frac{2}{3} \eta \cdot \|\nabla f(u u^T) u\|_F^2 + \frac{3\mu}{20} \sigma_r(x^*) \cdot \text{DIST}(u, u^*)^2$$

THUS:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{DIST}(u_{t+1}, u^*)^2 &\leq \text{DIST}(u_t - u^* R_t)^2 + \eta^2 \cdot \|\nabla f(u_t u_t^T) u_t\|_F^2 \\ &\quad - \frac{4}{3} \eta^2 \|\nabla f(u_t u_t^T) u_t\|_F^2 - \frac{3\mu\eta}{20} \sigma_r(x^*) \cdot \text{DIST}(u_t, u^*)^2 \\ &\leq \left(1 - \frac{3\mu\eta}{20} \sigma_r(x^*) \right) \cdot \text{DIST}(u_t, u^*)^2 \end{aligned}$$

→ THIS DEFINES THE STEP SIZE η

(IN PRACTICE, THE PAPER "DROPPING CONVEXITY FOR FASTER SEMIDEFINITE OPTIMIZATION" HAS MORE SOPHISTICATED BUT MORE PRACTICAL η)

HOWEVER, IN ORDER TO PROVE THE REGULATORY CONDITION, WE REQUIRE

$$\text{DIST}(u_t, u^*) \leq \rho \cdot \sigma_r(x^*)^{1/2} \text{ FOR ALL } t.$$

WHICH MEANS $\text{DIST}(u_0, u^*) \leq \rho \cdot \sigma_r(x^*)^{1/2} \rightarrow$ GOOD INITIALIZATION.