Special Use IPv4 Addresses

Abstract This document obsoletes RFC 3330[1]. It describes the global and other specialized IPv4 address blocks that have been assigned by the Internet Assigned Numbers Authority (IANA). It does not address IPv4 address space assigned to operators and users through the Regional Internet Registries, nor does it address IPv4 address space assigned directly by IANA prior to the creation of the Regional Internet Registries. It also does not address allocations or assignments of IPv6 addresses or autonomous system numbers.
Status of This Memo This memo documents an Internet Best Current Practice. This document is a product of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). It represents the consensus of the IETF community. It has received public review and has been approved for publication by the Internet Engineering Steering Group (IESG). Further information on BCPs is available in Section 2 of RFC 5741. Information about the current status of this document, any errata, and how to provide feedback on it may be obtained at http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5735[2].
Cotton & Vegoda   Best Current Practice   [Page 1]

Table of Contents

1. Introduction

Throughout its history, the Internet has employed a central Internet
Assigned Numbers Authority (IANA) responsible for the allocation and
assignment of various identifiers needed for the operation of the
Internet [RFC1174[8]]. In the case of the IPv4 address space, the IANA
allocates parts of the address space to Regional Internet Registries
(RIRs) according to their established needs. These RIRs are
responsible for the registration of IPv4 addresses to operators and
users of the Internet within their regions.

On an ongoing basis, the IANA has been designated by the IETF to make
assignments in support of the Internet Standards Process [RFC2860[9]].
Section 4 of that document describes that assignment process.

Small portions of the IPv4 address space have been allocated or
assigned directly by the IANA for global or other specialized
purposes. These allocations and assignments have been documented in
a variety of RFCs and other documents. This document is intended to
collect these scattered references and provide a current list of
special use IPv4 addresses.

This document is a revision of RFC 3330[10] [RFC3330[11]], which it
obsoletes; its primary purpose is to reflect the changes to the list
of special IPv4 assignments since the publication of RFC 3330[12]. It is

a companion to [RFC5156[13]], which describes special IPv6 addresses.

2. Terminology

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT",
"SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this
document are to be interpreted as described in BCP 14[14], [RFC2119[15]].

3. Global and Other Specialized Address Blocks

0.0.0.0/8 - Addresses in this block refer to source hosts on "this"
network. Address 0.0.0.0/32 may be used as a source address for this
host on this network; other addresses within 0.0.0.0/8 may be used to
refer to specified hosts on this network ([RFC1122[16]], Section
3.2.1.3).

10.0.0.0/8 - This block is set aside for use in private networks.
Its intended use is documented in [RFC1918[17]]. As described in that
RFC, addresses within this block do not legitimately appear on the
public Internet. These addresses can be used without any
coordination with IANA or an Internet registry.

127.0.0.0/8 - This block is assigned for use as the Internet host

loopback address. A datagram sent by a higher-level protocol to an address anywhere within this block loops back inside the host. This is ordinarily implemented using only 127.0.0.1/32 for loopback. As described in [RFC1122], Section 3.2.1.3, addresses within the entire 127.0.0.0/8 block do not legitimately appear on any network anywhere.

169.254.0.0/16 – This is the "link local" block. As described in [RFC3927[19]], it is allocated for communication between hosts on a single link. Hosts obtain these addresses by auto-configuration, such as when a DHCP server cannot be found.

172.16.0.0/12 – This block is set aside for use in private networks. Its intended use is documented in [RFC1918[20]]. As described in that RFC, addresses within this block do not legitimately appear on the public Internet. These addresses can be used without any coordination with IANA or an Internet registry.

192.0.0.0/24 – This block is reserved for IETF protocol assignments. At the time of writing this document, there are no current assignments. Allocation policy for future assignments is given in [RFC5736[21]].

192.0.2.0/24 – This block is assigned as "TEST-NET-1" for use in documentation and example code. It is often used in conjunction with domain names example.com or example.net in vendor and protocol documentation. As described in [RFC5737[22]], addresses within this block do not legitimately appear on the public Internet and can be used without any coordination with IANA or an Internet registry. See [RFC1166[23]].

192.88.99.0/24 – This block is allocated for use as 6to4 relay anycast addresses, in [RFC3068[24]]. In contrast with previously described blocks, packets destined to addresses from this block do appear in the public Internet. [RFC3068], Section 7, describes operational practices to prevent the malicious use of this block in routing protocols.

192.168.0.0/16 – This block is set aside for use in private networks.

Its intended use is documented in [RFC1918[25]]. As described in that
RFC, addresses within this block do not legitimately appear on the
public Internet. These addresses can be used without any
coordination with IANA or an Internet registry.

198.18.0.0/15 - This block has been allocated for use in benchmark

tests of network interconnect devices. [RFC2544[26]] explains that this

range was assigned to minimize the chance of conflict in case a

testing device were to be accidentally connected to part of the
Internet. Packets with source addresses from this range are not
meant to be forwarded across the Internet.

198.51.100.0/24 - This block is assigned as "TEST-NET-2" for use in
documentation and example code. It is often used in conjunction with
domain names example.com or example.net in vendor and protocol
documentation. As described in [RFC5737[28]], addresses within this
block do not legitimately appear on the public Internet and can be
used without any coordination with IANA or an Internet registry.

203.0.113.0/24 - This block is assigned as "TEST-NET-3" for use in
documentation and example code. It is often used in conjunction with
domain names example.com or example.net in vendor and protocol
documentation. As described in [RFC5737[29]], addresses within this
block do not legitimately appear on the public Internet and can be
used without any coordination with IANA or an Internet registry.

224.0.0.0/4 - This block, formerly known as the Class D address
space, is allocated for use in IPv4 multicast address assignments.
The IANA guidelines for assignments from this space are described in
[RFC3171[30]].

240.0.0.0/4 - This block, formerly known as the Class E address
space, is reserved for future use; see [RFC1112], Section 4.

The one exception to this is the "limited broadcast" destination
address 255.255.255.255. As described in [RFC0919[31]] and [RFC0922[32]],
packets with this destination address are not forwarded at the IP
layer.

4. Summary Table

```
Address Block          Present Use              Reference
------------------------------------------------------------------
0.0.0.0/8              "This" Network           RFC 1122, Section 3.2.1.3
10.0.0.0/8             Private-Use Networks     RFC 1918[34]
127.0.0.0/8            Loopback                 RFC 1122, Section 3.2.1.3
169.254.0.0/16         Link Local               RFC 3927[35]
172.16.0.0/12          Private-Use Networks     RFC 1918[36]
192.0.0.0/24           IETF Protocol Assignments RFC 5736[37]
192.0.2.0/24           TEST-NET-1               RFC 5737[38]
192.88.99.0/24         6to4 Relay Anycast       RFC 3068[39]
192.168.0.0/16         Private-Use Networks     RFC 1918[40]
198.18.0.0/15          Network Interconnect
                       Device Benchmark Testing RFC 2544[41]
198.51.100.0/24        TEST-NET-2               RFC 5737[42]
203.0.113.0/24         TEST-NET-3               RFC 5737[43]
224.0.0.0/4            Multicast                RFC 3171[44]
240.0.0.0/4            Reserved for Future Use  RFC 1112, Section 4
255.255.255.255/32     Limited Broadcast        RFC 919, Section 7
                                                RFC 922, Section 7
```

5. Assignments of IPv4 Blocks for New Specialized Uses

The IANA has responsibility for making assignments of protocol
parameters used in the Internet according to the requirements of the
"Memorandum of Understanding Concerning the Technical Work of the
Internet Assigned Numbers Authority" [RFC2860[45]]. Among other things,
[RFC2860[46]] requires that protocol parameters be assigned according to
the criteria and procedures specified in RFCs, including Proposed,
Draft, and full Internet Standards and Best Current Practice
documents, and any other RFC that calls for IANA assignment.

The domain name and IP address spaces involve policy issues (in
addition to technical issues) so that the requirements of [RFC2860[47]]

do not apply generally to those spaces. Nonetheless, the IANA is
responsible for ensuring assignments of IPv4 addresses as needed in
support of the Internet Standards Process. When a portion of the
IPv4 address space is specifically required by an RFC, the technical
requirements (e.g., size, prefix length) for the portion should be
described [RFC5226[48]]. Immediately before the RFC is published, the
IANA will, in consultation with the Regional Internet Registries,
make the necessary assignment and notify the RFC Editor of the
particulars for inclusion in the RFC as published.

As required by [RFC2860[49]], the IANA will also make necessary
experimental assignments of IPv4 addresses, also in consultation with
the Regional Internet Registries.

6. IANA Considerations

This document describes the IANA's past and current practices and
does not create any new requirements for assignments or allocations
by the IANA.

7. Security Considerations

The particular assigned values of special use IPv4 addresses
cataloged in this document do not directly raise security issues.
However, the Internet does not inherently protect against abuse of
these addresses. If you expect (for instance) that all packets from

a private address space such as the 10.0.0.0/8 block or the link
local block 169.254.0.0/16 originate within your subnet, all routers
at the border of your network should filter such packets that
originate from outside your network. Attacks have been mounted that
depend on the unexpected use of some of these addresses.

It should also be noted that some of these address spaces may be used
legitimately outside a single administrative domain, and may appear
on the global Internet. Security policy SHOULD NOT blindly filter
all of these address spaces without due consideration, and network
operators are encouraged to review this document, and references
contained therein, and determine what security policies should be
associated with each of these address blocks within their specific
operating environments.

8. Acknowledgments

Many people have made comments on draft versions of this document.
The authors would especially like to thank Scott Bradner, Randy Bush,
Harald Alvestrand, Peter Koch, Alfred Hoenes, and Jari Arkko for
their constructive feedback and comments. They would also like to
offer a special note of thanks to APNIC, which nominated
198.51.100.0/24 and 203.0.113.0/24.

9. References

9.1. Normative References

[RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate

Requirement Levels", BCP 14[51], RFC 2119[52], March 1997.

9.2. Informative References

[RFC0919] Mogul, J., "Broadcasting Internet Datagrams", STD 5,
           RFC 919[53], October 1984.

[RFC0922] Mogul, J., "Broadcasting Internet datagrams in the
           presence of subnets", STD 5, RFC 922[55], October 1984.

[RFC1112] Deering, S., "Host extensions for IP multicasting", STD 5,
           RFC 1112[56], August 1989.

[RFC1122] Braden, R., "Requirements for Internet Hosts -
           Communication Layers", STD 3, RFC 1122[57], October 1989.

[RFC1166] Kirkpatrick, S., Stahl, M., and M. Recker, "Internet
           numbers", RFC 1166[58], July 1990.

[RFC1174] Cerf, V., "IAB recommended policy on distributing internet
           identifier assignment and IAB recommended policy change to
           internet "connected" status", RFC 1174[59], August 1990.

[RFC1700] Reynolds, J. and J. Postel, "Assigned Numbers", RFC 1700[60],
           October 1994.

[RFC1918] Rekhter, Y., Moskowitz, R., Karrenberg, D., Groot, G., and

E. Lear, "Address Allocation for Private Internets",
BCP 5[61], RFC 1918[62], February 1996.

[RFC2544]   Bradner, S. and J. McQuaid, "Benchmarking Methodology for
            Network Interconnect Devices", RFC 2544[63], March 1999.

[RFC2860]   Carpenter, B., Baker, F., and M. Roberts, "Memorandum of
            Understanding Concerning the Technical Work of the
            Internet Assigned Numbers Authority", RFC 2860[64], June 2000.

[RFC3068]   Huitema, C., "An Anycast Prefix for 6to4 Relay Routers",
            RFC 3068[65], June 2001.

[RFC3171]   Albanna, Z., Almeroth, K., Meyer, D., and M. Schipper,
            "IANA Guidelines for IPv4 Multicast Address Assignments",
            BCP 51[66], RFC 3171[67], August 2001.

[RFC3330]   IANA, "Special-Use IPv4 Addresses", RFC 3330[68],
            September 2002.

[RFC3927]   Cheshire, S., Aboba, B., and E. Guttman, "Dynamic
            Configuration of IPv4 Link-Local Addresses", RFC 3927[69],
            May 2005.

[RFC5156]   Blanchet, M., "Special-Use IPv6 Addresses", RFC 5156[70],
            April 2008.

[RFC5226]   Narten, T. and H. Alvestrand, "Guidelines for Writing an
            IANA Considerations Section in RFCs", BCP 26[72], RFC 5226[73],

            May 2008.

[RFC5736]   Huston, G., Cotton, M., and L. Vegoda, "IANA IPv4 Special
            Purpose Address Registry", RFC 5736[74], January 2010.

[RFC5737]   Arkko, J., Cotton, M., and L. Vegoda, "IPv4 Address Blocks
            Reserved for Documentation", RFC 5737[75], January 2010.

Appendix A. Differences between This Document and RFC 3330[77]

Address blocks that were reserved for a special purpose in RFC 3330[78] but are no longer reserved for any special purpose and are available for allocation are no longer listed in Sections 4 or 5. The following blocks have become available:

- 14.0.0.0/8 is no longer set aside for assignments to the international system of Public Data Networks [RFC1700[79]], page 181. It is now available for allocation to RIRs in the normal way.

- 24.0.0.0/8 is no longer listed as the addresses in that block have been managed by the American Registry for Internet Numbers (ARIN) in the normal way since 2001.

- 39.0.0.0/8 is no longer listed as it has been subject to allocation to an RIR for assignment in the normal manner since 2001.

- 128.0.0.0/16 is not reserved and is subject to future allocation

by a Regional Internet Registry for assignment in the normal
manner.

- 191.255.0.0/16 is not reserved and is subject to future allocation
  by a RIR for assignment in the normal manner.

- 198.51.100.0/24 is assigned as "TEST-NET-2" for use in
  documentation and example code.

- 203.0.113.0/24 is assigned as "TEST-NET-3" for use in
  documentation and example code.

- 223.255.255.0/24 is not reserved and is subject to future
  allocation by an RIR for assignment in the normal manner.

Authors' Addresses

   Michelle Cotton
   Internet Corporation for Assigned Names and Numbers

4676 Admiralty Way, Suite 330

Marina del Rey, CA 90292

USA

Phone: +1-310-823-9358

EMail: michelle.cotton@icann.org

URI: http://www.iana.org/[81]

Leo Vegoda

Internet Corporation for Assigned Names and Numbers

4676 Admiralty Way, Suite 330

Marina del Rey, CA 90292

USA

Phone: +1-310-823-9358

EMail: leo.vegoda@icann.org

URI: http://www.iana.org/[82]

Html markup produced by rfcmarkup 1.107, available from
http://tools.ietf.org/tools/rfcmarkup/[83]

1. http://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc3330

2. http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5735

3. http://tools.ietf.org/html/bcp78

4. http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info

5. http://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc5735

6. http://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc3330

7. http://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc5735

8. http://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc1174

9. http://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc2860

10. http://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc3330

11. http://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc3330

12. http://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc3330

13. http://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc5156

14. http://tools.ietf.org/html/bcp14

15. http://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc2119

16. http://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc1122

17. http://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc1918

18. http://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc5735

19. http://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc3927

20. http://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc1918

21. http://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc5736

22. http://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc5737

23. http://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc1166

24. http://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc3068

25. http://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc1918

26. http://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc2544

27. http://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc5735

28. http://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc5737

29. http://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc5737

30. http://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc3171

31. http://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc0919

32. http://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc0922

33. http://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc5735

34. http://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc1918

35. http://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc3927

36. http://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc1918

37. http://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc5736

38. http://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc5737

39. http://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc3068

40. http://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc1918

41. http://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc2544

42. http://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc5737

43. http://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc5737

44. http://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc3171

45. http://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc2860

46. http://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc2860

47. http://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc2860

48. http://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc5226

49. http://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc2860

50. http://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc5735

51. http://tools.ietf.org/html/bcp14

52. http://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc2119

53. http://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc919

54. http://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc5735

55. http://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc922

56. http://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc1112

57. http://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc1122

58. http://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc1166

59. http://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc1174

60. http://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc1700

61. http://tools.ietf.org/html/bcp5

62. http://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc1918

63. http://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc2544

64. http://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc2860

65. http://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc3068

66. http://tools.ietf.org/html/bcp51

67. http://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc3171

68. http://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc3330

69. http://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc3927

70. http://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc5156

71. http://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc5735

72. http://tools.ietf.org/html/bcp26

73. http://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc5226

74. http://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc5736

75. http://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc5737

76. http://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc5735

77. http://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc3330

78. http://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc3330

79. http://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc1700

80. http://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc5735

81. http://www.iana.org/

82. http://www.iana.org/

83. http://tools.ietf.org/tools/rfcmarkup/