

6.172 Project 2.1 Writeup

Albert Wang

October 12, 2011

1 1

1.1 1.1

cilkview: running with 20 workers Sort time: 1.032 seconds

cilkview: running with 19 workers Sort time: 1.027 seconds

cilkview: running with 18 workers Sort time: 1.033 seconds

cilkview: running with 17 workers Sort time: 1.069 seconds

cilkview: running with 16 workers Sort time: 1.055 seconds

cilkview: running with 15 workers Sort time: 1.032 seconds

cilkview: running with 14 workers Sort time: 1.024 seconds

cilkview: running with 13 workers Sort time: 1.029 seconds

cilkview: running with 12 workers Sort time: 1.023 seconds
cilkview: running with 11 workers Sort time: 1.089 seconds
cilkview: running with 10 workers Sort time: 1.166 seconds
cilkview: running with 9 workers Sort time: 1.259 seconds
cilkview: running with 8 workers Sort time: 1.387 seconds
cilkview: running with 7 workers Sort time: 1.541 seconds
cilkview: running with 6 workers Sort time: 1.745 seconds
cilkview: running with 5 workers Sort time: 2.049 seconds
cilkview: running with 4 workers Sort time: 2.463 seconds
cilkview: running with 3 workers Sort time: 3.199 seconds
cilkview: running with 2 workers Sort time: 4.656 seconds
cilkview: running with 1 workers Sort time: 9.059 seconds

When running with more workers than processors, the times may increase, as extra time is being used to spawn threads.

1.2 1.2

The *sample_qsort* recursive calls are overlapping with each other, which means that they could modify each other's data

1.3 1.3

Yes, cilkscreen found the race condition. Error rate = 916/1000.

2 2

2.1 2.1

For 8 processors: Actual Speedup: 7.57686084142 times faster, Theoretical

Speedup: 6.26 - 8.00 times faster

cilkview: running with 1 workers

matrix2 time: 0ms

matrix256 time: 47ms

matrix1024 time: 9365ms

Test succeeded

cilkview: running with 12 workers

matrix2 time: 0ms

matrix256 time: 7ms

matrix1024 time: 932ms

Test succeeded

2.2 2.2

For 8 processors: Middle Loop Parallelization Speedup: 7.71439873418 Outer,
Middle loop Parallelization Speedup 7.70332278481 Just outer loop parallel
produces the best results because not as many threads are being spawned.

2.3 2.3

2.4 2.4

```
mm_internal(C11, A11, B11, n, mid);  
mm_internal(C21, A21, B11, n, mid);  
mm_internal(C12, A11, B12, n, mid);  
mm_internal(C22, A21, B12, n, mid);  
mm_internal(C11, A12, B21, n, mid);  
mm_internal(C21, A22, B21, n, mid);  
mm_internal(C12, A12, B22, n, mid);  
mm_internal(C22, A22, B22, n, mid);
```

2.5 2.5

Can divide 8 recursive calls into two sets of 4 calls. Calls that change the same submatrix of C must be done separately. Passes cilkscreen. cilkview:

Whole Program Statistics

1) Parallelism Profile

Work :	245,301,142,331 instructions
Span :	188,099,983 instructions
Burdened span :	363,019,983 instructions
Parallelism :	1304.10
Burdened parallelism :	675.72
Number of spawns/syncs:	1,246,607,152
Average instructions / strand :	65
Strands along span :	21,537
Average instructions / strand on span :	8,733
Total number of atomic instructions :	1,246,607,173
Frame count :	2,493,214,304

2) Speedup Estimate

2 processors:	1.90 - 2.00
4 processors:	3.80 - 4.00

8 processors:	7.60 - 8.00
16 processors:	15.20 - 16.00
32 processors:	29.68 - 32.00

cilkview: running with 12 workers

matrix2 time: 0ms

matrix256 time: 103ms

matrix1024 time: 4912ms

About 1.9 times faster than nested single processor

2.6 2.6

Much faster.

cilkview: running with 12 workers

matrix2 time: 0ms

matrix256 time: 9ms

matrix1024 time: 295ms

About 16.7 times faster than 12 worker without looping small matrices.

2.7 2.7

3 3

3.1 3.1

Cilkview results:

cilkview: running with 12 workers

nbodies time: 6668ms

done.

cilkview: running with 11 workers

nbodies time: 6371ms

done.

cilkview: running with 10 workers

nbodies time: 6342ms

done.

cilkview: running with 9 workers

nbodies time: 6170ms

done.

cilkview: running with 8 workers

nbodies time: 5771ms

done.

cilkview: running with 7 workers

nbodies time: 5847ms

done.

cilkview: running with 6 workers

nbodies time: 6011ms

done.

cilkview: running with 5 workers

nbodies time: 6066ms

done.

cilkview: running with 4 workers

nbodies time: 5784ms

done.

cilkview: running with 3 workers

nbodies time: 6214ms

done.

cilkview: running with 2 workers

nbodies time: 5930ms

done.

cilkview: running with 1 workers

nbodies time: 8869ms

done.

1.3 times speedup

3.2 3.2

cilkview: running with 12 workers

nbodies time: 10506ms

done.

cilkview: running with 11 workers

nbodies time: 9710ms

done.

cilkview: running with 10 workers

nbodies time: 9365ms

done.

cilkview: running with 9 workers

nbodies time: 9211ms

done.

cilkview: running with 8 workers

nbodies time: 9508ms

done.

cilkview: running with 7 workers

nbodies time: 9647ms

done.

cilkview: running with 6 workers

nbodies time: 9977ms

done.

cilkview: running with 5 workers

nbodies time: 9804ms

done.

cilkview: running with 4 workers

nbodies time: 10426ms

done.

cilkview: running with 3 workers

nbodies time: 12504ms

done.

cilkview: running with 2 workers

nbodies time: 12680ms

done.

cilkview: running with 1 workers

nbodies time: 23158ms

done.

2.2 times speedup

3.3 3.3

Cilkview Scalability Analyzer V2.0.0, Build 1924

nbodies Statistics - Elapsed time: 7.789 seconds

1) Parallelism Profile

Work :	3,876,860,183 instructions
Span :	5,092,369 instructions
Burdened span :	23,488,877 instructions
Parallelism :	761.31
Burdened parallelism :	165.05
Number of spawns/syncs:	63,920
Average instructions / strand :	20,217

Strands along span :	760
Average instructions / strand on span :	6,700
Total number of atomic instructions :	51,200,160
Frame count :	1,151,280

2) Speedup Estimate

2 processors:	1.90 - 2.00
4 processors:	3.80 - 4.00
8 processors:	7.46 - 8.00
16 processors:	13.86 - 16.00
32 processors:	24.26 - 32.00

Whole Program Statistics

1) Parallelism Profile

Work :	36,552,872,516 instructions
Span :	1,706,963,702 instructions
Burdened span :	1,872,529,867 instructions
Parallelism :	21.41
Burdened parallelism :	19.52
Number of spawns/syncs:	575,280

Average instructions / strand :	21,179
Strands along span :	13,681
Average instructions / strand on span :	124,768
Total number of atomic instructions :	460,801,440
Frame count :	1,151,280

2) Speedup Estimate

2 processors:	1.84 - 2.00
4 processors:	3.17 - 4.00
8 processors:	4.97 - 8.00
16 processors:	6.94 - 16.00
32 processors:	8.65 - 21.41

3.4 3.4

Yes, was faster than parallel nbodies_loops. Races come from the symmetric updating of forces (i.e. changing the force for j), which didn't show up before because it wasn't symmetric.

3.5 3.5

Cilkview Scalability Analyzer V2.0.0, Build 1924

nbodies Statistics - Elapsed time: 6.943 seconds

1) Parallelism Profile

Work :	3,225,295,516 instructions
Span :	8,244,840 instructions
Burdened span :	24,973,893 instructions
Parallelism :	391.19
Burdened parallelism :	129.15
Number of spawns/syncs:	63,920
Average instructions / strand :	16,819
Strands along span :	800
Average instructions / strand on span :	10,306
Total number of atomic instructions :	51,200,160
Frame count :	1,151,280

2) Speedup Estimate

2 processors:	1.90 - 2.00
4 processors:	3.80 - 4.00
8 processors:	7.33 - 8.00
16 processors:	13.36 - 16.00

32 processors: 22.73 - 32.00

Whole Program Statistics

1) Parallelism Profile

Work :	30,688,883,602 instructions
Span :	1,735,328,515 instructions
Burdened span :	1,885,890,905 instructions
Parallelism :	17.68
Burdened parallelism :	16.27
Number of spawns/syncs:	575,280
Average instructions / strand :	17,781
Strands along span :	14,401
Average instructions / strand on span :	120,500
Total number of atomic instructions :	460,801,440
Frame count :	1,151,280

2) Speedup Estimate

2 processors:	1.81 - 2.00
4 processors:	3.05 - 4.00
8 processors:	4.62 - 8.00

16 processors: 6.23 - 16.00

32 processors: 7.55 - 17.68

3.6 3.6

A triangle itself is split into two triangles and a rectangle. Therefore, the rectangles will coarsen the data for it's parent triangles. Also, a triangle itself doesn't do much work, other than to spawn other triangles and rectangles.

3.7 3.7

Added `cilk_spawn` for the first lines of A, B, and C, and a `sync` at the end of each A/B/C block.

3.8 3.8

`cilkview: running with 12 workers`

`nbodies time: 1112ms`

`done.`

`cilkview: running with 11 workers`

`nbodies time: 1168ms`

`done.`

cilkview: running with 10 workers

nbodies time: 1247ms

done.

cilkview: running with 9 workers

nbodies time: 1346ms

done.

cilkview: running with 8 workers

nbodies time: 1479ms

done.

cilkview: running with 7 workers

nbodies time: 1650ms

done.

cilkview: running with 6 workers

nbodies time: 1881ms

done.

cilkview: running with 5 workers

nbodies time: 2215ms

done.

cilkview: running with 4 workers

nbodies time: 2682ms

done.

cilkview: running with 3 workers

nbodies time: 3557ms

done.

cilkview: running with 2 workers

nbodies time: 5230ms

done.

cilkview: running with 1 workers

nbodies time: 10421ms

done.

cilkview: generating scalability data

nbodies time: 65083ms

done.

--- albertyw-12.stderr ---

Cilkview Scalability Analyzer V2.0.0, Build 1924

nbodies Statistics - Elapsed time: 7.220 seconds

1) Parallelism Profile

Work :	3,315,985,410 instructions
Span :	106,840,183 instructions
Burdened span :	216,840,183 instructions
Parallelism :	31.04
Burdened parallelism :	15.29
Number of spawns/syncs:	116,000
Average instructions / strand :	9,528
Strands along span :	5,360
Average instructions / strand on span :	19,932
Total number of atomic instructions :	51,252,240
Frame count :	3,132,360

2) Speedup Estimate

2 processors:	1.80 - 2.00
4 processors:	3.00 - 4.00
8 processors:	4.50 - 8.00
16 processors:	6.00 - 16.00
32 processors:	7.20 - 31.04

Whole Program Statistics

1) Parallelism Profile

Work :	31,504,917,256 instructions
Span :	2,622,692,230 instructions
Burdened span :	3,612,692,230 instructions
Parallelism :	12.01
Burdened parallelism :	8.72
Number of spawns/syncs:	1,044,000
Average instructions / strand :	10,059
Strands along span :	96,481
Average instructions / strand on span :	27,183
Total number of atomic instructions :	461,270,160
Frame count :	3,132,360

2) Speedup Estimate

2 processors:	1.67 - 2.00
4 processors:	2.52 - 4.00
8 processors:	3.38 - 8.00
16 processors:	4.08 - 12.01
32 processors:	4.54 - 12.01