

# SOLS optimum\* tilt - quadratic estimate

In a single-objective light-sheet (SOLS) style microscope the light-sheet consumes some of the numerical aperture ( $NA$ ) from the primary objective. i.e. more  $NA$  for the light-sheet gives better sectioning but less  $NA$  for collection. The trade of light-sheet vs emission  $NA$  is governed by the tilt of the 3rd microscope ( $\theta_{tilt}$ ). Historically some builders have used  $\theta_{tilt} = 30$  degrees. So the question is... what is the optimal tilt?

Here's a simple analytical estimate for a tilt that gives a light-sheet thickness roughly equal to the axial point spread function.

## Primary objective emission:

The numerical aperture of the primary objective ( $NA_1$ ) and the axial extent of the point spread function ( $Z_{PSF_1}$ ) can be expressed as,

$$NA_1 = n_1 \sin \theta_1$$

$$Z_{PSF_1} \approx \frac{2\lambda_{em}}{NA_1^2}$$

where  $n_1$  is the index of refraction,  $\theta_1$  is the half angle collection of the primary objective and  $\lambda_{em}$  is the emission wavelength.

## Light-sheet excitation:

The numerical aperture of a Gaussian light-sheet excitation ( $NA_{ex}$ ) and beam waist ( $\omega_0$ ) can be written as,

$$NA_{ex} = n_1 \sin \theta_{ex}$$

$$\omega_0 = \frac{\lambda_{ex}}{\pi NA_{ex}}$$

where  $\theta_{ex}$  is the half angle of the light-sheet and  $\lambda_{ex}$  is the excitation wavelength.

## Single-objective light-sheet constraints:

The lower bound on effective numerical aperture ( $NA_{eff}$ ) is set by:

$$NA_{eff} = n_1 \sin \theta_{eff}$$

for:

$$2\theta_{eff} = 2\theta_1 - \theta_{ex}$$

and

$$\theta_{tilt} = \frac{\pi}{2} - \theta_1 + \theta_{ex}$$

## \*Optimum tilt selection:

Tilt may be optimized in different ways:

- Minimal tilt gives maximum emission collection, but with a thick light-sheet, poorer sectioning and increased photodose.
- Increasing tilt gives thinner light-sheet options, better sectioning and lower photodose possibilities, but at the expense of emission light.
- Tuning the tilt between minimum and maximum can allow the light-sheet propagation length to be adapted to the sample size. This may be the best way to operate but is practically challenging.

As a starting point we can consider setting the tilt so that the thickness of the light sheet ( $2\omega_0$ ) is approximately equal to the extent of the effective axial point spread function of the primary objective ( $Z_{PSF_{eff}}$ ):

$$2\omega_0 \approx Z_{PSF_{eff}}$$

or:

$$\frac{\lambda_{ex}}{\pi N A_{ex}} \approx \frac{\lambda_{em}}{N A_{eff}^2}$$

## Solve for $\theta_{tilt}$ :

Substitute equations for  $NA$  and re-arrange,

$$\frac{n_1 \lambda_{ex}}{\pi \lambda_{em}} \sin^2 \theta_{eff} \approx \sin \theta_{ex}$$

eliminate  $\theta_{ex}$  using  $\theta_{ex} = 2(\theta_1 - \theta_{eff})$ ,

$$\approx \sin 2(\theta_1 - \theta_{eff})$$

extract factor of 2 using trig identity ( $\sin 2A = 2 \sin A \cos A$ ),

$$\approx 2 \sin(\theta_1 - \theta_{eff}) \cos(\theta_1 - \theta_{eff})$$

extract single angles using trig identities ( $\sin(A - B) = \sin A \cos B - \cos A \sin B$ ,  
 $\cos(A - B) = \cos A \cos B + \sin A \sin B$ ),

$$\approx 2(\sin \theta_1 \cos \theta_{eff} - \cos \theta_1 \sin \theta_{eff})(\cos \theta_1 \cos \theta_{eff} + \sin \theta_1 \sin \theta_{eff})$$

multiply out,

$$\begin{aligned} &\approx 2 \sin \theta_1 \cos \theta_1 \cos^2 \theta_{eff} + 2 \sin \theta_{eff} \cos \theta_{eff} \sin^2 \theta_1 \\ &\quad - 2 \sin \theta_{eff} \cos \theta_{eff} \cos^2 \theta_1 - 2 \sin \theta_1 \cos \theta_1 \sin^2 \theta_{eff} \end{aligned}$$

divide by  $\cos^2 \theta_{eff}$  and substitute  $\frac{\sin \alpha}{\cos \alpha} = \tan \alpha$  (a valid operation for any real lens where  $\theta_{eff} < \frac{\pi}{2}$ ),

$$\frac{n_1 \lambda_{ex}}{\pi \lambda_{em}} \tan^2 \theta_{eff} \approx 2 \sin \theta_1 \cos \theta_1 + 2(\sin^2 \theta_1 - \cos^2 \theta_1) \tan \theta_{eff} - 2 \sin \theta_1 \cos \theta_1 \tan^2 \theta_{eff}$$

re-arrange for the standard quadratic form  $ax^2 + bx + c = 0$  and reduce ( $\cos^2 A = 1 - \sin^2 A$ ,  
 $2 \sin A \cos A = \sin 2A$ ):

$$\left( \frac{n_1 \lambda_{ex}}{\pi \lambda_{em}} + \sin 2\theta_1 \right) \tan^2 \theta_{eff} + 2(1 - 2 \sin^2 \theta_1) \tan \theta_{eff} - \sin 2\theta_1 \approx 0$$

find the postive root with quatrac formula:

$$\tan \theta_{eff} = \frac{-b + \sqrt{b^2 - 4ac}}{2a}$$

return the tilt angle with:

$$\theta_{tilt} = \frac{\pi}{2} + \theta_1 - 2\theta_{eff}$$

## SOLS reference design, worked example:

The primary obective for the single-objective light-sheet reference design is a 1.35 Silicone oil objective:

```
In [3]: import numpy as np

NA1 = 1.35 # Nikon 100x1.35 Sil
n1 = 1.4 # Silicone refractive index
lambda_ex = 488 # typical laser
lambda_em = 510 # GFP like

thetal = np.arcsin(NA1/n1)

a = (lambda_ex * n1)/(np.pi * lambda_em) + np.sin(2 * thetal)
b = 2 * (1 - 2 * (np.sin(thetal) ** 2))
c = - np.sin(2 * thetal)

tan_theta_eff = (- b + (b**2 - 4 * a * c)**0.5)/ (2 * a)
theta_eff = np.arctan(tan_theta_eff)
theta_tilt = np.pi/2 + thetal - 2 * theta_eff

print("Optimum tilt = ", round(np.rad2deg(theta_tilt), 2), "deg")
```

Optimum tilt = 35.68 deg

In [ ]: