Real-time Bridge Monitoring

Guide to Inputs Conversion & Formulas calculation



Index

1.Type of Inputs	2
2.Content of Inputs	
2.1.Analog files	
2.2.Echo Sonar files.	
3. Conversion operations on inputs	
3.1.Anemometer	
3.2.Hydrometer	
3.3.Sonar	5
4. What kind the calculations are there to do?	5
4.1.Anemometer (10min).	
4.2.Hydrometer (10min).	
4.3. Echo Sonar (10min).	
4.4.Images	
1. 1.1114505	
5.Calculation of Forcing.	7
5.1.Stack / Cell compositions	7
5.2.Push of the wind	7
5.2.1.Effective speed	7
5.2.2.Push of the wind on the planking	8
5.2.3. Push of the wind on the traffic	8
5.3.Hydrodynamic thrust (on the whole stack)	9
5.3.1.Water speed	9
5.3.1.1.Scale of flow rates	9
5.3.1.2.2D Analysis	9
5.3.2.Water Thrust	10
5.4. Weight of the structure (o the whole stack)	11
5.5.Shifting weights / Mobile loads (traffic)	
5.6.Brake of vehicles.	12
5.7.Final remark on the forcing	12
5.8.Reference system.	
	4.4
6. Forcing: from planking to the single line of pylons	
6.1. Weight of the planking.	
6.2.Shifting loads (traffic combinations)	
6.3.Vehicle braking	
6.4.Wind	
6.5.Water	16
7.Load combinations on the stack	17
7.1.Combination.	
8.Stress to the joints	20
Table of negotiations	0.1
9. Table of parameters	21

1. Type of Inputs

here are three inputs:

- $analog^{********}.txt \rightarrow$ in this txt file there are the values measured by the <u>Anemometer</u> and the <u>Hydrometer</u>
- sonar*******.txt → in this file there are the values measured by the Echo Sonar
- $Modean[Mantova]^{*******}.jpg \rightarrow$ there are the pictures taken by the two camera

How to interpret the ID/timestamp of a file


analog********.txt sonar*******.txt For this two kind of files the ID represents the Labview encoding and corresponds at the number of seconds that have elapsed sing 1th January 1904, without time zone so on the meridian of Greenwich (and at the same way for the timestamp of the all values in the file)

http://www.ni.com/white-paper/7900/en/

(there are 2 file (.xlsx & .ods) on GitHub [DSD/Data Source] that do this conversion automatically, just insert the number of seconds in the cell)

Example

File: analog3383680299.txt



 $s = 3383680299 \leftarrow fig. 1$ m = (3383680299 / 60) = 56394671 h = (56394671 / 60) = 939911 d = (939911 / 24) = 39162y = (39162 / 365) = 107


rest of days = d - (y*365)=107rest of hours = h - (d*24)=23rest of minutes = m - (h*60)=11rest of seconds = s - (m*60)=39

The rest of days is 107, and it's corresponds at the month of April because 107 is between the sum of the days till March(that is 90) and the sum of days till April (that is 120). So mean that 107 days remaining corresponds at the month of April, the 4th.

How many days in April? Easily April begin after 90days so 107-90=17days elapsed.

Ok, here we are:

At the end we have converted $3383680299 \Rightarrow 2011/04/17$ at 23:11:39 on meridian of Greenwich, so for Italy, Sweden and Croatia time (UTC+1) just add +1h at the time to have to correct one.




2. Content of Inputs

2.1. Analog files

The analog file contains 4 columns of values (fig.4):


- **1. Wind speed** (unity measure mA)
- 2. Distance between the Hydrometer and the level of water (unity measure mA)
- **3. Wind direction** (unity measure mA)
- **4.** Timestamp of the detection of the sample (Labview encode → see before)[decimals can be dropped]



2.2. Echo Sonar files

The sonar file contains 2 columns of values, offset of a line (fig.5):

- 1. Distance between the sonar and the bottom of the river (unity measure meters)
- 2. Timestamp of the detection of the sample (Labview encode \rightarrow see before)



3. Conversion operations on inputs

Every hour the system receives a packet in which there are an analog file, a sonar file both with 3600 values and two images, one for camera. All these values are to be converted from the parser into the db, in the table of Raw_data(1sec). Each values has to fill one row of the table.

3.1. Anemometer



- Speed \rightarrow V[m/s] = (((V[mA] * 1000) 4) * 3,75)
- Direction $\rightarrow dir [\circ] = (((dir[mA] * 1000) 4) * 22,5)$

3.2. Hydrometer



- Distance hydrometer-water $\rightarrow h[m] = 20 + (((h[mA] * 1000) 4) * (-1,25))$
- Water height \rightarrow $h_{water}[m] = 29.86 h[m]$

3.3. Sonar

For the sonar is a little bit more complicated because the sonar can produces five different kind of data.

- Correct data → Rxx.xx (can exist even one decimal)
 Uncertain data → Rxx.xxE (can exist even one decimal)
 Wrong data → xx.xx (can exist even one decimal)
- 4) Sonar out of water → R99.99E
- 5) Error → E1 or missing data



(fig.8)

The generic xx.xx is the distance in <u>meter</u> between the sonar and the height of the bottom.

• Height of the bottom $\rightarrow h_{bottom}[m] = 12.3 - xx.xx[m]$

Data that are of type ① and ② can be convert in a real height; for the other types this conversion is not possible but is necessary to store these information anyway, to compute some statistics that will explain later.

4. What kind the calculations are there to do?

To aggregate data for 10 minutes we have to manage 600 data as a single sample to calculate the needed information.

4.1. Anemometer (10min)

- [ANE1] mean wind speed
- [ANE2] maximum wind speed in the 10 minutes
- [ANE3] mean wind direction
- [ANE4] direction of the maximum wind speed in the 10 minutes

4.2. Hydrometer (10min)

- [IDRO1] mean water depth/water height
- [IDRO2] variance of the sample

4.3. Echo Sonar (10min)

- [SONAR1] mean value of the height of the bottom (only with data of type (1) + (2))
- [SONAR2] variance of the sample (only with data of type 1 + 2)
- [SONAR3] % of data of type "(1) + (2)" used compared to the 600 elements of the sample
- [SONAR4] % of data of type "3" there are in the sample
- [SONAR5] % of data of type "(4)" there are in the sample
- [SONAR6] % of data of type "(5)" there are in the sample
- [SONAR7] % of data of type "2" there are, considering as sample the "1 + 2" set of data (so not all the 600 data)

4.4. Images


The images don't need any kind of elaboration, they have only to be displayed.

5. Calculation of Forcing

For the most of the formulas, is needed the use of parameters; these parameters are in a db table that only who have a high level of authorization can change. The change of parameters causes the re-elaboration of the all data in the grouped tables (customers know it and accept it).

5.1. Stack / Cell compositions

The bridge is supported by various stacks/cells (call as you want, we have to choose and agree on one word) and each stack is composed by two line of three pylons connected together by a "pulvino" (fig. 9).



The system has to calculate the forces acting on the stack and after, if is possible, has to divide these forces on the two lines of the stack and divide again on the three pylons of each line.

5.2. Push of the wind

The push of the wind is divided in two components:

- Syplank: is the push of wind on the planking.
- S_{Vtraf}: is the push of wind on the traffic.

Because the traffic is not monitored, we have to use a combinations of possible traffic scenarios; In this model were modeled three scenarios, named A1, A2 and A3.

5.2.1. Effective speed

Before start to calculate the push of the wind on the whole bridge system, we must consider the real relevant value of the wind speed and not the general one. Is defined *effective speed (V_{EFFwind})* the wind component orthogonal to the bridge and to calculate it are needed:

- Measured wind speed → [ANE2]
- Measured wind direction → [ANE4]
- Planimetric inclination of the bridge from the north $\rightarrow \alpha$ (parameter)

$$V_{EFFwind} = [ANE2] * \sin([ANE4] + \alpha)$$

5.2.2. Push of the wind on the planking

For calculate this value are needed these information:

- "Drag planking" coefficient → C_{Dwi} (parameter)
- Air density → ρ (parameter)
- Planking area → A_{PLANK} (parameter)
- Effective wind speed → V_{EFFwind} (see previous point)

$$S_{Vplank} = \frac{1}{2} * C_{Dwi} * \rho_{air} * A_{plank} * V_{EFFwind}^{2}$$

5.2.3. Push of the wind on the traffic

For calculate this variable we must consider the three possible traffic scenarios, and also are needed:

- "Drag traffic" coefficient → C_{Dwi} (the same of previous point)
- Air density $\rightarrow \rho_{air}$ (the same of previous point)
- Surface of traffic → A_{TRAF} (parameter)
- Aerial reduction coefficient $\rightarrow \beta_1$ or β_2 (parameters)
- Effective wind speed → V_{EFFwind} (see previous point)

For traffic combination A1:

$$S_{V(A1 \ traf)} = \frac{1}{2} * C_{Dwi} * \rho_{air} * (\beta_1 * A_{traf}) * V_{EFFwind}^2$$

For traffic combination A2 (the same of A1):

$$S_{V(A2 \ traf)} = \frac{1}{2} * C_{Dwi} * \rho_{air} * (\beta_1 * A_{traf}) * V_{EFFwind}^2$$

For traffic combination A3:

$$S_{V(A1 \text{ traf})} = \frac{1}{2} * C_{Dwi} * \rho_{air} * (\beta_2 * A_{traf}) * V_{EFFwind}^2$$

5.3. Hydrodynamic thrust (on the whole stack)

The water pressure on the stack depends on the presence or not of debris on the stack basement As the same way of the wind, will be calculate all the thrust and then choose at a later which consider.

5.3.1. Water speed

The hydrometer measures the height of the water, then we have to find a relation between the height of water and the water speed. To do this are needed:

- Scale of flow rates: correlates univocally the water height ($h_{water} \rightarrow [IDRO1]$) and the flow rate (Q).
- Bidimensional analysis: allow to know for some flow rate value (Q) the relative value of water speed (V_{water})

5.3.1.1. Scale of flow rates

The following table show the relation between the flow rate (Q) and the water height (h_{water}). Depending on the value of h_{water} the parameters a_i , b_i and c_i changes.

- a₁, b₁, c₁ (parameters) for h_{water} < 17m
- a₂, b₂, c₂ (parameters) for 17m < h_{water} < 22m
- a₃, b₃, c₃ (parameters) for 22m < h_{water} < h_{MAXwater}

Scale of estimate flow rates with fixed section					
Parameters	[IDRO1] < 17m	h _{MAXwater} = 25,3m			
a _i	46	60	96	96	
bi	-902	-1350	-2800	-2800	
Ci	4658	8000	22500	22500	

$$Q = a_i * h_{water}^2 + b_i * h_{water} + c_i$$

if $h_{water} > h_{MAXwater}$ the river has overflowed.

5.3.1.2. 2D Analysis

The relation between the flow rate of water and its speed are in the following table. Depending on the flow rate of water, you can identify the range and interpolate linearly to get the speed of water.

$$V_{water} = a * h_{water}^3 + b * h_{water}^2 + c * h_{water}$$

2D analysis – fixed bottom					
h _{water} [m]	Q [m ³ /s]	V _{water} [m/s]			
3	510	0,24			
10,5	5400	2,73			
14	10000	3,54			

N.B.: the parameters a,b and c are different from the parameters a_i , b_i and c_i

5.3.2. Water Thrust

To calculate the water thrust are needed these information:

- "Drag planking" coefficient → C_{Dwa} (different parameter from that of the wind, see below)
- Water density $\rightarrow \rho$ (parameter)
- Stack area → A_{stack}
- Water speed → V_{water} (see point before)

The "Drag planking" coefficient depends on the presence (D=1) or not (D=0) of debris on the stack base. So the Drag Planking coefficient is splitted in:

- "Drag planking" coefficient (D=0) → C_{D0wa} (parameter)
- "Drag planking" coefficient (D=1) → C_{D1wa} (parameter)

The area of the stack invested by the flow of water depends on three factors:

- Presence (D=1) or not (D=0) of debris on the stack basement
- Height of the water → [IDRO1]
- Height of the bottom of the river -> [SONAR1]
- Shape of the stack; with presence of debris the shape of the stack changes, because the debris offer a greater surface on which the water can push.

The area of the stack is calculated using always the same formula, but depending on the scenario the coefficients involved change.

$$A_s = B_s * h_s$$

The h_s is calculated independently by the presence or not of debris:

- [SONAR1] < bottom_ref → h_s = [IDRO2] bottom_ref
- [SONAR1] > bottom ref \rightarrow h_s = [IDRO2] [SONAR1]

The *bottom ref* is a parameter.

The **B**_s, depends instead on the presence or not of debris:

- D=0 \rightarrow B_s = B_{s0} = c
- D=1 \rightarrow B_s = B_{s1} = 2*D_{pylon}

c and D_{pile} are parameters

At the end we have this formulas:

$(\mathbf{D} = 0)$	(D = 1)
$A_s = B_{s\theta} * h_s$	$A_s = B_{sI} * h_s$
$S_{water} = \frac{1}{2} * C_{D\theta} * \rho_{water} * A_s * V_{water}^2$	$S_{water} = \frac{1}{2} * C_{D1} * \rho_{water} * (A_s * \beta_A) * V_{water}^2$

5.4. Weight of the structure (o the whole stack)

In this case we have to calculate the weight of the stack and the portion of palking which is competence of the stack. Most of the weight that will be considered are constant, the only variable is related about the portion of the stack exposed, so that portion that is above the height of bottom of the river measured as [SONAR1].

To calculate this value, are needed these information:

$$PP_{structure} = P_P + [(2 * P_{pu} + 6 * P_{tp} + 2 * P_b) + 6 * (P_p * (h_{beam} - [SONAR1]))]$$

5.5. Shifting weights / Mobile loads (traffic)

As said before in point 5.2, the traffic is not monitored so we have to use combinations of load, to suite the common possible scenarios. In this case we have 3 combinations that are A1, A2 and A3. At each combination was assigned a different contribute in terms of forces.

- A1 → N(A1), Mxx(A1) and Myy(A1)
- A2 \rightarrow N(A2), Mxx(A2) and Myy(A2)
- A3 → N(A3), Mxx(A3) and Myy(A3)

The load combinations generate three kind of different forces: N is a vertical load, Mxx is a moment on the x axes and Myy is a moment on the y axes. The direction of the axes will be define later.

5.6. Brake of vehicles

In presence of traffic, there is the possibility of hard brakes by the vehicles that induce additional forces on the planking.

F_R → vehicles braking (parameter)

5.7. Final remark on the forcing


The forcing that act on the stack 30 are:


- · Push of wind
- Hydrodynamic thrust
- · Weight of the structure
- Shifting weight / Mobile loads
- Brake of vehicles

The descriptions and the formulas presented until here allow to value the generic force but say nothing about where this force is applied, if to the planking or directly to the stack or to both. The mainly purpose in to calculate the safety coefficient of the stack, all these generic forces must be transfer to the stack. During this "transferring" could born some new forces or some forces could transform in other forces and so on. At the end, all these forces and moments must be transferred also to the two lines of pylons that compose the single stack.

5.8. Reference system

Below will be present a schema of the reference system and the naming of forcing still transferred to the single line of pylon.





In these pictures, fig.10 and fig.11, are represented the generic forces acting on the stack, then each generic force contains the contributes of many forces. The following table explain how the contributes are distributed into the generic force.

Chassis	Forces				
Action	Weight	Shifting weight	Brake	Wind	Water
N	Х	Х	Х		
Ту				Х	
Тх			Х		
qy					Х
Mx		Х		Х	
Му					
Mz					

(table 1)

To clarify this concept, for example think about the shifting weights. Before was said that the shifting weights, independently from the combination of traffic, exercise three kind of forces: N, Mxx and Myy. These three forces are applied to the planking and in their transfer to the single line of pylon, become N and Mx.

6. Forcing: from planking to the single line of pylons

Now we will take a look at each force acting on the bridge and how these forces are transferred from the planking to the single line of pylons.

The signs of the values are all related with the reference system described in the previous chapter (*fig.10* and *fig.11*).

6.1. Weight of the planking

The weight of the structure is composed by the weight of the planking that are on the stack (P_P) and the weight of the stack itself. For calculate how the weight of the structure is split on the two lines of pylons we consider only the weight of the planking on the stack. The own weight of the stack will be added at the end.

In the following table there are the value of the forces resulting from the analysis.

Description		Line of pylons Mantova side (sx)	Line of pylons Modena side (sx)	Unity measure
[N]	$R1 = P_P / 2$	+5355	+5355	kN
[N]	R2 = Mt/c _{span}	+1023	-1023	kN
	[N]	+6378	+4332	kN

Mt, *c*_{span} are both parameters

6.2. Shifting loads (traffic combinations)

For the traffic combinations are reported the three final tables in which are described the decomposition of the forces in the three components as mentioned in the paragraph 5.5.

Each combination will be duplicated in the next calculations due to the fact that the specific combination represents a double scenario because the traffic can be symmetrical (for example cars in left lane and trucks in right lane, but also the vice versa so trucks in left lane and cars in right lane). This stuff is represented by the possibility to the variables to change their sign.

ID	Combination	N (Wsl)	Мхх	Муу	
ID	Combination	[kN]	[kNm]	[kNm]	
A1	1-a	4024 4368		3908	
Description		Line of pylon Mantova side (sx)	Line of pylon Modena side (dx)	Unity Measure	
[N]	R1 = (N/2)	+ 2012	+ 2012	kN	
[N]	$R2 = (Myy/c_{span})$	+ 411	- 411	kN	
[Mx]	M1 = (Mxx/2)	± 2184	± 2184	kNm	

ID	Combination	N (Wsl)	Мхх	Муу	
טו	Combination	[kN]	[kNm]	[kNm]	
A2	1-b	3166	8077	3015	
Description		Line of pylon Mantova side (sx)	Line of pylon Modena side (dx)	Unity Measure	
[N]	R1 = (N/2)	+ 1583	+ 1583	kN	
[N] $R2 = (Myy/c_{span})$		± 317	∓ 317	kN	
[Mx] M1 = (Mxx/2)		± 4038.5	± 4038.5	kNm	

ID	Combination	N (Wsl)	Мхх	Муу	
ID	Combination	[kN]	[kNm]	[kNm]	
А3	2 -a	1979 2121		25756	
Description		Line of pylon Mantova side (sx)	Line of pylon Modena side (dx)	Unity Measure	
[N]	R1 = (N/2)	+ 989.5	+ 989.5	kN	
[N]	$R2 = (Myy/c_{span})$	± 2711	∓ 2711	kN	
[Mx]	M1 = (Mxx/2)	± 1060.5	± 1060.5	kNm	

6.3. Vehicle braking

At the same way of the shifting loads, we can't know the direction the brake, then we have report the values in the following table with the corresponding signs.

Description		Line of pylons Mantova side (sx)	Line of pylons Modena side (sx)	Unity measure
[Tx]	$T1 = F_R / 2$	± 103	± 103	kN
[N]	$R1 = F_R * n/c_{span}$	± 72	∓ 72	kN

6.4. Wind

For the wind are still valid the considerations made before on the direction. The only different is that the wind direction is a value measured by monitoring, so we can know if its contribution is positive or negative.

Description		Line of pylons Mantova side (sx)	Line of pylons Modena side (sx)	Unity measure
[Ty]	Fv = (Sv / 2)	± Fv	± Fv	kN
[Ty]	$Rav = (S_{Vplank} * r/c_{span})$	± Rav	∓ Rav	kN
[Mx]	$Mxv = [(S_{Vplank} * e_{plank} + S_{Vtraf} * e_{traf}) / 2]$	± Mxv	± Mxv	kNm

For the [Ty] the Sv is the sum of $S_{Vplank} + S_{Vtraf}$, while r, e_{plank} and e_{traf} are parameters.

6.5. Water

The water thrust acts only on the stack, since the planking is at a higher level of riverbank; that is to say that the water can never reach the planking, so this force don't need to be transferred to from the planking to the pylons. The only operation is to transform a concentrated force on a distributed load, and split it equally on the two lines of pylons. The values sign is defined because the water can flow only in one direction.

Description		Line of pylons Mantova side (sx)	Line of pylons Modena side (sx)	Unity measure
[qy]	$qy = (S_{WATER} / h_s) / 2$	+ qy	+ qy	kN/m

7. Load combinations on the stack

Since some forces are not monitored, is not possible to know their direction and then the verse of these forces, that depending on the situations can stabilize or destabilize the whole structure.

To define in each moment the maximum stress scenario, we have to define load combinations which enclose all the possible situations.

In this table is reported, for each force, its contribution to the four generic resultant forces.

	Line o	f pylo	ons MANTOV	A sid	e (sx)	Line of pylons MODENA side (dx)				
	N	Tx	Ту	qy Mx		N	Тх	Ту	qy	Mx
Pp	+R1 +R2	0	0	0	0	+R1 -R2	0	0	0	0
A110	+R1 +R2	0	0	0	+M1	+R1 -R2	0	0	0	+M1
A120	+R1 +R2	0	0	0	-M1	+R1 -R2	0	0	0	-M1
A210	+R1 +R2	0	0	0	+M1	+R1 -R2	0	0	0	+M1
A220	+R1 +R2	0	0	0	-M1	+R1 -R2	0	0	0	-M1
A311	+R1 +R2	0	0	0	+M1	+R1 -R2	0	0	0	+M1
A312	+R1 -R2	0	0	0	+M1	+R1 -R2	0	0	0	+M1
A321	+R1 +R2	0	0	0	-M1	+R1 -R2	0	0	0	-M1
A322	+R1 -R2	0	0	0	-M1	+R1 -R2	0	0	0	-M1
FR01	+R1	+T1	0	0 0		-R1	+T1	0	0	0
FR02	-R1	-T1	0	0	0	-R1	-T1	0	0	0
VT0	0	0	± (Fv0 + Rav)	0	± Mxv0	0	0	± (Fv0 - Rav)	0	± Mxv0
VT1A1	0	0	± (FvA1 + Rav)	0	± MxvA1	0	0	± (FvA1 - Rav)	0	± MxvA1
VT1A2	0	0	± (FvA2 + Rav)	0	± MxvA2	0	0	± (FvA2 - Rav)	0	± MxvA2
VT1A2	0	0	± (FvA3 + Rav)	0	± MxvA3	0	0	± (FvA3 - Rav)	0	± MxvA3
AQD0	0	0	0	qy	0	0	0	0	qy	0
AQD1	0	0	0	qy	0	0	0	0	qy	0

Notes:

In the combination VTO the bridge is ideally closed, so there is no traffic. The FvO identify the component of the wind force that acts only on the planking and the same thing with for the MxvO.

- -VTO: wind thrust without traffic on the bridge
- -VT1Ax: wind thrust with traffic of type A1 or A2 or A3 on the bridge
- -AQDx: water thrust in presence or not of debris.

7.1. Combination

comb_01A	\rightarrow	Pр	AQD0	VT0		
comb_01B	\rightarrow	Рр	AQD1	VT0		
comb_02A	\rightarrow	Рр	AQD0	VT1A1	A110	FR01
comb_02B	\rightarrow	Pр	AQD1	VT1A1	A110	FR01

comb_02A	\rightarrow	Рр	AQD0	VT1A1	A110	FR01
comb_02B	\rightarrow	Pр	AQD1	VT1A1	A110	FR01
comb_03A	\rightarrow	Pр	AQD0	VT1A1	A110	FR02
comb_03B	\rightarrow	Pр	AQD1	VT1A1	A110	FR02
comb_04A	\rightarrow	Pр	AQD0	VT1A1	A120	FR01
comb_04B	\rightarrow	Pр	AQD1	VT1A1	A120	FR01
comb_05A	\rightarrow	Pр	AQD0	VT1A1	A120	FR02
comb_05B	\rightarrow	Рр	AQD1	VT1A1	A120	FR02

comb_06A	\rightarrow	Pр	AQD0	VT1A2	A210	FR01
comb_06B	\rightarrow	Рр	AQD1	VT1A2	A210	FR01
Comb_07A	\rightarrow	Рр	AQD0	VT1A2	A210	FR02
comb_07B	\rightarrow	Рр	AQD1	VT1A2	A210	FR02
comb_08A	\rightarrow	Рр	AQD0	VT1A2	A220	FR01
comb_08B	\rightarrow	Рр	AQD1	VT1A2	A220	FR01
comb_09A	\rightarrow	Рр	AQD0	VT1A2	A220	FR02
comb_09B	\rightarrow	Рр	AQD1	VT1A2	A220	FR02

- 1							
	comb_10A	\rightarrow	Рр	AQD0	VT1A3	A311	FR01
	comb_10B	\rightarrow	Рр	AQD1	VT1A3	A311	FR01
	comb_11A	\rightarrow	Рр	AQD0	VT1A3	A311	FR02
	comb_11B	\rightarrow	Рр	AQD1	VT1A3	A311	FR02
	comb_12A	\rightarrow	Рр	AQD0	VT1A3	A312	FR01
	comb_12B	\rightarrow	Рр	AQD1	VT1A3	A312	FR01
	comb_13A	\rightarrow	Рр	AQD0	VT1A3	A312	FR02
	comb_13B	\rightarrow	Рр	AQD1	VT1A3	A312	FR02
	comb_14A	\rightarrow	Рр	AQD0	VT1A3	A321	FR01
	comb_14B	\rightarrow	Рр	AQD1	VT1A3	A321	FR01
	comb_15A	\rightarrow	Рр	AQD0	VT1A3	A321	FR02
	comb_15B	\rightarrow	Рр	AQD1	VT1A3	A321	FR02
	comb_16A	\rightarrow	Рр	AQD0	VT1A3	A322	FR01
	comb_16B	\rightarrow	Рр	AQD1	VT1A3	A322	FR01
	comb_17A	\rightarrow	Рр	AQD0	VT1A3	A322	FR02
	comb_17B	\rightarrow	Рр	AQD1	VT1A3	A322	FR02

Each of these combinations will provide a set of values N, Tx, Ty, qy and Mx with their specific signs that identify the forces direction.

At each combinations must be add the weight of the stack because, as said before, was "ignored" in the calculations of the force due by the weight of the structure.

This mean that the generic resultant force N must be increased to a N_{Ps}.

Defined

$$PP_{s} = [(2 * P_{pu} + 6 * P_{tp} + 2 * P_{b}) + 6 * (P_{p} * (h_{beam} - [SONAR1]))] \rightarrow N_{Ps} = + \frac{PP_{s}}{2}$$

Now, using the structural model is possible to determine the stresses in the six pylons and be able to choose the most stressed pylon, identifying the load combination that generates it.

8. Stress to the joints

...coming soon...

9. Table of parameters

GEOMETRY OF THE STACK N.30						
D _{pylon}	1.5	m	Diameter of the pylon			
C _{span}	9.5	m	Distance between two line of pylon			
h _{beam}	17.5	m	Height of the lower beam			
bottom_ref	10	m	Height of the reference of the bottom of the river			
WIND THRUST						
α	6	0	Planimetric inclination of the bridge form the north			
C _{Dwi}	2	-	"Drag planking" coefficient			
Pair	1.2	Kg/m ³	Air density			
A _{stack}	160	m²	Planking area exposed to the wind pressure			
A _{traf}	177	m²	Surface of traffic exposed to the wind pressure			
β ₁	1	-	Coefficient of reduction for A1 and A2 traffic scenarios			
β ₂	0.5	-	Coefficient of reduction for A3 traffic scenario			
r	2.25	m	-			
e _{plank}	1.91	m	-			
e _{traf}	3.41	m	-			
HYDRODYNAMIC THR	UST					
C _{D0wa}		-	"Drag planking" coefficient (D=0)			
C _{D1wa}		-	"Drag planking" coefficient (D=1)			
Pwater		Kg/m ³	Water density			
βΑ		-	Area reduction for D=1			
а		-	Coefficient for the relation V _{water(} [IDRO1])			
b		-	Coefficient for the relation V _{water(} [IDRO1])			
С		-	Coefficient for the relation V _{water(} [IDRO1])			
h _{water1}	17	m	Height limit of the river for parameters a1,b1,c1			
a1	46	-	Coefficient for Q(h) when [IDRO1] < hwater1			
b1	-902	-	Coefficient for Q(h) when [IDRO1] < hwater1			
c1	4658	-	Coefficient for Q(h) when [IDRO1] < h _{water1}			
h _{water2}	22	m	Height limit of the river for parameters a2,b2,c2			
a2	60	-	Coefficient for Q(h) when [IDRO1] < h _{water2}			
b2	-1350	-	Coefficient for Q(h) when [IDRO1] < h _{water2}			
c2	8000	-	Coefficient for Q(h) when [IDRO1] < h _{water2}			
h _{max}	25.3	m	Max height level of river and limit for use parameter a3,b3,c3			
a3	96		Coefficient for Q(h) when h _{water2} < [IDRO1] < h _{max}			
b3	-2800		Coefficient for Q(h) when h _{water2} < [IDRO1] < hmax			
с3	22500		Coefficient for Q(h) when h _{water2} < [IDR01] < hmax			

WEIGHT OF THE STACK						
Рр	10710	kN	Plank weight on the stack			
Ppu	1680	kN	Weight of single pulvino			
Ptp	1601	kN	Weight of the trunk of pylon			
Pb	1007	kN	Weight of the single beam			
Рр	44	kN/m	Weight per meter of pylon			
Mt	9720	kNm	Moment generated by asymmetry			
SHIFTING WEIGHTS						
N(A1)	4024	kN	Axial load for load combination A1			
Mxx(A1)	4368	kNm	Bending moment for load combination A1			
Myy(A1)	3908	kNm	Bending moment for load combination A1			
N(A2)	3116	kN	Axial load for load combination A2			
Mxx(A2)	8077	kNm	Bending moment for load combination A2			
Муу(А2)	3015	kNm	Bending moment for load combination A2			
N(A3)	1979	kN	Axial load for load combination A3			
Mxx(A3)	2121	kNm	Bending moment for load combination A3			
Муу(АЗ)	25756	kNm	Bending moment for load combination A3			
Vehicle braking						
Fr	206	kN	Value of the force due to the vehicle braking			
n	3.3	m	"arm" for the vehicle braking moment			
		t .	1			