Tehnici de Optimizare

Facultatea de Matematica si Informatica
Universitatea Bucuresti

Department Informatica-2021

Clasificare metode de optimizare

Informatia ce indica comportamentul unei functii $f \in \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ intr-un punct $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ se poate clasifica:

- ▶ Informatie de ordin 0: f(x)
- ▶ Informatie de ordin 1: f(x), $\nabla f(x)$
- ▶ Informatie de ordin 2: f(x), $\nabla f(x)$, $\nabla^2 f(x)$
- **.**...

Fie algoritmul iterativ definit de $x_{k+1} = \mathcal{M}(x_k)$; in functie de ordinul informatiei utilizate in expresia lui \mathcal{M} :

- ▶ Metode de ordin 0: $f(x_k)$
- ▶ Metode de ordin 1: $f(x_k)$, $\nabla f(x_k)$
- ▶ Metode de ordin 2: $f(x_k)$, $\nabla f(x_k)$, $\nabla^2 f(x_k)$

Metoda Gradient

Cea mai "simpla" metoda de ordinul I: Metoda Gradient

- Prima aparitie in lucrarea [1] a lui Augustin-Louis Cauchy, 1847
- Cauchy rezolva un sistem neliniar de ecuatii cu 6 necunoscute, utilizand Metoda Gradient



[1] A. Cauchy. Methode generale pour la resolution des systemes dequations simultanees. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, 25:536-538, 1847

Metoda Gradient - iteratie

Iteratia MG:

$$x^{k+1} = x^k - \alpha_k \nabla f(x^k)$$

Variatii ale MG se obtin prin alegeri diferite ale pasului, in functie de context.

Exemplu: daca
$$f(x) = \frac{1}{2} ||Ax - b||^2 \ge 0, A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$$
, atunci

$$x^{k+1} = x^k - \alpha A^T (Ax^k - b)$$

Per iteratie: O(mn)

- Fie f diferentiabila cu ∇f Lipschitz continuu (constanta Lipschitz L > 0)
- ► Alegem lungimea pasului $\alpha_k = \frac{1}{L}$

Atunci rata de convergenta globala a sirului x_k generat de metoda gradient este subliniara, data de:

$$\min_{0 \le i \le k} \|\nabla f(x_i)\| \le \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} \sqrt{2L(f(x_0) - f^*)}$$

$$\min_{\{1 \le i \le k\}} \left\| \nabla f(x^i) \right\| \le \frac{c}{\sqrt{k}}$$

Pentru a atinge acuratete
$$\|\nabla f(x^k)\| \le 10^{-3}$$
 atunci deducem:
$$\min_{\{1 \le i \le k\}} \|\nabla f(x^i)\| \le \frac{c}{\sqrt{k}} \le 10^{-3} \Rightarrow k \ge \frac{c^2}{10^{-6}} = o(10^6)$$

$$\min_{\{1 \le i \le k\}} \left\| \nabla f(x^i) \right\| \le \frac{c}{\sqrt{k}}$$

Pentru a atinge acuratete $\|\nabla f(x^k)\| \le 10^{-2}$ atunci deducem:

$$\min_{\{1 \le i \le k\}} \|\nabla f(x^i)\| \le \frac{c}{\sqrt{k}} \le 10^{-2} \Rightarrow k \ge \frac{c^2}{10^{-2}} = o(10^4)$$

Sau in general, pentru $\|\nabla f(x^k)\| \le \epsilon$ sunt necesare:

$$\min_{\{1 \le i \le k\}} \|\nabla f(x^i)\| \le \frac{c}{\sqrt{k}} \le \epsilon \Rightarrow k \ge \frac{c^2}{\epsilon^2} \text{ iteratii}$$

Ratele de convergenta de tipul:
$$p > 0$$

$$\|x^k - x^*\| \le \frac{C}{k^p} \qquad f(x^k) - f^* \le \frac{C}{k^p}$$

$$\|\nabla f(x^k)\| \le \frac{C}{k^p}$$

se numesc **subliniare**. Necesarul de iteratii pentru ϵ acuratete se obtine:

$$\|\nabla f(x^k)\| \le \frac{C}{k^p} \le \epsilon \quad \Rightarrow k \ge \left(\frac{C}{\epsilon}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

Sub presupunerea ca ∇f Lipschitz continuu avem:

$$f(y) \le f(x) + \langle \nabla f(x), y - x \rangle + \frac{L}{2} ||y - x||^2 \quad x, y \in \text{dom} f.$$

Considerand $x = x_k, y = x_{k+1} = x_k - (1/L)\nabla f(x_k)$ avem:

$$f(x_{k+1}) \leq f(x_k) - \frac{1}{2L} \|\nabla f(x_k)\|^2.$$

Insumam dupa $i = 0, \dots k - 1$ si rezulta

$$\frac{1}{2L} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \|\nabla f(x_i)\|^2 \le f(x_0) - f(x_k) \le f(x_0) - f^*$$

In concluzie, observam

$$k \min_{0 \le i \le n} \|\nabla f(x_i)\|^2 \le \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \|\nabla f(x_i)\|^2 \le 2L(f(x_0) - f^*)$$

Garantii de convergenta a sirului

- Fie f diferentiabila cu ∇f Lipschitz continuu (constanta Lipschitz L > 0)
- Exista un punct de minim local x^* , astfel incat Hessiana in acest punct satisface

$$\sigma I_n \leq \nabla^2 f(x^*) \leq L I_n$$

Punctul initial x_0 al iteratiei metodei gradient cu pas $\alpha_k = \frac{2}{\sigma + L}$ este suficient de aproape de punctul de minim, i.e.

$$||x_0 - x^*|| \le \frac{2\sigma}{L}$$

Atunci rata de convergenta locala a sirului x_k generat de metoda gradient este liniara (i.e de ordinul $\mathcal{O}\left(\log(\frac{1}{\epsilon})\right)$), data de:

$$||x_k - x^*|| \le \beta \left(1 - \frac{2\sigma}{L + 3\sigma}\right)^k \qquad \text{cu } \beta > 0$$

Ratele de convergenta de tipul: fie $\gamma \in (0,1), C > 0$ $\|\nabla f(x^k)\|$ sau $f(x^k) - f^*$ sau $\|x^k - x^*\| \le (1 - \gamma)^k C$ se numesc liniare (geometrice).

Necesarul de iteratii pentru ϵ acuratete se obtine:

$$||\nabla f(x^k)|| \le (1 - \gamma)^k C \le \epsilon$$

$$k\log\left(\frac{1}{1 - \gamma}\right) \ge \log\frac{C}{\epsilon} \Rightarrow k \ge \frac{1}{\log(1/1 - \gamma)}\log\frac{C}{\epsilon}$$

Mai simplu, folosind $\log(1-\gamma) \leq -\gamma$, e sufficient: $k \geq \frac{1}{\gamma} \log \frac{C}{\epsilon}$

$$k \ge \frac{1}{\gamma} \log \frac{C}{\epsilon}$$

Mai simplu, folosind
$$\log(1-\gamma) \leq -\gamma$$
, e sufficient:
$$k \geq \frac{1}{\gamma} \log \frac{C}{\epsilon}$$

Pentru a atinge acuratete
$$\epsilon = 10^{-10}$$
, sunt necesare
$$k \geq \frac{1}{\gamma}(10 + \log(C))$$

Parametrul γ (de obicei, nr. de conditionare) este dominant!

Garantii de convergenta – sub convexitate

Fie f functie convexa, diferentiabila cu ∇f Lipschitz continuu (constanta Lipschitz L > 0). Daca alegem lungimea pasului constanta $\alpha_k = \frac{1}{L}$, atunci rata de convergenta globala a sirului x_k generat de metoda gradient este subliniara, data de:

$$f(x_k) - f^* \le \frac{L\|x_0 - x^*\|^2}{2k}$$

▶ Daca in plus functia este tare convexa cu constanta $\sigma > 0$, atunci rata de convergenta globala a sirului x_k generat de metoda gradient cu pas $\alpha_k = \frac{1}{L}$ este liniara, data de:

$$||x_k - x^*||^2 \le \left(\frac{L - \sigma}{L + \sigma}\right)^k ||x_0 - x^*||^2$$

Garantii de convergenta a sirului

$$\frac{L-\sigma}{L+\sigma} = \frac{L\left(1-\frac{\sigma}{L}\right)}{L\left(1+\frac{\sigma}{L}\right)} = \frac{\left(1-\frac{\sigma}{L}\right)}{\left(1+\frac{\sigma}{L}\right)} \le 1 - \frac{1}{L} = 1 - \frac{1}{\mu}$$

Pentru a atinge ϵ -acuratete, ratele de convergenta precedente ale MG indica necesitatea a $\approx \mu \log \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon}\right)$ iteratii!

Rata MG este determinata de $\mu = \frac{L}{\sigma}$ (numarul de conditionare al problemei)!

Observati:

$$\mu \to \infty$$
, atunci $\left(1 - \frac{1}{\mu}\right)^k \to 1^k$

Garantii de convergenta a sirului

$$H = \begin{bmatrix} 0.5 & 0 \\ 0 & \gamma \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.5 & 0 \\ 0 & 5/3 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\mu(H) = \frac{L}{\sigma} = \frac{10}{3} \approx 3,33$$
$$\left| x^k - x^* \right|^2 \le \left(1 - \frac{3}{10} \right)^k \frac{7}{25} = \left(\frac{7}{10} \right)^k \frac{7}{25}$$
$$\left| x^{13} - x^* \right|^2 \le \left(\frac{7}{10} \right)^{13} \frac{7}{25} \le \left(\frac{7}{10} \right)^{13} \approx 0.0097$$

• Fie iteratia x^k aproximam functia obiectiv f(x) (in jurul lui x^k) cu termenul Taylor de ordin II:

$$f(x) \approx f(x^k) + \nabla f(x^k)^T (x - x^k) + \frac{1}{2} (x - x^k)^T \nabla^2 f(x^k) (x - x^k)$$

• Partea drepta este o functie patratica (rezolvam prin cond. de ordin I) $\nabla^2 f(x^k)(x-x^k) + \nabla f(x^k) = 0$

Deci:

$$x = x^k - \left[\nabla^2 f(x^k)\right]^{-1} \nabla f(x^k) \quad (\coloneqq x^{k+1})$$

$$x^{k+1} = x^k - \alpha_k \left[\nabla^2 f(x^k) \right]^{-1} \nabla f(x^k)$$

Alegeri ale pasului:

- Constant $\alpha = 1$
- Ideal: $\alpha_k = \arg\min f(x^k \alpha [\nabla^2 f(x^k)]^{-1} \nabla f(x^k))$
- Backtracking: descrestere α_k pana satisfacem $(\gamma > 0)$
- $f(x^{k+1}) \le f(x^k) \alpha_k \gamma \nabla f(x^k)^T [\nabla^2 f(x^k)]^{-1} \nabla f(x^k)$

THEOREM 1. Let f(x) be twice differentiable, let $\nabla^2 f(x)$ satisfy a Lipschitz condition with constant L, let f(x) be strongly convex with constant ℓ , and let the initial approximation satisfy the condition

$$q = (L\ell^{-2}/2) \|\nabla f(x^0)\| < 1.$$
 (5)

Then method (2) converges to the global minimum point x^* with the quadratic rate:

$$\|x^k - x^*\| \le (2\ell/L)q^{2^k}$$
 (6)

Presupunerile se pot relaxa la functii neconvexe:

- f dublu diferentiabila
- Exista un minim local nesingular: $\nabla^2 f(x^*) > \sigma I_n$
- x^0 in vecinatatea lui x^*

In acest caz, MN converge patratic la x^* !

Convergenta patratica:

$$q < 1$$
 (e.g. $q = 0.8$)

- k = 1: q^2 (e.g. = 0,64)
- k = 2: $q^4(e.g. = 0.4096)$
- k = 3: $q^{8}(e.g. = 0.1678)$
- k = 4: q^{16} (e.g. = 0,0282)
- k = 5: $q^{32} (e.g. = 0.0008)$
- k = 6: $q^{64}(e.g. = 6.3e 7)$