

# Multiple Linear Regression

## The Gauss-Markov Theorem

Math 392

# Gauss-Markov Theorem

**Claim:**  $\hat{\beta}_{LS}$  are the best linear unbiased estimates (BLUE) of  $\beta$ .

# What do we mean by *linear*?

Linear refers to estimates that are a linear function of the random variable, which in the regression setting is  $Y$ .

$$\hat{\beta}_j = c_{1,j}Y_1 + c_{2,j}Y_2 + \dots c_{n,j}Y_n$$

Or in matrix form:

$$\hat{\beta} = CY$$

In the least squares case,  $C = (X'X)^{-1}X'$ .

# What do we mean by *unbiased*?

The same thing that usually mean:

$$E(\hat{\beta}) = \beta.$$

## What do we mean by *best*?

Best = lowest MSE. Among unbiased estimators, this will be the one with the lowest variance. How do we compare variances two *vectors*?

$\hat{\beta}_{LS}$  is best if  $Var(\hat{\beta}_{LS}) - Var(\tilde{\beta})$  is positive semi-definite for all other estimates  $\tilde{\beta}$ .

A  $p \times p$  matrix  $M$  is positive semi-definite if

$$a' M a \geq 0$$

for all non-zero vectors  $a \in \mathbb{R}^p$ .

# Assumptions

The proof assumes that

1.  $Y = X\beta + \epsilon$
2.  $E(\epsilon) = 0$
3.  $Var(\epsilon) = \sigma^2 I$

# Proof

Let  $\tilde{\beta} = CY$ ,  $C = (X'X)^{-1}X' + D$  for any  $p \times n$  non-zero matrix  $D$ .

$$\begin{aligned} E(\tilde{\beta}) &= E(CY) = CE(Y) \\ &= CE(X\beta + \epsilon) \\ &= CE(X\beta) + CE(\epsilon) \\ &= CX\beta \\ &= ((X'X)^{-1}X' + D)X\beta \\ &= (X'X)^{-1}X'X\beta + DX\beta \\ &= \beta + DX\beta \end{aligned}$$

Since we're interested in the class of unbiased estimators,  $DX$  must be 0.

## Proof, cont.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}(\tilde{\beta}) &= \text{Var}(CY) \\ &= C\text{Var}(Y)C' \\ &= C\sigma^2 IC' \\ &= \sigma^2((X'X)^{-1}X' + D)[(X'X)^{-1}X' + D]' \\ &= \sigma^2((X'X)^{-1}X' + D)(X(X'X)^{-1} + D') \\ &= \sigma^2[(X'X)^{-1}X'X(X'X)^{-1} + (X'X)^{-1}X'D' + DX(X'X)^{-1} + DD'] \\ &= \sigma^2(X'X)^{-1} + \sigma^2 DD' \\ &= \text{Var}(\hat{\beta}_{LS}) + \sigma^2 DD' \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\sigma^2 DD'$  is a positive semi-definite matrix, this shows that *any* other linear estimator of  $\beta$  will have a variance at least as large as the least squares estimates.



## Postscript: Why we love OLS

- As a loss function,  $RSS$  has some intuitive appeal.
- $\hat{\beta}_{LS}$  has a closed form.
- $\hat{\beta}_{LS}$  are BLUE (Gauss-Markov).